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#### Abstract

Several homo- and heterobimetallic $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complexes ( $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}}=\mathrm{Fe}$, $\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Zn}$ ) supported by an unsymmetric polydentate ligand ( $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{3-}$ ) are reported ( $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{3-}$ is the trianion of 2-[bis(2-hydroxy-3,5-tert-


butylphenyl)aminomethyl]-4-methyl-6-[(2-
pyridylmethyl)iminomethyl]phenol). The $\mathbf{L i}^{3-}$ chelate provides two distinct coordination environments: a planar tridentate $\left\{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}$ site $(\mathbf{A})$ and a tetradentate $\left\{\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right\}$ site (B). Reaction of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{3-}$ with equimolar amounts of $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}}$ salts provides bimetallic complexes in which the $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ion exclusively occupies the tetragonal $\mathbf{A}$-site and the $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ion is found in the tripodal $\mathbf{B}$-site. X-ray crystal structures revealed that the two metal centers are bridged by the central phenolate donor of $\mathbf{L}_{1}{ }^{3-}$ and an anionic $X$-ligand, where $X=\mu-1,1-$ acetate, hydroxide, or methoxide. The metal ions are separated by $3.0-3.1 \AA$ in the $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}}{ }^{\mathbf{x}}$ structures, where $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}$ indicate the ion located in the $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ sites, respectively, and $X$ represents the second bridging ligand. Analysis of magnetic data and UV-Vis-NIR spectra indicate that, in all cases, the two metal ions adopt high-spin states in solution. The $\mathrm{Ni}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ centers undergo one-electron reduction at -1.17 V vs. SCE , while the $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ions in the phenolate-rich B-site are reduced at lower potentials. Significantly, the $\mathrm{Ni}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ center possesses three open or labile coordination sites in a meridional geometry, which are generally occupied by solvent-derived ligands in the crystal structures. The $\mathbf{N i M}_{\mathbf{B}}{ }^{\mathbf{x}}$ complexes serve as structural mimics of heterometallic Ni-containing sites in biology, such as the C-cluster of carbon monoxide dehydrogenase (CODH).

Graphical abstract: The geometric and electronic structures of a series of $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complexes ( $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Zn}$ ) featuring an unsymmetric chelate were characterized with crystallographic, spectroscopic, and electrochemical methods.
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## 1. Introduction

Nickel centers in metalloenzymes are often embedded in polynuclear, heterometallic frameworks that facilitate the activation of small molecules via multiple electron and proton transfers. ${ }^{1 ; 2}$ Three

[^0]examples are shown in Scheme 1. [NiFe]-hydrogenases feature an unusual heterobimetallic active site with a $\left[\mathrm{NiFe}\left(\mu-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{Cys}}\right)_{2}\right]$ core; in the available X-ray structures, the $\mathrm{Ni} \cdots$ Fe distance ranges from 2.5 to $2.9 \AA .{ }^{3 ; 4}$ While only the Ni site is redox-active under catalytic conditions, the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ center is believed to play an important role in the binding and heterolytic bond cleavage of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \cdot{ }^{5 ; 6}$ The C-cluster of carbon monoxide dehydrogenase (CODH), shown in Scheme 1, catalyzes the reversible reaction: $\mathrm{CO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} .{ }^{7 ; 8}$ Based on crystallographic data, it appears that substrate binding and activation occurs at the heterobimetallic NiFe1 unit highlighted in Scheme $1,{ }^{9 ; 10 ; 11 ; 12}$ while the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right]$ component is only involved in shuttling electrons. The Fe1 center likely serves as a Lewis acid, stabilizing the critical $\mathrm{NiCO}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}$ intermediate and facilitating cleavage of the CO bond. Finally, the A-cluster of acetyl-coenzyme A synthase (ACS) consists of a dinickel unit linked to a $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right.$ ] cubane via a bridging cysteine residue. In each case, the presence of a metal center adjacent to the redox-active Ni site is critical for efficient catalysis.




Scheme 1.

These biological precedents suggest that multinuclear Nicontaining complexes may have applications as synthetic catalysts for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ generation and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ activation. In an effort to model the NiFe1 unit of the C-cluster, Holm and coworkers generated a series of bioinspired complexes in which a $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ center is bound to a 2,6pyridinedicarboxamidate pincer and a second $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ion is coordinated to a pendant chelate $(M=M n, \mathrm{Fe}$, and Cu$) .{ }^{13 ; 14}$ The two metal centers are bridged by a single hydroxo, cyano, or formato ligand; for the hydroxo-bridged complexes, X-ray analysis revealed $\mathrm{Ni} \cdots \mathrm{M}$ distances near $3.7 \AA$ and NiOM angles of $\sim 140^{\circ}$. More recently, Uyeda and Peters used a dimine-dioxime ligand to generate a heterobimetallic NiZn complex. ${ }^{15}$ The square-planar $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ center is linked to a $\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3}-\right.\right.$ TACN)] unit via two oxime bridges ( $\mathrm{Me}_{3}-\mathrm{TACN}=1,4,7$-trimethyl-1,4,7triazacyclononane). The addition of acetate or nitrite anions yielded
triply-bridged structures exhibiting $\mathrm{Ni} \cdots \mathrm{Zn}$ distances of $\sim 3.45 \AA$. While numerous heterobimetallic NiM complexes (where M is a biologicallyrelevant transition metal) exist in the literature, $16 ; 17 ; 18 ; 19 ; 20 ; 21 ; 22 ; 23$, their potential relevance to CODH and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reduction has not been explored.

In this manuscript, we report our initial efforts to generate homo- and heterobimetallic complexes containing a coordinatively unsaturated $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ center in close proximity to a second $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}}$ site ( $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}$, $\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}$, and Zn ). Our studies have employed the unsymmetric ligand ( $\mathbf{L}_{1}{ }^{3-}$ ) shown in Scheme 2, which contains a central phenolate group capable of bridging the metal ions. Related ligands have been used to model the homo- and heterodinuclear active sites of various metalloenzymes. ${ }^{24 ; 25 ; 26}$ The $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{3-}$ ligand provides two distinct coordination environments: one bearing a Schiff-base moiety linked to a 2-pyridinylmethyl group (site A), and the other consisting of a tertiary amine with two additional phenolate donors (site B). Site $\mathbf{A}$ is well-suited for $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ coordination, while the trisphenolate site binds the second divalent metal ion. The phenolate-rich chelate is intended to depress the redox potentials of the metal centers, thereby enhancing the potential reactivity of the complexes towards electrophilic substrates, such as $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. X-ray crystal structures of the bimetallic complexes, described below, revealed that a second bridging ligand is also present: $\mu$-1,1-acetate, hydroxide, or methoxide. As shown in Scheme 2, the series of complexes are labeled $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{x}$, where $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $M_{B}$ identify the divalent ions located in the $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ sites, respectively, and $\mathbf{X}$ represents the second bridging ligand. The presence of two monoatomic bridging groups leads to short $\mathrm{M}_{A^{I I}}-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ distances of 3.0$3.1 \AA$. Both metal centers possess open or labile coordination sites for potential use in substrate binding and activation.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{NiFe}^{\mathrm{OAc}}$ | $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| $\mathrm{NiCo}^{\mathrm{OAc}}$ | $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| $\mathrm{NiNi}^{\mathrm{OAc}}$ | $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| $\mathrm{NiZnOAc}^{\mathrm{OAC}}$ | $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| $\mathrm{CoCoO}^{\mathrm{OAc}}$ | $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | MeOH |
| $\mathrm{NiZnOH}^{\mathrm{OH}}$ | $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ | H | vacant |
| $\mathrm{NiNi}^{\mathrm{OMe}}$ | $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | MeOH |

Scheme 2.

In addition to X-ray structural characterization, we have employed electrochemical and spectroscopic methods to analyze the electronic properties of the dinuclear complexes. Specifically, insights into the ligand-field environment were obtained using electronic absorption spectroscopy, and the redox potentials of the metal centers were probed with cyclic and square-wave voltammetry. Collectively, these results provide a foundation for future studies that will examine the reactivity of this new class of heterobimetallic complexes.

[^1]
## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Materials and physical methods

Reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used as received, unless otherwise noted. The air-sensitive complex $\mathbf{N i F e}{ }^{\mathbf{0 A c}}$ was synthesized and handled under inert atmosphere using a Vacuum Atmospheres Omni-Lab glovebox. Elemental analyses were performed at Midwest Microlab, LLC in Indianapolis, IN. Two instruments were used to measure electronic absorption spectra: an Agilent 8453 diode array spectrophotometer and an Agilent Cary 5000 UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer. Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of solid samples were obtained with a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS5 FTIR spectrometer equipped with the iD3 attenuated total reflectance accessory. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature with a Varian 400 MHz spectrometer. Voltammetric measurements employed an epsilon EC potentiostat (iBAS) at a scan rate of $100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$ with $100 \mathrm{mM}\left(\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right) \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ as the supporting electrolyte. The three-electrode cell contained a $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ reference electrode, a platinum auxiliary electrode, and a glassy carbon working electrode. Potentials were referenced to the ferrocene/ferrocenium ( $\mathrm{Fc}^{+/ 0}$ ) couple, which has an $E_{1 / 2}$ value of +0.45 V versus the standard calomel electrode (SCE) in DMF. ${ }^{27}$ Solid-state magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed at room temperature using an AUTO balance manufactured by Sherwood Scientific. Solution-state magnetic moments were measured in chloroform using the Evans NMR method.

