



THE AGA KHAN UNIVERSITY

Department of Anaesthesia

eCommons@AKU

Medical College, Pakistan

February 2003

# Effect of acupressure on postoperative nausea and vomiting in laparoscopic cholecystectomy

K Samad

G Afshan *Aga Khan University,* gauhar.afshan@aku.edu

R Kamal

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan\_fhs\_mc\_anaesth Part of the <u>Anesthesia and Analgesia Commons</u>, and the <u>Anesthesiology Commons</u>

#### **Recommended** Citation

Samad, K., Afshan, G., Kamal, R. (2003). Effect of acupressure on postoperative nausea and vomiting in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Journal of Pakistan Medical Association*, 53(2), 68-72. **Available at:** https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan\_fhs\_mc\_anaesth/214

# Effect of Acupressure on Postoperative Nausea and Vomiting in Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy

K. Samad (Department of Anaesthesiology, Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi.) G. Afshan, R. Kamal (Department of Anaesthesia, The Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi.)

#### Abstract

**Objective:** To ealuate the effectiveness of acupressure applied at meridian P6 point for prevention of nausea and vomiting in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. **Methodology:** A randomized double blind study was performed in 50 ASA I and II patients scheduled for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Patients were divided into two **groups;** control and placebo. In the control group acupressure was applied at P6 point half an hour before surgery while in the placebo group the acupressure band was tied on meridian P6 point but the plastic bead was placed on the dosum of right forearm away from meridian P6point. Patients were assessed for nausea and vomiting for six hours after surgery. Anaesthetic technique and postoperative analgesia were standardized for all patients.

**Results:**Results showed that the incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting was 36% in the treatment group and 40% in placebo group, which is statistically insignificant. **Conclusion:** Application of acupressure at P6 point half an hour before induction of anaesthesia does not significantly alter the incidence of postoperative nausea and/or vomiting within 6 hours after surgery (JPMA 53:68;2003).

#### Introduction

Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) occurs often after general and regional anesthesia.' Inspite of the widespread application of minimally invasive surgical procedures like laparoscopy and the availability of rapid and short acting anaesthetic agents, analgesics and muscle relaxants, postoperative nausea and vomiting remains a common problem after general anesthesia.<sup>2</sup>

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy has been practiced for many decades. Major advantages of this surgery are thought to result from avoidance of a large abdominal incision, minimizing the abdominal incision preserves diaphragmatic function, reduces adverse events and preserves pulmonary function. Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy causes less postoperative ileus, early ambulation, and economic benefits like shorter hospital stay and early return to work and normal activities.<sup>3-5</sup>

Holohan<sup>6</sup> claimed the overall adverse event rate of 22.4% associated with open cholecystectomy, which is more than six times the adverse event associated with laparoscopic cholecystectomy. However, nausea and vomiting is particularly troublesome after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Over 50% of patients required antiemetics and this was also the cause of delayed hospital discharge in 7% of patients.<sup>7</sup>

Various treatments for nausea and vomiting have been tried but nothing has proven to be effective in all cases. Side effects of drugs used for postoperative nausea and vomiting is still a problem, droperidol at a dose of 0.1 mg/kg may be associated with drowsiness and

extrapyramidal symptoms. Metoclopramide has the same type of side effects in addition to headache and diarrhea. Ondansetron is associated with headache, diarrhea and transient increase in hepatic transaminase level.<sup>8</sup> Drowsiness is of particular concern in ambulatory surgical patient because it can delay discharge of the patient and thus increases the hospital cost.

Side effects associated with the traditional antiernetics and the high cost of new antiemetics have led many practitioners to investigate alternatives or nonpharmacological methods of preventing postoperative nausea and vomiting.<sup>2</sup>

In traditional Chinese medical practice, the meridian P<sup>6</sup> point has been used to treat nausea and vomiting. Chin Fu Fan claimed that stimulation by acupressure at P<sup>6</sup> point is highly effective in reducing postoperative nausea and vomiting.<sup>8</sup> Acupressure has recently been introduced in anesthetics practice, it has no side effects or drug interactions.<sup>8</sup> It is non-invasive, simple to apply, has a high degree of patient acceptance and is economical.

The present study was undertaken to evaluate whether acupressure applied at meridian  $P^6$  point is effective in prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy when compared with placebo.

