

THE AGA KHAN UNIVERSITY

Department of Radiation Oncology

eCommons@AKU

Medical College, Pakistan

January 2012

Outcome of febrile neutropenic patients on granulocyte colony stimulating factor in a tertiary care hospital

Asif Husain Osmani Aga Khan University

Tayyaba Zehra Ansari *Aga Khan University*

Nehal Masood Aga Khan University, nehal.masood@aku.edu

Bilal Ahmed Aga Khan University, bilal.ahmed@aku.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_radiat_oncol Part of the <u>Oncology Commons</u>, and the <u>Radiology Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Osmani, A. H., Ansari, T. Z., Masood, N., Ahmed, B. (2012). Outcome of febrile neutropenic patients on granulocyte colony stimulating factor in a tertiary care hospital. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 13(6), 2523-2526. **Available at:** https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_radiat_oncol/44

RESEARCH COMMUNICATION

Outcome of Febrile Neutropenic Patients on Granulocyte Colony Stimulating Factor in a Tertiary Care Hospital

Asif Husain Osmani*, Tayyaba Zehra Ansari, Nehal Masood, Bilal Ahmed

Abstract

Introduction: Febrile neutropenia is a relatively frequent event in cancer patients treated with chemotherapy and improvement in absolute neutrophil count (ANC) has been linked directly to improved outcome. Evaluation of granulocyte colony stimulating factors (GCSFs) for treatment has shown reduced incidences of episodes of prolonged neutropenia and protracted hospitalization. To determine absolute neutrophil counts with GCSF in febrile neutropenic cancer patients admitted to a tertiary care centre and to co-relate the improvement in ANC with mortality and hospital discharge. Methods: A prospective cross sectional study was carried at an oncology ward at Aga Khan University hospital from January 2010 to June 2011. All adult patients who were admitted and treated with GCSF for chemotherapy induced febrile neutropenia were included. Multivariable regression was conducted to identify the factors related with poor outcomes. Results: A total of 131 patients with febrile neutropenia were identified with mean age of 43.2 (18-85) years, 79 (60%) being ≤50. Seventy-five (57%) had solid tumors and 56 (43%) hematological malignancies, including lymphoma. Fifty seven (43.5%) had an ANC less 100 cells/mm³, 34 (26%) one between100-300 cells/mm³ and 40 (31%) an ANC greater than 300 cells/mm³. Thirty (23%) patients showed ANC recovery in 1-3 days, and 74(56%) within 4-7 days. Thirteen (10%) patients showed no recovery. The overall mortality was 18 (13.7%) patients. The mean time for ANC recovery seen in hematological malignancies was 6.34 days whereas for solid tumors it was 4.88 days. Patients with ANC <100 cells/mm³ were more likely to die than patients with ANC >300 cells/mm³ by a factor of 4.3. Similarly patients >50 years of age were 2.7 times more likely to die than younger patients. <u>Conclusion</u>: Our study demonstrated that use of GCSF, in addition to intravenous antibiotics, in treatment of patients with chemotherapy induced febrile neutropenia accelerates neutrophil recovery, and shortens antibiotic therapy and hospitalization. We propose to risk classify the patients at the time of admission to evaluate the cost effectiveness of this approach in a resource constrained setup.

Keywords: Febrile neutropenia - GCSF - absolute neutrophil count (ANC) - recovery time

Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev, 13, 2523-2526

Introduction

Febrile neutropenia is a relatively frequent event in cancer patients treated with chemotherapy. In the United States, an estimated 60,000 patients a year are hospitalized for febrile neutropenia and neutropenia related infections (Cagganio et al., 2005). Our single institution data in previous two studies have shown the frequency to be ranging from 10 to 12 per month (Lal et al., 2008; Shaikh et al., 2011).

Defined as "A single oral temperature $\ge 38.0^{\circ}$ C for ≥ 1 hour with a neutrophil count of $\le 0.5 \times 10^{9}$ /L or a neutrophil count of $\le 1 \times 10^{9}$ /L which was predicted to fall below $\le 0.5 \times 10^{9}$ /L (Pettengell et al., 2011), febrile neutropenia is a potentially life threatening situation and requires prompt medical intervention The availability of antibiotics have dramatically improved the outcome at the expense of increased cost (Kuderer et al., 2007) and hence a substantial economic burden on patients and families (Carbonero et al., 2001).

