

**Anisotropic  $k$ -essence cosmologies**

Luis P. Chimento\* and Mónica Forte†

*Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellón I, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina*

(Received 1 November 2005; published 1 March 2006)

We investigate a Bianchi type-I cosmology with  $k$ -essence and find the set of models which dissipate the initial anisotropy. There are cosmological models with extended tachyon fields and  $k$ -essence having a constant barotropic index. We obtain the conditions leading to a regular bounce of the average geometry and the residual anisotropy on the bounce. For constant potential, we develop purely kinetic  $k$ -essence models which are dust dominated in their early stages, dissipate the initial anisotropy, and end in a stable de Sitter accelerated expansion scenario. We show that linear  $k$ -field and polynomial kinetic function models evolve asymptotically to Friedmann-Robertson-Walker cosmologies. The linear case is compatible with an asymptotic potential interpolating between  $V_I \propto \phi^{-\gamma_I}$ , in the shear dominated regime, and  $V_I \propto \phi^{-2}$  at late time. In the polynomial case, the general solution contains cosmological models with an oscillatory average geometry. For linear  $k$ -essence, we find the general solution in the Bianchi type-I cosmology when the  $k$  field is driven by an inverse square potential. This model shares the same geometry as a quintessence field driven by an exponential potential.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.73.063502](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.063502)

PACS numbers: 98.80.-k, 04.20.Jb

**I. INTRODUCTION**

A number of recent astrophysical data including supernovae Ia [1], cosmic microwave background [2], and large-scale structure [3] suggest that we are living in an accelerated universe containing about 70% dark energy, 25% dark matter, and 5% other forms such as radiation and usual baryonic matter. Among the distinct candidates for dark matter are moduli fields [4], Wimpzillas [5], axinos and gravitinos (super partners of the axion and graviton, respectively [6]), neutralinos, and axions [7]. Simple examples of dark energy are the cosmological constant and an important class of models related to quintessence [8]. Some of them have the interesting property that the scalar field density remains close to the dominant background matter density during most of the cosmological evolution (tracker fields) [9]. These models, with an adequate potential, are able to describe both dark energy and dark matter within a tracker framework [10].

There are several dark energy candidates suggested in the literature, such as vacuum polarization [11], vector models [12], tachyons [13], Chaplygin gas [14],  $k$ -essence [15], Cardassian expansion [16], quasisteady state cosmology [17], and scalar-tensor models [18]. Much effort has been put into the  $k$ -essence cosmology [19–21] and, recently, it has been shown that it may play both the dark matter and dark energy roles [22]. In this way, the  $k$ -essence would explain the coincidence problem, namely *why are the matter and dark energy densities from the same order today?*—because the transition between the tracker behavior during the radiation-matter domination and a cosmological-constant-like behavior seems to

occur for purely dynamical reasons without the necessity for fine-tuning.

The results mentioned previously have been established for times after the era in which the universe became transparent to radiation and their extrapolation to earlier times is totally ungrounded. There are theoretical arguments that support the existence of an anisotropic phase that approaches an isotropic one [23]. Besides, if we intend to avoid the assumption of special initial conditions tacitly implied in the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) cosmologies, we should study more appropriate models in which anisotropies, perhaps damped out in the course of evolution [24], can exist from the very beginning. With those things in mind we will study a Bianchi type-I (BTI) universe filled with  $k$ -essence. In this direction, we focus on the dissipation of the initial anisotropy for a wide class of  $k$ -essence models which asymptotically behave like FRW ones. A final contracting singularity is expected for a universe filled with standard matter obeying the energy conditions. Dissipative effects of particle creation could violate the energy conditions avoiding the final singularity with a regular bounce. So, it will be interesting to investigate this subject when there is a  $k$ -essence source in an anisotropic background.

Finally, we compare different cosmological models like those associated with quintessence or  $k$ -essence in a BTI spacetime, contributing to gain more insight on the degree of resemblance between quintessence and  $k$ -essence scenarios driven by exponential and inverse square potentials, respectively [25]. In Sec. II, we state the Einstein equations in a BTI spacetime with  $k$ -essence. In Sec. III, we study the dissipation of the anisotropy and the existence of an average bounce, and find the first integral of the  $k$ -field equation for purely kinetic  $k$ -essence models. In Sec. IV, we obtain the general solution of the Einstein equations in two

\*Electronic address: [chimento@df.uba.ar](mailto:chimento@df.uba.ar)†Electronic address: [monicaforte@fibertel.com.ar](mailto:monicaforte@fibertel.com.ar)

cases: (a) when the  $k$  field depends linearly on the cosmological time and (b) when the barotropic index of the  $k$ -essence is constant. In Sec. V, we find the general solution of the Einstein equation for a linear  $k$ -essence driven by an inverse square potential and show the geometrical equivalence of this model with the quintessence one driven by an exponential potential. Finally, in Sec. VI we present our conclusions.

