

Students' perception of plant and animal species: A case study from rural Argentina

Abstract

Exotic species seriously affect local biodiversity in Argentina. This article investigates how students in San Juan province perceive native and exotic species. With the help of a written questionnaire, 865 students (9-17 years old) were asked to name the plant and animal they liked most, disliked most, and perceived as most useful, and to name local species and describe their uses in the region. Students' preferences and perceptions were strongly directed toward exotic domestic species. Consequently, workshops were developed in which students were introduced by local ecologists to the diversity of native wild species and their importance for the ecosystem.

1 **Students' perception of plant and animal species: a case study from rural Argentina**

2 **Running title: Students' perception of species in Argentina**

3 Juliana Nates^{1,3*}, Claudia Campos^{1,2,3}, Petra Lindemann-Matthies¹

4

5 ¹Institute of Environmental Sciences, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057

6 Zürich, Switzerland

7 ²Grupo de Investigaciones de la Biodiversidad (IADIZA- CONICET), Mendoza, Argentina

8 ³Interacciones Biológicas en el Desierto (INTERBIODES), San Juan, Argentina

9

10 *Corresponding author: juliana.nates@uwinst.uzh.ch

11 **Students' perception of plant and animal species: a case study from rural Argentina**

12 **Abstract**

13 Exotic species seriously affect local biodiversity in Argentina. This paper investigates how
14 students in San Juan province perceive native and exotic species. With the help of a written
15 questionnaire, 865 students (9-17 years old) were asked to name the plant and animal they
16 liked most, disliked most, and perceived as most useful, to name local species and describe
17 their uses in the region. Students' preferences and perceptions were strongly directed towards
18 exotic domestic species. Consequently, workshops were developed in which students were
19 introduced by local ecologists to the diversity of native wild species and their importance for
20 the ecosystem.

21

22 **Keywords**

23 Chaco, Monte, exotic domestic species, exotic wild species, native species, overgrazing,
24 biodiversity, young people, gender role

25 **Introduction**

26 Climate change, habitat fragmentation and the introduction of exotic species are major threats
27 to global biodiversity (Vitousek et al., 1996; Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2003).

28 "Exotic" or "non-native" species, i.e. organisms that have been accidentally or purposefully
29 introduced to an area outside of their area of origin, can cause population reduction or
30 extinction of native species by, for instance, competition, predation or changes in nutrient and
31 decomposition cycles (MacDonald et al., 1989; Caughley & Gunn, 1996; Byers et al., 2001;
32 Vilá & Weiner, 2004; Mooney et al., 2005). In Argentina, a variety of exotic species have
33 been shown to be harmful (Di Paola & Kravetz, 2004; Novillo & Ojeda, 2008), but little
34 information is available on the abundance of exotic species (Boelcke, 1986; Marzocca, 1994;
35 Di Paola & Kravetz, 2004).

36 Conservation of local biodiversity not only requires proactive measures, such as the
37 establishment of ecological reserves, the restoration of ecosystems and the control of exotic
38 species, but also the dissemination of public information and education about native
39 organisms, their value and the consequences of species introductions (Colton & Alpert, 1998;
40 Trombulak et al., 2004). Several studies indicate that, at least in Western European countries,
41 knowledge and perception of local biodiversity is very limited (Balmford et al., 2002;
42 Lindemann-Matthies, 2002; Bebbington, 2005; Lindemann-Matthies & Bose, 2008). In a
43 study in Switzerland, almost 7000 young people between the age of eight and 18 were asked
44 about organisms in their immediate environment. They could, on average, name only six
45 animals and five plants, and unspecified taxa like "birds", "flowers", or "trees" were among
46 the most commonly listed in all age-groups (Lindemann-Matthies, 2002).

