The Linacre Quarterly

Volume 37 | Number 2

Article 9

May 1970

Health - The Supernatural View

Vincent P. McCorry

Follow this and additional works at: http://epublications.marquette.edu/lnq

Recommended Citation

 $\label{lem:mccorry} McCorry, Vincent P. (1970) "Health - The Supernatural View," \textit{The Linacre Quarterly}: Vol. 37: No. 2 , Article 9. Available at: http://epublications.marquette.edu/lnq/vol37/iss2/9$

HEALTH-The Supernatural View

Vincent P. McCorry, S.J.

Perhaps the first necessity in a discussion such as we now undertake is to examine and maybe to defend that respectable but equivocal term "supernatural". The word does not mean "preternatural", "unnatural", "antinatural" or even "psychic". What does I mean?

is

Ot.

The Christian tradition affirms that the life of man is in fact a double life. that it is lived on two levels or in two dimensions. In the third chapter of St. John's Gospel there is recorded a most ugnificant conversation between Christ and an educated, professional man named Nicodemus. The point of the Saviour's remarks is the necessity for man of rebirth, but rebirth to a life different from physical life. Man's first birth is from his mother's womb, the econd is from above, it comes about through water and the Spirit. Our Lord underlines the distinction belween the two births and consequently between the two lives: What is born of the flesh is flesh; what is born of the Spirit is spirit. In various ways throughout the Gospels, but especially In John, Christ steadily distinguishes between the two levels of existence.

With His first recorded words Jesus sharply contrasts my Father with our Lady's your father (referring to St. Joseph). Speaking to the Samaritan woman (John 4) Christ distinguishes between two kinds of water; shortly after, with His disciples, He distinguishes between two kinds of food; in John 6 He distinguishes between two kinds of life, in the same place and elsewhere He distinguishes between temporal life and eternal life.

Taken up and repeatedly urged by St. Paul, as in the fifth chapter of Galatians; this theme of two distinct lives became standard Christian teaching. Natural life is that which we

Vincent McCorry, S.J.: Long an associate editor and still weekly author of the well known column "The Word", for America. He is now involved as well with the Loyola House of Retreats at Morristown, N.J. He is the author of two books and is appreciated for his work both in pastoral and scriptural theology.

know by sense-experience and by reason. Supernatural life we know and live by faith. This second life is as truly a vitality and a dynamism as the first.

Is it also Christian teaching that between natural and supernatural there is not only distinction, but opposition? Clearly, yes; yet the answer demands still another distinction. Since it is perfectly natural for man straightway to satisfy his appetites, and since those appetites will often be clamorous in their demands, it follows that supernatural considerations will at times stand as a painfully thwarting factor in Christian life. The present outcry against clerical celibacy is perfectly illustrative of the bitter antagonism that arises, upon occasion, between natural and supernatural. On the other hand, tension between natural and supernatural must not be urged into such hopeless contrariety that one will be regarded as excluding the other, or that the natural will be identified with evil, the supernatural with good. Naturalia non sunt turpia, runs the old Scholastic tag; "No one need be ashamed of the natural." Our Saviour, in His life among us, respected, accepted and praised natural values. Note, for example, His approval of marriage. His concern that hungry people get something to eat, His love of children. Christ's position on natural and supernatural is simple and clear: the natural is good; the supernatural gets the priority.

It follows then that when we approach the question of human health from a strictly religious view rooted in the Judaeo-Christian tradition, we will not for an instant suggest that man's health is a negligible factor in that man's physical well-being consequence so long as he is spinally hale and hearty. As is abuse a learn physical health and selection in the latter are so intimately interested that one always — somehow acts and point of view, however, it is a light conditioned aspect of the human being.

What was the Old Testame attitude toward health? Since, in the Old Testament, notions of an afterli extremely foggy, attention c tered on well-being in the preser Health, wealth, family conter ment, abundance, security - all the values that were summed up in the word shalom, peace - represented the apex of desirability. The firm theo was that all these blessings are dire gifts of Yahweh, and that they e assuredly bestowed upon the goo man, the man who faithfully observed the Law. Old Testament writers st iggled helplessly with the evident fa that good men suffered, and the ricked often prospered. Nevertheless, atural well-being continues to dr: v admiration and recommendatio, and the wisdom books in particul r sing the praises of satisfaction in the here and now.

In the 38th chapter of Eccles sticus (Sirach) we encounter a most interesting discussion of health and the doctor. The passage, with brief comments, as follows:

Honor the doctor with the honor (payment, probably) that is his due in

return for his services; for he too has heen created by the Lord. Healing itself comes from the Most High, like a gift from a king. The doctor's learning keeps his head high, he is regarded with awe by potentates. The Lord has brought medicines into existence from the earth, and the sensible man will not despise them. Did not a piece of wood once sweeten the water, thus giving proof of its virtue? (A reference to a Mosaic miracle recorded in Exodus 15: 23-25.) He has also given men (doctors) learning, so that they may glory in his mighty works. He uses them (medicines) to heal and to relieve pain, the chemist makes up a mixture from them. Thus there is no end to his (God's) activities, and through him health extends across the world. My son, when you are ill, do not be depressed, but pray to the Lord and he will heal you. Renounce your faults, keep your hands unsoiled, and cleanse your heart from all sin. Offer incense and a memorial of fine flour, and make as rich an offering as you can afford. Then let the doctor take over - the Lord created him too and do not let him leave you, for you need him. Sometimes success is in their hands, since they in turn will beseech the Lord to grant them the grace to relieve and to heal, that Life may be saved.