## II. BTI $k$ -ESSENCE COSMOLOGIES

In the BTI spacetime described by the line element

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a_1^2(t)dx_1^2 + a_2^2(t)dx_2^2 + a_3^2(t)dx_3^2, \quad (1)$$

with a perfect fluid having energy density  $\rho$  and isotropic pressure  $p$ , the Einstein equations are given by

$$3H^2 = \rho + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2, \quad (2)$$

$$-2\dot{H} = p + \rho + \sigma^2, \quad (3)$$

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\dot{\sigma} + 3H\sigma = 0, \quad (5)$$

where  $H$  is the average of the individual expansion rates  $H_1 = \dot{a}_1/a_1$ ,  $H_2 = \dot{a}_2/a_2$ ,  $H_3 = \dot{a}_3/a_3$ . They are related to the three spatial directions and

$$H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \frac{1}{3}(H_1 + H_2 + H_3), \quad (6)$$

while the scale factor  $a$  is defined as

$$a = (-g)^{1/6} = (a_1 a_2 a_3)^{1/3}. \quad (7)$$

Here and throughout overdots denote differentiation with respect to the cosmological time  $t$  and we assume that  $8\pi G = 1$ . The shear vector  $\vec{\sigma}$  has components

$$\sigma_i = H_i - H, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\sigma_i = \frac{\sigma_{i0}}{a^3}, \quad \sigma = \sqrt{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\sigma}} = \frac{\sigma_0}{a^3}, \quad (9)$$

and the three constants  $\sigma_{i0}$  transform as the components of a vector in the internal three-dimensional Cartesian space associated with the three-axis  $\sigma_i$ . They satisfy the transverse condition

$$\sigma_{10} + \sigma_{20} + \sigma_{30} = 0, \quad (10)$$

with

$$\sigma_{10}^2 + \sigma_{20}^2 + \sigma_{30}^2 = \sigma_0^2, \quad (11)$$

and  $\sigma_0$  a constant. Inserting the first Eq. (9) into Eq. (8) and integrating, we obtain the individual scale factors  $a_i$ ,

$$a_i = a_{i0} \left( \frac{3\sigma_0^2}{2} \right)^{1/6} \frac{m^{s_i}}{\dot{m}^{1/3}}, \quad (12)$$

where the integration constants  $a_{i0}$  satisfy the condition  $a_{10}a_{20}a_{30} = 1$ , the function  $m$  is defined through the following equation

$$\frac{\dot{m}}{m} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\sigma_0}{a^3}, \quad (13)$$

and the parameters  $s_i$  satisfy the Kasner constraints [26]

$$s_1 + s_2 + s_3 = 1, \quad s_1^2 + s_2^2 + s_3^2 = 1. \quad (14)$$

A one-parameter representation of the Kasner exponents  $s_i$  is given by

$$s_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left[ 1 + \frac{2u}{\sqrt{3+u^2}} \right], \quad s_2 = \frac{1}{3} \left[ 1 + \frac{3-u}{\sqrt{3+u^2}} \right], \\ s_3 = \frac{1}{3} \left[ 1 - \frac{3+u}{\sqrt{3+u^2}} \right], \quad (15)$$

where  $u$  is the parameter.

Let us assume that the anisotropic spacetime contains an isotropic perfect fluid associated with the  $k$ -essence field  $\phi$ . The latter is introduced by means of a Lagrangian factorized in the following way:

$$L = -V(\phi)F(x), \quad (16)$$

where the potential  $V(\phi)$  is a positive definite function depending on the  $k$  field  $\phi$  and  $F$  is a function of the kinetic term  $x = g^{ik}\phi_i\phi_k$ , with  $\phi_i = \partial\phi/\partial x^i$ . Identifying the energy-momentum tensor of the  $k$  field with that of a perfect fluid, the energy density  $\rho_\phi$  and the pressure  $p_\phi$  are

$$\rho_\phi = V(F - 2xF_x), \quad p_\phi = -VF, \quad (17)$$

with  $F_x = dF/dx$ . Assuming the equation of state  $p_\phi = (\gamma_\phi - 1)\rho_\phi$ , the barotropic index  $\gamma_\phi$ ,

$$\gamma_\phi = \frac{-2xF_x}{F - 2xF_x}, \quad (18)$$

depends only on the kinetic term.

The dynamics of the  $k$  field in the BTI spacetime is obtained inserting the energy density and pressure (17) into Eqs. (2)–(5) and (18). They become

$$3H^2 = V(F - 2xF_x) + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2a^6}, \quad (19)$$

$$-2\dot{H} = -2V_x F_x + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{a^6}, \quad (20)$$

$$[F_x + 2xF_{xx}]\ddot{\phi} + 3HF_x\dot{\phi} + \frac{V'}{2V}[F - 2xF_x] = 0, \quad (21)$$

$$\gamma_\phi = -\frac{2\dot{H} + \sigma_0^2/a^6}{3H^2 - \sigma_0^2/2a^6}. \quad (22)$$

Equations (21) and (22) show that  $\phi$  and  $\gamma_\phi$  are sensitive to the evolution of the average geometry.

### III. GENERAL ISSUES

In this section we are going to investigate several interesting problems concerning the anisotropic background. These are related to the dissipation of the anisotropy, the avoidance of the special initial conditions, the existence of a regular bounce, and the possibility of developing a kinetic  $k$ -essence cosmology. All of them have in common a description in terms of the barotropic index independent of the potential we choose.

#### A. Dissipation of the anisotropy

There is observational evidence that at present the universe seems homogeneous and has been highly isotropic after the recombination era. Observations of the cosmic microwave background radiation reveal that our universe is remarkably uniform, at a very large scale, and is currently under accelerated expansion. Dissipation of anisotropy could solve the problem of the apparent large-scale observed isotropy of the universe. A realistic model should describe the existence of an initial anisotropic phase that approaches an isotropic one for any initial condition. It suggests studying cosmological models in which anisotropies, existing at an early stage of the expansion, are damped out in the course of the evolution. This investigation has increased since it was shown in Ref. [24] that the creation of scalar particles can dissipate the anisotropy as the universe expands. The dissipation of the anisotropy in a BTI universe is particularly interesting because it is the simplest model that includes the isotropic FRW universe. Here, we investigate this process when the universe is filled with  $k$ -essence. It brings the possibility of considering dynamical or purely kinematic models.

