## RESEARCH PAPER



# Functional characterization of the homeodomain leucine zipper I transcription factor AtHB13 reveals a crucial role in *Arabidopsis* development

## Pamela A. Ribone, Matías Capella and Raquel L. Cha[n\\*](#page-0-0)

Instituto de Agrobiotecnología del Litoral, Universidad Nacional del Litoral, CONICET, CC 242 Ciudad Universitaria, 3000, Santa Fe, Argentina

<span id="page-0-0"></span>\* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: [rchan@fbcb.unl.edu.ar](mailto:rchan@fbcb.unl.edu.ar?subject=)

Received 27 January 2015; Revised 18 May 2015; Accepted 22 May 2015

Editor: Thomas Beeckman

## Abstract

AtHB13 is a homeodomain leucine zipper I transcription factor whose function in development is largely unknown. *AtHB13* and *AtHB23* mutant and silenced lines were characterized by expression studies, reciprocal crosses, complementation, molecular analyses, and developmental phenotypes. The *athb13-1* and *athb13-2* mutants, *athb23* silenced, and *athb13/athb23* double-silenced plants exhibited faster elongation rates of their inflorescence stems, whereas only *athb13-1* and the double-knockdown *athb13/athb23* exhibited shorter siliques, fewer seeds, and unfertilized ovules compared with the wild type (WT). The cell sizes of mutant and WT plants were similar, indicating that these transcription factors probably affect cell division. Reciprocal crosses between *athb13-1* and the WT genotype indicated that the silique defect was male specific. Pollen hydration assays indicated that the pollen grains of the *athb13-1* mutant were unable to germinate on stigmas. *AtHB23-*silenced plants exhibited normal siliques, whereas double-knockdown *athb13/athb23* plants were similar to *athb13-1* plants. Both *AtHB13* and *AtHB23* were able to rescue the abnormal silique phenotype. *AtHB23* was upregulated in *athb13-2* plants, whereas its transcript levels in *athb13-1* mutants were not significantly increased. Transcriptome analysis comparing *athb13-1* and WT inflorescences revealed that a large number of genes, including several involved in pollen coat formation, are regulated by AtHB13. Finally, *athb13- 1* complementation with mutated versions of *AtHB13* confirmed that two different tryptophans in its C terminus are essential. We conclude that AtHB13 and AtHB23 play independent, negative developmental roles in stem elongation, whereas only AtHB13 is crucial for pollen germination. Furthermore, AtHB23, which does not normally exert a functional role in pollen, can act as a substitute for AtHB13.

Key words: AHA motif, AtHB13, AtHB23, homeodomain leucine zipper, inflorescence stems, pollen hydration.

## Introduction

Transcription factors (TFs) are proteins that are able to regulate entire signalling pathways. In plants, TFs are particularly abundant, representing approximately 6% of encoding genes ([Ribichich](#page-13-0) *et al.*, 2014). This large group of proteins is divided into families and subfamilies according to their DNA-binding domains, in addition to other structural and functional features ([Riechmann](#page-13-1) *et al.*, 2000; [Mitsuda and Ohme-Takagi, 2009\)](#page-13-2). In plants, proteins that contain homeodomains constitute a

Abbreviations: AHA, *a*romatic and large *h*ydrophobic residues embedded in an *a*cidic context; GUS, β-glururonidase; HD-Zip, homeodomain leucine zipper; miRNA, microRNA; qPCR, quantitative real-time PCR; RNA-Seq, RNA sequencing; RT, reverse transcription; TF, transcription factor; WT, wild type.

© The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com

superfamily, which is divided into subfamilies depending on homeodomain sequence similarity and the presence of other conserved domains ([Mukherjee](#page-13-3) *et al.*, 2009). Among these subfamilies, the homeodomain leucine zipper (HD-Zip) group is unique to plants and is divided into four subgroups, named I–IV (Ariel *et al.*[, 2007\)](#page-12-0). Members of group I are ~35kDa proteins that present a conserved HD-Zip domain in the middle of their structures and have other putative functional motifs located at the C and N termini (Arce *et al.*[, 2011](#page-12-1)). In several cases, the functionality of these motifs has been demonstrated experimentally (Lee *et al.*[, 2001;](#page-13-4) Arce *et al.*[, 2011](#page-12-1); [Zhang](#page-14-0) *et al.*, [2012;](#page-14-0) [Sakuma](#page-13-5) *et al.*, 2013; [Capella](#page-13-6) *et al.*, 2014).

With regard to their functions, HD-Zip I TFs have been associated primarily with abiotic stress and responses to changes in illumination conditions [\(Himmelbach](#page-13-7) *et al.*, 2002; [Johannesson](#page-13-8) *et al.*, 2003; [Wang](#page-13-8) *et al.*, 2003; [Olsson](#page-13-9) *et al.*, [2004;](#page-13-9) [Dezar](#page-13-10) *et al.*, 2005; [Manavella](#page-13-11) *et al.*, 2006; [Manavella](#page-13-12)  *et al.*[, 2008](#page-13-12)). In a few cases, they have been related to developmental and morphological events. In this sense, LMI1, an *Arabidopsis* HD-Zip I TF, acts in regulating meristem identity by targeting LFY, affecting leaf shape and bract formation [\(Saddic](#page-13-13) *et al.*, 2006). The HD-Zip I TF VRS1 is responsible for a six-rowed phenotype in barley plants bearing the recessive allele *vrs1* [\(Komatsuda](#page-13-14) *et al.*, 2007), whereas a mutation in the pea *TL* gene generates plants with a particular phenotype: leaflets take the place of tendrils ([Hofer](#page-13-15) *et al.*, 2009). However, in the majority of studies, HD-Zip I proteins have been related to response mechanisms to environmental conditions and not to developmental events.

In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, AtHB13 and its paralogue AtHB23 were classified as group V in a recent HD-Zip I phylogenetic reconstruction (Arce *et al.*[, 2011\)](#page-12-1). These TFs exhibit 78% sequence identity in their HD-Zip domains and their expression patterns are very similar; both have been detected in the shoot meristem region, leaf junction, basal parts of petals, sepals and stamens, and within the stigma [\(Hanson](#page-13-16) *et al.*, [2002;](#page-13-16) Kim *et al.*[, 2007](#page-13-17)). However, they are differentially regulated: *AtHB13* is upregulated in response to drought, salinity stress, and low temperatures ([Cabello and Chan, 2012](#page-13-18); [Cabello](#page-13-19) *et al.*, 2012), whereas *AtHB23* is downregulated by abscisic acid and NaCl treatments ([Henriksson](#page-13-20) *et al.*, 2005), indicating that these paralogues are probably playing different roles. Plants that overexpress *AtHB13* have been shown to be involved in the regulation of cotyledon and leaf development in response to carbon availability during early developmental stages ([Hanson](#page-13-21) *et al.*, 2001). In recent studies, it was demonstrated that plants overexpressing *AtHB13* were able to tolerate freezing temperatures, severe drought, and salinity stresses through cell membrane stabilization [\(Cabello](#page-13-18)  [and Chan, 2012](#page-13-18); [Cabello](#page-13-19) *et al.*, 2012). Plants overexpressing this HD-Zip I TF are also resistant to infections with downy mildew (*Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis*) and green peach aphids (*Myzus persicae*; Gao *et al.*[, 2014](#page-13-22)). On the other hand, AtHB23 has been reported as a phytochrome B-interacting protein, and *athb23* mutant plants exhibit long hypocotyls, defects in seed germination, and cotyledon expansion under red light; therefore, *AtHB23* has been suggested to be involved in red-light sensing mediated by phyB (Choi *et al.*[, 2014](#page-13-23)).

Although these studies have contributed to our understanding of the functions of AtHB13 and AtHB23, the roles of these proteins in different developmental stages and the extent of the relationship between these paralogous genes remain largely unknown. A recent study that was conducted by our group demonstrated that another paralogous HD-Zip I pair, AtHB7 and AtHB12, finely regulate each other during development and after stress treatments (Ré *et al.*[, 2014](#page-13-6)). To determine whether this was also true in the case of AtHB13 and AtHB23, and aiming to understand the role of these genes in *Arabidopsis*, simple mutants and double-knockdown plants were created and thoroughly analysed. Surprisingly, a crucial role in development that was unrelated to environmental conditions was assigned to AtHB13. Analysis of the obtained experimental data led us to propose a model in which AtHB13 plays a key role in a plant reproductive stage, more precisely in pollen germination, whereas both paralogues have roles in inflorescence stem elongation. Regarding pollen germination, when AtHB13 is severely downregulated, AtHB23 is able to replace it and can rescue a wild-type (WT) phenotype, even though it normally does not have a role in this process.

## Materials and methods

#### *Plant material and growth conditions*

*A. thaliana* ecotype Columbia (Col-0) (WT) plants were grown directly in soil in a growth chamber at 22–24 °C under a long-day photoperiod (16h light) at an intensity of approximately 120 µmol  $m^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup> in 8  $\times$  7 cm pots for 40 d. Mutant seeds with the T-DNA insertions *athb13-1* (SAIL\_893\_G05) and *athb13-2* (GABI\_859H06) on a Col-0 ecotype background were obtained from the *Arabidopsis* Biological Resource Center (<http://www.arabidopsis.org>) and NASC (<http://arabidopsis.info/>), respectively. Homozygous lines were selected after two complete growth cycles.