The balanced position of this ancient wisdom-writer is remarkable. There is the pronounced supernatural view – health comes from God, it is connected with virtue, health is an object of prayer – but there is also the clear counsel to take your medicine and do what the doctor tells you. The learned writer regards the doctor as a fellow sage, and notice his assumption that the doctor too will beseech the Lord, begging the grace to relieve and to heal.

Against this background of concern for health it is surprising that the Old Testament gives us so few stories of healing. Moses, setting up the symbolic brazen serpent, delivers the people from a plague of venomous snakes; much afflicted Job is restored to health; Tobias, Sr., is cured of blindness with an amazing prescription; Elijah and Elisha recall the dead to life, and Elisha cures Naaman of leprosy; King Hesekiah, dying, is granted, through prayer, a new lease on life. Healing is not really a prominent element in the Old Testament tradition.

When we enter the world of the New Testament, and especially the world of the four Gospels, a striking change appears. The Saviour is described (particularly by the Synoptics) as performing an abundance of miracles, most of which are works of healing. Jesus cures fever, palsy, paralysis, leprosy; He restores speech to the dumb, hearing to the deaf, sight to the blind: He heals people suffering from what we would now regard as advanced psychosis; in three recorded instances He brings the dead back to life. Our Lord's wonders of healing were so plentiful that at times the Evangelists report them compendiously, as in Luke 4: 40: And when the sun was going down, all those who had friends afflicted with many kinds of disease brought them to him: and he laid his hands upon each one of them, and healed them. The difficulty is that this evangelical emphasis can lead to a misunderstanding of our Saviour's essential mission and even meaning. For an accurate comprehension of Christ's wonderworking, let us quote from the authoritative Dictionary of the Bille by Fr. John McKenzie, S.J.:

"The most common designation of miracle (in the New Testament) is dynamis, power . . . This concept may be summed up in general in these terms: the Incarnation is the entrance of the power of God into the world in the person of Jesus Christ. Jesus was constituted the Son of God in power (Rom. 1:4); indeed he is the power of God (1 Cor. 1:24). His conception occurs when the power of God overshadows Mary (Lk. 1:35). This is not simply a power of working wonders; as the power of God, mysterious, supreme, and subject to no restraint, it breaks out in all directions . . . This outbreak of power first resides in Jesus himself . . . It is a power to save not only from the evil of sin but also from ills of the body, a power to heal (Lk. 5:17). The power which resides in Jesus is communicated by him to his apostles (Lk. 9:1); and after the ascension the power of the kingdom of God (Mk. 9:1) resides in the Church in which he continues to live. The fullness of the power is communicated to the apostles by the reception of the Spirit at Pentecost ... The apostles feel themselves full of the power of Jesus, which enables them to preach, to bear witness to him, to heal. The power exhibits itself also in the charismata which appeared in the primitive Church (1 Cor. 12:10)."

Fr. McKenzie adds: "In the healing miracles Jesus appears as Saviour; the power and will of God to save is not restricted to the preaching of the word and the forgiveness of sins, although these are great and fundamental, but it also operates to remove the consequences of sin, human infirmity and suffering."

Thus enlightened by an eminent Scripture scholar, we may in two ways qualify our impression of Christ's curative wonders. First, our not heal physical ills simply sake of physical well-being. always be remembered that all people whom Jesus cur sequently died. Our Saviour's were the manifestation of the power of God as it prevailed power and kingdom of evil; a biblical view, sickness and among the consequences of evil. Second, Christ certainly cure all the sick people with om He came in contact. We assume Gospel silence that St. Jos h, our Lord's foster-father, died di Saviour's lifetime: Christ dic othing to prevent that death. In A 3 we read how Peter and John heal a man lame from birth who sat d. v. begging, at one of the Temple es. We cannot of course be certain, ut it is possible that Christ had me than once seen that man. Matthe ells us (13:58) that when our Savio his own town of Nazareth h lid not work many miracles there be ruse of their lack of faith.

rd did

or the

must

sub-

iracles

resent

er the

in the

th are

n and

id not

om the

ng the

visited

Te sick

As we have seen, our Lord plicitly (Lk. 9:1) bestowed upon the postles the power to heal. In Acts : we read that the people used to bring ick folk into the streets, and lay the n down there on beds and pallets, in he hope that even the shadow of Per might fall upon one of them here a d there, as he passed by, and so they ould be healed of their infirmities. Fu ther, St. Paul includes healing in he list of Christian, pentecostal charism