### 2.2. 3-(Bromomethyl)-2-hydroxy-5methylbenzaldehyde (I)

Modifying a published procedure. ${ }^{28}$ hydrobromic acid ( 30 mL , $47 \%$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was added to a mixture of 5-methylsalicylaldehyde ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), paraformaldehyde ( $0.99 \mathrm{~g}, 33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 10 drops of fuming sulfuric acid. The resulting solution was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h , resulting in formation of a white precipitate. After cooling to room temperature, the solid was isolated by filtration and washed with cold water ( 20 mL ). The white product was dried under vacuum and used without further purification. Yield $=4.6 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ : $2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}$,
$J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 20.4$, $26.9,120.7,126.2,129.5,134.4,139.1,157.7,196.7$.

### 2.3. Bis(3,5-di-tert-butyl-2-hydroxybenzyl)amine (II) ${ }^{29}$

A mixture of 2,4-di-tert-butylphenol ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and hexamethylenetetramine ( $2.80 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was refluxed for 2 h in formic acid ( $100 \mathrm{~mL}, 85 \%$ ). The reaction yielded crystals of the benzoxazine intermediate ( 1.85 g ) that were subsequently isolated by filtration. This material was dissolved in ethylene glycol ( 100 mL ) and hydrochloric acid ( $37 \%$; 40 mL ) and heated overnight at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling to room temperature, the hydrochloride salt of the desired product (i.e., II-HCl) was collected by filtration, washed with water, and dried under vacuum. The resulting solid was slowly added to a stirred mixture of aqueous $\mathrm{KOH}(1.8 \mathrm{M} ; 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and diethyl ether $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvent removed under vacuum to give II as a pure white powder. Yield $=1.27 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%$ overall. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}$, $18 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta:$ 29.8, 31.6, 34.2, 34.8, 51.4, 122.8, 123.5, 124.4, 135.9, 141.6, 152.6.

### 2.4. Compound III

3-(Bromomethyl)-2-hydroxy-5-methylbenzaldehyde (I, 2.14 g, $9.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise to a solution of bis(3,5-di-tert-butyl-2hydroxybenzyl)amine ( $\mathbf{I I} ; 4.24 \mathrm{~g}, 9.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $2.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 50 mL ). The mixture was refluxed overnight and then filtered to remove the salt byproduct. After evaporation of solvent under vacuum, the crude product was isolated as pale yellow solid. Purification by flash column chromatography (10:1 mixture of hexanes:EtOAc) provided III as a pure solid. Yield $=3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$, $2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ (s, 1H), 7.19 (d, J = $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 20.1,29.6,31.7,34.1,34.9,52.8,58.2,120.2,121.8$, $123.5,125.0,125.9,129.2,133.3,135.9,140.1,141.3,152.3,158.1$, 196.7.

### 2.5. Ligand $L_{1} H_{3}$

Modifying a published procedure, ${ }^{30}$ 2-picolylamine ( 0.21 mL , 2.0 mmol ) was added to a solution of compound III ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 20 mL ). The mixture was refluxed overnight under argon, causing the color to change to yellow. Removal of solvent under vacuum provided $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}$ as yellow powder, which was used without further purification. Yield $=1.33 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 1.27(\mathrm{~s}$, $18 \mathrm{H}), 1.34$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 18 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.19 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 2H), 3.77 (s, 4H), 5.00 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18$ (d, $J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61$ (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 20.2,29.6,31.7,34.1,34.9$, 54.0, 58.3, 63.9, 118.2, 122.0, 122.1, 122.7, 123.4, 124.9, 125.0, $127.8,131.6,135.5,136.0,138.0,141.2,148.4,142.5,157.6,157.8$, 167.3. FTIR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, solid): 2951 (s), 2904 (m), 2866 (m), 1632 (m), 1590 (m).

### 2.6. General procedure for synthesis of $\left[\operatorname{NiM}\left(L_{1}\right)(\mu-\right.$ OAc)] complexes ( $\mathrm{NiM}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{\mathrm{OAc}}$ )

The $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ligand ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.434 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and three equivalents of $\mathrm{NaOMe}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ were stirred in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 10 min , followed by addition of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(108 \mathrm{mg}, 0.434 \mathrm{mmol})$ and one equivalent of the appropriate $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ salt. The mixture was stirred overnight and the solvent removed under vacuum. The resulting solid was taken up in THF and filtered through Celite to remove sodium salts. Evaporation of solvent provided the crude solid. The methods used to grow crystals of the complexes are described below.

## 2.7. $\left[\mathrm{NiFe}\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{OAc})\right]\left(\mathrm{NiFe}^{\mathrm{OAc}}\right)$

X-ray quality crystals were obtained from a $1: 1$ mixture of acetone:MeCN. Yield: 40\%. Material for elemental analysis was purified by recrystallization in a $1: 1$ mixture of MeCN and MeOH. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{FeN}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{7}\left(M_{\mathrm{w}}=926.63 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ : C, $63.51 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.51 ; \mathrm{N}$, 4.53. Found: C, 63.48; H, 7.36; N, 4.80\%. UV-Vis [ $\lambda_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{nm}(\varepsilon$, $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) in DMF]: 890 (18), 530 (1000). FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, solid): 2951
(s), 2902 (m), 2864 (m), 1621 (m), 1603 (m), 1573 ( $\mathrm{s}, v\left[\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{OAc}}\right]$ ). $\mu_{\text {eff }}=5.02 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}\left(\right.$ Evans method, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 2.8. $\left[\mathrm{NiCo}\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{OAc})\right]\left(\mathrm{NiCo}^{\mathrm{OAc}}\right)$

The crude solid was dissolved in a $1: 1$ mixture of MeCN and MeOH , which yielded orange crystals after several days. Yield: 60\%. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{CoN}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{7}\left(M_{\mathrm{w}}=929.71 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right): \mathrm{C}, 63.30 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.48; N, 4.52. Found: C, 63.66; H, 7.43; N, 4.81. UV-Vis-NIR [ $\lambda_{\text {max }}$, nm ( $\varepsilon, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) in DMF]: 1630 (6), 1050 (sh), 830 (7), 640 (sh), 580 (sh). FTIR (cm ${ }^{-1}$, solid): 2951 (s), 2902 (m), 2864 (m), 1627 (w), 1605 (m), 1565 (s, $v\left[\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{OAc}}\right]$ ). $\mu_{\text {eff }}=4.51$ (solid state), $4.72 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ (Evans method, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

## 2.9. $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{1}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{OAc})\right]\left(\mathrm{NiNi}^{\mathrm{OAc}}\right)$

Yellow crystals were grown from a concentrated solution in 1:1 MeCN:MeOH. Yield: 36\%. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ $\left(M_{\mathrm{w}}=929.47 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right): \mathrm{C}, 63.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.48 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.52$. Found: C, 62.52; H, 7.28; N, 4.84\%. UV-Vis [ $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ nm ( $\varepsilon, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) in DMF]: 866 (17), 740 (19), 500 (sh). FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, solid): 2951 (s), 2903 (m), 2864 (m), 1625 (m), 1605 (s), 1585 ( $\mathrm{s}, v\left[\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{OAc}}\right]$ ). $\mu_{\text {eff }}=3.66$ (solid state), $3.82 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ (Evans method, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

### 2.10. $\left[\mathrm{NiZn}\left(L_{1}\right)(\mu-O A c)\right]\left(N i Z n^{O A c}\right)$

The yellow solid was washed with cold MeOH , dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and layered with MeOH to provide X-ray quality crystals. Yield: 45\%. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{7} \mathrm{Zn}\left(M_{\mathrm{w}}=936.16 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right): \mathrm{C}, 62.87$; H, 7.43; N, 4.49. Found: C, 62.67; H, 6.97; N, 4.62\%. UV-vis [ $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ $\mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ in DMF]: 869 (8), 575 (4). FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, solid): 2951 (s), 2902 (m), 2863 (m), 1643 (m), 1604 (s), 1586 ( $\mathrm{s}, v\left[\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{OAc}}\right]$ ). $\mu_{\text {eff }}=2.69$ (solid state), $2.67 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ (Evans method, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

### 2.11. $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{1}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{OAc})\right]\left(\mathrm{CoCo}^{\mathrm{OAc}}\right)$

Three equivalents of $\mathrm{NaOMe}(47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a stirred solution of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 10 min , two equivalents of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} .4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(144 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ were
added and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum and the crude material dissolved in THF ( 10 mL ). After filtering through Celite to remove sodium salts, the THF solvent was evaporated to give a yellow solid that was taken up in a 1:1 mixture of MeCN: MeOH. X-ray-quality crystals appeared after a few days. Yield $=111 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ $\left(M_{\mathrm{w}}=929.95 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right): \mathrm{C}, 63.29 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.48 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.52$. Found: C, 63.33; H, 7.40; N, 4.90\%. UV-Vis-NIR [ $\lambda_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ in DMF]: 1585 (5), 920 (3), 660 (sh), 580 (sh), 530 (sh). FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, solid): 2952 (s), 2902 (m), 2865 (m), 1626 (w), 1602 (m), 1568 (s, v[C=OOAc]). $\mu_{\text {eff }}=5.31 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}\left(\right.$ Evans method, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