47 Recent studies have shown that people are the more knowledgeable about local wild animals
48 and plants, the less developed their country and the more rural the community they live in is
49 (Chand & Shulka, 2003; Pilgrim et al., 2007). However, people's knowledge and perception of
50 local biodiversity is not only influenced by their place of living but also, for instance, by their
51 age, sex, and source of taxonomic knowledge. Studies have shown that with increasing age the
52 interest of children in plants and animals strongly decreases and that in all age-groups girls are
53 more aware of and also know more about animals and plants (Lindemann-Matthies, 2002).
54 Girls were found to show a greater affection for large, attractive pet animals whereas boys
55 showed a greater interest in wildlife (Kellert, 1985; Lindemann-Matthies, 2005). Moreover, in
56 less developed countries such as India community elders rather than the formal education
57 system were found to be most important for transferring knowledge about biodiversity to
58 young people (Chand & Shulka, 2003).

59 Hardly anything is known about people's environmental knowledge in South America
60 (González-Gaudiano, 2007). This study is the first to investigate children's and adolescents'
61 perception and knowledge of species in Argentina. The region where the study was carried
62 out, the Valle Fértil¹ in the province of San Juan, is characterized by Chaco and Monte
63 vegetation, and by a dry desert climate with an annual precipitation of 250 mm (Cabrera,
64 1994; Pereyra, 2000). The population, a mix of indigenous tribes and white settlers, lives
65 mainly from farming. Overgrazing by exotic domestic herbivores such as goats, cattle and
66 sheep as well as introduced exotic wild species such as the European hare are seriously
67 affecting local biodiversity (Guevara et al., 1996; Ojeda et al., 1998; Márquez, 1999). There
68 are hardly any environmental education activities and it is feared that locals are unaware of the

¹ Location of Valle Fértil: <http://www.maplandia.com/argentina/san-juan/valle-fertil/>

69 negative consequences of overgrazing, uncontrolled logging and hunting (Ojeda et al., 1998).
70 This study provides baseline data for conservation education activities that take into account
71 the existing preferences, perceptions and knowledge of local students. Moreover, it contributes
72 to international research on public perception and knowledge of biodiversity (e.g. Balmford et
73 al., 2002; Lindemann-Matthies, 2002; Bebbington, 2005; Lucherini & Merino, 2008). The
74 main objectives were to investigate:

75 (1) which animals and plants students in Valle Fértil like most, dislike most and perceive as
76 most useful,

77 (2) whether their preferences and perceptions are directed towards native species,

78 (3) whether their perceptions are influenced by age, sex and source of taxonomic knowledge,

79 (4) which local animals and plants they perceive, and how much they know about their uses.

80

81 **Methodology**

82 Data were collected in nine schools during normal teaching hours with the help of a written
83 questionnaire. The schools were selected based on their accessibility. Due to the remote
84 locations of the villages in the Valle Fértil, some schools had only a few students. The number
85 of students in the schools varied from six to 237. In total, 865 students (468 girls and 397
86 boys) participated in the study. They were between 9 and 17 years old (mean age = 13 years).

87 In the questionnaire² (see Appendix), students were first asked to name the animal and the
88 plant they liked most, disliked most and the one they perceived as most useful. To investigate
89 how informed young people living in the Valle Fértil were about their local fauna and flora,

² The questionnaire (in Spanish) is available from the authors.

90 they were asked to name five animals and five plants of the region and to describe their uses.
91 Knowledge about uses is regarded as a significant indicator of indigenous biodiversity
92 knowledge (Hynes et al., 1997; Chand & Shulka, 2003). Moreover, all students were asked
93 about their age, sex and main source of taxonomic knowledge (teacher, family, friends,
94 others).

95 To investigate whether students' age, sex (coded as 0: male, 1: female) and source of
96 knowledge about species (coded as 0: school, 1: family) influenced the probability that certain
97 answers to the open questions (sorted into broad categories) were given, the data were
98 analyzed by binary logistic regressions with backward elimination of non-significant
99 variables. We controlled for the influence of the schools in which the surveys had been carried
100 out by including them as a categorical variable in the analyses. All analyses were carried out
101 with SPSS for Windows 12.0.1.

102

103 **Results**

104 *Animals and plants students liked most, disliked most and perceived as most useful*

105 Students showed a clear preference for dogs and horses. Dogs and horses were most liked (by
106 50% of the students) and also perceived as most useful (by 60% of the students; Table 1). In
107 contrast, the dislikes of students were less obvious. The cat, although among the ten most liked
108 animals, was clearly the most disliked one (see Table 1). Among the ten most disliked animals
109 were two native ones: the grey fox (*Pseudalopex griseus*) and the skunk (*Conepatus chinga*).

