# Marquette University e-Publications@Marquette

**Chemistry Faculty Research and Publications** 

Chemistry, Department of

1-1-2015

Chemoselective Formation of Unsymmetrically Substituted Ethers from Catalytic Reductive Coupling of Aldehydes and Ketones with Alcohols in Aqueous Solution

Nishantha Kumara Kalutharage Marquette University

Chae S. Yi Marquette University, chae.yi@marquette.edu

Accepted version. *Organic Letters*, Vol. 17, No. 7 (2015): 1778-1781. DOI. © 2015 American Chemical Society. Used by permission

# Chemoselective Formation of Unsymmetrically Substituted Ethers from Catalytic Reductive Coupling of Aldehydes and Ketones with Alcohols in Aqueous Solution

Nishantha Kalutharage Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI Chae S. Yi Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI

*Organic Letters*, Vol 17, No. 7 (2015): pg. 1778-1781. <u>DOI</u>. This article is © American Chemical Society and permission has been granted for this version to appear in <u>e-Publications@Marquette</u>. American Chemical Society does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from American Chemical Society.

1

**NOT THE PUBLISHED VERSION; this is the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript.** The published version may be accessed by following the link in the citation at the bottom of the page.

### Abstract



· Broad substrate scope and high functional group tolerance

· High selectivity for unsymmerical ethers without forming wasteful byproducts

Employ H<sub>2</sub> as the reducing agent and water as the solvent

A well-defined cationic Ru–H complex catalyzes reductive etherification of aldehydes and ketones with alcohols. The catalytic method employs environmentally benign water as the solvent and cheaply available molecular hydrogen as the reducing agent to afford unsymmetrical ethers in a highly chemoselective manner.

Etherification of oxygenated organic compounds is an ubiguitous organic transformation in both industrial and fine chemical syntheses.<sup>1</sup> Strong Brønsted acid and heterogeneous acid catalysts are commonly employed for industrial-scale etherification of alcohols,<sup>2</sup> while the Williamson ether synthesis has long been used for laboratory-scale synthesis of unsymmetrically substituted ethers.<sup>3</sup> Seminal catalytic C-O bond formation methods such as Ullmann- and Mitsunobu-type coupling reactions have been extensively utilized for the synthesis of aryl-substituted ethers.<sup>4</sup> More recently, a number of highly effective catalytic methods for unsymmetrical ethers have been developed from use of hydroalkoxylation of alkenes<sup>5</sup> and oxidative C-H alkoxylation of arenes.<sup>6</sup> The reductive etherification of carbonyl compounds has also been shown to be a synthetically powerful etherification method, but this method requires a stoichiometric amount of silane as the reducing agent.<sup>7</sup> Despite such remarkable progress, these catalytic etherification methods pose major synthetic and environmental problems in that they employ reactive reagents such as inorganic acids and organic alkoxide substrates, which result in the formation of copious amounts of wasteful byproducts. From the viewpoint of achieving green and sustainable catalysis, the development of an efficient and broadly applicable catalytic etherification process that

*Organic Letters*, Vol 17, No. 7 (2015): pg. 1778-1781. <u>DOI</u>. This article is © American Chemical Society and permission has been granted for this version to appear in <u>e-Publications@Marquette</u>. American Chemical Society does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from American Chemical Society.

does not form any wasteful byproducts remains a high priority goal, particularly for the synthesis of unsymmetrically substituted ethers.<sup>8</sup>

We recently discovered that a well-defined cationic ruthenium hydride complex  $[(C_6H_6)(PCy_3)(CO)RuH]^+BF_4^-$  (**1**) is a highly selective catalyst precursor for the etherification of two different alcohols to form unsymmetrically substituted ethers.<sup>9</sup> While this etherification provides unsymmetrical ethers without forming any wasteful byproducts, it was not effective for the coupling between electronically similar or sterically demanding aliphatic alcohols, as it gave a mixture of symmetrical and unsymmetrical ethers. In an effort to extend the scope of the etherification reaction, we explored the analogous reductive coupling reactions of carbonyl compounds. Herein, we report a highly chemoselective formation of unsymmetrically substituted ether products from the reductive coupling of aldehydes and ketones with alcohols. The "green" features of the catalytic method are that it employs cheaply available molecular hydrogen as the reducing agent, tolerates a number of common functional groups, and uses environmentally benign water as the solvent.



We initially screened the catalyst activity of the ruthenium complex **1** for the reductive coupling reaction of 2-butanol with 4methoxybenzaldehyde (eq 1). While searching for a suitable set of conditions, we were delighted to discover that H<sub>2</sub> (1–2 atm) can be used as the reducing agent and water as the solvent. Under these conditions, complex **1** was found to exhibit distinctively high activity and selectivity in forming the ether product **2a** among screened ruthenium and acid catalysts, as analyzed by both GC and NMR spectroscopic methods (Table S1, Supporting Information (SI)). Since most reductive etherifications of carbonyl compounds require a stoichiometric amount of silane or borane as the reducing agent,<sup>7</sup> our

*Organic Letters*, Vol 17, No. 7 (2015): pg. 1778-1781. <u>DOI</u>. This article is © American Chemical Society and permission has been granted for this version to appear in <u>e-Publications@Marquette</u>. American Chemical Society does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from American Chemical Society.

catalytic method on using molecular H<sub>2</sub> is quite beneficial from both synthetic and environmental points of view.

We measured the catalytic activity of **1** for the etherification reaction. In a Fisher–Porter pressure bottle, the treatment of 4methoxybenzaldehyde (20 mmol) with 2-butanol (23 mmol) and H<sub>2</sub> (20 psi) in the presence of **1** ( $1.7 \times 10^{-3} \mu$ mol) in water (3 mL) was stirred at 110 °C. The initial turnover frequency (TOF) of 5100 h<sup>-1</sup> after 30 min and the turnover number (TON) of 25500 after 18 h were obtained as measured by both GC and NMR spectroscopic methods. The etherification reaction under neat conditions led to a considerably higher activity for **2a** (TOF = 7600 h<sup>-1</sup> and TON = 32000). The salient feature of the catalytic method is that it employs cheaply available H<sub>2</sub> as the reducing agent in an aqueous solution.

Substrate scope of the etherification reaction was explored by using the catalyst **1** (Table 1). Both aliphatic and aryl-substituted aldehydes readily reacted with both primary and secondary alcohols to form the ether products **2** (entries 1–13). In the case of 1,2hexanediol, exclusive etherification to the primary alcohol was observed in yielding the ether product **2i** (entry 9). The coupling between aliphatic alcohol and aldehyde substrates was sluggish, leading to a lower yield than with benzylic ones (entries 12 and 13). In this case, extending the reaction time to 24 h did not increase the product yield significantly.

The etherification of ketones also proceeded smoothly to give the a-substituted ether products. The aryl-substituted ketones with both primary and secondary alcohols led to the corresponding ether products **2n**-**x** (entries 14–24). The coupling with a linear aliphatic ketone was found to be considerably slower than with benzylic ketones (entry 25). The treatment of a chiral alcohol with 4methoxyacetophenone led to a 1:1 diastereomeric mixture of ether product **2z** (entry 26). In most cases, using 2 equiv of alcohol substrate (second equivalent alcohol is served as the hydrogen donor) was found to be convenient for forming the ether products, but 1 equiv of alcohol substrate can be used with H<sub>2</sub> (1–2 atm) without sacrificing the product yields. In addition, a 1:1 toluene/H<sub>2</sub>O was used as the solvent system in most cases, and pure water was used for watersoluble substrates. The catalytic method achieves a highly

*Organic Letters*, Vol 17, No. 7 (2015): pg. 1778-1781. <u>DOI</u>. This article is © American Chemical Society and permission has been granted for this version to appear in <u>e-Publications@Marquette</u>. American Chemical Society does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from American Chemical Society.

chemoselective etherification of aldehydes and ketones without using any reactive reagents, and employs environmentally sustainable and cheaply available alcohol substrates.



**Table 1.** Etherification of Alcohols with Aldehydes and Ketones<sup>a</sup>

 entry carbonyl compd alcohol
 product(s)
 time (h)
 yield (%)

Table aReaction conditions: carbonyl compound (1.0 mmol), alcohol (2.0 mmol), toluene/H<sub>2</sub>O (v/v = 1:1, 3 mL), **1** (3 mol %), 110 °C. Table bReaction in pure water (3 mL).

To further illustrate the synthetic versatility of the catalytic method, we next surveyed the etherification reaction of functionalized alcohol substrates of biological importance (Figure 1). The etherification of (–)-menthol and 2',3'-isopropylidenedeoxyuridine with 4-methoxybenzaldehyde smoothly formed the ether product **3a** and **3b** without any epimerization or side products. The etherification reaction of an amino acid and steroid derivatives with 4-

*Organic Letters*, Vol 17, No. 7 (2015): pg. 1778-1781. <u>DOI</u>. This article is © American Chemical Society and permission has been granted for this version to appear in <u>e-Publications@Marquette</u>. American Chemical Society does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from American Chemical Society.

methoxybenzaldehyde also proceeded predictively to give the products 3c-e, while displaying high chemoselectivity toward the ether product formation. An aliphatic aldehyde was successfully used for fenofibrate and chloroamphenicol, where in the latter case, a selective etherification to the primary alcohol was achieved over the secondary one in forming the ether product 3h. In these cases, a nonprotic solvent chlorobenzene was found to be most suitable for the coupling reaction, as the substrates become insoluble in toluene/H<sub>2</sub>O solvent. The structure of 3e was determined by X-ray crystallography (Figure S6, SI).



**Figure 1.** Etherification biologically active alcohols with aldehydes. Reaction conditions: alcohol (1.0 mmol), aldehyde (1.0 mmol),  $H_2$  (1 atm),  $C_6H_5Cl$  (2 mL), **1** (3 mol %), 110 °C.

A series of kinetic experiments were performed to gain mechanistic insights for the etherification reaction. First, the H/D exchange pattern on the coupling reaction was examined. The treatment of 4-methoxybenzaldehyde with 1-butanol (2 equiv) in D<sub>2</sub>O led to the selective deuterium incorporation to benzylic position of the product **2f** (Scheme 1). Conversely, 4-methoxybenzaldehyde with 2-

*Organic Letters*, Vol 17, No. 7 (2015): pg. 1778-1781. <u>DOI</u>. This article is © American Chemical Society and permission has been granted for this version to appear in <u>e-Publications@Marquette</u>. American Chemical Society does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from American Chemical Society.

propanol- $d_8$  (2 equiv) in H<sub>2</sub>O gave the product with ~50% of deuterium on the benzylic position. In a control experiment, the treatment of 2-propanol with D<sub>2</sub>O in the presence of **1** (2 mol %) led to a rapid H/D exchange to form (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CHOD at room temperature. These results suggest that an extensive H/D exchange between the solvent molecules and the alcohol substrate led to the deuterium incorporation to the benzylic position of the ether product during the C=O hydrogenolysis step.



Scheme 1

To probe the involvement of solvent molecules, the solvent isotope effect was measured on the catalytic reaction. The initial rates of the reaction between 4-methoxybenzaldehyde with 2-butanol (2 equiv) were separately measured in H<sub>2</sub>O and D<sub>2</sub>O. The first order plots showed a relatively high normal isotope effect of  $k_{H2O}/k_{D2O} = 2.9 \pm 0.2$ (Figure 2). A similar solvent isotope effect was obtained from 2propanol/2-propanol- $d_8$  ( $k_{PrOH}/k_{PrOD} = 2.0 \pm 0.2$ , Figure S3, SI). A relatively large solvent isotope effect suggests that the water molecules are intricately involved in C–O bond cleavage and hydrogenolysis steps via extensive hydrogen-bonding-network interactions.<sup>10</sup>

*Organic Letters*, Vol 17, No. 7 (2015): pg. 1778-1781. <u>DOI</u>. This article is © American Chemical Society and permission has been granted for this version to appear in <u>e-Publications@Marquette</u>. American Chemical Society does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from American Chemical Society.

**NOT THE PUBLISHED VERSION; this is the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript.** The published version may be accessed by following the link in the citation at the bottom of the page.



**Figure 2.** First-order plot of the 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (S) with 2-butanol in H<sub>2</sub>O ( $\blacktriangle$ ) and in D<sub>2</sub>O ( $\bullet$ ).

To probe the electronic effect on the aldehyde substrate, we constructed a Hammett plot from measuring the rate of a series of *para*-substituted benzaldehydes p-X-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CHO (X = OMe, Me, H, F, Cl) with 2-butanol. A linear correlation from the relative rate vs Hammett  $\sigma_p$  led to a negative p value of  $-1.6 \pm 0.1$  (Figure 3). The result is consistent with the notion that an electron-releasing group promotes the C–O bond hydrogenolysis step but not during the formation of hemiacetal species. Similar Hammett p values have been observed in the catalytic coupling reactions of arenes.<sup>11</sup>



**Figure 3.** Hammett plot from the reaction of p-XC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CHO (X = OMe, Me, H, F, Cl) with 2-butanol.

*Organic Letters*, Vol 17, No. 7 (2015): pg. 1778-1781. <u>DOI</u>. This article is © American Chemical Society and permission has been granted for this version to appear in <u>e-Publications@Marquette</u>. American Chemical Society does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from American Chemical Society.

To discern the structure of catalytically relevant species, we explored the reactions of  $\mathbf{1}$  with alcohols and water. The treatment of the complex 1 (0.07 mmol) with excess 1-butanol (0.7 mmol) in  $CD_2Cl_2$  (0.6 mL) led to the formation of a new Ru–H species within 30 min at room temperature (Scheme 2). The appearance of a new set of peaks was observed along with the formation of free benzene molecule as monitored by NMR (<sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  –18.8 (d,  $J_{PH}$  = 31.3 Hz) ppm; <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$  76.0 ppm). We tentatively assign the new species to the alcohol-coordinated complex [(1-butanol)<sub>3</sub>(PCy<sub>3</sub>)(CO)RuH]<sup>+</sup>BF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> (4a), in light of the previously observed arene exchange reaction of 1.<sup>12</sup> The analogous reaction with excess water also formed the watercoordinated complex **4b** (<sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta - 17.7$  (d,  $J_{PH} = 30.3$  Hz) ppm; <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$  73.0 ppm), which steadily decomposed within 1 h at room temperature. The catalytic activity of complex **4a** was found to be identical to **1** for the etherification of 4-methoxybenzaldehyde with 2-butanol under the conditions described in eq 1.



