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The tectonic and metamorohic histories of a portion of the fillyama Complex around Xt. Robe, Broken Tili, are clarified and described. Four generations of foids are recognised. The first éeneration of folds are isoclinal and transposed layering to parallel a schistosity that is axial surface to the folds. Analysis of the various sub-fabrics contributing to the triclinic symmetry of the fold system suggests the existence of an even earlien phase of folding. The second generation of folds are also isoclinal, but lack any penetrative foliation resultins from defornation.

The third and fourth generations of folds are characterised by the development of a conjugate set of kink bands in their hinces. The style of the folds is very variable and reflects the properties of the initial fabric on which the folds wore imposed. The preferred orientation of basal ceformetion larallae in quartz in a fourth generation fold allows the fixing of the principal ares of stress for the deformation. The minor and major axes are sub-horizontal and the intermediate axis plunges steeply parallel to the fold axes. A study di the eecmetry of two sets of deformation lamellae in a single grain indicates that they may be parallel to a number of the common forms of quartz. The major structure of the area is determined by one first generation fold, tivo fourth generation folds and an inhomogeneous fold-fault structure.

Vetamorphism to produce mineral assemblages of the almandine-amohibolite facies followed or accompanied the first generation of folds. The area can be zoned aith respect to the distribution of andalusite and sillimanite. Interfacial tension played a significant role in determinins the shapes oi grains but probably did not alter the shape of aggregates determined by deformation. The relative magnitudes of interfacial tensions, determined from the neasurenent of interiacial ancles at triple junctions, of quartz-quartz and quartz-mascovite are the most important factors determining grain shapes.

Local metamoryism associated with the intrusion of pecmatites and a wope seneral retrogression, followed the first phase of metamorphism. The yarious phases of folding and metamorphism probably represent two major and distinct episodes in the history of the Nillyama Complex.
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## Introduction

This thesis is concerned with the structural and metamorphic history of the small portion of the Willyama Complex surrounding Mt. Robe, Broken Hill, N.S.W. (Map 1).

The Willyama Complex is a heterogeneous mass composed of regional metamorphics and intrusive rocks (Andrews, 1922) and is overlain unconformably by the sediments of the Torrowangee Beds. Radioactive dating gives an age of approximately 1600 million years for the primary regional metamorphism of the Willyama Rocks and ages of 1350-1600 million years for some of the acid intrusive rocks (pers. communication R. Pidgeon, 1964). The primary metamorphism produced sillimanite schists, pyroxene gneisses and amphibolites over the area of exposed Willyama Rocks (Andrews, 1922; Browne, 1922; Binns, 1963). The intrusive rocks are predominantly acid pegmatites although granitic rocks and dolerites have also been recognised.

## Previous Literature

The first comprehensive map of the Willyama Complex was presented by E. C. Andrews in 1922. Andrew's work revealed the existence of a large, open fold closing to the north of Mt. Robe and dominating the structure in the north-western* portion of the Willyama Complex. This fold was named the Mt. Robe Basin by Andrews (Andrews, 1922, p.115). As such, the Mt. Robe Basin was one of a "group of basins, irregular in form, and of a complex nature, connected by ridges and by zones of flowage and dislocation" (Andrews, 1922, p.111) that formed the major structures of the metamorphic rocks. It is clear in the text that Andrews recognised that the stracture may be very complex within small areas.
*
Roughly the area considered in this thesis.


Andrew's rezional map was not superseded until 1953 by a map published by King and Thomson (1953). Excopt for the recognition of some folds with steeply pluncing axes and some details of fold shapes, the structural picture of King and Thomson differs vary little from that of Andrews (1922). King and Thomson noted some minor complications in the western limb of the Nit. Robe Basin which they renamed the Mt. Robe Syncline.

The most complete structural analysis of a part of the Willyama Complex yet published is that of Gustafson, Burrell and Garretty (1950). Their report deals only with the structure of the rocks enclosing the Broken Hill Lode. With the abundant data available in the mines, Gustafson, Burrell and Garretty were able to construct three dimensional blocks of the folds in this area. As a result they recognised;
(i) steeply plunging isoclinal folds with attenuated limbs,
(ii) complicated relationships between minor and major folds with smaller folds "spiralling" across the larger folds in part of the area (Fig. 23, p.1413.
(iii) a second generation of folds, mainly irregular buckles which are apparently connected with faulting of the area.

Leslie and White (1955) also recognised the existence of steeply plunging folds ( $50^{\circ}$ ) in an area south of Brewery Well (Map 1), which almost adjoins the area covered by the present map.

Gustafson, Burrell and Garretty (1950) believed that the Willyama Rocks surrounding the ore body had been subjected to intense folding. In contrast some later workers (King and Thomson, 1953; Condon, 1958; Carruthers and Pratten, 1961; Thomas, 1961; but excluding Leslie and White, 1955, and Den Tex
1958) have tended to emphasise the stratigraphic complexity of the willyama rocks and to minimise the effects of folding. This trend is noted specifically by Thomas (1951). Den Tex (1958) in a study of the preferred orientation of quartz and biotite in some Broken Hill rocks accepted the structural interpretations of Gustafson, Burrell and Garretty (1950).

Two authors, Browne (1922) and Binns (1962, 1963) have attempted to summarise the metamorphic history of the Willyama Complex. Both authors have recognised more than one phase of metamorphism, but differ over the classification of various rocks and mineral assemblages. Browne and Binns are also the only authors to have commented on the petrology of the Mt. Robe District. One of the important differences between Browne and Binns is the classification of the andalusite schists which outcrop in a belt from Mit. Franks to Brewery Well (Browne, 1922, Binns, 1962, 1963). The inclusion of the andalusite schists in the first phase of metamorphism proposed in Chapter 5 of this thesis differs again from the conclusions of the authors above.

The contributions of Browne and Binns will be reviewed more fully in Chapter 5. Meanwhile the few brief statements below, which are broad enough to be common to both Browne and Binns, are sufficient background for the reading of Chapters 2,3 , and 4 .

The primary regional metamorphism of the Willyama rocks (termed the willyama Metamorphism by Binns, 1964) was followed by various types of retrogression. Intense retrogressive metamorphism has occurred along narrow zones, but of more importance in the Mt. Robe District is a less intense and more widespread retrogression. The last type of retrogression tends to destroy the foliated fabric of the high grade rocks.

It is not difficult to establish the existence of a large scale fold of the
type described by Andrews (1922) and King and Thomson (1953) in the Mt. Robe District. Nor is it very difficult to prove that this fold has a relatively shallow plunge in a south-west direction. However, a sampling of the fabric. of the schists as observed in continuous outcrops and hand specimens (i.e. at the mesoscopic scale of Weiss, 1959), shows a surprising diversity of small scale folds and other structures (foliation and lineation etc.); the complexity of the mesoscopic fabric is difficult to reconcile with the apparent simplicity of the Mt. Robe Syncline. As many as three lineations can be observed on some schistosity surfaces. So far the microscopic and mesoscopic fabric have been largely ignored in assessing the structural history of the Willyama Complex. However, the fabrics at both scales have much information to offer and a proper understanding of the tectonic history must include these structures. The microscopicmesoscopic fabric also provides a convenient framework for investigating the metamorphic history of the area. Correlation of the metamorphic and tectonic history of the area is attempted in Chapters 5,6. Acknowledgements
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THE MICROSCOPIC AND MESOSCOPIC FABRIC OF THE METAMORPHIC ROCKS

### 2.10 Introduction

In this and the following Chapter the fabric of the metamorphic rocks as observed in thin sections, hand specimens and single continuous outcrops is described. At the same time definitions and symbols are given for the various structural elements that are important in the geometrical analysis of the area (Chapter 4). The description is divided into three parts, each part of which corresponds to a group of mesoscopic folds of a distinctive style. 2.20 Definition and description of $S_{2} S_{1}, L_{1}$

The symbol $S$ will be used to denote the surface between two layers of different composition and grainsize at the mesoscopic scale. Layers in the metamorphic rocks which are laterally continuous for more than a few centimetres seldom have a thickness of less than two millimetres. The upper limit for the continuity and thickness of layers whose interfaces define $S$ is set only by the limit of continuous outcrop. A microscopic compositional layering, (to be referred to as metamorphic lamination) visible in polished hand specimens (at low magnifications) or in thin sections is not included in the definition of $S$ and will be discussed separately below. The metamorphic 1
laminations are much finer than the minimum dimensions of layers used to define $S$.

When the surface $S$ results from the contact of quartz-feldspar rocks or quartzites against schists, the ultimate nature of the surface is probably bedding, although there is no direct evidence (such as sedimentary structures or conglomerate layers) to confirm this. Where $S$ is an amphibolite versus schist contact the nature of the surface is less obvious and interpretation depends on both structural and petrological arguments.

In referring to this surface the term litholo gical layering is to be preferred to bedding, partially because of the lack of evidence noted above.

In adidition, in the following pages almost complete transposition of this surface during deformation is demonstrated, nullifying many of the stratigraphic concepts intrinsic in the term bedding (Turner and weiss, 1963. p.92). The nature of $S$ now mainly reflects the effects of the transposition and it is only with the greatest difficulty that anything can be inferred of the disposition and nature of stratigraphic units before deformation.
$S_{1}$ is a foliation* defined in the schists by the average preforred orientation of tabular (parallol to \{001\}) grains of mica; the term schistosity refers explicitly to this surface. Other features which serve to emphasise, but not to define $S_{1}$ are:
(1). Preferred orientation of quartz and feldspar grain boundaries in some schists - especially those rich in micas.
(2). Netamorphic lamination.

The intersection of $S$ and $S_{1}$ produces the lineation $L$ which appears as a banding on the $S_{1}$ surface.

Where retrogressive metamorphism or later deformation have not strongly altered the schists, a well developed lineation $L_{2}$, which can be identified with the same deformation that formed $S_{1}$, can be found. In andalusite schists $L_{1}$ appears as a"mineral streaking" on the $S_{1}$ surface, whereas in sillimanite schists, in addition to the mineral streaking, $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ is also represented by a preferred orientation of cylindrical aggregates of sillimanite. As a general rule andalusite schists are well foliated and weakly lineated, whereas the fabrics of many sillimanite schists are almost totally composod of linear olements.
$S_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are possibly the most important structural elements in the area, *Note that, by definition foliation refers only to surfaces believed to be of motamorohic and tectonic origin (Murner and Weiss, 1963, p.98). The definitm ions and names of structural elements used in this thesis are in accord with suggestions made by Turner and Weiss (1963).
as one or both are present in nearly every outcrop of schists. In micarich schists $S$ is penetrative on all scales; where the schists are composed 1 of laminae of quartz (with little or no mica) and micaceous layers, there is a penetrative repetition of these layers, and hence the preferred orientation of the micas, at all but the finest microscopic scale. 3 in hand specimen (except where affected by later deformation) is a more or less planar surface of parting, but on a finer scale $S$ often has a distinctly "platey" 1 aspect. For the remainder of this section the discussion is confined to well foliated schists; rodded sillimanite schists will be dealt with separately in the next section.