### 2.12. $\left[\mathrm{NiZn}\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{OH})\right]\left(\mathrm{NiZn}^{\mathrm{OH}}\right)$

The $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ligand ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.434 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and three equivalents of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by addition of equimolar amounts of $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(159 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.434 mmol ) and $\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $\left.162 \mathrm{mg}, 0.434 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$. After stirring for one hour, one equivalent of $\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right) \mathrm{OH}(0.434 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in MeOH ) was injected, resulting in formation of a light brown precipitate. The solid was collected and washed with cold methanol to provide the crude product as a yellow powder. X-ray-quality crystals were grown by vapor diffusion of pentane into a concentrated 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) solution. Yield $=162 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{4} \mathrm{Zn}$-DCE ( $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}=929.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ): C, 60.76; H, 6.84; N, 4.52. Found: C, 60.50; H, 7.02; N, 4.92\%. UV-Vis [ $\lambda_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{nm}(\varepsilon$, $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) in DMF]: 848 (18), 510 (sh). FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, solid): 2949 (s), 2903 (m), 2864 (m), 1626 (m), 1601 (m), 1553 (w). $\mu_{\text {eff }}=1.43$ (solid state), $2.53 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ (Evans method, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

### 2.13. $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{1}\right)\left(\mu-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{NiNi}^{\mathrm{OMe}}\right)$

$\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(211 \mathrm{mg}, 0.578 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triethylamine ( $121 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.867 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a solution of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ( 200 mg , 0.29 mmol ) in 10 mL of MeOH . After one hour, a single equivalent of ( $\mathrm{NEt}_{4}$ )OH ( 0.29 mmol ) was added. The mixture was stirred overnight, eventually giving rise to a dark yellow precipitate. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the resulting solid was dissolved in THF. Insoluble salts were eliminated by filtration and the THF solvent was
removed to yield the crude brown product. X-ray-quality crystals were grown from a concentrated $1: 1$ solution of $\mathrm{MeCN}: \mathrm{MeOH}$.
Yield $=147 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$
( $M_{\mathrm{w}}=901.46 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ): C, 63.95; H, 7.72; N, 4.66. Found: C, 64.01; H, 7.68; N, 4.70\%. UV-vis [ $\lambda_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ in DMF]: 890 (20), 760 (25). FTIR (cm¹, solid): 2950 (s), 2902 (m), 2865 (m), 1634 (w), 1604 (m), 1573 (w). $\mu_{\text {eff }}=3.77 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ (Evans method, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

### 2.14. Crystallographic studies

X-ray diffraction (XRD) data were collected at 100 K with an Oxford Diffraction SuperNova kappa-diffractometer (Agilent Technologies) equipped with dual microfocus Cu/Mo X-ray sources, Xray mirror optics, Atlas CCD detector, and low-temperature Cryojet device. The data were processed with the CrysAlis Pro package of programs (Agilent Technologies, 2011), followed by an empirical multiscan correction using the SCALE3 ABSPACK routine. Structures were solved using the shelxs program and refined with shelxl ${ }^{31}$ in combination with the Olex2 crystallographic package. ${ }^{32}$ In most cases, hydrogen atoms were localized in difference syntheses of electron density but were refined using appropriate geometric restrictions of the corresponding bond lengths and bond angles within a riding/rotating model. X-ray crystallographic parameters are provided in Table 1 and experimental details are available in the corresponding CIFs.

Table 1. Summary of X-ray crystallographic data collection and structure refinement.

|  | $\mathrm{NiFe}^{\mathrm{OAc}} .4$ acetone | $\mathrm{NiCo}{ }^{\text {OAc }}$ | $\mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\text {OAc }}$ | NiZn ${ }^{\text {OAc }}$ | $\mathrm{CoCo}^{\text {OAc }}$ | NiZn ${ }^{\text {OH. }}$ DCE | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NiNi}^{\mathrm{OMe}} . \mathrm{M} \\ \text { eOHH} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{89} \mathrm{FeN}_{3} \\ & \mathrm{NiO}_{11} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{CoN}_{3} \\ & \mathrm{NiO}_{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{O}_{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Ni} \\ & \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Zn} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{~N} \\ & 3 \mathrm{O}_{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \\ & \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Zn} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{73} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{O}_{7} \end{aligned}$ |
| Formula weight | 1130.89 | 929.71 | 929.49 | 936.15 | 929.93 | 928.98 | 933.52 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1}$ | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{C}$ | P2 $1_{1 / C}$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | 10.3503(2) | $10.21448($ <br> 8) | 10.1841(1) | 10.2153(1) | 10.2249(2) | 14.4458(3) | 20.8266(6) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 20.2208(3) | 20.4809(2) | 20.5261(3) | 20.4672(3) | 20.6272(3) | 19.0800(2) | 13.0162(3) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 15.6385(3) | 22.7461(3) | 22.8062(4) | 22.7709(3) | 22.7256(4) | 17.1363(2) | 18.9697(4) |
| $a\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 107.257(2) | 97.7635(9) | 98.208(1) | 97.818(1) | 97.741(2) | 106.356(2) | 110.255(3) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 3125.7(1) | 4714.90(8) | 4718.6(1) | 4716.7(1) | 4749.0(1) | 4532.0(1) | 4824.4(2) |
| $z$ | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $D_{\text {calc }}\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | 1.202 | 1.310 | 1.308 | 1.318 | 1.301 | 1.362 | 1.285 |

[^2]NOT THE PUBLISHED VERSION; this is the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript. The published version may be accessed by following the link in the citation at the bottom of the page.

|  | $\mathrm{NiFe}^{\mathrm{OAC}} .4$ acetone | $\mathrm{NiCo}{ }^{\text {OAc }}$ | $\mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\text {OAc }}$ | NiZn ${ }^{\text {OAc }}$ | $\mathrm{CoCo}^{\text {OAc }}$ | NiZn ${ }^{\text {OH. }}$ DCE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NiNiome.m } \\ & \text { eOH } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda(\AA)$ | 0.7107 | 1.5418 | 0.7107 | 1.5418 | 0.7107 | 1.5418 | 1.5418 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.589 | 3.67 | 0.851 | 1.539 | 0.751 | 2.606 | 1.378 |
| $\theta$-Range ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 5.6-59.0 | 7.8-147.2 | 5.7-58.9 | 5.8-147.8 | 5.6-59.0 | 7.1-147.6 | 8.2-147.2 |
| Reflections collected | 36415 | 45296 | 40517 | 45332 | 38008 | 43809 | 45182 |
| Independent reflections ( $R_{\text {int }}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 14441 \\ & (0.0338) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9423 \\ & (0.0284) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11527 \\ & (0.0312) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9435 \\ & (0.0312) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11671 \\ & (0.0289) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9000 \\ & (0.0357) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9558 \\ & (0.0416) \end{aligned}$ |
| Data/restraints/para meters | $\begin{aligned} & 14441 / 7 / 71 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9423 / 0 / 57 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11527 / 0 / 5 \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9435 / 0 / 57 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11671 / 0 / 5 \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | 9000/5/559 | $\begin{aligned} & 9558 / 0 / 57 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Goodness-of-fit (GOF) on $F^{2}$ | 1.042 | 1.041 | 1.067 | 1.023 | 1.033 | 1.031 | 1.043 |
| $R_{1} / w R_{2}(I>2 \sigma(I))^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0359 / 0.0 \\ & 768 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0389 / 0.1 \\ & 097 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0383 / 0.0 \\ & 880 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0339 / 0.0 \\ & 924 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0365 / 0.0 \\ & 878 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0324 / 0.084 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0413 / 0.1 \\ & 137 \end{aligned}$ |
| $R_{1} / w R_{2}$ (all data) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0422 / 0.0 \\ & 813 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0430 / 0.1 \\ & 132 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0485 / 0.0 \\ & 936 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0392 / 0.0 \\ & 965 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0475 / 0.0 \\ & 946 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0375 / 0.088 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0482 / 0.1 \\ & 212 \end{aligned}$ |

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Ligand and complex syntheses

The novel $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ligand was prepared in four steps via the route shown in Scheme 3. As demonstrated by Belostotskaya et al. the Dufflike reaction of 2,4-di-tert-butylphenol with hexamethylenetetramine in $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ generates a benzoxazine intermediate that is converted to bis(3,5-di-tert-butyl-2-hydroxybenzyl)amine (II) in ethylene glycol and HCl . Bromomethylation of 5-methylsalicylaldehyde provided compound $\mathbf{I}$, which participated in a substitution reaction with II under basic conditions to generate III in 53\% yield. In the final step, the Schiff base and pyridyl donors of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}$ were incorporated by condensation of 2-picolylamine with the aldehyde moiety of III.


Scheme 3.

The homo- and heterobimetallic complexes $\mathbf{N i M}_{\mathbf{B}}{ }^{\mathbf{0 A c}}$ (Scheme 2) were prepared by mixing equimolar amounts of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}, \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the appropriate $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ salt in $\mathrm{MeOH}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}\right.$, or Zn ).

