

University of Wollongong
Research Online

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health -
Papers: Part B

Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health

1-1-2019

Dietary intake and diet quality in children receiving treatment for cancer

Emma Goddard
University of Wollongong

Jennifer Cohen
Sydney Children's Hospital, University of New South Wales, jec096@uowmail.edu.au

Lynsey Bramley
Sydney Children's Hospital

Claire E. Wakefield
Sydney Children's Hospital, University of New South Wales

Eleanor J. Beck
University of Wollongong, eleanor@uow.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/smhpapers1>

Publication Details Citation

Goddard, E., Cohen, J., Bramley, L., Wakefield, C. E., & Beck, E. J. (2019). Dietary intake and diet quality in children receiving treatment for cancer. Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health - Papers: Part B. Retrieved from <https://ro.uow.edu.au/smhpapers1/683>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Dietary intake and diet quality in children receiving treatment for cancer

Abstract

This narrative synthesis aims to examine the dietary intake, diet quality, and dietary preferences of pediatric cancer patients during cancer treatment. Thirteen studies were eligible for review. Studies mostly investigated nutrient intake, with 7 reporting on children's food intake. There was consensus among studies, which reported suboptimal fruit and vegetable intake and a preference for savory, carbohydrate-based foods. Results suggest that pediatric cancer patients consume a limited variety of foods, with a high intake of noncore foods. Future research should aim to examine dietary food data against dietary guidelines to assess adequacy and variety within core food groups.

Publication Details

Goddard, E., Cohen, J., Bramley, L., Wakefield, C. E. & Beck, E. J. (2019). Dietary intake and diet quality in children receiving treatment for cancer. *Nutrition Reviews*, 77 (5), 267-277.

Published as:

Goddard, E., Cohen, J., Bramley, L., Wakefield, C. E. & Beck, E. J. (2019). Dietary intake and diet quality in children receiving treatment for cancer. *Nutrition Reviews*, 77 (5), 267-277.

Dietary intake and diet quality in children receiving treatment for cancer: a systematic narrative synthesis

Emma Goddard,^a Jennifer Cohen,^b Lynsey Bramley,^b Claire Wakefield,^{b,c} Eleanor J. Beck,^a

^a *School of Medicine, University of Wollongong, NSW, Australia*

^b *Kids Cancer Centre, Sydney Children's Hospital, High Street, Randwick, Sydney, NSW 2031, Australia*

^c *School of Women's and Children's Health, UNSW Medicine, University of NSW Australia, Sydney, NSW, Australia*

Corresponding Author Details:

Name: Jennifer Cohen

Address: Kids Cancer Centre, Sydney Children's Hospital, High Street, Randwick, Sydney, NSW 2031, Australia

Phone: +61 405 153 595

Email: Jennifer.Cohen2@health.nsw.gov.au

Article Type: Lead article

Abstract: This systematic narrative synthesis aimed to examine dietary intake, diet quality and dietary preferences of childhood cancer patients during cancer treatment. Thirteen studies were eligible for review. Studies mostly investigated nutrient intake,

with seven reporting on children's food intake. There was consensus among studies, reporting suboptimal fruit and vegetable intake, and a preference for savoury, carbohydrate based foods. Results suggest that childhood cancer patients are consuming a limited variety of foods, with high intake of non-core foods. Future research should aim to examine dietary food data against dietary guidelines, for adequacy and an assessment of variety within core food groups.

Key Words: childhood cancer patients, diet, diet quality, intake, nutrition

1 **Introduction**

2 Improvements in medical therapy for paediatric cancer patients has led to an
3 increase in overall childhood cancer survival rates.¹ Adult survivors of childhood
4 cancer are at an increased risk of developing chronic long term health conditions
5 such as obesity, cardiovascular disease, metabolic syndrome and osteoporosis.²⁻⁴
6 Health-related research has recently commenced, to address the late effects of anti-
7 cancer treatment on survival quality in both adult and child survivors of childhood
8 cancer.^{2, 3, 5} In the non-cancer population, a healthy diet has been shown to reduce
9 the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome.⁶ These chronic conditions seen in adult
10 survivors of childhood cancer, all have the potential to be reduced and managed
11 through healthy dietary behaviours similar to that seen in the general adult
12 population.⁷

13
14 Prospective studies identify that up to 70% of adult childhood cancer survivors with
15 metabolic syndrome do not consume a heart healthy diet.² Exploration into the
16 dietary habits of adult survivors of childhood cancer has revealed inadequate intakes
17 of fruit and vegetables and an excessive intake of saturated fat.^{2, 8} Female and male
18 adult survivors of childhood cancer with a poor diet are 2.4 and 2.2 times,
19 respectively, more likely to be diagnosed with metabolic syndrome than those who
20 meet recommended dietary guidelines.² It appears these poor dietary habits
21 prevalent in survivorship are manifesting early after treatment completion.^{9, 10} Young
22 survivors of childhood cancer have been shown to have inadequate intake of calcium
23 and folate.⁹ Parents of young survivors of childhood cancer report their children have
24 a suboptimal intake of fruit and vegetables, and an excessive intake of discretionary

25 foods.¹⁰ They also identify that the dietary habits of their children have changed
26 compared with their dietary habits prior to diagnosis.¹⁰

27

28 Adequate nutrition for children is essential to ensure their optimal growth and
29 development, with good dietary habits enabling them to build sufficient muscle and
30 bone mass. The aetiology of the development of poor dietary intake and habits in
31 both young and older survivors of childhood cancer remains unknown. Reasons for
32 these changing dietary habits may include long term changes in taste and smell
33 function¹¹ or alterations in appetite regulation.¹² Negative side effects of cancer
34 treatment can also impact on nutrition, including nausea, vomiting, mucositis and
35 altered taste sensation. These side effects cause a reduction in oral intake,¹³
36 causing childhood cancer patients' motivation to eat to be low.^{10, 14-16} Alterations in
37 dietary patterns as a consequence may become longer-term dietary habits
38 potentially due to a lack of exposure to good dietary practices on-treatment.