The nature of the $S$ fabric at a microscopic scale is shown in Fig. 2.1 in sections parallel and normal to the lineation $L$ and normal to $S$ taken from a well foliated and lineated quartz-muscovite schist. A distinct and systematic variation in $S_{1}$ can be seen in the section normal to $I_{2}$, whereas $S$ is essentially homogeneous in the section parallel to $L$. The style is 1 2 slightly different in micaceous layers with little or no quartz. Two trends in the orientation of the micas are clearly discernible (in sections normal to I ) but the micas are not organised in trains as above. In either case, plots of 2 the orientation of the $\{001\}$ cleavago of the muscovite are asymmetric*(Fig. 2.2), confirming the visual impression that micas of one orientation predominate. Another important aspect of many $S$ tectonites is the concentration of quartz (and in other specimens feldspar) into rod-like aggregates or lenticular, prismatic laminae (referred to above as metamorphic

* Specimen numbers refer to specimens submitted to the collection of the Geolozy Departmont, Sydney Univeristy. References to localities are given by reference to the coordinate systom on Map 1 (back pocket thesis). The first five digits give the distance west of zero.
** ill data are plotted on the lower hemisphere of an equal area net, unless otherwise noted.



## FIGUSE 2.1

Styio of $S_{1}$ in a quartz-mica schist:
(a) in a section normal to the foliation and lineation. The mean trend of $S_{1}$ is suggested by the laree arrow,
(b) in a section normal to the foliation and parallel to the lineation.

Dashed lines represent the trace of the basal cleavage of muscovito in individual ilakes on the plane of the section (Details dram on an enjajed photograph. Spec. from Locatior. 22300 123400).


## FIGUES 2.2

Preierrea oriontation of the $\{001\}$ cleavage of micas in two schists:
(a). 100 poles to $\{001\}$ from the specimen illustrated in Fig. 2.1. (Contours, 25, 20, 4, 1\% per 1\% area).
(b). 125 poles to $\{001\}$ of biotite from specimen 28350 , in which micus of difforont orientations aro sproad unifomly throughout tho rock (con. 50, 33, 25, $1 \%$ per $1 \%$ area).

The data in, both cases aro derived from two sections normal to the foljation (one also normal to $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ ). Examination of a third section parallol to the Soliation revealed no measurable micas. Both plots have monoclinic symutry, with the single plane of symetry nomal to $L_{2}$.
lamination). The optimum development of this type of fabric is in mica-rich schists; but it is one of the most obvious aspects of the fabric of most samples of schists. The shape of the aggregates can be deduced from the two sections in Fig. 2.3. The maximum dimension of the rods or laminae is parallel to $L_{2}$, while the mininum dimension is perpendicular to both $I_{2}$ and $S_{1}$. The distinction between rods and laminae is quite abritrary and depends only on the relative dimensions normal and parallel to $S_{1}$. The laminae have absolute dimensions less than 1 cm . (parallel to $S_{1}$ ) by 2 mm . (thick) and there is a discontinuity in sizes between the laminae and fine compositional layering (S).

The bulk lithology and the relative distribution of quartz and mica are important in determining the fabric of the schists. Rods are prominent in schists in which the ratio of quartz and feldspar to mica is equal to, or greater than, one to one, provided the micas are differentiated into distinct laminae. However, micas in some very quartz and/or feldspar rich schists (quartz-feldspar to mica ratio equals 4:1) are isolated along the grain boundaries of the quartz and feldspar grains. Rodding is absent in these schist but laminae are dominant in mica schists in which the quartz-feldspar to mica ratio is less than one to one. Rods are associated with a maximum variation in the trend of $S_{1}$ from point to point and the laminae with a minimum variation of $S_{1}$, and hence to some extent the two elements are mutually exclusive. The mineral streaking and $I_{2}$ is a complex lineation formed from a number of elements:
(1). the principal element is the rod-like aggregates described above,
(2), the intersection of micas of one orientation on a parting surface


FIGURE 2.3 imposed on enlarged photograph).
predominantly parallel to micas of another oriontation. This produces a fine streaking on the broken surface,
(3), the average dimensional elongation of mica porphyroblasts (mainly biotite, Fig. 2.3), rods of sillimanite (seo below), and occasionally prisms of andalusite. 'The extent of preferred oriontation of the c-axes of andalusite porphyroblasts is variable - except that in most schists the c-axes tend to lie preferentially in the $S$ surface. However, the arrangement in $S$ may be either haphazard or strongly aligned parallel to the other elements of L. It is only rarely that the andalusite lacks any preferred orientation. 2

The lineations defined by each of the above elements separately are all parallel. A specimen of a well foliated and lineated schist is illustrated in Fig. 2.4, Plate 1.
2.21 Rodded sillimanite schists

The fabric of a typical rodded sillimanite schist can be seen in Fig. 2.5, Plate 1. The exposed surface is a crude $S$ surface, within which are scattered 1 cylindrical aggregates of fibrous sillimanite. The cylinders have a mean diameter of $1-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. and a length of $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. , and occasionally bifurcate.

The fabric of the rodded schists is dominated by linear elements. Rods of quartz and plagioclase, parallel to sillimanite rods, are prominent. Trains of muscovite and biotite diverge about both types of rods and where two trains meet, form linear concentrations of mica. Metamorphic laminae of biotite are found in biotite-rich varieties of the schists, but otherwise laminae are lacking.
$2.30 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{\mathrm{S}_{1}}$ folds in schists
The first recognisable generation of mesoscopic folds in $S$ have $S$ parallel to their axial surfaces, and may be symbolised (Weiss and

## FIGURE 2.4 (PIATE 1)

Specimen of a well foliated, lineated quartz-muscovite-biotite
andalusite schist from exposures in the creek approximately 200 yards east of the Black Prince Mine. The lineation $L_{2}$, is visible as a faint streaking parallel to the vertical edges of the photograph. L lineation in the andalusite schists or quartz-muscovite schists is seldom better developed than in the sample illustrated.
(Lens cap is two inches in diameter)


## FIGURE 2.5 (PIATE 1)

Well rodded quartz-muscovite-biotite-sillimanite schist, collected in
the creek below the main adit of the Mt. Robe Mine. The cylindrical aggregates of sillimanite are white and oriented parallel to the pencil. Note the dark coloured aggregate of biotite with minor muscovite and quartz in most rods (especially in the aggregate at the right end of the pencil). A rod bifurcating can be seen just to the right, and slightly above the line, of the pencil.
(The pencil is approximately six inches long)


Turner, 1963, p.131) as $B_{S}{ }_{1}$ folds. The symbol $B_{i}$ will be used as an equivalent to $B_{S}^{S_{1}}$ *.

Representative examples of the styles of $B$ folds are illustrated in 1 Fig. 2.6. The complete folds all have the same basic style with one limb virtually parallel to $S$ and the other limb gradually curving away from the 1 hinge region to an orientation close to $S$. Minor folds near the hinge of a larger fold may have both limbs at an angle to $S$ (Figs. 2.6, a, c).

Some features of the folds are:
(1). Quartz and feldspar rich units more than a few centimetres thick in the schists have a prominent fracture cleavage parallel to $S$ in the hinge region of the folds and are strongly jointed elsewhere (Fig. 2.6f). The formation of mullions of quartaite parallel to the fold axis and the $L_{2}$ lineation in the schists is common (Fig. 2.6a). Fragmentation of the quartzite unit by penetration of the schists between the mullions and along fracture cleavage planes in the mullions (Fig. 2.7) with irregular warping in the vicinity of the mullions is also common.
(2). Layers may merge together in the limb parallel to $S$ of folds in the micaceous schists to produce a new layering parallel to $S$ (Fig. 2.6b). Alternatively, individual layers may lose their continuity by separating into lenses parallel to $S$ (Fig. 2.8). Although the individual lenses in Fig. 2.8 1 are parallel to $S_{1}$, the total array of lenses is slightly oblique to $S_{1}$.
(3). The style of $B$ folds can vary considerably along the axial surface in 1
a direction normal to the fold axis (Fig. 2.6, 2.9). This is a characteristic of concentric folds (Turner and Weiss, 1963, p.470). However

## *

The symbol $B_{s}^{S_{1}}$ is read as; a fold in the surface $S$, the axial surface of which is $S_{1}$. In later generations of folds it is convenient to have a symbol to designate all the folds in different surfages that have the same axial surface. Thus B (Chapter 3) is the group $B_{s}^{3_{4}}, B_{s_{1}}^{s_{4}}, B_{s_{4}}^{s_{4}}$, and $B_{s_{3}}^{s_{4}}$. In the first generation of folds $B_{1}$, contains only $B_{S}^{s_{1}}$ folds. Note that $B_{1} S_{3}^{3} S_{1}$ as axial surface, $B_{2}$ has $S_{2}, B_{3}$ has $S_{3}$ etc.

## FICJM 2.6

The ityio of mesoscopic $E_{3}^{3}$ foldis (as seen in section romal to the fold axes; $S$ shown in micacecus rocks as a dashed line):
(aj. in quartzite layors in mica schists at Loc. 27500104000; note the formation of the detached mullions on the right hand side (sketcin). (b), in a biotitomuscovitc-quartz scinist from itt. Eranks (Loc. 3040037h00, drawn from a polishod slab: biotite layors black).
(c), in laycred calc-silicato, eastem side of Mt. Enanks (enawn Fom photograjiz of thin section).
(d), in quartz-plagioclase layers in mica schist at Loc. 35100134700. The pegratite vein is located alone a glide surface disrupting the fold. There is no layering in the schists to the right of the vein (drawn froz photograph). (c), in biotite schist (dashed) and quartz-plagioclase rook (stiplod) at Loc. 36300131900.
(f)(e), in quartz-plagioclase layors in mica schists at Loc. 30700133300. The "roctless" fold (E) is slightly wapped about a set of kink bands fomed during a lator deformation.

(i)



## FIGURE 2.7

(a) Section through a hand specimen containing quartzite mullions from Loc. 27500104000. Section is normal to the axes of the mullions. Note how $S$ (dashed) penetrates the mullions and deviates from its homogeneous orient1 ation near the mullions (Traced from rock surface, actual scale)
(b) Schistosity swinging around the termination of a quartz-plagioclase layer. Section is normal to $L_{1}$ (Sketch, approx. actual scale; Loc. 36300131900


FIGURE 2.8
Details of a $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{S}}$ fold in specimen 28350 (Loc. 53400107000 ) a quartzS plagioclase-biotite schist. The fold is disrupted by a glide surface that is nearly parallel to $S$. Patches of pegmatite are concentrated along the glide 1 surface. The fold is outlined by a number of biotite-rich layers (black) and in one limb the layers are broken up into a series of lenses that trend across $S$ at a low angle. $L$ is at an angle to $L$ in this specimen (see text) and $1 \quad 2 \quad 1$ homogeneous throughout the specimen proving there is no rotational movement on the glide surface (section normal to fold axes, natural scale).
individual units are thickened (measured normal to $S$ ) in the hinge areas of the folds and attenuated in both limbs, so that the folds also have the charactor of similar folds.
(4). The continuity of $B$ folds is often disrupted by a glide surface 1 (or series of glide surfaces) sub-parallel to $S$ (Figs. 2.6, 2.8). 1 The glide surface is often the site of a pegmatite dyke. Bulges in the pegmatite dyke are accompanied by slight warping of $S_{1}$.

