University of Wollongong
Research Online

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health - Papers: Part B

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health

2019

Sperm collection and storage for the sustainable management of amphibian biodiversity

Robert Browne
Sustainable America

Aimee J. Silla
University of Wollongong, asilla@uow.edu.au

Rose Upton
University of Newcastle

Gina Della Togna
Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute

Ruth Marcec-Greaves
Detroit Zoological Society

See next page for additional authors

Sperm collection and storage for the sustainable management of amphibian biodiversity

Abstract

Current rates of biodiversity loss pose an unprecedented challenge to the conservation community, particularly with amphibians and freshwater fish as the most threatened vertebrates. An increasing number of environmental challenges, including habitat loss, pathogens, and global warming, demand a global response toward the sustainable management of ecosystems and their biodiversity. Conservation Breeding Programs (CBPs) are needed for the sustainable management of amphibian species threatened with extinction. CBPs support species survival while increasing public awareness and political influence. Current CBPs only cater for 10% of the almost 500 amphibian species in need. However, the use of sperm storage to increase efficiency and reliability, along with an increased number of CBPs, offer the potential to significantly reduce species loss. The establishment and refinement of techniques over the last two decades, for the collection and storage of amphibian spermatozoa, gives confidence for their use in CBPs and other biotechnical applications. Cryopreserved spermatozoa has produced breeding pairs of frogs and salamanders and the stage is set for Lifecycle Proof of Concept Programs that use cryopreserved sperm in CBPs along with repopulation, supplementation, and translocation programs. The application of cryopreserved sperm in CBPs, is complimentary to but separate from archival gene banking and general cell and tissue storage. However, where appropriate amphibian sperm banking should be integrated into other global biobanking projects, especially those for fish, and those that include the use of cryopreserved material for genomics and other research. Research over a broader range of amphibian species, and more uniformity in experimental methodology, is needed to inform both theory and application. Genomics is revolutionising our understanding of biological processes and increasingly guiding species conservation through the identification of evolutionary significant units as the conservation focus, and through revealing the intimate relationship between evolutionary history and sperm physiology that ultimately affects the amenability of sperm to refrigerated or frozen storage. In the present review we provide a nascent phylogenetic framework for integration with other research lines to further the potential of amphibian sperm banking.

Authors

Robert Browne, Aimee J. Silla, Rose Upton, Gina Della Togna, Ruth Marcec-Greaves, Natalia Shishova, Victor Uteshev, Belin Proano, Oscar D. Perez, Nabil Mansour, Svetlana Kaurova, Edith Gakhova, Jacky Cosson, Borys Dyzuba, Ludmila Kramarova, Dale McGinnity, Manuel Gonzalez, John Clulow, and Simon Clulow

Sperm collection and storage for the sustainable management of amphibian biodiversity

Robert K. Browne [a*], Aimee.J. Silla [b], Rose Upton [c], Gina Della-Togna [d,e], Ruth Marcec-Greaves [f], Natalia V. Shishova [g], Victor K. Uteshev [g], Belin Proaño [h], Oscar D. Pérez [h], Nabil Mansour [i], Svetlana A. Kaurova [g], Edith N. Gakhova [g], Jacky Cosson [j], Borys Dyzuba [j], Ludmila I. Kramarova [k], Dale McGinnity [l], Manuel Gonzalez [m], John Clulow [c], Simon Clulow [c,n]

a   *Corresponding author. Sustainability America, Sarteneja, Corozal District, Belize. robert.browne@gmail.com.
b School of Earth, Atmospheric and Life Sciences, University of Wollongong NSW 2522.
c School of Environmental and Life Sciences, University of Newcastle, Callaghan Drive, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia.
d Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Panama Amphibian Rescue and Conservation Project, Panama City, Panama.
e Universidad Interamericana de Panamá, Dirección de Investigación, Sede Central, Panama.
f National Amphibian Conservation Center Detroit Zoological Society, Detroit, USA.
g Institute of Cell Biophysics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Pushchino, Moscow Region, 142290, Russia.
h Escuela de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador, Ecuador.
i Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kafrelsheikh University, Egypt.
j University of South Bohemia in Ceske Budejovice, Faculty of Fisheries and Protection of Waters, South Bohemian Research Center of Aquaculture and Biodiversity of Hydrocenoses, 38925 Vodnany, Czech Republic.
k Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Biophysics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Pushchino, Moscow Region, 142290, Russia.
l Nashville Zoo at Grassmere, Nashville, TN 37211, USA
m Departamento de Producción Animal, Universidad de Guadalajara, , Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico.
n Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney NSW 2109 Australia.

Corresponding author: R. Browne, PhD, robert.browne@gmail.com.

Abstract

Current rates of biodiversity loss pose an unprecedented challenge to the conservation community, particularly with amphibians and freshwater fish as the most threatened vertebrates. An increasing number of environmental challenges, including habitat loss, pathogens, and global warming, demand a global response toward the sustainable management of ecosystems and their biodiversity. Conservation breeding programs (CBPs) are needed for the sustainable management of amphibian species threatened with extinction. CBPs support species survival while increasing public awareness and political influence. Current CBPs only cater for 10% of the almost 500 amphibian species in need. However, the use of sperm storage to increase efficiency and reliability, along with an increased number of CBPs, offer the potential to significantly reduce species loss. The establishment and refinement of techniques for the collection and storage of amphibian spermatozoa, over the last two decades, gives confidence for their use in CBPs and other biotechnical applications. Cryopreserved spermatozoa has produced breeding pairs of frogs and salamanders and the stage is set for lifecycle proof of concept studies that use cryopreserved sperm in CBPs along with repopulation, supplementation, and translocation programs. The application of cryopreserved sperm in CBPs, is complimentary to but separate from archival gene banking and general cell and tissue storage. However, where possible amphibian sperm banking should be standardised and integrated into other global biobanking projects, especially those for fish, and those that include the use of cryopreserved material for genomics and other research. Research over a broader range of amphibian species, and more uniformity in experimental methodology, is needed to inform both theory and application. Genomics is revolutionising our understanding of biological processes and increasingly guiding species conservation through the identification of evolutionary significant units as the conservation focus, and through revealing the intimate relationship between evolutionary history and sperm physiology that ultimately affects the amenability of sperm to refrigerated or frozen storage. In the present review we provide a nascent phylogenetic framework for integration with other research lines to further the potential of amphibian sperm banking.

1. Introduction

Conservation Breeding Programs (CBPs) are required for the sustainable management of amphibian species threatened with extinction. The use of cryopreserved spermatozoa in CBPs perpetuates male genetic variation, lowers costs, increases biosecurity, reduces the number of required captive individuals, enables the fertilization of a single female's spawn with spermatozoa from many genetically diverse males, and reduces the need for animal transport [1,2,3]. Sperm banks for fishes exist globally for projects ranging from the perpetuation of zebra-fish cell lines [4] to maintaining genetic variation in sport fishing based CBPs and in aquaculture programs [5]. However, the cryopreserved spermatozoa of amphibians has only been practically applied to maintain *Xenopus* transgenic lines for biotechnological research [6]. Techniques for the post-thaw recovery of cryopreserved fishes [7,8] and amphibians [9] oocytes or embryos have not succeeded. However, primordial germ cells from cryopreserved fish embryos have been transplanted to amphibian embryos and then have developed into the gonads of fertile adults [10]. Similar technology for amphibians offers the greatest current potential for the cryopreserved storage of female germplasm. In any case, live females are needed to provide oocytes for *in vitro* fertilization with stored spermatozoa, or to supply larvae for primordial germ cell transplantation [9].

The Amphibian Ark [AArk, [11]) was established as a zoo based organisation to support amphibian CBPs. The AArk Species Conservation Assessments [12] recommends that of the ~570 amphibian species requiring CBPs, that ~500 species or 90% need the support of gene banks including the use of cryopreserved spermatozoa (Supplementary Table 1).

The loss of genetic variation in CBPs can result in poor reproduction, health, and survival [13,14]. Even with large founder populations, genetic selection can occur in a few generations for rapid growth, early maturity, amenability to husbandry or ease of reproduction in captivity [13,15]. Selection toward domestication can be reduced but not eliminated by strict studbook management. However, even strict studbook management is subject to loss of broodstock and difficulties in transporting broodstock between breeding groups. The optimal approach is to use cryopreserved spermatozoa to reduce the effective number of male generations to one, and to provide an easy means of transport [13].

95   The natural genetic variation of species can be recovered through the use of cryopreserved

96   spermatozoa using oocytes from highly domestic strains (Fig. 1). Consequently, domestic strains of

97   species without studbook requirement, both in aquaculture and in private keeper's collections, could

98   contribute female brood stock to CBPs if adequate stocks of cryopreserved sperm were available to

99   restore the species genetic variation. In the case of *Andrias davidianus*, where genomics recently

100   revealed the taxon consists of 5 component species now mainly as aquaculture hybrids [16], and with all

101   5 species are functionally extinct in nature [17], these species could be re-established with the use of

102   stored sperm.

103

104   Insert Fig. 1.

105

106   The spermatozoa of fishes and amphibians can remain viable for days to weeks during refrigerated

107   storage at ~4°C, or indefinitely when cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen at -196°C (Supplementary Tables

108   2,3. [16,18]). Post-thaw, motile spermatozoa can be used for artificial fertilization [2] and immotile

109   spermatozoa for intracytoplasmic injection into the oocyte (ICSI; [19]). In amphibians, post-thaw

110   spermatozoa from Anurans (frogs and toads) has resulted in reproducing pairs of *Xenopus* [6] and

111   sexually mature males of tree frogs [20], and in Cuadata reproducing pairs of *Salamanders [21], and

112   almost mature Cryptobranchids (Giant salamanders, Dale McGinnity, personal communication).

113

114   *Urodeles include all extinct and extant salamanders. The Caudata, Fig. 2. have three main lineages; the Crypotobranchidae, Sirenidae, and

115   "other salamanders". In this review for grammatical simplicity where appropriate we simply use the term ¨Salamander¨ rather than ¨other

116   salamander).

117

118   We use studies of freshwater fishes spermatozoa to provide the closest phylogenetic, morphological, and

119   physiological comparisons to amphibian spermatozoa. The parameters used to assess the quality of

120   amphibian spermatozoa include the percentage with flagella movement (percent activation) and velocity

121   (percentage motility), and the velocity and longevity of motile spermatozoa [22]. Membrane integrity,

122   spermatozoa concentration, DNA integrity, and acrosome integrity, and relationship between these and

123   fertilisation and larval growth to adults are also assessed [23].