Hemopoietic colony stimulating factors, such as G CSF and GM CSF have been shown to promote proliferation, differentiation and functions of progenitor and mature cells of myeloid lineage (Souza et al., 1986). These cytokines circulate bactericidal functions of mature neutrophil (Metcalf et al., 1990). When administered as a preventive adjunct to chemotherapy, CSF's have shown in clinical trials to shorten neutropenic period and reduce 50% incidences of Febrile Neutropenia in high risk patients (Crawford et al., 1991). Also the effect of G CSF and GM CSF in increasing the number of neutrophil has provided the background for clinical studies designed to assess their role as an adjunct therapy to antibiotics in febrile neutropenia (Clarke et al., 2005). The improvement in absolute neutrophil count has been linked directly to the improved outcome of patients with febrile neutropenia.

Section Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan *For correspondence: asif.osmani@aku.edu

Asif H Osmani et al

Some studies have evaluated the role of CSF's in the treatment Febrile neutropenia (Maher et al., 1994; Riikonen et al., 1994; Mayordomo et al., 1995) and showed reduced incidences of episodes of prolonged Neutropenia and protracted hospitalization among cytokine treated patients but cost effectiveness of this approach for resource restricted settings is debatable. Therefore, we conducted this study to evaluate the role of G CSF in the treatment of patients with Febrile Neutropenia and to justify its use in resource constrained setting on the basis of evidence.

To determine the improvement of absolute neutrophil count with GCSF in Febrile Neutropenic cancer patients admitted to a tertiary care centre. To co-relate the improvement in ANC with the mortality and hospital discharge among patients who were administered Granulocyte colony stimulating factor and determine their outcomes based on recovery or mortality

Materials and Methods

This is a prospective cross sectional study conducted from January 2010 to June 2011. All patients18 years and above admitted with the diagnosis of chemotherapy induced neutropenic fever (Pettengell et al., 2011) under hematology and oncology services at The Aga Khan University Hospital (AKUH) were included. The AKUH is a renowned 560 bedded tertiary care center in Karachi, Pakistan The data set included the information on age, sex and types of cancers, WBC counts with differential counts at presentation, number of Chemotherapy cycles and days since last cycle. All patients were initially evaluated in the emergency room or clinic. A comprehensive history, physical examination, necessary laboratory and radiological investigations were done on all the patients. All febrile neutropenic patients were treated initially empirically with broad spectrum intravenous antibiotics and Granulocyte colony stimulating factors (G CSF) at dose of 5 micrograms/kg subcutaneously. Emperic antibiotics were modified later based on culture results However, for decision of vancomycin and amphotericin B was based on established guidelines for the management of febrile neutropenia (Carbonero et al., 2001). All patients were managed in the oncology unit while neutropenic septic shock patients were managed in intensive care unit. Treatment with GCSF was discontinued as soon as ANC rose above 1500 cells/mm³. Blood products were transfused as per requirement of individual patient.

Study Variable

Study outcome, death / discharged.

Independent variables

Age was collected as continuous later categorized as <50 and >50 years. Similarly ANC at presentation was also collected as continuous, later arbitrary cutoff was created based on the presentation of data as less than 100cells/ mm³, between 100-300 cells/mm³ and more than 300cells/ mm³

Statistical analysis

Data are summarized as relative frequencies for 2524 Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, Vol 13, 2012

categorical variables and mean (SD) for normally distributed continuous variables. Comparisons between groups were performed using Student t test and Pearson Chi-square according to the variables type and distribution. To explore the relationship between use of GCSF and outcome (death and discharged), logistic regression model was employed with adjustment for potential confounders. Significance level was established as a two tailed p-Value ≤0.05. Calculations were made with SPSS 17.0, Chicago, U.S.A.

Results

Patients

Total of 131 patients with febrile neutropenia identified during the study period. The mean age was 43.2 (18-85) years. There were slightly more patients 79 (60%) who were <50 years of age. There was almost equal 50.0 gender distribution with 66 males and 65 females. Only 36 patients had other co-morbids related to health like diabetes mellitus, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease,25.0 or congestive cardiac failure.

There were 75 (57%) patients with solid tumors and 56 (43%) with hematological malignancies including lymphoma. More patients 86 (66%) were receiving the curative treatment in adjuvant setting while remaining received the palliative treatment. The demographics of the study patients is shown in the Table 1.