110 In total, 59 different animal taxa were named as most liked, 83 as most disliked and 27 as most
111 useful. About 99% of the students named the animal they liked most, 95% the one they
112 disliked most, and 94% the one they considered as most useful at the genus or species level.

113 Insert Table 1 about here

114 More than a third of all students named the rose (*Rosa spec.*) as their favorite plant (Table 2).
115 Other plants favored were mesquite (*Prosopis spec.*) and daisy (*Bellis perennis*). Both the rose
116 and the mesquite were also considered useful plants. The cactus and the garabato (*Acacia*
117 *furcatispina*), two thorny native plants, were named most often as most disliked (see Table 2).

118 In total, 78 different plant taxa were named as most liked, 97 as most disliked and 70 as most
119 useful. About 96% of the students named the plant they liked most, 81% the one they disliked
120 most, and 74% the one they perceived as most useful at the genus or species level.

121 Insert Table 2 about here

122 Exotic domestic animals and exotic plants were clearly preferred and thought to be useful
123 whereas native animals and plants were often disliked (see Table 1 and 2). A preference for a
124 native animal was correlated with a preference for a native plant (Chi-square test: df 1, 807,
125 Chi-square value = 10.22, p = 0.001).

126 Of the tested variables, sex had the strongest influence on the naming of organisms that
127 students liked most, disliked most and perceived as most useful. Girls more often than boys
128 named the dog and the rose as liked most, whereas boys more often named the horse and the
129 mesquite (Table 3). However, with increasing age, the affection of girls for horses increased
130 (significant interaction in Table 3). Overall, girls favored exotic domestic animals and exotic
131 shrubs and herbs, whereas boys favored exotic wild animals and exotic trees. Boys more often

132 than girls found that the horse was the most useful animal. However, girls more often than
133 boys and older students more often than young ones, considered the rose as the most useful
134 plant. Girls more often disliked cats, whereas boys more often disliked native animals (see
135 Table 3).

136 About 76% of the students stated that the school was the source of their taxonomic knowledge,
137 the others referred to family members such as parents and grandparents. The source of
138 taxonomic knowledge hardly influenced the naming of individual species (see Table 3).

139 Insert Table 3 about here

140

141 *Animals and plants of Valle Fértil and their uses*

142 About 73% of the students could name five animals and 79% five plants of Valle Fértil. Only
143 3% and 2% of the students, respectively, could not name any animal or any plant at all. On
144 average, students could name 4.5 taxa of plants and animals each. In total, 87 different animal
145 and 116 different plant taxa were named. Only two of the ten most frequently named taxa
146 were native animals (75% of all responses), whereas seven were native plants (51% of all
147 responses; see Table 1 and 2). Livestock and mesquite (*Prosopis spec.*) were named most
148 often.

149 In total, 11 different uses for animals and 15 for plants were given. The assessment of the uses
150 of animals reflects the farming way of life in the Valle Fértil area. About 73% of all answers
151 referred to meat or milk production, and transport (Table 4). In the view of the students dogs
152 guard the house and cats fight plagues such as mice or insects. The leather of guanacos (*Lama*
153 *guanicoe*) and their hides are used for clothing, whereas wild birds are captured and sold as

154 pets. Some students considered the conservation of animals as a type of use. Students also
155 mentioned that animal parts are used for tool making; knives, for instance, made from parts of
156 the ñandu (*Rhea americana*) or the quirquincho (*Zaedyus pichiy*). Moreover, the shell of the
157 quirquincho has ornamental value.

158 In the view of many students, plants were used for herbal teas and as spices, but also as
159 medicines against common illnesses (see Table 4). The students also mentioned the use of
160 plants as fire wood or to provide shade which is important for both humans and cattle during
161 the hot summer months. They also stated that plants (e.g. the poplar) are used to make beds,
162 chairs, tables or broomsticks, and to construct houses. Artifacts made of cactus wood are also
163 sold to tourists.