To investigate the dissipation of the initial anisotropy by the expansion of the universe, we introduce a positive magnitude defined by the ratio of the energy density contribution of the shear  $\sigma^2/2$  and the  $k$ -field energy density  $\rho_\phi$ . Hence, differentiating  $D = \sigma^2/2\rho_\phi$  with respect the cosmological time  $t$  and using Eqs. (4), (5), and (18), we obtain the evolution equation for the ratio  $D$ ,

$$\dot{D} + 3H(2 - \gamma_\phi)D = 0. \quad (23)$$

For an average expanding cosmology ( $H > 0$ ) the solution of the last equation,  $D = 0$ , is asymptotically stable whenever  $D$  is a positive definite quantity and  $\gamma_\phi < 2$ . In this asymptotic regime the fluid dominates compared with the shear. These models, generated by kinetic functions satisfying the condition

$$\frac{F - xF_x}{F - 2xF_x} > 0, \quad (24)$$

become isotropic at late times and the geometry tends to the typical FRW one, whatever the potential is. On the other side, using the condition  $\gamma_\phi < 2$  in Eqs. (18)–(20) we get  $\dot{H} + 3H^2 > 0$ , which, combined with Eqs. (2) and

(3), finally gives  $\rho > p$ . So, fluids obeying the dominant energy condition (DEC) dissipate the initial anisotropy without using a selected initial condition. In contrast, when  $\gamma_\phi > 2$ , the shear dominates compared with the fluid, the DEC is violated, and the magnitude  $D$  increases asymptotically.

For instance, the extended tachyon fields generated by [23]

$$F_r^\mp = [1 \mp (-x)^r]^{1/2r} \quad (25)$$

have sound speed  $c_s^2 = (1 - \gamma_\phi)/(2r - 1)$ . They generalize the standard tachyon field yielded by  $F_1^-$ .  $F_r^+$  represents  $k$ -essence with negative pressure and a negative barotropic index which can be identified with phantom matter. For large  $r$ , the fluids associated with  $F_r^-$  satisfy the equation of state  $p = -\rho = -V$  and behave like a variable cosmological constant depending on the  $k$  field. The set of extended tachyon fields (25) verifies the condition (24) and dissipates the initial anisotropy along the evolution of the universe.

Another interesting case is provided by the polynomial kinetic function [20]

$$F_{\gamma_p}^\pm(x) = \pm(-x)^{\gamma_p/2(\gamma_p-1)}, \quad \gamma_p \neq 1, \quad (26)$$

which yields a constant barotropic index  $\gamma_\phi = \gamma_p$  and an energy density  $\rho_\phi \propto 1/a^{3\gamma_p}$ , generalizing the usual perfect fluids. The set of fluids with  $\gamma_p < 2$  fulfills the condition (24) and the cosmological models generated by  $F_{\gamma_p}^\pm$  have a final isotropic stage.

#### B. Average bounce

Let us consider the problem of singularities arising in a final contracting universe. For a universe filled with standard matter obeying the energy conditions, a singularity is expected as a consequence of that contraction. Quantum effects, through dissipative effects of particle creation, could violate the energy conditions, raising the possibility of avoiding the final singularity with a regular bounce. The existence of singularity-free contracting solutions is important to describe an eternal oscillating model of the universe. Different examples of singularity-free cosmological models with a regular bounce have been considered in Refs. [27,28]. It seems reasonable to gain insight into this problem by considering other kinds of frameworks. In particular, we focus on cosmological models with a  $k$ -essence source.

For a BTI spacetime, an average bounce will occur at the time  $t = t_0$ , where the average expansion rate is  $H(t_0) = 0$ , the scale factor is stationary  $\dot{a}(t_0) = 0$ , and  $a(t_0) \neq 0$  [29]. Then, from Eq. (19) the energy density of the  $k$  field is negative during a finite time interval around  $t_0$  and satisfies, at  $t_0$ , the condition

$$V(F - 2xF_x) = -\frac{\sigma^2}{2}. \quad (27)$$

Also, we assume that the scale factor has a minimum at the average bounce,  $\ddot{a}(t_0) > 0$ , so  $\dot{H}(t_0) > 0$ . Then, from Eqs. (20) and (27), we get

$$VF > \frac{\sigma^2}{2}. \quad (28)$$

The restrictions on the energy density and the pressure of the  $k$  field, expressed by Eqs. (27) and (28), give the lower limit  $\gamma_\phi > 2$  and

$$F > 0, \quad F_x < 0, \quad (29)$$

$$xF_x < F < 2xF_x. \quad (30)$$

Conditions (29) and (30) are fulfilled by the branch  $F_{\gamma_p}^+$  in (26) with  $\gamma_p > 2$ . In the next section we will find the general solution of Eqs. (19)–(21) for the two branches (26) and show that the  $F_{\gamma_p}^+$  branch generates an oscillatory average scale factor.

The existence of an average bounce, defined by the conditions  $H = 0$  and  $\dot{H} > 0$ , is linked to atypical perfect fluids with  $\gamma_\phi > 2$ . This problem can be overcome in the  $k$ -essence framework. However, on the average bounce, the relation between the shear and the  $k$ -field energy densities is constant,  $D = -1$ . Hence, we can reach a bounce avoiding the final contracting singularity but we have a residual anisotropy in that scenario and  $D$  becomes unstable.

In summary, there is a strict incompatibility between the stability condition and the existence of an average bounce. In fact, the former occurs when the fluid that constitutes the source satisfies the DEC and the latter happens when it is violated. This incompatibility is due to the requirement  $D > 0$ , positive energy density, so that the Lyapunov theorem can be applied in Eq. (23). In fact, an average bounce exists if the energy density and  $D$  become negative; see Eq. (27).