#### *Genetic constructs*

*35S::AtHB13* AtHB13 cDNA was cloned into *pDONR221* as described by [Cabello](#page-13-19) *et al.* (2012) and then cloned into a pK2GW7 vector via GATEWAY recombination with LR Gateway® Clonase II enzyme mix (Invitrogen).

*35S::AtH23* Constructs were obtained after amplification of cDNAs with specific oligonucleotides using a U23330 clone as template, which was obtained from the *Arabidopsis* Biological Resource Center [\(Supplementary Table S3,](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1) available at *JXB* online). The amplification product was cloned into *Bam*HI and *Xho*I sites in a pENTR3C plasmid and was finally cloned into the pK2GW7 vector by GATEWAY recombination as above.

35S::amiR13/23 *and* 35S::amiR23 Artificial microRNAs (miR-NAs) were designed as described by [Schwab](#page-13-24) *et al.* (2006) [\(http://](http://wmd3.weigelworld.org/cgi-bin/webapp.cgi) [wmd3.weigelworld.org/cgi-bin/webapp.cgi](http://wmd3.weigelworld.org/cgi-bin/webapp.cgi)). A PCR pRS300 vector was used as a template, and specific oligonucleotides were designed [\(Supplementary Table S3](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1)). The resultant fragments were cloned into *Sal*I and *Xba*I sites in the pENTR3C plasmid and then cloned into the pK2GW7 plasmid by GATEWAY recombination as above. Double *AtHB13*/*AtHB23* silenced plants were named *amiRNA13/23*, and plants silenced for *AtHB23* were named *amiRNA23*.

At13ΔC*,* At13ΔAHA*,* At13WxA*, and* At13D289A These constructs were created by subcloning portions of *AtHB13* cDNA into *Xba*I and *Bam*HI sites of a pBI122 plasmid as described by [Capella](#page-13-6)  *et al.* [\(2014\).](#page-13-6) These DNA segments were amplified previously with the oligonucleotides that are described in [Supplementary Table S3](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1).

*pAtHB13::GUS* A fragment that lies 1772 bp upstream of the start codon of *AtHB13* was amplified from WT genomic DNA using specific oligonucleotides ([Supplementary Table S3](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1)). The amplification product was cloned into a pGEM-T Easy vector and then subcloned into *Sal*I and *Xba*I sites in a pENTR3C plasmid. Finally, the promoter region was cloned into a pKGWFS7 vector by GATEWAY recombination as above.

#### *Stable transformation of* Arabidopsis *plants*

A transformed *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain, LBA4404, was used to create transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants via a floral dip procedure ([Clough and Bent, 1998](#page-13-25)). Transformed plants were selected on the basis of their resistance in Petri dishes with 0.5× Murashige and Skoog medium supplemented with vitamins (PhytoTechnology Laboratories) and the appropriate selector chemicals  $(50 \text{ mg } l^{-1} \text{ of }$ Basta, 50 mg  $1^{-1}$  of kanamycin, or 7.5 mg  $1^{-1}$  of sulfadiazine). The seeds were surface sterilized, plated, and placed in a growth chamber at 22–24 °C after 2 d of incubation at  $4\text{ }^{\circ}$ C.

Transgene insertions were verified by PCR using genomic DNA as a template and specific oligonucleotides for each of the constructs described above. Three or four positive independent lines were further reproduced, and homozygous T3 and T4 plants were used to analyse transgene expression levels and plant phenotypes.

#### *RNA extraction and analysis*

Total RNA for use in reverse transcription quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) was isolated from *Arabidopsis* inflorescences using Trizol® reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. One microgram of RNA was reverse transcribed using oligo( $dT$ )<sub>18</sub> and Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase II (Promega). qPCR was performed using a Mx3000P Multiplex qPCR system (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA); each reaction contained a 20 μl final volume that included 2 μl of SyBr Green (4×), 8 pmol of each primer,  $2 \text{mM MgCl}_2$ , 10  $\mu$ l of a 1/15 dilution of the reverse transcription reaction and 0.1 μl of *Taq* Platinum (Invitrogen). Fluorescence was measured at 72 °C over 40 cycles. Specific primers were designed [\(Supplementary Table S3](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1)). Quantification of mRNA levels was achieved by normalization against actin transcript levels (*ACTIN2* and *ACTIN8*) according to the  $\Delta\Delta C_t$  method. All of the reactions were performed with at least three replicates.

#### *Inflorescence stem length assays*

Inflorescence stem lengths were measured using a ruler over a course of 14 d; time 0 represented the first day of bolting. Plant materials for histological cuts were fixed in 50% (v/v) ethanol, 5% (v/v) acetic acid, and 3.7% v/v formaldehyde for 16h and then dehydrated with ethanol and embedded in Histoplast (Biopack). Sections (10 μm thick) were mounted on slides coated with 50  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> of poly-D-lysine (Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO, USA) in 10mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0, and dried overnight at 37 °C. The paraffin was removed with xylene, and the sections were rehydrated. Staining was performed with methylene blue. Images were taken using a Nikon Coolpix L810 camera under microscopy (Nikon Eclipse E200).

#### *Histochemical* β*-glucuronidase (GUS) staining*

GUS staining was performed as described by [Jefferson](#page-13-26) *et al.* (1987). Plants were immersed in GUS staining buffer (1mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-glucuronic acid in 100mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0, 0.1% Triton X-100, 100mM potassium ferrocyanide, 100mM potassium ferricyanide), a vacuum was applied for 5min, and plants were then incubated at 37 °C for 12h. Chlorophyll was cleared from the plant tissues by immersion in 70% ethanol.

#### *Silique phenotype analyses*

Siliques were detached and photographed, and their lengths were quantified using ImageJ software (<http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/>). To quantify unfertilized ovules, the siliques were dissected under a Nikon SMZ800 stereomicroscope, and the numbers of fertilized and unfertilized ovules were counted.

#### *Pollen assays*

Pollen tube emergence was assayed in 1ml of pollen germination medium according to [Boavida and McCormick \(2007\)](#page-12-2). Pollen from a mature anther was poured and dusted onto a microscope slide and then incubated in a moisture chamber. Hydration assays were performed on emasculated flower buds, which were hand pollinated under a Nikon SMZ800 stereomicroscope according to [Mayfield](#page-13-27)  [and Preuss \(2000\)](#page-13-27). Pollen width was measured upon contact with the stigma and throughout hydration by taking pictures every 15 s under microscopy.

For pollen tube staining with aniline blue, pistils were detached 24h after pollination and then fixed for 1h in ethanol:acetic acid (3:1), washed with water, softened with 4M NaOH for 1h, cleared with 50% NaClO, and then stained with 0.1% decolorized aniline blue (in  $0.1 M K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> buffer$ ) for 4h. Finally, pistils were washed briefly with water and mounted onto a microscope slide using a mix of 0.1% aniline blue and 50% glycerol. Aniline blue fluorescence was visualized under a Nikon Eclipse E200 microscope equipped with a Nikon Coolpix L810 camera.

#### *RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq)*

RNA for RNA-Seq was isolated via TRI Reagent extraction with clean-up using columns from a Qiagen Plant RNeasy Mini kit. A total of 10 µg of each sample was sent to the Genome Technology Access Center at the Washington University School of Medicine where mRNA was extracted from total RNA using a Dynal mRNA Direct kit (Life Technology). mRNAs were then fragmented and reverse transcribed into double-stranded cDNA using random primers before the addition of adapters. Sequencing was performed using HiSeq 2500 equipment. The resultant fastQ files were then aligned with the most recent *Arabidopsis* Col-0 genome assembly (TAIR10; released in June 2009) with TopHat version 2.0.8 using Bowtie2 version 2.1.0.

#### *Confocal microscopy*

Images were obtained using a Leica TCS SP8 confocal laser microscope. Samples were excited using a 488nm laser, and emission was detected in two channels: 510–530nm for green fluorescent protein (GFP) and 540–600nm for lignin autofluorescence. To eliminate lignin autofluorescence leaking into GFP analyses, images were processed using ImageJ v.1.47 software.

#### Results

#### athb13 *mutant plants exhibit a differential developmental phenotype in the reproductive stage*

With the aim of unravelling the function of *AtHB13*, two homozygous *athb13* mutants were created. The first line, *athb13-1*, possessed a T-DNA insertion at its 3′ untranslated region, whereas the second line, *athb13-*2, possessed a T-DNA insertion inside its leucine zipper-coding region ([Fig. 1A\)](#page-3-0). The *AtHB13* transcript levels in these mutants were measured by RT-qPCR using oligonucleotides that matched the homeodomain region; transcript levels were lower than in WT plants but were still detectable. The first mutant exhibited

a 40% reduction in *AtHB13* transcript levels, whereas the second mutant exhibited a 90% reduction in *AtHB13* transcript levels compared with the WT genotype [\(Fig. 1B\)](#page-3-0).