The New Testament word harisma (pl. charismata), which has now come into surprisingly common use means, according to Fr. McKenzie, " particular type of spiritual gift which enables its receiver to perform some office or function in the Church." In the 12th chapter of the First Corinthian letter St. Paul twice provides a list of charisms. The two catalogues differ, but each contains nine items, and both include powers or gifts of healing. No details are given, md no instances cited. However, we do read in Acts of Paul's own exercise of the power of healing: in chapter 14 he heals a man erippled from birth; in chapter 28 he cures a case of fever and dysentery and then heals other folk in the island (Malta) who were suffering from infirmities; and in chapter 20 he restores to life a young man who had fallen out a third story window. It is a huge comfort to subsequent Christian preachers that the youth, sitting near the window, had fallen asleep during a Pauline sermon.

Such, in very brief survey, is the biblical story of healing from physical infirmity. What conclusions may we draw with regard to the contemporary problems of the right to health and the proper function of the Catholic physi-

From the religious point of view -2 well as from the most pragmatic point of view - the right to health is a lmited one. Religiously speaking, the life to health is limited by the mysterlous decree of divine Providence. As is wident, there are numerous instances which God simply does not bestow the blessing of health or does not restore it when it has been damaged. Anyone who wishes may pursue this heme, but he will find the effort no more rewarding than all the phi-Osophers and theologians who have wrestled with the problem of evil from me immemorial. This world, acording to one of the Church's "urgical prayers, is a "valley of tears", although the declaration does not

express the entire truth, it most certainly articulates a basic fact of life. In the amplitude and clarity of eternal bliss we shall not doubt achieve an understanding of God's plan for men, especially as it does not exclude what is most painful, the suffering of the innocent. For now, however, the mystery stands impenetrable. As someone has said, there is very little use in trying to explain a religious mystery in such a way that it will no longer be a mystery.

The right to health is further and most definitely limited by the fact of universal death. Medicine, that noble and most humane science, may and should battle death to a fare-thee-well. Exactly. Sooner or later comes the hour of fare-thee-well.

What the right to health evidently means is that all men, so far as is humanly possible, should have access to the ordinary means to health. Negatively, the principle means that no one should be barred, by reason of race or religion or even poverty, from the normal remedies, care and procedures that maintain or restore health. Positively, the title to physical well-being extends only to the ordinary or generally accepted means to health. It can scarcely be maintained that every cardiac ought to have a heart-transplant. Thus understood, the right of every man to health is real and God-given, and every responsible element in human society must conspire with every other for the actual implementation of that right.

The physician who is also a convinced Christian will consider that he is the inheritor of the Pauline charism of healing. He will regard this authentic sift of God in a double light.

First, he will understand that his quasi-sacramental capacity to heal the sick is not without its limitations. A doctor is a man, and only one man. He cannot reach everyone in his ministrations, and sometimes, inevitably, his ministrations will fail. Not only will he not always defeat death, but he himself will someday surrender to that grim sergeant. Second, the Catholic physician will appreciate that his healing charism involves a particular dedication that is rooted in his whole Christian philosophy of life.

Briefly, for the medical man of faith the patient is not simply a problem which, one way or another, he often is - but a person. The insistence of contemporary philosophy on the value, the uniqueness, the sacredness of the individual person stands in agreement with traditional Christian teaching. It can be an enlightening experience to ride (for example) the crowded New York subway and pass the time looking about you with some thoughtfulness. Here are many people, some old, some young, they are black and white and brown, they speak in various tongues, some are shabby and even dirty, most seem tired, all are heading toward eternity as they ride the real but symbolic subway. God created each one of these; He loves each one with an infinite love; for each, as if alone, Christ laid down His life; each has an eternal destiny. To fastidious human eyes these people, collectively and individually, may not seem like much; in God's view, each one of them is precious bey description, and for each one God intends, finally, only what

As often as it has been soun warning may and must be repe all men, the doctor and the pri battle the demon of disillus As the years pass and the optimism of youth fades, it perilously easy for the pri doctor, whose joint busines essential well-being of men. faith in men. The process subtle, and only half acknow We all remember the declar one of the characters in the ce Charley Brown comic strip: mankind. I just can't stand pec in addition to cynicism ah human animal, the Catholic d the Catholic priest begins to disillusionment with regard Church, he will stand, profes onally, in a kind of double jeopardy.

In one word, that one wor which will survive all abuse and mis se, the doctor, like the priest, must le le. The task is not easy; often it will be carried out in despite of the most uncerstandable human reluctances. For the Christian doctor, one most oncrete exercise of that love will be a sostained effort to see to it that as far in him lies, every man's right to health, properly understood, be implemented and to capacity. Therein the grace of God will not be wanting; and anyone who believes in Christian charism believes in grace.

them best.

d, the

ed: of

must

ment.

comes

1 and

is the

) lose

av be

edged. on of

brated

I love

le." If.

it the

tor or

suffer

rosv

Linacre Quarterly