[^3]Three equivalents of base ( NaOMe ) were required to deprotonate the phenolic groups of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}$. The resulting complexes are soluble in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and most polar aprotic solvents, but only sparingly soluble in MeOH . High-quality crystals were generally obtained from solvent mixtures involving MeOH and either $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or MeCN ; the single exception was complex $\mathbf{N i F e}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$, which was crystallized from a $1: 1$ combination of acetone and MeCN.

Similar reaction conditions were used to generate the $\mu-\mathrm{OH}$ complex, $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O H}}$, with three crucial differences: (i) the $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ions were added as perchlorate salts, (ii) triethylamine $\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right)$ was used to deprotonate $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}$, and (ii) a single equivalent of $\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right) \mathrm{OH}$ was added to supply the bridging ligand and induce precipitation. X-ray quality crystals of $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O H}}$ were obtained by vapor diffusion of pentane into a concentrated DCE solution. The same approach was used in our attempts to prepare the corresponding dinickel(II) complex (i.e., $\mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\mathbf{O H}}$ ), yet we were not able to grow suitable crystals from mixtures of DCE (or DCM) and pentane. X-ray quality crystals were obtained, however, from a mixture of MeCN and MeOH . The resulting structure (vide infra) revealed that the $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ centers are bridged by a solvent-derived methoxide ligand instead of the intended hydroxide group, giving rise to complex $\mathbf{N i N i}^{\text {OMe }}$. This result indicates that the $\mu$ OH ligand of $\mathbf{N i M}_{\mathbf{B}}{ }^{\mathbf{0 H}}$ is readily exchanged with ${ }^{-} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ in methanolic solution.

### 3.2. Crystallographic studies

Solid-state structures of the homo- and heterobimetallic complexes were collected using X-ray diffraction. Details concerning the crystallographic experiments and structure refinements are provided in Table 1. Complexes NiCo ${ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}, \mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$, and $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ yield quasi-isomorphous crystals in the monoclinic $P 2_{1} / n$ space group, whereas complex NiFe ${ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ crystallizes in the non-centrosymmetric $P 2_{1}$ group. The structure of $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{0 A c}}$, shown in Fig. 1, is representative of the entire series. In each case, the trianionic $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{3-}$ ligand supports a bimetallic core in which the metal centers are bridged by two donors: the central phenolate of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{3-}$ (O1) and a $\mu-1,1$-acetate group (O4). The complexes are neutral overall due to the presence of the acetate ligand. As intended, the $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ center occupies the "tetragonal" position
(site A) defined by the Schiff-base (N2) and pyridyl (N3) donors in addition to the two bridging groups. Two solvent-derived ligands, either MeOH or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, bind to Ni in a trans orientation. The $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ ion is located in the "tripodal" five-coordinate position (site B) attached to the amino donor (N1) and two terminal phenolates (O2 and O3).


Fig. 1. Thermal ellipsoid plots (50\% probability) derived from the X-ray structure of NiZn ${ }^{\text {OAc. }}$. Most hydrogen atoms and the tert-butyl substituents of the terminal phenolate donors have been removed for clarity.

Metal ion assignments were established by comparing $R$-factors and thermal parameters for the four possible configurations (two homobimetallic and two heterobimetallic). For complexes NiFe ${ }^{\mathbf{0 A c}}$ and $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{0 A c}}$, the experimental data strongly support the metal ion identities and positions indicated in Scheme 2; however, in the case of
$\mathbf{N i C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$, replacement of Co for Ni (or vice versa) causes little change in $R$-factor. We therefore synthesized the analogous dicobalt(II) complex, $\mathbf{C o C o}^{\text {OAc. Table }} 2$ provides a quantitative comparison of bond distances and angles in the $\mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}, \mathbf{C o C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$, and $\mathbf{N i C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ structures. The three complexes have identical ligand sets and quasi-isomorphous unit cells; thus, any structural differences must be due to the presence of different metal ions in the $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ sites. The $\mathbf{B}$-site metric parameters in the $\mathbf{C o C o}^{\mathbf{0 A c}}$ structure are nearly identical to those observed for $\mathbf{N i C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$, with root-mean-square (rms) deviations of merely $0.007 \AA$ and $0.36^{\circ}$ for metal-ligand bond distances and angles, respectively. In contrast, the $\mathbf{B}$-sites in the $\mathbf{N i N i}^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ and $\mathbf{N i C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ structures are significantly different (Table 2); in particular, the Ni2N 1 and $\mathrm{Ni} 2-\mathrm{O} 4$ distances are shorter in $\mathbf{N i N i}^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ by $\sim 0.06 \AA$, and the $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}-\mathrm{O} 2$ and $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}-\mathrm{O} 3$ angles shift by $\sim 5^{\circ}$. Thus, it is clear that the $\mathbf{B}$-site in $\mathbf{N i C o}^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ is occupied by $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}$. For site $\mathbf{A}$, the $\mathbf{N i C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ structure more closely matches $\mathbf{N i N i}^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ than $\mathbf{C o C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ with respect to both bond lengths and angles (Table 2). We are confident, therefore, that the $\mathbf{N i C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ structure corresponds to a heterobimetallic complex with $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ in site $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}$ in site $\mathbf{B}$.

Table 2. Root-mean-square Deviations in Metal-Ligand Bond Distances ( $\AA$ )
 $\mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ Structures.

|  | rms deviation from NiCo ${ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ structure |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Site A | Site B |
| $\mathbf{N i N i}^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ | bond distances $(\AA)$ | 0.0140 | 0.0400 |
|  | bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 1.14 | 2.54 |
| $\mathbf{C o C o}^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ | bond distances $(\AA)$ | 0.0349 | 0.0066 |
|  | bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 1.58 | 0.36 |

As shown in Table 3, metric parameters for structures in the $\mathbf{N i M}_{\mathbf{B}}{ }^{\mathbf{0 A c}}$ series exhibit little variation across the series. The presence of two monoatomic bridges results in short $\mathrm{Ni}_{\mathrm{A}} \cdots \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}$ distances of $3.12 \pm 0.02 \AA$ and average bridge angles ( $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{M}^{B}$ ) of $97^{\circ}$. The $\mathrm{Ni} \cdots \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}$ distance is also reduced by the "puckering" of the [ $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O}(1 / 4)-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ] diamond core, which deviates from planarity by nearly $19^{\circ}$. Each axial solvent ligand serves as an intramolecular hydrogen bond (H-bond) donor: one to the terminal phenolate group (O3), the other to the noncoordinating carbonyl moiety (O5) of acetate (Fig. 1). These interactions are facilitated by the aforementioned puckering of the
central core, which tilts the phenolate acceptor (O3) towards the H6O 6 donor of the proximal MeOH ( or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ).

Table 3. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{N i M}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{O A c}$ complexes ( $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Zn}$ ) and $\mathbf{N i N i}^{\mathbf{O M e}}$ measured with X-ray diffraction.

|  | $\mathrm{NiFe}{ }^{\text {OAC }}$ | $\mathrm{NiCo}{ }^{\text {Oac }}$ | NiNiosc | NiZn ${ }^{\text {OAc }}$ | $\mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\text {OMe }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bond distances |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(1) \cdots \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)$ | 3.1165(4) | 3.0960(5) | 3.1210(3) | 3.1329(4) | 3.0744(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 1.976(2) | 1.981(2) | 1.979(2) | 1.976(2) | 2.014(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 2.072(2) | 2.058(2) | 2.053(2) | 2.055(2) | 2.085(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2.030(2) | 2.032(1) | 2.007(1) | 2.025(1) | 2.030(1) |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 2.042(2) | 2.031(2) | 2.047(1) | 2.037(1) | 1.977(1) |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 2.117(2) | 2.116(2) | 2.100(1) | 2.107(1) | 2.124(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | 2.119(2) | 2.129(2) | 2.134(2) | 2.130(1) | 2.128(1) |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 2.154(2) | 2.094(2) | 2.035(2) | 2.095(1) | 2.072(2) |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2.116(2) | 2.054(2) | 2.070(1) | 2.108(1) | 2.059(1) |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1.943(2) | 1.940(1) | 1.945(1) | 1.933(1) | 2.001(1) |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 1.983(2) | 1.950(1) | 1.959(1) | 1.959(1) | 1.999(1) |
| $\mathrm{MB}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 2.196(2) | 2.199(1) | 2.134(1) | 2.174(1) | 1.980(1) |
| Bond-angles |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)$ | 97.46(6) | 98.53(6) | 99.90(6) | 98.57(5) | 97.50(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)$ | 94.62(6) | 94.02(6) | 96.55(6) | 96.07(5) | 101.97(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 126.06(6) | 129.00(6) | 134.74(6) | 129.11(5) | 104.14(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 109.43(6) | 110.52(6) | 105.88(6) | 108.73(5) | 136.31(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{MB}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 78.36(6) | 78.48(5) | 77.38(5) | 77.02(5) | 77.76(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{MB}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 88.03(6) | 90.34(6) | 90.05(6) | 89.06(5) | 89.21(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 124.44(7) | 119.85(6) | 118.29(6) | 121.23(5) | 119.26(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 97.42(6) | 95.17(5) | 95.03(5) | 95.33(5) | 92.65(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{MB}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 93.72(6) | 95.15(6) | 96.63(6) | 96.83(5) | 92.66(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{MB}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 90.50(6) | 87.96(6) | 86.73(5) | 87.31(5) | 94.56(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 90.38(6) | 92.12(6) | 93.09(6) | 93.22(5) | 93.39(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 165.84(6) | 168.05(6) | 166.84(6) | 165.43(5) | 166.78(6) |
| $T$-value ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 0.51 |

${ }^{\text {aF For }}$ a definition of the $\tau$-value, see Ref. ${ }^{26}$ Five-coordinate complexes with ideal square-pyramidal geometries have $\tau$-values of 0.0 , and those with ideal trigonalbipyramidal geometries have values of 1.0.