### C. Kinetic $k$ -essence cosmology

Here, we consider a constant potential  $V = V_0$  and investigate the resulting purely kinetic  $k$ -essence cosmologies in the BTI background. Models of this class are (a) the generalized Chaplygin gas derived from a Lagrangian containing nonstandard kinetic-energy terms and proposed as unified dark matter and (b) the modified and extended Chaplygin gases which were suggested as alternatives to the above model [23]. For a constant potential, the  $k$  field Eq. (21) can be rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{\gamma_\phi}{\dot{\phi}}\right) + 3H\left(\frac{\gamma_\phi}{\dot{\phi}}\right)(1 - \gamma_\phi) = 0. \quad (31)$$

Using the geometrical definition (22) of  $\gamma_\phi$  in Eq. (31), we get its general first integral,

$$\left(\frac{\gamma_\phi}{\dot{\phi}}\right) = \frac{c}{a^3(3H^2 - \sigma_0^2/2a^6)}, \quad (32)$$

for any function  $F$ . Using again the expression for  $\gamma_\phi$  in the last equation, it becomes

$$\dot{\phi} = -\frac{a^3}{c}\left(2\dot{H} + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{a^6}\right) = \frac{a^3}{c}\gamma_\phi\rho_\phi, \quad (33)$$

or

$$a^3\dot{\phi}F_x = \frac{c}{2V_0}, \quad (34)$$

after replacing  $\gamma_\phi\rho_\phi = 2V_0\dot{\phi}^2F_x$ .

From Eqs. (18), (33), and (34), the barotropic index associated with this purely kinetic  $k$ -essence becomes

$$\gamma_\phi = \left(1 + \frac{2V_0^2\sigma_0^2FF_x}{c^2\sigma^2}\right)^{-1}. \quad (35)$$

The models generated by the set of kinetic functions satisfying the condition  $FF_x/\sigma^2 \ll 1$  at early times describe universes which on the average are dust dominated in their early stages, that is,  $\gamma_\phi \approx 1$ ,  $p_\phi \approx 0$ , and  $\rho_\phi \approx a^{-3}$ . Such models dissipate the initial anisotropy and the universe ends in a stable de Sitter accelerated expansion scenario. These transient models resemble the generalized, modified, and extended Chaplygin gases, i.e., they interpolate between dark matter at early times and dark energy at late times.

## IV. ASYMPTOTIC POWER-LAW SOLUTIONS

In FRW cosmologies there are two different ways of obtaining power-law solutions. In the first approach, the  $k$  field depends linearly on the time  $\phi = \phi_0 t$ , and  $x = x_0 = -\dot{\phi}^2 = -\phi_0^2$  is a constant. This means that  $F(x_0) = f$  and  $F_x(x_0) = f'$  are constants for any  $F$ , while the potential becomes

$$V = \frac{V_0}{\phi^2}. \quad (36)$$

In the second approach, one imposes that  $\gamma_\phi = \gamma_p$  is a constant, so Eq. (18) becomes a differential equation for  $F$  and their solutions are given by the polynomial functions (26). Also, the conservation equation can be integrated giving a constraint between the potential  $V_p$ ,  $F_{\gamma_p}^\pm$ , and the scale factor

$$\rho_{\phi_p} = \frac{V_{\gamma_p}F_{\gamma_p}^\pm}{1 - \gamma_p} = \frac{\lambda_p}{a^{3\gamma_p}}, \quad (37)$$

where  $\lambda_p$  is an integration constant.

### A. The anisotropic background

Now, we will perform the above analysis investigating linear  $k$ -field and polynomial kinetic function cases in the

anisotropic BTI background and the results will be compared with that of the isotropic FRW background.

In the linear case, the Einstein equations (19)–(21) read

$$3H^2 = \frac{V_l f}{1 - \gamma_l} + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2a^6}, \quad (38)$$

$$-2\dot{H} = 2V_l \phi_0^2 f' + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{a^6}, \quad (39)$$

$$3\gamma_l H + \frac{V_l'}{V_l} \phi_0 = 0, \quad (40)$$

where we have used the barotropic index (18) evaluated on the linear  $k$  field

$$\gamma_l = \frac{2\phi_0^2 f}{f + 2\phi_0^2 f'}. \quad (41)$$

Assuming that the scale factor is a function of  $\phi$ , then  $H = \phi_0 da/ad\phi$  and the conservation equation (40) can be integrated, obtaining

$$\rho_{\phi_l} = \frac{V_l f}{1 - \gamma_l} = \frac{\lambda_l}{a^{3\gamma_l}}, \quad (42)$$

where  $\lambda_l$  is an integration constant. Back to Eq. (38), it can be rewritten as

$$3H^2 = \frac{\lambda_l}{a^{3\gamma_l}} + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2a^6}. \quad (43)$$

When the  $k$  field is generated by the set of polynomial functions (26), the dynamics of the scale factor  $a$  is obtained by inserting the energy density (37) into Eq. (19), so

$$3H^2 = \frac{\lambda_p}{a^{3\gamma_p}} + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2a^6}. \quad (44)$$

Equations (43) and (44) show that both cases reduce to a BTI cosmology with a perfect fluid having an energy density and a barotropic index not necessarily positive definite.