#### Scheme 2

We next examined the reaction of complex **1** with diols and triols as a way to form a stable alcohol-coordinated complex. Thus, the treatment of **1** with 1,1,1-tris(hydroxymethyl)ethane in acetone at room temperature led to the triol-coordinated complex **4c**, which was isolated in 80% after recrystallization in acetone/pentane. The X-ray crystal structure of **4c** showed a distorted octahedral geometry with a facial arrangement between the triol and the ancillary ligands. A number of ruthenium-hydride complexes have been successfully utilized as catalysts for the alcohol-coupling reactions.<sup>13</sup>

*Organic Letters*, Vol 17, No. 7 (2015): pg. 1778-1781. <u>DOI</u>. This article is © American Chemical Society and permission has been granted for this version to appear in <u>e-Publications@Marquette</u>. American Chemical Society does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from American Chemical Society.

**NOT THE PUBLISHED VERSION; this is the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript.** The published version may be accessed by following the link in the citation at the bottom of the page.



**Scheme 3**. Possible Mechanism for the Reductive Etherification of an Alcohol with an Aldehyde

We present a possible mechanism of the catalytic reaction on the basis of these results (Scheme 3). We propose that an unsaturated cationic Ru-alkoxy (or Ru-alcohol) species 5 is initially generated from the benzene ligand displacement and the dehydrogenation steps. In support of this notion, we have been able to detect/isolate the formation of alcohol-coordinated cationic Ru–H complex 4 from the reaction of **1** with alcohols and water. The coordination of a carbonyl substrate followed by the nucleophilic addition of an alkoxy group is envisioned for the formation of hemiacetoxy species **6**. The observed H/D exchange pattern on the a-carbon of the ether product 2 as well as a normal solvent isotope effect indicates that the solvent molecules are intricately involved in the C–O bond hydrogenolysis step. The Hammett correlation study, where the reaction is promoted by electron-releasing group of the aldehyde, supports the notion that the hydrogenolysis step is likely the turnover-limiting step of the catalytic reaction.14

In conclusion, we successfully developed a highly chemoselective catalytic etherification method of aldehydes and ketones with alcohols. The ruthenium hydride catalyst exhibits a uniquely high activity as well as broad substrate scope in promoting the reductive etherification reaction of carbonyl compounds in an aqueous solution without using any reactive reagents or forming

*Organic Letters*, Vol 17, No. 7 (2015): pg. 1778-1781. <u>DOI</u>. This article is © American Chemical Society and permission has been granted for this version to appear in <u>e-Publications@Marquette</u>. American Chemical Society does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from American Chemical Society.

wasteful byproducts. We anticipate that the catalytic etherification method provides an environmentally sustainable and cost-effective protocol for forming unsymmetrical ether compounds.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

### Acknowledgment

Financial support from the National Science of Foundation (CHE-1358439) and National Institute of Health General Medical Sciences (R15 GM109273) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Dr. Sergey Lindeman (Marquette University) for the X-ray crystal structure determination of **3e** and **4c**.

### References

- <sup>1</sup> Reviews:(a) Mitsunobu, O. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Trost, B. M., Fleming, J., Eds.; Pergamon Press: New York, 1991; Vol. 6, pp 1–31. (b) Lee, C.; Matunas, R. In *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry III*; Crabtree, R. H., Mingos, D. M., Eds.; Elsevier: Boston, 2007; Vol. 10, pp 649–693.
- <sup>2</sup> (a) Olah, G. A.; Molnár, A. *Hydrocarbon Chemistry*, 2nd ed.; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2003. (b) Klier, K.; Beretta, A.; Sun, Q.; Feeley, O. C.; Herman, R. G. Catal. Today 1997, 36, 3–14. (c) Nowak, I.; Ziolek, M. *Chem. Rev.* 1999, 99, 3603–3624. (d) Tanabea, K.; Hölderich, W. F. *Appl. Catal.*, A 1999, 181, 399–434
- <sup>3</sup> (a) Smith, M. B.; March, J. March's Advanced Organic Chemistry, 5th ed.; Wiley: New York, 2001. (b) Baggett, N. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Barton, D.; Ollins, W. D., Eds.; Pergaman: Oxford, 1979; Vol. 1.
- <sup>4</sup> (a) Ley, S. V.; Thomas, A. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5400– 5449. (b) Ma, D.; Cai, Q. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1450– 1460. (c) Swamy, K. C. K.; Kumar, N. N. B.; Balaraman, E.; Kumar, K. V. P. P. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 2551– 2651
- <sup>5</sup> (a) Sassaman, M. B.; Kotian, K. D.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Olah, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4314– 4319. (b) Sakai, N.; Moriya, T.; Konakahara, T. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 5920– 5922. (c) Haibach, M. C.; Guan, C.; Wang, D. Y.; Li, B.; Lease, N.; Steffens, A. M.; Krogh-Jespersen, K.; Goldman, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 15062– 15070
- <sup>6</sup> (a) Wang, X.; Lu, Y.; Dai, H.-X.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, 132, 12203–12205. (b) Jiang, T.-S.; Wang, G.-W. *J. Org. Chem.* 2012, 77, 9504–9509. (c) Li, W.; Sun, P. *J. Org. Chem.* 2012, 77, 8362–8366. (d) Zhang, S.-Y.; He, G.; Zhao, Y.; Wright, K.; Nack, W. A.; Chen, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, 134, 7313–7316. (e) Chen, F.-J.; Zhao, S.;

*Organic Letters*, Vol 17, No. 7 (2015): pg. 1778-1781. <u>DOI</u>. This article is © American Chemical Society and permission has been granted for this version to appear in <u>e-Publications@Marquette</u>. American Chemical Society does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from American Chemical Society.

Hu, F.; Chen, K.; Zhang, Q.; Zhang, S.-Q.; Shi, B.-F. *Chem. Sci.* 2013, 4, 4187– 4192. (f) Roane, J.; Daugulis, O. *Org. Lett.* 2013, 15, 5842–5845

- <sup>7</sup> (a) Lee, S. H.; Park, Y. J.; Yoon, C. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999, 40, 6049–6050. (b) Evans, P. A.; Cui, J.; Gharpure, S. J.; Hinkle, R. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, 125, 11456–11457. (c) Yang, W.-C.; Lu, X.-A.; Kulkarni, S. S.; Hung, S.-C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 7837–7840. (d) Iwanami, K.; Seo, H.; Tobita, Y.; Oniyama, T. *Synthesis* 2005, 183–186. (e) Barluenga, J.; Tomás-Gamasa, M.; Aznar, F.; Valdøs, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2010, 49, 4993–4996. (f) Gharpure, S. J.; Prasad, J. V. K. *J. Org. Chem.* 2011, 76, 10325–10331
- <sup>8</sup> (a) Min, B. K.; Friend, C. M. *Chem. Rev.* 2007, 107, 2709–2724. (b)
   Sheldon, R. A. *Chem. Commun.* 2008, 3352–3365. (c) Li, C.-J.; Trost,
   B. M. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2008, 105, 13197–13202
- <sup>9</sup> Kim, J.; Lee, D.-H.; Kalutharage, N.; Yi, C. S. *ACS Catal.* 2014, 4, 3881– 3885
- <sup>10</sup> Selected recent examples: (a) Gómez-Gallego, M.; Sierra, M. A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4857–4963. (b) Gregory, M. C.; Denisov, I. G.; Grinkova, Y. G.; Khatri, Y.; Sligar, S. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 16245–16247. (c) Waugh, M. W.; Marsh, E. N. G. Biochemistry 2014, 53, 5537–5543
- <sup>11</sup> (a) Nakagawa, Y.; Mizuno, N. *Inorg. Chem.* 2007, 46, 1727–1736. (b)
   Fedorov, A.; Chen, P. *Organometallics* 2010, 29, 2994–3000. (c)
   Chakraborty, S.; Blacque, O.; Fox, T.; Berke, H. *ACS Catal.* 2013, 3, 2208–2217. (d) Ball, L. T.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Russell, C. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, 136, 254–264
- <sup>12</sup> (a) Kwon, K.-H.; Lee, D. W.; Yi, C. S. Organometallics 2010, 29, 5748– 5760. (b) Lee, H.; Yi, C. S. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 1899– 1904
- <sup>13</sup> (a) Sieffert, N.; Bühl, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, 132, 8056– 8070. (b) Denichoux, A.; Fukuyama, T.; Doi, T.; Horiguchi, J.; Ryu, I. *Org. Lett.* 2010, 12, 1– 3. (c) Fogler, E.; Balaraman, E.; Ben-David, Y.; Leitus, G.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D. *Organometallics* 2011, 30, 3826– 3833. (d) Kang, B.; Fu, Z.; Hong, S. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, 135, 11704– 11707. (e) Tseng, K.-N. T.; Kampf, J. W.; Szymczak, N. K. *Organometallics* 2013, 32, 2046– 2049. (f) Pingen, D.; Lutz, M.; Vogt, D. *Organometallics* 2014, 33, 1623– 1629
- <sup>14</sup> In light of the recent results as described in ref 9, we have considered an alternative mechanism involving the hydrogenation of carbonyl substrate to the corresponding alcohol and the subsequent dehydrative coupling with the second alcohol substrate. Since both mechanistic pathways should involve an alcohol-ketone hydrogenationdehydrogenation redox process and a C-O bond hydrogenolysis step,

*Organic Letters*, Vol 17, No. 7 (2015): pg. 1778-1781. <u>DOI</u>. This article is © American Chemical Society and permission has been granted for this version to appear in <u>e-Publications@Marquette</u>. American Chemical Society does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from American Chemical Society.

we cannot distinguish between these two pathways at the present time.

### **Supporting Information**

Experimental procedures and methods, characterization and NMR spectra, and X-ray data of **3e** and **4c** (CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

*Organic Letters*, Vol 17, No. 7 (2015): pg. 1778-1781. DOI. This article is © American Chemical Society and permission has been granted for this version to appear in <u>e-Publications@Marquette</u>. American Chemical Society does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from American Chemical Society.

# **Supporting Information**

# Chemoselective Formation of Unsymmetrically Substituted Ethers from Catalytic Reductive Coupling of Aldehydes and Ketones with Alcohols in Aqueous Solution

Nishantha Kalutharage and Chae S. Yi\*

Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201-1881 United States

## **Table of Contents**

1. General Information	S2
2. Experimental Procedures (Table S1)	S2
3. Hammett Study (Figure S1)	S3
4. Solvent Isotope Effect Study (Figures S2 and S3)	S4
5. H/D Exchange Reaction of 3-Methoxybenzaldehyde with 1-Butanol in D <sub>2</sub> O (Figure S4)	S5
6. Detection and Synthesis of the Alcohol Complexes 4a-4c (Figure S5)	<b>S</b> 6
7. X-Ray Crystallographic Determination of <b>3e</b> and <b>4c</b> (Figures S6 and S7)	S7
8. Characterization Data of the Products	S9
9. <sup>1</sup> H and <sup>13</sup> C NMR Spectra of the Products	S17
10. X-Ray Crystallographic Data of <b>3e</b> and <b>4c</b> (Tables S8-S23)	<b>S</b> 35

**1. General Information.** All operations were carried out in a nitrogen-filled glove box or by using standard high vacuum and Schlenk techniques unless otherwise noted. Solvents were freshly distilled over the appropriate drying reagents. Tetrahydrofuran, benzene, toluene, hexanes and dioxane were distilled from purple solutions of sodium and benzophenone immediately prior to use. Dichloromethane and chlorobenzene ( $C_6H_5Cl$ ) were dried over calcium hydride. The <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>2</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian 300 or 400 MHz FT-NMR spectrometer, and the data are reported as: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, p = pentet, m = multiplet, br = broad, app = apparent; coupling constant(s) in Hz; integration. Mass spectra were recorded from a Agilent 6850 GC-MS spectrometer with a HP-5 (5% phenylmethylpolysiloxane) column (30 m, 0.32 mm, 0.25 µm). The conversion of organic products was measured from a Hewlett-Packard HP 6890 GC spectrometer. High resolution mass spectra were obtained at the Center of Mass Spectrometry, Washington University, St. Louis, MO and at the Mass Spectrometry/ICP Lab, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI. Elemental analyses were performed at the Midwest Microlab, Indianapolis, IN.

#### 2. Experimental Procedures

General Procedure for the Catalytic Reaction. Method A: In a glove box, complex 1 (17 mg, 3 mol %), carbonyl compound (1.0 mmol) and an alcohol (2.5 mmol) were dissolved in  $C_6H_5Cl$  (1 mL) in a 25 mL Schlenk tube equipped with a Teflon stopcock and a magnetic stirring bar. The tube was brought out of the box, and was stirred for 8-16 h in an oil bath which was preset at 110 °C. The reaction tube was taken out of the oil bath, and was cooled to room temperature. After the tube was open to air, the solution was filtered through a short silica gel column (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), and the filtrate was analyzed by GC and GC-MS. Analytically pure product was isolated by a simple column chromatography on silica gel (280-400 mesh, hexanes/Et<sub>2</sub>O or hexanes/EtOAc).

**Method B:** In a glove box, complex **1** (17 mg, 3 mol %), a carbonyl compound (1.0 mmol) and an alcohol (1.3 mmol) were added into a 25 mL Schlenk tube equipped with a Teflon stopcock and a magnetic stirring bar. The tube was brought out of the box, and  $H_2O$  (1 mL) was added (0.5 mL of toluene for water insoluble substrates). The tube was evacuated, filled with  $H_2$  (1 atm), and was stirred for 8-16 h in an oil bath which was preset at 110 °C. The reaction tube was taken out of the oil bath, and was cooled to room temperature. After the tube was open to air, the solution was filtered through a short silica gel column (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), and the filtrate was analyzed by GC and GC-MS. Analytically pure product was isolated by a simple column chromatography on silica gel (280-400 mesh, hexanes/Et<sub>2</sub>O or hexanes/EtOAc).

**Catalyst Screening.** In a glove box, 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (136 mg, 1.0 mmol), 1-butanol (185 mg, 2.5 mmol) and a catalyst (2 mol %) were dissolved in toluene/H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1, 1 mL) in a 25 mL Schlenk tube equipped with a Teflon stopcock and a magnetic stirring bar. The tube was brought out of the box, and was stirred for 12

h in an oil bath which was preset at 110 °C. The reaction tube was taken out of the oil bath and the solution mixture was analyzed by GC and GC-MS. The results are summarized in Table S1.