124

125   **2. Amphibian phylogenetics, fertilisation history, and reproductive strategies**

126

hylogenetic patterns in the amenability of amphibian species spermatozoa, to the physiological and morphological stressors during storage, could facilitate the development of storage techniques and in general inform amphibian spermatology [2,24,25]. Most Anurans externally fertilise through spermatozoa shed in spermic urine. Spermatozoa is released in semen in the Cryptobranchidae (Cryptobranchids and Hydronobids) and Sirenidae. Fertilization is internal in all Salamanders through the deposition of spermatophores by males that are then picked up by the cloaca of females and all species of Caecilians are internal fertilizers [26].

Of amphibians, the Anurans have the most complicated evolutionary history of fertilisation: primordially with external fertilisation, then internal fertilization as Lissamphibians, external fertilization as Batrachians, a 40 million year period of internal fertilization, then a reversion to external fertilisation from 275 mya to the present [27]. One primitive Anuran retains internal fertilisation [25,28], and less than 15 known species have reverted to internal fertilisation [28]. The Caudata have a less complicated evolutionary history than the Anurans with two families the Sirenidae and the Cryptobranchidae retaining the ancestral Batrachian external fertilisation, and Salamanders readopting internal fertilisation. Caecilians are internal fertilisers ([27] Fig. 2).

Insert Fig. 2.

The three sub-orders of Anurans are the Archaeobatrachia with 4 families and ~27 species, the Mesobatrachia with 6 families and ~168 species, and Neobatrachia with 21 families and the ~5000 species. In Anurans, the spermatozoa of 30 species has been cryopreserved: species in seven Neobatrachia families, two species in one Mesobatrachia family, the Pipidae, which includes *Xenopus*. In anurans, post-thaw assessment of spermatozoa viability as defined by live/dead stains was reported for 12 species, motility for 16 species, and life stages to first cleavage for 4 species, larval development for 7 species, and development to adults for 2 species. In the Caudata the spermatozoa of 5 species have been cryopreserved, 3 salamanders and 2 cryptobranchids. Post-thaw assessment of spermatozoa viability in spermatophores was reported for one species, motility for two species, development to late juvenile/adults with one species, and to fertile adults in one species (Supplementary Table 3).

3. Sperm collection and sperm concentration

158

159 Sperm can be collected as testicular macerates or suspensions from any sexually mature male

160 amphibian. Anuran spermatozoa can also be collected through hormonal induction, either as spermic

161 urine through abdominal massage [23] or through cannulation of the cloaca [29,30,31,32,33.34].

162 Hormonal induction causes internally fertilising salamanders to deposit spermatophores [35] or to

163 express sperm in cloacal fluid (Fig. 3,4 [36,37,38]).

164

165 Insert Fig. 3.

166

167 Both phylogeny and the environment, especially climate, influence the reproductive strategies of

168 amphibian species and their amenability to hormonal induction [2,22,40]. Hormones can be

169 administered safely and efficiently by injection even with small frogs [31,32,33], and generally most

170 species are amenable to hormonal induction of sperm release with gonadotropin releasing hormones

171 (GnRH) or human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG [2]). GnRH is generally more effective at inducing

172 spermiation than hCG across a wide range of species, however, there are a number of species, mainly

173 from the Bufonidae and Limnodynastidae families, where hCG elicits a stronger response [22,39,41].

174 Inter-taxon variation also occurs between closely related species, where due to its fertilisation strategy a

175 single species from a family otherwise amenable to hormonal induction responded poorly both hCG and

176 GnRH [2,29]. This and other exceptions may elucidate the specific evolutionary drivers behind

177 reproductive strategies [2].

178

179 Hormonal induction depends on the presence of mature spermatozoa in the testes [24]. Seasonal

180 quiescence in spermatozoa maturation can be circumvented through the use of both priming, where sub-

181 inducing doses of hormones, along with dopamine antagonists [42,43], are administered days before the

182 final inducing dose [22,24]. Hormone administration generally induces Anuran spermic urine over

183 periods between 2 -12 h with clear peaks in spermatozoa concentration between 3 and 7 h

184 (Supplementary Table 2. [22,23,24,33,41,44,45]).

185

186 The most reliable collection technique for large quantities of mature Anuran spermatozoa is

187 through the maceration of the testes to produce spermatozoa suspensions [6,18]). The concentration of

188 spermatozoa in testicular macerates at ~10^{8-9}/ml is generally one to three magnitudes higher than in

spermic urine (Supplementary Table 2). The high concentration, volume and quality of testicular spermatozoa has resulted in its use in most studies of Anuran spermatozoa cryopreservation until recently, and in the only two studies resulting in mature reproducing pairs [6] or sexually mature males [20]. As well, spermatozoa in suspension from testes have higher refrigerated storage potentials than those stored in intact testes, spermic urine, or in semen (Supplementary Table 2. [6,46]).

Caudata spermatozoa in high concentrations and volumes in semen is easy to collect from seasonally mature or hormonally induced Cryptobranchidae [47,48], and as hormonally induced spermatozoa in cloacal fluid, even from small salamanders approximately 8 g in weight, making the collection of spermatozoa from testes unnecessary unless from recently dead individuals (Ruth Marcec, personal communication). The semen of the cryptobranchid, *A. davidianus*, is collected at concentrations of ~11 x 10^6/mL and up to 12mL/kg of male weight [47,48] with adult males weighing up to 50 kg [49], but in lower absolute and comparative volumes of 2 mL in *Cryptobranchus* (the North American giant salamander clade, Dale McGinnity, personal communication). The comparative differences in sperm numbers between amphibian species may generally relate to different levels of sperm competition [45], including internal competition in Salamanders where the number of spermatozoa per spermatophore varies by more than three magnitudes (Supplementary Table 2. [47]).

Insert Fig. 4.

Processing during cryopreservation, thawing, and recovery can reduce the concentration of spermatozoa to less than <15% of the original [50]. Consequently, in samples derived from spermic urine the post-thaw spermatozoa concentrations could be lower than the fertilization optimum, and even lower than the fertilisation threshold. In Anurans, fertilization rates decline in a sigmoidal curve from the optimum spermatozoa concentration, and concentration three magnitudes lower than the optimum provided only 30% fertilization in one species [51] no fertilisation in another [52]. The optimal spermatozoa concentrations for fertilization may depend on other factors in addition to spermatozoa motility. These include chemo-attractants found in the oocyte gel, oocyte size, or in terrestrial-breeding and foam-nesting Anurans the direct deposition of spermatozoa onto oocytes [2].

219   Centrifugation is used to concentrate spermatozoa from spermic urine, to remove protein and
220   lipid components from fresh spermatozoa suspensions [44], and post-thaw to remove cryodiluents [20].
221   The use of centrifugation should be minimised as spermatozoa motility can be reduced by up to 50%
222   through morphological damage [53]. Therefore, in cases where there are surplus amphibians in breeding
223   programs [6], or males can be taken from wild populations [20], the collection of high numbers and
224   concentrations of spermatozoa directly through testes maceration may be the preferred option.

225

226   In some fish species the contamination of semen with urine decreases spermatozoa viability
227   [54,55], and in others urine is needed for spermatozoa maturation [56]. Anuran spermatozoa from
228   spermic urine exhibits slightly lower viability than testicular spermatozoa possibly as a consequence of
229   activation in the lower osmolality of urine in comparison to the isotonic testicular environment [45].
230   Nevertheless, spermatozoa stored in spermic urine at room temperature have been reported to retain
231   similar high levels of motility to testicular sperm for up to 45 m [34]. The effect of urine contamination
232   on Caudata spermatozoa in semen, or when sampled in milt is unknown, but urine contamination of
233   fishes semen negatively affects spermatozoon metabolism [54,55].

234

235   **4. Effects of environmental factors on sperm motility activation and fertilization rate**
236

237   The major environmental factors affecting spermatozoa motility in externally fertilizing amphibians and
238   fishes are media osmolality, ionic composition, pH, and temperature [25,45]. The motility of
239   spermatozoa in some freshwater fishes is highly influenced by the extracellular concentration of
240   electrolytes [57,58]. The motility of spermatozoa is activated when sperm transition from the high
241   osmolality of the testes to the low osmolality of the freshwater environment, and with tested amphibians
242   is the major factor controlling activation [25,59,60]. With Anuran spermatozoa an osmolality of ~250
243   mOsmolkg^{-1} prevents activation [25], and dependent on the species osmolalities below 70 mOsmolkg^{-1}
244   [60] to 105 mOsmolkg^{-1} [61] promote activation. Inter-specific variation in the optimal osmolality for
245   fertilization in Anurans was shown where in one species fertility was maintained up to 40 mM [60], in
246   another a steady decline in fertility occurred as osmolalities increased to more than 7 mOsmolkg^{-1} [61],
247   and even intra-specific variation was shown in the optimum osmolality in one species [62].

248

249   Anuran spermatozoa show the longest period of sperm motility of all amphibians [25,61] with an
250   average period of motility of 1 h [25]. However, at extremes the spermatozoa of *Xenopus* only maintains

251 motility for 2 min [63,64] and in one species motility is extended to 7 h [61]. The spermatozoa of

252 Cryptobranchidae and some freshwater fish stays motile for up to 10 min, but with most freshwater fish

253 motility only lasts for seconds to a few min [25]. Higher osmolalities increase the longevity of

254 spermatozoa possibly from less energy being partitioned from motility to maintaining osmotic

255 equilibration [25,65].

256

257 The longevity of both fish [65,66,67] and amphibian spermatozoa [68] depends on specific

258 metabolic pathways and the availability of energy substrates [69,70]. Adenosine triphosphate (ATP),

259 adenosine diphosphate, and creatine phosphate [71,72] provide energy for flagella motion and maintain

260 ionic and water balance across plasma membranes [57]. In many fish species increased spermatozoa

261 velocity also positively corresponds with ATP levels [70]. Species variability and specificity of energy

262 metabolism has been shown for fishes [72], however, species specificity has not been shown in the few

263 studies of amphibians. In the Bufonid, *Anaxyrus fowleri*, ATP/adenosine monophosphate over a wide

264 range of concentrations did not affect spermatozoon velocity or longevity (Robert Browne pers.

265 communication).