## Methods

This was a prospective, randomized, double blind study. After approval from ethical review committee of the Aga Khan University Hospital and informed consent of patients, we enrolled 50 ASA I and II physical status male and female patients between the ages of 18 and 60 years undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Obese patients (weight more than 80 kg), diabetics, patients with history of postoperative nausea and vomiting, patient receiving antiemetics and patient receiving histamine H2 antagonist were Figure 3. Application of acupressure band and bead to bhc wrist in treatment group.-excluded from the study. Patients were randomly assigned by random table number to either group-i (control group) or group-TI (placebo group). Wristbands (Acupressure band) and plastic beads were used for the application of acupressure (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Equipment used for applying acupressure. (a) Wrist hand (b) Plastic head.

Meridian P6 point which is located on the anterior surface of forearm, 2 inches proximal to distal wrist crease between tendons of flexor carpi radialis and palmaris longus (Figure 2)



Figure 2. Location of meridian P6 point.

was used as the treatment point. In group I pressure with plastic bead was applied to meridian P6 point with acupressure band on right hand by one of the investigators Figure 4. Application of acupressure band and bead to the wrist in placebo group. (who was not involved in the anaesthetic and recovery room management of the patient) half an hour before induction of anesthesia (Figure 3),



Figure 3. Application of acupressure band and head to bhe wrist in treatment group.

the pressure band was kept for 6 hours postoperatively. In group II the acupressure band was tied on meridian P6 point but the plastic beads was placed on the dorsum of the right forearm away from meridian P6 point (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Application of acupressure hand and head to the wrist in placebo group.

Anaesthetic technique was standardized for all patients. Patients received tablet midazolam 7.5mg premedication 1 hour before operation. Pethidine 0.8-1 mg/kg was administered intravenously as pen-operative analgesic. Anesthesia was induced with thiopentone 5mg/kg of body weight. Neuromuscular block for Endotracheal intubation was provided with vecuronium 0.1mg/kg. Anesthesia was maintained with enflurane 0.6-1%. Circle system was used with low flow anesthesia 3 liters/minutes with oxygen nitrousoxide ratio of 33:66. All patients were ventilated with controlled mode of ventilation with respiratory rate of 12-14/ minutes and tidal volume of 8-10 mI/kg, to keep end tidal carbondioxide between 30-35 mmHg. Routine monitoring was done with Datex Monitor observing ECU lead II, Oxygen saturation, non-invasive blood pressure monitoring, and end tidal carbondioxide. At the end of the surgical procedure. wound was infiltrated with 8-10 ml of 0.25% bupylcaine. Patients were extubated in the operating room after reversing with neostigmine 2.5 mg and atropine 1 mg. A blinded observer in the recovery room (one of the investigator not involved in applying acupressure band) evaluated the patients for presence of nausea and vomiting for 1-2 hours in the recovery room and for 4-5 hours in the wards. During observation patients complaining of nausea or vomiting, metaclopramide 10 mg was given intravenously and the observation was abandoned. Pethidine 10 mg was given intravenously to those patient who complained of pain and observation was continued.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Data was analyzed using the SPSS statistical package. Repeated measures ANOVA was applied to see the difference in the two groups as well as the effect of time in each. The physical characteristics of the two groups were assessed using

the unpaired t- test. Groups were compared separately tör nausea and vomiting after surgery. Pearson's chi-square test was used to investigate the association between nausea and vomiting in control group and placebo group. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

Twenty-five patients were included in each group, the control group (group I) and placebo group (group II) undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. There was no statistically significant difference with respect to age, sex, weight and duration of surgery between the two groups (Table 1).

	Table 1. Demographic data.			
	Group I	Group II	p value	
	Control	placebo	tering a	
	(n=25)	(n=25)		
Age (years)	38.16+8.82	40.60+11.11	NS	
Sex (male:female)	1:24	3:22	NS	
Weight (kg)	63.86+12.48	61.82+12.93	NS	
Duration of	115.42+23.45	118.80+22.23	NS	

NS = Not significant

The incidence of postoperative nausea was recorded hourly for six hours (Table 2).

	Co	ntrol	Pla	icebo	
	(n=25)		(n=25)		
Time (hrs.)	Nausea	Vomiting	Nausea	Vomiting	p value
Immediate	8 (32%)	8 (32%)	3 (12%)	5 (20%)	NS
1	3 (12%)	4 (16%)	2 (8%)	4 (16%)	NS
2	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	NS
3	I (4%)	2 (8%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	NS
4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	NS
5	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	NS
. 6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	NS

AL 1. 1. A

NS = Not significant

The incidence of nausea immediately after surgery was 32% and 12%, in first hour 12% and 8%, in second hour 0% and 4%, in third hour 4%, and 0%, in fourth hour 0% and 4% in control group and placebo group respectively, which is statistically insignificant when both groups are compared. Similarly, in fifth and sixth hour, none of the patient had nausea in either group.