A very common structure in outcrops of schists corresponds with the upper part of the fold in Fig. 2.6, in which $S$ changes slightly in trend before terminating abruptly. The closure in the lower part of Fig. 2.6 d is obvious, but the origin of the structure is not obvious in many outcrops.

The glide surfaces pre-date the conclusion of high grade metamorphism (Cnapter 5), and post-date the initial stages of B folding. Thus, from the relationship between the high grade metamorphism and $B_{1}$ folding deduced in Chaptor 5, the glide surfaces are probably an integral part of $B$ folding.

Two Inncations ( $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ ) at an angle of $50^{\circ}$ are present on the $S_{1}$ surfaces of the specimen illustrated in Fig. 2.8. These two lineations, and the fold axes in the specimen are still parallel on either side of the glide surface that traverses the specimen, so that there has been no rotational movement on the glide surface. There is a large component of displacement in a direction normal to the fold axes (in S ) in most instances but it is impossible to determine if this has been accompanied by a component of displacement parallel to the fold axes.

Further details of the relationship between $S, S$ and $B$ folds are revealed on the slopes of Mt. Franks. $S$, defined by thin units of quartz-biotite
schist, swings continuously across the northern and north-eastern slopes of the mountain (Chapter 4) and intersects $S$ over a whole range of angles. One of three different fabrics are found in the delicate layers ( $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick) that are abundant within single units of the quartz-biotite schist: (1). The internal layers are parallel to the extemal contact between the quartz-biotite schists and the andalusite schists, (2). The internal layers are intensely folded into $B_{S}$ folds which are cut by numerous glide surfaces sub-parallel to $S_{1}$ (Fig. 2.9. Plate 1). The nature of the folding changes rapidly from point to point in the type of fabric illustrated in Fig. 2.9. Plate 1. For example, in the upper left hand corner the folds are isoclinal, and many individual layers in the limbs of the folds are discontinuous. Except for fold closures the layering in such an area is parallel to $S_{1}$. In the centre portion of the plate, the folds are more open. and individual layers are more continuous.

The contact of the quartz-biotite schist in the outcrop in Fig. 2.9, Plate 1 is nearly planar and free of mesoscopic B.folds. However, this is not always. 1 the case and the external contact may be deformed in a similar manner (Fig. 2.10) (3). The internal layering is almost exclusively parallel to $S$ except for isolated closures of isoclinal, and often rootless, $B_{S}{ }_{S}$ folds. Commonly the external contact in these circumstances has the form shown in Fig. 2.10, but may be undeformed.

One other feature of the fabric at Mt. Franks is the presence of structures that resemble current bedding in the internal layering of the quartz-biotite schists (this type of structure is especially abundant on the eastern buttress of the mountain). However the surface of contact between the two sets of


## FIGURE 2.9 (PLATE 1)

${ }^{5} 1$
${ }_{S_{S}}^{S^{1}}$ folds in a quartz-muscovite-biotitemplagioclase schist at Mit. Franks (Loc. No. 36400 87400). The folds are outlined by alternate layers rich in plagioclase or biotite. The contact of the above unit with quartz-muscoviteandalusite schists slants (to the left) across the top of the photograph, and is unfolded at the mesoscopic scale (the match is 2 inches long).


FICURE 2:10 (a,b) Contact between andalusite schist (dashed parallel to $S_{1} j$ and quartz-muscovitembiotito schist (stipled);
(a) at Loc. 3640087400 . The sclid lines represent biotitu-rich laminae in the quartz-muscovite-biotite schist. The contact is sliced by several Glide surfaces sub-parallel to $S_{1}$ (Sketch; half scale). (b) at Loc. 3760037400 . $S_{4}$ is a suricace fomed during a later deformation (Chapter 3; details superimposed on a photograph; scale shown).
laminae always seems to be sub-parallel to $S$ and not $S$. This suggests that the structure is due to the bringing together of different portions of $B$ folds along glide surfaces (See Fig. 2.8). No structures that can be classified as sedimentary structures have been found at Mt. Franks or elsewhere within the mapped area.

In one respect the outcrops at Mt. Franks are atypical. Elsewhere in the area, except for isolated outcrops containing $B_{S}{ }^{1}$ folds, the typical mesoscopic fab ric has $S$ parallel to $S$. This is true whether $S$ is defined by laminae a few millimetres thick or units. Mt. Franks is the only area (within the mapped area) in which $S$ intersects $S$ consistently in outcrops over an area of more than a few hundred square feet (as compared to 1500 square yards at Mt. Franks). The paucity of $B$ folds at the mesoscopic scale is well illustrated by the strip 1 along the eastern edge of the Mt. Robe Pegmatite Stock from the Black Prince to the Golden Crest Group of mines, in which fewer than a dozen outcrops contain clearly visible $S-S_{1}$ intersections. However, microscopic fold hooks are fairly abundant in the quartz-schists of this area.

It appears from the material above that the typical mesoscopic fabric with $S$ sensibly parallel to $S$ - is the result of transposition* of $S$ during $B$ 1 . 1 folding. The three different fabrics at Mt. Franks (1-3 on the preceeding page) could well represent successive stages in the evolution of the transposed fabric. Over most of the area transposition (at the mesosco pic scale) is so effective as to produce a fabric resembling "bedding-plane schistosity" However the persistence of fold hooks (or rootless folds), the occasional intersection of $S$ and $S$ against glide surfaces, the presence of a systematic group 1 of folds transposing $S$ and the widespread intersection of $S$ and $S$ at Mt. Franks 1
leave no doubt that $S$ is not a "bedding-plane" schistosity. At the commencement 1
*
Murner and Weiss, 1964, p.92.
of $B$ folding $S$ was at some angle to the final orientation of the imposed 1 surface $S_{1}$. The importance of recognising the almost complete transposition of $S$ at the mesoscopic scale cannot be overemphasised, as this governs the whole approach to the analysis of the macroscopic fabric (Chapter 4). 2.31. The mesoscopic fabric of the amphibolites

Thin units of amphibolite (less than $20^{\prime}$ thick) are not present in the mapped area, which combined with the scarcity of internal layering, makes the amphibolites much less productive than the schists for the analysis of the mesoscopic fabric.

The question of whether the contact of the amphibolites (at the mesoscopic scale) has the same geometric significance as lithilogical layering in the schists presents immediate difficulties. The amphibolites might have resulted from the metamorphism of either basic igneous or sedimentary rocks (including basic tufis or calcareous rocks). Unfortunately neither the chemistrynor the petrography of the amphibolites allows any clear distinction between these possibilities (Chapter 5). Clearly if the amphibolites were derived from intrusive basic igneous rocks which cut partially or wholly across the bedding of the sediments, then it cannot be equated with $S$ in the schists in the macroscopic analysis..

The amphibolite-schist contacts observed in continuous outcrop are locally planar and no examples of irregular projections of the amphibolite into the schist (or vica-versa) have been found. Without exceptions the contact is parallel to $S$, transposed or untransposed, in the contiguous schists. Generally the amphibolite contact is parallel to $S$ in the schists; the only exceptionsto 1 this occur in the hinges of regular macroscopic folds which have $S$ as axial surface (Chapter 4). Whesever it is observed at the mesoscopic scale the schist.
amphioolite contact is equivalent to $S$ in the schists.
$S$ in the amphibolites is a Foliation defined mainly by thin ( $<2 \mathrm{mra}$.), 1 discontinuous laminae of hornblende versus plagioclase (and sometimes quartz). This fabric is very similar in sytle to the metamorphic lanination in the schists. In some amphibolites which lack a lineation, $S_{1}$ is also a "surface of splitting" due to the preferred orientation of the c-axes of homblende randomly in the $S$ surface. The foliation in the amphibolites near the margin 1 is always parallel to $S$ in adjacent schists. Where internal layering in the amphibolites cut $S_{\mathcal{f}}$, structures which are reminiscent of transposition structures in the schists are found (Fig. 2.11).

The preferred oxientation of the c-axes of hornblende grains (which is accompanied by dimensional orientation of the grains) in the amphibolite gives rise to a lineation. This arrangement of the hornblende grains is more common than the preferred orientation (in S ) mentioned above. Again the reason for rogarding this lineation as equivalent to $L$ in the schists must be deforred until Cnapter 4. Often $I_{2}$ in the amphibolite must be carerully distinguished from
a "faise" lineation that is observed on a joint surface that intersects $S$ at a very acute angle. The joint surface is oriented so closely to $S$, that the improssion can easily be created that the lineation is being observed on $S$. The lineation, which appears as a stroaking on the joint surface, is duo to the intersection of $S$ with the joint. 1
2. 22 The mesosconic fabric of the calc-silicate rocks

The thicker units of calc-silicate rocks are always strongly laminated with laminae less than 2 cm . thick. The laminae are discontinuous over distances of
more than 20-30 cm. (usually less) and are of ten highly irregular in detail, particularly in the vicinity of quartz aggregates in the calc-silicates. Only one outcrop of calc-silicates (at Mt. Franks, Fig. 2.6) contains recognisable folds. These are almost certainly the same as $B_{S}{ }^{1}$ folds in the schists; at this outcrop the axial surfaces of the folds are parallel to $S$ in the schists. Elsewhere the layering in the calc-silicates is parallel to $S$ in the adjacent schists 2. 33 Boudinage structures of calc-silicate rocks in the schist

A type of boudinage structure of thin layers ( $<1$ foot) of calc-silicate rocks in the schists is often encountered. The usual form is a boudin with a shape. approximating to a prolate ellipsoid. The shape departs from that of a perfect ellipsoid in three respects; (i) the extremities (Fig. 2.12, 2.13) are not enclosed by a continuously curved surface; (ii) in some forms, as in Fig. 2.13, the extremities tend to be cylindrical rather than ellipsoidal; (iii), few aro elliptical or nearly circular cylinders, rather than ellipsoids. Fowever, the approximation to an ellipsoid of most specimens is sufficient to wamrant tho use of the term.

The boudins are invariably oriented with their major and intermediate oxes in $S$ and their minor axis perpendicular to $S$ in the enclosing schists. The mejor 1 1 axis also parallels $S$ in the schists and the mean trend of a faint lineation which is occasionally present on the external surface of the ellopscia. The absolute dimensions of the axes are variable; major axes as lone as six foet have been found, but three to four fect is more common. The intermediate axis ranges from 0.5 feet to 3 feet and the minor axis from 0.5 feet to 1.5 feet. It. is seldom that the complete length of all three axes can be measured simultaneousiy. The ratio of the three axes is only known in a few instancos, but considewation of these alone indicatos the lack of a consistent ratio.

The ellipsoids commonly break on a joint surface perpendicular to the major axis. This section and exposure surfaces through the cllipsoids nlwors roveal.
the prosence of corpositional layering, which is entirely closed in two dimensions. The central layers are completely closed surfaces in three dimensions, as can be seen very clearly in Fig. 2.14, which is a roconstruction of the layers exposed in the ellipsoid of Plate 1, Fig. 2.13. The inner layers are spherical while the outer layers successively approximate to the general shape of the ellipsoid. All layers in some specimens are ellipsoidal. Often the outmost layers that extend to the oxtremities of the ellipsoid are not closed, but instead form a layered cylinder.