39

40 To prevent treatment-related weight loss and malnutrition it is common for health
41 professionals to encourage a high energy diet during treatment for childhood
42 cancer.¹⁷ Parents may also alter their strategies towards managing their child's
43 dietary intake.^{10, 16} Parents may start using more negative feeding practices such as
44 reducing levels of discipline during meal times and introducing unhealthy foods to
45 increase their child's intake.¹⁸ Parents and carers commonly reward intake of any
46 kind during treatment for their child's cancer, rather than focusing on habits likely to
47 be healthy in the longer term. A prior experience, will affect how likely a person will
48 select a food for a second time.¹⁹ Development of cancer treatment related food
49 aversions secondary to taste and smell changes, or gastrointestinal related

50 symptoms may also result in negative feeding experiences.²⁰ Most childhood cancer
51 patients are undergoing anti-cancer treatment at a time when lifelong dietary habits
52 are likely to be established.^{21, 22} Very young childhood cancer patients are most at
53 risk, as lifelong dietary habits are often created through food experiences during the
54 first three years of life.²³

55

56 While there is literature highlighting areas of concern in relation to diet.²⁴⁻²⁶ To further
57 explore the aetiology of the development of poor dietary habits among childhood
58 cancer patients, and guide future nutrition interventions, on-treatment dietary intake
59 must be explored. This systematic narrative synthesis aimed to examine the
60 literature which assesses dietary intake, including diet quality and dietary
61 preferences of childhood cancer patients during cancer treatment, we also aimed to
62 compare this intake with country-specific recommended dietary guidelines.

63

64 **Method**

65 This systematic narrative synthesis was conducted using framework from the
66 “Guidance on the conduct of narrative synthesis in systematic reviews: A product
67 from the Economic and Social Research Council Methods Programme”²⁷ to explore
68 the dietary intake and dietary preferences of childhood cancer patients during
69 treatment.

70

71 *Search strategy*

72 MEDLINE, Scopus, Web of Science, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health
73 Literature (CINAHL) and Cochrane Library databases were electronically searched in
74 January 2018 to identify studies published in the English language prior to, and

75 including studies to date. Each database was searched with the following keywords
76 and Booleans: '(child* OR pediat* OR paediat* OR adolescen*) AND (cancer OR
77 oncol* OR tumor OR tumour OR leuk* OR neoplasms) AND (diet* OR intake OR
78 food OR nutr*) AND (treatment OR chemotherapy)'. A secondary search was
79 conducted by hand searching research abstracts presented at the Congress of the
80 International Society of Paediatric Oncology (2011-2015). Reference lists of
81 identified full text articles were searched for further eligible articles.

82

83 *Eligibility criteria*

84 Primary research studies were eligible if they investigated the dietary intake, quality,
85 or preferences of children and adolescents aged one to 17 years of age during active
86 treatment for cancer. There were no restrictions on cancer type or treatment regimen
87 (radiotherapy or chemotherapy of all types). Studies were excluded if they assessed
88 dietary intake during maintenance therapy only. Studies were required to report
89 detail of food intake at a minimum, with studies reporting only energy intake as a
90 measure of intake excluded. Studies were also excluded if they were case studies.

91

92 Titles and abstracts of studies identified through the combined database searches
93 were screened for inclusion by one researcher (E.G.). The remaining studies were
94 retrieved in full text and assessed for eligibility by two independent researchers (E.G.
95 and L.B.). For cases of uncertainty regarding study eligibility (n=2) two independent
96 advisors (J.C. and E.B.) were consulted and a final decision on inclusion of studies
97 was made by consensus.

98

99 *Data collection*

100 Data extracted from eligible studies included: publication authors, year and country;
101 population characteristics, including number of participants, age, diagnosis and
102 treatment; dietary data collection methods; and food and/or nutrient intake results.
103 We deemed a child's nutrient intake to be adequate if their intake was greater than
104 or equal to 90% of recommended intake for that child's country of origin.

105

106 *Data management*

107 Studies were critically appraised and graded according to the National Health and
108 Medical Research Council's (NHMRC)²⁸ level of evidence hierarchy, and were
109 quality rated as either 'positive', 'neutral' or 'negative' according to the Academy of
110 Nutrition and Dietetics (AND)²⁹ quality rating of primary studies checklist. A positive
111 rating indicates that most aspects of the study meet validity criteria questions for
112 sound scientific research, neutral indicates that the study is not exceptionally strong,
113 and negative indicates that the majority of the aspects of the study do not meet
114 validity criteria.

115

116 **Results**

117 *Characteristics of studies*

118 After removal of duplicates, 1729 articles were initially screened. The majority of
119 articles were excluded based on title and abstract with 41 articles undergoing further
120 review (Figure 1). Thirteen studies^{10, 15, 16, 30-38} were eligible for critical appraisal. Of
121 these, three were case-control studies,³⁸⁻⁴⁰ six were case series studies,^{30, 31, 34-37}
122 and four were of cross-sectional design.^{10, 15, 16, 32} All studies reported on participants
123 who were receiving chemotherapy. Four studies^{30, 31, 37, 39} also reported some study
124 participants receiving radiotherapy, with one study³⁹ reporting on two participants

125 receiving both chemotherapy and radiotherapy and one³⁷ reporting on four
126 participants receiving radiotherapy only. Two studies^{30, 31} did not specify participant
127 treatment regimen.

128

129 Two studies^{37, 39} quantitatively reported children's food intake, (Table 1) and five^{10, 15,}
130 ^{16, 32, 34} reported children's food preferences during treatment (Table 2). Nine^{30, 31, 34-}
131 ³⁹ reported intake in the form of nutrients (Tables 3 and 4). Of those reporting
132 nutrient intake, five^{30, 31, 34, 37, 38} reported on micronutrients and protein, one³⁹
133 reported macronutrients and calcium and three^{35, 36, 40} reported on macronutrients
134 only.