In the $\mathbf{N i M}_{\mathbf{B}}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ series, the $\mathrm{Ni}_{A^{I I}}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ center exists in a distorted octahedral environment with trans angles of $174 \pm 3^{\circ}$ and cis angles ranging between $82^{\circ}$ and $98^{\circ}$. The average nickel-ligand bond distance is $2.03 \AA$ in the equatorial plane and $2.12 \AA$ in the axial direction, consistent with the presence of a high-spin ( $S=1$ ) center. This conclusion is corroborated by the solid-state magnetic moment ( $\mu_{\text {eff }}$ ) of $2.69 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ measured for $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{0 A c}}$. The coordination geometries of the $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}$ centers are best described as trigonal-bipyramidal, as indicated by $\tau$ -

[^4]values greater than 0.50 ( $\tau=0$ for an ideal square-pyramid and 1 for an ideal trigonal bipyramid; Table 3). ${ }^{33}$ The amino (N1) and acetate (O4) donors occupy the axial positions, while the phenolate donors (O1-O3) constitute the equatorial plane. For each complex, the $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}-\mathrm{L}$ distances display considerable variability, ranging from 1.96(3) $\AA$ for the terminal phenolates to 2.17(4) $\AA$ for the axial $M_{B}-O 4$ bond. The average $M_{B}-L$ distance of $\sim 2.05 \AA$, however, suggests that each $M_{B}{ }^{\text {II }}$ ion in the $\mathbf{N i M}_{\mathbf{B}}{ }^{\mathbf{0 A c}}$ series is high-spin. Indeed, the magnetic moment of $4.51 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ measured for solid $\mathbf{N i C o}{ }^{\mathbf{A A c}}$ is close to the spin-only value of $4.80 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ expected for a molecule with uncoupled $S=1$ and $S=3 / 2$ centers.

The X-ray structure of $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{0 H}}$, shown in Fig. 2, reveals a heterobimetallic $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mu-\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{Zn}\right.$ ] core supported by the $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{3-}$ chelate. The $\mu$-OH ligand forms an intramolecular H -bond with the O 3 atom of a terminal phenolate donor. While the $\mathrm{ZnNO}_{4}$ units in $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O H}}$ and $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ display similar metric parameters, the geometries of the $\mathrm{Ni}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ sites are quite different in the two structures. Since crystals of $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O H}}$ were obtained from DCE/pentane, this structure lacks the solventderived ligands found in the $\mathbf{N i M}_{\mathbf{B}}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ series. The $\mathrm{Ni}_{\mathrm{A}}$ center of $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O H}}$ adopts a four-coordinate geometry with $\mathrm{Ni}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}$ bond lengths of $1.85 \pm 0.02 \AA$ - approximately $0.17 \AA$ shorter than the corresponding distances in the $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{0 A c}}$ structure. These parameters suggest that the $\mathrm{Ni}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ center in $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O H}}$ is low-spin $(S=0)$, consistent with its squareplanar coordination environment. However, the magnetic moment of $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O H}}$ powder is $1.43 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ at room temperature, nearly half-way between the spin-only limits of $0.0(S=0)$ and $2.83 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}(S=1)$. Thus, it appears that a mixture of high- and low-spin $\mathrm{Ni}_{A}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ centers exist in the solid state. Regardless, in the $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O H}}$ structure, the short $\mathrm{Ni}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{O}(1 / 4)$ distances bring the two metal centers closer together, resulting in a $\mathrm{Ni}_{\mathrm{A}} \cdots \mathrm{Zn}_{\mathrm{B}}$ distance of slightly less than $3.0 \AA$.


Fig. 2. Thermal ellipsoid plot ( $50 \%$ probability) derived from the $X$-ray structure of $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O H}}$.DCE. The non-coordinating DCE molecule, most hydrogen atoms, and the tertbutyl substituents of the terminal phenolate donors have been removed for clarity. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ): Ni1 $\cdots$ Zn1 $2.9967(4), \mathrm{Ni} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ 1.844(1), Ni-1O4 1.859(1), Ni1-N2 1.841(2), Ni1-N3 1.870(2), Zn1-O1 2.127(1), Zn1-O2 1.950(1), Zn1-O3 1.964(1), Zn1-O4 2.054(1), Zn1-N1 2.138(1).

As noted above, our attempts to isolate the dinickel(II) analog of $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O H}}$ were unsuccessful; however, X-ray quality crystals of $\mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\mathbf{O M e}}$ can be grown by dissolving the putative $\mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\mathbf{O H}}$ complex in a mixture of MeOH and MeCN . In the resulting structure, the second bridging position is occupied by methoxide (Scheme 2), but otherwise the geometry of $\mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\mathbf{O M e}}$ is quite similar to that of $\mathbf{N i N i O A c}$ (Fig. S1). In both cases, the binding of solvent-derived MeOH ligands gives rise to a six-coordinate, high-spin $\mathrm{Ni}_{A}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ center. A comparison of metric parameters reveals only minor differences between the two structures, although the $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{OMe}$ bond distances are noticeably shorter than the $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{OAc}$ distances (Table 3). Interestingly, whereas the $\mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ structure features two intramolecular H -bonds, the replacement of acetate with methoxide forces one of the MeOH ligands to form an intermolecular H -bond with an outersphere MeOH molecule. This solvate, in turn, serves as a H-bond donor to the phenolate moiety of a second $\mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\mathbf{M e}}$ complex (Fig. S1).

### 3.3. Magnetic, Spectroscopic, and Electrochemical Properties in Solution

The magnetic moments of the $\mathbf{N i M}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{x}$ complexes in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ solutions were determined using the Evans NMR method. The NiZn ${ }^{\mathbf{x}}$ complexes exhibit $\mu_{\text {eff }}$ values of $2.67(X=O A c)$ and $2.53 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}(X=O H)$, characteristic of $S=1$ complexes. Thus, in contrast to its solid-state structure, the $\mathrm{Ni}^{\text {II }}$ center in $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O H}}$ is unambiguously high-spin in solution, even in a non-coordinating solvent like $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. For complexes with two paramagnetic centers, the following values were measured: $\mu_{\text {eff }}=3.82\left(\mathbf{N i N i}^{\mathbf{O A c}}\right), 3.77\left(\mathbf{N i N i}^{\mathbf{O M e}}\right), 4.72\left(\mathbf{N i C o}^{\mathbf{O A c}}\right)$, and $5.02 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ ( $\mathbf{N i F e}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ ). These experimental magnetic moments are slightly lower than the theoretical spin-only values expected for uncoupled paramagnets, indicating the presence of weak magnetic interactions between the metal centers.

UV-Vis absorption spectra of the reported $\mathbf{N i M}_{\mathbf{B}}{ }^{\mathbf{x}}$ complexes were measured in DMF at room temperature; select data are shown in Fig. 3. Typical for complexes with high-spin $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{iI}}$ centers, the $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{0 A c}}$ spectrum displays two bands in the visible region at 870 and 575 nm ( $\varepsilon=8 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) arising from the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2 g} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}_{2 g}$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2 g} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}_{1 g}(\mathrm{~F})$ transitions, respectively. ${ }^{34}$ In addition, the spin-forbidden ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2 g} \rightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{E}_{g}$ transition is evident at 750 nm . Complex $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O H}}$ exhibits ligand-field transitions at similar energies, although the higher-energy band is obscured by features attributed to $\mu-\mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ charge transfer (CT) transitions. Analysis of transition energies in the NiZn ${ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ spectrum yields a ligand-field splitting ( $\Delta_{0}$ ) of $11500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and Racah parameters of $B=730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $C=3870 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (the free ion $B$-value is $1030 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). These parameters are characteristic of six-coordinate $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ions with partial pyridyl coordination. ${ }^{34}$ In the $\mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ spectrum, an "extra" ligand-field band arising from the second $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ center is apparent at 740 nm (Fig. 3(a)).


Fig. 3. Electronic absorption spectra of select $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{x}$ complexes measured at room temperature in DMF. The baselines of the $\mathbf{N i N i} \mathbf{i A c}$ and $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O H}}$ spectra were shifted upward slightly to enhance clarity. The modified spectra shown in the inset of (b) were obtained via electronic subtraction of the broad absorption feature that trails into the visible region.