If biotite mica is present in any of the layers it has a preferred orientation of $\{001\}$ parallel to $S$ in the schists. The intersection of this 1 foliation with the external surface of the ellipsoid produces the lineation mentioned previously. (This lineation of course will be more or less curved doperding on the shape of the ellipsoid.)

The ellipsoids occur in concentrations which often form cmade layers within which the individual ellipsoids all have a common orientation. The layers extend in the same direction as the intermediate axis of each ellipsoid and parailel to $S$ and transposed $S$ in the schists. How extensive the layors are 1 parallel to the major axes of the ellipsoids is not known. A few examples have been found of a transition between continuous layers of calc-silicate rocks and layers of ellipsoids.

It is proposed that the ellipsoids were formed during B folding by the dissociation of continuous sheets of calc-silicate rock into boudins. The symmetrical relationship of the boudins to $I_{2}$ and $S_{1}$ and their disposition in layers all support this conclusion. The schistosity of the enclosing schists curvos around the ellipsoids, but has the same micro-structure and fabric as schists at some distance from the boudins, so that the ellipsoids


## FIGURE 2.12

Details of a boudin excavated from schists south of the Black Prince Shafts (Loc. 25700102600). Bothe ends of the boudin are broken on a joint that slants at an angle across the boudin. No boudin was found beneath the bottom end of the boudin extracted and it appears that the outermost layers are not closed. The boudin is 23 inches long, 9 inches wide and 5 inches thick.

## FIGURE 2.13 (PLATE 1)

Layer of ellipsoids exposed in a small creek near the north-eastern extremity of the area (see next figure for locality co-ordinates). The form of layering in the ellipsoid in the bottom right corner of the photograph is beautifully exposed; a reconstruction of the layers of this ellipsoid is given in the following figure. The layer of ellipsoids, in which four other ellipsoids are visible, passes from the bottom-right hand corner of the plate to the middle-upper left corner. A fifth ellipsoid is obscured by grass in the centre of the plate.



## FIGURE 2.14

(a) Exploded viow of the ellipsoid in the lower right-hand corner of the plate in the preceeding figure. The half-length of the ellipsoid is 2.1 feet (Loc. 28500132100 ).
(b) Boudinage developing in the planar limb of a fold as illustrated by Cloos (1947, Fig. 13).
must have had their present shape at the conclusion of the metamorphism and desornation associated with $B$ folding (Cnapter 5). The ellipsoids are 1
also defomed by a phase of folding that post-dates 3 folds (Fig. 2.15).
The ellipsoids are broady similar in cross section to boudins described by Cloos (1947, Figs. 12, 13, and 16), de Sitter (1960, p.87-88) and Rambery (1955); however, there is apparently no change of shape along the length of any of the boudins in the examples quoted. The boudins are restricted to the calc-silicates, whose initial composition was probably that of an impure limestone, and are apparently the response of this particular rock type to 3 folding. A similar restriction of boudinage to calcium rich rocks has been noted by Ramberg (1955).

The initial shape suggested for the transformation to an ellipsoid is: (i) a continuous sheet; examples of a transformation of this type are figured by Cloos (1947, Fig. 13; this text, Fig. 2.14b), (ii) a prism, bounded by suriaces of layers and joint surfaces. Either of the transformations suggested for the formation of the ellipsoids involves an inhomogeneous strain. The ellipsoids, although similar in shape, are not strain ellipsoids for $B$ folding, since the former are only sensible for 1
homogeneous deformation of a sphere. In any case, evaluation of strain requires knowledge of the absolute and relative dimensions of a body before and after deformation. Even if the shapes of the layers that yielded the ellipscids were known with certainty, there is no way of determining the originai dimensions of these layers, or the effects of volume changes during metamorphism. Any statement concemine strain can only be a tentative deduction based on information from other sources.

The boudins are comparable in shape to boudins produced in extension


## FIGUZE 2.15

Shotch of an obliquo section throush a boudin exposod in Eldee croek (10c. 52200107100). The boudin is defomod on the botton lefthand side by a fold that, in the schists, has kink bands (S ) parallel to its axial suriace. The iola belongs to the iourti ceneration of folds ( $B_{S_{1}}$ fold, conpter 3). The budin is 16 inches from top to bottom in the exposed section. (boudin, stioled; schists, dashed parallel to trace of $s$ )
experirents by Grigss and Handin (1900, Plates 9 and 10). Griggs and Handin extendod cylindors of dolomite onclosed within cylinders of marble by the application of a uniaxial stress field. Prominent necking occurred in specimens deformed at $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2000 and 5000 bars), with flow of the marble into the neck rezion. The rosultant structure is very similar to the cylindrical termination of the ellipsoidal boudins. Quartzite rods enclosed within Yule Marble and extended under similar conditions failed to form boudins similar to the dolomite. In this rospect the differing reactions of the quartzite and dolomite are comparable with the behaviour of quartzite and calc-silicates at Broken Hill.

Ramberg (1955) also produced structures similar to boudinage experimentally, by extension parallel to the axis of the boudin.

Comparison of the experinental and natural boudins renders it likely that the boudins are the result of extension parallel to the major axis of the ellipsoid. The presence of a well developed joint normal to this axis, especially in the cylindrical extremities of the ellipsoids tends to support this conclusion. The tendency for ellipsoids to separate slightly within a layer normal to the major axis, combined with fragmentation of continuous layers into ellipsoids may indicate extension in the $S$ surface normal to the major axis of the ellipsoids; the glide surfaces dismupting $B_{S}{ }^{1}$ folds are compatible with extension in this direction.

$I_{2}$ is visibly parallel to the axes of mesoscopic $B_{S}{ }^{1}$ folds in some occurrences. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{S}_{1}$, in many instances there is a clearly discermible angle between $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ and $3_{S}^{S_{1}}$ and/or L. Two examples are:
(1). Numerous mesoscopic $B_{S}^{S 1}$ folds in an area of several hundred square yards just to the south of the exit of Little Aller Cceek onto the Mundi Nundi Plain have their axes at an angle to $\mathrm{I}_{2}$. The iolds are in thin quartz-schist and quartzite horizons in rodded sillimanite schists in which $S$ is visible albeit poorly developed. There is no trace of later deformation 1 in the area from which data were collected.

The geometry of the area is summarised in Fig. 2.16. $S_{1}$ has a fairly constant dip of $35-194^{\circ}$, and $I$ lineations are distributed very slightly in the average $S_{1}$ surface. One fold axis coincides with the cluster of $L_{2}$ lineations, but the other axes are at an average angle of $80^{\circ}$ to the lineations.
(2). The second example is a single hand specimen of a $B_{S}^{S_{1}}$ iold (the fold illustrated in Fig. 2.8) in a quartz-plagioclase-biotite schist. $L_{2}$ is represented by the trace of $S$ on the $S$ surface and, of geometrical necossity, 1 parallels the fold axes. $L_{2}$, a very fine mineral streaking on $S_{1}$, accompanied by alignment of biotite flakes, is at an angle of $50^{\circ}$ to $L_{1}$. Again there are no traces of superposed deformation (and $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and $E_{S}$ are homogeneous throughout the spocimen).

Further examples of divergence between $B_{S}^{S_{1}}$ and $L_{2}$ can be found in the rodded sillimanite schists in the valley bounding the western side of Mt. Robe. A marked discrepancy and variable angle between $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ also occurs in andalusite to the north and north-east of Mt. Franks (around loc. 34500085000 ), but there are no definite $B_{S}{ }_{S}$ folds in this area.

The lineation $L_{2}$ is an integral part of the foliation $S_{1}$, and it follows from the transposition of $S$ to parallel $S_{1}$ in the $B_{S}{ }_{S}$ folds, that $B_{S} S_{1}, L_{1}$, $L_{2}$, and $S_{1}$ are all genetically related to the deformation that created $B_{S} S_{1}$ folds.


## FIGURE 2.16

Angle between $B_{S}^{S 1}$ and $I_{2}$ at locality 45700126500 (poles to $S_{1}$, dots; $L_{2}$ lineations, squares; $B_{S} S_{1}$, crosses). Data from an area approximately $100 \times 100$ yards square of continuous outcrop. See text for description.

Also the metamorphic laminae are part of the schistosity and the maximum continuity of the laminae, like that of the rods to which they are transitional, is always coincident with $L$. This remains true whether $L$ is or is not parallel to $I$. Hence the metamorphic laminae are not the result of 1 transposition of finc layering in the original rocks, since in these circumstances the direction of maximum continuity of the laminae would have to parallel $B_{S}{ }_{S}$. A similar argument applies to the orientation of sillimanite rods in sillimanite schists.

It is unfortunate that no calc-silicate boudins have been found in areas where $I$ and $I$ are know to intersect. At the locality south of hundi dundi 12 Wreek nentioned above, mullions in the quartzite horizons are oriented parallel to $L$; presumably the ellipsoids would be oriented in a similar fashion. The 1 pattern of layering in the ellipsoids in these circumstances might provide interesting information about its origin.

Paterson and Weiss (1961) have suggested that it is possible to sort the various structural elements of a deformed rock into inherited, composite, and imposed elements. Thus in $B$ tectonites the fabric elements $S$ and $L$ are possibly imposed elements (related only to the deformation); ${ }^{2}{ }^{1} S_{S} S_{1}$ and $L_{1}$ are composite elements due to the interaction of the imposed elements and the surface $S$ inherited from the undeformed rock. The ellipsoids and quartz mullions can 1 be classed as composite elements. One other useful imposed element that can be investigated is the preferred orientation of quartz.
2.35 Qartz sub-fabrics and discussion

The preferred orientation of $\{0001\}$ in quartz is shown in Figs. 2.17 and 2.18. The data for each specimen are from measurements in three sections at right
angles to each other, and are projected on the plane normal to $L_{2}$ (oxcert in Fiz. 2.18). The main features of the diagrans are reproduced by partial diagrams containing 100-150 grains. $L_{2}$ is sensibly parallel to $L$ in all the specimons for which rojults are given in Fig. 2.17. Tho diacrams in Fig. 2.17 have imperfect orthorhomoic symotry ( $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ ). One symmetry planc is normal to $L_{2}$, a second plano is nomal to mesoscopic foliation and contains $L$. In Fij. 2.17a, the plane of symmetry coincides with a plane definod by the maximum for the proferred orientation of $\{001\}$ of micas in this specimen (fie. 2.2a). From a visual inspection only, the same is true for the socond specimen measured (Fis. 2.170). There is a marked discrepancy betwoon tho third plane of symmetry in Fig. 2.17 e and the foliation in the vicinity of the specimen from which the measurements were obtained (a quartaite mullion). Fowever, $s$ in the immediate vicinity of the quartzite mullion is slichtly difforent to that which prevails in surrounding schists (Fig. 2.?). The orientation of the symetry plane scems to agroe with the latter.

The data for the diagram in Fit. 2.18 wore taken fron a hard spocinon (soe last section) in which $L$ is at an angle to $L_{2}$. Again the proferred oriontation pattern has orthorhombic symetry. One of the planos of symetry parallel the moan foliation plane in the enccimon (Fig. 2.18). A second symmetry plane is normal to $L$ and coincides with the single plane of symnetry in the mica sub2 fabric.