**Determination of TON.** In a glove box, 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (2.72 g, 20 mmol), 2-butanol (1.48 g, 23 mmol) and the ruthenium catalyst 1 (0.2  $\mu$ g, 1.7 x 10<sup>-3</sup> mol %) were dissolved in water (3 mL) in a 100 mL Fisher-Porter pressure tube. The reaction tube was brought out of the box, and H<sub>2</sub> (20 psi) was added. The tube was stirred in an oil bath at 110 °C. A small aliquot was drwan from the reaction mixture after 1 h and after 18 h, and was analyzed by GC and NMR spectroscopic methods.

entry	catalyst	additive	yield (%)
1	$[(C_6H_6)(PCy_3)(CO)RuH]^+BF_4^-(1)$		95
2	$[RuH(CO)(PCy_3)]_4(O)(OH)_2$		0
3	$[RuH(CO)(PCy_3)]_4(O)(OH)_2$	$HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2$	72
4	RuCl <sub>3</sub> ·3H <sub>2</sub> O	$HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2$	0
5	$RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3$	$HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2$	trace
6	$RuH_2(CO)(PPh_3)_3$		3
7	$RuH_2(CO)(PPh_3)_3$	$HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2$	10
8	$[\operatorname{RuCl}_2(\operatorname{COD})]_x$	$HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2$	0
9	$[RuH(CO)(PCy_3)_2(CH_3CN)_2]^+BF_4$		20
10	[(COD)RuCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>x</sub>	$HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2$	0
11	$Ru_{3}(CO)_{12}$	NH <sub>4</sub> PF <sub>6</sub>	0
12	$Cy_3PH^+BF_4^-$		trace
13	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$		trace
14	AlCl <sub>3</sub>		7
15	FeCl <sub>3</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O		trace
16	$HBF_4 \cdot OEt_2$		6
17	CF <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> H		trace

Table S1. Catalyst Survey for the Coupling Reaction of 4-Methoxybenzaldehyde with 1-Butanol.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Reaction conditions: 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (1.0 mmol), 1-butanol (2.5 mmol), catalyst (2 mol %), additive (1.0 equiv to catalyst), toluene/H<sub>2</sub>O (1 mL, 1:1), 12 h, 110 °C. The product yield was determined by GC and GC-MS.



**3. Hammett Study.** In a glove box, *para*-substituted benzaldehyde *p*-X-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CHO (X = OCH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>, H, Cl, F) (0.25 mmol), 2-butanol (0.75 mmol) and complex **1** (3 mol %) were dissolved in toluene- $d_8$  (0.5 mL) in six separate J-Young NMR tubes. The tubes were brought out of the box, and stirred in an oil bath set at 110 °C. Each reaction tube was taken out of the oil bath in 20 min intervals, was immediately cooled in an ice water

bath, and was analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. The  $k_{obs}$  was determined from a first-order plot of  $-ln([p-X-C_6H_5CHO]_t/p-X-C_6H_5CHO]_0)$  vs. time.



**Figure S1.** Hammett Plot from the Reaction of *p*-X-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CHO (X = OMe, Me, H, F, Cl) with 2-Butanol.

**4.** Solvent Isotope Effect Study. In a glove box, 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (340 mg, 1.5 mmol), 2-butanol (463 mg, 6.6 mmol) and complex **1** (40 mg, 2 mol %) were mixed in a vial, and the solution was divided into five separate 25 mL Schelenk tubes equiped with magnetic stiring bar. After adding H<sub>2</sub>O (0.5 mL), each tube was evacuated, and refilled with N<sub>2</sub> gas. The tubes were stirred in an oil bath set at 110 °C. Each reaction tube was taken out of the oil bath in 15 min intervals, was immediately cooled in liquid N<sub>2</sub> bath, and hexamethylbenzene (10 mg, internal standard) dissolved in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (1 mL) was added. After shaking the reaction tube for 5 min, CDCl<sub>3</sub> layer was separated and was analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. The  $k_{H2O}$  was determined from a first-order plot of  $-ln([p-OMe-C_6H_5CHO]_t/p-OMe-C_6H_5CHO]_0)$  vs. time. The  $k_{D2O}$  was determined from the analogous experiment by using D<sub>2</sub>O. The  $k_{H2O}/k_{D2O}$  was calculated from the ratio of slopes (Figure S2).



Figure S2. First Order Plot of the 4-Methoxybenzaldehyde with 2-Butanol in H<sub>2</sub>O (triangle) and in D<sub>2</sub>O (circle).

In a glove box, 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (340 mg, 1.5 mmol), 2-propanol (0.5 mL) and complex **1** (50 mg, 2 mol %) were mixed in a vial, and the solution was divided into five separate 25 mL Schelenk tubes equiped with magnetic stiring bar. The tubes were stirred in an oil bath set at 110 °C. Each reaction tube was taken out of the oil bath in 15 min intervals, was immediately cooled in liquid N<sub>2</sub> bath, hexamethylbenzene (10 mg, internal standard) in benzene- $d_6$  (0.5 mL) was added, and the resulting mixture was analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. The  $k_{\text{PrOH}}$  was determined from a first-order plot of  $-ln([p-\text{OMe-C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CHO}]_t/p-\text{OMe-C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CHO}]_0)$  vs. time. The experiment was repeated by using 2-propanol- $d_1$  as the solvent to determine  $k_{\text{PrOD}}$ . The  $k_{\text{PrOH}}/k_{\text{PrOD}}$  was calculated from the ratio of slopes.



**Figure S3.** First Order Plot of the 4-Methoxybenzaldehyde with 2-Propanol (triangle) and in 2-Propanol- $d_1$  (circle).

5. H/D Exchange Reaction of 4-Methoxybenzaldehyde with 1-Butanol in  $D_2O$ . In a glove box, complex 1 (17 mg, 3 mol %) was placed into a 25 mL Schlenk tube equipped with a Teflon stopcock and a magnetic stirring bar. The tube was brought out of the glove box, and 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (136 mg, 1.0 mmol), 1-butanol (185 mg, 2.5 mmol) and  $D_2O$  (99% D, 1 mL) were added to the tube. The tube was filled with N<sub>2</sub>, and was stirred in an oil bath set at 110 °C for 12 h. The reaction tube was taken out of the oil bath, and was cooled to room temperature. After the tube was open to air, the organic layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL). The organic layer was filtered through a short silica gel column by eluting with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL), and the filtrate was analyzed by GC and GC-MS. Analytically pure product was isolated by a simple column chromatography

on silica gel (280-400 mesh, hexanes/Et<sub>2</sub>O = 40:1). The deuterium content of the product **2f** was detemined by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>2</sup>H NMR (Figure S4).



**Figure S4.** <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>2</sup>H NMR Spectra of the Product **2f** Isolated from the Reaction of 4-Methoxybenzaldehyde with 1-Butanol in  $D_2O$ .

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\ H \stackrel{R_{4}}{\rightarrow} & & \\ & & \\ OC \\ & & \\ 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{H \cap C} & H \stackrel{R}{\rightarrow} & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ OC \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{H \cap C} & & \\ &$$

6. Detection and Synthesis of the Alcohol Complexes 4a-4c. In a glove box, complex 1 (40 mg, 0.07 mmol) was dissolved in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL) in a NMR tube. 1-Butanol (52 mg, 10 equiv) was added via syringe, and the tube was shaken for 5-10 min at room temperature. The reaction progress was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>31</sup>P NMR at 50 °C. After 5 min, the appearance of new ruthenium hydride species as indicated by a new Ru–H signal ( $\delta$  -18.8 (d,  $J_{PH}$  = 31.3 Hz) ppm) and free benzene molecule ( $\delta$  7.26 ppm) was detected by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Figure S5).



**Figure S5.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR Spectra of the Reaction of **1** with 1-Butanol.

The analogous treatment of **1** (40 mg) with H<sub>2</sub>O (13 mg, 10 equiv) was monitored by NMR. After 5 min at room temperature, the appearance of **4b** was detected by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR. Because the complex decomposes within 1 h at room temperature, both <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra were recorded at -10 °C in this case (<sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  -17.7 (d, *J*<sub>H-P</sub> = 30.3 Hz) ppm, <sup>31</sup>P NMR:  $\delta$  73.0 ppm). Synthesis of **4c**: The treatment of complex **1** (115 mg, 0.2 mmol) with 1,1,1-tris(hydroxymethyl)ethane (24 mg, 0.2 mmol) in acetone (2 mL), and the mixture was stired for 30 min at room temperature. The solution was layered with *n*-pentane (5 mL) to obtain single crystals of **4c** as colorless crystals in 80% yield.

### 7. X-Ray Crystallographic Determination of 3e and 4c.

For **3e**: Colorless single crystals of **3e** were grown in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at room temperature. A suitable crystal with the dimension of  $0.42 \times 0.12 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}^3$  was selected and mounted on an Oxford SuperNova diffractometer equipped with dual microfocus Cu/Mo X-ray sources, X-ray mirror optics, and Atlas CCD area detector. A total of 11497 reflection data were collected by using MoK $\alpha$  ( $\lambda = 1.54184$ ) radiation while the crystal sample was cooled at 100.00 K during the data collection. Using Olex2, the molecular structure was solved with the ShelXS structure solution program by using Direct Methods, and the data was refined with the XL refinement package using Least Squares minimization. The molecular structure of **3e** is shown in Figure S6.

For 4c: Colorless single crystals of 4c were grown in acetone/*n*-pentane at room temperature. A suitable crystal with the dimension of  $0.3068 \times 0.2254 \times 0.0989 \text{ mm}^3$  was selected and mounted on an Oxford

SuperNova diffractometer equipped with dual microfocus Cu/Mo X-ray sources, X-ray mirror optics, and Atlas CCD area detector. A total of 55980 reflection data were collected by using MoK $\alpha$  ( $\lambda = 1.54184$ ) radiation while the crystal sample was cooled at 100.00 K during the data collection. Using Olex2, the molecular structure was solved with the ShelXS structure solution program by using Direct Methods, and the data was refined with the XL refinement package using Least Squares minimization. The molecular structure of **4c** is shown in Figure S7.



Figure S6. Molecular Structure of 3e.



Figure S7. Molecular Structure of 4c.

### 8. Characterization Data of the Products.



Data for **2a**: Colorless oil (82%, 134 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.77-7.95 (m, 3H), 7.42-7.59 (m, 2H), 4.57 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (sextet, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 1.63-1.74 (m, 1H), 1.47-1.61 (m, 1H), 1.25 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 3H), 0.97 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  138.2, 128.4, 127.8, 127.6, 72.1, 70.2, 29.2, 19.2, 9.8 ppm; GC-MS *m/z* = 164 (M<sup>+</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR

spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>S1</sup>



Data for **2b**: Colorless oil (95%, 184 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.29 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 4.51 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.44 (sextet, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 1.61 (dq, J = 14.7, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 1.49 (qd, J = 14.0, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 1.19 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H), 0.93 (t, J =

7.9 Hz, 3H) ppm;  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  158.9, 131.2, 129.1, 113.7, 75.8, 69.9, 55.2, 29.2, 19.2, 9.8 ppm; GC-MS m/z = 194 (M<sup>+</sup>).  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.  ${}^{82}$ 



Data for **2c**: White solid (97%, 261 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.27-7.47 (m, 7H), 6.95-6.99 (m, 2H), 5.09 (s, 2H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (d, *J* = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (sextet, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 1.58-1.74 (m, 1H),

1.45-1.56 (m, 1H), 1.20 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 158.1, 137.0, 131.5, 129.1, 128.5, 127.8, 127.4, 114.6, 75.9, 69.9, 69.9, 29.2, 19.2, 9.8 ppm; GC-MS m/z = 270 (M<sup>+</sup>); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 79.96; H, 8.20. Found: C, 79.88; H, 8.31.



Data for **2d**: Colorless liquid (68%, 134 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.20-7.23 (m, 4H), 4.45 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (sextet, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 1.51-1.61 (m, 1H), 1.35-1.47 (m, 1H), 1.11 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.85 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  137.7, 133.0,

128.8, 128.4, 76.4, 69.5, 29.2, 19.1, 9.8 ppm; GC-MS  $m/z = 198 \text{ (M}^+\text{)}$ ; Anal. Calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>15</sub>ClO: C, 66.50; H, 7.61. Found: C, 66.48; H, 7.52.



Data for **2e**: White solid (85%, 187 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.55 (br s, 1H), 7.47 (d, J = 8.61 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.52 (d, J =11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.44 (sextet, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (s,

3H), 1.61 (ddd, J = 13.7, 7.4, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 1.48 (ddd, J = 13.7, 7.4, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 1.18 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 0.92 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H) ppm;  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  168.4, 137.0, 135.0, 128.3, 199.8, 76.1, 69.8, 29.1, 24.5, 19.1, 9.8 ppm; GC-MS m/z = 221 (M<sup>+</sup>); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 70.56; H, 8.65. Found: C, 70.48; H, 8.61.



Data for **2f**: Yellow oil (98%, 190 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.28 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.45 (s, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.46 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.60 (quintet, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 1.41 (sextet, J = 7.4 Hz,

2H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.0, 130.7, 129.1, 113.6, 72.4, 69.8, 55.1, 31.8, 19.3, 13.9 ppm; GC-MS m/z = 194 (M<sup>+</sup>); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>S2</sup>



Data for **2g**: Colorless oil (95%, 195 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.16-7.22 (m, 2H), 6.77-6.82 (m, 2H), 4.33 (s, 2H), 3.87-3.94 (m, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 1.59-1.71 (m, 6H), 1.39-1.49 (m, 2H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  158.9, 131.0, 129.2, 129.1, 113.7, 80.5, 70.3, 55.2, 32.3, 23.6, 23.5 ppm; GC-MS *m/z* = 206

(M<sup>+</sup>); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>S3</sup>



Data for **2h**: Colorless oil (91%, 216 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.14-7.18 (m, 2H), 6.77-6.81 (m, 2H), 4.37 (dd, *J* = 14.0, 12.0 Hz, 2H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 3.54 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.37 (dd, *J* = 9.2, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (qt, *J* = 7.5, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 1.08 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  175.2, 159.1, 130.1, 129.1, 113.6, 72.6,

71.5, 55.1, 51.6, 40.1, 13.9 ppm; GC-MS  $m/z = 238 \text{ (M}^+\text{)}$ ; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>S4</sup>



Data for **2i**: Colorless oil (91%, 216 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.24-7.30 (m, 2H), 6.87-6.92 (m, 2H), 4.49 (s, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.49 (dd, J = 9.4, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 3.3 (dd, J = 9.4, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (br s, 1H), 1.26-1.51 (m, 7H), 0.90 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz,

CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.2, 130.0, 129.4, 113.8, 74.3, 72.9, 70.4, 55.2, 32.8, 27.7, 22.7, 14.0 ppm; GC-MS *m*/*z* = 238 (M<sup>+</sup>); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>S5</sup>



Data for **2j**: Colorless oil (86%, 166 mg), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.28 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 4.45 (s, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.49 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.74 (dt, J = 13.4, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.52 (qd, J = 6.8, 0.9 Hz, 2H), 0.92 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 6H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.0, 130.7,

129.2, 113.7, 72.5, 68.5, 55.2, 38.5, 22.6 ppm; GC-MS m/z = 194 (M<sup>+</sup>); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>S6</sup>



Data for **2k**: Yellow oil (88%, 201 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.13 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.9 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.47 (s, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.29 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 1.62-1.95 (m, 5H), 1.15-1.49 (m, 4H), 0.92-1.05 (m, 2H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  157.8, 133.6, 129.6, 113.7, 75.9, 72.5, 55.1, 55.1, 40.0, 38.0, 30.1, 26.6, 25.8 ppm; GC-MS m/z = 234 (M<sup>+</sup>); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 76.88; H, 9.46. Found: C, 76.48; H, 9.51.