Neither of the two mutants exhibited any alterations in morphological or developmental phenotypes compared with WT during the vegetative stage when grown in standard conditions ([Fig. 2A\)](#page-3-1). However, after bolting, both mutant lines exhibited faster stem elongation and, ending the life cycle, longer inflorescence stems [\(Fig. 2B\)](#page-3-1), indicating that AtHB13 has a negative role in stem elongation. Moreover, *athb13-1* plants exhibited obviously shorter siliques [\(Fig. 2C](#page-3-1)). Notably, neither anthers nor carpels showed any developmental defects compared with WT plants. Unexpectedly, siliques from *athb13-2* mutants were not significantly different than those of control plants ([Fig. 2C\)](#page-3-1). This observation was puzzling because *AtHB13* transcript levels were 10% of those of WT plants and were clearly lower in the *athb13-2* versus *athb13-1* mutants.

To understand better the observed differential phenotypes, the expression patterns of *AtHB13* were surveyed in transgenic plants in which the *AtHB13* promoter (a 1700bp fragment upstream of the start codon) controlled the reporter genes *GFP* and *GUS*. GUS expression was evident in the base of the inflorescence stem at the bolting moment and during the following days. In mature plants, GUS was expressed in the



<span id="page-3-0"></span>Fig. 1. *AtHB13* is downregulated to different extents in two different mutants. (A) Schematic representation of the *AtHB13* gene. White boxes represent 5′ and 3′ untranslated regions; filled boxes represent exons; lines represent introns. Arrowheads indicate the locations of T-DNA insertions in each mutant. Arrows indicate the binding sites of the primers used in RT-qPCR. HB, homeobox; LZ, leucine zipper. Bar, 100bp. (B) Relative transcript levels of *AtHB13* in 40-d-old inflorescences. Total RNA was isolated from inflorescences and analysed by RT-qPCR with specific oligonucleotides (Table S3). Transcript levels were normalized by applying the ΔΔC<sub>t</sub> method. Error bars represent standard error calculated from three independent biological replicates. Actin transcripts (*ACTIN2* and *ACTIN8*) were used as references.

upper portions of inflorescence stems [\(Fig. 3A](#page-4-0)). Conversely, GFP fluorescence was clearly visualized in the anthers of flowers at stage 12 and later decreased [\(Fig. 3B](#page-4-0)). These observations were consistent with the phenotype described above, indicating that *AtHB13* has a role in development.

### *Inflorescence stems elongate faster in* athb13 *mutant plants*

To characterize further the observed differential phenotype, kinetic analysis of inflorescence stem elongation was performed [\(Fig. 4A\)](#page-5-0). In both of the mutant genotypes (*athb13-1* and *athhb13-2*), stems elongated faster starting in the bolting



<span id="page-3-1"></span>Fig. 2. *athb13* mutant plants exhibit longer inflorescence stems and shorter siliques compared with WT plants. (A) Illustrative photographs of 17-d-old WT, *athb13-1*, and *athb13-2* plants. (B) Photographs of 35-d-old WT, *athb13-1*, and *athb13-2* plants. (C) Siliques of WT, *athb13-1*, and *athb13-2* plants at stage 17b [\(Roeder and Yanofsky, 2006](#page-13-28)).



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Fig. 3. Expression kinetics of *AtHB13* after bolting. (A) Histochemical detection of GUS enzymatic activity in *pAtHB13::GFP::GUS* plants at the indicated developmental stages; pAtHB13 included a 1700bp DNA fragment upstream of the translation start codon. (B) GFP visualization in *pAtHB13::GFP::GUS* anthers at different developmental stages. Images were obtained with a confocal laser microscope. Bar, 40 μm.

moment compared with WT. This growth rate difference was maintained during the following 14 d and until plants reached a mature stage, which resulted in longer stems in the mutant genotypes [\(Figs 2B](#page-3-1) and [4A](#page-5-0) and [Supplementary Fig.](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1)  [S1](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1), available at *JXB* online). The differences in length were particularly evident in the stem segment that was limited by the upper node and the flower, and were very similar in the segment between the rosette and the upper node ([Fig. 4A](#page-5-0)).

The differential phenotypes that were observed in *athb13-1* and *athb13-2* could be a consequence of a secondary T-DNA insertion; in such a case, the observed phenotype would be independent of *AtHB13*. To explore this possibility, we transformed *athb13-1* homozygous plants with a construct bearing the *AtHB13* coding region under the control of a 35S cauliflower mosaic virus promoter (*35S::AtHB13*). Two independent transgenic lines were evaluated and were indistinguishable from WT regarding inflorescence stem elongation [\(Fig. 4B](#page-5-0) and [Supplementary Fig. S1\)](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1), which strongly indicated that the observed phenotype was indeed a consequence of the *AtHB13* mutation.

#### *AtHB23, a paralogue of AtHB13, also negatively regulates inflorescence stem growth*

Regarding the inflorescence stem differential phenotype that was exhibited by both of the *athb13* mutants and the ability of *AtHB13* to rescue it, we sought to determine whether *AtHB23*, a paralogue gene of *AtHB13*, was also involved in this developmental process. AtHB23 shares 62% identity with AtHB13 and possesses a similar expression pattern (Arce *et al.*[, 2011](#page-12-1)); however, no suitable *athb23* mutant plants were available in public resources, and the only one available had an additional insertion in *AtATH3*. Considering the time-consuming and difficult process that would be required to isolate an *athb23* mutant out of this double mutant, we decided instead to create silenced plants using artificial miRNAs. Therefore, an artificial miRNA was constructed to achieve knockdown of *AtHB23* in a WT background, and an additional miRNA was designed to obtain a double *athb13/athb23* knockdown genotype [\(Supplementary](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1)  [Fig. S2A](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1), available at *JXB* online). Expression levels of *AtHB23* were clearly repressed in both the single and doubleknockdown transgenic plants, whereas the expression levels of AtHB13 changed only in the double mutant [\(Supplementary](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1)  [Fig. S2B](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1)). The inflorescence stems of these plants were examined [\(Fig. 4C](#page-5-0)), as well as their elongation kinetics after bolting ([Fig. 4D](#page-5-0)). All three of the silenced genotypes possessed more elongated inflorescence stems than WT plants, and their growth kinetics were similar to those exhibited by both of the *athb13* mutants [\(Fig. 4A\)](#page-5-0). These observations indicated that both paralogues are independently involved in this developmental process and exert negative roles.



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Fig. 4. AtHB13 and AtHB23 play negative roles in inflorescence stem growth. (A) Kinetics of stem elongation in WT, *athb13-1*, and *athb13-2* plants. Time 0 represents the day on which bolting was visualized. Stems and internodes were measured with a ruler. Each point represents the mean inflorescence stem length of 16 plants. Bars represent standard error. (B) Representative images taken 4 d after bolting in WT, *athb13-1*, *athb13-2*, and two independent lines of *athb13-1* that were transformed with *35S::AtHB13*. (C) Illustrative photographs of 25-d-old WT, *athb13-1*, *amiRNA23*, and *amiRNA13/23* plants showing differences in inflorescence stem lengths. (D) Kinetics of inflorescence stem elongation in the different genotypes after bolting. Time 0 represented the bolting day. Each point represents the mean inflorescence stem length of 16 plants. Bars represent standard error.

#### *The inflorescence stem phenotype of* athb13 *mutants is probaby due to differential cell division*

To determine whether the above differences were due to a higher rate of cell division or to variations in cell sizes, histological sections were obtained from different regions of the stems ([Fig. 5A](#page-6-0)). Cell length was measured under microscopy, but no significant differences between genotypes were detected, indicating that the rate of cell division was probably increased in *athb13* mutants (data not shown).

To further assess this differential phenotype, the expression of five different cyclin-encoding genes and five genes encoding proteins involved in cell expansion was evaluated in *athb13-1* mutant and WT plants. The cyclin-encoding genes were upregulated in the mutant genotype [\(Fig. 5B](#page-6-0)), whereas the transcripts of *PMEI* (*PLANT INVERTASE/PECTIN METHYLESTERASE INHIBITOR SUPERFAMILY PROTEIN*,), *CESA10* (*CELLULOSE SYNTHASE 10*), *EXP10* (*EXPANSIN 10*), and *XTH15* (*XYLOGLUCAN ENDOTRANSGLUCOSYLASE/HYDROLASE 15*) did not show significant differences between *athb13-1* and WT plants ([Fig. 5C](#page-6-0)). Only *PLP4* (*PATATIN-LIKE PROTEIN 4*; Li *et al.*[, 2011](#page-13-29)), known as a cell expansion repressor, was upregulated in the mutant. These observations supported a negative role of AtHB13 in the inflorescence stem elongation by repressing cell division ([Fig. 5B](#page-6-0)).

#### athb13-1 *mutant plants exhibit abnormal ovule fertilization*

In addition to the differences in inflorescence stem elongation rates described above, another interesting differential

phenotype was visualized in *athb13-1* plants: they exhibited shorter siliques [\(Fig. 2C](#page-3-1)). Deeper analysis of these siliques revealed that they had fewer viable embryos, which were localized primarily in the upper portion of the fruit, although the total number of ovules was equal between mutant and WT genotypes [\(Fig. 6A,](#page-7-0) [B](#page-7-0)). Notably, *athb13-2* mutant plants were indistinguishable from WT regarding silique sizes and quantities of ovules.