266

267 4.1 Diluents

268

269 Diluents are formulated to simulate the cellular concentrations of ions but may also contain organic

270 supplements (Supplementary Table 3 [73,74]). Diluents approximating 220 mOsmolkg^{-1} are generally

271 used as cryodiluents [37,38,73,74], to deactivate spermatozoon motility [74,75], and at low

272 concentrations are used for post-thaw sperm equilibration, activation and fertilization [75]. The ionic

273 composition of diluents and their osmolality for fish are similar to those of blood plasma, and generally

274 include Na^+, Ca^{2+}, K^+, Cl^-, and $H_2CO_3^-$ ions. Other ions that may be formulated in diluents are Mg^{2+},

275 SO_4^-, and increased relative concentrations of K^+ or Ca^{2+} or $H_2CO_3^-$, however, their benefits are species

276 specific in fish [57].

277

278 The formulation of diluents for amphibians were originally taken from physiological salines used

279 for general biology [75], and now include formulations used for cell culture, and for the storage or

280 fertilisation of fish and mammalian spermatozoa [1,2]. However, the K^+ concentration in most of these

281 diluents is only ~2 mM, whereas, K^+ concentrations in anuran testicular plasma is ~70 mM in *Xenopus*

282 and ~40 mM in *Bufo* [76]. A low K^+ concentration in diluents can produce membrane damage, therefore,

283 a greater knowledge of the role of K+ and other ions in diluents for amphibian spermatozoa would be

284 beneficial.

285

286   With some fish species the pH of diluents is a major factor affecting spermatozoon motility,

287 where a pH similar to or higher than that of seminal plasma promotes the activation and longevity of

288 motility [58,77]. Because of few studies, the role of pH in spermatozoa motility in amphibians is not

289 clear. Studies in Anurans show a higher pH 7.1-7.8 in spermic urine than that of urine (pH 6.7-6.8) [34].

290 In the Caudata, with *A. davidianus* an artificially high pH 7.0-7.5 [47,48] increased refrigerated storage

291 life and low pH inhibited flagella movement, and in contrast the semen of *Cryptobranchus* had a low pH

292 6.4 (unpublished), and where the highest motility of *Axolotl* spermatozoa was found at pH 10.0-12.0

293 (Nabil Mansour personal communication).

294

295   Diluents are commonly mixed 1:1 by testis weight or semen volume to create sperm suspensions

296 [50]. If spermatozoon suspensions are prepared from non-ionic diluents they will have only 50% of the

297 original concentration of the various ions in testicular tissue, spermic urine, or semen. If sperm

298 suspensions are prepared from ionic diluents the final concentration of ions in the suspensions will

299 depend on the initial concentration of the diluent and the tissues or semen's ionic concentration.

300

301 4.2 Stimulants and antioxidants

302

303 The stimulants caffeine and/or theophylline have been shown to increase the activation of Anuran

304 spermatozoa [61,78]. The beneficial effects of these compounds on motility are likely the result of

305 phosphodiesterase inhibition increasing levels of cyclic adenosine monophosphate, however, they may

306 also influence spermatozoa motility and longevity as antioxidants by acting as antioxidants, and by

307 suppressing reactive oxygen species formation within the sperm suspension [61]. The effects of other

308 antioxidant compounds on Anuran spermatozoa have also been investigated, however, Vitamin C

309 supplementation was detrimental and Vitamin E supplementation had no effect [79]. Pentoxyfylline did

310 not increase the motility of fresh *Xenopus* spermatozoa [64].

311

312 **5. Sperm processing and refrigerated storage**

313

314 Refrigeration at 4°C extends the storage life of spermatozoa by lowering metabolic rates, and most

315 amphibian [53,80,81] and fish [82] spermatozoa largely tolerate refrigeration temperatures to above

316 freezing. Anuran spermatozoa has retained moderate motility (~10-20%) after refrigerated storage of

317 testis in carcasses for ~7 d [83], excised testes for ~14 d [80,83], testicular macerates for between ~14-

318 21 d [80], spermic urine for ~7 d [40], and in the semen of a Cryptobranchid for ~4.5 d [48], and in

319 hormonally induced cloacal fluid from a Salamander for ~3 d (Supplementary Table 2 [38]).

320

321 5.1 Oxygenation

322

323 Anuran spermatozoa uses both aerobic and anaerobic metabolism [67,84,85], whereas fish spermatozoa

324 can only use aerobic metabolism [68,86]. Nevertheless, oxygenation extends the refrigerated storage life

325 of spermatozoa in many fish species [87], however, in some species it decreases storage life and in

326 others has no effect [86]. Increased oxygen concentration was shown to increase the refrigerated storage

327 life of the spermatozoa of two anurans [85,88]. Oxidative damage to fish spermatozoa may be limited by

328 components of seminal fluid [89], and this may also be the case with cryptobranchid spermatozoa in

329 semen.

330

331 5.2 Processing Osmolalities

332

333 Spermatozoa are affected by varying osmolalities at various stages of processing, storage, activation,

334 and fertilisation [1]. Spermatozoa suspensions whether created from testicular macerates [6,20],

335 Cryptobranchid semen [47], salamander spermatophores [35] or cloacal fluid [37,39], or Anuran

336 spermic urine [73], have high osmolalities. The greatest changes in osmolality between spermatozoa and

337 diluents occur with the processing of spermic urine for refrigerated or cryopreserved storage, and after

338 both refrigerated and cryopreserved storage when spermatozoa are equilibrated to recover motility [90].

339

340 5.3 Antibiotics and light

341

342 The ability of antibiotics to increase the storage life of refrigerated spermatozoa is a balance between

343 their efficacy and their toxicity with contrasting results in different species and studies. In fish,

344 antibiotics have been shown to improve the storage of spermatozoa in several species [91,92]. In
345 contrast, the use of antibiotics (penicillin–streptomycin and gentamicin) in diluents reduced motility
346 during the refrigerated storage of Anuran spermatozoa from spermic urine [85] and spermatozoa
347 suspensions from testicular macerates [88]. Gentamicin in concentrations of up to 4 mg mL^{-1} did not
348 affect the refrigerated storage life of spermatozoa in Anuran testicular macerates or in spermic urine, but
349 did reduce bacterial contamination [46]. While there is currently no evidence that antibiotics increase
350 the storage life of amphibian spermatozoa, antibiotics may inhibit bacteria and reduce the risk of
351 pathogen transmission through stored samples [46]. In *A. davidianus* has strong light has been shown to
352 decrease the longevity of fresh sperm [48].

353

354 **6. Sperm cryopreservation**

355

356 n response to the amphibian extinction crisis, the cryopreservation of testicular anuran spermatozoa with
357 subsequent fertilization was achieved in the late-1990´s by independent research teams in the Russian
358 Federation [93,94] and Australia [52]. Early studies compared a wide range of penetrating
359 cryoprotectants between species, diluents, and processing methods [52,74,95,96,97,98,99,100,101]
360 focussing on dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO [52,97]), glycerol [52,97], and methanol [97]. By 2010,
361 DMSO was the most consistent penetrating cryoprotectant in achieving post-thaw motile and fertile
362 spermatozoa. To extend the collection of spermatozoa to non-lethal techniques, research then focussed
363 on techniques for the cryopreservation of hormonally induced spermatozoa in spermic urine. Motility
364 and fertilization with post-thaw spermatozoa from spermic urine was first achieved in 2011, with the
365 novel penetrating cryoprotectant dimethyl formamide (DMFA) giving greater recovery than DMSO
366 [73]. DMFA was then successfully used with four other phylogenetically diverse Anuran species,
367 *Pelophylax lessonae [40]*, *Anaxyrus b. boreas* and *Lithobates sevosa* [100] and *Atelopus zeteki* [101].

368

369 Insert Fig. 5.

370

371 The preparation of cryosuspensions involves the mixing of spermatozoa samples with
372 cryodiluents (Fig. 4). Compounds in cryodiluents act in synergy to protect spermatozoa during the rigors
373 of freezing and thawing. Cryodiluents are formulated from penetrating cryoprotectants and non-
374 penetrating cryoprotectants, and with amphibian spermatozoa now favour ionic/saccharide non-

penetrating cryoprotectants. Both sucrose [40,64] and trehalose [100,101] as saccharide non-penetrating cryoprotectants have provided high post-thaw recovery.

With fish spermatozoa, non-penetrating cryoprotectants including proteins, lipoproteins and lipids have increased plasma membrane resistance to osmotic stress along with post-thaw recovery [102,103,104]. With amphibians spermatozoa the inclusion of protein/lipids in cryodiluents provided high post-thaw recovery of viability in an Anuran [64], and in Caudata motility in *Cryptobranchus* (Dale McGinnity, unpublished data), and increased fertility in a Salamander [37]. A negative effect of a buffer was shown through a higher post-thaw recovery of the motility of Bufonid spermatozoa using DMSO alone than with the addition of HEPES buffer [40], while the addition of TRIS buffer did not affect the recovery of *Xenopus* spermatozoa [6].

Concentrations of DMSO or DMFA between 5-10% (v/v) in cryosuspensions have proven the most successful for the cryopreservation of amphibians spermatozoa [6,20,40,52,73] with up to 15% concentration in a Litorid frog [105]. However, high concentrations of up to 15% DMSO have generally proven effective with fish. Glycerol [106], trehalose alone [107], and propylene glycol [108] have proven more effective than DMSO in some fish species and may be suitable for some amphibian species. In some Anurans, even low concentrations of DMSO reduce hatch rates [66], and with spermatozoa from spermic urine reduce fertility and larval survival [83]. In contrast, with *Xenopus* spermatozoa DMSO proved less toxic than glycerol [6]. In one species post-thaw motility was more highly correlated to fertilisation with glycerol in contrast to DMSO [105].

Cryosuspensions are generally refrigerated for ~10 min before freezing to equilibrate spermatozoa to penetrating cryoprotectants in a low temperature environment that reduces cryoprotectant toxicity [44,73,101]. The penetration rates of penetrating cryoprotectants vary widely, where DMSO reaches equilibrium with fishes spermatozoa within 10 s [109], but with the penetration rate of glycerol being much lower [100,111]. Longer equilibration periods may benefit some Anuran cryopreservation protocols and did not affect post-thaw recovery after 20 min [6], with some sperm recovering motility and fertility after 6 d of refrigerated storage [112]. Consequently, to enable more flexibility in the timing of techniques, and to facilitate the use of some slowly penetrating cryoprotectants, the equilibration period of refrigerated cryosuspensions may extend to 20 min or more.