ANC recovery

The patients who had recovered and were discharged had mean ANC of 282 cells/mm³ at presentation, whereas patients who died had mean ANC of 127 cells/mm³ (P value 0.001). There were 57 (43.5%) patients with ANC less 100 cells/mm³, 34 (26%) between 100-300 cells/mm³

Table 1. Baseline Demographic and Clinical **Characteristics of Patients Receiving GCSF**

Characteristics	No. of	No. of Patients				
Median age, years (Range)	43.3 years	(18-85)				
Sex, Male/Female	66/65					
Types of cancer (%) merge as discussed	1:					
HEMATOLGICAL MALIGNANCIES						
a. Lymphoma	36	(27.5%)				
b. Leukemia	20	(15.3%)				
SOLID TUMOUR						
a. Sarcoma	21	(16%)				
b. Head & Neck	09	(6.9%)				
c. Lung	07	(5.3%)				
d. Breast	15	(11.5%)				
e. Genitourinary	15	(11.5%)				
f. Gastrointestinal	08	(6.1%)				
Chemotherapy given with						
Curative/Palliative intent	86/45					
Median WBC at presentation	700/mm ³	(100-3100)				
Median ANC at presentation	119/mm ³	(0-1000)				
ANC <100/mm ³ , No.(%)	58	(44.2%)				
ANC b/w 100-500/mm ³ , No.(%)	55	(41.9%)				
ANC b/w 500-1000/mm ³ , No.(%)	18	(13.7%)				
Median Monocyte at Presentation 70 (0-1100)						
Median No. of Days since last cycle, N	o.(Range)10	(1-51)				
Median No. of previous cycle, No.(Ran	ige) 2	(1-15)				

100.0

0

6.3

and 40 (30.5%) greater than 300 cells/mm³. Thirty(23%) patients showed ANC recovery in 1-3 days, while 74 (56%) patients had ANC recovery in 4-7 days. Fourteen (10.6%) had ANC recovery in more than 7 days. Thirteen (10%) patients showed no recovery in ANC counts. The overall mortality resulted in 18 (13.7%) patients. The mean time for ANC recovery seen in hematological malignancies was 6.34 day. Whereas, mean time for ANC recovery seen in solid tumors was 4.88 days.

Mean monocyte at presentation was 190 cells/mm³ in patients who were discharged and 39 cell/mm³ in patients who died during hospital stay (P value = 0.00) Table 2.

One hundred & thirteen (86%) patients had recovered from febrile neutropenia and were discharged from hospital out of which 101 (78%) patients had hospital course of less than 7 days.

There were 57 patients with ANC less 100 cells/mm³ of

Table 2. Crude Unadjusted Odds Ratios for Predictorof Poor Outcome in Patients Admitted with FebrileNeutropenia.

Variable	Discharged	Death	P-value Un- 95% CI adjusted Odds Ratios		
Age (years)					
>50	48 (42.5)	4 (22.2)	1		
≤ 50	65 (57.5)	14 (77.8)	0.1	0.38	0.12-1.2
Gender					
Male	55 (48.7)	11 (61.1)		1	
Female	58 (51.3)	7 (38.9)	0.32	0.6	0.2-1.6
Types Of Cancer					
Solid	66 (58.4)	9 (50)		1	
Hematologica	al 47 (41.6)	9 (50)	0.5	0.7	0.2-1.9
ANC At Presentation					
	283 (29.4)	127 (30.4)	0.001	1.1	1.0-1.1
ANC At Present	tation				
>300	38 (33.6)	2 (11)		1	
<100	47 (41.6)	10 (55.6)	0.07	4.1	1.1-19.5
100 - 300	28 (24.8)	6 (33.3)		4	1.3-21.6
Co Morbidities					
No	82 (72.6)	13 (72.2)		1	
Yes	31 (27.4)	5 (27.8)	0.97	0.98	0.3-2.9
Intent Of Treatm	nent				
Curative	77 (68)	9 (50)		1	
Palliative	36 (31.9)	9 (50)	0.13	0.46	0.1-1.2
Monocyte At Pr	resentation				
	190 (26.1)	39 (12.1)	0	1.1	1.1-1.2
Days To ANC R	lecovery				
No Recovery	1 (0.9)	12 (66.7)			
1-3 Days	30 (26.5)	0	0		
4-7	70 (61.9)				
Beyond 7 Day	ys 12 (10.6)	2 (11.1)			

 Table 3. Multivariable Analysis Along with Adjusted

 Odds Ratios and 95% CI for Predictor of Poor Outcome

 in Patients Admitted with Febrile Neutropenia

Variables	Odds Ratios (Adjusted)	95% CI	P-value		
Monocyte At Presentation					
>300	1				
<100	4.3	1.2-21	0.05		
100 - 300	4	1.1-22			
Age (years)					
>50	1				
≤ 50	2.7	2.8-9.1	0.04		

itimulating Factor for Febrile Neutropenic Patients in Pakistan which 47 patients were discharged and 39 had hospital stay less than 7 days. Thirty four patients had ANC between 100-300 cells/mm³ of which 28 were discharged. Forty patients had ANC greater than 300 cells/mm³ of which 38 were discharged.