The incidence of postoperative vomiting was recorded hourly from immediate to six hours (Table 3).

therapy in laparoscopic choleclystectomy).					
	Control (n=25)	Placebo (n=25)	p value		
Nausea and Vomiting	9 (36%)	10 (40%)	NS		
Anti-emetic	8 (32%)	10 (40%)	NS		

Table 3. Overall incidence of nausea and vomiting and anti-emetic

NS =not significant

The incidence of vomiting was 32% and 20% immediately after surgery, 16% and 16% in first hour, 4% and 8% in second hour, 8% and 4% in third hour, 0% and 4% in fourth hour in control group and placebo group respectively, which is statistically insignificant when both groups are compared. Similarly, in fifth and sixth hour, none of the patient had vomiting in either group.

The overall incidence of nausea and/or vomiting was 36% in control (Acupressure) group and 40% in placebo group in laparoscopic cholecystectomy (Table 3). All patients received an antiemetic who complained of nausea and/or had vomiting immediately after first episode in either group (Table 3).

#### Discussion

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy was first described in the literature by Perissat et al.<sup>9</sup> Laparoscopic cholecystectomy combines the benefit of completely removing the gall bladder with the advantages of shorter hospital stay, more rapid return to normal activities, less pain associated with the small incisions and less postoperative ileus as compared the traditional open cholecystectomy.<sup>4</sup> It has become an accepted procedure for symptomatic cholelithiasis. However, in current surgical practice high incidences of postoperative nausea and vomiting upto 53%-72% has also been reported<sup>10</sup> with the procedure.

The etiology of nausea and vomiting after laparoscopic cholecystectomy is not fully understood. Risk factors such as a long period of carbondioxide insufflation, gall bladder surgery, intraoperative use of inhalation agents, female sex and postoperative use of patient controlled analgesia may contribute to these episodes.

Acupuncture is an ancient Chinese art and Asian medical treatment that has been practiced for more than three thousand years and has been used in various settings including travel and motion sickness and postoperative emesis.<sup>11</sup> The mechanism of acupressure or acupuncture at the P.6 meridian

point for the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting is not fully understood. Additional research has shown that acupuncture for pain therapy is mediated by a neurochemical substance, possibly beta-endorphin and it has been postulated that opioids have both emetic and anti-emetic actions.<sup>12</sup> The emetic action is mediated by the delta receptors and the anti-emetic by the mu receptors. The anti-emetic effect can be blocked by systematically administered naloxone, but when administered directly into the cerebral ventricle, naloxone blocked the emetic effect.<sup>12</sup> Beta-endorphin has equal affinity for mu and delta receptors where as enkephalin binds predominantly to delta receptors. The antiemetic effect of acupuncture may therefore be mediated by the release of betaendorphin into the cerebrospinal fluid, potentiating the endogenous anti-emetic tone. The nature of the neurochemical substance has not yet been elucidated.<sup>12</sup>

Most studies indicate the efficacy of acupressure or acupuncture at P6 meridian point. Fan and colleagues<sup>8</sup> found this technique to be effective in surgeries associated with a high incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting such as laparoscopic and gynecologic procedures, tonsillectomy and open cholecystectomy. Important components of this treatment include timing of stimulation and correct point location which had a maximal effect in first hour as was demonstrated by Dundee and colleagues.<sup>13</sup> Lewis et