- The symetries of the various fabric eloments in 3 tectonites are: 1
(i) a syston of $B$ folds - triclinic symmetry*
(ii) foliation $S_{1}$-monoclinic ( $C_{2 h}$; symetry of the mica sub-fabric)
(iii) $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ - axial symmetry (D) $\mathrm{Dh}^{\mathrm{h}}$ )
(iv) quartz sub-fabric - orthorhombic (D ${ }_{2 n}$ )

From diagram 2.16. The styles are asymetric in profile and their axes are inconsistent althoueth $S_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are constant. There are no planes of symmetry in the fabric of 3 .


## FIGURE 2.17



Preferred orientation of c-axes of quartz in some $B_{S}{ }_{S}$ tectonites. 12 data are from three sections at right angles and are projected onto a plane nomal to $\frac{L}{2}$. In each case the primitive circle represents one plane of symmetry; the other two symmetry planes are shown by dashed lines.
(a) 651 c-axes from quartz-mica schist from Loc. 22300123400, Cont. 2, 1, $0.6,0.3 \%$ per $1 \%$ area)
(b) 450 c-axes from a rodded sillimanite schist collected several hunarod yards west of the ist. Robe Nine (Cont. 2, $1, \frac{1}{2} \%$ per $1 \%$ area)
(c) 450 c-axes from a quartzite mullion from Loc. 27500104000 (Cont. 3, 2, 1, $\frac{1}{2} \%$ per $1 \%$ area).


FIGURE 2.18

(a) Preferred orientation of c-axes of quartz in Spec. No. 28350 (Data measured from three sections at right angles; 450 grains, contours: 2, $1.4,1.2$ 1, 0.5 per $1 \%$ area).
(b) Symmetry planes (dashed lines) in diagram (a) and their relationship to $L_{1}\left(=B_{S}^{S_{1}}\right.$ ) and $L_{2}$ in the specimen. Symmetry plane normal to $L_{2}$ is also present in the mica sub-fabric (Fig. 2.2a).
where $L$ is parallel to $L$ the total fabric may be monoclinic. Thus at 2 1 locality 27500104000 , all the visible fold axes are homogeneous in orientation and parallel to $L$. However, triclinic symmetry is more general.

The use of symmetry arguments in interpreting fabrics has been set out in detail by Paterson and Weiss (1962) and again by Turner and Weiss (1963). The guiding principle in the use of symmetry arguments is - "Whe symmetry of any physical system must include those symmetry elements that are common to all the independent factors (physical fields and physical properties of the medium) that contribute to the system, and it may include additional symmetry elements; however, any symmetry elements absent in the system must also be absent in at least one of the independent contributing factors! (Paterson and Weiss, 1962, p.859). Factors which must be considered in the deformation of a body - as given by Paterson and Weiss (1962, p.876), are set out schematically below:

## External Factors

Internal
Factors
General tectonic situation
System of forces applied to
the given body of rock


Observed fabric

A fabric element of the initial fabric whose mechanical properties can significantly influence the course of deformation is said to be "kinematically active"; elements that serve only as markers, without influencing the deformation, are referred to as "kinematically passive" (Turner and Weiss, 1963, p.383). Lithological layering cannot have been entirely passive during $B$ folding. The schistosity is disturbed in the vicinity of mullions (see Fig. 2.7) and curves
around the termination of layers. In both cases the layering is exerting some influence on the final fabric. This type of behaviour is shown by quartzites.

The most important features to be considered are the discordant symmetries of the inherited sub-fabric ( $S$ ) and quartz and mica sub-fabrics, and the different symmetries of the last two sub-fabrics. We may also note one important difference between mica and quartz. For mica, preferred orientation of the lattice may be an incidental result of dimensional orientation; in this way mica, by mimetic crystallisation, may emphasise anisotropic features inherited from the original rock. Quartz is not susceptible to dimensional orientation. It is clear that the quartz and mica sub-fabrics are recording different portions of a deformation, although an examination of their mutual microstructure leaves no doubt that both minerals are the result of the same tectonic and metamorphic episode (Chapter 5).

It is doubtful that the interaction of an initial fabric containing only compositional layering could have produced the final fabric observed. (In the following discussion we shall assume a general system of stresses with orthorhombic symmetry, although more special stress systems, such as uniaxial stress, may have higher symmetry). For deformation of kinematically passive layering the stress, strain, movement picture and imposed sub-fabrics would all have concordant orthorhombic symmetry. The symmetry of the inherited sub-fabric would depend on the number of symmetry planes common to layering and stress at the commencenent of deformation. The inherited and imposed subfabrics need not be concordant or even of the same symmetry, but the quartz and mica sub-fabrics (both imposed) should both have orthorhombic symmetry. For kinematically active layering the symmetries of the imposed and inherited sub-fabrics (and the movement picture) would be concordant and of the same type
(as above, the symmetry would depend on the number of planes of symmetry common to layering and stress). This is obviously not the case.

One model that may be considered involves the transposition of a foliation present in the initial fabric. Some of the micas are now emphasising relicts of the old foliation, while the bulk are oriented in a new (transposed) foliation. The intersection of the new and old foliations is the lineation L. If the old foliation was parallel to the axial surfaces of a system of folds in layering, then $L_{1}\left(B_{S}\right)$ and $L_{2}$ would not necessarily be parallel after B folding. Indeed they would only be parallel if the new foliation inter1 sected the old in an axis parallel to the old fold axes. We may expect that if $B$ were superposed on an earlier set of folds of this type, that $L$ would 1 2 represent a relatively fixed direction and $L$ would vary. Because of folding after $B$ folding in the mapped area, it is no longer possible to test directly 1 the geographic orientation of $L$ and $L$ at the conclusion of $B$ folding. 122 However, the situations south of Little Aller Creek, west of Mt. Robe and north-east of Mt. Franks, where I now has a constant orientation (but 2 different for each area) and $L$ varies suggests that the above is the case. 1
Also, it can be inferred from the distribution of $L$ in later folds that it must have had a fairly constant orientation at the end of $B$ folding over a large portion of the mapped area (see discussion of sub-areas 5 and 14, Chapter 4).

The model of superposed folding requires that the old foliation be passive, since a kinematically active foliation would create a movement picture with monoclinic symmetry, and this would be reflected in the symmetry of the quartz sub-fabric. However, judging from the style of the final fabric, the old foliation must have been more or less penetrative. For a penetrative foliation to remain passive probably requires that the deformation ( $B$ folding) have
proceoded mainly by indirect componental movonents (e.g. diffusion), since a penetrative foliation normally inparts a distinct mechanical anisotropy to the rock. Some further evidence to support this idea is given below.

There is an alternative. Transposition has brought tho old foliation to an oricntation where, with $\mathrm{L}_{2}$, it has approximato orthorhombic aymutiy concordant with the quartz sub-fabric (as the relicts of the old foliation disappear). As the foliation approached the final stato of completo transposition, it may, if initially active, have exerted a diminishing influence on the course of the deformation. It is this final state, along with the calc-silicate boudins, that the quartz sub-fabric is recordine. Excopt for mimetic crystallisation, the mica sub-fabric would also have finished with orthorhombic symmetry.

The nodel of superposed folding also requires that layering have been kinematically passive during the deformation. Otherwise the final sub-fabrics would have symmetries concordant with the inherited sub-fabric of $S$. However, we have already noted that layering is not entirely passive. However, behaviour of this type is very local and there is no significant change in orientation or style of $S$ from areas where layers are present to adjacent 1 areas where layering is lacking. It is possible then that layering may have bee passive, particularly, as is the general case, the various layers in the schist differ little from the average bulk composition of the schists. Mullions, boudinage and formation of the parasitic folds in $B_{S} S_{1}$ folds are peculiar to quartzites and calc-silicatos and are not a general feature of layoring in the schists.

The symnetry of one other element is concordant with that of the quartz sub-fabric. Layering in the ellipsoids has overall orthorhombic symmetry $\left(D_{2 h}\right)$ (made up of all layers with orthorhombic symmetry, or central layers with spherical synmetry $K_{h}$ and outer layers with orthorhombic symmetry).

It soems that no simple process of folding can entirely account for the closed layering of the ellipsoids in three dimensions. A process that might partially account for their form is formation of isoclinal fold hinges followed by closing of the "tail" of the hinge by compression. But layers of boudins should then trend at an angle across $S$, which is contrary to the observation that they always parallel S . Moreover, there is a frequently observed pattern in the composition of the internal layers. The outer layers usually contain a higher proportion of the calc-silicate minerals (diopside, epidote, garnet) whereas the inner layers are richer in quartz. The number and order of the internal layers are variable but the above generalisation holds. It is unlikely that layering inherited from the rock prior to metamorphism and deformation could be so regular in the large number of ollipsoids scattered over the mapped area. It appears that a diffusion process, coupled to imposed stress, may have controlled the shape and compositional distribution of internal layers in the boudins. And since the internal layers conform with the shape of the external surfaces of the boudins, the diffusion process may have been an important mechanism in the actual deformation that produced the boudins.

The ellipsoids are not true imposed elements, for processes responsible for their shape have operated on a shape at least partially inherited from the initial fabric. However, if the ellipsoids developed from layers in the transposed limbs of $B$ folds, neither single ellipsoids nor a layer of ellipsoids 1
need record all of the symmetry of the inherited fabric. The initial layers from which the boudins developed, in this case, have axial symmetry and if subject to an influence with orthorhombic or higher symmetry (stress), the resultant fabric would have orthorhombic or higher symmetry. However, the total disposition of all, layers of ellipsoids, although individually they
they may paralle is, may exhibit the total syametry of Folane. Tho clippoids 1
and the quartz sub-fabrics soen to renlect the symmetry of the stress system responsible for dofomation.

The direction of movement on the gide surfaces camot be fixed, but from symmetry arguments it must bo normal on parallel to $L$. The existence of the glide suriaces argues for a monoclinic rather than an orthomowbic movemont picture, for, locally the glide surfaces may be as penetrative as 3 folds. Still the quartz sub-fabric will reflect the symmetry of strass.

In this case tho principal axes of stress for $B$ folding were orientod noral ! and parallel to schistosity with one axis coinciding with the lineation $\bar{i}$. At least in the transposed inmos of 3 folds the principal axes of steain vere oriented in a similar fashion and extension parallel to the lineation (boucinage) was an important component of strain. Extension nomal to $L$ in $S$ (irom separation of boudins) was also important. By implication the third 1 axis of strain normal to $S$ was an axis of shortening which, in turn, implies 1 that the major axis of stress may have been normal to $S_{1}$.

The most basice criterion that can be broueht against the nodel of suporposed folcint is the lack of foldod folds (by $B$ ) in the mesoscopic fabric. Given the penotrative nature of 3 folds and glide surfaces these may be difficult to dotoct, but if not directly observed, should appear as rapid varistions in the coisentation of $B_{S}{ }_{1}$ at the mesoscopic scale. No examples have been found although at a larger scale the area south of Little f.ller Creck may be an example.
$2.40 \quad B_{S}^{S}$ and $B_{S}^{S_{2}}$ folds
The surfaces $S$ and $S$ are folded and the lineation $L$ redistributed (no 1
1 2 example of folded $I$ has been found) by a second generation of folds, designated $B_{S}{ }^{S}$ or $B_{S} S_{1}$ folds for folds in $S$ and $S$ respectively. The group of all folds with $S$ as axial surface is termed $B$ folds. No example has been discovered of a combined $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{2}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S}_{1}}$ fold in which the two fold axes are not parallel; although such folds might be expected. $B_{2}$ folds are noticeably lacking in areas where $S$ intersects $S$, even' though they may be common in adjacent rocks.
$B$ folds are the least common of the mesoscopic folds and their occurrence 2 is limited to the north-west portion of the area. They are particularly common around the margins of the Aller Creek Pegmatite; bodies of schist included in the pegmatite are also commonly deformed by $B$ folds.