Data for **2l**: Colorless volatile liquid (71%, 92 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, **2l** CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.37 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 3.50 (q, J = 11.9 Hz, 2H), 1.31-1.51 (m, 8H), 1.10 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  70.4, 66.6, 31.8, 30.0, 22.7, 22.6, 15.2, 14.1 ppm; GC-MS m/z = 130 (M<sup>+</sup>); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>S7</sup>



Data for **2m**: Colorless liquid (76%, 129 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 3.29 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.08 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 1.52-1.71 (m, 5H), 1.41-1.52 (m, 2H), 1.22-1.34 (m, 2H), 0.98-1.22 (m, 4H), 0.73-0.89 (m, 5H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR

(100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  76.8, 70.8, 38.0, 31.8, 30.1, 26.7, 25.9, 19.3, 13.9 ppm; GC-MS  $m/z = 170 \text{ (M}^+\text{)}$ . <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>S8</sup>



Data for **2n**: Colorless oil (88%, 166 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.93 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.57 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.03 (q, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (s, 3H), 2.96 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.18-1.28 (m, 2H), 1.11 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.98-1.09 (m, 2H), 0.58 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  158.8, 136.3,

127.2, 113.6, 77.3, 68.1, 55.1, 32.0, 24.1 19.3, 13.9 ppm; GC-MS  $m/z = 208 \text{ (M}^+\text{)}$ . <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>S9</sup>



Data for **20**: Colorless oil (93%, 204 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.26 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.43 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 1.41-1.77 (m, 9H), 1.39 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  158.7, 136.7, 127.4, 113.6, 78.3, 74.9, 55.2, 33.0, 31.8, 24.6, 23.5, 23.4 ppm; GC-MS

 $m/z = 220 (M^+)$ . <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data. <sup>S10</sup>



Data for **2p**: White solid (55%, 133 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.10-7.33 (m, 7H), 6.72-6.87 (m, 2H), 4.38 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 1.39 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.0, 138.9, 135.7, 128.3, 127.7, 127.6,

127.4, 113.8, 76.7, 70.0, 55.3, 24.1 ppm; GC-MS  $m/z = 242 \text{ (M}^+\text{)}$ ; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>S11</sup>



1.02 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  142.6, 128.3, 128.3, 128.2, 128.2, 127.2, 126.9, 126.9, 126.9, 126.8, 83.5, 68.9, 32.0, 19.4, 13.9 ppm; GC-MS m/z = 240 (M<sup>+</sup>); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>S12</sup>



Data for **2r**: White solid (92%, 231 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.23-7.52 (m, 10H), 5.54 (s, 1H), 4.05-4.16 (m, 1H), 1.74-1.96 (m, 6H), 1.54-1.70 (m, 2H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  142.8, 128.2, 127.2, 127.1, 80.9, 78.9, 32.5, 23.5 ppm; GC-MS m/z = 252 (M<sup>+</sup>); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O: C, 85.67; H, 7.99. Found: C, 75.48; H, 8.61.



Data for **2s**: White solid (65%, 197 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.13-7.34 (m, 12H), 6.78-6.85 (m, 2H), 5.35 (s, 1H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 3.74 (s, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.1, 142.2, 130.4, 129.4, 128.4, 127.4, 127.1, 113.7, 82.0, 70.1, 55.3 ppm; GC-MS *m*/*z* = 304 (M<sup>+</sup>); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR

spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>S13</sup>



Data for **2t**: Colorless oil (89%, 183 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.27 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.20 (ddd, J = 8.4, 7.0, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (td, J = 7.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (t, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (td, J = 11.0, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.25 (dtd, J = 11.0, 4.1, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (ttd, J = 15.7, 12.9, 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.10-2.18 (m, 1H), 2.01-2.10 (m, 1H), 1.57-1.66 (m, 2H), 1.37-1.48 (m, 2H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  154.7, 130.5, 29.4, 122.2, 119.9, 116.8, 70.3, 68.0, 62.2, 32.1, 27.6, 19.4, 13.9 ppm; GC-MS m/z = 206 (M<sup>+</sup>); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 75.69; H, 8.80. Found: C, 75.48; H, 8.61.



Data for **2u**: Colorless oil (82%, 178 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.24-7.27 (m, 1H), 7.16-7.21 (m, 1H), 6.91 (td, *J* = 7.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.81-6.85 (m, 1H), 4.48 (t, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 1H), 4.28-4.35 (m, 1H), 4.22-4.28 (m, 1H), 4.16-4.22 (m, 1H), 3.88 (septet, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.04-2.08 (m, 3H), 1.27 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.25 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  154.8, 130.2, 129.4, 122.8, 120.2, 116.8, 68.9, 67.4, 62.3, 28.4, 23.3, 22.4 ppm; GC-MS *m*/*z* = 192 (M<sup>+</sup>); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 74.97; H, 8.39. Found: C, 75.48;

H, 8.61.



Data for **2v**: Colorless oil (85 %, 187 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.24 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (td, J = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.87-6.92 (m, 1H), 6.79-6.83 (m, 1H), 4.43 (t, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.41-4.45 (m, 1H), 4.36-4.16 (m, 4H), 1.93-2.12 (m, 2H), 1.67 (m, 1H), 1.63-1.88 (m, 5H), 1.51-1.63 (m, 2H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  154.8, 130.3, 129.2, 122.8, 120.1, 116.8, 78.5, 77.4, 68.0, 62.4, 33.0, 32.8, 28.1, 23.6, 23.4 ppm; GC-MS m/z = 218 (M<sup>+</sup>); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 76.33; H, 9.15. Found: C, 76.38; H, 9.13.



Data for **2w**: Colorless liquid (80%, 124 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.43 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 3.13-3.23 (m, 1H), 1.80-1.95 (m, 3H), 1.66-1.77 (m, 3H), 1.47-1.57 (m, 3H), 1.31-1.42 (m, 2H), 1.14-1.31 (m, 6H), 0.91 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  77.4, 67.5, 32.3, 32.3, 25.8, 25.8, 24.2, 19.4, 13.9 ppm; GC-

MS  $m/z = 156 \text{ (M}^+\text{)}; ^{1}\text{H} \text{ and } ^{13}\text{C} \text{ NMR}$  spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>S7</sup>



Data for **2x**: Yellow liquid (98%, 199 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.25-7.32 (m, 2H), 7.17-7.23 (m, 3H), 4.28-4.35 (m, 1H), 3.65 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.70 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 1.94-2.04 (m, 2H), 1.84-1.93 (m, 2H), 1.68-1.82 (m, 4H), 1.48-1.65 (m,

2H) ppm;  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  141.8, 128.4, 128.4, 128.4, 128.3, 128.3, 128.3, 125.8, 73.9, 62.1, 35.4, 34.1, 32.0, 23.2 ppm; GC-MS m/z = 234 (M<sup>+</sup>);  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.  ${}^{S14}$ 



Data for **2y**: Colorless oil (55%, 128 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.17-7.24 (m, 3H), 7.08-7.15 (m, 2H), 3.42 (dt, J = 9.1, 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.23-3.31 (m, 2H), 2.63 (td, J = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 1.76-1.85 (m, 2H), 1.39-1.52 (m, 1H), 1.16-1.35 (m, 6H), 1.05 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 3H), 0.82 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H) ppm;

<sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 142.2, 128.5, 128.3, 125.7, 75.4, 67.43, 36.7, 32.5, 32.0, 31.7, 25.3, 22.7, 19.7, 14.1 ppm; GC-MS m/z = 234 (M<sup>+</sup>); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O: C, 81.99; H, 11.18. Found: C, 75.48; H, 8.61.



Data for **2z** (1:1 diasteromers): White solid (78%, 196 mg). Isomer A: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.21 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.35 (q, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.46 (t, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.28 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.78-2.66 (m, 1H), 1.38 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 1.14 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  175.5, 143.7,

128.3, 127.4, 126.1, 78.5, 70.5, 51.7, 40.3, 24.1, 14.0 ppm. Isomer **B**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.20 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.34 (q, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.48 (t, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.78-2.66 (m, 1H), 1.39 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 1.12 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  175.3, 143.7, 128.4, 127.4, 126.1, 78.2, 70.4, 51.7, 40.3, 24.1, 14.0 ppm; GC-MS *m*/*z* = 252 (M<sup>+</sup>); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>S15</sup>



Data for **3a**: Colorless oil (90%, 248 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.26-7.33 (m, 2H), 6.87-6.92 (m, 2H), 4.61 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.17 (td, J = 10.5, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.31 (m, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (m, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 1.60-1.71 (m, 2H), 1.39 (s, 2H), 0.95-0.98 (m, 6H), 0.92 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.73 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  158.9, 131.2, 129.3, 113.6, 78.3, 70.0, 55.1, 48.2, 40.2, 34.5, 31.5, 25.4, 23.2, 22.3, 21.0, 14.0 ppm; GC-MS m/z = 276 (M<sup>+</sup>); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>S16</sup>



Data for **3b**: Yellow solid (52%, 210 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.79 (br s, 1H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.96 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.52 (dd, J = 8.1, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (dd, J = 6.2, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 4.7 (dd, J = 6.2, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (dd, J =14.3, 11.1 Hz, 2H), 4.40 (dd, J = 5.6, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.76 (dd, J =

10.5, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (dd, J = 10.5, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.59 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 163.5, 159.4, 150.2, 141.1, 129.5, 129.1, 114.0, 113.8, 102.1, 92.3, 85.5, 84.9, 80.8, 73.2, 69.7, 55.2, 27.1, 25.2 ppm; HRMS (ESI): calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) 405.1656, found 405.1658. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>S17</sup>



Data for **3c**: White Crystal (84%, 299 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.79-7.86 (m, 2H), 7.46-7.52 (m, 1H), 7.38-7.45 (m, 2H), 7.17-7.22 (m, 2H), 6.84-6.89 (m, 3H), 4.87 (dd, J = 9.1, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (ddd, J = 12.6, 6.3, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (d, J = 0.4 Hz, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 1.26 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.0, 167.5, 159.2, 133.7, 131.6, 129.7, 129.3,

128.4, 127.0, 113.6, 73.7, 70.3, 56.9, 55.1, 52.2, 16.2 ppm; HRMS (ESI): calcd for  $C_{20}H_{23}NO_5$  ([M+Na]<sup>+</sup>) 380.1668, found 380.1669.





Data for **3d**: Yellow solid (81%, 533 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.33-7.42 (m, 5H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.69 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.65 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 4.50-4.53 (m, 2H), 3.97 (br s, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.39 (tt, *J* = 11.0, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.30-2.42 (m, 1H), 1.04-1.94 (m, 24H), 0.95-1.02 (m, 4H), 0.94 (s, 3H), 0.68 (s, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  172.8, 171.4, 158.8, 136.5, 131.0, 128.9, 128.8, 128.3, 127.1, 113.6, 78.1, 72.9, 69.2, 56.12, 55.1, 52.6, 48.0, 46.9, 46.3, 41.9, 35.9,

35.1, 34.9, 34.3, 33.4, 33.0, 32.8, 31.1, 28.5, 27.3, 27.1, 26.9, 25.9, 23.5, 23.1, 17.2, 12.6 ppm; HRMS (ESI): calcd for  $C_{41}H_{57}NO_6 ([M+H]^+)$  660.4259, found 660.4262.

Data for **3e**: White crystalline solid (78%, 340 mg). <sup>1</sup>H

NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.22-7.30 (m, 2H), 6.81-6.90 (m, 2H), 5.29-5.37 (m, 1H), 4.48 (s, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.19-3.31 (m, 1H), 2.47-2.56 (m, 1H), 2.35-2.45 (m, 1H), 2.12-2.30 (m, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.77-2.06 (m, 4H), 1.37-1.72 (m, 9H), 1.01-1.31 (m, 3H), 1.00 (s, 3H), 0.61 (s, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 209.4, 58.9, 140.9, 131.0, 129.0, 121.1, 113.7, 78.0, 69.5, 63.6, 56.8, 55.2, 49.9, 43.9, 39.0, 38.7, 37.2, 36.8, 31.7, 31.7, 31.5, 28.3, 24.4, 22.7, 21.0, 19.3, 13.1 ppm; GC-MS m/z = 276. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data are in good agreement with the literature data.<sup>S18</sup>



Data for **3f**: White solid (73%, 305 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.23-7.31 (m, 4H), 7.12-7.20 (m, 2H), 6.77-6.85 (m, 2H), 5.25 (s, 1H), 5.08 (septet, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (dt, *J* = 9.3, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 1.52-1.66 (m, 9H), 1.34-1.47 (m, 2H), 1.20 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 6H), 0.93 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 173.6, 154.9, 141.3, 135.4, 128.3, 128.2, 127.7, 118.6, 102.8, 82.3, 78.9, 68.8, 65.0, 35.5, 31.9, 25.3, 21.4, 19.4, 18.0, 13.8 ppm; GC-

Data for **3g**: White solid (65%, 287 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 

8.02-8.13 (m, 2 H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (d, J =

9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.83 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.70 (s, 1H), 5.13 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (s, 2H), 4.12-4.19 (m, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.60-3.69 (m, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR

(100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 164.1, 159.6, 147.5, 129.6, 128.7, 126.7, 123.5, 114.0, 73.5,

MS m/z = 418; HRMS (APCI): calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>31</sub>ClO<sub>4</sub> ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) 419.1989, found 419.1991.