135

136 *Quality of the evidence*

137 Quality assessment guided by the AND quality rating checklist resulted in nine^{10, 15,}
138 ^{16, 30, 32, 36-38, 40} studies rated 'positive', three^{34, 35, 39} 'neutral' and one³¹ 'negative'
139 (Table 5). The majority of studies (n=9/13)^{10, 15, 16, 30-32, 34, 36, 37} were graded as the
140 lowest level of evidence (level IV) according to the NHMRC's level of evidence
141 hierarchy, though three³⁸⁻⁴⁰ were graded as level III-2, and one³⁵ as level III-3. All
142 studies, irrespective of their reported intakes, quality rating and level of evidence
143 were reviewed in order to provide general descriptions of outcomes and
144 recommendations for future research.

145

146 *Dietary data collection methods*

147 Four studies^{10, 15, 16, 32} assessed dietary intake through semi-structured interviews.
148 Two^{15, 16} of these studies employed reflective interviewing techniques to determine
149 the effects of treatment on oral dietary intake. One study¹⁰ conducted telephone

150 interviews with parents of childhood cancer survivors, whilst the other utilised
151 photographs, drawings and writing to prompt answers from children and their
152 parents.³² Two studies^{15, 16} used face-to-face interviews with parents of children at
153 various stages of treatment, with one¹⁶ interviewing both the child and their parent/s.
154

155 The remaining studies collected data using either 24 hour dietary recalls (n=4)^{30, 34,}
156 ^{37, 40} or daily food records (n=5),^{31, 35, 36, 38, 39} with days of food recording ranging from
157 two to 21 days. Dietary data collection time points varied greatly between studies.
158 Four studies^{30, 34, 38, 40} collected dietary data at unspecified time points during
159 treatment. Specified time points of dietary data collection included the first 21 days of
160 chemotherapy^{35, 36} and at three months,³⁷ six months,³¹ and one year post
161 diagnosis.³⁹

162

163 *Food intake and food preferences*

164 Food groups assessed by studies varied, however there was a general consensus
165 among studies reporting suboptimal intake of fruit and vegetables.^{37, 39} Fuemmeler et
166 al.³⁹ reported children's food intake as number of serves consumed compared to
167 Dietary Guidelines for Americans. Foods were grouped as fruit, vegetables, fried
168 potatoes and snack chips, soft drinks, sweet beverages, milk, yoghurt and cheese.
169 Comparisons to recommended serves per day of three of the five core food groups
170 (fruits, vegetables and dairy products) were made in some papers, whilst grains and
171 meat/alternatives were not reported. This revealed that children were not meeting
172 recommended intakes for the three core food groups assessed. Overall low fruit,
173 vegetable and dairy consumption were reported by Soliman Baghat et al.³⁷ with 30%
174 of children consuming foods from these food groups. Considering other core foods,

175 only limited studies reported meat intake during treatment (n=2). Results were
176 conflicting, with one study reporting participant meat intake to have decreased
177 significantly when compared to pre-treatment³⁷ and another reported an increased
178 desire to eat meat.³⁴

179

180 Studies describing the dietary preferences of patients during treatment reported an
181 increased preference for savoury and carbohydrate based foods specifically, bread,
182 pasta, rice and potato dishes (n=5).^{10, 15, 16, 32, 34} Takeaway foods, junk foods and
183 salty foods were also reportedly purchased by parents for their child with cancer
184 more regularly than usual (n=4).^{10, 15, 16, 32} Two studies^{16, 32} reported that children on-
185 treatment for cancer had an increased preference for foods with strong flavours,
186 including spicy and sour foods, with one study¹⁶ reporting an avoidance of sweet
187 foods. One study¹⁰ described a reduction in fruit and vegetable intake.

188

189 *Nutrient intake*

190 The micro and macronutrients assessed differed greatly between studies (Table 3).
191 Seven studies reported mean nutrient intake as a percentage of recommended
192 dietary intakes.^{16, 30, 31, 34, 36-40} Most studies found that childhood cancer patients were
193 meeting micronutrient intake recommendations, with only one study reporting
194 inadequate intakes for the majority of micronutrients assessed.³⁰ Calcium intake
195 was deemed to be inadequate across all studies assessing this nutrient.^{30, 31, 38, 39}
196 Macronutrient intake results were inconsistent with two studies reporting intake of all
197 macronutrients to be adequate^{39, 40} and two reporting an inadequate intake for all
198 macronutrients.^{35, 36} Except for protein and fat, when focusing on adequacy of dietary
199 intake at home.³⁶

200

201 Two studies reported nutrient intake as a total percentage of children meeting the
202 recommended intakes (Table 4), therefore, comparison to individual adequacy of
203 recommended intakes could not be made. The studies did compare treatment intake
204 to pre-treatment intake however results between the two studies were conflicting.
205 Micronutrients assessed in the studies differed and protein intake was assessed in
206 both. One reported an increased³⁴ intake of nutrients during treatment and the other
207 reported a decreased intake.³⁷ Protein was the only nutrient assessed by all studies.
208 Protein intake recommendations were met in six of the nine studies.

209

210 **Discussion**

211 This narrative synthesis is the first to systematically evaluate dietary intake and diet
212 quality in children receiving active treatment for cancer through describing on-
213 treatment diet intake and food preferences and comparing intake to recommended
214 dietary guidelines. Measurement and reporting of dietary intake were highly variable
215 among studies. Altered dietary intakes and food preferences of childhood cancer
216 patients after commencing treatment were noted by all studies. The studies in this
217 review suggest that childhood cancer patients' dietary changes often involved an
218 increased preference for unhealthy foods. The changed dietary habits also included
219 an increased preference for carbohydrate based savoury foods and salty foods, and
220 a decreased intake of fruit and vegetables with subsequent impact on intake of micro
221 and macronutrients.