As shown in Fig. 3(b), both NiCo ${ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ and $\mathbf{C o C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ exhibit two bands in the near infrared (NIR) region with $\lambda_{\max }=\sim 1600$ and 1050 nm . As the $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{x}}$ spectra are featureless in this region, these band must arise from the $\mathrm{Co}^{\text {II }}$ center in the five-coordinate $\mathbf{B}$-site. In addition, multiple $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}$-derived features appear as shoulders in the 500-650 nm region of the $\mathbf{N i C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ and $\mathbf{C o C o}^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ spectra. These peaks are clearly evident in the inset of Fig. 3(b), where the broad absorption manifold that trails into the visible region has been removed (electronically) to provide a level baseline. ${ }^{1}$ In order to assign these features, we have built upon the ligand-field approach developed by Ciampolini et al. in their seminal study of $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ions in trigonal bipyramidal complexes. ${ }^{35}$ Assuming approximate $D_{3 n}$ geometry, the $3 d$ orbitals split into three levels: $\mathrm{E}^{\prime \prime}\left(d_{\mathrm{xz}}, d_{\mathrm{yz}}\right), \mathrm{E}^{\prime}\left(d_{\mathrm{xy}}, d_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{2}-{ }^{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{A}_{1}{ }^{\prime}\left(d_{\mathrm{z}}{ }^{2}\right)$, listed in order of increasing energy. The conversion from $O_{h}$ to pseudo$D_{3 h}$ symmetry causes the $T_{1 g}$ and $T_{2 g}$ states to split into two components, as shown in Fig. 4. The ground state term symbol for high-spin $d^{7}$ ions is ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{2}$. Using this scheme, the band near 1600 nm ( $6250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) can be assigned to the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{E}$ " $(F)$ transition that, in the strong field limit, corresponds to promotion of an $\mathrm{E}^{\prime \prime}\left(d_{\mathrm{xz}}, d_{\mathrm{yz}}\right)$ electron to the $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}\left(d_{x y}, d_{x}^{2}-y^{2}\right)$ orbitals. The band at 1050 nm then arises from the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{E}^{\prime}(F)$ transition, and the two peaks at 640 and 580 nm spectrum are assigned to ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{2}{ }^{\prime}(P)$ and ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{E}^{\prime \prime}(P)$ transitions, respectively (these features appear in both spectra at roughly the same wavelengths). The weak peak at 610 nm in the $\mathbf{N i C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ spectrum is tentatively assigned to the spin-forbidden

[^5]${ }^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \rightarrow^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{1}{ }^{\prime}(\mathrm{G})$ transition, which gains intensity from the nearby spinallowed transitions.


Fig. 4. Energy level diagram indicating the ligand-field states for a high-spin $d^{7}$ ion in three coordination environments: free ion, octahedral ( $O_{h}$ ), and trigonal-bipyramidal $\left(D_{3 h}\right)$. The experimentally-determined transition energies for the $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ion in $\mathbf{N i C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ are provided in wavenumbers $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. Partially adapted from reference 27 . Not to scale.

Analysis of the $\mathbf{C o C o}^{\mathbf{0 A c}}$ spectrum is more complex due to the presence of a second $\mathrm{Co}^{\text {II }}$ center in the $\mathbf{A}$-site. Based on spectra reported for six-coordinate high-spin Co ${ }^{\text {II }}$ complexes, ${ }^{34}$ the prominent band at 530 nm ( $18870 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) is assigned to the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~T}_{19}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{~T}_{19}(\mathrm{P})$ transition, while the corresponding ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~T}_{19}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{~T}_{29}(\mathrm{~F})$ transition (generally quite weak) is likely part of the broad absorption manifold centered at 1000 nm (Fig. 3(b)). Interestingly, the NiCo ${ }^{\mathbf{A A c}}$ spectrum also exhibits a band at 530 nm , although its intensity is much lower. This result suggests that our NiCo ${ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ sample is contaminated with a small amount of $\mathbf{C o C o}^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ - a possibility previously considered in our analysis of the XRD data (vide supra).

The electrochemical properties of representative $\mathbf{M}_{A} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}}{ }^{\mathbf{O A C}}$ complexes were examined by voltammetric methods in DMF solutions with $0.1 \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right) \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ as the supporting electrolyte and scan rates of $100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$. As shown in Fig. 5, the cyclic voltammogram (CV) of
$\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\text {OAc }}$ displays a quasi-reversible redox couple at -1.17 V ( $\Delta E=0.20 \mathrm{~V}$ ) that corresponds to one-electron reduction of the $\mathrm{Ni}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ center (all potentials are relative to SCE). The CV's of NiNiOAc and $\mathbf{N i C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ also feature a cathodic wave at -1.17 V due to the Ni ion in the $\mathbf{A}$-site, but a second peak from the redox-active $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ ion is also apparent at more negative potentials ( -1.36 V for $\mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ and 1.45 V for $\mathbf{N i C o}{ }^{\mathbf{A A c}}$ ). These redox event are very evident in the corresponding square-wave voltammograms (SWVs), represented by the dotted lines in Fig. 5. The $\mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\mathbf{A c}}$ data indicate that the $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+/+}$ potential is $\sim 0.20 \mathrm{~V}$ lower in the B-site than the A-site, on account of the two terminal phenolate donors in the former. Interestingly, the CV and SWV data for $\mathbf{C o C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ exhibit a single cathodic feature at -1.44 V with approximately twice the intensity of those observed for $\mathbf{N i C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$, suggesting that the two $\mathrm{Co}^{\text {II }}$ ions are reduced at nearly identical potentials. The $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ complexes are also susceptible to irreversible oxidations, as indicated by the electrochemical data in Fig. S2; however, these anodic features are less well-defined than their cathodic counterparts, especially in the case of NiZn ${ }^{\text {OAc }}$. Complexes containing a redox-active $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ion- $\mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}, \mathbf{N i C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$, and $\mathbf{C o C o}^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ each display an irreversible peak near 0.30 V that is assigned to oneelectron oxidation of the $\mathrm{MB}_{\mathrm{BI}}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ center. An additional irreversible feature is evident near 0.75 V that, based on literature precedents, $36 ; 37 ; 38$ likely arises from oxidation of one (or more) of the phenolate groups in $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{3-}$ to give a phenoxyl radical species.


Fig. 5. Cyclic voltammograms (solid lines) of $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}, \mathbf{N i N i O A c}, \mathbf{N i C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$, and $\mathbf{C o C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ collected in DMF with $0.1 \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right) \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ as the supporting electrolyte and scan rates of $100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$. The corresponding square-wave voltammograms are indicated by the dashed lines. In all cases the voltammogram was initiated by the cathodic sweep. The solution concentrations were 2.0 mM .

## 4. Conclusions

In this manuscript, we have described the synthesis and coordination chemistry of a new unsymmetric ligand ( $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) designed to support heterobimetallic structures. The Schiff-base and pyridyl donors of the tetragonal $\mathbf{A}$-site favor $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ binding, while the phenolate donors of the tripodal $\mathbf{B}$-site are capable of coordinating various $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ions ( $M=\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Zn}$ ). In X-ray crystal structures of the resulting $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}}{ }^{\mathbf{X}}$ complexes, the two $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}}$ centers are bridged by the central phenolate donor of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{3-}$ and an $X$ anion, where $X=\mu-1,1-\mathrm{OAc},-\mathrm{OH}$, or $-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$. With the exception of the $\mathrm{Ni}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ center in the solid-state structure of $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O H}}$, the $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ions in both coordination sites are highspin, as determined by detailed analyses of structural parameters, UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectra, and magnetic susceptibility measurements. The $\mathrm{Ni}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ centers undergo one-electron reduction at

[^6]-1.17 V (versus SCE ), while the $\mathrm{Ni}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{Co}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ ions are reduced at lower potentials.

As stated in the Introduction, our efforts were inspired by the active site of CODH, which utilizes a NiFe1 unit and a nearby $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right]$ cluster to reversibly reduce $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The bimetallic complexes described here reproduce several key features of the $\mathrm{Ni}-$ Fe1 fragment in CODH. Similar to enzymatic active site, the $\mathbf{N i M}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{x}$ complexes position a redox-active $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ center in close proximity to a second transition metal; indeed, the $\mathrm{Ni}_{A} \cdots \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}$ distances of 3.03.1 $\AA$ observed in the $\mathbf{N i M}_{\mathbf{B}}{ }^{\mathbf{x}}$ series are close to the average NiFe1 distance of $\sim 2.8 \AA$ found in CODH. ${ }^{8}$ It is our intention that the $M^{B}$ ion will facilitate $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ activation by serving as a Lewis acid and (perhaps) a source of reducing equivalents; thus, the $\mathbf{B}$-site contains three phenolate anions to depress the $M_{B}$ redox potential. The Ni center in CODH has three "permanent" ligands: the thiolate of Cys526 and two sulfides from the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right.$ ] cluster (Scheme 1). Likewise, the $\mathrm{Ni}_{A}{ }^{\mathrm{II}}$ centers are bound to only three $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$-derived donors, thereby providing three exchangeable coordination sites in a meridional arrangement. The presence of cis-labile sites is expected to be advantageous for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ binding and activation, since studies of CODH suggest that the energetic barrier to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond cleavage (typically the rate-determining step ${ }^{39}$ ) is lowered if the resulting hydroxide is able to adopt a bridging position between two metal centers. ${ }^{9 ; 10}$ Given these promising structural features, we are currently exploring the ability of the $\mathbf{N i M}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{x}$ series to serve as electrocatalysts for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reduction. We also plan to incorporate redox-active donors into the $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ framework; such moieties could serve as electron reservoirs in multi-electron processes, similar to the role of the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right]$ cluster in the catalytic cycle of CODH.
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## Appendix A. Supplementary material

## checkCIF/PLATON report

You have not supplied any structure factors. As a result the full set of tests cannot be run.
THIS REPORT IS FOR GUIDANCE ONLY. IF USED AS PART OF A REVIEW PROCEDURE FOR PUBLICATION, IT SHOULD NOT REPLACE THE EXPERTISE OF AN EXPERIENCED CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC REFEREE.