73.3, 70.4, 66.1, 55.3, 54.4 ppm; GC-MS *m/z* = 442 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS (APCI): calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) 443.0777; found. 443.0779.
Data for **3h**: Colorless oil (72%, 272 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)
δ 8.17-8.23 (m, 2H), 7.50-7.55 (m, 2H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 5.68 (s, 1H), 5.08-5.13 (m, 1H), 4.88 (t, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (dq, *J* = 9.7, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.08-4.20 (m, 3H), 1.76-1.85 (m, 3H), 1.49-1.61 (m, 2H), 1.01 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 163.5, 147.5, 144.4, 126.4, 126.4, 123.5, 123.4, 102.9, 78.4, 77.4, 77.3, 76.7, 76.6, 70.2, 65.9, 47.6, 36.7, 17.0, 14.0 ppm; GC-MS *m/z* = 379 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS (APCI): calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>21</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>) 379.0828; found. 379.0825.

Data for 4c: Complex 1 (115 mg, 0.2 mmol) and 1,1,1-tris(hydroxymethyl)ethane (24 mg, 0.2 mmol) in acetone (2 mL) were stirred at room temperature for 30 min. Crystallization in acetone/*n*-pentane to obtain the complex as white crystals in 80% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  3.64 (m, 3H), 3.48-

S15

3.55 (m, 6H), 1.17-1.39 (m, 33H), 0.82 (s, 3H), -17.76 (d,  $J_{PH}$  = 34.2 Hz, 1H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  216.1 (d,  $J_{CP}$  = 18.3 Hz), 72.0, 65.7, 64.5, 37.5, 30.8, 30.1, 27.9, 27.8, 26.7 ppm; <sup>31</sup>P NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  76.4 ppm; FT-IR (pure solid)  $v_{CO}$  = 1915 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

### References

- S1 Dimitriadis, E.; Massy-Westropp, R. A. Aust. J. Chem. 1984, 37, 619-627.
- S2 Yu, J.-L.; Wang, H.; Zou, K.-F.; Zhang, J.-R.; Gao, X.; Zhang, D.-W.; Li, Z.-T. *Tetrahedron* 2013, 69, 310-315.
- **S3** Molander, G. A.; Canturk, B. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2135-2138.
- S4 Burke, C. P.; Haq, N.; Boger, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 2157-2159.
- S5 Joseph, M.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 2687-2688.
- S6 Shah, S. T. A.; Singh, P. S.; Guiry J. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 2179-2182.
- **S7** Radhakrishnan, S.; Franken, J.; Martens, J. A. Green Chem. **2012**, 14, 1475-1479.
- **S8** Sassaman, M. B.; Kotian, K. D.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Olah, G. A. J. Org. Chem. **1987**, *52*, 4314-4319.
- **S9** Wang, H. Chinese J. Chem. **2011**, 29, 1180-1184.
- S10 Zaccheria, F.; Psaro, R.; Ravasio, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 5221-5224.
- S11 Talluri, S. K.; Sudalai, A. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 855-857.
- S12 Davies, T. E.; Kean, J. R.; Apperley, D. C.; Taylor, S. H.; Graham, A. E. ACS Sust. Chem. Eng.
  2014, 2, 860-866.
- S13 Yadav, J. S.; Meshram, H. M.; Reddy, G. S.; Sumithra, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 3043-3046.
- S14 Huang, H.; Nelson, C. G.; Taber, D. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 3545-3546.
- S15 Kim, J.; Lee, D.-H.; Kalutharage, N.; Yi, C. S. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 3881-3885.
- S16 Shintou, T.; Mukaiyama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 7359-7367.
- S17 Blank, H. U.; Pfleiderer, W. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1970, 742, 1-15.
- S18 Piazza, P. V.; Vallee, M.; Felpin, F.-X.; Revest, J.-M.; Fabre, S. PCT Int. Appl. 2014, WO2014083068 A1 20140605.

# 8. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR Spectra of New Compounds




































## 10. X-ray Crystallograhic Data of 3e and 4c.

### Table S8. Crystal data and structure refinement for 3e.

Identification code	yi2o
Empirical formula	$C_{29}H_{40}O_3$
Formula weight	436.61
Temperature/K	100.00(10)
Crystal system	trigonal
Space group	P3 <sub>2</sub>
a/Å	13.48807(18)
b/Å	13.48807(18)
c/Å	11.6705(2)
α/°	90.00
β/°	90.00
γ/°	120.00
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	1838.73(5)
Ζ	3
$\rho_{calc}mg/mm^3$	1.183
m/mm <sup>-1</sup>	0.578
F(000)	714.0
Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	$0.42 \times 0.12 \times 0.05$
Radiation	$CuK\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 1.54184$ )
$2\Theta$ range for data collection	7.56 to 147.18°
Index ranges	$-16 \le h \le 16, -16 \le k \le 16, -10 \le l \le 14$
Reflections collected	11497
Independent reflections	4347 [ $R_{int} = 0.0231$ , $R_{sigma} = 0.0230$ ]
Data/restraints/parameters	4347/274/587
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.053
Final R indexes [I>= $2\sigma$ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0281, wR_2 = 0.0734$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0282, wR_2 = 0.0736$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å-?	30.08/-0.10
Flack parameter	-0.09(18)

Atom U(eq) x Ζ y 01 5425.6(13) 5635.9(14) 3706.1(14) 35.0(4) O2 9146(2) 7728(2) -6082(3)51.1(6) O3 3876.0(16) 4818.0(17) 8877.2(16) 45.6(4)7093.9(19) C1 6147.7(19) 805(2) 35.9(5) C2 5954(2) 6983(2) 2099(2) 37.5(5) C3 5576.2(19) 5762.8(19) 2498(2) 33.0(5) C4 6500.1(19)5480.1(19) 2169.7(19) 32.5(5) C5 6746(5) 5619(4) 884(4) 28.6(9) C6 6691.3(19) 4764.5(18) 278(2) 33.1(5) C7 6921(2) 4809.4(18) -979(2)34.3(5) C8 7517.4(16) 6031.8(17) -1456.4(19)28.1(4) C9 6981.9(17) 6709.5(17) -943(2)29.5(4) C10 7044.4(16) 6778.2(17) 379.4(19) 29.1(4) C11 7477(2) 7893.4(18) -1518.4(19)33.4(5) 7854.2(19) -2830(2)C12 7427(2) 34.5(5) 7994.7(18) 7208.5(17) -3314.2(19)C13 29.1(4) -2749.7(19)C14 7410.8(17) 6016.2(16)29.8(4)C15 7815.3(19) 5336.6(19) -3460(2)35.2(5) C16 7867(2) 5772(2)-4698(2)40.1(5)C17 7747.4(19) 6850.8(19) -4599(2)35.0(5) C18 8247(2) 7684.0(19) 806(2) 37.2(5) C19 7886(4) 9290(3) -3129(4)34.8(8) C20 8444(2) 7778(2) -5457(2)40.1(5) C21 8776(2) 8244(3) -5541(2)49.6(6) C22 4416(2) 5614(3) 4096(2) 44.8(6) C23 4298(5) 5403(6) 40.7(11) 5373(5) C24 4328.4(19) 6206(2) 6125(2) 39.0(5) C25 4192(2) 5994(2) 7291(2) 38.3(5) C26 4012(5) 4964(5) 7701(5) 39.3(10)C27 3983(2) 4136(2) 6967(2) 39.2(5) C28 4119(2) 4372(2) 5799(2) 40.5(5) C29 3592(2) 3730(3) 9346(2) 47.8(6) O1A 7865(3) 6902(3) -1698(3)38.3(8) O2A 4063(9) 5155(9) 8007(8) 66(3)

Table S9. Fractional Atomic Coordinates (×10 <sup>4</sup> ) and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters (Å <sup>2</sup> ×10 <sup>3</sup> ) for 3e. U	<sub>eq</sub> is
defined as 1/3 of of the trace of the orthogonalised ${ m U}_{ m IJ}$ tensor.	

O3A	9386(3)	7584(4)	-6864(3)	50.8(10)
C1A	7125(5)	7595(4)	1202(4)	40.2(11)
C2A	7316(5)	7694(4)	-88(5)	42.6(12)
C3A	7683(5)	6855(4)	-492(4)	38.4(11)
C4A	6774(5)	5636(4)	-173(5)	42.2(12)
C5A	6525(11)	5517(9)	1128(10)	32(2)
C6A	6584(4)	4720(4)	1693(5)	39.2(11)
C7A	6353(5)	4517(4)	2947(5)	41.0(12)
C8A	5748(4)	5128(4)	3455(4)	34.6(10)
C9A	6308(4)	6353(4)	2962(4)	35.4(10)
C10A	6226(4)	6375(4)	1618(4)	35.9(11)
C11A	5846(5)	7066(5)	3533(4)	39.9(11)
C12A	5903(4)	7058(4)	4854(4)	34.5(10)
C13A	5316(4)	5836(4)	5324(4)	36.8(10)
C14A	5871(4)	5208(4)	4753(4)	34.5(10)
C15A	5442(5)	4112(4)	5459(4)	40.3(11)
C16A	5394(6)	4490(5)	6687(5)	47.4(13)
C17A	5550(4)	5704(4)	6608(4)	36.9(10)
C18A	5022(4)	6077(5)	1214(5)	40.2(11)
C19A	4042(8)	5249(11)	5119(10)	34(2)
C20A	4822(6)	5931(5)	7449(5)	46.9(13)
C21A	5096(6)	7159(5)	7564(5)	55.6(15)
C22A	8845(5)	7918(5)	-2072(5)	52.2(14)
C23A	8955(7)	7802(9)	-3339(8)	43(2)
C24A	9004(4)	8614(5)	-4119(5)	40.4(11)
C25A	9139(5)	8502(6)	-5274(5)	46.6(13)
C26A	9309(7)	7663(8)	-5650(5)	47(2)
C27A	9281(5)	6830(5)	-4930(5)	46.1(12)
C28A	9137(5)	6944(5)	-3779(4)	42.5(11)
C29A	9681(5)	6786(6)	-7293(5)	50.9(14)

Table S10. Anisotropic Displacement Parameters (Å2×103) for 3e. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes theform:  $-2\pi^2 [h^2 a^{*2} U_{11} + 2hka^* b^* U_{12} + ...].$ 

Atom	U <sub>11</sub>	U <sub>22</sub>	U <sub>33</sub>	U <sub>23</sub>	U <sub>13</sub>	U <sub>12</sub>
01	30.5(7)	38.8(9)	40.0(9)	3.9(7)	3.6(7)	20.6(7)
02	45.0(14)	41.8(11)	61.0(19)	6.8(12)	15.6(14)	17.5(10)

O3	38.3(9)	45(1)	42.8(11)	-1.0(8)	8.5(8)	12.8(8)
C1	36.2(12)	34.3(11)	43.5(13)	3.8(10)	1.9(9)	22.4(10)
C2	43.5(12)	35.5(11)	41.3(13)	4.2(10)	5.3(10)	25.5(10)
C3	31.0(11)	32.8(11)	37.9(12)	3.2(9)	1.8(10)	18(1)
C4	30.5(10)	28(1)	38.6(14)	6.8(9)	5.6(9)	14.3(8)
C5	24(2)	29.0(16)	33(2)	6.6(12)	6.7(14)	14.0(16)
C6	31.6(10)	22.1(9)	46.1(13)	7.0(8)	6.7(9)	13.9(8)
C7	33.4(11)	22.8(10)	46.1(13)	2.7(8)	4.4(9)	13.7(8)
C8	23.2(9)	22.2(10)	38.5(12)	3.1(8)	1.9(8)	11.0(8)
C9	23.9(9)	22.9(9)	41.1(12)	1.7(8)	-0.2(9)	11.1(7)
C10	26.7(9)	21.2(9)	37.5(11)	2.5(8)	2.0(8)	10.6(8)
C11	39.4(11)	23.7(9)	37.8(12)	2.4(9)	2.9(9)	16.3(9)
C12	33.9(11)	25.6(10)	43.9(13)	3.9(9)	2.6(9)	14.9(8)
C13	25.6(11)	21.3(9)	36.9(12)	2.7(8)	1.7(8)	9.0(9)
C14	21.4(9)	22.8(9)	43.1(12)	2.0(9)	1.7(8)	9.5(8)
C15	32.2(10)	26.1(10)	45.1(13)	1.8(9)	5.1(9)	13.0(9)
C16	44.6(13)	31.6(11)	43.8(13)	-2.2(10)	2.3(10)	18.9(10)
C17	33.2(10)	28.9(10)	41.8(13)	-0.7(9)	2.6(9)	14.8(9)
C18	34.3(11)	29.3(10)	43.1(12)	1.1(9)	-2.0(9)	12.2(9)
C19	17.2(17)	27.1(13)	46.3(19)	3.8(13)	2.8(13)	0.7(14)
C20	40.6(14)	33.4(12)	42.2(13)	2.4(10)	3.1(11)	15.5(11)
C21	70.9(19)	41.0(13)	41.5(13)	5.5(11)	9.9(13)	31.3(13)
C22	38.9(12)	61.1(16)	44.5(14)	3.0(12)	4.7(11)	32.5(12)
C23	31(2)	51(3)	42(2)	-1.9(17)	0.4(16)	22(2)
C24	27.9(10)	33.6(11)	52.5(14)	-2.1(10)	1.3(9)	13.1(9)
C25	29.2(11)	33.6(11)	46.0(14)	-6.8(10)	2.2(10)	11.1(9)
C26	27.1(15)	43.0(16)	40(2)	-1.0(14)	7.6(14)	11.7(12)
C27	34.7(11)	37.0(12)	46.2(13)	1.6(10)	3.6(10)	18(1)
C28	40.6(12)	42.9(12)	45.1(13)	-6.4(10)	0.5(10)	26.1(11)
C29	39.2(13)	55.3(17)	43.8(15)	9.2(12)	2.4(11)	19.8(13)
O1A	37.3(17)	33.2(18)	37.8(19)	-0.1(14)	7.0(14)	12.6(15)
O2A	65(5)	78(6)	68(6)	31(5)	40(4)	46(4)
O3A	38.1(19)	78(3)	31(2)	5.6(19)	6.8(16)	25(2)
C1A	48(3)	27(2)	46(3)	0.6(18)	8(2)	19(2)
C2A	51(3)	33(2)	42(3)	3(2)	8(2)	19(2)
C3A	45(3)	28(2)	36(3)	3.3(19)	2(2)	13.9(19)
C4A	43(3)	32(2)	53(3)	-8(2)	8(2)	20(2)