406

407   6.1 Cooling rates and cryopreservation

408

409   Samples can be frozen in the field using dry ice or through suspension into LN_2 vapour, and in facilities
410   also using -80°C or programmable freezers. We categorise freezing rates as very slow (10°C/min), slow
411   (30°C/min), moderate (110°C/min), fast (300°C/min), very fast (1200°C/min) from a broad canvassing
412   of the studies in Supplementary Table 2. Stepped freezing rates for amphibians spermatozoa have
413   achieved high post-thaw recovery with testicular spermatozoa [20,99] and with spermatozoa from
414   spermic urine (Supplementary Table 2. [73,100]). The cryopreservation of some fishes [113] and
415   amphibians [52] spermatozoa is affected by changes in cooling rates as low as 5°C/min, and in these
416   cases the use of programmable freezers may be necessary.

417

418   The spermatozoa of several Caudata families have proven amenable to cryopreservation at slow
419   to fast cooling rates. With *Axolotl* spermatophores, cooling rates between ~10°C/min and ~300°C/min
420   did not affect viability [35], and high post-thaw recovery was shown with Salamander sperm using
421   stepped freezing [37]. The sperm of *Cryptobranchus* proved amenable to cryopreservation with the slow
422   lowering of straws into a LN_2 vapour (Dale McGinnity personal communication), but using a similar
423   freezing method with *Andrias* only recovered <10% motility [47]. In fish optimal cooling rates are
424   membrane lipid dependent [114,115] and this is expected to be the case with amphibian sperm.

425

426   **Sperm processing, activation, and fertilisation**
427
428   High levels of motility and fertility are recovered from cryopreserved amphibian sperm when thawed in
429   a wide range of conditions from air at room temperature, to unheated tap water, to immersion into 37°C
430   water baths (Supplementary Table 2, [6,100,101]). The first post-thaw recovery of hormonally induced
431   Anuran sperm was achieved through a four-step osmotic equilibration process at 4°C [83], and with
432   another Anuran the percentage activation, velocity, morphology, longevity, and DNA integrity were
433   higher when spermatozoa were held at 4°C during the post-thaw processing. Therefore, once thawed as
434   shown by the last remaining ice just thawing, cryosuspensions should be held as close to 4°C as
435   possible. The period that post-thaw spermatozoa can be stored and maintain viability is unknown for
436   most species, but with *Xenopus* last 20 min without any effect on fertilisation or embryo survival rates
437   [6,44].

438

The highest fertilization rates are achieved through high spermatozoa per an oocyte ratios. The general practice of the mixing of cryodiluents with sperm samples at a 1:1 ratio reduces spermatozoa concentration by 50%. For activation, these suspensions are then generally mixed at a ratio of 1:2 or more with water resulting in a further reduction of spermatozoa concentration to ~20% or less of the original sample [51,73]. This lowering of spermatozoa concentrations is particularly significant with low concentrations of hormonally induced spermatozoa and where fertilisation requires high sperm concentrations [73], and also where a significant percentage of sperm lyse or do not recover motility [53]. For the highest fertilisation rates, a process known as dry fertilisation is used where sperm suspensions are deposited directly onto oocytes and then after 5 to 10 min the oocytes are flooded with fresh water [52,75].

7. Morphological integrity of sperm

Many morphological deformations can be found in post-thaw amphibian spermatozoa, such as swelling or rupture of the plasma membrane, loss of the nuclear envelope, fracture of the perforatorium and axoneme, degeneration of the undulating membrane and disappearance of the mitochondrial ridge [48,115]. Morphological damage may be associated with impacts on post-thaw activation mechanisms where fish [116,117] or Anuran [53,112] spermatozoa are intact but unable to activate. The positive correspondence between high post-thaw plasma membrane integrity and fertilization rates was shown with *Silurana tropicalis* in contrast to low membrane integrity and fertilisation rates in *Xenopus laevis* [6,115].

Sperm DNA fragmentation (SDF)

The main objective of spermatozoa storage is to provide unfragmented and viable genetic material to the oocyte upon fertilisation. Sperm DNA fragmentation (SDF) is a highly dynamic process that continues from spermatozoa collection until fertilization. Evolutionary history, morphology and physiology of spermatozoon, and DNA-protein interactions, affect SDF during refrigerated storage, cryopreservation, and post-thaw activation [118].

469   Sperm DNA fragmentation interferes with syngamy and embryonic development in fish [118].

470   However, to date there are only seven research publications of SDF in amphibians; *R. temporaria* sperm

471   stored in refrigerated carcasses [83], refrigerated storage in testes or macerates in *X. laevis* and *S.*

472   *tropicalis* [6,115,119], and the fresh hormonally induced and cryopreserved spermatozoa of *A. zeteki*

473   [44,101] and *Epidalea calamata* [120]. Sperm DNA fragmentation increased and fertilization rates

474   decreased during refrigerated storage of anuran sperm in carcasses [83], in spermic urine [45,101], and

475   in post-thaw spermatozoa [101,115]. However, SDF was not a predictor of survival rates from first

476   cleavage oocytes [6,83]. Sperm DNA fragmentation in post-thaw spermatozoa was higher in seasonally

477   collected spermatozoa than in unseasonal spermatozoa, but also did not correspond to reduced embryo

478   survival [120].

479

480   **9. Phylogenetic patterns in sperm induction and amenability to storage**

481

482   Phylogeny and environment interact to mold the reproductive strategies of amphibians [2,24,33]. Most

483   studies of amphibian spermatozoa collection and storage are on Anurans from regions in the temperate

484   zones of Australia, or the cool to warm temperate zones of Europe and North America (Supplementary

485   Table 1, 2). Southern Australia, has a cool to warm climate with stochastic seasonal rainfall and has

486   more studies of Anurans than any other region. In this climate Anurans generally reproduce over

487   extended seasonal periods (Supplementary Table 3. [22]). Recent developments of cryopreservation

488   techniques for fish spermatozoa have also focused on species from temperate climates and with seasonal

489   reproduction, including numerous studies in Brazil [104].

490

491   More studies over a wider range of families, and species within families, are needed to reveal

492   phylogenetic patterns in species amenability to spermatozoa cryopreservation Spermatozoa

493   cryopreservation has been trialed in 2 Bufonid species and 6 Ranid from the cool to warm temperate

494   zones of Eurasia and North America; and in 3 Bufonid species, 2 Hylid and 1 Eleutherodactylid from the

495   tropical and subtropical zones of South and Central America (Supplementary Table 3). Although

496   Bufonidae and Hylidae are sister clades, there were different responses to similar protocols, showing

497   high recovery for Bufonid spermatozoa [44] and low recovery for Hylid spermatozoa (Belin Proaño and

498   Oscar D. Pérez, personal communication). In contrast, the spermatozoa of both Ranids and Bufonids,

499   which diverged ~170mya [121], are amenable to cryopreservation. However, Pelodryadid sperm showed

500   greater amenability to cryopreservation than Myobatrachid sperm [98], where Pelodryadids diverged

from the Myobatrachids ~140 mya [122,123], and with no difference between spermatozoa from two Myobatrachid subfamilies that diverged 70 mya [99,123].

The Pipidae genera *Silurana* and *Xenopus* diverged only ~20-40 mya [124], however, post-thaw *S. tropicalis* sperm retains higher motility, membrane and DNA integrity than *X. laevis* sperm [15]. The two species of Pipidae (sub-order Mesobatrachia) in which cryopreservation has been trialed, are phylogenetically distant from other trialed anurans which are all from the Neobatrachidae, and in contrast to the spermatozoa of Neobatrachia the spermatozoa of both Pipidae species successfully cryopreserves in an ionic/sucrose diluent alone [6].

Insert Fig. 6.

A possible relationship between amphibians climatic range and the amenability of Anuran spermatozoa to cryopreservation was shown where the spermatozoa of freeze-tolerant wood frogs *Rana sylvatica* had a much higher post-thaw recovery than the more temperate climate leopard frogs *R. pipiens* and American toads *A. americanus* (Fig. 6, [125]) but this concept remains to be investigated over a wider range of species. Caudate spermatozoa from two distantly related families has been successfully cryopreserved in the Cryptobranchidae (Dale McGinnity personal communication, [46]) and the Ambystomatida [35,36]. Phylogenetic atterns of spermatozoa cryopreservation still need to be established in the remaining eight Caudate families.

10. Evaluation of techniques and their standardization

The development of techniques for the collection, processing, and storage of amphibians spermatozoa depend on assessing spermatozoa quality through standardised metrics. In fishes, percentage motility and velocity [82], and plasma membrane integrity [115,126], have mainly been used as metrics of spermatozoa quality, with far fewer studies extending to fertility and development [127]. Research on Anurans spermatozoa has generally used percentage motility and velocity as a metric [61,87,128], though a number of studies have used live/dead (viability) stains [27,29,31], and to a lesser extent fertility and development depending on the study goals and the availability of oocytes [34,73,83,100]. With Anurans, two recent Proof of Concept Studies used cryopreserved spermatozoa to produce mature

adults [6,20]. The post-thaw recovery of Caudate spermatozoa from semen has been assessed by fertility and development to mature adults (Dale McGinnity personal communication, [37]), and from spermatophores by live/dead stains [35]. However, vital stains may not always be reliable when assessing the membrane integrity of spermatozoa in spermatophores (Manuel Gonzalez pers. communication. Fig. 7.).

Insert Fig. 7.

To further the development of both research and practical application, at each stage of processing the quality of spermatozoa should be assessed by standardized methods for percentage of activation, velocity, period of motility, concentration and volume. Any procedures to induce spermatozoa or oocytes should be recorded including the body length, weight, age, and reproductive condition of males, and testes weight when collecting testicular spermatozoa. Spermatozoa suspensions can be measured for pH, osmolality, and ionic composition. If a study includes spermatozoa morphology, where possible the cataloguing of images from both stained slides and electron microscopy should be undertaken.

Some studies have shown an unexpected lowering of spermatozoa concentration during processing and storage [24] and a better understanding of the extent and nature of these lysed and missing spermatozoa is needed. Fertilization techniques should be quantified in terms of the number of spermatozoa used for specific numbers of oocytes (e.g. sperm-to-oocyte ratio), the associated water volumes and concentrations, gamete holding times, and protocols used for activation of the gametes.

The percentage activation, motility, and also the velocity of spermatozoa can be assessed by observers using phase contrast microscopes, or more accurately percentage motility and velocity by Computer Assisted Sperm Analysis (CASA). CASA objectively measures the percentage of motile spermatozoa and their various types of velocity [82] and analyses the resultant data with a sophisticated statistical programs. In almost all cases, CASA systems rely on head movement of spermatozoa, and free software developed for fish spermatozoa is also suitable for amphibian spermatozoa as a plug-in of ImageJ software [129].