An important question that arose following the visualization of the decreased number of embryos in *athb13-1* mutants was whether this defect was male or female specific. To answer this question, we performed reciprocal crosses between WT and *athb13-1* plants. When WT stigmas were pollinated with *athb13-1* pollen, the mutant phenotype was still observed. In contrast, when *athb13-1* stigmas were pollinated with WT pollen, normal seed development occurred, indicating that the defective phenotype was clearly male specific and caused by the pollen donor [\(Fig. 6C](#page-7-0)). Aniline blue staining of carpels 24h after pollination confirmed that only a few grains of *athb13-1* pollen were able to germinate on both mutant and WT stigma surfaces. Moreover, pollen tubes only reached the upper part of the ovary [\(Supplementary Fig. S3A,](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1) available at *JXB* online). Consistently, less than 10% of *athb13-1* pollen grains were able to germinate and form fully elongated pollen tubes *in vitro* ([Supplementary Fig. S3B\)](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1).

### *Pollen of* athb13-1 *mutants exhibits an abnormal interaction with the stigma*

Three major events occur in *Arabidopsis* prior to pollen germination and after a pollen grain lands on the stigma: pollen



Fig. 5. Cell division is probably responsible for the differences in stems length exhibited by *athb13-1* mutant plants. (A) Left panel: illustrative photographs of 35-d-old WT, *athb13-1*, and *athb13-2* plants in which the sections that were taken for histological analyses are indicated with circles. Right panel: histological sections of inflorescence stems stained with methylene blue. Bars, 0.25mm. (B, C) Differential expression in WT and *athb13-1* mutant plants of five genes encoding cyclins involved in cell division (B) and five genes involved in cell expansion (C). Transcript levels were normalized by applying the ΔΔ*C*t method. Error bars represent standard deviation calculated from three independent biological replicates. Actin transcripts (*ACTIN2* and *ACTIN8*) were used as references.

adhesion, foot formation, and pollen hydration. Knowing that a group of genes that are involved in pollen hydration was differentially regulated in *HaHB1* (a sunflower orthologue of *AtHB13*) overexpressor plants [\(Cabello](#page-13-19) *et al.*, 2012), we decided to evaluate pollen hydration ability. Hydration was tested directly on stigmas, allowing us to observe that

<span id="page-6-0"></span>both WT and *athb13-1* pollens initiated hydration at the same time; however, WT pollen grains reached significantly larger sizes than those of *athb13-1* [\(Fig. 7\)](#page-8-0). Regarding these results, it could be concluded that *athb13-1* pollen failed to interact with the stigma, even in the case of WT stigma (not shown). Moreover, unlike other mutants that have been described as



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Fig. 6. *athb13-1* mutant plants exhibit unfertilized ovules and shorter siliques due to a pollen defect. (A) Left panel: unstained siliques of WT and *athb13-1* plants at stage 18 ([Roeder and Yanofsky, 2006\)](#page-13-28). Right panel: illustrative photograph of the inside of the siliques. (B) Quantitation of fertilized and unfertilized ovules. The experiment was conducted using 15 siliques per genotype (*P*<0.001). Bars represent standard deviation. (C) Illustrative photograph of siliques obtained from the cross-pollination of WT and *athb13-1*, changing the donors of stigma and pollen as indicated at the bottom; flowers were at stage 12 [\(Smyth](#page-13-30) *et al.*, 1990) and *n*=15. S, stigma; P, pollen. Bar, 0.5cm. (D) Box plot showing silique lengths and percentage of fertilized ovules per silique obtained from the same cross-pollinations as indicated at the bottom (*n*=15 per cross; *P*<0.001).

being defective in pollen hydration ([Mayfield and Preuss,](#page-13-27)  [2000;](#page-13-27) [Updegraff](#page-14-1) *et al.*, 2009), *athb13-*1 plants did not show a delay in hydration; the major difference compared with WT was the amount of absorbed water, which was evaluated by the fold change in pollen grain diameter versus that measured at time 0 ([Fig. 7B\)](#page-8-0). Conversely, although pollen adhesion was tested in mutant and WT plants, no differences between genotypes were detected in this process [\(Supplementary Fig. S3\)](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1).

The fact that only *athb13-1* and not *athb13-2* exhibited the silique phenotype was disconcerting. Although the differential stem elongation was complemented with AtHB13, this pollen defect could still be a consequence of a secondary T-DNA insertion. To explore this possibility, we analysed *athb13-1* homozygous plants that were complemented with *35S::AtHB13* constructs. Four out of five of the transgenic lines of the F1 progeny no longer showed the hydration defect and exhibited hydration curves similar to those of WT pollen [\(Fig. 7B\)](#page-8-0), indicating that the observed phenotype was indeed a consequence of the *AtHB13* mutation.

Considering these results, it was still disconcerting that *athb13-2* mutants did not show any particular phenotype regarding silique development, as discussed above. Moreover, when these mutant plants were tested for pollen germination by aniline blue staining, the pollen grains normally germinated on the stigma and pollen tubes reached the bottom of the carpel [\(Supplementary Fig. S4](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1), available at *JXB* online). However, a difference with WT pollen was detected: approximately 50% of the pollen grains exhibited a clear delay until they were hydrated ([Supplementary Fig. S5](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1), available at *JXB* online).

### *Transcriptomic analysis of WT and* athb13-1 *inflorescences reveals differentially expressed genes involved in cell wall differentiation and transport*

With the aim unravelling the molecular mechanisms involved in the abnormal pollen germination phenotype that was observed in the *athb13-1* mutants, an RNA-Seq transcriptomic analysis was performed using RNA extracted from WT and *athb13-1* inflorescences. Instead of isolated pollen, whole inflorescences were used as the material for this study because it has been described previously that several of the genes that are involved in the pollen hydration process are not expressed in pollen itself but are instead expressed in the



Fig. 7. *athb13-1* mutant pollen hydration is defective. (A) Pictures showing hydration of WT and *athb13-1* pollen grains on the stigma. (B) Time course of pollen hydration on the stigmas of WT, *athb13-1*, and *athb13-1* plants transformed with *35S::AtHB13*. Flowers were tested at stage 12 [\(Smyth](#page-13-30) *et al.*, [1990](#page-13-30)). Images were captured every 15 s. Each point represents *n*=10 pollen grains. Bars represent standard deviation.

tapetum [\(Mayfield and Preuss, 2000](#page-13-27); [Mayfield](#page-13-31) *et al.*, 2001; [Updegraff](#page-14-1) *et al.*, 2009; [Loraine](#page-13-32) *et al.*, 2013).

As expected, *AtHB13* transcript levels were lower in mutant versus WT inflorescences. Notably, the coverage of *AtHB13* transcripts was very similar in both genotypes until the T-DNA insertion was reached, indicating that the coding sequence in the mutant was complete [\(Supplementary Fig.](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1)  [S6](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1), available at *JXB* online). A total of 800 different genes were detected as being differentially expressed, and 323 of them exhibited at least a 2-fold change ([Supplementary Table](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1)  [S1](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1), available at *JXB* online). Unfortunately, the majority of these genes were classified as being of unknown function ([Supplementary Fig. S7,](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1) available at *JXB* online). Notably, a considerable number of genes that were described as pollen coat genes [\(Mayfield](#page-13-31) *et al.*, 2001) belonged to the GRP family and were found to be slightly repressed in the mutant, in addition to EXL3. Even when the repression was only approximately 30%, the fact that all of these genes exhibited the same behaviour could be significant. The others were identified as genes that are involved in cell development and organization; several of them are related to pollen germination, pollen tube cell wall modification, and directional growth, and represent the strongest candidates for causing the observed phenotype ([Supplementary Table S2](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1), available at *JXB* online). Another

<span id="page-8-0"></span>of the groups of regulated genes encoded transport proteins, and it is well known that pollen germination on the stigma is mediated by the transport of several proteins and lipids ([Bock](#page-12-3)  *et al.*[, 2006;](#page-12-3) [Komarova](#page-13-33) *et al.*, 2008). A curious observation regarding the subcellular localization of the encoded proteins was that most of the known proteins localized to extracellular space, consistent with pollen–stigma communication ([Supplementary Fig. S7](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1)). Interestingly, only 36 out of the 312 differentially expressed genes were induced, indicating that AtHB13 is probably acting as an activator of gene expression. On the other hand, 28 of the differentially expressed genes possessed in their promoter regions the pseudo-palindromic sequence CAATNATTG, which is recognized by HD-Zip I TFs. Validation of the RNA-Seq assay was achieved by RT-qPCR, and the results indicated a positive correlation ([Supplementary Fig. S7](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1)).

#### *AtHB23 is able to replace AtHB13 function in flower development*

Considering the clear and disconcerting phenotypic differences that were observed between *athb13-1* and *athb13-2* mutants and that a second T-DNA insertion was not the mechanism responsible for them, we proposed two different hypotheses. Knowing that a pair of HD-Zip I TFs, AtHB7 and AtHB12, regulate each other to fine-tune processes that are associated with growth and stress responses (Ré *[et al.](#page-13-6)*, [2014\)](#page-13-6), the first hypothesis was that in *athb13-1* mutant plants the levels of *AtHB13* transcripts are sensed as being sufficient, even if they are not,whereas in *athb13-2* mutant plants, the levels of *AtHB13* transcripts are sensed as being insufficient and therefore the paralogue *AtHB23* is upregulated to replace the function of AtHB13. The second hypothesis was that a neomorphic AtHB13 protein was translated and that this protein was unable to function; however, because it occupies the target sites of AtHB13, the putative redundant AtHB23 would not be able to replace it, resulting in the abnormal phenotype.