The style of $B$ folds is shown in Fige2.19 . The folds are often nearly isoclinal, with a difference in orientation of $S$ or $S$ of less than $10^{\circ}$ in each limb at some distance from the hinge. B folds are never penctrative and usually occur in isolation. Complicated faulting in the hinge region is also common (e.g. in $B^{S 2}$ folds exposed on the road to the adit of the Mt. Robe $S_{1}$ Mine).

The most acceptable evidence that $B$ folds post-date $B_{1}$ folds - the foldins of mesoscopic $B_{1}$ folds about a mesoscopic $B_{2}$ fold - is lacking. However other evidence suggests the relationship proposed above is correct. Single rods of sillimanite ( $L_{2}$ ) and flakes of muscovite (at lqcality 28500132200 in particular and in the specimen illustrated in Fig. 3.12c) are bent across the hinge of mesoscopic $B_{2}$ folds. The rods and the mica are both the product of a metamorphism that outlasted $B$ folding ( $K$, metamorphism, Gapter 5) and their


FIGURE 2.19
Style of $B_{S}{ }^{2}$ folds in mica schists:
(a) at Loc. 35100134700 , and (b) at Loc. 36700138300 (both drawn from photographs).

Both folds are outlined by quartz-plagioclase layers (stipled) in sillimanite schists (a) and quartzmuscovite schist. (b); both dashed parallel to the trace of $S_{1}$ ). The lower limb of (a) gradually curves away to nearly parallel the upper limb $10-12$ ' to the right of the hinge show.
deformation indicates that $B_{2}$ folds post-date both the notamorphism and 3 folding, in a separate episode of folding.
1 The redistribution of the lineation $L_{2}$ in $B_{S_{1}}{ }^{2}$ folds is unusual. The lineation, after folding, is disposed in a plane whose normal is at an angle to the fold axis (Fig. 2.20). The style of $B_{S_{1}}^{S_{2}}$ is concentric, and the kincmatic model generally conceived to account for concentric folds envisages slip on the folded surface. A lineation in the unfolded surface is distributed on the surface of a cone whose axis is concentric with the fold axis; the semi-angle of the cone is the angle between the old lineation and the fold axis (Ramsay, 1960). Redistribution of a lineation in a plane is consist. ent with slip folding to produce similar folds.

Ramsay, (1950) has investigated the effects of flexural slip folding followed by slip folding on the distribution of a lineation in the unfolded surface. On a stereographic nett, the lineation is first distributed on a small circle by the flexural slip folding and then, as slip folding proceeds, is rotated so as to plot approximately on a great circle. The final distribution may be indistinguishable from one produced by slip folding alone. It is suggested that $B$ folds have doveloped by slip on $S$ and $S$, and that as folding has proceeded the slip has approximated more closely to slip on an axial surface (as the fold became more isoclinal). It is doubtful if folds evolved in this manner could be very penetrative or regular, which seems to agree with the nature of $B$ folds.


FIGURE 2.20
Distribution of lineation $L_{2}$ in two $B_{S_{1}}$ folds. Both folds are in rodded sillimanite schist and are almost isoclinal; the lineation is represented by the long axes of sillimanito rods:
(a) fold at Locality 28500132200,
(b) fold at locality 46200125000

The angles between $B_{S_{1}}$ and the normal to the plane in which the lineations are distributed are $25^{\circ}$ and $43^{\circ}$ respectively.

## CHAPTER 3

$\frac{B}{3}$ AND B $4-$ FOLDS
3.10 Introduction

The folds classified as $B_{3}$ and ${\underset{4}{ }}_{4}$ folds are a complex group of kink folds and concentric folds which exhibit a great diversity of style and orientation. $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ folds both possess the same characteristic axial structure; a system of microscopic kink bands in the hinge region of the mesoscopic fold.
$B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ folds are distinguished from relationships observed at one single locality (see Sect. 3.26). At this locality the orientation of the kink bands, and hence the axial surfaces of the mesoscopic folds they parallel, fall into two non-overlapping groups. Folds of one orientationgroup ( $B$ ) are constantly overprinted by folds of the other group ( $B$ ). The style of both the mesoscopic folds and the microscopic kink bands of both groups ( $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ ) are identical at this locality, and $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ can only be distinguished by the orientation of their axial surfaces. Elsewhere in the area the microscopic kink bands and mesoscopic folds are variable in style, but the variation cannot be related to orientation. Rather, the style of both is dependent on the bulk lithology of the rock and the presence or absence of lithological layering. When, for example in the laboratory, specimens are divested of their geographic orientation, it is impossible to discriminate between $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ folds.

Instead of duplicating the definitions of the structural elements of $B$ and 3
$3_{4}$ folds, a single set of definitions will be given for $3_{4}$ folds; similar definitions for $B_{3}$ folds can be obtained by substituting 3 for 4 in the appropriate place.
3.20 S, I in homogeneous mica schist

In mica schist with a homogeneous composition $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ surfaces (kink surfaces)
are axial surfaces to a system of microscopic kink folds. Usually the kink folds occur in pairs sharing a common limb, thus forming a kink band, An example of a schist in which kink bands are well developed is illustrated in Fig. 3.1.

At the microscopic scale a kink surface is represented by a domain of acute flexure between the planar, or nearly planar, limbs of a kink fold. This domain is always thin compared to the width of a kink band, and most closely approximates an ideal surface in very micaceous layers. Where the structure of $S$ is less regular or a micaceous foliation is not prominent, 1 the domain representing the kink surface is a broader zone of flexure. However, when viewed in hand specimen the kink surfaces always appear as discrete surfaces. Also it is noticeable that the kink bands are less regular in rocks with poorly developed micaceous foliation compared to rocks with well developed $S_{1}$. Kink surfaces are not developed in rocks which lack a micaceous foliation, even where adjacent rocks are intensely deformed by kink bands. A fracture cleavage parallel to $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ appears in thin units of quartzoso rocks but there is no surface that can be identified with kink surfaces in the amphibolites or calc-silicates.

There is no tendency for micas to have a preferred orientation parallel to $S_{3}$ or $S_{4}$. or for transposition of $S_{1}$ parallel to $S_{3}$ or $S_{4}$. Also there is never any sign of displacement on the kink surfaces where the kink bands cross metamorphic laminae. The kink bands are symmetrical within a few degrees with respect to the angle between the kink surface and $S$, on either side.

FIGURE 3.1 (PLATE 1)
Polished hand specimen of a $\mathrm{B}_{4}$ tectonite (quartz-muscovite-andalusite schist) from outcrops on the northern slopes of Mt. Franks. The kink bands appear as dark bands passing diagonally from left to right across the photograph. $S_{2}$ in the kink bands is nearly vertical and $S_{2}$ outside the kink bands horizontal. The porphyroblasts are altered andalusite. (Section is normal to kink axes. Close to natural size)


The angle between $S_{4}$ and $S_{f}$ in each limb of a kink may vary not only with position along the trace of axial surface in a section perpendicular to $I_{4}$, but with different kinks in the same tectonite. All of the kinks illustrated in Fig. 3.2a are taken from a single specimen (3760087400) from Nit. Franks. The angles range from $20-55^{\circ}$; angles of $40-50^{\circ}$ seem to predominato in most specimens examined.

It is not as easy to determine the variability of the angle $S$ kink surface parallel to the kink axis but it appears to be much less than that perpendicular to the axis. The angle is almost constant over a distance many times the width of the kink band, except where the kink bands terminate (parailel to $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ ) by a'rapid decrease in amplitude, a change that occurs in a matter of millimetres.

Fig. 3.2b emphasises another important aspect of the style of the kink bands. The basic "kink-style" is independent of the relative angle of rotation of the limbs; the kinks are not transitional to continuous flexures with decrease in the angle of rotation of the limbs. However the intersection of two kink bands produces a fold which is not a kink but a continuous flexure (Fig.3.3)

One aspect of the morphological properties of systems of kink bands is illustrated in Figs. 3.4, 3.5, 3.6. One feature of sections normal to $L_{4}$ is distinct changes of orientation of the trace of $S_{4}$ on the plane of the section (Fig. 3.4, 3.5). A more detailed analysis of this variation is presented in Fig. 3.6 which contains all the trace of the axial planes of kink folds present in a large thin section. The figure was prepared from an enlarged photograph of a thin section. The figures beside each trace relate to the angle between the trace and an arbitrary direction $0 Y$. The frequency of the various orientations is given in the histograms accompanying the figure. The fact that emorge: is the presence of a distinct grouping of the traces of the $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ surfaces

## Pigure 3.2.

Variation in style of kink bands in a section normal to the kink axis: (a). Variation in the angle between $S_{1}$ and $S_{4}$ in each linb at different points along a kink surface, and in difforont kink bands (detail traced from photogaph, neasurement of the micas with a Universal stage indicates the Eink axis is nomal within a few dearees to the plane of the section). (b). The kirik styla is independent of the actual anclo between $S_{1}$ and $S_{4}$. In the loft hand example the angle between the two limbs is constant, but the comain forming the kink surfaco changes from a narrow, sharp zone at the botom to a broader zone oi curvature noar the top of the fold. The situation is aevorsud in the right hand example. Noto also the same style of basic kink in all cxamples on the page, although the angle $S_{1} \wedge^{\wedge} S_{4}$ varies butweon $20^{\circ}$ and $=50^{\circ}$ (Approx. $\times 5$ )

(b)


## FIGURE 3.3

Foid formed at tho intersection of two hink bancs in $S_{1}$. The lowe portion of the fold is an open flexure that dies out rapidly downaxis.


FIGURE 3.4
Well developed conjugate pair of kink bands. The traces of the kink bands are shown on a polished surface normal to $L_{4}$ from a quartz-mica schist from Mt. Franks. Arrows point to conjugate kink bands forming a fold of the type illustrated in Fig. 3.3 (data traced on photograph, twice natural scale).


Fic. 3.5
Variation in the oriontation of kink surfaces in a section nomal to the kinck axes. Diagram shows traces of $S_{4}$ on a polished face of a quartznuscovite schist from Kit. Franks (data traced from photocraph, approxirately natural scale).
to form a conjugate pair, separated by an angle of $10-20^{\circ}$. The pair is not equally developed throughout the specimen. The total variation in traces of the kink bands is nearly $90^{\circ}$, but the traces at an angle of $40^{\circ}$ to the left of $O Y$ in Fig. 3.6 can probably be neglected, reducing the range to $55^{\circ}$.

The second point of interest is the grouping of traces of a single orientation into domains (Fig. 3.6). The extent of the domains is suggested in Fig . 3.6.

A second pattern of variation is evident in many of the tectonites sectioned. This is observed as a variation in the trend of the kink axes (Fig. 3.7, also Fig. 3.16a) as observed on the folded $S$ surface. The angle between extreme trends is about $15^{\circ}$, and single kink axes may change continuously between these orientations.