11. Application of amphibian sperm banks

564

565 Amphibians produced by *in vitro* fertilisation have been released in supplementation programs (Robert

566 Browne, personal communication), and refrigerated spermatozoa transported between facilities to

567 successfully fertilise oocytes [130], but no amphibians from cryopreserved spermatozoa have been

568 released. In contrast, fish aquaculture has a long history with the use of *in vitro* fertilization since 600

569 BC in mainland China (Fan-Li The Art of Fish-Breeding 600 BC), and has been widely used globally

570 for the restocking of fish since the mid-20th century [131].

571

572 Although the spermatozoa of 200 aquaculture and 60 threatened species has been cryopreserved

573 [132] it has only had limited use in practice especially for threatened populations [5,133]. For example,

574 cryopreserved spermatozoa have been used in a CBP for marble trout (*Salmo marmoratus*) to maintain

575 pure strains [5], and pallid sturgeon (*Scaphirhynchus albus*) juveniles included in general releases

576 (William Wayman, personal communication).

577

578 The concept of sperm banks to support CBPs for select species is separate from archival genetic

579 resource banking (AGRB) for the widest range of possible species for taxonomic and other purposes.

580 The banking of amphibian spermatozoa requires cryopreservation, whereas, AGRB for taxonomic

581 purposes requires the storage of samples, preferably including the whole specimen, at room temperature.

582 In contrast to the indefinite storage period of AGRB, the storage period and the use of cryopreserved

583 spermatozoa must be defined within a Sustainable Management Plan. Limited resources must be focused

584 on species where the programs goal is the reestablishment of genetically varied populations in nature,

585 with these examples then extending to the broader amphibian CBP community. Not all CBPs will

586 require the use of cryopreserved spermatozoa [104] including those where genetically varied populations

587 may be rebuilt without the use of cryopreserved spermatozoa. At an extreme, access to cryopreserved

588 spermatozoa alone is useless if females are not available.

589

590 There are many fish sperm banks in Europe, Brazil, Mexico, USA, and Canada that are mostly

591 dedicated to commercial fish, but between them and others globally house sperm samples from hundreds

592 of threatened species. Some of these collections include spermatozoa from species that were common at

593 the time of collection but are now endangered or extinct (see review [104]). Sperm banking should be

594 based on forming links and partnerships, between the target CBP and other participating entities

including civil and governmental institutions, within a framework of overlapping and shared interests. The establishment of communication networks and information portals, along with standardization of terminologies and lexicon provide for efficient communication [104].

Future expansion of the use of cryopreserved spermatozoa for aquatic species in aquaculture and will mostly be based on advances in high-throughput cryopreservation and commercial-scale application [134,135]). With amphibians the optimal cryopreservation protocol will vary dependent on the species, however, as the field develops greater standardization of protocols for at least each species will be advantageous [104,134], through increasing offspring production, and minimizing variability and the waste of samples (Fig. 8).

Insert Fig. 8.

Lifecycle Proof of Concept studies (Fig. 8. [18]) should now be integrated within select CBPs; based on the species conservation status, CBP facilities ability to complete the lifecycle, and the potential for release into their natural environment. Complete integration requires three stages, the development of appropriate technologies, funding for the establishment and maintenance of sperm banks, and the integration of sperm banks into CBPs.

The minimum number of males needed for CBP to maintain 90% of a species genetic variation in a 55 year CBP is 75 males, with numbers dramatically increasing with shorter generation times and lower longevity [136]. The cost of sperm banking depends on the scale where the minimum of one cryostorage container can house many hundreds of samples, and as storage capacity increase the storage costs per sample lowers and costs for other capital items such as a microscope stay static. The location of facilities in the low income regions of the highest amphibian biodiversity will generally lower labour costs [104]. The estimated total costs for sperm processing, cryopreservation, and storage of each sample in the USA, based on Caffey and Tiersch [135], is ~$5.00 USD for the first year and less for subsequent years. The initial part of this cost for processing will be species specific, but in any case the cost of sperm banking is one to two orders of magnitude less than keeping live males and more secure.

625   The development of techniques for the cryopreservation of amphibian sperm have almost

626 exclusively been in moderate to high income industrialised countries, except recently for three anuran

627 species in Ecuador (Belin Proaño and Oscar D. Pérez, personal communication, [44]). Yet most

628 amphibian species, except SE North America and eastern Australia, are found in the low to moderate

629 income countries of Central and South America, SE Asia, New Guinea, Africa and Madagascar (Fig. 9).

630 Most currently threatened amphibians come from Central and South America (Fig 9. [137]).

631

632 Insert Fig. 9.

633

634   Sperm banks and CBPs are ideally be located within species range, where males can be sampled

635 opportunistically, cumbersome legislation regarding spermatozoa transport between facilities does not

636 apply, and the CBP relates to the general sustainable management of the regional environment.

637 However, the number of institutionally supported CBPs in or out of range countries can only support

638 about 10% of species in need of conservation actions. Private keepers CBPs in or out of range offer an

639 opportunity to prevent the extinction of the 90% of neglected amphibian species, along with supporting

640 in range CBPs, habitat protection and restoration, and increasing public perception and political

641 influence for the sustainable management of amphibian biodiversity [138].

642

643 **12. Conclusion and future directions**

644

645 There is an increasing need for the storage of spermatozoa in the sustainable management of amphibian

646 biodiversity. Techniques for the use of cryopreserved spermatozoa are developed and there is no

647 technical reason that sperm banking cannot be implemented for many species programs. Considering

648 the depth of the amphibian conservation crisis it is imperative to develop ¨Proof of Concept Projects¨ for

649 the use of cryopreserved spermatozoa in CBPs, and that broadly engage the global amphibian

650 conservation community and promote the sustainable management of the environment in general.

651

652   Techniques for the collection and refrigerated storage of amphibian spermatozoa are well

653 advanced in the Anura and Caudata, but neglected in the Sirenidae and Caecilians. Sperm banking must

654 embrace the diversity of reproductive modes in amphibians, and further develop techniques to optimize

655 the cryopreservation of their spermatozoa. Patterns between species phylogeny, their evolutionary

history, and reproductive modes will lead to more generalized concepts regarding the cryopreservation of amphibian spermatozoa. This progress will be furthered by a greater understanding of the critical components of protocols, and a greater standardization of methods to enable more meaningful comparisons between studies and to focus on critical points in the cryopreservation process.

Acknowledgements:

References

[1] Clulow J, Clulow S. Cryopreservation and other assisted reproductive technologies for the conservation of threatened amphibians and reptiles: bringing the ARTs up to speed. Reprod Fert Devel 2016;28(8):1116-1132.

[2] Silla AJ, Byrne PG. The role of reproductive technologies in amphibian conservation breeding programs. Ann Rev Anim Biosci 2019;7:10-21.

[3] Ananjeva NB, Uteshev VK, Orlov NL, Ryabov SA, Gakhova EN, Kaurova SA, Kramarova L, Shishova NV, Browne RK. Comparison of the modern reproductive technologies for amphibians and reptiles. Russian J Herpet 2017:24(4):275-290.

[4] Carmichael C, Westerfield M, Varga ZM. Cryopreservation and in vitro fertilization at the Zebrafish International Resource Center. Methods Mol Biol 2009;546:45-65.

[5] Crivelli AJ, Poizat G, Berrebi P, Jesensek D, Rubin JF. Conservation biology applied to fish: The example of a project for rehabilitating the marble trout (*Salmo marmoratus*) in Slovenia. Cybium 2000;24:211-230.

685   [6] Pearl E, Morrow S, Noble A, Lerebours A, Marko Horb M, Guille M. An optimized method for
686   cryogenic storage of *Xenopus* sperm to maximize the effectiveness of research using genetically
687   altered frogs. Theriogenology 2017;92:149-155.

688   [7] Khosla K, Wang Y, Hagedorn M, Qin Z, Bischof J. Gold nanorod induced warming of embryos
689   from the cryogenic state enhances viability. ACS Nano 2017;11:7869-7878

690   [8] Hagedorn M, Kleinhans F, Wildt D, Rall W. Chill sensitivity and cryoprotectant permeability of
691   dechorionated zebrafish embryos, *Brachydanio Rerio*. Cryobiology 1997;34:251-263.

692   [9] Clulow J, Trudeau V, and Kouba A. Amphibian declines in the twenty-first century: why we need
693   assisted reproductive technologies. In: Holt WV, Brown JL, Comizzoli P, editors. Reproductive
694   Sciences in Animal Conservation, Vol. 753. Springer: NewYork; 2014, pp. 275–316.

695   [10] Higaki S, Eto Y, Kawakami Y, Yamaha E, Kagawa N, Kuwayama M, Nagano M, Katagiri S,
696   Takahashi, Y. Production of fertile zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) possessing germ cells (gametes)
697   originated from primordial germ cells recovered from vitrified embryos. Reproduction
698   2010;139:733-740.

699   [11] AArk. 2018a. Amphibian Ark – about us, http://www.amphibianark.org/about-us/; [accessed 20th
700   November 2018]

701   [12]   AArk.   2018b.   Amphibian   Ark   Conservation   Assessments,
702   http://www.amphibianark.org/conservation-programs/assessment-results/;   [accessed   20th
703   November 2018]

704   [13] Williams SE, Hoffman EA. Minimizing genetic adaptation in captive breeding programs: A review.
705   Biol Conserv 2009;142:2388-2400.

706   [14] Baker A. Animal ambassadors: an analysis of the effectiveness and conservation impact of ex situ
707   breeding efforts. In: Zimmerman A, Hatchwell M, West C, Lattis R, editors. Zoos in the 21st
708   Century Catalysts for Conservation. Cambridge, UK, 2007, p. 373.

709   [15] Frankham R. Genetic adaptation to captivity in species conservation programs. Mol Ecol
710   2008;17:325-333.

711   [16] Yan F, Lu J, Zhang B, Yuan Z, Zhao H, Huang S, Wei F, Wu M, Xiao H, Liang Z, Jin J, Wu S, Xu
712   C, Tapley B, Turvey ST, Papenfuss TJ, Cunningham AA, Murphy RW, Zhang Y, Che J. 2018.
713   The Chinese giant salamander exemplifies the hidden extinction of cryptic species. Curr Biol
714   2018;28:R592–R594.