S 39

C5A	20(4)	24(3)	51(5)	3(3)	14(3)	10(3)
C6A	37(2)	35(2)	49(3)	-7(2)	7(2)	20.6(19)
C7A	42(3)	39(2)	45(3)	6(2)	9(2)	22(2)
C8A	27(2)	32(2)	45(3)	0.4(19)	1.6(19)	14.8(18)
C9A	29(2)	39(2)	39(2)	-1(2)	4(2)	17(2)
C10A	35(2)	29(2)	42(3)	0.6(19)	6(2)	15.0(19)
C11A	49(3)	39(2)	39(3)	-1(2)	2(2)	27(2)
C12A	40(2)	34(2)	32(2)	-3.7(18)	2.9(19)	20.7(19)
C13A	30(2)	34(2)	45(3)	-1(2)	-1(2)	15(2)
C14A	24(2)	33(2)	47(3)	2.4(19)	3.3(18)	14.7(18)
C15A	38(2)	39(2)	45(3)	5(2)	4(2)	20(2)
C16A	57(3)	49(3)	46(3)	6(2)	9(2)	34(3)
C17A	35(2)	46(3)	39(3)	1(2)	4.7(19)	27(2)
C18A	33(2)	42(3)	48(3)	1(2)	3(2)	20(2)
C19A	25(4)	35(3)	48(6)	-5(4)	3(3)	18(3)
C20A	60(4)	45(3)	47(3)	4(2)	9(3)	34(3)
C21A	81(4)	64(3)	43(3)	0(3)	11(3)	52(4)
C22A	55(3)	33(3)	46(3)	-5(2)	2(2)	5(2)
C23A	25(5)	41(3)	46(4)	-8(3)	-4(3)	3(4)
C24A	25(2)	41(3)	48(3)	-2(2)	0.8(19)	11(2)
C25A	35(3)	64(4)	47(3)	7(3)	1(2)	30(3)
C26A	32(3)	91(5)	22(3)	1(3)	-3(2)	35(3)
C27A	35(2)	46(3)	52(3)	2(2)	0(2)	17(2)
C28A	43(3)	33(2)	41(3)	5(2)	3(2)	11(2)
C29A	40(3)	56(4)	38(3)	-5(2)	0(2)	10(3)

#### Table S11. Bond Lengths for 3e.

Atom	Atom	Length/Å	Atom Atom	Length/Å
01	C3	1.423(3)	O1A C3A	1.425(5)
01	C22	1.422(3)	O1A C22A	1.415(6)
02	C20	1.224(4)	O2A C20A	1.223(10)
03	C26	1.386(6)	O3A C26A	1.428(6)
03	C29	1.428(4)	O3A C29A	1.413(8)
C1	C2	1.527(3)	C1A C2A	1.522(6)
C1	C10	1.551(3)	C1A C10A	1.555(6)
C2	C3	1.532(3)	C2A C3A	1.519(7)

C3	C4	1.523(3)	C3A C4A	1.525(6)
C4	C5	1.528(5)	C4A C5A	1.546(11)
C5	C6	1.322(5)	C5A C6A	1.298(10)
C5	C10	1.525(4)	C5A C10A	1.515(9)
C6	C7	1.494(3)	C6A C7A	1.493(7)
C7	C8	1.533(3)	C7A C8A	1.540(6)
C8	С9	1.542(3)	C8A C9A	1.544(6)
C8	C14	1.515(3)	C8A C14A	1.521(6)
C9	C10	1.546(3)	C9A C10A	1.574(6)
C9	C11	1.543(3)	C9A C11A	1.535(6)
C10	C18	1.546(3)	C10A C18A	1.539(6)
C11	C12	1.532(3)	C11A C12A	1.544(6)
C12	C13	1.527(3)	C12A C13A	1.529(6)
C13	C14	1.541(3)	C13A C14A	1.534(6)
C13	C17	1.559(3)	C13A C17A	1.561(6)
C13	C19	1.529(4)	C13A C19A	1.509(9)
C14	C15	1.525(3)	C14A C15A	1.532(6)
C15	C16	1.548(3)	C15A C16A	1.533(7)
C16	C17	1.547(3)	C16A C17A	1.545(7)
C17	C20	1.508(3)	C17A C20A	1.524(7)
C20	C21	1.503(3)	C20A C21A	1.512(7)
C22	C23	1.510(6)	C22A C23A	1.502(10)
C23	C24	1.379(7)	C23A C24A	1.401(11)
C23	C28	1.380(8)	C23A C28A	1.395(11)
C24	C25	1.384(3)	C24A C25A	1.378(7)
C25	C26	1.370(7)	C25A C26A	1.335(10)
C26	C27	1.393(5)	C26A C27A	1.388(9)
C27	C28	1.391(3)	C27A C28A	1.377(7)

#### Table S12. Bond Angles for 3e.

Aton	n Atom	Atom	Angle/°	Atom Atom Atom	Angle/°
C22	01	C3	113.41(17)	C22AO1A C3A	113.8(4)
C26	O3	C29	118.3(3)	C29AO3A C26A	117.8(5)
C2	C1	C10	114.15(18)	C2A C1A C10A	114.2(4)
C1	C2	C3	110.7(2)	C3A C2A C1A	110.2(4)
01	C3	C2	112.39(18)	O1A C3A C2A	112.7(4)

01	C3	C4	107.72(17)	O1A C3A C4A	108.5(4)
C4	C3	C2	108.59(18)	C2A C3A C4A	109.9(4)
C3	C4	C5	111.8(2)	C3A C4A C5A	111.5(6)
C6	C5	C4	120.3(3)	C6A C5A C4A	119.0(7)
C6	C5	C10	124.0(4)	C6A C5A C10A	126.3(8)
C10	C5	C4	115.7(3)	C10AC5A C4A	114.7(7)
C5	C6	C7	124.7(2)	C5A C6A C7A	123.8(6)
C6	C7	C8	112.78(18)	C6A C7A C8A	113.5(4)
C7	C8	С9	110.31(17)	C7A C8A C9A	108.8(4)
C14	C8	C7	110.61(17)	C14AC8A C7A	110.7(4)
C14	C8	С9	109.02(16)	C14A C8A C9A	108.5(4)
C8	С9	C10	113.32(17)	C8A C9A C10A	113.0(4)
C8	С9	C11	110.85(17)	C11A C9A C8A	111.9(4)
C11	С9	C10	112.96(17)	C11AC9A C10A	111.5(4)
C5	C10	C1	108.4(2)	C1A C10A C9A	107.8(4)
C5	C10	С9	110.2(3)	C5A C10AC1A	109.0(5)
C5	C10	C18	108.7(3)	C5A C10A C9A	108.3(6)
C9	C10	C1	108.05(17)	C5A C10A C18A	109.5(7)
C18	C10	C1	109.49(19)	C18A C10A C1A	110.1(4)
C18	C10	С9	111.92(17)	C18A C10A C9A	112.0(4)
C12	C11	C9	114.23(18)	C9A C11AC12A	113.1(4)
C13	C12	C11	111.30(18)	C13A C12A C11A	111.4(4)
C12	C13	C14	107.27(16)	C12A C13A C14A	107.9(4)
C12	C13	C17	116.20(18)	C12A C13A C17A	116.2(4)
C12	C13	C19	110.9(2)	C14A C13A C17A	99.9(4)
C14	C13	C17	99.76(16)	C19A C13A C12A	110.4(6)
C19	C13	C14	113.5(2)	C19A C13A C14A	112.5(6)
C19	C13	C17	108.9(2)	C19A C13A C17A	109.5(6)
C8	C14	C13	114.58(16)	C8A C14AC13A	113.9(4)
C8	C14	C15	119.34(18)	C8A C14AC15A	119.5(4)
C15	C14	C13	104.19(17)	C15A C14A C13A	104.3(4)
C14	C15	C16	104.00(18)	C14A C15A C16A	103.9(4)
C17	C16	C15	106.26(19)	C15A C16A C17A	107.0(4)
C16	C17	C13	104.87(18)	C16A C17A C13A	104.5(4)
C20	C17	C13	115.70(19)	C20A C17A C13A	114.1(4)
C20	C17	C16	114.5(2)	C20A C17A C16A	114.5(4)
02	C20	C17	121.9(2)	O2A C20A C17A	121.2(6)

02	C20	C21	120.1(3)	O2A C20A C21A	122.1(7)
C21	C20	C17	118.0(2)	C21A C20A C17A	116.6(5)
01	C22	C23	109.2(3)	O1A C22AC23A	107.2(5)
C24	C23	C22	121.4(5)	C24A C23A C22A	121.8(8)
C24	C23	C28	118.9(5)	C28A C23A C22A	121.1(7)
C28	C23	C22	119.7(5)	C28A C23A C24A	116.7(7)
C23	C24	C25	121.0(3)	C25A C24A C23A	120.5(6)
C26	C25	C24	119.4(3)	C26A C25A C24A	120.2(5)
O3	C26	C27	123.1(5)	C25A C26A O3A	116.0(6)
C25	C26	03	115.7(4)	C25A C26A C27A	122.7(6)
C25	C26	C27	121.2(5)	C27A C26A O3A	120.9(7)
C28	C27	C26	118.1(3)	C28A C27A C26A	116.6(6)
C23	C28	C27	121.4(3)	C27A C28A C23A	123.0(6)

#### Table S13. Torsion Angles for 3e.

А	B	С	D	Angle/°	Α	В	С	D	Angle/°
01	C3	C4	C5	-178.7(3)	01A	C3A	C4A	C5A	-179.7(6)
01	C22	2 C 2 3	C24	116.9(4)	O1A	C22A	C23A	C24A	124.3(7)
01	C22	2 C 2 3	C28	-65.3(5)	O1A	C22A	C23A	C28A	-62.8(9)
03	C26	5 C 2 7	C28	179.4(4)	O3A	C26A	.C27A	C28A	-176.3(6)
C1	C2	C3	01	177.92(18)	C1A	C2A	C3A	O1A	179.4(4)
C1	C2	C3	C4	58.8(3)	C1A	C2A	C3A	C4A	58.3(6)
C2	C1	C10	C5	50.1(4)	C2A	C1A	C10A	C5A	51.4(8)
C2	C1	C10	C9	169.50(18)	C2A	C1A	C10A	C9A	168.7(4)
C2	C1	C10	C18	-68.4(2)	C2A	C1A	C10A	C18A	-68.8(5)
C2	C3	C4	C5	-56.7(3)	C2A	C3A	C4A	C5A	-56.1(7)
C3	01	C22	2 C23	176.5(3)	C3A	O1A	C22A	C23A	176.5(6)
C3	C4	C5	C6	-125.8(4)	C3A	C4A	C5A	C6A	-126.9(10)
C3	C4	C5	C10	54.0(5)	C3A	C4A	C5A	C10A	53.1(11)
C4	C5	C6	C7	-179.7(3)	C4A	C5A	C6A	C7A	-179.5(7)
C4	C5	C10	C1	-48.2(5)	C4A	C5A	C10A	C1A	-48.7(11)
C4	C5	C10	C9	-166.2(3)	C4A	C5A	C10A	C9A	-165.7(7)
C4	C5	C10	C18	70.8(4)	C4A	C5A	C10A	C18A	71.9(9)
C5	C6	C7	C8	14.4(4)	C5A	C6A	C7A	C8A	14.6(10)
C6	C5	C10	C1	131.6(4)	C6A	C5A	C10A	C1A	131.4(11)
C6	C5	C10	C9	13.5(6)	C6A	C5A	C10A	C9A	14.3(14)

C6 C5 C10C18	-109.5(5)	C6A C5A C10A C18A	-108.1(13)
C6 C7 C8 C9	-42.2(3)	C6A C7A C8A C9A	-43.0(6)
C6 C7 C8 C14	-162.86(17)	C6A C7A C8A C14A	-162.1(4)
C7 C8 C9 C10	58.3(2)	C7A C8A C9A C10A	59.8(5)
C7 C8 C9 C11	-173.45(18)	C7A C8A C9A C11A	-173.4(4)
C7 C8 C14C13	-179.47(18)	C7A C8A C14A C13A	178.4(4)
C7 C8 C14C15	-55.0(2)	C7A C8A C14A C15A	-57.5(5)
C8 C9 C10C1	-160.98(17)	C8A C9A C10AC1A	-162.2(4)
C8 C9 C10C5	-42.7(3)	C8A C9A C10AC5A	-44.4(7)
C8 C9 C10C18	78.4(2)	C8A C9A C10A C18A	76.4(5)
C8 C9 C11C12	51.6(2)	C8A C9A C11AC12A	51.9(5)
C8 C14 C15 C16	-165.82(18)	C8A C14A C15A C16A	-164.5(4)
C9 C8 C14C13	59.1(2)	C9A C8A C14A C13A	59.1(5)
C9 C8 C14C15	-176.44(17)	C9A C8A C14A C15A	-176.8(4)
C9 C11C12C13	-54.2(2)	C9A C11A C12A C13A	-53.5(6)
C10C1 C2 C3	-57.6(3)	C10AC1A C2A C3A	-57.4(6)
C10C5 C6 C7	0.6(7)	C10A C5A C6A C7A	0.4(17)
C10C9 C11C12	-179.97(16)	C10A C9A C11A C12A	179.5(4)
C11C9 C10C1	71.9(2)	C11AC9A C10AC1A	70.7(5)
C11C9 C10C5	-169.8(3)	C11A C9A C10A C5A	-171.5(6)
C11C9 C10C18	-48.7(2)	C11A C9A C10A C18A	-50.7(5)
C11 C12 C13 C14	55.1(2)	C11A C12A C13A C14A	55.5(5)
C11 C12 C13 C17	165.69(17)	C11A C12A C13A C17A	166.6(4)
C11 C12 C13 C19	-69.2(3)	C11A C12A C13A C19A	-67.9(7)
C12 C13 C14 C8	-60.4(2)	C12A C13A C14A C8A	-60.7(5)
C12 C13 C14 C15	167.41(19)	C12A C13A C14A C15A	167.3(4)
C12 C13 C17 C16	-152.53(19)	C12A C13A C17A C16A	-153.3(4)
C12 C13 C17 C20	80.3(2)	C12A C13A C17A C20A	81.0(6)
C13 C14 C15 C16	-36.5(2)	C13A C14A C15A C16A	-35.9(5)
C13 C17 C20 O2	113.9(3)	C13A C17A C20A O2A	110.0(9)
C13 C17 C20 C21	-66.9(3)	C13A C17A C20A C21A	-70.6(7)
C14 C8 C9 C10	179.97(15)	C14A C8A C9A C10A	-179.7(3)
C14 C8 C9 C11	-51.8(2)	C14A C8A C9A C11A	-52.8(5)
C14 C13 C17 C16	-37.7(2)	C14A C13A C17A C16A	-37.5(5)
C14 C13 C17 C20	-164.88(18)	C14A C13A C17A C20A	-163.3(4)
C14 C15 C16 C17	12.0(2)	C14A C15A C16A C17A	11.4(6)
C15 C16 C17 C13	16.4(2)	C15A C16A C17A C13A	16.6(6)