It appears that the geometry of the kink bands can be conceived of as rotation about two axes at right angles, roughly parallel and normal to the kink axes in the specimens studied. Sections at intervals through specimens on which the trace of the kink axes is homogeneous still reveal the full range of variation about an axis parallel to the kink axis. If the geometry could be described by rotation about one axis oblique to both sections, then change in the trace on one section must be accompanied by a change in the trace of the other. That this is not always true is demonstrated by a section through a mica schist from Mt. Franks (Fig. 3.8); the traces change oriontation independently in the two sections. In hand specimens the variation about an axis normal to the average kink axis is usually much subordinate to variation about the other axis.


## zaper

Tracos of 3 on a section normal to $\frac{1}{4}$ in a guartz-muscovito schist from in. Franks. The numbers bosiche the traces (solid lines) refor to the ancle the trace makec with the vertical dede (at) of tho diagram. Tho dottod Linos outino sone comons in which tho traces have simitar oriontations. The", histogram gives the froguencios of the various anges made by the traces with or and indeates tho main groups at $5^{\circ}$ and $15^{\circ}$. The area covered by the diazran is appoxamaty y $2 \times 3$ incinos (Data aiciod to onlanged potozraph).


Figure 3.?
Traces of the kink surfaces on a slab polished parallel to the kink axes. (i.e. as might be seen on a finely crenulated schistosity surface). Two trends of the kink bands are indicated by the two arrows in the lower right hand corner. Note how continuous indivicual-kink bands are parallel to the kink axes ( $A=$ andalusite porphyroblast; natural size).

## RIGURS 3.8

Gometry of kink bands as deduced Erom the trace of the kink bands on surfaces nomal and parallel to the mean kink axis.
(a) Orientation of suctions I and II with respect to the mean kink axis for diagrans (i), (c) arci (c).
(b) rraces of $S_{4}$ are constant in section I, but vary in II. Geonetry can De described in torms of rotation about an axis nomal to the mean kink axie. (c) Whmonest situation oncountercd; the traces of $S_{4}$ are constant in Section I but vary in Section II.
(d) Traces have variable, but consistent pattern of orientation in Section I and Section II.

Diagrams (b) anc (d) are from serial sections of a nice schist rom it. Franks. Diagram (c) is fron a mica schist from Loc. 46200125000. Data dram From projocted inaçes or photographs. .

$\frac{3.21 \quad B_{3} S_{3} \text { and } S^{S_{4}} \text { folds in schists of uniform composition }}{S_{1}}$
Mesoscopic folds in $S_{1}$ with $S_{3}$ or $S_{4}$ parallel to their axial surfaces in schists which lack lithological layering are very similar in style to the microscopic kink bands (Fig. 3.9). The folds are simple kinks, often with slight curvature of one limb, with a bundle of kink bands ( $S_{3}$ or $S_{4}$ ) concentrated in the hinge region with rare kink bands in the limbs. The angle between the two limbs is fairly constent throughout the whole area and fall within the range $75-90^{\circ}$ (stated as the acute angle).

The folds are syminetric with respect to the angle between their hinge surface and each limb, but are asymmetric if the length of the limbs is considered. Within small domains the size of the folds may be nearly constant and their spacing approximately periodic, indicating a very uniform strain throughout that particular domain. $\frac{3.22 \text { Combined } B_{S} S_{3} \text { and } B_{S_{1}}^{S_{3}} \text { folds and combined } B_{S}^{S_{4}} \text { and } B_{S_{1}}^{S_{4}} \text { folds in layored }}{\text { schists }}$

Mososcopic folds in schists that are layered ( $S$ ) which have $S_{3}$ or $S_{4}$ as axial surface differ in a number of respects from $\mathrm{B}_{S_{1}}{ }_{3}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{S_{1}}^{S_{4}}$ folds in unlayered schists. In general (because of the transposition of $S$ during 3 folding) $B_{S}^{S_{4}}$ and $B_{S_{1}}^{S_{4}}$ (and $B^{S_{3}}-B_{S_{1}} S_{3}$ ) are co-axial and the style of the fold in the schistosity agrees with that in the layering. This case will be discussed first.

The main points of difference between combined $B_{S}^{S_{4}}$ and $B_{S_{1}}^{S_{4}}$ (and $S_{S}^{S_{3}}$ and $B_{S_{1}}^{S_{3}}$. folds as compared to $B_{S}^{S_{4}}$ folds in unlayered schists are: (1). The combined folds typically occur as single folds or a group of several folds in which the size of each fold is considerably different. The approxination to a periodic array is lacking in the combined folds.


RIGU123 3.9

$$
\text { Example of a } B_{S}^{S_{1}} \text { fold in unlayered schist. The fold is a simple limb }
$$ with kink bands concentrated in the hinge. The limb sloping to the loft is the ionger limb. (Sketch: $\frac{1}{2}$ scale; drawn from photograph)

(2). The combinod folds vary quite markcdiy in thate style (Fie. 3.10), oven In tho same outcrop. Also the anglo betwoon the limbs is not as constant as that of $B_{S}{ }_{i}{ }_{1}$ folds in unlayored schists.
(3). Unless the differences in composition of the various layors are minimal and all layors contain more than $20-30 \%$ mica, the combincd folds do not form simple kinks. The combinod folds aro always concontric folde.

Examples of folds in which the axes in $S$ and $S$ are not parallel are vory raro, oven in outcrops which contain abundant folds in adjacent areas where $S$ is parallel to $S$. A more common situation, paricicularly at vit. Franke is illustrated in Fig. 3.11. Inhomogenoitios in the $S$ surface seem to rosult in the croation of kink bands with a different oricritation from those in tho adjacent schists. Thus in Fig. 3.12b, in tho left-hand limb of the fold the continuity of the fabric is disturbed by the termination of one layor, the crossing of $S$ by anothor and the presence of an andalusite porphyroblast. The 1 sot of conjugate kink bands in this limb, nearly at right angles to $S_{4}$ have an orientation different to that of any kink band in the surrourding schists. Sinilarly, fino nests of kink bands, with a different than avorage oriontation, are somotimes found around andalusite porphyroblasts (Fig. 3.12).


A single outcrop, in the creck at the hut in Little Aller Creek, contains $B_{S_{2}}^{3_{4}}$ folds (Fig. 3.12c). Single grains of muscovite bent about the hinge $0_{i}$ tho $B_{2}$ folds clearly distinguish thom from $B_{1}$ folds. The kink bands in this area are all $S_{4}$, and no example of a $B_{S_{2}}$ fold has beon discovered. However, $3_{4}$ folds, at loast, post-dato $B_{2}$ folds.
3.24 Lineation in kink hands and 3 folds

Where the linoation $I_{2}$ crossos a kink bata or a mososcopic $B_{4}$ fold it is

## FIGURT 3.10

Style of folds in $S$ and $S_{1}$ with $S_{3}$ or $S_{4}$ as axial suriace as seon in profile. In cvery instance, tho fold axis in 3 is parallel to that in $S$ (dashed).
(a) Pegmatite layers (stipled) in quartz-biotite schists from Fldce Croek (53400107000, drawn from polished slab, $1 / 3$ actual size).
(b) Quartz-plarioclase layers (stipled) in quartz-muscovite schists (Loc. 41200132300 ; sketch, $\frac{1}{4}$ scale).
(c) Quartu-plafioclase layers (stipled) in mica schist (Ioc. 35700139300; sketch, $1 / 5$ scale.
(d) Suartz-placioclase layers (stipled) in mica schist (ioc. 44600123000; sketcin, $1 / 5$ scalo).
(a) Quartz layers (stipled), quartzmica layers (stipled and dashed) and mica-schist (Loc. 22400128000, drawn from polished slab, hali scale).
(f) Quartz-plagioclase layon (stipled) in-mica schist (Loc. 4ó200125000; drawn from polished slab, 1/3 scale).

Note how in ( $f$ ) and ( $g$ ) domain boundaries for $S_{4}$ and $S_{3}$ ofton correspond with lithological boundaries.



## FIGURE 3.11 (PLATE 1)

$B_{4}$ folds where lithological layoring cuts schistosity. Tho contact betweon quartz-mica schist (top portion of plate) and more micaceous andalusite schists (lower portion) passes diagonally across the plate just above the match box. A fold in $S$ in the quartz-mica schist (which can be soen closing near the 1 match box) has an axis oblique to the contact between the schists (15 ). The vertical foliation in the andalusite schist is $S$ and not $S$ and tho structure is discontinuous. Kink axes in the andalusite schist parallol ${ }_{B} S_{S_{1}}{ }_{4}$ in the quartz-mica schist. The contact is unaffected by the mososcopic $B_{S_{1}}^{S_{4}}$ fold. The complete discontinuity of structure across a lithological boundary is typical (Mt. Franks, loc. 3640087200).

## Figure 3.12

(a). Kink bands appearing on the limb of an $S_{4}$ kinic (stipled layors aro quartz rish; muscovito layers shown by dashes parallel to $S_{1}$; is an andalusito grain; dotail drawn froin photograph). Conjugate pairs of kink bands ara developod at an angle to $S_{4}$ in the left limb of the main $\left(S_{4}\right)$ kink band (X. 20 ).
(b). Nests of kink bands around andalusite porphyroblasts (drawn from a photograph of a polished slab; andalusito schist from ift. Franks). (c). $3_{S_{2}}^{3_{4}}$ fold. Nearly isoclinal $B_{2}$ folds outlined by pocmatitic layers (stipled) vorsus micaceous schist (dashed) are folded about an open fold with $S$ as axial surface. Single erains of muscovite are bent around the hinge of the $B^{S_{2}}$ folds. rote the discordance of the pegmatite on the far left of the specimen. (Drawn from polished slab, actual sizo.)

located on the surface of a cone whose axis is concentric with the kink or fold axis (Fig. 3.13). Rotation of an old lineation by folding in this manner is consistent with flexural slip folding by slip on $S$ and $S$ (Ramsay, 1960) with no significant change in the area of the slip surface. The redistribution of the lineation is equivalent to simple extemal rotation of the lineation about the fold axis.
3.25 Preferred orientation of guartz in a $B_{4}$ fold.

Fig. 3.14 presents the results of the determination of the preferred orientation of (0001) in quartz in each limb of a $B_{4}$ fold collected at a locality north of the Silver King Mine (Spec. No. 28390, Locality No. 22400127900). The angle between the two limbs, which are sensibly planar is $77^{\circ}$. The grains were measured only in a quartzose layer from sections normal to $B_{4}$ and parallel to $S_{4}$ in each limb. $I_{2}$ is not obvious in the hand specimen, but in this general area $L_{2}$ and $L_{4}$ are separated by only a few degrees:

Rotation of the plot for lime 2 (Fig. 3.14c) through an angle of $77^{\circ}$ would bring the main features of this diagram to coincidence with those of the plot for limb 1. The rotation, as with the lineation $L_{2}$, is equivalent to external rotation about the fold axis. The implicit assumption that $S_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ were planar and linear respectively before folding is reasonable (Turner and Weiss, 1963, p.516). Both elements are homogeneous (in orientation) around the margin of the domain in which the kink folds are developed.