[17] Turvey ST, Chen S, Tapley B, Wei G, Xie F, Yan F, Yang j, Liang Z, Tian H, Wu M, Okada S, Wang J, Lu J, Zhou F, Papworth SK, Redbond J, Brown T, Che J, Cunningham AA. Imminent extinction in the wild of the world's largest amphibian. Curr Biology 2018;28:590–592.

[18] Browne RK, Li H, Robinson H, Uteshev VK, Shishova NR, McGinnity D, Nofs S, Figiel CR, Mansour N, Lloyd R, Agnew D, Carleton C, Gakhova EN. Reptile and amphibian conservation through gene banking and other reproduction technologies. Russian J Herpetol 2011;18(3):165-174.

[19] Poleo GA. 2002. Intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) in fishes. PhD Dissertation. The School of Forestry, Wildlife, and Fisheries, Graduate Faculty of the Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College, USA.

[20] Upton R, Clulow S, Mahony MJ, Clulow J. Generation of a sexually mature individual of the Eastern dwarf tree frog, *Litoria fallax*, from cryopreserved testicular macerates: proof of capacity of cryopreserved sperm derived offspring to complete development. Conserv Physiol 2018;6(1):coy043; doi:10.1093/conphys/coy043.

[21] Vance CK, Julien A, Counsell K, Marcec R, Agcanas L, Tucker A, Kouba AJ. Amphibian ART over generations: frozen sperm offspring produce viable F2 generation. Abstracts / Cryobiology 2018;85:178.

[22] Browne RK, Seratt J, Vance, C, Kouba, A. Hormonal induction with priming and *In vitro* fertilization increases egg numbers and quality in the Wyoming toad (*Bufo baxteri*). Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2006;4:34.

[23] Costanzo JP, Mugnano JA, Wehrheim HM. Osmotic and freezing tolerance in spermatozoa of freeze-tolerant and -intolerant frogs. Am J Physiol 1998;44:713-719.

[24] Clulow J, Pomering M, Herbert D, Upton R, Calatayud N, Clulow S, Mahony MJ, Trudeau VL. Differential success in obtaining gametes between male and female Australian temperate frogs by hormonal induction: A review. Gen Comp Endocrin 2018;doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2018.05.032

[25] Browne RK, Kaurova SA, Uteshev VK, Shishova NV, Kramarova L., McGinnity D, Figiel CR, Mansour N, Agnew D, Wu M, Gakhova EN, Dzyuba B, Cosson J. Sperm motility of externally fertilizing fish and amphibians. Theriogenology 2015;83(1):1-13.

[26] Kuehnel S, Kupfer A. 2012. Sperm storage in caecilian amphibians. Frontiers Zool 2012;9:12.

[27] Kühnel S, Reinhard S, Kupfer A. Evolutionary reproductive morphology of amphibians: an overview. Bonn Zool Bull 2010;57(2):119-126.

[28] Iskandar DT, Evans BJ, McGuire JA. A novel reproductive mode in frogs: A new species of fanged frog with internal fertilization and birth of tadpoles. PLoS ONE 2014;9(12): e115884.

[29] Byrne PG, Silla, AJ. Hormonal induction of gamete release, and in-vitro fertilisation, in the critically endangered Southern Corroboree Frog, *Pseudophryne corroboree*. Reprod Biol Endocrin 2010; 30(10):1352-1358.

[30] Lipke C, Meinecke-Tillmann S, Meinecke B. Induced spermiation and sperm morphology in the green poison frog, *Dendrobates auratus*. Salamandra 2009;45(2):65-74.

[31] Silla AJ. Effects of luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone and argininevasotocin on the sperm-release response of Günther's Toadlet, *Pseudophryne guentheri*. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2010;8:139. doi: 10.1186/1477-7827-8-139

[32] Silla AJ. Effect of priming injections of luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone on spermiation and ovulation in Günther's toadlet, *Pseudophryne guentheri*. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2011;9:68. doi.org/10.1186/1477-7827-9-68

[33] Silla AJ, Roberts JD. Investigating patterns in the spermiation response of eight Australian frogs administered human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) and luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LHRHa). Gen Comp Endocrinol 2012;179:128-136.

[34] Della Togna G, Trudeau VL, Gratwicke B, Evans M, Augustine L, Chia H, Bronikowski EJ, Murphy JB, Comizzoli P. Effects of hormonal stimulation on the concentration and quality of excreted spermatozoa in the critically endangered Panamanian golden frog (*Atelopus zeteki*). Theriogenology. 2017;91:27-35.

[35] Figiel CR Jr. Cryopreservation of sperm from the axolotl *Ambystoma mexicanum*: Implications for conservation. Herpet Conserv Biol 2013;8(3):748-755.

[36] Mansour N, Lahnsteiner F, Patzner RA. Collection of gametes from live axolotl, *Ambystoma mexicanum,* and standardization of *in vitro* fertilization. Theriogenology 2011;75(2):354-361.

[37] Marcec R, Langhorne C, Vance C, Kouba A, Willard S. Cryopreservation of spermic milt in the model species *Ambystoma tigrinum* (Tiger salamander) for application in endangered salamanders. Cryobiology 2014;69(3):349-530.

[38] Uteshev VK, Kourova SA, Shishova NV, Stolyarov SD, Browne RK, Gakhova EN. *In vitro* fertilisation with hormonally induced sperm and eggs from sharp-ribbed newts *Pleurodeles waltl*. Russian J Herpet 2015;22(1):35-40.

[39] Uteshev VK, Shishova N V, Kaurova S A, Browne RK, Gakhova EN. Hormonal induction of spermatozoa from amphibians with *Rana temporaria* and *Bufo bufo* as anuran models. Reprod Fertil Dev 2012;24(4):599-607.

[40] Uteshev VK, Shishova N, Kaurova S, Manokhin A, Gakhova E. Collection and cryopreservation of hormonally induced sperm of pool frog (*Pelophylax lessonae*). Russian J Herpet 2013;20(2):105-109.

[41] Silla AJ, McFadden M and Byrne PG. Hormone-induced sperm-release in the critically endangered Booroolong frog (*Litoria booroolongensis*): effects of gonadotropin-releasing hormone and human chorionic gonadotropin. *Conserv Physiol 2019;*7(1): coy080

[42] Browne RK, Seratt J, Li H, Kouba A. Progesterone improves the number and quality of hormonally induced fowler toad (*Bufo fowleri*) oocytes. Reprod Biol Endocrin 2006;4:3.

[43] Trudeau VL, Somoza GM, Natale GS, Pauli B, Wignall J, Jackman P, Doe K, Schueler FW. Hormonal induction of spawning in 4 species of frogs by coinjection with a gonadotropin releasing hormone agonist and a dopamine antagonist. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2010;8:36.

[44] Proaño B, Pérez OD. *In vitro* fertilizations with cryopreserved sperm of *Rhinella marina* (Anura: Bufonidae) in Ecuador. Amphib Rept Conserv 2017;11(2):1–6.

[45] Della Togna G, Gratwicke B, Evens M, Augustine L, Chai H, Bronikowski E, Murphy JB, Comizzoli P. Influence of extracellular environment on the motility and structural properties of spermatozoa collected from hormonally stimulated Panamanian Golden Frog (*Atelopus zeteki*). Theriogenology 2018;108:153-160.

[46] Peng L, Yue YM, Liu Y. Effect of cryopreservation and short-term storage of Chinese giant salamander sperm. Acta Hydrobiologica Sinica 2011;35:325-332.

[47] Zhao H, Zhang H, Wang Q, Chen J. Preliminary study on conservation of *Andrias davidianus* sperm *In vitro*. J Hydroecol 2012;33(1):149-151.

[48] Keogh LM, Byrne PG, Silla AJ. The effect of gentramycin on sperm motility and bacterial abundance during chilled sperm storage in the Booroolong frog. Gen Comp Endocrinol 2016;243:51-59.

[49] Browne RK, Li H, Wang Z, Okada S, Hime P, McMillan A, Wu M, Diaz R, McGinnity D, Briggler

JT. The giant salamanders (Cryptobranchidae): Part B. Biogeography, ecology and reproduction. Amphib Rept Conserv 2013;5(4):30-50.

[50] Doyle JM, McCormick CR, DeWoody JA. The quantification of spermatozoa by real-time quantitative PCR, spectrophotometry, and spermatophore capsize. Mol Ecol Resour 2011;11:101-106.

[51] Edwards DL, Mahony MJ, Clulow J. 2004. Effect of sperm concentration, medium osmolality and oocyte storage on artificial fertilisation success in a myobatrachid frog (*Limnodynastes tasmaniensis*). Reprod Fertil Devel 16:347-354.

[52] Browne RK, Clulow J, Mahony M, Clark A. Successful recovery of motility and fertility of cryopreserved cane toad (*Bufo marinus*) sperm. Cryobiology 1998;37:339-345

[53] Fitzsimmons C, Mahony MJ, Clulow J. Cold shock during rapid cooling of sperm from a tropical anuran, the cane toad, *Bufo marinus*. Reprod Fert Develop 2009;21(9):103-103.

[54] Dreanno C, Suquet M, Desbruyères E, Cosson J, Le Delliou H, Billard R. Effect of urine on semen quality in turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). Aquaculture 1998;169:247-262.

[55] Perchec G, Cosson J, André F, Billard R. Degradation of the quality of carp sperm by urine contamination during stripping. Aquaculture 1995;129:133-137

[56] Dzyuba B, Cosson J, Boryshpolets S, Bondarenko O, Prokopchuk G, Gazo I, Dzyuba V, Rodina M, Linhart O. *In vitro* maturation of spermatozoa in *Acipenser ruthenus*. Reprod. Biol 2014;14:160-163.

[57] Cosson J. 2008. The motility apparatus of fish spermatozoa. In: Alavi, S.M.H., Cosson, J.J., Coward, K. & Rafiee, G., (Eds). *Fish Spermatology* (pp. 281-316). Alpha Science: Oxford.

[58] Alavi SM, Cosson J. Sperm motility in fishes. (II) Effects of ions and osmolality: A review. Cell Biol Internat 2006;30(1):1-14.

[59] Rugh, R. Induced breeding. In Experimental Embryology. Techniques and Procedures, Burgess Publishing Company, Minneapolis 1962;1:91-103.

[60] Clark AK. The effects of ionicity in diluents on the motility of cane toad (*Bufo marinus*) sperm. B. Sc. (Hons) thesis. University of Newcastle, Australia; 1997.