## B. Metric and potentials

Introducing the new variable  $v = a^3 = \sqrt{-g}$  into Eqs. (43) and (44), they become

$$v'^2 = 1 + \frac{2\lambda}{\sigma_0^2} v^{2-\gamma} \quad (45)$$

where the prime indicates differentiation with respect to the dimensionless time  $T = \sqrt{3/2}\sigma_0 t$  and  $\lambda, \gamma = \lambda_l, \gamma_l$  or  $\lambda_p, \gamma_p$ , respectively. The general solution of Eq. (45) depends on the sign of the integration constant  $\lambda$ , being hyperbolic for  $\lambda > 0$  and oscillatory for  $\lambda < 0$ . There are three kinds of solutions:

(1)  $\gamma = 2$ ,

$$a^3 = \sqrt{3\left(\lambda + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2}\right)} t, \quad (46)$$

$$a_i = a_{0i} \left[ 3\left(\lambda + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2}\right) \right]^{1/6} t^{1/3 + (s_i - 1/3)/\sqrt{1 + 2\lambda/\sigma_0^2}}, \quad (47)$$

(2)  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$a^{3(2-\gamma)} = \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2\lambda} \sinh^2 \tau, \quad (48)$$

$$t = t_0^+ \int (\sinh \tau)^{\gamma/(2-\gamma)} d\tau,$$

$$a_i = a_{0i} \left( \frac{2\sigma_0^2}{\lambda} \right)^{1/3(2-\gamma)} \left[ \cosh \frac{\tau}{2} \right]^{4/3(2-\gamma)} \times \left[ \tanh \frac{\tau}{2} \right]^{2s_i/(2-\gamma)}, \quad (49)$$

(3)  $\lambda < 0$ ,

$$a^{3(2-\gamma)} = \frac{\sigma_0^2}{-2\lambda} \sin^2 \tau, \quad (50)$$

$$t = t_0^- \int (\sin \tau)^{\gamma/(2-\gamma)} d\tau,$$

$$a_i = a_{0i} \left( \frac{2\sigma_0^2}{-\lambda} \right)^{1/3(2-\gamma)} \left[ \cos \frac{\tau}{2} \right]^{4/3(2-\gamma)} \times \left[ \tan \frac{\tau}{2} \right]^{2s_i/(2-\gamma)}, \quad (51)$$

where  $t_0^\pm = 2^{3/2}(\pm\sigma_0^2/2\lambda)^{1/(2-\gamma)}[\sqrt{3}\sigma_0(2-\gamma)]^{-1}$ .

From Eq. (43), the linear  $k$  field can be expressed as a function of the scale factor

$$\phi = \frac{\sqrt{6}\phi_0}{\sigma_0} \int \frac{a^2 da}{\sqrt{1 + 2\lambda_l a^{3(2-\gamma_l)}/\sigma_0^2}}. \quad (52)$$

Also, for  $0 < \gamma_l < 2$ , Eq. (48) shows that  $t$  and  $\tau$  have the same asymptotic limits. In this case, the last equation is appropriate to investigate the relation between  $\phi$  and  $V_l$  in the two asymptotic regimes. In the first regime,  $a^{3(2-\gamma_l)} < \sigma_0^2/2\lambda$ , the shear dominates compared with the perfect fluid and  $\phi \propto a^3$ . Hence [see Eq. (42)] the potential becomes  $V_l \propto \phi^{-\gamma_l}$  and, qualitatively, the universe has a Kasner scenario. In the second regime, which starts from some characteristic time where  $a^{3(2-\gamma_l)} > \sigma_0^2/2\lambda$ , the fluid becomes dominant and  $\phi \propto a^{3\gamma_l/2}$ . It leads to the asymptotic inverse square potential  $V_l \propto \phi^{-2}$ . Hence, owing to the spatial isotropy of the stress-energy tensor, the aniso-

tropic BTI model evolves into a FRW cosmology and the initial anisotropy of this model is dissipated as the universe expands.

In the polynomial function case, the scale factor tends asymptotically to a power-law solution [for  $\gamma_p = 2$ , stiff fluid, we get the scale factor (46)]. In contrast, the same model in the FRW spacetime leads to exact power-law solutions. Using Eqs. (26), (37), (46), (48), and (50), we find the following relations between  $V_{\gamma_p}$  and the time  $\tau$ :

$$\int d\phi V_{\gamma_p}^{(\gamma_p-1)/\gamma_p} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\sigma_0}{\lambda_p(2-\gamma_p)} |\lambda_p(1-\gamma_p)|^{(\gamma_p-1)/\gamma_p} \zeta(\tau), \quad \gamma_p \neq 2, \quad (53)$$

$$\int d\phi \sqrt{V_{\gamma_p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|-3(1+\sigma_0^2/2\lambda_p)|}} \text{Int}, \quad \gamma_p = 2, \quad (54)$$

where  $\zeta(\tau) = \cosh\tau$  for  $\lambda_p > 0$  or  $\zeta(\tau) = \cos\tau$  for  $\lambda_p < 0$ . For purely kinetic  $k$ -essence models,  $\phi$  becomes proportional to  $\zeta(\tau)$ , or  $\text{Int}$  according to  $\gamma_p \neq 2$  or  $\gamma_p = 2$ .

## V. THE INVERSE SQUARE POTENTIAL

In [23] it was shown that  $k$ -essence driven by an inverse square potential in FRW spacetime and generated by  $F = 1 + mx$ , with  $m$  a constant, is kinematically equivalent to quintessence driven by an exponential potential. In this section, we will extend this conclusion to the anisotropic BTI cosmology. To do that, we use Eqs. (17) and (18) to rewrite the  $k$  field Eq. (21) as

$$\left(\frac{\gamma_\phi}{\dot{\phi}}\right)' + 3H\left(\frac{\gamma_\phi}{\dot{\phi}}\right)(1-\gamma_\phi) + \frac{V'}{V}(1-\gamma_\phi) = 0, \quad (55)$$

where  $V' = dV/d\phi$ . Substituting in the last equation

$$\gamma_\phi = -\frac{\dot{V}}{V}\left(\frac{H}{\rho_\phi} + L\right), \quad (56)$$

where  $L$  is an arbitrary function and using Eqs. (18)–(20), it becomes

$$\dot{L} + 3HL(1-\gamma_\phi) + \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{V}{V'}\right)'\right]\gamma_\phi = 0. \quad (57)$$