The first step in investigating which of these hypotheses could be corroborated was to measure *AtHB23* transcript levels in inflorescences of *athb13-1* and *athb13-2* mutants. The results indicated that, even in *athb13-1* plants, *AtHB23* was slightly upregulated; transcript levels of this gene in *athb13-2* plants were significantly higher ([Fig. 8A\)](#page-9-0), supporting the first hypothesis stated above.

Secondly, pollen hydration tests were performed with the silenced *athb23* and *athb13/athb23* genotypes and indicated that a lack of *AtHB23* only was not sufficient to generate abnormalities in pollen hydration ([Fig. 8B](#page-9-0)). Nevertheless, when both *AtHB13* and *AtHB23* were silenced, the resulting pollen hydration phenotype was defective in a manner that was similar to the simple *athb13-1* mutant ([Fig. 8B\)](#page-9-0).

Further and more robust evidence about the role that *AtHB23* has in replacing *AtHB13* was obtained from experiments in which *athb13-2* plants, which were not exhibiting a defective pollen phenotype, were transformed with miRNA against *AtHB23*. These plants produced the same level of defectiveness as *athb13-1* plants, indicating that when both paralogues are downregulated, pollen was unable to hydrate in contact with the stigma ([Fig. 8C\)](#page-9-0). Similarly, *35S::AtHB23* was able to rescue the abnormal phenotypes of *athb13-1* plants ([Fig. 8C](#page-9-0)).

### *Two tryptophans of the AHA motif of AtHB13 are essential for it to exert its function*

HD-Zip I TFs exhibit an AHA (*a*romatic and large *h*ydrophobic residues embedded in an *a*cidic context)-like transactivation motif in their C termini (Arce *et al.*[, 2011](#page-12-1)). The AHA motif of AtHB13 and, in particular, the tryptophans present in this motif have been demonstrated as essential for transcriptional activation in yeast and *Arabidopsis* [\(Capella](#page-13-6) *et al.*, [2014\)](#page-13-6). However, no functionality related to a certain phenotype has been indicated thus far. Considering the abnormal reproductive phenotypes presented by *athb13-1* and *athb13-2* mutant plants and that these abnormalities were completely rescued by transformation with a *35S::AtHB13* construct, we decided to test the ability of different constructs, which had deletions or mutations in the AHA motif, to rescue the defect. Transformed plants were created, and their stems and inflorescences were evaluated ([Fig. 9\)](#page-10-0). Constructs in which the



<span id="page-9-0"></span>Fig. 8. *AtHB23* rescues abnormal phenotypes caused by *athb13-1* to obtain normal pollen hydration. (A) Relative transcript levels of *AtHB13* and *AtHB23* in WT, *athb13-1*, and *athb13-2* inflorescences. Transcript levels were normalized against WT transcripts by applying the  $\Delta \Delta C_t$  method. Error bars represent standard error calculated from three independent biological replicates. Actin transcripts (*ACTIN2* and *ACTIN8*) were used as references. (B) Time course of pollen hydration on the stigmas of *athb13- 1*, *amiRNA23*, and *amiRNA13/23* flowers that were artificially pollinated. (C) Time course of pollen hydration in WT, *athb13-1*, and *athb13-1* plants complemented with *35S::AtHB23* and *athb13-2* and transformed with *35S::amiR23*. In (B) and (C), images were captured every 15 s. The *y*-axis represents the relative diameter of the pollen grain with respect to its diameter at time 0. *n*=10 pollen grain per genotype. Bars represent standard deviation.



<span id="page-10-0"></span>Fig. 9. The AtHB13 AHA motif is essential for its functionality. (A) Schematic representation of AtHB13 protein and the different variants that were used to complement the *athb13-1* abnormal phenotype [\(Capella](#page-13-6)  *et al.*[, 2014](#page-13-6)). (B) Representative photograph of *athb13-1* 35-d-old plants transformed with the constructs indicated in (A). (C) Representative photograph of siliques of the same genotypes. (D) *In vitro* pollen germination, 24h after contact with pollen growth medium. *n*>250 pollen grains per genotype. Three independent lines for each genotype were analysed. Bars represent standard deviation.

entire C terminus or the AHA motif were deleted were not able to rescue either of the defective phenotypes. The same observation was made when both of the tryptophans present in the AHA motif were mutated to alanine, whereas a construct in which only aspartic acid 289 was mutated rescued the defect in a similar manner as WT AtHB13. These results indicated that the tryptophans that lie inside the AHA motif are essential for AtHB13 to accomplish its functions in inflorescence stem elongation and ovule fertilization.

## **Discussion**

#### *HD-Zip I AtHB13 has a crucial role in development*

To date, only a limited number of HD-Zip I TFs have been related to developmental processes. In *Arabidopsis* LMI1 ([Saddic](#page-13-13) *et al.*, 2006) and AtHB12 (Son *et al.*[, 2010](#page-14-2)), HD-Zip I TFs have been described to be involved in flowering and stem development, respectively. Interesting examples of TFs from other plant species are garden pea TL [\(Hofer](#page-13-15) *et al.*, 2009) and barley VRS1 ([Komatsuda](#page-13-14) *et al.*, 2007). The developmental roles of these TFs were discovered based on characterizations of mutant plants showing abnormal phenotypes. Moreover, in the latter cases, the mutations that helped to elucidate the roles of these TFs were found in the C termini of the proteins. In view of the importance of the relationship between conserved motifs in their C termini and the functional role of HD-Zip I TFs, AtHB13 appeared to be a very interesting candidate for further studies. This TF belongs to clade V and exhibits several motifs in its C terminus of unknown function that are shared with its apparent paralogue, AtHB23 [\(Arce](#page-12-1)  *et al.*[, 2011](#page-12-1)).

Evaluating *athb13-1* mutant plants during development in standard growth conditions allowed us to determine that serious abnormalities occurred in the reproductive stage. More precisely, stems grew faster and were higher at the end of the cycle, and siliques were clearly shorter, possessed fewer seeds, and had a large number of unfertilized ovules. This differential stem phenotype was due to faster growth of inflorescence stems in the segments between the upper internode and the inflorescence itself. The same phenotype was also evident in *athb13-2* mutant plants, which exhibited the insertion in a coding region, whereas defective siliques were observed only in *athb13-1* mutants. These observations were rather disconcerting, and the possibility of a second insertion in *athb13-1*, or in *athb13-2*, was discarded because the abnormal phenotypes were rescued (inflorescence stems for both mutants and siliques for *athb13-1*) after transforming the mutants with either *35S::AtHB13* or *35S::AtHB23*.

## *AtHB13 and its paralogue AtHB23 negatively affect inflorescence stem elongation*

To understand the differential phenotype regarding inflorescence stem elongation, this trait was analysed in both of the *athb13* mutants and in the *athb23* and double *athb13/ athb23* knockdown plants, as well as in mutants that were transformed with *35S::AtHB13* or with *35S::AtHB23*. The results indicated that both AtHB13 and AtHB23 are independent negative regulators of elongation. Consistently, plants overexpressing the sunflower AtHB13 homologue, HaHB1, exhibited the opposite phenotype (i.e. a decrease in stem elongation rate) [\(Cabello](#page-13-19) *et al.*, 2012).

The faster elongation seems to be due to more active cell division rather than to differences in cell sizes ([Fig. 5](#page-6-0)). Similar cell sizes were observed in mutant and WT stems, as well as upregulation of cyclins and unchanged transcript levels of genes involved in cell expansion [\(Somerville, 2006\)](#page-14-3) in *athb13- 1* mutants, both of which supported this idea ([Fig. 5](#page-6-0)).

Notably, the differential inflorescence stem phenotype was presented by both *athb13* mutants and by *amiRNA23*, indicating that both apparent paralogue genes have roles in this developmental event. Because the mutation of either of these genes, as well as both of the genes, caused similar increases in stem growth rates, it is tempting to speculate that each one of them has specific targets or heterodimers that are required for their functions and therefore that the absence of one of them is sufficient to alter the phenotype. Such heterodimerization was proposed previously by [Harris](#page-13-34) *et al.* (2011).

#### *Role of AtHB13 in pollen hydration*

Reproduction in *Arabidopsis* is accomplished after double fertilization between a female gametophyte and two male gametes. Pollination begins when desiccated pollen grains land on the stigma. This process includes fundamental events, and to achieve fertilization fine control of these steps is needed [\(Chapman and Goring, 2010](#page-13-35)).