[61] Silla AJ, Keogh LM, Byrne PG. Sperm motility activation in the critically endangered Booroolong frog: the effect of medium osmolality and phosphodiesterase inhibitors. Reprod Fertility Develop 2017;29(11):2277-2283.

835   [62] Byrne PG, Dunne C, Munn AJ, Silla AJ. Environmental osmolality influences sperm motility
836   activation in an anuran amphibian. J Evol Biol 2015;28:521-534.

837   [63] Wolf DP, Hedrick JL. A molecular approach to fertilization 11. Viability and artificial fertilization
838   of *Xenopus laevis* gametes. Develop Biol 1971;25:360-376.

839   [64] Sargent MG, Mohun TJ. Cryopreservation of sperm of *Xenopus laevis* and *Xenopus tropicalis.*
840   *Genesis* 2005;*41(1):41-46.*

841   [65] Billard R, Cosson MP. The energetics of teleost sperm motility. In: Gascon C, editor. Controls of
842   sperm motility: Biological and Clinical Aspects. CRC Press;1990, p. 155-173.

843   [66] Burness G, Casselman SJ, Schulte-Hostedde A I, Moyes CD, Montgomerie R. Sperm swimming
844   speed and energetics vary with sperm competition risk in bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*). Behav
845   Ecol Sociobiol 2004;56(1):65-70.

846   [67] Cosson J. 2010. Frenetic activation of fish spermatozoa flagella entails short-term motility,
847   portending their precocious decadence. J Fish Biol 2010;76:240-279.

848   [68] Del Rio AG. Metabolism of amphibian spermatozoa in relation to their motility. Experimentia
849   1979;35:185-186.

850   [69] Cosson J. ATP, the sperm movement energizer. In: Kuester E, Traugott G, editors. Adenosine
851   Triphosphate Chemical Properties, Biosynthesis and Functions in Cells. Nova Science Pub Inc;
852   UK ed. Edition,2013, pp. 1-46.

853   [70] Dzyuba V, Dzyuba B, Cosson J, Rodina M. Enzyme activity in energy supply of spermatozoon
854   motility in two taxonomically distant fish species (sterlet *Acipenser ruthenus*, Acipenseriformes
855   and common carp *Cyprinus carpio*, Cypriniformes). Theriogenology 2016b;85(4):567-574.

856   [71] Fedorov P, Dzyuba B, Fedorova G, Grabic R, Cosson J, Rodina. M. Quantification of adenosine
857   triphosphate, adenosine diphosphate, and creatine phosphate in sterlet spermatozoa during
858   maturation. J Anim Sci 2015;93(11):5214–5221.

859   [72] Biegniewska A. Ziętara M S, Rurangwa E, Ollevie, ., Swierczynski J, Skorkowski EF. Some
860   differences between carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) and African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*)
861   spermatozoa motility. J App Ichthyol 2012;26(5):674–677.

862   [73] Browne RK, Mahony M, Clulow J. A comparison of sucrose, saline, and saline with egg-yolk
863   diluents on the cryopreservation of cane toad (*Bufo marinus*) sperm. Cryobiology
864   2002d;44(3):251-257.

[74] Hollinger TG, Corton GL. Artificial fertilization of gametes from the South African clawed frog, *Xenopus laevis*. Gamete Res 1980;3:45-57.

[75] Shishova NR, Uteshev VK, Kaurova SA, Browne RK, Gakhova EN. Cryopreservation of hormonally induced sperm for the conservation of threatened amphibians with *Rana temporaria* as a model research species. Theriogenology 2011;75:220-232

[76] Inoda T, Morisawa M. Effect of osmolality on the initiation of sperm motility in *Xenopus laevis*. Comp Biochem Physiol Part A: Physiol 1987;88:539-542.

[77] Baynes SM, Scott AP, Dawson AP. Rainbow trout, *Salmo gairdnerii* Richardson, spermatozoa: effects of cations and pH on motility. J Fish Biol 1981;19:259–267.

[78] Fitzsimmons C, McLaughlin EA, Mahony MJ, Clulow J. Optimisation of handling, activation and assessment procedures for *Bufo marinus* spermatozoa. Reprod Fertil Dev 2007;19(4):594-601.

[79] Keogh LM, Byrne PG, Silla AJ. The effect of antioxidants on sperm motility activation in the Booroolong frog. Anim Reprod Sci 2017;183:126-131.

[80] Browne RK, Clulow J, Mahony M. Short-term storage of cane toad (*Bufo marinus*) gametes. Reproduction 2001;121:167-173.

[81] Unger S, Mathis A, Wilkinson R. A comparison of sperm health in declining and stable populations of Hellbenders (*Cryptobranchus alleganiensis alleganiensis* and *C.a. bishopi*). Am. Midl. Nat. 2013;170:382-392

[82] Fauvel C, Suquet M, Cosson J. Evaluation and determinism of fish sperm quality. J. Appl Ichthyol 2010;26:636-643.

[83] Shishova NR, Uteshev VK, Sirota NP, Kuznetsova EA, Kaurova SA., Browne RK, Gakhova EN. The quality and fertility of sperm collected from European common frog (*Rana temporaria*) carcasses refrigerated for up to 7 days. Zoo Biol 2013;32(4):400-406.

[84] Bernardini, G., Belgiojoso P, Camatini M. 1988b. *Xenopus* spermatozoon: Is there any correlation between motility and oxygen consumption? Gamete Res 21(4):403-408.

[85] Germano M, Arregui L, Kouba AJ. Effects of aeration and antibiotics on short-term storage of Fowler´s toad (*Bufo fowleri*) sperm. Aquaculture 2013;396-399:20-24.

[86] Kowalski R, Cejko BI, Irnazarow I, Szczepkowski M, Dobosz S, Glogowski J. Short-term storage of diluted fish sperm in air versus oxygen. Turkish J Fisher Aquat Sci 2014;14:831-834.

[87] Dzyuba V, Cosson J, Dzyuba B, Yamaner G, Rodina M, Linhart O. The antioxidant system of seminal fluid during in vitro

[88] Silla AJ, Keogh LM, Byrne PG. Antibiotics and oxygen availability affect the short-term storage of spermatozoa from the critically endangered Booroolong frog, *Litoria booroolongensis*. Reprod Fertil Dev 2015;27:1147–1153.

[89] Dzyuba V, Dzyuba B, Cosson J, Boryshpolets S, Yamaner G, Kholodniy V, Rodina M. The antioxidant system of sterlet seminal fluid in testes and Wolffian ducts. Fish Physiol Biochem 2014;40(6)-1731-1739.

[90] Gwo JC. Methods for sperm collection. In: Cabrita E, Robles V, Herraez P, editors. Methods in Reproductive Aquaculture: Marine and Freshwater Species. CRC Press; 2008, p. 81-92.

[91] Segovia M, Jenkins JA, Paniagua-Chavez C, Tiersch TR. Flow cytometric evaluation of antibiotic effects on viability and mitochondrial function of refrigerated spermatozoa of Nile tilapia. Theriogenology 2000;53:1489-1499.

[92] Rahimi R, Hajirezaee S, Shaluei F, & Kaboutari Katadj J. Antibiotics, penicillin and streptomycin improve semen quality indices of endangered Caspian brown trout, *Salmo trutta caspius* (Kessler, 1870) during in vitro short-term storage. Aquacult Res 2015;47(11):3662-3666.

[93] Kaurova SA, Chekurova NR, Melnikova EV, Uteshev VK, Gakhova EN. Cryopreservation of frog *Rana temporaria* sperm without loss of fertilizing capacity. Presented at the Proceedings of XIV Working Meeting of Genetic Resource Conservation, Pushchino. 1996, p. 106–108.

[94] Kaurova SA, Uteshev VK, Chekurova NR, Gakhova EN. Cryopreservation of testis of frog *Rana temporaria*. Infusionsther Transfusionsmed 1997;24(5):78–79.

[95] Hopkins BK, Herr C. Cryopreservation of frog (*Rana pipiens*) sperm collected by non-lethal methods. Canadian J Zool 2004;82:555-563.

[96] Michael SF, Jones C. Cryopreservation of spermatozoa of the terrestrial Puerto Rican frog, *Eleutherodactylus coqui*. Cryobiology 2004;48-90-94

[97] Mugnano JA, Costanzo JP, Beesley SG, Lee RE. Evaluation of glycerol and dimethyl sulfoxide for the cryopreservation of spermatozoa from the wood frog (*Rana sylvatica*). Cryo-Letters 1998;19:249-254.

[98] Mansour N, Lahnsteiner F, Robert A, Patzer RA. Motility and cryopreservation of spermatozoa of European common frog, *Rana temporaria*. Theriogenology 2010;74:724-732.

[99] Browne RK, Clulow J. Manony M. The short-term storage and cryopreservation of spermatozoa from hylid and myobatrachid frogs. Cryo Letters 2002;23:129-136.

[100] Langhorne CJ, Calatayud NE, Kouba AJ, Feugang JM, Vance CK, Willard ST. Cryoconservation: Successful sperm cryopreservation and developmental outcomes using endangered North American amphibians. Cryobiology 2013;67(3):405.

[101] Della Togna NG. Structural and functional characterization of the Panamanian golden frog (*Atelopus zeteki*) spermatoza - impact of medium osmolality and cryopreservation on motility and cell viability**. University of Maryland, College Park, ProQuest Dissertations Publishing, 2015. 10011589**

[102] Kopeika E, Kopeika J. In: Alavi SMH, Cosson J, Coward K, Rafiee G, editors. Variability of sperm quality after cryopreservation in fish: Fish Spermatology. Oxford: Alpha Science International; 2008, p. 347-396.

[103] Suquet M, Dreanno C, Fauvel C, Cosson J, Billard R. Cryopreservation of sperm in marine fish. Aquacult Res 2000;31(3):231-343.

[104] Martínez-Páramo S, Horváth A, Labbé C, Zhang T, Robles V, Herráez P, Suquet M, Adams S, Viveiros A, Tiersch TR, Cabrita C. Cryobanking of aquatic species. Aquaculture 2017;472:156-177.

[105] Upton R, Clulow S, Seeto Rebecca, Wong L, Mahony M, Clulow J. Successful sperm cryopreservation and generated offspring of the endangered frog, *Litoria aurea*. Abstracts / Cryobiology 2018;85:148-149.

[106] Lim HK, Le MH. Evaluation of extenders and cryoprotectants on motility and morphology of longtooth grouper (*Epinephelus bruneus*) sperm. Theriogenology 2013;79:867-871.