Choosing the potential (36), the latter reduces to a differential equation containing only geometrical quantities. Its general solution is

$$L = -\frac{3c}{2a^3} \left(3H^2 - \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2a^6}\right)^{-1}, \quad (58)$$

where  $c$  is an integration constant and we have used the geometrical definition (22) of  $\gamma_\phi$ . Finally, the first integral of the  $k$  field Eq. (21) or (55) can be written in three different ways as

$$\left(\frac{\gamma_\phi}{\dot{\phi}}\right)\phi = \frac{H^2}{(3H^2 - \sigma_0^2/2a^6)} \left(\frac{2}{H} + \frac{3c}{a^3H^2}\right), \quad (59)$$

$$\dot{\phi}F_x - \left(H + \frac{3c}{2a^3}\right)\frac{\phi}{V_0} = 0, \quad (60)$$

$$-V_0F_x\left(\dot{H} + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2a^6}\right) = \left(H + \frac{3c}{2a^3}\right)^2, \quad (61)$$

for any function  $F$ .

Inserting  $F = 1 + mx$  in Eq. (61), we obtain the following equation for the scale factor  $a$ ,

$$s'' + s^\alpha s' + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{mV_0\sigma_0^2}{18c^2}\right)s^{2\alpha+1} = 0, \quad (62)$$

where we have used the new variables  $s$  and  $\xi$  defined by

$$s = a^{-3/\alpha}, \quad \xi = \frac{3ct}{mV_0}, \quad (63)$$

with  $\alpha = -3mV_0$ . Equation (62) is a particular case of a more general equation investigated and solved in [30]. Following this reference, we introduce the change of variables

$$z = \frac{s^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1}, \quad \alpha \neq -1, \quad (64)$$

$$z = \ln s, \quad \alpha = -1, \quad (65)$$

$$\eta = \int s^\alpha d\xi, \quad (66)$$

in Eq. (62). Then, it transforms into two second order linear differential equations,

$$\frac{d^2z}{d\eta^2} + \frac{dz}{d\eta} + (\alpha+1)\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\alpha\sigma_0^2}{54c^2}\right)z = 0, \quad \alpha \neq -1, \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{d^2z}{d\eta^2} + \frac{dz}{d\eta} + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{54c^2}\right)z = 0, \quad \alpha = -1, \quad (68)$$

whose explicit solutions are

(1)  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$a(\eta) = \left[ \sqrt{B} e^{-\eta/2} \sin(\sqrt{\mu}\eta + \eta_0) \right]^{-\alpha/3(\alpha+1)}, \quad (69)$$

$$a_i = a_{0i} \frac{e^{-(\beta+\sigma_0\alpha s_i/\sqrt{6}c)\eta/3}}{[\sqrt{B} \sin(\sqrt{\mu}\eta + \eta_0)]^{\alpha/3(\alpha+1)}}. \quad (70)$$

(2)  $-1 < \alpha < 0$ ,

$$a(\eta) = \left[ \sqrt{B} e^{-\eta/2} \cosh(\sqrt{-\mu}\eta + \eta_0) \right]^{-\alpha/3(\alpha+1)}, \quad (71)$$

$$a_i = a_{0i} \frac{e^{-(\beta + \sigma_0 \alpha s_i / \sqrt{6}c)\eta/3}}{[\sqrt{B} \cosh(\sqrt{-\mu}\eta + \eta_0)]^{\alpha/3(\alpha+1)}}. \quad (72)$$

(3)  $\alpha = -1$ ,

$$a(t) = a_0 e^{-(1/2 + \sigma_0^2/27c^2)\eta/6 + V_0 e^{-\eta}/81\phi_0^2 c^2}, \quad (73)$$

$$a_i = a_{0i} a_0 e^{-((1/2 + \sigma_0/3\sqrt{6}c^2) + \sigma_0 s_i / \sqrt{6}c)\eta/3 + V_0 e^{-\eta}/81\phi_0^2 c^2}. \quad (74)$$

(4)  $\alpha < -1$ ,

$$a(\eta) = \left[ \sqrt{-B} e^{-\eta/2} \sinh(\sqrt{-\mu}\eta + \eta_0) \right]^{-\alpha/3(\alpha+1)}, \quad (75)$$

$$a_i = a_{0i} \frac{e^{-(\beta + \sigma_0 \alpha s_i / \sqrt{6}c)\eta/3}}{[\sqrt{-B} \sinh(\sqrt{-\mu}\eta + \eta_0)]^{\alpha/3(\alpha+1)}}, \quad (76)$$

with  $a_{01} a_{02} a_{03} = 1$  and

$$\mu = \frac{\alpha}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sigma_0^2}{27c^2} (\alpha + 1) \right], \quad (77)$$

$$B = \frac{4(\alpha + 1)V_0}{\phi_0^2 [27c^2 - 2(\alpha + 1)\sigma_0^2]}, \quad (78)$$

$$\beta = -\alpha \left[ \frac{1}{2(\alpha + 1)} + \frac{\sigma_0}{3\sqrt{6}c} \right]. \quad (79)$$