222

223 This narrative synthesis shows that the poor dietary habits of childhood cancer
224 patients are occurring during the intensive treatment period. This is concerning as

225 food preferences and habits of children established during childhood can persist later
226 in life.²¹ A previously published review reporting on the dietary intake of survivors of
227 childhood cancer found that few consume diets that provide adequate nutrition.⁴¹
228 Specifically, an insufficient intake of fruits, vegetables and calcium containing foods
229 suggesting that the dietary quality of survivors of childhood cancer is poor.⁴¹

230

231 Poor diet quality combined with an increased risk of chronic health conditions within
232 this population^{2, 42, 43} is concerning. Dietary intake may be reduced due to treatment
233 side effects resulting in nausea, vomiting, taste changes or oral mucositis.⁴⁴
234 Furthermore, food preferences during treatment may be significantly influenced by
235 both the treatment-related side effects combined with treatment drugs, specifically
236 steroids, which were recognised to impact on oral intake. Children receiving
237 treatment for cancer will often require nutrition support when their food intake alone
238 fails to provide sufficient energy and nutrients for growth.

239

240 Although this review attempted to assess nutrient adequacy within studies, overall
241 nutrient adequacy could not be determined as the nutrients assessed differed greatly
242 between studies and results were often confounding. Overall the dietary intake of
243 childhood cancer patients who are undergoing cancer therapy without enteral or
244 parenteral nutrition support (excluded here) are meeting the majority of their
245 recommended micronutrient intakes. Calcium was the only micronutrient that was
246 reported consistently as inadequate across all studies assessing nutrient intake.
247 Macronutrient intake results were less definitive, with inconsistencies reported
248 among studies assessing the adequacy of carbohydrate and fat intake as a
249 percentage of total energy. Protein adequacy may be at risk in some cases.⁴⁵ It is

250 possible that nutrient intake is not a sensitive marker of dietary adequacy and quality
251 alone.⁴⁶ Simultaneous reporting of total energy intake may contribute to confirmation
252 of dietary adequacy from a perspective of meeting estimated requirements, however
253 total energy intake was not a focus of this review. Intake of nutrients including sugar,
254 saturated fat and sodium which when consumed in excessive amounts may
255 contribute to chronic disease burden and impact dietary quality⁴⁷ were not reported
256 by studies included in this review, yet they may be most relevant to investigate
257 where changes to practice may be required.

258

259 A thorough assessment of diet quality involves an investigation into food quantity,
260 variety and choice, and comparison of these measures to age appropriate dietary
261 recommendations and guidelines.^{46, 48} This review provides evidence that there are
262 limited quality studies investigating the dietary intake of childhood cancer patients
263 during treatment. The literature suggests that their micronutrient intake may be
264 adequate but there is limited literature on their diet quality and variety. The limited
265 studies do suggest that cancer patients are consuming poor food variety with a high
266 intake of non-core foods but further work is need to confirm this. Dietary intake
267 requires analysis at both a nutrient and a food level to allow comparison of dietary
268 intake to recommended guidelines and subsequent development of practice
269 recommendations for dietary therapy during treatment.

270

271 Due to the lack of literature, this systematic narrative synthesis investigated dietary
272 intake and quality through the subgroups of food intake, food preferences and
273 nutrient intake. If any participant in a study was receiving maintenance
274 chemotherapy the study was excluded from this review as intake results were not

275 able to be separated by type of chemotherapy received. This exclusion may have
276 resulted in otherwise suitable articles being excluded. Intervention and comparison
277 studies are not required when describing a specified population's dietary intake and
278 quality, so it was expected that most of the studies included in this review were of an
279 observational design which rank as level IV according to the NHMRC's level of
280 evidence hierarchy. All studies, regardless of their geographical origin were included
281 which may reduce the applicability of results to single countries. Additionally, the
282 variability of results found and difficulties describing diet quality may be explained by
283 the varying medical systems and food provision available to children during
284 treatment.

285

286 Although the findings of this narrative synthesis are limited by the small number of relevant
287 studies, our review highlights the need for further advances in the field. There is a need for
288 current research to investigate dietary intake patterns of children during their anti-cancer
289 treatment to form an evidence base to guide appropriate and relevant recommendations for
290 this population. Future research should aim to examine dietary food data against
291 dietary guidelines, specific to the country of study, for adequacy and variety
292 assessment of core food groups. Additionally, confounding factors to oral intake
293 should be accounted for and described in detail, such as stage of treatment,
294 treatment side effects which may impact on nutrition and treatment drugs which may
295 stimulate or depress appetite. Nutrient intake assessment should support
296 examinations of dietary food intake data to dietary guidelines and include both
297 macronutrients and a variety of micronutrients, including sugar, saturated fat and
298 sodium.

299

300 **Conclusion**

301 This systematic investigation of dietary intake and quality in children receiving
302 treatment for cancer has revealed some evidence that dietary intake often meets
303 minimum recommendations for daily intake of micro and macronutrients. There is fair
304 evidence that children's dietary intake alters during treatment and some evidence to
305 suggest that this intake is of a poor quality, with fruits, vegetables and calcium
306 containing foods perhaps areas to be targeted for review. Comprehensive
307 conclusions cannot be made due to conflicting results and a lack of dietary data
308 describing food intake both qualitatively and quantitatively. Although lacking in
309 numbers, studies reporting food intake did describe similar unhealthy food
310 preferences. Longitudinal cohort or interrupted time series studies that take into
311 consideration the recommendations presented by this review are warranted in order
312 to strengthen this evidence base and assist with the development of appropriate
313 interventions. Ongoing review is necessary when more research is available on this
314 topic.

315

316 **Acknowledgements**

317 *Funding and sponsorship*

318 Nil funding sources contributed to this research.

319

320 *Declaration of interest*

321 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

322

323 **References**

- 324 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2016. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians.
325 2016;66(1):7-30.
- 326 2. Smith WA, Li C, Nottage KA, et al. Lifestyle and metabolic syndrome in adult survivors of
327 childhood cancer: a report from the St. Jude Lifetime Cohort Study. Cancer. 2014;120(17):2742-50.