al<sup>14</sup>, Yentis and Bissonnette<sup>15</sup> and Shenkman et al.<sup>16</sup> did not demonstrate good efficacy with this treatment. Al-Sadi, Newman and Julious<sup>12</sup> found this technique effective after induction of anesthesia but before opioid administration. The possible reasons for poor results could be the inaccurate localization of  $P^6$  meridian point or wrong timing of the  $P^6$ activation. The maximum levels of beta endorphin occurs twenty five minutes after  $P^6$ meridian point stimulation, which in turn desensitizes the chemoreceptor trigger zone in the brain and prevents post-operative nausea and vomiting caused by inhalation anesthetics or chemotherapeutic drugs. However once the chemoreceptor trigger zone is sensitized, it is difficult to overcome or desensitize it by the neurochemical substance.<sup>12</sup> This may explain why acupressure, in treating nausea and vomiting, must be applied before the emetic stimulus has been initiated. In our study we eliminated this possibility by applying pressure to meridian P6 point in waiting area half an hour before surgery. A wide variety of factors influence the incidence of postoperative nausea and/or vomiting in laparoscopic cholecystectomy and bias from these must be eliminated from a study for the management of postoperative nausea and/or vomiting to draw valid conclusions. Risk factors such as age and sex of patient, obesity, history of postoperative nausea or vomiting or motion sickness, duration of surgery, use of anaesthetic drugs specially inhalation and opioids may contribute to these episodes. We controlled these risk factors within the study design. Duration of anesthesia and surgery and anaesthetic drugs used were similar in both groups. Similarly, age, weight and sex distributions were similar in both groups.

Various methods for scoring the severity of nausea and vomiting have been described. The purpose of this study was to establish whether acupressure applied preoperatively has any antiemetic effect postoperatively, therefore severity of nausea and vomiting was not measured. Failure to prevent postoperative nausea and/or vomiting was defined as one spontaneous report of nausea and /or one episode of vomiting postoperatively within six hours.

In our study we applied acupressure on right hand, however in literature both hands for acupressure or acupuncture have been used. There are evidences which showed that best results of acupressure were obtained by the use of dominant hand.<sup>13</sup>

Most recently Wang et al<sup>10</sup> reported 63% incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting in laparoscopic cholecystectomy in control (saline) group which is higher than we found in our study, 36% in control group and 40% in placebo group. There was almost no difference in incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting in both groups indicating that acupressure had no effect on postoperative emesis. All patients received an antiemetic who complained of nausea and/or had vomiting immediately after first episode that is 32% in control group and 40% in placebo group. There were no side effects or complications caused by placement of the acupressure band in either group.

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, our study showed that acupressure for prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting is ineffective in laparoscopic cholecystectomy even applying before emetic stimulus.

#### References

1.Watch MF, White PP. Postoperative nausea and vomiting: its etiology and treatment and prevention. Anesthesiology 992;77: 162-84.

2.Paul FW. Are nonpharmacological techniques useful alternatives to antiemetic drugs for the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting. Anesth Analg - 1997:84:712-4. 3.Dubios F, Icard P. Bertholrt G. et al. Coeioscopic cholecystectomy: preliminary report

of 36 cases. Ann Surg 1990;211:60-2.

4.Grace PA, Qureshi A. Coleman J. Reduced postoperative hospitalization after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Br J Surg 1991:78:160.2.

5.Jones R, Fletcher DR, Maclellan DO. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy: initial experience. Aust NZ Surg 1991 ;6 1:261-6.

6.Holohan TVLaparoscopic cholecystectomy. Lancet 1991:338-801.3.

7.Stanton JM. Anaesthesia for laparoscopic cholecystectomy (letter). Anesthesia 1991 ;46:317.

8.Fan CF. Acupressure for prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting. Anesth Analg 1997;84:821-5.

9.Perissat J, Collet DR, Belliard R. Gallstones: laparoscopic treatment, intracorporeal lithotripsy followed by cholecystostomy or cholecystectorny - a personal technique. Endoscopy 1989:21:373-4.

10.Wang JJ, Ho ST, Liu YH, et al. Dexarnethasone reduced nausea and vomiting after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Br J Anaesth I 999:83:772-5.

11.Beg MHA, Acupuncture: theory, practice. uses and misuses. Pak J Otolarang 1991 ;7: 131-3.

12.Al-Sadi M, Newman B, Julious SA. Acupuncture in the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting. Anaesthesia I 997;52:658-6 1.

13.Dundee JW, GhaIIy RG, Bill KM. et al. Effect of stimulation of the P6 antiemetic point on the postoperative nausea and vomiting. Br J Anaesth I 989;63:612-18.

14.Lweis IH, Pryn Si, Reynolds P1, et al. Effect of P6 acupressure on postoperative vomiting in children undergoing outpatient strabismus correction. Br J Anaesth 1991;67:73-8.

15. Yentis SM, Bissonnette B. Acupuncture and postoperative vomiting after tonsillectomy in children. Br J Anaesth 1991:67:779-80.

16.Shenkman Z, Robert SH, Cheonil K. et al. Accupressure - acupuncture antiemetic prophylaxis in children undergoing tonsillectomy.Anaesthesiology 1999:90:1311-16.