Previous reports have indicated that both *AtHB13* and *AtHB23* are expressed in stigma and that *AtHB13* is also expressed in anthers (this work). Hence, it is tempting to speculate that these TFs could be involved in communication between pollen and stigma, in particular by playing a role in the generation of the pollen coat. Moreover, it has been suggested that molecules located on the extracellular surfaces of both pollen and stigma are responsible for such recognition ([Edlund](#page-13-36) *et al.*, 2004). As shown in [Supplementary Fig.](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1)  [S7 a](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1)nd [Supplementary Table S1,](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1) a large number of the differentially expressed genes in *athb13-1* mutants are located in extracellular space. Among the genes that have previously been described as participating in pollen hydration, only *GRP17* was differentially expressed in *athb13-1* mutants [\(Mayfield and Preuss, 2000;](#page-13-27) [Mayfield](#page-13-31) *et al.*, 2001; [Updegraff](#page-14-1)  *et al.*[, 2009](#page-14-1)). Interestingly, of the 15 proteins that have been described as being expressed in *Arabidopsis* pollen coats, seven of them were repressed in *athb13-1* mutant plants to the same extent as *AtHB13* transcripts. Although the repression of these pollen coat genes was limited, the fact that a large proportion of these genes were downregulated could explain the observed phenotype. These observations could also explain the results that were obtained when pollen hydration of the *athb13-2* mutant was evaluated ([Supplementary Fig. S5B\)](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1). Certain *athb13-2* mutant pollen grains hydrated similarly to WT pollen grains, whereas others were similar to the *athb13-1* mutant. *AtHB13* is usually expressed in the tapetum [\(Fig. 3\)](#page-4-0),

which is the tissue that generates the pollen coat. From the tapetum, a heterogeneous substance is released that becomes the pollen coat, and in the pollen coat the pollen grain is imbibed before anthesis [\(Edlund](#page-13-36) *et al.*, 2004). It is possible that the natural complementation achieved by AtHB23 in the *athb13-2* mutant is not complete and therefore that select grains acquire a functional coat and others do not.

#### *AtHB23 can substitute for AtHB13 in pollen when the latter is defective*

With the aim of understanding why *athb13-1* and *athb13-* 2 plants exhibited such different siliques phenotypes, several experimental strategies were employed. *AtHB13* and *AtHB23* expression levels were evaluated in *athb13-1* and *athb13-*2 plants, and the results were rather difficult to explain [\(Fig. 8A\)](#page-9-0). Although the T-DNA insertion in the first mutant is located after the stop codon and inside the 3′ non-coding region, which enables almost complete transcription of the gene, several important events must be failing to progress because these plants demonstrated a highly abnormal phenotype. In the second mutant, the insertion is located inside of the coding region, specifically in the leucine zipper, and therefore it was expected to produce a more severe abnormal phenotype. However, this was not the case; on the contrary, *athb13-2* exhibited normal siliques, and differences were found only in inflorescence stems.

A recent report showed that the paralogues pair *AtHB12* and *AtHB7*, which are members of the HD-Zip I family, exhibits an interesting mechanism in which each member of the pair is able to affect the expression of the other (Ré *[et al.](#page-13-6)*, [2014\)](#page-13-6). This observation led us to propose that a similar phenomenon might be occurring with the pair *AtHB13*/*AtHB23.* A second potential explanation was that an incomplete AtHB13 protein was taking the place of the WT AtHB13 and therefore avoided the putative replacement function of AtHB23. Evaluation of *AtHB13* transcripts, both by RT-PCR and by RNA-Seq, corroborated that these transcripts were complete and were not partially degraded [\(Supplementary](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1)  [Fig. S6](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1)). Together with the absence of an abnormal silique phenotype in heterozygous plants (WT×*athb13-1*) or in WT plants transformed with the construct *35S::AtHB13∆C* [\(Supplementary Fig. S6\)](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1), this observation suggested that the first hypothesis was more likely to be correct: when the transcript levels of *AtHB13* are significantly reduced in siliques, *AtHB23* transcription (normally not expressed in this organ) is induced, and the resultant protein can substitute for the absence of AtHB13. Using specifically designed miRNAs, plants that were silenced at *AtHB23* and plants that were double silenced at *athb13/athb23* were generated and analysed. Notably, only *athb13-1* and the double-silenced construct led to the production of shorter siliques, whereas the remaining silenced genotypes led to a faster elongation rate of inflorescence stems [\(Fig. 4](#page-5-0) and [8\)](#page-9-0).

Because *AtHB23* knockdown plants did not exhibit abnormalities regarding their silique phenotypes or pollen hydration abilities, we concluded that the typical role of *AtHB23* is not related to pollen germination but is instead involved in a

bypass mechanism to prevent failures in plant reproduction. Moreover, although the expression patterns of *AtHB13* and *AtHB23* were similar in plants that were grown under normal conditions ([Hanson](#page-13-16) *et al.*, 2002; Kim *et al.*[, 2007\)](#page-13-17), these genes are regulated by abscisic acid and salinity in nearly opposing manners ([Henriksson](#page-13-20) *et al.*, 2005), indicating that they probably possess differential functions. Supporting this statement and according to RNA-Seq data, *AtHB13* transcript levels in WT plants were 5-fold those of *AtHB23* in inflorescences.

The question of how  $AtHB23$  senses the absence of functional *AtHB13* remains. We are currently unable to answer this question because *AtHB23* does not possess the pseudopalindromic CAATNATTG sequence that is bound by HD-Zip I proteins in its promoter region [\(Palena](#page-13-37) *et al.*, 1999; [Johannesson](#page-13-21) *et al.*, 2001). It is tempting to speculate that one or more intermediary proteins act to transduce the signal. The results indicate an inverse relationship between transcript levels for *AtHB13* versus *AtHB23*, consistent with the idea that the transcript levels of the genes, and not protein levels, are being monitored. Our observations clearly indicated that a lack of *AtHB23* does not generate abnormal siliques and that siliques are shorter and pollen exhibits serious problems in germinating on stigma only when *AtHB13* is also absent. The characterization of the double knockdown corroborated these conclusions.

#### *AHA motifs in AtHB13 are functionally essential*

Interestingly, it could be demonstrated in this work that the C terminus of AtHB13 plays a crucial role in development. Although previous reports have indicated that the C terminus is essential for transactivation, the corresponding experiments were performed using artificial target genes [\(Capella](#page-13-6)  *et al.*[, 2014](#page-13-6)). On the other hand, AHA functionality *in planta* has been indirectly demonstrated for two different HD-Zip I TFs: VRS1 and TL ([Komatsuda](#page-13-14) *et al.*, 2007; [Hofer](#page-13-15) *et al.*, [2009\)](#page-13-15). However, no experimental evidence regarding the importance of tryptophans in these AHA motifs has been reported previously. In this work, we showed that not only is the C terminus of AtHB13 functional but also that its AHA motif and tryptophans 285 and 287, which reside inside it, are essential.

#### *Conclusions*

To date, several *Arabidopsis* HD-Zip I mutants have been characterized, and the majority of previous reports have focused on their altered responses to illumination, environmental conditions, or hormone treatments ([Aoyama](#page-12-4) *et al.*, [1995;](#page-12-4) [Himmelbach](#page-13-7) *et al.*, 2002; [Wang](#page-13-8) *et al.*, 2003; [Manavella](#page-13-12)  *et al.*[, 2008;](#page-13-12) [Barrero](#page-12-5) *et al.*, 2010; [De Smet](#page-13-38) *et al.*, 2013); only a limited number of reports focusing on their roles in development have been published.

Here, we showed that *AtHB13* and *AtHB23* play negative roles in stem elongation and that *AtHB13* has a crucial function in pollen germination. When *AtHB13* was mutated, although its transcript levels were low, they were still detected by plant cells and led to an abnormal phenotype that was

characterized by short siliques and unfertilized ovules. When there was an absence of available *AtHB13* transcripts in cells, *AtHB23*, which is an *AtHB13* paralogue, was somehow upregulated and could substitute for *AtHB13*.

## Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at *JXB* online.

[Supplementary Fig. S1.](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1) The differential phenotype in stem lengths that is exhibited by *athb13-1* and *athb13-*2 mutants is rescued by AtHB13.

[Supplementary Fig. S2.](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1) Expression of *AtHB13* and *AtHB23* in knockdown genotypes that were generated by transformation with amiRNAs.

[Supplementary Fig. S3.](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1) Pollen tube development in pistils is impaired in *athb13-1* inflorescences.

[Supplementary Fig. S4.](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1) Pollen adhesion is similar in *athb13-1* and WT plants.

[Supplementary Fig. S5.](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1) Pollen hydration is defective in *athb13-2* mutant plants.

[Supplementary Fig. S6.](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1) *AtHB13* transcript length is complete in *athb13-*1 mutants, and no evidence of a neomorphic AtHB13 protein was found.

[Supplementary Fig. S7.](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1) RNA-seq results.

[Supplementary Table S1.](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1) Genes differentially expressed in *athb13-1* inflorescences.

[Supplementary Table S2.](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1) Genes differentially expressed in *athb13-1* inflorescences that are described as being involved in pollen hydration and germination.

[Supplementary Table S3.](http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1093/jxb/erv302/-/DC1) Oligonucleotides used for cloning and RT-qPCR assays. Restriction sites are underlined.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (PICT 2011 0850 and PICT 2012 0955) and Universidad Nacional del Litoral (UNL). PR and MC are CONICET PhD Fellows. RLC is a CONICET Career member. The authors thank Dr Jorge Giacomelli for his help with RNA-Seq data and Dr Pablo Manavella for critical reading of the manuscript.