- 328 3. Oeffinger KCMD, Mertens ACP, Sklar CAMD, et al. Chronic Health Conditions in Adult
329 Survivors of Childhood Cancer. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2006;355(15):1572-82.
- 330 4. Gurney JG, Kaste SC, Liu W, et al. Bone mineral density among long-term survivors of
331 childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: results from the St. Jude Lifetime Cohort Study. *Pediatric*
332 *Blood & Cancer*. 2014;61(7):1270-6.
- 333 5. Jansen H, Postma A, Stolk R, Kamps W. Acute lymphoblastic leukemia and obesity: increased
334 energy intake or decreased physical activity? *Supportive Care in Cancer*. 2009;17(1):103-6.
- 335 6. Rodríguez-Monforte M, Sánchez E, Barrio F, Costa B, Flores-Mateo G. Metabolic syndrome
336 and dietary patterns: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *European*
337 *Journal of Nutrition*. 2017;56(3):925-47.
- 338 7. National Health and Medical Research Council. Australian Dietary Guidelines. Canberra:
339 Australian Government, Department of Health and Ageing; 2013.
- 340 8. Robien K, Ness KK, Klesges LM, Baker KS, Gurney JG. Poor adherence to dietary guidelines
341 among adult survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Journal of pediatric*
342 *hematology/oncology*. 2008;30(11):815-22.
- 343 9. Cohen J, Wakefield CE, Fleming CAK, et al. Dietary intake after treatment in child cancer
344 survivors. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*. 2012;58(5):752-7.
- 345 10. Cohen J, Wakefield CE, Tapsell LC, et al. Exploring the views of parents regarding dietary
346 habits of their young cancer-surviving children. *Supportive Care in Cancer*. 2015;23(2):463-71.
- 347 11. Cohen J, Laing DG, Wilkes FJ, et al. Taste and smell dysfunction in childhood cancer
348 survivors. *Appetite*. 2014;75:135-40.
- 349 12. Perboni S, Inui A. Anorexia in cancer: role of feeding-regulatory peptides. *Philosophical*
350 *Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2006;361(1471):1281-9.
- 351 13. Kestler SA, LoBiondo-Wood G. Review of symptom experiences in children and adolescents
352 with cancer. *Cancer Nursing*. 2012;35(2):E31-E49.
- 353 14. Green R, Horn H, Erickson JM. Eating experiences of children and adolescents with
354 chemotherapy-related nausea and mucositis. *Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing*. 2010;27(4):209-
355 16.
- 356 15. Skolin I, Hursti UK, Wahlin YB. Parents' perception of their child's food intake after the start
357 of chemotherapy. *Journal Of Pediatric Oncology Nursing: Official Journal Of The Association Of*
358 *Pediatric Oncology Nurses*. 2001b;18(3):124-36.
- 359 16. Skolin I, Wahlin YB, Broman DA, et al. Altered food intake and taste perception in children
360 with cancer after start of chemotherapy: Perspectives of children, parents and nurses. *Supportive*
361 *Care in Cancer*. 2006;14(4):369-78.
- 362 17. Mauer AM, Burgess JB, Donaldson SS, et al. Special nutritional needs of children with
363 malignancies: a review. *JPEN Journal Of Parenteral And Enteral Nutrition*. 1990;14(3):315-24.
- 364 18. Fleming CAK, Cohen J, Murphy A, et al. Parent feeding interactions and practices during
365 childhood cancer treatment. A qualitative investigation. *Appetite*. 2015;89:219-25.
- 366 19. De Graff C, Kremer FM, Mesiselman HL, et al. Food acceptability in field studies with US
367 army men and women. Relationship with food intake and food choice after repeated exposures.
368 *Appetite*. 2005;44(1):23-31.
- 369 20. Boltong A, Keast R. The influence of chemotherapy on taste perception and food hedonics. A
370 systematic review. *Cancer Treatment Reviews*. 2012;38(2):152-63.
- 371 21. Birch L. Development of food acceptance patterns in the first years of life. *Proceedings of*
372 *the Nutrition Society*. 1998;57(1):617-24.
- 373 22. Brunstrom JM, Mitchell GL, Baguley TS. Potential early-life predictors of dietary behaviour in
374 adulthood: a retrospective study. *International Journal of Obesity*. 2005;29(5):463-74.
- 375 23. Skinner JD, Carruth BR, Bounds W, Ziegler PJ. Children's food preference. A longitudinal
376 analysis. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*. 2002;102(11):1638-47.
- 377 24. Barr RD. Nutrition, cancer, and children. *Nutrition*. 2002;18(1):434-5.