164 Insert Table 4 about here

165

166 **Discussion**

167 Throughout the study, students named a variety of animals and plants. It is particularly
168 remarkable that most of these organisms were named at the genus or species level, which is in
169 strong contrast to the results of a comparable study from Switzerland (Lindemann-Matthies,
170 2002). The result indicates a greater familiarity with organisms in Valle Fétil, Argentina, as
171 students can obviously only name organisms they know. Overall, students' preferences and
172 perceptions followed universal patterns of "like" and "dislike" (Kellert, 1993), and were
173 strongly directed towards useful animals and plants, indicating a strong utilitarian attitude
174 towards nature (Kellert, 1996; Bizerill, 2004).

175 Humans like animals, especially mammals, with large round eyes, flat and expressive faces,
176 considerable intelligence and the capacity for social bonding (Morris & Morris, 1966; Katcher
177 & Wilkins, 1993; Ward et al., 1998; Lindemann-Matthies, 2005); or, in the words of Kellert
178 (1985) "loveable animals". In contrast, they tend to avoid invertebrates like insects and spiders
179 because they are small as well as being morphologically and behaviorally unlike humans
180 (Morris & Morris, 1965; Kellert, 1993). Such patterns of like and dislike were also found in
181 Valle Fértil. Nine of the ten favored animals were "loveable mammals", among them the
182 native puma (*Puma concolor*). As locals often refer to the puma as "lion", "mountain lion" or
183 "American lion" (Lucherini & Merino, 2008), "puma" and "lion" (forth and fifth in the
184 preference list) might have meant one and the same species. The parrot, the only bird among
185 the ten favorite animals also fulfils criteria of a loveable animal, as it interacts socially with
186 humans, sits upright, and handles objects with its "hands" (Morris & Morris, 1966). Moreover,
187 many parrot species have warm, reddish colors which make them attractive to humans
188 (Wandersee & Schussler, 2001; Stokes, 2007).

189 Dogs and horses were by far the most favorite animals. Similar results were found in a large
190 study from Switzerland (Lindemann-Matthies, 2005). However, whereas in Switzerland dogs
191 and horses are kept as pets, in rural areas of Argentina they are kept as farm animals and,
192 consequently, considered to be the most useful. Dogs are present in virtually all houses in the
193 Valle Fértil. They are important for guarding the houses against thieves and to protect
194 livestock against predators such as the grey fox (*Pseudalopex griseus*), but are also used for
195 hunting. The horse is one of the most common means of transport in Valle Fértil, and students
196 (mainly boys) frequently told the researchers that they come to school on horseback. In
197 contrast to the Swiss study (Lindemann-Matthies, 2005), horses were especially liked and

198 considered as the most useful by the boys. There are two, not mutually exclusive, explanations
199 for this finding: (1) Perceptions and preferences reflect the main sphere of life of each gender.
200 In the farming communities of Valle Fértil, the roles of men and women are strictly defined.
201 While boys help their fathers with the farming, girls stay at home and help their mothers with
202 the home duties (see also Chand & Shulka, 2003). These different loci of "biodiversity
203 learning" (farm vs. home) shape the children's cognition of species differently. As perceptions
204 and preferences are closely linked (Lindemann-Matthies, 2005), girls are more likely to
205 perceive and like species in the vicinity of their home, e.g. dogs and roses, whereas the
206 attention of boys is more focused on farmland species, e.g. horses and mesquite. (2)
207 Preferences and aversions depend on the image of species that people, influenced by culture
208 and tradition, have generated (examples in Shepard, 1997). The horse is a symbol for the
209 Argentinean gaucho who is perceived as an honorable, courageous, resolute, hardworking man
210 and excellent rider (Foster et al., 1998). Boys might strongly want to identify with such a
211 positive role model. In contrast, pigs and skunks were disliked as they are representatives of
212 organisms to which negative attributes (dirt, stench) are attached (Katcher & Wilkins, 1993;
213 Shepard, 1997).