Writing the above solutions, we have used  $\phi$  obtained by integrating Eq. (60),

$$\phi = \phi_0 a^{-3/\alpha} e^{\eta/2}. \quad (80)$$

Now, we consider a BTI cosmological model with a quintessence field  $\varphi$  driven by a potential  $U(\varphi)$  and having energy density and pressure given by

$$\rho_\varphi = \frac{q}{2} \dot{\varphi}^2 + U(\varphi), \quad p_\varphi = \frac{q}{2} \dot{\varphi}^2 - U(\varphi), \quad (81)$$

where  $q$  is a constant. For  $q < 0$  we have a phantom scalar field while for  $q > 0$  we have a nonphantom one. Now, the Einstein equations (2)–(5) become

$$3H^2 = \frac{q}{2} \dot{\varphi}^2 + U(\varphi) + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2a^6}, \quad (82)$$

$$-2\dot{H} = q\dot{\varphi}^2 + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{a^6}, \quad (83)$$

$$\ddot{\varphi} + 3H\dot{\varphi} + \frac{dU}{qd\varphi} = 0. \quad (84)$$

For a quintessence field driven by the exponential potential

$$U = U_0 e^{-qA\varphi}, \quad (85)$$

where  $A$  is a constant, it can be easily seen that

$$\dot{\varphi} = AH + \frac{b}{a^3}, \quad (86)$$

with  $b$  a constant, is a first integral of the Klein-Gordon equation (84). In addition, from Eqs. (83) and (86) we obtain the dynamic equation for the scale factor

$$-2\dot{H} = A^2 H^2 + 2bA \frac{H}{a^3} + \frac{b^2 + \sigma_0^2}{a^6}. \quad (87)$$

After introducing the new variables

$$s = a^{-3/\nu}, \quad \zeta = qbAt, \quad \nu = -\frac{6}{qA^2}, \quad (88)$$

we get the final equation

$$s'' + s^\nu s' + \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{4qb^2} \right) s^{2\nu+1} = 0. \quad (89)$$

Formally, Eqs. (62) and (89) are similar, so, making the following identification between the parameters,

$$mV_0 = \frac{2}{qA^2}, \quad \frac{3c}{2} = \frac{b}{A}, \quad (90)$$

they become the same. This means that both models are described by the same scale factor and they are geometrically equivalent.

Turning to Eqs. (2) and (3) we obtain

$$3H^2 + \dot{H} = \frac{\rho - p}{2}, \quad (91)$$

where  $\rho$  and  $p$  are the density of energy and pressure of either the  $k$ -essence or the quintessence. Hence, the latter becomes

$$3H^2 + \dot{H} = U(\varphi) = V(\phi) \quad (92)$$

which leads to

$$U(\varphi(t)) = U_0 e^{-qA\varphi} = V(\phi(t)) = \frac{V_0}{\phi^2} \quad (93)$$

and

$$\phi = \sqrt{\frac{V_0}{U_0}} e^{qA\varphi/2}. \quad (94)$$

Inserting the  $k$  field (80) in this relation, we find the scalar field by simple algebra.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have written the Einstein equations in a BTI space-time filled with  $k$ -essence and investigated the cosmological models which dissipate the initial anisotropy or have a regular average bounce. When the barotropic index of the

$k$ -essence satisfies  $\gamma_\phi < 2$  the fluid dominates compared with the shear and the average expanding cosmology tends asymptotically to the stable isotropic FRW cosmology without the necessity for fine-tuning. These fluids include the extended tachyon fields generated by the functions (25) and the polynomial kinetic functions (26) with  $\gamma_p < 2$ . In both cases the initial anisotropy is dissipated along the evolution of the universe and it has a final isotropic stage. However, when  $\gamma_\phi > 2$  the shear dominates compared with the fluid, the DEC is violated, and the asymptotic condition of stability no longer holds. A universe filled with this atypical perfect fluid avoids the final singularity with a regular bounce. However, on the average bounce, the relation between the shear and the  $k$ -field energy densities is constant; this scenario has a residual anisotropy and becomes unstable. Therefore, the dissipation of the anisotropy in the BTI cosmology and the existence of an average bounce of the geometry are different cosmological scenarios. The former is associated with fluids satisfying the DEC and the latter with fluids that violate the DEC. For instance, the polynomial functions (26)  $F_{\gamma_p}^+$  with  $\gamma_p > 2$  give rise to a regular bounce.

Astrophysical evidences show that the evolution of the universe is driven by dark energy with negative pressure together with pressureless cold dark matter. We have speculated that a single component acted as both dark matter and dark energy. In fact, for a constant potential, we have obtained the first integral of the  $k$ -field equation and shown a set of purely kinetic  $k$ -essence models describing universes, which on the average are dust dominated in their early stages. These models with noncanonical standard kinetic terms provide a unified description of dark matter and dark energy. They dissipate the initial anisotropy and the universe ends in a stable de Sitter accelerated expansion scenario. These transient models, interpolating between dark matter at early times and dark energy at late times, are promising candidates for quintessence and appear as alternatives to the two unifying candidates in the literature, the Chaplygin gas and the tachyon field. The unification of those two components makes model building considerably more simple.

The construction of cosmological models with tracker behavior, where the  $k$ -essence mimics the equation of state of the radiation-matter component, represents fluids with a constant barotropic index. These fluids give rise to an asymptotically power-law behavior of the scale factor

when the underlying geometry is BTI. We have studied those asymptotic power-law solutions for  $k$ -essence models in two different cases: (i) the  $k$  field evolves linearly with the cosmological time and (ii) the  $k$  field is generated by polynomial kinetic functions. Both cases reduce to a BTI cosmology with a perfect fluid and share the same average geometry. A large set of these models evolve into a FRW cosmology and the initial anisotropy is dissipated as the universe expands. In the linear case, for  $0 < \lambda_l < 2$ , the asymptotic potential interpolates between  $V_l \propto \phi^{-\gamma_l}$ , in the shear dominated regime, and  $V_l \propto \phi^{-2}$  at late time. This allows us to enlarge the dynamics leading to a bigger set of cosmological models with tracker behavior in comparison with similar models in FRW cosmology. In the polynomial function case the scale factor tends asymptotically to a power-law solution in contrast with FRW spacetimes, where the same kind of model leads to an exact power-law solution. Besides, we have shown that the  $F_{\gamma_p}^+$  branch generates an oscillatory average geometry.