No syntax errors found. CIF dictionary Interpreting this report

## Datablock: NiFe-OAc



The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format test-name_ALERT_alert-type_alert-level.
Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

## Alert level B

Crystal system given $=$ monoclinic
PLAT201_ALERT_2_B Isotropic non-H Atoms in Main Residue(s) ....... 3 Why ?


[^7]
## Datablock: CoNi-OAc

$$
\text { Bond precision: } \quad C-C=0.0032 \mathrm{~A} \quad \text { Wavelength=1.54184 }
$$



Correction method= GAUSSIAN

Data completeness $=0.990 \quad$ Theta (max) $=73.580$
$R($ reflections $)=0.0389(8638) \quad w R 2$ (reflections) $=0.1132($
9423)
$S=1.041 \quad$ Npar $=$ Npar $=574$

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format
test-name_ALERT_alert-type_alert-level.
Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

Alert level C
PLAT413_ALERT_2_C Short Inter XH3 . . XHn H7C .. H43C .. 2.14 Ang.


| 07 -NI1 -06 | -C48 | 154.10 | 0.50 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete | 1-2-3 | or 2-3-4 | Linear | Torsion | Angle | \# | 105 | Do |
| N2 -NI1 -04 | -CO1 | -58.10 | 0.70 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |  |
| PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete | 1-2-3 | or 2-3-4 | Linear | Torsion | Angle | \# | 106 | Do |
| N2 -NI1 -O4 | -C46 | 92.20 | 0.70 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |  |
| PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete | 1-2-3 | or 2-3-4 | Linear | Torsion | Angle | \# | 113 | Do |
| N3 -NI1 -01 | -CO1 | -139.90 | 0.70 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |  |
| PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete | 1-2-3 | or 2-3-4 | Linear | Torsion | Angle |  | 114 | Do |
| N3 -NI1 -O1 | -C1 | 13.40 | 0.80 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |  |

[^8]
## Datablock: NiNi-OAc

| Bond precision: | $C-C=0.0030 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cell: | $\mathrm{a}=20.5261(3)$ |
| Temperature: | $\mathrm{alpha}=98.2084(13)$  <br>  100 K |

Wavelength=0.71073
$\mathrm{b}=22.8062(4) \quad \mathrm{C}=90$
beta=90 gamma=

Reported
4718.56(12)

P $121 / n 1$
-P $2 y n$
C49 H69 N3 Ni2 O7
C49 H69 N3 Ni2 O7
929.49
1.308

4
0.851
1984.0

13,26,30
11527
$0.802,0.903$

Correction method= GAUSSIAN

Data completeness $=0.879 \quad$ Theta (max) $=29.470$

```
S = 1.067 Npar= Npar = 574
```

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format

## test-name_ALERT_alert-type_alert-level.

Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

Alert level C
PLAT413_ALERT_2_C Short Inter XH3 .. XHn H7C .. H43C .. 2.13 Ang.

## Alert level G

PLAT005_ALERT_5_G No _iucr_refine_instructions_details in the CIF Please Do ! PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete 1-2-3 or 2-3-4 Linear Torsion Angle ... \# 27 Do !

| 01 | $-N I 1$ | $-N 3$ | $-C 11$ | -35.40 | 0.70 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete 1-2-3 or 2-3-4 Linear Torsion Angle ... \# 28 Do !

| O1 | -NI 1 | -N 3 | -C 15 | 143.20 | 0.60 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete 1-2-3 or 2-3-4 Linear Torsion Angle ... \# 64 Do !


| 132 |
| :---: |
|  |  |

PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete 1-2-3 or 2-3-4 Linear Torsion Angle ... \# 79 Do !
PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete 1-2-3 or 2-3-4 Linear Torsion Angle ... \# 88 Do !

| 07 | $-N I 1$ | -06 | C48 | 154.00 | 0.40 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete 1-2-3 or 2-3-4 Linear Torsion Angle ... \# 105 Do !

- NI2 $\quad-56.40 \quad 0.40 \quad 1.555 \quad 1.555 \quad 1.555 \quad 1.555$
PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete 1-2-3 or 2-3-4 Linear Torsion Angle ... \# 106 Do !
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { N2 } & -N I 1 & -04 & -\mathrm{C} 46 & 98.90 & 0.40 & 1.555 & 1.555 & 1.555 \\ 1.555\end{array}$
PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete 1-2-3 or 2-3-4 Linear Torsion Angle ... \# 113 Do !
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { N3 } & -N I 1 & -01 & -N I 2 & -137.70 & 0.60 & 1.555 & 1.555 & 1.555\end{array} \quad 1.555$
PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete 1-2-3 or 2-3-4 Linear Torsion Angle ... \# 114 Do !
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { N3 } & \text {-NI1 } & -01 & -\mathrm{C} 1 & 21.20 & 0.70 & 1.555 & 1.555 & 1.555\end{array} 1.555$
PLAT951_ALERT_5_G Reported and Calculated Kmax Values Differ by .. 2

```
    ALERT level A = Most likely a serious problem - resolve or explain
    O ALERT level B = A potentially serious problem, consider carefully
    ALERT level C = Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight
    12 ALERT level G = General information/check it is not something unexpected
    O ALERT type 1 CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data
    1 ALERT type 2 Indicator that the structure model may be wrong or deficient
    O ALERT type 3 Indicator that the structure quality may be low
    10 ALERT type 4 Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion
    2 ALERT type 5 Informative message, check
```


## Datablock: NiZn-OAc

| Cell: | $a=20.4672$ (3) | $\mathrm{b}=22.7709$ (3) | $\mathrm{C}=$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | alpha=97.8176(12) | bet $\mathrm{a}=90$ | gan |
| Temperature: | 100 K |  |  |
|  | Calculated | Reported |  |
| Volume | 4716.66(11) | 4716.66(11) |  |
| Space group | P 21/n | P $121 / \mathrm{n} 1$ |  |
| Hall group | -P 2yn | -P 2yn |  |
| Moiety formula | C49 H69 N3 Ni 07 Zn | C49 H69 N3 Ni O7 | Zn |
| Sum formula | C49 H69 N3 Ni 07 Zn | C49 H69 N3 Ni O7 | Zn |
| Mr | 936.15 | 936.15 |  |
| Dx, $9 \mathrm{~cm}-3$ | 1.318 | 1.318 |  |
| Z | 4 | 4 |  |
| Mu (mm-1) | 1.539 | 1.539 |  |
| F000 | 1992.0 | 1992.0 |  |
| F000' | 1978.74 |  |  |
| h, k, lmax | 12,25,28 | 12,24,28 |  |
| Nref | 9565 | 9435 |  |
| Tmin, Tmax | $0.774,0.846$ | $0.770,0.867$ |  |
| Tmin' | 0.692 |  |  |

Correction method= GAUSSIAN

Data completeness $=0.986 \quad$ Theta $(\max )=73.880$
$R($ reflections $)=0.0339(8397) \quad w R 2($ reflections $)=0.0965(9435)$
$S=1.023 \quad$ Npar $=$ Npar $=574$

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format
test-name_ALERT_alert-type_alert-level.
Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

## Alert level B

Crystal system given $=$ monoclinic
PLAT230_ALERT_2_B Hirshfeld Test Diff for C46 -- C47 .. 11.5 su

Alert level C
PLAT220_ALERT_2_C Large Non-Solvent C Ueq(max)/Ueq(min) Range 3.1 Ratio


| O4 -NI1 -N2 | -C10 | -130.40 | 0.50 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete | 1-2-3 | or 2-3-4 | Linear | Torsion | Angle | \# | 77 | Do |
| 06 -NI1 -07 | -C49 | 147.60 | 0.40 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |  |
| PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete | 1-2-3 | or 2-3-4 | Linear | Torsion | Angle | \# | 86 | Do |
| 07 -NI1 -06 | -C48 | 152.10 | 0.40 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |  |
| PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete | 1-2-3 | or 2-3-4 | Linear | Torsion | Angle | \# | 105 | Do |
| N2 -NI1 -O4 | -ZN1 | -59.00 | 0.50 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |  |
| PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete | 1-2-3 | or 2-3-4 | Linear | Torsio | Angle | \# | 106 | Do |
| N2 -NI1 -O4 | -C46 | 92.00 | 0.50 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |  |
| PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete | 1-2-3 | or 2-3-4 | Linear | Torsion | Angle |  | 113 | Do |
| N3 -NI1 -O1 | -ZN1 | -141.10 | 0.60 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |  |
| PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete | 1-2-3 | or 2-3-4 | Linear | Torsion | Angle |  | 114 | Do |
| N3 -NI1 -O1 | -C3 | 11.40 | 0.70 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |  |