214 In contrast to other countries, where cats are always liked (Morris & Morris, 1966), cats were
215 strongly disliked in Valle Fértil, especially by girls. In this rural region, cats are usually not
216 kept as pets but to control pests such as rats, mice and snakes and might thus be associated
217 with their prey. Moreover, as semi-wild animals they might not want to be cuddled by their
218 human owners. Another potentially "loveable" mammal, the native grey fox, was also disliked
219 because it attacks sheep and other small domestic animals (Lucherini & Merino, 2008).
220 Consequently, the grey fox is frequently hunted, and because its fur is quite valuable is

221 perceived as a useful animal. In total, more than half of the disliked animals were native,
222 among them snakes, spiders and vinchuca (*Triatoma infestans*). Rattlesnakes and other
223 poisonous snakes, scorpions and poisonous spiders are typical for the region, and indeed
224 potentially dangerous to local people. Moreover, the bug *T. infestans* carries a parasite that
225 causes the Chagas' disease which leads to severe health problems and the early death of people
226 in the region (Gorla & Schofield, 2008).

227 Roses, which have been introduced to the Valle Fértil as garden plants, as well as daisies,
228 jasmines and pinks were strongly liked. These are all plants with colorful, large or fragrant
229 flowers frequently seen in daily life. Such plants were also favored by children in European
230 countries (Tunncliffe, 2001; Lindemann-Matthies, 2005). It has been assumed by
231 evolutionary biologists that people's preferences for certain species or features of species are
232 genetically based (Wilson, 1984). Bright colors in plants, for instance, may have signaled
233 "food" to our ancestors which would partly explain modern humans' predisposition to them
234 (Heerwagen & Orians, 1993). Other plants might simply be overlooked, as humans have a
235 considerable "plant blindness" towards inconspicuous species (Flannery, 1991; Wandersee &
236 Schussler, 2001). Cacti were both liked and disliked as they have large, bright colored flowers
237 and edible fruits, but also thorns. Cacti as well as the garabato (*Acacia furcatispina*), a spiky
238 shrub typical of the region, might also have been perceived as "weeds" as they are rarely
239 grazed by livestock.

240 The short list of local native animals which were considered as useful included guanaco (*Lama*
241 *guanicoe*) and other species which are hunted for their meat and fur. It is thus remarkable that
242 some students stated the conservation of the endangered guanaco and puma (*Puma concolor*)
243 as a use. Half of the plants perceived to live in Valle Fértil were native ones and, in line with

244 other studies, students were quite familiar with their uses (Chipeniuk, 1995; Chand & Shulka,
245 2003; Pilgrim et al., 2007). The mesquite (*Prosopis spec.*), which indeed is an important
246 resource in arid regions for both humans and animals (Burkart, 1952, 1976; Mares et al.,
247 1977), was stated most often as a useful plant.

248 **Conclusions**

249 Overall, students in Valle Fértil appeared to be quite ignorant of inconspicuous, "useless"
250 native plants and animals as well as their (hidden) beauty, appeal, or uniqueness. However,
251 students who favored a local animal also favored a local plant, indicating that perceptions can
252 be directed towards native organisms if students get to know them. A similar relationship was
253 found in a study from Switzerland (Lindemann-Matthies, 2005). Although the study area was
254 a remote and rural region, in which elder community members typically disseminate
255 biodiversity knowledge (Chand & Shulka, 2003), the students hardly mentioned their
256 grandparents or parents as a source of such knowledge. School education was thought to be
257 more important. However, personal communication with teachers in Valle Fértil and other
258 studies from South America (González-Gaudiano, 2007) have shown that ecological and
259 environmental topics were rarely included in school curricula, indicating a strong need for
260 environmental education programs. Suitable programs not only increase students' perception
261 of local plants and animals but also, in parallel, their attractiveness (Lindemann-Matthies,
262 2005), and thus in the view of young people, their worthiness that needs to be conserved
263 (Ashworth et al., 1995).