C15 C16 C17 C20	144.3(2)	C15A C16A C17A C20A	142.1(5)
C16 C17 C20 O2	-8.3(4)	C16A C17A C20A O2A	-10.3(10)
C16 C17 C20 C21	170.9(2)	C16A C17A C20A C21A	169.1(5)
C17 C13 C14 C8	178.07(16)	C17A C13A C14A C8A	177.4(4)
C17 C13 C14 C15	45.9(2)	C17A C13A C14A C15A	45.5(4)
C19 C13 C14 C8	62.4(3)	C19A C13A C14A C8A	61.3(7)
C19 C13 C14 C15	-69.8(3)	C19A C13A C14A C15A	-70.6(7)
C19 C13 C17 C16	81.4(3)	C19A C13A C17A C16A	80.8(7)
C19 C13 C17 C20	-45.8(3)	C19A C13A C17A C20A	-45.0(7)
C22 O1 C3 C2	74.1(2)	C22A O1A C3A C2A	70.2(6)
C22 O1 C3 C4	-166.3(2)	C22AO1A C3A C4A	-167.9(5)
C22 C23 C24 C25	178.4(3)	C22A C23A C24A C25A	178.0(6)
C22 C23 C28 C27	-178.7(3)	C22A C23A C28A C27A	-177.8(6)
C23 C24 C25 C26	-0.8(5)	C23A C24A C25A C26A	-4.9(9)
C24 C23 C28 C27	-0.8(6)	C24A C23A C28A C27A	-4.5(10)
C24 C25 C26 O3	-179.5(3)	C24A C25A C26A O3A	177.2(5)
C24 C25 C26 C27	1.2(6)	C24A C25A C26A C27A	4.5(11)
C25 C26 C27 C28	-1.4(6)	C25A C26A C27A C28A	-3.9(10)
C26 C27 C28 C23	1.2(5)	C26A C27A C28A C23A	3.9(9)
C28 C23 C24 C25	0.6(6)	C28A C23A C24A C25A	4.8(9)
C29 O3 C26 C25	174.9(3)	C29A O3A C26A C25A	173.7(6)
C29 O3 C26 C27	-5.8(6)	C29A O3A C26A C27A	-13.4(9)

Table S14. Hydrogen Atom Coordinates (Å×10<sup>4</sup>) and Isotropic Displacement Parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>×10<sup>3</sup>) for 3e.

Atom	x	У	Z	U(eq)
H1A	6401	7893	578	43
H1B	5410	6592	417	43
H2A	5358	7178	2303	45
H2B	6671	7529	2496	45
Н3	4843	5214	2109	40
H4A	6251	4682	2396	39
H4B	7212	5991	2594	39
H6	6491	4072	670	40
H7A	6187	4345	-1388	41
H7B	7407	4465	-1128	41
Н8	8347	6422	-1246	34

Н9	6150	6275	-1145	35
H11A	7050	8266	-1238	40
H11B	8285	8375	-1278	40
H12A	6618	7473	-3081	41
H12B	7819	8645	-3135	41
H14	6575	5670	-2914	36
H15A	7268	4505	-3405	42
H15B	8579	5490	-3204	42
H16A	8605	5964	-5063	48
H16B	7237	5178	-5165	48
H17	6925	6603	-4741	42
H18A	8380	8452	632	56
H18B	8828	7570	422	56
H18C	8295	7605	1636	56
H19A	9606	8640	-3491	52
H19B	9640	7470	-3471	52
H19C	9455	7981	-2306	52
H21A	8620	9298	-4897	74
H21B	7419	8498	-5516	74
H21C	8561	9182	-6264	74
H22A	3739	5000	3698	54
H22B	4460	6354	3923	54
H24	4444	6915	5838	47
H25	4224	6556	7803	46
H27	3875	3430	7257	47
H28	4087	3811	5284	49
H29A	2901	3130	8972	72
H29B	3453	3727	10171	72
H29C	4227	3586	9218	72
H1AA	6874	8139	1442	48
H1AB	7863	7826	1586	48
H2AA	6600	7530	-482	51
H2AB	7915	8484	-285	51
НЗА	8414	7041	-97	46
H4AA	7039	5101	-404	51
H4AB	6060	5425	-597	51
H6A	6787	4237	1285	47

H7AA	7088	4784	3353	49
H7AB	5873	3684	3083	49
H8A	4918	4699	3246	42
H9A	7141	6732	3155	42
H11C	5040	6762	3295	48
H11D	6293	7866	3259	48
H12C	6713	7470	5101	41
H12D	5526	7466	5174	41
H14A	6710	5681	4911	41
H15C	5978	3813	5404	48
H15D	4675	3513	5199	48
H16C	6011	4503	7156	57
H16D	4649	3956	7044	57
H17A	6372	6268	6771	44
H18D	4880	6700	1420	60
H18E	4449	5367	1585	60
H18F	4969	5973	381	60
H19D	3727	5676	5506	51
H19E	3679	4468	5423	51
H19F	3893	5223	4294	51
H21D	4688	7328	6969	83
H21E	5922	7673	7476	83
H21F	4854	7273	8321	83
H22C	9536	8019	-1669	63
H22D	8758	8591	-1909	63
H24A	8943	9247	-3851	49
H25A	9111	9022	-5804	56
H27A	9357	6213	-5218	55
H28A	9163	6415	-3261	51
H29D	9933	6973	-8090	76
H29E	9012	6014	-7255	76
H29F	10302	6816	-6830	76

### Table S15. Atomic Occupancy for 3e.

Atom	Occupancy	Atom	Occupancy	Atom	Occupancy
01	0.6759(13)	O2	0.6759(13)	O3	0.6759(13)

C1	0.6759(13)	H1A	0.6759(13)	H1B	0.6759(13)
C2	0.6759(13)	H2A	0.6759(13)	H2B	0.6759(13)
C3	0.6759(13)	Н3	0.6759(13)	C4	0.6759(13)
H4A	0.6759(13)	H4B	0.6759(13)	C5	0.6759(13)
C6	0.6759(13)	Н6	0.6759(13)	C7	0.6759(13)
H7A	0.6759(13)	H7B	0.6759(13)	C8	0.6759(13)
H8	0.6759(13)	C9	0.6759(13)	Н9	0.6759(13)
C10	0.6759(13)	C11	0.6759(13)	H11A	0.6759(13)
H11B	0.6759(13)	C12	0.6759(13)	H12A	0.6759(13)
H12B	0.6759(13)	C13	0.6759(13)	C14	0.6759(13)
H14	0.6759(13)	C15	0.6759(13)	H15A	0.6759(13)
H15B	0.6759(13)	C16	0.6759(13)	H16A	0.6759(13)
H16B	0.6759(13)	C17	0.6759(13)	H17	0.6759(13)
C18	0.6759(13)	H18A	0.6759(13)	H18B	0.6759(13)
H18C	0.6759(13)	C19	0.6759(13)	H19A	0.6759(13)
H19B	0.6759(13)	H19C	0.6759(13)	C20	0.6759(13)
C21	0.6759(13)	H21A	0.6759(13)	H21B	0.6759(13)
H21C	0.6759(13)	C22	0.6759(13)	H22A	0.6759(13)
H22B	0.6759(13)	C23	0.6759(13)	C24	0.6759(13)
H24	0.6759(13)	C25	0.6759(13)	H25	0.6759(13)
C26	0.6759(13)	C27	0.6759(13)	H27	0.6759(13)
C28	0.6759(13)	H28	0.6759(13)	C29	0.6759(13)
H29A	0.6759(13)	H29B	0.6759(13)	H29C	0.6759(13)
OlA	0.3241(13)	O2A	0.3241(13)	O3A	0.3241(13)
C1A	0.3241(13)	H1AA	0.3241(13)	H1AB	0.3241(13)
C2A	0.3241(13)	H2AA	0.3241(13)	H2AB	0.3241(13)
C3A	0.3241(13)	H3A	0.3241(13)	C4A	0.3241(13)
H4AA	0.3241(13)	H4AB	0.3241(13)	C5A	0.3241(13)
C6A	0.3241(13)	H6A	0.3241(13)	C7A	0.3241(13)
H7AA	0.3241(13)	H7AB	0.3241(13)	C8A	0.3241(13)
H8A	0.3241(13)	C9A	0.3241(13)	H9A	0.3241(13)
C10A	0.3241(13)	C11A	0.3241(13)	H11C	0.3241(13)
H11D	0.3241(13)	C12A	0.3241(13)	H12C	0.3241(13)
H12D	0.3241(13)	C13A	0.3241(13)	C14A	0.3241(13)
H14A	0.3241(13)	C15A	0.3241(13)	H15C	0.3241(13)
H15D	0.3241(13)	C16A	0.3241(13)	H16C	0.3241(13)
H16D	0.3241(13)	C17A	0.3241(13)	H17A	0.3241(13)

C18A	0.3241(13)	H18D	0.3241(13)	H18E	0.3241(13)
H18F	0.3241(13)	C19A	0.3241(13)	H19D	0.3241(13)
H19E	0.3241(13)	H19F	0.3241(13)	C20A	0.3241(13)
C21A	0.3241(13)	H21D	0.3241(13)	H21E	0.3241(13)
H21F	0.3241(13)	C22A	0.3241(13)	H22C	0.3241(13)
H22D	0.3241(13)	C23A	0.3241(13)	C24A	0.3241(13)
H24A	0.3241(13)	C25A	0.3241(13)	H25A	0.3241(13)
C26A	0.3241(13)	C27A	0.3241(13)	H27A	0.3241(13)
C28A	0.3241(13)	H28A	0.3241(13)	C29A	0.3241(13)
H29D	0.3241(13)	H29E	0.3241(13)	H29F	0.3241(13)

# Table S16. Crystal data and structure refinement for 4c.

Identification code	yi3c
Empirical formula	$C_{27}H_{52}BO_5F_4PRu$
Formula weight	675.54
Temperature/K	100.00(10)
Crystal system	monoclinic
Space group	I2/a
a/Å	16.7775(4)
b/Å	9.6540(2)
c/Å	39.1720(11)
α/°	90.00
β/°	93.260(2)
γ/°	90.00
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	6334.4(3)
Ζ	8
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.417
$\mu/mm^{-1}$	4.975
F(000)	2832.0
Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	$0.3068 \times 0.2254 \times 0.0989$
Radiation	$CuK\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 1.54184$ )
$2\Theta$ range for data collection/	° 9.04 to 149.48
Index ranges	$\text{-}20 \le h \le 20,  \text{-}11 \le k \le 11,  \text{-}48 \le l \le 48$
Reflections collected	55980
Independent reflections	$6382 [R_{int} = 0.0867, R_{sigma} = 0.0312]$
Data/restraints/parameters	6382/0/372
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.320
Final R indexes [I>= $2\sigma$ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0927, wR_2 = 0.2173$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0933, wR_2 = 0.2175$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å <sup>-?</sup>	3 2.01/-3.04

Atom U(eq) x z y Ru1 3527.7(4) 5291.1(7) 1498.09(16) 21.2(2) P2 3581.5(11) 6073(2) 952.3(5) 17.1(4)F1 2366(4) -673(7)1485.9(16) 49.2(17) F2 2053(4) 1907.3(16) 791(8) 51.4(17) F3 3199(4) -462(9)1948.8(18) 59(2) F4 3058(4) 1312(8) 1568(2) 58(2) 01 3516(8) 1366.2(18) 38.3(17) 4920(4) O2 2568(4) 6649(8) 1623.1(16) 31.0(15)O3 3455(4) 4666(9) 2027.7(17)35.8(19) 3865(8) O4 2453(4) 1455.3(16)24.9(14)C1 4390(4)7310(10) 883(2) 21.5(18)C2 4282(5) 8684(8) 1086(2)17.6(16)C3 4949(5) 9703(8) 1023(2) 20.3(17)C4 5766(5) 9062(10) 25.7(19)1112(2)5883(5) C5 7744(10)907(2) 24.4(18)C6 6689(9) 5231(5) 963(2) 20.3(17)C7 3773(5) 4661(9) 645(2) 21.0(18)C8 3821(5) 5037(9) 267(2) 21.2(17)C9 4166(5) 3831(10) 24.1(18)67(2) C10 3697(5) 2507(10) 107(2) 27(2) C11 3586(5) 2160(10)25.8(19)479(2) C12 3245(5) 3365(10) 674(2) 25.5(19) C13 2683(4) 7057(9) 806(2) 19.7(17)C14 2734(4) 7961(9) 483(2) 20.1(17)C15 1999(5) 8910(9) 438(2) 21.4(17)C16 1222(5) 8059(10) 424(2) 23.0(18) C17 1185(5) 7125(10) 735(2) 26.4(19)C18 1919(5) 6180(10) 775(2) 25.1(19)

Table S17. Fractional Atomic Coordinates (×10<sup>4</sup>) and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters ( $\mathring{A}^2 \times 10^3$ ) for 4c. U<sub>eq</sub> is defined as 1/3 of of the trace of the orthogonalised U<sub>IJ</sub> tensor.

C19	4361(5)	4172(11)	1416(2)	29(2)
C20	2106(6)	6459(12)	1922(2)	36(2)
C21	2720(6)	4266(13)	2180(2)	37(3)
C22	1774(5)	4146(11)	1658(2)	28(2)
C23	1994(6)	4937(12)	1991(2)	32(2)
C24	1280(6)	4773(14)	2221(3)	47(3)
B1	2674(7)	256(13)	1738(3)	34(3)
O1S	4274(4)	6046(7)	2513.2(16)	32.7(15)
C1S	4616(5)	5456(11)	2757(2)	28(2)
C2S	4703(7)	3910(12)	2778(3)	42(3)
C3S	4949(7)	6291(14)	3052(3)	49(3)

Table S18. Anisotropic Displacement Parameters ( $Å^2 \times 10^3$ ) for 4c. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form:  $-2\pi^2[h^2a^{*2}U_{11}+2hka^*b^*U_{12}+...]$ .