Multivariable regression

Our multivariable analysis Table 3 shows that, odds of ANC at presentation <100 cells/mm³ are 4.3 times more likely to die compare to those with ANC >300 cells/mm³. Similarly patients with ANC 100-300 cells/mm³ are 4 times more likely to die, adjusting for all other variables. Similarly, after adjusting for other covariates the effects of age suggests that those with greater than 50 years are 2.7 times more likely to die as compare to those with age less than 50 years (Table 3).

Discussion

Our study demonstrated that the age was a predictor of poor outcome in patients admitted with febrile neutropenia. Patients younger than 50 years of age gained more benefit in primary endpoints from routine use of GCSF as compared to older patients by factor of 2.7.

Patients older than 50 years of age had more co morbidities which also were associated with higher mortality or worse outcomes as previously studied (Kuderer et al., 2006). Also, the ANC of less than 100 was associated with the worst outcome. Our study population was heterogeneous as far as diagnoses and treatments were considered. Most of our patients were younger than 50 years (60%) and this population of patients had a better outcome. The gender distribution was equal in the study group, but mortality had a slight male predominance. The overall mortality was 13.7% which is comparable from international studies (Berghmans et al., 2002)

Use of GCSF did improve the outcome in older patients and assumed to decrease the mean duration of hospital stay. However, it remained debatable whether this was a cost effective strategy or not especially when 34% of our study patients received chemotherapy for metastatic disease. Monocytemia at presentation has been shown to be associated with worse outcomes in the literature (Rankoff et al., 1996). Monocytemia is linked with bacteremia in children with febrile neutropenia (Rankoff et al., 1996) and monocytemia after chemotherapy is correlated neutropenia while monocytosis indicates neutrophil recovery (Oguz et al., 2006). This was seen in our study as well, as in present study there was a trend towards significantly better outcomes in patients with high monocyte count at presentation. Hence, for a resource constrained setup, this simple parameter can be followed at presentation in addition to other variables to predict the course of the patient and GCSF can be avoided in patients with good monocyte count. Majority of patients had ANC recovery in 3 to 7 days. Mean ANC recovery in Solid tumours was slightly better than hematological malignancies probably because bone marrow takes longer time to recover in treatment of acute leukemias and even in lymphomas. Similar recovery patterns were observed in (Maher et al., 1997; Carbonero et al., 2001) studies.

Asif H Osmani et al

However when compared with (Ghaulat et al., 2008), the ANC recovery in hematological malignancies seen in our patients were significantly better.

Delayed ANC recovery was associated with longer periods of hospitalization which not only increases the cost but also adversely affect the outcome. In our study 14 (10.6%) patients took longer than 7 days for ANC to recover and hence had longer hospital stay. These results suggested that age, ANC and monocytes at presentation and types of malignancy were independent indicators of patients outcome. We observed that younger patients who received GCSF recovered and were discharged home, similarly older patients with better ANC and higher monocytes at presentations also recovered quickly. A study previously done at our institution showed that hematological malignancies, age above 50 years, severity of dehydration, pneumonia and positive blood cultures were significantly associated with increase in length of hospital stay and mortality, but the study did not mention whether the study subjects received G CSF or not (Lal et al., 2008). In another study, independent indicators like $ECOG \ge 2$, chronic obstructive airway disease, chronic heart failure, stomatitis grade ≥ 2 , monocytes $< 200 \text{ mm}^3$ and hyperglycemia have been studied for prognostic evaluation in apparently stable patients with febrile neutropenia. These simple assessments can classify cancer patients with febrile neutropenia according to risk of complication (Carmonera-Bayonas et al., 2011).

GCSF treatment offers substantial potential for saving lives of hospitalized patients with established neutropenia over a wide range of model assumption and our study showed the similar results (Cosler et al., 2007). Our study results though very pertinent but cannot be generalized as this was a single centre cross sectional study. It revealed that delayed ANC recovery prolonged the hospitalization and adversely affects the outcome. We have seen the benefit of GCSF in our patients despite the minimal benefit of GCSF in established febrile neutropenic patients in the reported literature. (Maher et al., 1997; Carbonero et al., 2001). Therefore, we suggest using GCSF in febrile neutropenia for every patient admitted to hospital. The (Cabonero et al., 2001) model can be incorporated in the initial assessment of patients along with the monocyte count at presentation for considering the decision for GCSF for high risk patients where cost is the major limiting factor.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that use of G CSF, in addition to intravenous antibiotics, in treatment of patients with chemotherapy induced Febrile Neutropenia accelerates neutrophil recovery, shortens antibiotic therapy and hospitalization. We propose to risk classify the patients at the time of admission to evaluate the cost effectiveness of this approach in resource constrained setup.