- 378 25. Ward E, Hopkins M, Arbuckle L, et al. Nutritional Problems in Children Treated for
379 Medulloblastoma: Implications for Enteral Nutrition Support. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*.
380 2009;53(4):570-5.
- 381 26. Ward EJ, Henry LM, Friend AJ, Wilkins S, Phillips RS. Nutritional support in children and
382 young people with cancer undergoing chemotherapy. *The Cochrane Database Of Systematic*
383 *Reviews*. 2015;8:CD003298.
- 384 27. Popay J, Roberts H, Sowden A, et al. Guidance on the conduct of narrative synthesis in
385 systematic reviews: A product from the ESRC Methods Programme. 2006.
- 386 28. NHMRC. How to review the evidence: Systematic identification and review of the scientific
387 literature. Canberra: National Health and Medical Research Council; 2000 [Available from:
388 http://www.nhmrc.gov.au/_files_nhmrc/publications/attachments/cp69.pdf.
- 389 29. Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. Evidence analysis manual: steps in the academy
390 evidence analysis process Chicago, IL: Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics; 2012 [cited 2016 April 19].
391 Available from: <https://www.andeal.org/files/Docs/2012_Jan_EA_Manual.pdf>.
- 392 30. Abdel-Kader MK, Hemeda HM, Abdel-Hadi S, Rihan ZB, El-Adgham NW. Assessment of
393 nutritional status of pediatric cancer patients. *The Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association*.
394 1996;71(1-2):161-84.
- 395 31. Carter P, Carr D, van Eys J, et al. Energy and nutrient intake of children with cancer. *Journal*
396 *Of The American Dietetic Association*. 1983;82(6):610-5.
- 397 32. Gibson F, Shipway L, Barry A, Taylor RM. What's it like when you find eating difficult:
398 Children's and parents' experiences of food intake. *Cancer Nursing*. 2012;35(4):265-77.
- 399 33. Jaime-Pérez JC, González-Llano O, Gerra-Garza J, et al. Assessment of nutritional status in
400 children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia in Northern Mexico: a 5-year experience. *Pediatric Blood*
401 *& Cancer*. 2008;50:506-8 3p.
- 402 34. Sgarbieri UR, Fisberg M, Tone LG, Latorre MdrD. Nutritional assessment and serum zinc and
403 copper concentration among children with acute lymphocytic leukemia: a longitudinal study. *São*
404 *Paulo Medical Journal = Revista Paulista De Medicina*. 2006;124(6):316-20.
- 405 35. Skolin I, Axelsson K, Ghannad P, Hernell O, Wahlin YB. Nutrient intake and weight
406 development in children during chemotherapy for malignant disease. *Oral Oncology*.
407 1997;33(5):364-8.
- 408 36. Skolin I, Hernell O, Wahlin YB. Energy and nutrient intake and nutritional status of children
409 with malignant disease during chemotherapy after the introduction of new mealtime routines.
410 *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences*. 2001a;15(1):82-91.
- 411 37. Soliman Bahgat R, Mohammed Fouda L, Mohammed Maria A, Allam RAE. Relation between
412 nutritional status and feeding problems of children suffering from cancer undergoing chemo-
413 radiotherapy. *Life Science Journal*. 2013;10(4):3222-36.
- 414 38. Tan SY, Poh BK, Nadrah MH, et al. Nutritional status and dietary intake of children with acute
415 leukaemia during induction or consolidation chemotherapy. *Journal of Human Nutrition and*
416 *Dietetics*. 2013;26(SUPPL.1):23-33.
- 417 39. Fuemmeler BF, Pendzich MK, Clark K, et al. Diet, physical activity, and body composition
418 changes during the first year of treatment for childhood acute leukemia and lymphoma. *Journal of*
419 *Pediatric Hematology/Oncology*. 2013;35(6):437-43.
- 420 40. Delbecque-Boussard L, Gottrand F, Ategbo S, et al. Nutritional status of children with acute
421 lymphoblastic leukemia: a longitudinal study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 1997;65(1):95-
422 100 6p.
- 423 41. Stolley MR, Restrepo J, Sharp LK. Diet and physical activity in childhood cancer survivors: a
424 review of the literature. *Annals of behavioral medicine : a publication of the Society of Behavioral*
425 *Medicine*. 2010;39(3):232-49.
- 426 42. Hoffman K, Derdak J, Bernstein D, et al. Metabolic syndrome traits in long-term survivors of
427 pediatric sarcoma. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*. 2008;50(1):341-6.

- 428 43. Atkinson S. Vitamin d status and bone biomarkers in childhood cancer. *Pediatric Blood &*
429 *Cancer*. 2008;50(1):479-82.
- 430 44. Ikeda EB, Collins CE, Alvaro F, Marshall G, Garg ML. Wellbeing and nutrition-related side
431 effects in children undergoing chemotherapy. *Nutrition and Dietetics*. 2006;63(4):227-39.
- 432 45. Brinksma A, Roodbol PF, Sulkers E, et al. Finding the right balance: An evaluation of the
433 adequacy of energy and protein intake in childhood cancer patients. *Clinical Nutrition*.
434 2015;34(2):284-90 7p.
- 435 46. Wirt A, Collins CE. Diet quality - what is it and does it matter? *Public Health Nutrition*.
436 2009;12(12):2473-92.
- 437 47. National Health and Medical Research Council. *Eat for Health Educator Guide*. Information
438 for nutrition educators. Canberra: National Health and Medical Research Council; 2013.
- 439 48. Alkerwi A. Diet Quality Concept. *Nutrition*. 2014;30(6):613-8.
- 440

441 **Figure Legends**

442 Figure 1: Methodological process of systematic narrative synthesis.

TABLE 1 Studies reporting food intake during treatment

| Reference, country | No. and age (y) of population | Diagnosis (n) | Tx | Method (M) and time (T) of dietary data collection | Dietary intake during treatment | NHMRC level of evidence | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|----------|---|--|---|-------|
| Fuemmeler et al. (2013) ³⁹ USA | n=8 Mean: 10.3 | ALL or Lymphoma (15) | CT RT | M: 2-day food diary (1 x weekday, 1 x weekend day) T: 12 months post diagnosis | Mean serves consumed/day: <i>1 serve = 0.5 cup</i> Fruit: 2.1 (SD±2.5), vegetables: 2.7 (SD±1.6), fried potatoes and snack chips: 1 (SD±1.1) <i>1 serve = 1 cup</i> Soda/soft drinks: 0.0 (SD±0.0), sweet beverages: 0.6 (SD±0.7), milk: 0.6 (SD±0.9), yoghurt: 0.04 (SD±0.12) <i>1 serve = ~40g</i> Cheese: 0.9 (SD±1.2) | USDA recommended serves/day of core food groups assessed: Fruit = 4 Vegetables = 3-4 Dairy products = 2-3 - Mean intakes did not meet recommended serves for all core food groups | III-2 |
| Soliman Bahgat et al. (2013) ³⁷ Egypt | n=60 Mean (±SD): 9.5 (±3.4) | Leukaemia (28), lymphoma (14), bone tumour (7), CNS tumour (7), soft tissue tumour (4) | CT RT | M: 24 hour dietary recall T: 3 months post diagnosis | % of children consuming: Fruit, vegetable, beans and milk/cheese products = ~30 Meat = 22 Bread products = 47 Juice = 70 Sweets = 57 Comparison to pre-treatment: - Sig. ↑ in snacking ($p=0.001$) and meals ($p=0.000$) offered† to children/day - Sig. ↓ in meat consumption | IV | |