264 As part of a plan for the sustainable use of semi-arid and arid areas, it is essential to introduce
265 students to the diversity of local organisms, including less attractive and "useless" species.
266 Moreover, conservation education has to emphasize the consequences of species introductions

267 and habitat degradation for local biodiversity. The present study was closely linked to the
268 educational project "Awareness of local biodiversity in the Ischigualasto Provincial Park"
269 (Resol. 575 SPU/07). The project was carried out by the National University of San Juan and
270 the University of Zurich in collaboration with local teachers and park rangers from the
271 "Ischigualasto Provincial Park". As part of the project and to apply the results of the present
272 study, one-day workshops for schools in the area of Valle Fértil were developed. Moreover,
273 booklets, posters and educational CDs about local wild species, exotic ones and the
274 consequences of species introductions were designed by the researchers and given to all
275 schools in the area³. This was important as hardly any educational material about local wild
276 species and the ecology of the region existed.

277 The workshops were carried out by ecologists from the University of San Juan, and involved
278 both teachers and their classes. They took place in the schoolyards or the near vicinity of the
279 schools. During the workshops, the students were introduced to native and exotic species with
280 the help of species expositions, environmental games and species identification tasks. They
281 learned about the uses of local wild plants and animals, their ecological importance and
282 adaptations to the local environment. The success of these workshops is currently being
283 evaluated. However, one-day workshops are only a start, and both pre-service and in-service
284 teacher education with regard to biodiversity, its importance and the threats to it are strongly
285 needed in the region.

286

287 **Acknowledgements**

³ <http://sites.google.com/site/interbiodes/education/educacion-ambiental-extension/material-didactico-didactic-material>

288 We like to thank all students, teachers and educational supervisors for their collaboration.
289 Many thanks also to the National University of San Juan and the Institute and Museum of
290 Natural Sciences (San Juan, Argentina) for logistic and financial support.

291

292 **References**

- 293 Ashworth, S., Boyes, E., Paton, R., & Stanisstreet, M. (1995). Conservation of endangered
294 species: what do children think? *Journal of Environmental Education and Information*, 14,
295 229-244.
- 296 Balmford, A., Clegg, L., Coulson, T., & Taylor, J. (2002). Why conservationists should heed
297 Pokemon. *Science*, 295, 2367.
- 298 Bebbington, A. (2005). The ability of A-level students to name plants. *Journal of Biological*
299 *Education*, 39, 62-67.
- 300 Bizerill, M. X. A. (2004). Children's perceptions of Brazilian cerrado landscapes and
301 biodiversity. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 35, 47-58.
- 302 Boelcke, O. (1986). *Plantas vasculares de la Argentina nativas y exóticas. Ilustraciones*.
303 Buenos Aires: Editorial Hemisferio sur S.A.
- 304 Burkart, A. (1952). *Las leguminosas argentinas silvestres y cultivadas*. Buenos Aires: Acme.
- 305 Burkart, A. (1976). A monograph of the Genus *Prosopis* (Leguminosae, Subfam
306 Mimosoideae). *Journal of the Arnold Arboretum*, 57, 219-249.

307 Byers, J. E., Reichard, S., Randall, J., Parker, I., Smith, C., Lonsdale, W., Atkinson, I.,
308 Seastedt, T., Williamson, M., Chornesky, E., & Hayes, D. (2001). Directing research to
309 reduce the impacts of nonindigenous species. *Conservation Biology*, *16*, 630-640.

310 Cabrera, A. L. (1994). Enciclopedia Argentina de agricultura y jardinería: Regiones
311 fitogeográficas Argentinas. Buenos Aires: Acme.

312 Caughley, G., & Gunn, A. (1996). *Conservation biology in theory and practice*. Cambridge:
313 Blackwell Science.

314 Chand, V. S., & Shulka, S. R. (2003). 'Biodiversity contests': indigenously informed and
315 transformed environmental education. *Applied Environmental Education &*
316 *Communication*, *2*, 229-236.

317 Chipeniuk, R. (1995). Childhood foraging as a means of acquiring competent human cognition
318 about biodiversity. *Environment and Behaviour*, *27*, 490-512.

319 Colton, T. F., & Alpert, P. (1998). Lack of public awareness of biological invasions by plants.
320 *Natural Areas Journal*, *18*, 262-266.