#### References

<span id="page-12-4"></span>Aoyama T, Dong C, Wu Y, Carabelli M, Sessa G, Ruberti I, Morelli G, Chua N. 1995. Ectopic expression of the *Arabidopsis* transcriptional activator Athb-1 alters leaf cell fate in tobacco. *The Plant Cell* 7, 1773–1785.

<span id="page-12-1"></span>Arce AL, Raineri J, Capella M, Cabello JV, Chan RL. 2011.

Uncharacterized conserved motifs outside the HD-Zip domain in HD-Zip subfamily I transcription factors; a potential source of functional diversity. *BMC Plant Biology* 11, 42

<span id="page-12-0"></span>Ariel FD, Manavella PA, Dezar CA, Chan RL. 2007. The true story of the HD-Zip family. *Trends in Plant Science* 12, 419–426.

<span id="page-12-5"></span>Barrero J, Millar A, Griffiths J, Czechowski T, Scheible W, Udvardi M, Reid J, Ross J, Jacobsen J, Gubler F. 2010. Gene expression profiling identifies two regulatory genes controlling dormancy and ABA sensitivity in *Arabidopsis* seeds. *The Plant Journal* 61, 611–622.

<span id="page-12-2"></span>Boavida LC, McCormick S. 2007. Temperature as a determinant factor for increased and reproducible *in vitro* pollen germination in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Journal* 52, 570–582.

<span id="page-12-3"></span>Bock KW, Honys D, Ward JM, Padmanaban S, Nawrocki EP, Hirschi KD, Twell D, Sze H. 2006. Integrating membrane transport with male

gametophyte development and function through transcriptomics. *Plant Physiology* 140, 1151–1168.

<span id="page-13-19"></span>Cabello JV, Arce AL, Chan RL. 2012. The homologous HD-Zip I transcription factors *HaHB1* and *AtHB13* confer cold tolerance via the induction of pathogenesis-related and glucanase proteins. *The Plant Journal* 69, 141–153.

<span id="page-13-18"></span>Cabello JV, Chan RL. 2012. The homologous homeodomain-leucine zipper transcription factors *HaHB1* and *AtHB13* confer tolerance to drought and salinity stresses via the induction of proteins that stabilize membranes. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 10, 815–825.

<span id="page-13-6"></span>Capella M, Ré DA, Arce AL, Chan RL. 2014. Plant homeodomainleucine zipper I transcription factors exhibit different functional AHA motifs that selectively interact with TBP or/and TFIIB. *Plant Cell Reports* 33, 955–967.

<span id="page-13-35"></span>Chapman LA, Goring DR. 2010. Pollen-pistil interactions regulating successful fertilization in the Brassicaceae. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 7, 1987–1999.

<span id="page-13-23"></span>Choi H, Jeong S, Kim D, Na H, Ryu J, Lee S, Nam H, Lim P, Woo H. 2014. The homeodomain-leucine zipper ATHB23, a phytochrome B-interacting protein, is important for phytochrome B-mediated red light signaling. *Physiologia Plantarum* 150, 308–320.

<span id="page-13-25"></span>Clough SJ, Bent AF. 1998. Floral dip: a simplified method for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Journal* 16, 735–743.

<span id="page-13-38"></span>De Smet I, Lau S, Ehrismann J, Axiotis I, Kolb M, Kientz M, Wiejers D, Jürgens G. 2013. Transcriptional repression of *BODENLOS* by HD-ZIP transcription factor HB5 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 64, 3009–3019.

<span id="page-13-10"></span>Dezar CA, Gago G, Gonzalez DH, Chan RL. 2005. *Hahb-4*, a sunflower homeobox-leucine zipper gene, is a developmental regulator and confers drought tolerance to *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants. *Transgenic Research* 14, 429–440.

<span id="page-13-36"></span>Edlund AF, Swanson R, Preuss D. 2004. Pollen and stigma structure and function: the role of diversity in pollination. *The Plant Cell* 16, S84–S97.

<span id="page-13-22"></span>Gao D, Appiano M, Huibers RP, Chen X, Loonen AEHM, Visser RGF, Wolters AMA, Bai Y. 2014. Activation tagging of *ATHB13* in *Arabidopsis thaliana* confers broad-spectrum disease resistance. *Plant Molecular Biology* 86, 641–653.

<span id="page-13-21"></span>Hanson J, Johannesson H, Engström P. 2001. Sugar-dependent alterations in cotyledon and leaf development in transgenic plants expressing the HDZhdip gene *ATHB13*. *Plant Molecular Biology* 45, 247–262.

<span id="page-13-16"></span>Hanson J, Regan S, Engström P. 2002. The expression pattern of the homeobox gene *ATHB13* reveals a conservation of transcriptional regulatory mechanisms between *Arabidopsis* and hybrid aspen. *Plant Cell Reports* 21, 81–89.

<span id="page-13-34"></span>Harris JC, Hrmova M, Lopato S, Langridge P. 2011. Modulation of plant growth by HD-Zip class I and II transcription factors in response to environmental stimuli. *New Phytologist* 190, 823–837.

<span id="page-13-20"></span>Henriksson E, Olsson A, Johannesson H, Johansson H, Hanson J. Engström P. Söderman E. 2005. Homeodomain leucine zipper class I genes in *Arabidopsis* expression patterns and phylogenetic relationships. *Plant Physiology* 139, 509–518.

<span id="page-13-7"></span>Himmelbach A, Hoffmann T, Leube M, Höhener B, Grill E. 2002. Homeodomain protein ATHB6 is a target of the protein phosphatase ABI1 and regulates hormone responses in *Arabidopsis*. *EMBO Journal* 21, 3029–3038.

<span id="page-13-15"></span>Hofer J, Turner L, Moreau C, *et al*. 2009. *Tendril-less* regulates tendril formation in pea leaves. *The Plant Cell* 21, 420–428.

<span id="page-13-26"></span>Jefferson RA, Kavanagh TA, Bevan MW. 1987. GUS fusions: β-glucuronidase as a sensitive and versatile gene fusion marker in higher plants. *EMBO Journal* 6, 3901–3907.

Johannesson H, Wang Y, Engström P. 2001. DNA-binding and dimerization preferences of *Arabidopsis* homeodomain-leucine zipper transcription factors in vitro. *Plant Molecular Biology* 45, 63–73.

<span id="page-13-8"></span>Johannesson H, Wang Y, Hanson J, Engström P. 2003. The *Arabidopsis thaliana* homeobox gene *ATHB5* is a potential regulator of abscisic acid responsiveness in developing seedlings. *Plant Molecular Biology* 51, 719–729.

<span id="page-13-17"></span>Kim YK, Son O, Kim MR, Nam KH, Kim GT, Lee MS, Choi SY, Cheon

CI. 2007. *AtHB23*, an *Arabidopsis* class I homeodomain-leucine zipper gene, is expressed in the adaxial region of young leaves. *Plant Cell Reports* 26, 1179–1185.

<span id="page-13-33"></span>Komarova NY, Thor K, Gubler A, Meier S, Dietrich D, Weichert A, Suter Grotemeyer M, Tegeder M, Rentsch D. 2008. AtPTR1 and AtPTR5 transport dipeptides in planta. *Plant Physiology* 148, 856–869.

<span id="page-13-14"></span>Komatsuda T, Pourkheirandish M, He C, *et al*. 2007. Six-rowed barley originated from a mutation in a homeodomain-leucine zipper I-class homeobox gene. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 104, 1424–1429.

<span id="page-13-4"></span>Lee YH, Oh HS, Cheon CI, Hwang IT, Kim YJ, Chun JY. 2001. Structure and expression of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* homeobox gene *Athb-12*. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 284, 133–141.

<span id="page-13-29"></span>Li M, Bahn S-Ch, Guo L, Musgrave W, Berg H, Welti R, Wanga X. 2011. Patatin-related Phospholipase pPLAIIIb-induced changes in lipid metabolism alter cellulose content and cell elongation in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell* 23, 1107–1123.

<span id="page-13-32"></span>Loraine AE, McCormick S, Estrada A, Patel K, Qin P. 2013. RNA-Seq of *Arabidopsis* pollen uncovers novel transcription and alternative splicing. *Plant Physiology* 162, 1092–1109.

<span id="page-13-11"></span>Manavella P.A, Arce AL, Dezar CA, Bitton F, Renou J, Crespi M, **Chan RL.** 2006. Cross-talk between ethylene and drought signalling pathways is mediated by the sunflower Hahb-4 transcription factor. *The Plant Journal* 48, 125–137.

<span id="page-13-12"></span>Manavella PA, Dezar CA, Ariel FD, Drincovich MF, Chan RL. 2008. The sunflower HD-Zip transcription factor HaHB4 is up-regulated in darkness, reducing the transcription of photosynthesis-related genes. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 59, 3143–3155.

<span id="page-13-31"></span>Mayfield JA, Fiebig AF, Johnstone SE, Preuss D. 2001. Gene families from the *Arabidopsis thaliana* pollen coat proteome. *Science* 292, 2482–2485.

<span id="page-13-27"></span>Mayfield JA, Preuss D. 2000. Rapid initiation of *Arabidopsis* pollination requires the oleosin-domain protein GRP17. *Nature Cell Biology* 2, 128–130.

<span id="page-13-2"></span>**Mitsuda N. Ohme-Takagi M.** 2009. Functional analysis of transcription factors in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 50, 1232–1248.