Abbreviations: ALL acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; CNS central nervous system; CT chemotherapy; n number; NHMRC National Health and Medical Research Council; RT radiotherapy; Sig. significant; Tx treatment; USDA United States recommended dietary allowance; wks weeks; y years

† Intake was not specified

TABLE 2 Studies reporting food preferences developed during treatment

| Reference, country | Population (n) and age (y) at time of dietary ax | Diagnosis (n) | Tx | Method (M) and time (T) of dietary data collection | Food preferences during treatment | NHMRC level of evidence |
|---|--|--|----|---|--|-------------------------|
| <i>Studies comparing preferences to pre-treatment</i> | | | | | | |
| Cohen et al. (2015) ¹⁰ Australia | n=18 Mean (±SD): 8.50 (±2.71) | ALL (8), Neuroblastoma (3), WT (3), BT (1) Rhabdomyosarcoma (1), Lymphoma (2) | CT | M: semi-structured telephone interviews with parents reporting retrospectively on their child's dietary habits during tx T: 2.29±1.56SD y post tx completion | - 100% reported their child had an ↑preference for savoury and junk foods - 61% reported an ↑preference for carbohydrate-based foods e.g. bread, pasta, savoury biscuits - 72% reported a ↓fruit and vegetable intake | IV |
| Gibson et al. (2011) ³² UK | n=13 Median (range): NS (4-12) | ALL (1), relapsed ALL (2), NHL (2), HL (1), WT (2), relapsed WT (1), BT (1), relapsed AML (1), PNT (1) | CT | M: 'auto driven' interviewing using photographs, drawings and writing as prompts T: children at various stages of tx, start (n=6), middle (n=5), end (n=2) | - Pasta based dishes craved - ↑preference for savoury foods - ↑preference for foods with strong flavours (e.g. cheese sandwich too bland) - Parents report an ↑ in purchasing of takeaway foods | IV |
| Sgarbieri et al. (2006) ³⁴ Brazil | n=45 Median age: 5 years | ALL (45) | CT | M: 24 hour dietary recall T: induction and reinduction CT | - ↑desire to eat rice, beans, meat, bread and pasta | IV |
| <i>Studies reporting current food preferences</i> | | | | | | |
| Skolin et al. (2006) ¹⁶ Sweden | n=21 Median (range) at start of CT: 8 (2-17) | Leukaemia (9), Solid tumour (6), Lymphoma (5), CNS tumour (2) | CT | M: semi-structured face-to-face interviews T: median (range) from start of CT to interview: 4 (1–12) months | Patient reported food preferences: - Pancakes, pasta, potato dishes, taco shells, rice, salty snacks Parent reported preferences: - Salty foods (3/21), Spicy and sour foods (3/21) Foods avoided by patients: - Red meat, hot dogs and chicken (total 8/21), sweets (6/21), chocolate (2/21) | IV |

TABLE 2 (continued)

| Reference, country | Population (<i>n</i>) and age (<i>y</i>) at time of dietary ax | Diagnosis (<i>n</i>) | Tx | Method (M) and time (T) of dietary data collection | Food preferences during treatment | NHMRC level of evidence |
|---|--|--|----|---|--|-------------------------|
| Skolin et al. (2001b) ¹⁵ Sweden | n=11 Median (range): 7 (2-15) | CNS tumour (4), ALL (4), LCH (1), HL (2) | CT | M: semi-structured face-to-face retrospective interviews with parents reporting their child's dietary habits at the start of tx T: since initiation CT, 3wks (n=3), 4wks (n=2), 5wks (n=1), 1y (n=5) | CHO based dishes, macaroni, fried chicken, fast food, broccoli and the avoidance of meat | IV |

Abbreviations: *ALL* acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; *AML* acute myeloid leukaemia; *ax* assessment, *BT* brain tumour; *CNS* central nervous system; *CT* chemotherapy; *HL* Hodgkin Lymphoma; *LCH* Langerhans cell histiocytosis; *n* number; *NHMRC* National Health and Medical Research Council; *NHL* Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma; *NS* not specified; *PNT* primitive neuroectodermal tumour; *Tx* treatment; *wks* weeks; *WT* Wilms tumour; *y* years

TABLE 3 Studies reporting nutrient intake during treatment as a percentage of country specific recommended intakes

| Reference, country | No. and age (y) of population | Diagnosis (n) | Tx | Method (M) and time (T) of dietary data collection | Nutrients assessed | | Results | | NHMRC level of evidence |
|--|------------------------------------|---|----------|---|---|--------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|
| | | | | | Micronutrients | Macronutrients | Diagnosis | Adequate intake ($\geq 90\%$ of recommended) | |
| Abdel-Kadar et al. (1995) ³⁰ Egypt | n=70 Age range: 4-10 | Lymphoma (33), leukaemia (30), rhabdomyosarcoma (7) | CT RT | M: 24 hour dietary recall T: > 3 unspecified time points over the 6 month study period | Calcium Iron Niacin Phosphorus Thiamine Vitamin A Vitamin C | Protein | Lymphoma or leukaemia Rhabdomyosarcoma | Thiamine Iron Thiamine | IV |
| Carter et al. (1983) ³¹ USA | n=99 Median (range): 7 (0.5-17) | Solid tumour (18), Haematopoietic cancer (25) | CT RT | M: 4-day food record (2 week days and 2 weekend days) T: 6 months after diagnosis | Calcium Iron Niacin Phosphorus Riboflavin Thiamine Vitamin A Vitamin C | Protein | Solid tumour Haematopoietic group | All nutrients Protein and all micronutrients except calcium | IV |
| Fuemmeler et al. (2013) ³⁹ USA | n=8 Mean: 10.3 | ALL or lymphoma (15) | CT RT | M: 2-day food diary (1 x weekday, 1 x weekend day) T: 12 months after diagnosis | Calcium | Carbohydrate Fat Protein | All | All macronutrients | III-2 |