Accelerated expansion is a necessary condition to resolve many basic issues in the present cosmology. In general, there is a great deal of interest in those models which lead to accelerated power-law solutions in FRW cosmology. Such expansion is normally driven by a scalar field with the Liouville form (exponential potential). In a previous paper [23], one of us investigated the connections between the inverse square potential and the exponential potential when the universe is filled alternatively with a  $k$ -essence fluid generated by the linear kinetic function or with quintessence. Here, we have extended these investigations to the anisotropic BTI spacetime. We have solved the Einstein equations and found their general solution when the  $k$  field is driven by an inverse square potential. We have shown that the general solution behaves asymptotically as a power law, allowing us to use the model to describe an average accelerated expansion. In addition, we have proved the kinematical equivalence of this anisotropic cosmology with the BTI quintessence model driven by an exponential potential in a similar way as it was done in a FRW universe.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

L. P. C. is partially funded by the University of Buenos Aires under Project No. X224, and the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas.

- 
- [1] A. G. Riess *et al.*, *Astrophys. J.* **607**, 665 (2004).  
 [2] D. N. Spergel *et al.* (WMAP Collaboration), *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* **148**, 175 (2003).  
 [3] M. Tegmark *et al.* (SDSS Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **69**,

- 103501 (2004).  
 [4] R. Brustein and M. Hadad, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 3016 (1999).  
 [5] D. J. H. Chung, E. W. Kolb, and A. Riotto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*



- 81**, 4048 (1998).
- [6] L. Roszkowski, hep-ph/9903467; G. Jungman, M. Kamionkowski, and K. Griest, Phys. Rep. **267**, 195 (1996).
- [7] E.W. Kolb and M.S. Turner, *The Early Universe* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1990).
- [8] C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. **B302**, 668 (1988); B. Ratra and P.J.E. Peebles, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 3406 (1988); R.R. Caldwell, R. Dave, and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1582 (1998); L. P. Chimento, A. S. Jakubi, D. Pavon, and W. Zimdahl, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 083513 (2003); W. Zimdahl and D. Pavon, Phys. Lett. B **521**, 133 (2001); W. Zimdahl and D. Pavon, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **35**, 413 (2003); L.P. Chimento, A.S. Jakubi, and D. Pavon, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 083511 (2004).
- [9] I. Zlatev, L. M. Wang, and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 896 (1999).
- [10] L. A. Urena-Lopez and A. R. Liddle, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 083005 (2002); A. Arbey, J. Lesgourgues, and P. Salati, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 023511 (2003).
- [11] L. Parker and A. Raval, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 063512 (1999); **67**, 029901(E) (2003); V. Sahni and S. Habib, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1766 (1998).
- [12] C. Armendariz-Picon, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **07** (2004) 007.
- [13] A. Sen, J. High Energy Phys. **04** (2002) 048; **07** (2002) 065; Mod. Phys. Lett. A **17**, 1797 (2002); A. Feinstein, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 063511 (2002).
- [14] M. d. C. Bento, O. Bertolami, and A. A. Sen, Phys. Lett. B **575**, 172 (2003); T. Multamaki, M. Manera, and E. Gaztanaga, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 023004 (2004).
- [15] T. Chiba, T. Okabe, and M. Yamaguchi, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 023511 (2000); C. Armendariz-Picon, V. Mukhanov, and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 4438 (2000); L.P. Chimento, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 123517 (2004).
- [16] K. Freese and M. Lewis, Phys. Lett. B **540**, 1 (2002); K. Freese and W.H. Kinney, Phys. Lett. B **558**, 1 (2003).
- [17] J.V. Narlikar, R.G. Vishwakarma, A. Hajian, T. Souradeep, G. Burbidge, and F. Hoyle, Astrophys. J. **585**, 1 (2003).
- [18] L. Amendola and D. Tocchini-Valentini, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 043509 (2001); T. Chiba, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 083508 (1999); F. Perrotta, C. Baccigalupi, and S. Matarrese, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 023507 (2000); R. de Ritis, A. A. Marino, C. Rubano, and P. Scudellaro, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 043506 (2000); J.P. Uzan, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 123510 (1999).
- [19] H. Kim, Phys. Lett. B **606**, 223 (2005); L. P. Chimento and R. Lazkoz, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 023505 (2005); J.M. Aguirregabiria, L.P. Chimento, and R. Lazkoz, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 023509 (2004).
- [20] L. P. Chimento and A. Feinstein, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **19**, 761 (2004).
- [21] M. Malquarti, E.J. Copeland, A.R. Liddle, and M. Trodden, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 123503 (2003); M. Li and X. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B **573**, 20 (2003).
- [22] L. P. Chimento, M. Forte, and R. Lazkoz, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **20**, 2075 (2005).
- [23] L. P. Chimento, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 123517 (2004).
- [24] B. L. Hu and L. Parker, Phys. Rev. D **17**, 933 (1978); **17**, 3292(E) (1978).
- [25] J.M. Aguirregabiria, L. P. Chimento, and R. Lazkoz, Phys. Lett. B **631**, 93 (2005).
- [26] L. P. Chimento, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 023504 (2003).
- [27] M. A. Markov, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **155**, 333 (1984).
- [28] M. A. Markov and V.F. Mukhanov, Nuovo Cimento **86B**, 97 (1985).
- [29] V.P. Frolov, E. Gunzig, and N. Van der Bergh, Classical Quantum Gravity **8**, L125 (1991); J.P. Mimoso and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 5612 (1995).
- [30] L. P. Chimento, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) **38**, 2565 (1997).