[^9]
## Datablock: CoCo-OAc

| Bond precision: | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=0.0027 \mathrm{~A}$ | Wavelength=0.71073 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cell: | $a=20.6272$ (3) | $\mathrm{b}=22.7256$ (4) | $\mathrm{C}=90$ |
|  | alpha=97.7409(17) | bet $\mathrm{a}=90$ | gamma= |
| Temperature: | 100 K |  |  |
|  | Calculated | Reported |  |
| Volume | 4748.98(13) | 4748.98(14) |  |
| Space group | P 21/n | P $121 / \mathrm{n} 1$ |  |
| Hall group | -P 2yn | -P 2yn |  |
| Moiety formula | C49 H69 Co2 N3 O7 | C49 H69 Co2 N3 O7 |  |
| Sum formula | C49 H69 Co2 N3 O7 | C49 H69 Co2 N3 O7 |  |
| Mr | 929.93 | 929.93 |  |
| Dx,g cm-3 | 1.301 | 1.301 |  |
| Z | 4 | 4 |  |
| Mu (mm-1) | 0.751 | 0.751 |  |
| F000 | 1976.0 | 1976.0 |  |
| F000' | 1979.49 |  |  |
| h, k, lmax | 14,28,31 | 14,28,31 |  |
| Nref | 13241 | 11671 |  |
| Tmin, Tmax | $0.766,0.825$ | $0.772,0.875$ |  |
| Tmin' | 0.766 |  |  |

```
Data completeness= 0.881 Theta(max)= 29.500
R(reflections)= 0.0365( 9819) wR2(reflections)= 0.0946( 11671)
S = 1.033 Npar= Npar = 574
```

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format
test-name_ALERT_alert-type_alert-level.
Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.


```
O ALERT level A = Most likely a serious problem - resolve or explain
0 ALERT level B = A potentially serious problem, consider carefully
0 ALERT level C = Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight
11 ALERT level \(\mathbf{G}=\) General information/check it is not something unexpected
    0 ALERT type 1 CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data
    0 ALERT type 2 Indicator that the structure model may be wrong or deficient
    0 ALERT type 3 Indicator that the structure quality may be low
10 ALERT type 4 Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion
    1 ALERT type 5 Informative message, check
```


## Datablock: NiZn-OH



Correction method= GAUSSIAN

Data completeness $=0.982 \quad$ Theta $(\max )=73.790$
$R($ reflections $)=0.0324(8021) \quad$ wR2 (reflections) $=0.0888(9000)$
$S=1.031 \quad$ Npar $=$ Npar $=559$

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format
test-name_ALERT_alert-type_alert-level.
Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

## Alert level G

PLAT005_ALERT_5_G No _iucr_refine_instructions_details in the CIF Please Do ! PLAT232_ALERT_2_G Hirshfeld Test Diff (M-X) Ni1 -- N2 .. 6.7 su PLAT232_ALERT_2_G Hirshfeld Test Diff (M-X) Ni1 -- N3 .. 6.7 su PLAT302_ALERT_4_G Anion/Solvent Disorder ............. Percentage = 100 Note PLAT303_ALERT_2_G Full Occupancy H-Atom H4 with \# Connections 2.00 Check PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete 1-2-3 or 2-3-4 Linear Torsion Angle ... \# 40 Do !

| O1 -NI1 -N3 | -C10 | 166.00 | 21.00 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete | 1-2-3 | or 2-3-4 | Linear | Torsion | Angle | \# | 41 | Do |
| $01-\mathrm{NIT}$-N3 | -C14 | -16.00 | 5.00 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |  |
| PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete | 1-2-3 | or 2-3-4 | Linear | Torsion | Angle | \# | 84 | Do |
| $04-\mathrm{NIL}$-N2 | -C8 | 95.60 | 1.10 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |  |
| PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete | 1-2-3 | or 2-3-4 | Linear | Torsion | Angle | \# | 85 | Do |
| O4 -NI1 -N2 | -C9 | -85.00 | 1.10 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |  |
| PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete | 1-2-3 | or 2-3-4 | Linear | Torsion | Angle |  | 90 | Do |
| N2 -NI1 -04 | -ZN1 | -92.30 | 1.10 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 | 1.555 |  |
| PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete | 1-2-3 | or 2-3-4 | Linea | Torsion | Angle |  | 95 | Do |



```
    O ALERT level A = Most likely a serious problem - resolve or explain
    ALERT level B = A potentially serious problem, consider carefully
    O ALERT level C = Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight
    13 ALERT level G = General information/check it is not something unexpected
    ALERT type 1 CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data
    ALERT type 2 Indicator that the structure model may be wrong or deficient
    ALERT type 3 Indicator that the structure quality may be low
    ALERT type 4 Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion
    ALERT type 5 Informative message, check
```


## Datablock: NiNi-OMe



Correction method= MULTI-SCAN

Data completeness $=0.982 \quad$ Theta $(\max )=73.580$
$R($ reflections $)=0.0413(8295) \quad w R 2(r e f l e c t i o n s)=0.1212(9558)$
$S=1.043 \quad$ Npar $=$ Npar $=579$

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format
test-name_ALERT_alert-type_alert-level.
Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

## Alert level B

Crystal system given $=$ monoclinic PLAT355_ALERT_3_B Long O-H (X0.82,N0.98A) O5 -.. H5 1.08 Ang.

Alert level C
PLAT355_ALERT_3_C Long O-H (X0.82,N0.98A) O6 -.. H6 1.03 Ang.

## Alert level G

PLAT005_ALERT_5_G No _iucr_refine_instructions_details in the CIF Please Do ! PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete 1-2-3 or 2-3-4 Linear Torsion Angle ... \# 75 Do !
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}05 & -N I 1 & -06 & -\mathrm{C} 48 & 147.40 & 0.50 & 1.555 & 1.555 & 1.555 & 1.555\end{array}$ PLAT710_ALERT_4_G Delete 1-2-3 or 2-3-4 Linear Torsion Angle ... \# 84 Do ! $\begin{array}{llllllllll}06 & -N I 1 & -O 5 & -\mathrm{C} 47 & 147.80 & 0.50 & 1.555 & 1.555 & 1.555 & 1.555\end{array}$

```
O ALERT level A = Most likely a serious problem - resolve or explain
ALERT level B = A potentially serious problem, consider carefully
ALERT level C = Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight
ALERT level G = General information/check it is not something unexpected
ALERT type 1 CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data
0 ~ A L E R T ~ t y p e ~ 2 ~ I n d i c a t o r ~ t h a t ~ t h e ~ s t r u c t u r e ~ m o d e l ~ m a y ~ b e ~ w r o n g ~ o r ~ d e f i c i e n t
ALERT type 3 Indicator that the structure quality may be low
A ALERT type 4 Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion
1 ALERT type 5 Informative message, check
```

It is advisable to attempt to resolve as many as possible of the alerts in all categories. Often the minor alerts point to easily fixed oversights, errors and omissions in your CIF or refinement strategy, so attention to these fine details can be worthwhile. In order to resolve some of the more serious problems it may be necessary to carry out additional measurements or structure refinements. However, the purpose of your study may justify the reported deviations and the more serious of these should normally be commented upon in the discussion or experimental section of a paper or in the "special_details" fields of the CIF. checkCIF was carefully designed to identify outliers and unusual parameters, but every test has its limitations and alerts that are not important in a particular case may appear. Conversely, the absence of alerts does not guarantee there are no aspects of the results needing attention. It is up to the individual to critically assess their own results and, if necessary, seek expert advice.

## Publication of your CIF in IUCr journals

A basic structural check has been run on your CIF. These basic checks will be run on all CIFs submitted for publication in IUCr journals (Acta Crystallographica, Journal of Applied Crystallography, Journal of Synchrotron Radiation); however, if you intend to submit to Acta Crystallographica Section $C$ or $E$, you should make sure that full publication checks are run on the final version of your CIF prior to submission.

## Publication of your CIF in other journals

Please refer to the Notes for Authors of the relevant journal for any special instructions relating to CIF submission.
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Figure S1. Thermal ellipsoid plots (50\% probability) derived from the X-ray structure of $\mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\mathbf{O M e}}$. Most hydrogen atoms and the tert-butyl substituents of the terminal phenolate donors have been removed for clarity. Figure $\mathrm{S} 1(\mathrm{a})$ shows only the $\mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\mathbf{O M e}}$ unit, while (b) displays the two hydrogen-bonds formed by each MeOH solvate: $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}(7)$ and $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)$.


Figure S2. Cyclic voltammograms (solid lines) of $\mathbf{N i Z n}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}, \mathbf{N i N i}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}, \mathbf{N i C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$, and $\mathbf{C o C o}{ }^{\mathbf{O A c}}$ collected in DMF with 0.1 M ( $\mathrm{NBu}_{4}$ ) $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ as the supporting electrolyte and scan rates of $100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$. The corresponding square-wave voltammograms are indicated by the dashed lines. In all cases the voltammogram was initiated by the anodic sweep. The solution concentrations were 2.0 mM .
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[^7]:    O ALERT level A = Most likely a serious problem - resolve or explain
    1 ALERT level $B=A$ potentially serious problem, consider carefully
    ALERT level $\mathbf{C}=$ Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight
    15 ALERT level $\mathbf{G}=$ General information/check it is not something unexpected

    O ALERT type 1 CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data
    2 ALERT type 2 Indicator that the structure model may be wrong or deficient
    3 ALERT type 3 Indicator that the structure quality may be low
    14 ALERT type 4 Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion
    1 ALERT type 5 Informative message, check
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