[107] Kiriyakit A, Gallardo WG, Bart AN. Successful hybridization of groupers (*Epinephelus coioides* x *Epinephelus lanceolatus*) using cryopreserved sperm. Aquaculture 2011;320:106-112.

[108] Liu QH, Ma DY, Xu SH, Xiao ZZ, Xiao YS, Song ZC, Li J. Summer flounder (*Paralichthys dentatus*) sperm cryopreservation and application in interspecific hybridization with olive flounder (*P olivaceus*). Theriogenology 2015;83;703-710.

[109] Prokopchuk G, Dzyuba B, Rodina M, Cosson J. Control of sturgeon sperm motility: Antagonism between K(+) ions concentration and osmolality. Anim Reprod Sci 2016;164:82-89.

[110] Bickis IJ, Kazaks K, Finn JJ, Henderson IWD. Permeation kinetics of glycerol and dimethyl sulfoxide in Novikoff hepatoma ascites cells [*] Cryobiology 1967;4(1):1-10.

[111] Gilmore JA, Liu J, Woods EJ, Peter AT, Critser JK. Cryoprotective agent and temperature effects on human sperm membrane permeabilities: convergence of theoretical and empirical approaches for optimal cryopreservation methods. Human Reprod 2000;15(2):335-343.

[112] Browne RK, Davis J, Pomering M, Clulow J. Storage of cane toad (*Bufo marinus*) sperm for 6 days at 0ºC with subs|equent cryopreservation. Reprod Fert Develop 2002b;14:267-273.

[113] Yang H, Norris M, Winn R, Tiersch TR. Evaluation of cryoprotectant and cooling rate for sperm cryopreservation in the euryhaline fish medaka *Oryzias latipes*. Cryobiology 2010;61:211-219.

[114] Horokhovatskyi Y, Samples S, Dzyuba B, Cosson J, Linhart O, Rodina M, Fedorov P, Blecha M. 2015. Cryoresistance in relation with lipid composition in sperm of common carp *Cyprinus carpio*. (2015) Int. Meeting on Cryobiologies, Ostrava (Cz. Rep.). Cryobiology 71:537e573.

[115] Morrow S, Gosálvez J, López-Fernández C, Arroyo F, Holt WV, Guille MJ. Effects of freezing and activation on membrane quality and DNA damage in *Xenopus tropicalis* and *Xenopus laevis* spermatozoa. Reprod Fertil Develop 2014;29(8):1556-1566.

[116] Billard R, Cosson J, Noveiri SB, Pourkazemi M. Cryopreservation and short-term storage of sturgeon sperm, a review. Aquaculture 2004;236(1-4):1-9 .

[117] Riley KL, Chesney EJ, Tiersch TR. Field collection, handling, and refrigerated storage of sperm of red snapper and gray snapper. North American J Aquacult 2008;70:356-364.

[118] Gosálvez J, Holt WV, Johnston SD. Sperm DNA fragmentation and its role in wildlife conservation. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2014;753:357-384.

[119] Pollock K, Gosálvez J, López-Fernández J, Johnston S. Amphibian sperm chromatin structure and function and its relevance to sperm preservation. J Herpet 2018;52(4):487-492.

[120] Arregui L, Boveda P, Gosalvez J, Kouba AJ. Seasonal effect of sperm cryopreservation in *Epidalea calamata* (Bufonidae). Abstracts / Cryobiology 2018;85:177.

[121] Brelsford A, Stock M, Betto-Collard C, Dubey D, Dufresnes C, Jourdan-Pineau H, Rodrigues N, Savary R, Sermier R, Perrin N. Homologous sex chromosomes in three deeply divergent anuran species. Evolution 2013;67-8:2434–2440.

[122] Duellman WE, Marion AB, Hedges SB. Phylogenetics, classification, and biogeography of the treefrogs (Amphibia: Anura: Arboranae). Zootaxa 2016;4104:101-109.

[123] Zhang P, Liang D, Mao R.L, Hillis DM, Wake DB, Cannatella DC. Efficient sequencing of anuran mtDNAs and a mitogenomic exploration of the phylogeny and evolution of frogs. Mol. Biol. Evol 2013;30:1899-1915.

[124] Evens BJ. Genome evolution and speciation genetics of clawed frogs (*Xenopus* and *Silurana*). Frontiers Biosci 2008;4687-4706.

[125] Beesley SG, Costanzo JP, Lee RE. Cryopreservation of spermatozoa from freeze-tolerant and intolerant anurans. Cryobiology 1998;37:155-162.

[126] Flajshans M, Cosson J, Rodina M, Linhart O. The application of image cytometry for viability assessment of dual fluorescent stained spermatozoa of fish. A technical note. Cell Biol Intern 2004;28/12:955-959.

[127] Linhart O, Rodina M, Cosson J. Cryopreservation of sperm in common carp *Cyprinus carpio*: sperm motility and hatching success of embryos. Cryobiology 2000;41:241-250.

[128] Silla AJ. Artificial fertilisation in a terrestrial toadlet *Pseudophryne guentheri*: effect of medium osmolality, sperm concentration and gamete storage. Reprod Fert Develop 2013;25(8):1134-1141

[129] Wilson-Leedy & Ingermann, 2015 Computer Assisted Sperm Analyzer. https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/plugins/casa.html [Accessed 9th December 2018]

[130] Kouba A, Willis E, Vance C, Hasenstab S, Reichling S, Krebs J, Linhoff L, Snoza M, C. Langhorne C, Germano J. Development of assisted reproduction technologies for the Endangered Mississippi gopher frog (*Rana sevosa*) and sperm transfer for in vitro fertilization Reprod Fert Devel 2011;24(1):170-170.

[131] Cabrita E, Robles V, Herraez P. 2009. Methods in Reproductive Aquaculture: Marine and Freshwater Species. CRC Press; 2008, p. 456.

[132] Tiersch TR, Green CC. Cryopreservation in Aquatic Species, 2nd Edition. World Aquaculture Society, Baton Rouge, Louisiana; 2011, p. 1-14.

[133] Horvath A, Wayman WR, Dean JC, Urbanyi B, Tiersch TR, Mims SD, Johnson D, Jenkins JA. Viability and fertilizing capacity of cryopreserved sperm from three North American acipenseriform species: a retrospective study. J Appl Ichthyol 2012;24:443-449.

[134] Hu E, Tiersch TR. Development of high-throughput cryopreservation for aquatic species. In: Tiersch TR, Green CC, editors. Cryopreservation in Aquatic Species, 2nd Ed. World Aquaculture Society, Baton Rouge, Louisiana; 2011, p. 995-1003.

[135] Caffey RH, Tiersch TR. Economics and marketing of cryopreserved fish sperm. In In: Tiersch TR, Green CC, editors. Cryopreservation in Aquatic Species, 2nd Ed. World Aquaculture Society, Baton Rouge, Louisiana; 2011, p. 896-916

1017 [136] Schad K. Amphibian Population Management Guidelines. Amphibian Ark Amphibian Population
1018   Management Workshop; December 10-11; San Diego, CA, USA. Amphibian Ark, 2007. 31 p.

1019 [137] Nori J, Villalobos F, Loyola R. Global priority areas for amphibian research. J Biogeog
1020   2018;2588-2594

1021 [138] Browne RK, Janzen P, Bagaturov MF, van Houte DK. Amphibian Keeper Conservation Breeding
1022   Programs. J Zool Res 2018;2(1):29-46.

1023

1024

1025

Legends for Figures

Fig. 1. The rapid effects of selection in captive breeding are shown in these colour varieties of the Chinese giant salamander (*Andrias davidianus*) which now has more than 12×10^6 individuals in aquaculture, mostly hybrids between 5 recently revealed cryptic species. *Image Robert Browne*.

Fig. 2. The evolutionary relationships between major amphibian clades, orders, families and species with respect to major parameters informing an understanding of sperm storage and cryopreservation. Studies may have included more than one species, and the assessment methods are for all studies of each species (see Supplementary Table 2,3 for more detail). Blue = External fertilisation, Red = Internal fertilisation.

Fig. 3. Hormonal stimulation and sperm collection in the Panamanian Golden Frog (*Atelopus zeteki*). A. Intraperitoneal injection of GnRHa; B. Spermic urine collection by abdominal massage; and C. Spermic urine collection by gentle insertion of a catheter in the cloacae. *Image Gina Della Togna*.

Fig. 4. A. Collecting semen from a cryptobranchid, *Cryptobranchus alleganiensis*, through abdominal massage at Nashville Zoo, USA. *Image Robert Browne*; and B) hormonally induced tiger salamander, *Amystoma tigrinium*, semen from the cloaca at National Amphibian Conservation Center Detroit Zoological Society, Detroit, USA. *Image Ruth Marcec*.

Fig. 5. A flow diagram of the different stages in the collection, preparation of cryosuspensions, acclimation, and freezing of amphibian sperm as reported across various amphibian studies (Supplementary Table 2,3). Temperatures in °C. *Neurergus kaiseri*. *Image Richard Bartlett* http://news.mongabay.com/2010/0208-hance_luristannewt.html

Fig. 6. The phylogenetic relationship between four anuran families, with the Pipidae diverging form the others ~210 mya, the Ranidae from the Hylidae and Bufonidae ~170 mya, and the Hylidae and Bufonidae diverging ~70 mya (Adapted from Brelsford et al. [119]).

1056   Fig. 7. A. *Ambystoma mexicanum* sperm stained with eosin nigrosin, 40× magnification. B. *Ambystoma*

1057   spermatophore stained with Trypan Blue. Glycoproteins on the spermatophore highly stain but the

1058   sperm package is intact to the vital stain and the enclosed sperm do not stain, and C. Hoesch staining is

1059   used to test acrosomal integrity. *Image Manuel Gonzalez.*

1060

1061   Fig. 8. Flow chart of suggested Proof of Concept study to complete the life cycle of a threatened species

1062   using cryopreserved sperm in a CBP. Warm temperatures in brown, and cool and colder temperatures in

1063   blue. *Image Robert Browne.*

1064

1065   Fig. 9. Global diversity of amphibian species, the distribution of threatened amphibians, average income,

1066   and the locations of research for the collection and storage of amphibian sperm for the sustainable

1067   management of amphibian biodiversity. Anurans (black circles) and Caudata (Yellow circles). Both

1068   Anura and Caudata are found in Australia, but only Anura are native. The size of circles roughly

1069   approximates research on sperm storage.

1070

1071

1072

1073