321 Di Paola, M. E., & Kravetz, D. G. (2004). Invasive alien species: legal and institutional
322 framework in Argentina. In Miller, M. L., & Fabian, R. N. (Eds.), *Harmful invasive species:*
323 *legal responses* (pp 71-88). Washington, DC: Environmental Law Institute.

324 Flannery, M. C. (1991). Considering plants. *The American Biology Teacher*, *53*, 306-309.

325 Foster, D. W., Fitch Lockhart, M., & Lockhart, D. B. (1998). *Culture and customs of*
326 *Argentina*. Westport: Greenwood Press.

327 González-Gaudiano, E. (2007). Schooling and environment in Latin America in the third
328 millennium. *Environmental Education Research*, *13*, 155-169.

329 Gorla, D. E., & Schofield, C. J. (2008). Population dynamics of *Triatoma infestans* under
330 natural climatic conditions in the Argentine Chaco. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, 3,
331 179-194.

332 Guevara, J. C., Cavagnaro, J. B., Estevez, O. R., Le Houérou, H. N., & Stasi, C. R. (1996).
333 Productivity, management and development problems in the arid rangelands of the central
334 Mendoza plains (Argentina). *Journal of Arid Environments*, 35, 575-600.

335 Heerwagen, J. H., & Orians, G. H. (1993). Humans, habitats, and aesthetics. In Kellert, S. R.
336 & Wilson, E. O. (Eds), *The biophilia hypothesis* (pp 138-172). Washington, DC: Island
337 Press.

338 Hynes, A. L., Brown, A. D., Grau, H. R., & Grau, A. (1997). Local knowledge and the use of
339 plants in rural communities in the montane forests of northwestern Argentina. *Mountain*
340 *Research and Development*, 17, 263-271.

341 Katcher, A., & Wilkins, G. (1993). Dialogue with animals: its nature and culture. In Kellert, S.
342 R. & Wilson, E. O. (Eds.), *The biophilia hypothesis* (pp 173-197). Washington, DC: Island
343 Press.

344 Kellert, S. R. (1985). Attitudes toward animals: age-related development among children. *The*
345 *Journal of Environmental Education*, 16, 29-39.

346 Kellert, S. R. (1993). The biological base for human values of nature. In Kellert, S. R. &
347 Wilson, E. O. (Eds.), *The biophilia hypothesis* (pp. 43-69) Washington, DC: Island Press.

348 Kellert, S. R. (1996). *The value of the life*. Washington, DC: Island Press.

349 Lindemann-Matthies, P. (2002). The influence of an educational program on children's
350 perception of biodiversity. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 33, 22-31.

- 351 Lindemann-Matthies, P. (2005). 'Loveable' mammals and 'lifeless' plants: how children's
352 interest in common local organisms can be enhanced through observation of nature.
353 *International Journal of Science Education*, 27, 655-677.
- 354 Lindemann-Matthies, P., & Bose, E. (2008). How many species are there? Public
355 understanding and awareness of biodiversity in Switzerland. *Human Ecology*, 38, 731-742.
- 356 Lucherini, M., & Merino, J. (2008). Perceptions of human-carnivore conflicts in the high
357 Andes of Argentina. *Mountain Research and Development*, 28, 81-85.
- 358 MacDonald, I. A. W., Loope, L. L., Usher, M. B., & Hamann, O. (1989). Wildlife
359 conservation and the invasion of nature reserves by introduced species: a global perspective.
360 In Drake, J. A., Mooney, H. A., di Castri, F., Groves, R. H., Kruger, F. J., Rejmánek, M. &
361 Williamson, M. (Eds.), *Biological invasions: a global perspective* (pp. 215-255).
362 Chichester, UK: John Wiley.
- 363 Mares, M. A., Enders, F. A., Kingsolver, J. M., Neff, J. L., & Simpson, B. B. (1977). *Prosopis*
364 as a niche component. In Simpson, B. B. (Ed.), *Mesquite. Its biology in two desert scrub*
365 *ecosystems*. (pp. 123-149). Stroudsburg, PA: Dowden, Hutchinson & Ross, Inc.
- 366 Márquez, J. (1999). Las áreas protegidas de la provincia de San Juan. *Multequina*, 8, 1-10.
- 367 Marzocca, A. (1994). *Guía descriptiva de malezas del Cono Sur*. Buenos Aires: INTA.
- 368 Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (2003). *Ecosystem and human well-being: a framework*
369 *for the assessment*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- 370 Mooney, H. A., Mack, R. N., Mc Neely, J. A., Neville, L., Schei, P. J., & Waage, J. (2005).
371 *Invasive alien species: a new synthesis*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- 372 Morris, R., & Morris, D. (1965). *Men and snakes*. London: Hutchinson & Co.