References

Berghmans T, Paesmans M, Lafitte JJ, et al (2002). Therapeutic use of granulocyte and granulocyte-macrophages colony stimulating factors in febrile neutropenic cancer patients. *Support Care Cancer*, **10**, 181-8.

CaggianoV, Weiss RV, Rickert TS, et al (2005). Incidence, cost,

2526 Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, Vol 13, 2012

and mortality of neutropenia hospitalization associated with chemotherapy. *Cancer*, **103**, 1916-24.

- Carbonero RG, Mayordomo JI, Tornamira MV, et al (2001). Granulocyte colony stimulating factor in the treatment of High risk febrile neutropenia: A multicenter randomized trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst*, **93**, 31-8.
- Carmonera-Bayonas A, Gomez J, Gonzalez-Billalabeitia E, et al (2011). Prognostic evaluation of febrile neutropenia in apparently stable adult cancer patients. *BJC*, **105**, 612-17.
- Clark DAC, Lyman GH, Castro AA, et al (2005). Colony stimulating factors for chemotherapy induced febrile neutropenia: A Meta analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Clin Oncol*, 23, 4198-214.
- Cosler LE, Eldar-Lissai A, Culakova E, et al (2007). Therapeutic use of granulocyte colony-stimulating factors for established febrile neutropenia: effect on costs from a hospital perspective. *Pharmacoeconomics*, **25**, 343-51.
- Crawford J, Ozer H, Stoller R, et al (1991). Reduction by granulocyte colony-stimulating factor of fever and neutropenia induced by chemotherapy in patients with small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*, **325**, 164-70.
- Ghalaut PS, Sen R, Dixit G (2008). Role of granulocyte colony stimulating factors (G-CSF) in chemotherapy induced neutropenia. JAPI, 56, 942-44.
- Kuderer NM, Dale DC, Crawford J, et al (2006). Mortality, morbidity and cost associated with febrile neutropenia in adult cancer patients. *Cancer*, **106**, 2258-66.
- Kuderer NM, Dale DC, Crawford J, Lyman GH (2007). Impact of primary prophylaxis with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor on febrile neutropenia and mortality in adult cancer patients receiving chemotherapy: a systematic review. *J Clin Oncol*, **25**, 3158-67.
- Lal A, Bhurgri Y, Rizvi N, et al (2008). Factors influencing in-hospital length of stay and mortality in cancer patients suffering from febrile neutropenia. *Asian Pac J Canc Prev*, 9, 303-8.
- Maher DW, Lieschke GJ, Green M, et al (1994). Filgastrim in patients with chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia. A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med*, **121**, 492-501.
- Mayordomo JI, Rivera F, Diaz-Puente MT, et al (1995). Improving treatment of chemotherapy-induced neutropenic fever by administration of colony-stimulating factors. *J Natl Cancer Inst*, **87**, 803-15.
- Metcalf D (1990). The colony stimulating factors. Discovery, development, and clinical applications. *Cancer*, **6**, 2185-95.
- Oguz A, Karadeniz C, Ckitak EC, et al (2006). Which one is a risk factor for chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in childhood solid tumors: early lymphopenia or monocytopenia. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol*, **23**, 143-51.
- Pettengell R, Johnson HE, Lugtenburg PJ, et al (2012). Impact of febrile neutropenia on R-CHOP chemotherapy delivery and hospitalizations among patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Support Canc Care*, **20**, 647-52.
- Rankoff WR, Gonin R, Robinson C, et al (1996). Predicting the risk of bacteremia in children with fever and neutropenia. J Clin Oncol, 14, 919-24.
- Riikonen P, Saarinen UM, Makipernaa A, et al (1994). Recombinant human granulocte-macrophage colony stimulating factor in the treatment of febrile neutropenia: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study in children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*, **13**, 197-202.
- Shaikh AJ, Bawany SA, Masood N, et al (2011). Incidence and impact of baseline electrolyte abnormalities in patients admitted with chemotherapy induced febrile neutropenia. J Cancer, 2, 62-6.
- Souza LM, Boone TC, Gabrilove J, et al (1986). Recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor: effects on normal and leukemic myeloid cells. *Science*, **232**, 61-5.