TABLE 3 (continued)

| Reference, country | No. and age (y) of population | Diagnosis (n) | Tx | Method (M) and time (T) of dietary data collection | Nutrients assessed | | Results | | NHMRC level of evidence |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|----|---|---|--------------------------------|-----------|--|-------------------------|
| | | | | | Micronutrients | Macronutrients | Diagnosis | Adequate intake ($\geq 90\%$ of recommended) | |
| Delbecque-Boussard et al. (1997) ⁴⁰ France | n=15 Mean age: 6.2 years | ALL (15) | CT | M: 24 hour dietary recall T: 22, 36 and 71 of CT | Nil | Carbohydrate Fat Protein | ALL | Day 22: protein Day 36: protein and carbohydrate Day 71: all macronutrients | III-2 |
| Skolin et al. (1997) ³⁵ Sweden | n=14 Median (range) :10 (5-16) | ALL (3), CNS tumour (4), Sarcoma (3), Lymphoma (3), WT tumour (1) | CT | M: 21-day dietary food record T: Day -1 of CT | | Carbohydrate Fat Protein | All | Nil | IV |
| Skolin et al. (2001a) ³⁶ Sweden | n=11 Median age: 7 Range: 2-15 | CNS tumour (4), ALL (4), LCH (1), HL(2) | CT | M: 21-day dietary food record T: Day 0 of CT | | Carbohydrate Fat Protein | All | Entire recording period: nil Hospital days: nil Home days: protein and fat Mixed [†] days: nil | IV |
| Tan et al. (2013) ³⁸ Malaysia | n=53 Age range: 3-12 | ALL (43), AML (10) | CT | M: 3-day food records T: during induction or consolidation CT | Calcium Iron Niacin Riboflavin Thiamine Vitamin A Vitamin C | Protein | All | Protein and all micronutrients except calcium | III-2 |

Abbreviations: *ALL* acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; *AML* acute myeloid leukaemia; *CNS* central nervous system; *CT* chemotherapy; *HL* Hodgkin Lymphoma; *LCH* Langerhans cell histiocytosis; *Tx* treatment; *RT* radiotherapy; *NHMRC* National Health and Medical Research Council; *wks* weeks; *WT* Wilms tumour; *Y* year

† Days spent at home and hospital

448

TABLE 4 Studies comparing nutrient intake during treatment to baseline intake

| Reference, country | No. and age (y) of population | Diagnosis (n) | Tx | Method (M) and time (T) of dietary data collection | Nutrients assessed | | Results | NHMRC level of evidence |
|---|--------------------------------|--|----------|---|---|----------------|---|-------------------------|
| | | | | | Micronutrients | Macronutrients | | |
| Sgarbieri et al. (2006) ³⁴ Brazil | n=45 Median age: 5 years | ALL (45) | CT | M: 24 hour dietary recall T: during induction and reinduction CT | Copper Zinc | Protein | % of children meeting recommended intakes at baseline: Protein = 91 Zinc = 76 Copper = 98 Children ↑ their intake of all nutrients during induction and reinduction CT | IV |
| Soliman Bahgat et al. (2013) ³⁷ Egypt | n=60 Mean (±SD): 9.5 (±3.4) | Leukaemia (28), lymphoma (14), bone tumour (7), CNS tumour (7), soft tissue tumour (4) | CT RT | M: 24 hour dietary recall T: 3 months after diagnosis | Calcium Iron Vitamin A Vitamin C | Protein | % of children meeting recommended intake at 3 months: Calcium = 25% Iron = 18% Protein = 0% Vitamin A = 17% Vitamin C = 10% Children ↓ their intake of all nutrients during treatment | IV |

Abbreviations: ALL acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; CNS central nervous system; CT chemotherapy; Tx treatment; RT radiotherapy; NHMRC National Health and Medical Research Council; wks weeks

449

TABLE 5 Quality appraisal of studies included in this systematic literature review based on the AND's quality rating system²⁹

| Reference | Research question clearly stated | Selection of study subjects free from bias [†] | Study groups comparable [†] | Method of handling withdrawals described | Blinding of assessors | Procedure, comparisons and intervening factors described in detail [†] | Outcomes and measures defined, valid and reliable [†] | Appropriate statistical analysis | Conclusions supported by results with biases and limitations taken into consideration | Bias due to study's funding/ sponsorship unlikely? | Quality Rating |
|--|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|---|--|----------------|
| Abdel-Kadar et al. (1995) ³⁰ | Yes | Yes | N/A | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Unclear | Positive |
| Carter et al. (1983) ³¹ | No | Yes | N/A | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | Negative |
| Cohen et al. (2015) ¹⁰ | Yes | Yes | N/A | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Positive |
| Delbecque-Boussard et al. (1997) ⁴⁰ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Unclear | Positive |
| Fuemmeler et al. (2013) ³⁹ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Neutral |
| Gibson et al. (2012) ³² | Yes | Yes | N/A | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Positive |
| Sgarbieri et al. (2006) ³⁴ | Yes | Yes | N/A | No | Yes | Yes | No | Unclear | No | Unclear | Neutral |
| Skolin et al. (1997) ³⁵ | Yes | Yes | N/A | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Unclear | Neutral |
| Skolin et al. (2001a) ³⁶ | Yes | Yes | N/A | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Positive |
| Skolin et al. (2001b) ¹⁵ | Yes | Yes | N/A | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Positive |
| Skolin et al. (2006) ¹⁶ | Yes | Yes | N/A | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Positive |
| Soliman Bahgat et al. (2013) ³⁷ | Yes | Yes | N/A | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Unclear | Positive |
| Tan et al. (2013) ³⁸ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Positive |

Abbreviations: N/A not applicable

† If the answers the questions marked with 'a' do not indicate that the study is exceptionally strong the quality rating is designated as 'neutral', if the majority of the answers to the questions marked with 'a' are 'yes' plus one additional 'yes' the quality rating is designated as 'positive'. If six or more answers are 'no' the quality rating is designated as 'negative'.

451 **Legends**

452

453 Figure 1: Methodological process of systematic narrative synthesis.