Atom	$U_{11}$	U <sub>22</sub>	U <sub>33</sub>	U <sub>23</sub>	U <sub>13</sub>	U <sub>12</sub>
Ru1	16.1(3)	30.6(4)	17.0(3)	0.7(3)	0.7(2)	-6.9(3)
P2	12.2(9)	21.0(11)	18.0(9)	1.6(8)	0.8(7)	0.2(8)
F1	61(4)	46(4)	39(3)	-8(3)	-11(3)	15(3)
F2	47(4)	65(5)	44(4)	-9(3)	14(3)	9(3)
F3	45(4)	70(5)	60(4)	14(4)	-16(3)	10(4)
F4	46(4)	45(4)	87(5)	16(4)	21(4)	8(3)
01	26(3)	51(5)	39(4)	15(3)	7(3)	9(3)
O2	30(3)	39(4)	26(3)	-1(3)	11(3)	-3(3)
03	30(3)	60(6)	17(3)	0(3)	-1(3)	-15(4)
O4	20(3)	31(4)	23(3)	-2(3)	3(2)	-2(3)
C1	11(3)	33(5)	21(4)	-3(4)	0(3)	0(3)
C2	23(4)	6(4)	24(4)	-3(3)	3(3)	2(3)
C3	23(4)	8(4)	30(4)	1(3)	2(3)	0(3)
C4	19(4)	29(5)	29(4)	-1(4)	-1(3)	-7(4)
C5	13(4)	30(5)	30(4)	-1(4)	4(3)	0(3)
C6	16(4)	18(4)	28(4)	4(3)	1(3)	-4(3)

C7	13(3)	30(5)	19(4)	5(3)	0(3)	1(3)
C8	21(4)	20(4)	23(4)	1(3)	2(3)	-6(3)
C9	21(4)	28(5)	24(4)	2(4)	3(3)	1(4)
C10	24(4)	28(5)	31(5)	-11(4)	2(3)	1(4)
C11	26(4)	19(5)	32(5)	1(4)	7(4)	4(4)
C12	22(4)	31(5)	25(4)	-3(4)	7(3)	-2(4)
C13	15(4)	26(5)	18(4)	0(3)	0(3)	-1(3)
C14	14(4)	24(5)	23(4)	0(3)	2(3)	0(3)
C15	17(4)	20(4)	28(4)	-3(3)	3(3)	7(3)
C16	19(4)	23(5)	26(4)	-2(4)	-1(3)	1(3)
C17	15(4)	32(5)	32(5)	5(4)	2(3)	0(4)
C18	16(4)	34(5)	26(4)	-7(4)	2(3)	-6(4)
C19	25(4)	39(6)	21(4)	11(4)	-5(3)	-9(4)
C20	41(6)	41(6)	28(5)	-7(4)	19(4)	-13(5)
C21	31(5)	60(7)	21(4)	9(5)	3(4)	-17(5)
C22	17(4)	39(6)	28(4)	-4(4)	4(3)	-7(4)
C23	30(5)	44(6)	22(4)	0(4)	9(4)	-8(5)
C24	42(6)	67(9)	34(5)	-10(5)	20(5)	-18(6)
B1	31(5)	34(7)	36(6)	4(5)	6(4)	4(5)
O1S	34(3)	34(4)	29(3)	6(3)	-3(3)	-5(3)
C1S	22(4)	36(6)	27(4)	0(4)	5(3)	-4(4)
C2S	40(6)	42(7)	46(6)	2(5)	11(5)	4(5)
C3S	53(7)	59(8)	35(6)	-9(6)	-10(5)	-12(6)

# Table S19. Bond Lengths for 4c.

Atom	n Atom	Length/Å	Atom	n Atom	Length/Å
Ru1	P2	2.274(2)	C5	C6	1.521(11)
Ru1	O2	2.154(7)	C7	C8	1.532(11)
Ru1	03	2.171(7)	C7	C12	1.542(12)
Ru1	O4	2.267(6)	C8	C9	1.534(12)
Ru1	C19	1.810(10)	C9	C10	1.514(13)

P2	C1	1.839(9)	C10	C11	1.519(12)
P2	C7	1.858(9)	C11	C12	1.521(12)
P2	C13	1.846(8)	C13	C14	1.543(11)
F1	B1	1.409(14)	C13	C18	1.534(11)
F2	B1	1.369(12)	C14	C15	1.539(11)
F3	B1	1.363(13)	C15	C16	1.540(11)
F4	B1	1.394(13)	C16	C17	1.518(12)
01	C19	1.158(12)	C17	C18	1.534(12)
02	C20	1.453(10)	C20	C23	1.507(15)
03	C21	1.451(11)	C21	C23	1.531(14)
04	C22	1.451(10)	C22	C23	1.539(13)
C1	C2	1.561(11)	C23	C24	1.548(12)
C1	C6	1.548(11)	01S	C1S	1.228(11)
C2	C3	1.520(11)	C1S	C2S	1.501(15)
C3	C4	1.527(11)	C1S	C3S	1.490(14)
C4	C5	1.524(13)			

### Table S20. Bond Angles for 4c.

Atom	1 Aton	n Atom	Angle/°	Atom	n Atom	n Atom	Angle/°
02	Ru1	P2	94.71(18)	C10	C9	C8	112.1(7)
02	Ru1	O3	82.4(3)	C9	C10	C11	112.2(7)
02	Ru1	O4	77.6(3)	C10	C11	C12	112.6(8)
03	Ru1	P2	176.6(2)	C11	C12	C7	110.4(7)
03	Ru1	O4	79.3(3)	C14	C13	P2	117.6(5)
04	Ru1	P2	101.88(17)	C18	C13	P2	113.8(6)
C19	Ru1	P2	87.7(3)	C18	C13	C14	109.4(7)
C19	Ru1	02	176.8(3)	C15	C14	C13	110.5(6)
C19	Ru1	03	95.1(3)	C14	C15	C16	111.0(7)
C19	Ru1	O4	104.1(4)	C17	C16	C15	111.2(7)
C1	P2	Ru1	115.0(3)	C16	C17	C18	111.5(7)
C1	P2	C7	103.0(4)	C17	C18	C13	110.0(8)

C1	P2	C13	102.5(4)	01	C19	Ru1	176.4(9)
C7	P2	Ru1	112.5(3)	02	C20	C23	110.2(8)
C13	P2	Ru1	112.8(3)	03	C21	C23	111.2(8)
C13	P2	C7	110.2(4)	04	C22	C23	113.6(7)
C20	02	Ru1	123.1(6)	C20	C23	C21	113.3(9)
C21	03	Ru1	124.1(5)	C20	C23	C22	110.9(8)
C22	04	Ru1	119.4(6)	C20	C23	C24	108.3(9)
C2	C1	P2	111.7(5)	C21	C23	C22	110.1(9)
C6	C1	P2	112.9(6)	C21	C23	C24	107.3(8)
C6	C1	C2	110.9(7)	C22	C23	C24	106.6(8)
C3	C2	C1	111.1(6)	F2	B1	F1	108.7(9)
C2	C3	C4	111.1(7)	F2	B1	F4	110.0(9)
C5	C4	C3	111.0(7)	F3	B1	F1	107.4(9)
C6	C5	C4	111.5(7)	F3	B1	F2	112.4(9)
C5	C6	C1	111.4(7)	F3	B1	F4	111.2(9)
C8	C7	P2	118.3(6)	F4	B1	F1	107.0(9)
C8	C7	C12	109.1(7)	01S	C1S	C2S	122.9(9)
C12	C7	P2	115.2(5)	01S	C1S	C3S	119.3(10)
C7	C8	С9	110.8(7)	C3S	C1S	C2S	117.8(10)

## Table S21. Hydrogen Bonds for 4c.

DHA	d(D-H)/Å	d(H-A)/Å	d(D-A)/Å	D-H-A/°
$O2H2F1^1$	0.96(13)	1.74(13)	2.659(10)	161(10)
O3H3O1S	0.68(12)	1.97(12)	2.643(10)	168(15)
O4 H4 F4	0.68(10)	2.01(11)	2.692(11)	172(12)

# Table S22. Torsion Angles for 4c.

A B	С	D	Angle/°	A	B	С	D	Angle/°
Ru1P2	C1	C2	-64.4(6)	04	Ru1	03	C21	-28.1(9)
Ru1P2	C1	C6	61.4(6)	04	Ru1	C19	901	179(100)
Ru1 P2	C7	C8	179.7(5)	O4	C22	C23	3 C 2 0	78.6(11)

Ru1	P2	C7	C12	4	8.3(6)	04	C22	2 C 2 3	3C21	-47	7.6(11)
Rul	P2	C13	3C14	16	4.1(5)	O4	C22	2 C 2 3	3C24	-16	53.7(9)
Rul	P2	C13	3C18	-6	6.0(6)	C1	P2	C7	C8	-5	55.8(7)
Rul	02	C20	)C23	-35	.6(11)	C1	P2	C7	C12	17	72.7(6)
Rul	03	C21	l C23	-26	.0(13)	C1	P2	C13	3C14	3	39.9(7)
Rul	04	C22	2 C 2 3	-26	.9(11)	C1	P2	C13	3C18	16	59.7(6)
P2	Ru1	02	C20	16	5.2(7)	C1	C2	C3	C4	-5	56.0(9)
P2	Ru1	03	C21		82(4)	C2	C1	C6	C5	-5	53.2(9)
P2	Ru1	04	C22	-12	0.0(6)	C2	C3	C4	C5	5	57.7(9)
P2	Ru1	C19	901		77(12)	C3	C4	C5	C6	-57	7.2(10)
P2	C1	C2	C3	-17	9.3(5)	C4	C5	C6	C1	5	55.2(9)
P2	C1	C6	C5	-17	9.5(6)	C6	C1	C2	C3	5	53.8(9)
P2	C7	C8	C9	16	7.1(5)	C7	P2	C1	C2	17	72.8(6)
P2	C7	C12	2C11	-16	5.6(6)	C7	P2	C1	C6	-6	51.4(6)
P2	C13	C14	4C15	-16	9.3(6)	C7	P2	C13	3C14	-6	59.2(7)
P2	C13	C18	3C17	16	6.9(6)	C7	P2	C13	3C18	6	50.6(7)
02	Ru1	P2	C1	10	0.9(4)	C7	C8	С9	C10	5	55.7(9)
02	Ru1	P2	C7	-14	1.6(3)	C8	C7	C12	2C11	5	58.7(9)
02	Ru1	P2	C13	-1	6.2(4)	C8	C9	C10	OC11	-51	L.5(10)
02	Ru1	03	C21	5	0.6(9)	C9	C10	)C11	l C12	52	2.0(10)
02	Ru1	04	C22	-2	7.8(6)	C10	)C11	l C12	2C7	-55	5.7(10)
02	Ru1	C19	901	_	60(15)	C12	2 C 7	C8	C9	-5	58.7(8)
02	C20	C23	3C21	80	.5(10)	C13	3 P2	C1	C2	5	58.3(6)
02	C20	C23	3 C 2 2	-43	.9(11)	C13	3 P2	C1	C6	-17	75.8(6)
02	C20	C23	3 C24	-16	0.5(8)	C13	3 P2	C7	C8	5	52.9(7)
03	Ru1	P2	C1		69(3)	C13	3 P2	C7	C12	-7	78.6(7)
03	Ru1	P2	C7	-	173(3)	C13	3 C14	4C15	5C16	-5	56.2(9)
03	Ru1	P2	C13		-48(3)	C14	4C13	3 C 18	3C17	-5	59.3(9)
03	Ru1	02	C20	-1	6.6(7)	C14	4C15	5C16	6C17	5	54.3(9)
03	Ru1	04	C22	5	6.8(7)	C15	5C16	5C17	7C18	-55	5.4(10)
O3	Ru1	C19	901	-1	01(12)	C16	5C17	7 C18	3C13	5	58.2(9)

58.8(9)	C18C13C14C15	-46.2(11)	O3 C21C23C20
-76.9(4)	C19Ru1P2 C1	78.7(11)	O3 C21 C23 C22
40.6(4)	C19Ru1P2 C7	-165.6(9)	O3 C21C23C24
166.0(4)	C19Ru1P2 C13	179.1(4)	O4 Ru1P2 C1
-57(7)	C19Ru1O2 C20	-63.3(3)	O4 Ru1P2 C7
-131.5(9)	C19Ru1O3 C21	62.1(4)	O4 Ru1P2 C13
149.4(7)	C19Ru1O4 C22	64.0(7)	O4 Ru1O2 C20

Table S23. Hydrogen Atom Coordinates (Å×10<sup>4</sup>) and Isotropic Displacement Parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>×10<sup>3</sup>) for 4c.

Atom	x	У	z	U(eq)
Н	4140(50)	6460(100)	1570(20)	20(20)
H2	2610(70)	7630(130)	1590(30)	40(30)
H3	3650(70)	5110(140)	2140(30)	40(40)
H4	2630(60)	3250(110)	1500(30)	20(30)
H1	4354	7554	635	26
H2A	3762	9107	1014	21
H2B	4281	8477	1333	21
H3A	4882	10543	1163	24
H3B	4916	9985	779	24
H4A	6189	9737	1063	31
H4B	5815	8844	1359	31
H5A	5880	7977	660	29
H5B	6409	7334	975	29
H6A	5275	6371	1204	24
H6B	5304	5875	815	24
H7	4323	4331	716	25
H8A	4163	5865	246	25
H8B	3281	5266	168	25
H9A	4162	4079	-178	29
H9B	4728	3675	150	29
H10A	3980	1734	0	33

H10B	3167	2605	-15	33
H11A	4108	1892	591	31
H11B	3223	1355	491	31
H12A	3215	3109	918	31
H12B	2697	3570	581	31
H13	2594	7728	995	24
H14A	3225	8533	504	24
H14B	2765	7359	280	24
H15A	2028	9447	223	26
H15B	1998	9573	630	26
H16A	758	8695	415	28
H16B	1190	7486	214	28
H17A	697	6549	712	32
H17B	1153	7702	943	32
H18A	1936	5560	574	30
H18B	1884	5598	982	30
H20A	1578	6909	1884	43
H20B	2386	6901	2123	43
H21A	2744	4551	2423	45
H21B	2664	3246	2171	45
H22A	1521	3257	1716	33
H22B	1377	4694	1519	33
H24A	780	4940	2085	70
H24B	1330	5442	2409	70
H24C	1277	3831	2315	70
H2SA	4378	3552	2958	64
H2SB	5264	3672	2830	64
H2SC	4523	3497	2558	64
H3SA	5517	6078	3093	74
H3SB	4664	6065	3256	74
H3SC	4885	7279	3000	74