<span id="page-13-3"></span>Mukherjee K, Brocchieri L, Bürglin TR. 2009. A comprehensive classification and evolutionary analysis of plant homeobox genes. *Molecular Biology Evolution* 26, 2775–2794.

<span id="page-13-9"></span>Olsson A, Engström P, Söderman E. 2004. The homeobox genes *ATHB12* and *ATHB7* encode potential regulators of growth in response to water deficit in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Molecular Biology* 55, 663–677.

<span id="page-13-37"></span>Palena CM, Gonzalez DH, Chan RL. 1999. A monomer-dimer equilibrium modulates the interaction of the sunflower homeodomain leucine-zipper protein Hahb-4 with DNA. *Biochemical Journal* 341, 81–87.

Ré DA, Capella M, Bonaventure G, Chan RL. 2014. *Arabidopsis* AtHB7 and AtHB12 evolved divergently to fine tune processes associated with growth and responses to water stress. *BMC Plant Biology* 14, 150.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>Ribichich KF, Arce AL, Chan RL. 2014. Coping with Drought and Salinity Stresses: The Role of Transcription Factors in Crop Improvement. In: Tuteja N, Gill SS, eds. *Climate change and plant abiotic stress tolerance*. Weinheim, Germany: Wiley-VCH, 641–684.

<span id="page-13-1"></span>Riechmann JL, Heard J, Martin G, *et al*. 2000. *Arabidopsis* transcription factors: genome-wide comparative analysis among eukaryotes. *Science* 290, 2105–2110.

<span id="page-13-28"></span>Roeder AHK, Yanofsky MF. 2006. Fruit development in *Arabidopsis*. *The Arabidopsis Book* 4, e0075.

<span id="page-13-13"></span>Saddic L, Huvermann B, Bazhani S, Su Y, Winter C, Kwon C, Collum R, Wagner D. 2006. The LEAFY target LMI1 is a meristem identity regulator and acts together with LEAFY to regulate expression of *CAULIFLOWER*. *Development* 133, 1673–1682.

<span id="page-13-5"></span>Sakuma S, Pourkheirandish M, Hensel G, *et al*. 2013. Divergence of expression pattern contributed to neofunctionalization of duplicated HD-Zip I transcription factor in barley. *New Phytologist* 197, 939–948.

<span id="page-13-24"></span>Schwab R, Ossowski S, Riester M, Warthmann N, Weigel D. 2006. Highly specific gene silencing by artificial microRNAs in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell* 18, 1121–1133.

<span id="page-13-30"></span>Smyth DR, Bowman JL, Meyerowitz EM. 1990. Early flower development in *Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell* 2, 755–767.

<span id="page-14-3"></span>Somerville C. 2006. Cellulose synthesis in higher plants. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* 22, 53–78.

<span id="page-14-2"></span>Son O, Hur YS, Kim YK, *et al*. 2010. ATHB12, an ABA-inducible homeodomain-leucine zipper (HD-Zip) protein of *Arabidopsis*, negatively regulates the growth of the inflorescence stem by decreasing the expression of a gibberellin 20-oxidase gene. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 51, 1537–1547.

<span id="page-14-1"></span>Updegraff EP, Zhao F, Preuss D. 2009. The extracellular lipase EXL4 is required for efficient hydration of *Arabidopsis* pollen. *Sex Plant Reproduction* 22, 197–204.

Wang Y, Henriksson E, Söderman E, Henriksson K, Sundberg E, Engström P. 2003. The arabidopsis homeobox gene, *ATHB16*, regulates leaf development and the sensitivity to photoperiod in *Arabidopsis*. *Developmental Biology* 264, 228–239.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>Zhang S, Haider I, Kohlen W, Jiang L, Bouwmeester H, Meijer AH, Schluepmann H, Liu CM, Ouwerkerk PBF. 2012. Function of the HD-Zip I gene *Oshox22* in ABA-mediated drought and salt tolerances in rice. *Plant Molecular Biology* 80, 571–585.

Figure S1



**Figure S1.** The differential phenotype in stem lengths that is exhibited by *athb13-1* and *athb13-*2 mutants is rescued by AtHB13. Kinetics of inflorescence stems elongation of WT, *athb13-1*, *athb13- 2* and two independent lines of *athb13-1* transformed with *35S::AtHB13*. Time 0 corresponds to the day in which bolting was visualized. Inflorescence stems were measured with a ruler. Each point represents an average inflorescence stem length of 16 plants. Bars represent SE.

## Figure S2

## A



**Fig. S2**. Expression of *AtHB13* and *AtHB23* in knockdown genotypes that were generated by transformation with amiRNAs. (A) Schematic representation of *AtHB13* and *AtHB23* genes. White boxes represent 5' and 3' UTRs; filled boxes represent exons; lines represent introns. Arrowheads indicate the locations of amiRNA target sequences in each gene. HB: Homeobox, LZ: Leucine zipper. Scale bar: 100 bp. (B) Relative transcript levels of *AtHB13* and *AtHB23* in 35-day-old inflorescences analyzed by qRT-PCR. Transcript levels were normalized by applying the ΔΔCt method. Error bars represent SE calculated from three independent biological replicates. Actin transcripts (*ACTIN2* and *ACTIN8*) were used as references. The numbers 1 and 2 represent two independent lines of each genotype.



**Fig. S3.** Pollen tube development in pistils is impaired in *athb13-1* inflorescences. (A) Photographs of pollen grains of different genotype crosses as indicated in the top of each image. Pollen grains were aniline-blue stained and then visualized under epifluorescence microscopy; the photographs were taken 24 h after pollination. Arrows indicate the maximal lengths reached by the pollen tubes. Bar represents 500 μm. (B) Kinetics of pollen-tube emergence on solid media ( $n > 100$  pollen grain of each genotype per time).

Figure S4



**Fig. S4.** Pollen adhesion is similar in *athb13-1* and WT plants. Non-pollinated pistils were artificially saturated with pollen grains and washed with 0.001 % Tween 20 in phosphate buffer. (B) Development of pollen tubes in pistils of WT, *athb13-1* and *athb13-2* mutant plants. Adhering pollen grains were visualized after staining with aniline blue under epifluorescence microscopy; the photographs were taken 24 hours after pollination. Arrows indicate the maximal length reached by the pollen tubes. The bar represents 500 μm.



**Figure S5.** Pollen hydration is defective in *athb13-2* mutant plants. (A) Time course of pollen hydration on stigmas of *athb13-1* and WT plants. Flowers were tested at stage 12 (Smyth *et al*., 1990). Images were captured every 15 sec. Each point represents  $n = 10$  pollen grains. Bars represent ± SD. (B) Time course of pollen hydration on stigmas for 5 different *athb13-2* pollen grains in the same pollination experiment. Flowers were tested at stage 12 (Smyth *et al*., 1990). Images were captured every 15 sec. Each point represents 1 pollen grain.



**Figure S6.** *AtHB13* transcript length is complete in *athb13-*1 mutants, and no evidence of a neomorphic AtHB13 protein was found. (A) *Upper panel*: schematic representation of the *AtHB13* gene showing the oligonucleotides that were used in PCR assays and the T-DNA insertion site; the bar represents 100 bp. *Lower panel*: Schematic representation of At13∆*C* predicted protein. (B) RT-PCR products obtained from WT or mutant (*athb13-1*) RNAs with combinations of ∆AHA, At13forward and At13full oligonucleotides; negative controls were loaded into the first two lanes. (C) *AtHB13* transcript coverage in WT and *athb13-1* genotypes based on RNA-seq data. (D) Siliques of WT plants bearing the construct *35S::AtHB13∆C* and heterozygous plants (obtained from crosses

between WT and *athb13-1)*. An intermediate phenotype was expected if a neomorphic AtHB13 protein was causing the defective phenotypes in *athb13-1* or WT+*35S::AtHB13∆C* plants.





Figure S7. RNA-seq results. (A) Classification based on GO terms of differentially expressed genes in *athb13-1* inflorescences with respect to WT inflorescences. Classification was made using the BAR Classification Superviewer (http://bar.utoronto.ca/ntools/cgibin/ntools classification superviewer.cgi). (B) Sub-cellular localization of differentially expressed genes in athb13-1 inflorescences. Classification was made based on GO terms and using the BAR Classification Superviewer (http://bar.utoronto.ca/ntools/cgibin/ntools\_classification\_superviewer.cgi). (C) Transcript levels of differentially expressed genes in WT and *athb13-1* inflorescences. Transcript levels were normalized against WT transcripts by applying the ΔΔCt method. Error bars represent SE calculated from three independent biological replicates. *Actin* transcripts (*ACTIN2* and *ACTIN8*) were used as references. RNA-seq data represent average RPKM values from three independent biological replicates, which were normalized against

averaged WT RPKM. Error bars represent SE calculated from three independent biological replicates.

## **Table S1** Genes differentially expressed in *athb13-1* inflorescences.















**Table S2** Genes differentially expressed in *athb13-1* inflorescences that are described as being involved in pollen hydration and germination.



# Genes involved in pollen hydration and germination

**Table S3** Oligonucleotides used for cloning and qRT-PCR assays. Restriction sites are underlined.