- 373 Morris, R., & Morris, D. (1966). *Men and pandas*. London: Sphere Books Limited.
- 374 Novillo, A., & Ojeda, R. A. (2008). The exotic mammals of Argentina. *Biological Invasions*,
375 *10*, 1333-1344.
- 376 Ojeda, R. A., Campos, C. M., Gonnet, J. M., Borghi, C. E., & Roig, V. G. (1998). The MAB
377 reserve of Ñancuñán, Argentina: its role in understanding the monte desert biome. *Journal*
378 *of Arid Environments*, *39*, 299-313.
- 379 Pereyra, B. R. (2000). Clima de la provincial de San Juan. In Abraham, E. & Rodríguez
380 Martínez, F. (Eds.), *Argentina, recursos y problemas de la zona árida* (pp. 71-78).
381 Mendoza: Junta de Gobierno de Andalucía, Universidades y Centros de investigación de la
382 Región Andina.
- 383 Pilgrim, S. E., Cullen, C., Smith, D. J., & Pretty, J. (2007). Ecological knowledge in lost in
384 wealthier communities and countries. *Environmental Science & Technology*, *42*, 1004-1009.
- 385 Shepard, P. (1997). *The Others*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- 386 Stokes, D. L. (2007). Things we like: human preferences among similar organisms and
387 implications for conservation. *Human Ecology*, *35*, 361-369.
- 388 Trombulak, S. C., Omland, K. S., Robinson, J. A., Lusk, J. J., Fleischner, T. L., & Domroese,
389 M. (2004). Principles of conservation biology: recommended guidelines for conservation
390 literacy from the Education Committee of the Society for Conservation Biology.
391 *Conservation Biology*, *18*, 1180-1190.
- 392 Tunnicliffe, S. D. (2001). Talking about plants- comments of primary school groups looking at
393 plant exhibits in a botanical garden. *Journal of Biological Education*, *36*, 27-34.

- 394 Vilá, M., & Weiner, J. (2004). Are invasive plant species better competitors than native plant
395 species? - evidence from pair-wise experiments. *OIKOS*, 105, 229-238.
- 396 Vitousek, P. M., D'Antonio, C. M., Loope, L. L., & Westbrooks, R. (1996). Biological
397 invasions as global environmental change. *American Scientist*, 84, 468-478.
- 398 Wandersee, J. H., & Schussler, E. E. (2001). Toward a theory of plant blindness. *Plant Science*
399 *Bulletin*, 4, 2-9.
- 400 Ward, P. I., Mosberger, N., Kistler, C., & Fischer, O. (1998). The relationship between
401 popularity and body size in zoo animals. *Conservation Biology*, 12, 1408-1411.
- 402 Wilson, E. O. (1984). *Biophilia: the human bond with other species*. Cambridge: Harvard
403 University Press.

404

405 **Appendix**

406 **Questions asked**

- 407 - How old are you?
- 408 - Are you a girl or a boy?
- 409 - Indicate the most significant source of your knowledge about plants and animals (only one
410 answer): teacher, family, friends, others.
- 411 - Write down the animal you like most.
- 412 - Write down the plant you like most.
- 413 - Write down the animal you dislike most.
- 414 - Write down the plant you dislike most.
- 415 - Write down the animal you perceive as most useful.

- 416 - Write down the plant you perceive as most useful.
- 417 - Write down five local animals of Valle Fértil and describe their uses.
- 418 - Write down five local plants of Valle Fértil and describe their uses.