FIGURE 3.13
The distribution of the lineation $L$ across:
(a). A single kink band, in a specinen collected 300 yards north-east of the Elack Prince Shafts. Data measurod in the laboratory with the kiris axis horizontal. The lineations are located on a $60^{\circ}$ radius small circle (on the nett) concentric with tho kink axis.
(b). In an open $B_{S_{1}}^{S_{1}}$ fold just to the south of the Silver Nine wine. The data have been rotated to bring the fold axis to the horizontal. The lineations are distributed on a $65^{\circ}$ radius small circle (on the nett) concentric with the fold axis.

## FIGURE 3.14

Preferred orientation of c-axes of quartz (b, c) in each limb of a combined $B_{S}{ }_{S}$ and $B_{S_{1}}^{S_{4}}$ fold (a) from locality 22400128000 . The fold is outlined by a thin quartz layer concordant with $S_{1}$ from which most of the grains measured come. Data were measured for each diagram from two sections, one normal to the fold axis and one parallel to $S$. The data are projected on a section normal to the fold axis. $L_{2}$ is nearly parallel to $B_{S_{1}}^{S_{4}}$. The two diagrams are shown in their correct orientation with respect to each other. Note that both diagrams retain the essential form for the c-axes of quartz in $B_{1}$ folds, although there is some departure from orthorhombic symmetry. The diagrams also differ in detail, but rotation of $77^{\circ}$ about $B_{S_{1}}$ bring the diagrams to approximate coincidence.


500 grains (Cont. 3, 2, 1, $\frac{1}{2} \%$
per 1\% area)
(b)


500 grains (Cont. 3, 2, 1, if
por $1 \%$ area)
(c)

### 3.26 Distinction of $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$

The further discussion of $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ folds requires some data that really belongs with the macroscopic analyses. However, rather than spread the discussions in a disconnected fashion over two chapters, this data will be presented here. $S_{3}$, and $S_{4}$ are the last two imposed surfaces in the area, and since the discussion is largely confined to these surfaces alono (and not the orientation of say $L_{4}$ and $B_{4}$ ), no real knowledge of the macroscopic geometry of the area is necessary at this stage. The possibility that $S_{3}$ has been disturbed by $B_{4}$ folds will be considered during the course of the discussion.

The distinction of $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ rests on the interpretation of a small area (200-300 square yards) of effectively continuous outcrop at locality 46200125000. The rocks are well foliated quartz-muscovite-(biotite) schists which are only poorly layered (S). $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and $B_{1}$ folds are absent. The schists are enclosed (in plan) on three sides by massive pegmatite and thin sheets of pegmatite have penetrated the schists near their margin.

The geometry of the area is summarised in Fig. 3.15. The plotted poles to the kink surfaces form two:, non-overlapping girdles (Fig. 3.15, a, b). Kink bands belonging to the NE-SW girdle have axes that plunge shallowly to the NW or SE (Fig. 3.15 c ). These kink bands are concentrated in the hinge region of a group of mesoscopic folds $\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S}_{1}}\right.$ ), which have a fairly constant amplitude of 2-3 feet and a wavelength of $12-15$ feet over much of the area. $L_{3}$ is visibly parallel to the axes of these folds.
$S_{4}$ is not usually accompanied by distinct mesoscopic folds, and appears as kink bands of more uniform density crossing the $B_{3}$ folds at angles close to $90^{\circ}$. The poles to $S_{4}$ form the maxima in the NW-SE quadrants of Fig. $3.15 f . L_{4}$ are distributed in a great circle (Fig. 3.15d), the two maxima in the girdle correspond to lineations lying in the two limbs of the $B_{3}$ folds. The great


EIG. 3.15
The geometry of the area at which $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ folds are defined (see text ) (a). 49 poles to kink bands which are defined as $S_{3}$ (Contours: 33, 5, 1, \%
per $1 \%$ area).
(b). 89 poles to kink bands which are defined as $S_{4}$ (Contours: 19, 10, 4, 1, 6 per $1 \%$ area).
(c). 96 kink axes $\left(L_{3}\right)$ of $S_{3}$ kink bands (Contours: $12,6,3,1$, \% per $1 \%$ area).
(d). 214 kink axes ( $I_{4}$ ) of $S_{4}$ kink bands (Contours: $15,12,6,3,1 \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}$ per $1 \%$ area).
(Note that the shape of the maximum in diagram (b) is considerably distorted by the properties of the equal-area projection near the primitive circle. The spread on the nearly vertical and horizontal great circles is $65^{\circ}$ and $50^{\circ}$ respectively).
circles containing $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ poles intersect at $82^{\circ}$; all angles of intersection between $60^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$, indicating intersection of kink surfaces from different parts of the girdle, can be observed in the field. A second great circle, with a nearly vertical axis, is evident in the spread of $S_{4}$ (Fig. 3.15b).

The interaction of $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ follows a number of different patterns. One situation of frequent occurrence, in which the interference of the two sets of kink surfaces is minimal, is illustrated in Fig. 3.16, Plate 1. $L_{4}$ appears on the nearly planar limb of a $B_{3}$ fold and where $I_{3}$, is prominent (left-hand edge of the plate) the $L_{4}$ lineations are absent. A slightly different form of the same general type is shown in Fig. 3.16, Plate 2. Where $L_{3}$ and $L_{4}$ are equally developed in the hinge of a $B_{3}$ fold, the interference patterns of the type illustrated in Fig. 3.17 are prominent. Very rarely $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ are both concentrated in the hinge of equally sized mesoscopic folds, to produce a "basin and dome" structure.

Fig. 3.18 depicts some of the features of the interference of $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ where they are of equal development. It is noticeable that $I_{3}$ (see text beneath figure) is disturbed in such a way as to correspond with $L_{4}$ antiforms and synforms in the limbs of the $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ kinks. If we follow a single $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ kink surface across a $\mathrm{L}_{3}$ kink we notice that an antiform on the horizontal limb of $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ corresponds with a synform on the steeper limb (see for example arrowed $S_{4}$ in Fig. 3.18) and vica-versa (this is most obvious across the $I_{3}$ synform on the left of Figure 3.18). Often an $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ surface terminates at an $\mathrm{L}_{3}$ hinge and only a minor indentation in the other limbs near the hinge (corresponding to the fold in the hinge line) is apparent. The pattern is consistent with the superposition of $S_{4}$ and $S_{3}$ tectonites. If $S_{4}$ had preadated $S_{3}$, the antiforms on one limb would continue, along the one $S_{4}$ surface, as an antiform on the


FIGURE 3.16
PLATE 1: $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ lineations on the limb of a mesoscopic $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S}_{1}}$ fold. $\mathrm{L}_{3}$ lineations concentrated in the hinge of the $B_{S_{1}}{ }^{3}$ fold, pass diagonally across the top left hand corner of the plate. L is only significantly developed in the limb of the $B_{S_{1}}{ }^{3}$ fold. Note the very variable trend of $L_{4}$.
PLATE 2: Very weakly developed L kinks (diagonal) crossing L lineations (vertical). Note that the L lineations are obviously disposed in a plane across the $L$ folds.

(1).

(2)

FIGURE 3.17
PLATE 1 and PLATE 2: $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ equally developed.
In both plates $L_{3}$ lineations are horizontal and $L_{4}$ lineations cross $L_{3}$ at a high angle. Note how $L_{3}$ is crenulated in parts of the tectonite illustrated
in Plate 2.


## Figure 3.18

Oblique view of the same tectonite as illustrated in Fig. 3.17, Plate 2. $I_{3}$ passes diagonally from bottom left to top right across the figure; $S_{4}$ is parallel to the front face of the block. The arrow in centre of block points to the change of an antiform to synform across a $L_{3}$ hinge on a single $S_{4}$ surface (see text for description, sketch at natural scale).
other limb. The contrary nature of the structure in each limb, and the folding of the $I_{3}$ hinge, prove that $S_{4}$ has post-dated $S_{3}$. Wherever these criteria (in combination) can be applied in the area, then the same chronological order is found. It is also true that where $L_{4}$ can be measured crossing $L_{3}$ folds (as in Fig. 3.16, Plate 2) it is distributed in a plane (as expected of a superposed lineation; Ramsay, 1960, Weiss, 1959a) and not in the "small circle" pattern of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ on $\mathrm{B}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{L}_{4}$ kinks (Sect. 3.24 ). On a grosser scale, this is evident in the total distribution of $L_{4}$ axes at the type area (Fig.3.15d),

Interference of kink bands of different orientation does not occur outside the small area just described (referred to simply as the 'type area' in the following text). It follows that, since there is no significant variation of style with orientation of either kink bands or associated mesoscopic folds, the distinction of $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ and hence $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ depends on orientation alone.

The concentration of the kink bands in discrete domains is a general feature of the macroscopic fabric. A domain may be no more than several hundred square yards, or may be as large as $20,000-30,000$ square yards (Mt. Franks). The orientation of the poles to kink bands in 55 such domains is summarised in Fig. 3.19. The main feature of the diagram, a girdle about an axis plunging at $30^{\circ}$ towards $\left(030^{\circ}\right)$, has no counterpart in the plots of the poles to kink bands in the type area. The source of the girdle in the total diagram is revealed by inspection of the plots from individual domains. The latter exhibit several different patterns:
(1). The poles to the kink surfaces fall within the limits of the $S_{3}$ or $S_{4}$ maxima as defined at the type area (Fig. 3.15). The poles form either a maximum, an ill-defined scatter or a definite girdle (great-circle) within these


Figure 3.19
Poles to 436 kink bands measured throughout the mapped area (Maximum, 16\%, contours $10,8,6,4,2,1, \frac{1}{2}$ per $1 \%$ area). Great circle represented by the dashed line has an axis plunging at $50^{\circ}$ towards $030^{\circ}$.
limits. The girdles may be about a sub-horizontal or a sub-vertical axis (Fig. 3.20).
(2). The poles are located on a great circle that nearly bridges the $S$ 3
and $S_{4}$ maxima in the plot for the type area (Fig. 3.21). Scattered points, not resolvable into a great circle, also appear in the region between the maxima, Where a girdle is formed, the points cluster in the main $S$ maximum, and thin out towards the $S$ maximum.

3
Of the 55 domains measured, 33 can be identified with $S_{4}, 8$ with $S_{3}$ and 14 fall into the second category above.

The axes of the great circles containing the poles to the kink bands are plotted in Fig. 3.2la; the labelling is in accord with the key diagram underneath (Fig. 3.21b). For example, an axis designated $B$ is the axis of a great circle containing poles which fall within the limits of the $S_{4}$ maximum at the type area, and corresponds with the spread of the $S_{4}$ poles in a great circle with a sub-horizontal axis at the type area. The interesting fact that emerges is the apparent continuity between $C$ and $D$ (the two groups have been divided arbitrarily on the diagrams at the horizontal). The results indicate, that by continuous variation, great circles corresponding to the spread of $\mathrm{S}_{4}$-poles at the type area pass into great circles that contain (at least potentially) both $S_{\text {- }}$ and $S$-poles as defined at the type area. If we consider that in some domains - because of chances of exposure or limitations of sampling - only poles measured in that part of a great circle passing through $S$ and $S$ in the limits of $S$ (at the type area) are measured, then an unambiguous classification 3 outside of the type area is not possible. Also, are great circles bridging the $S$ and $S$ maxima at the type area and poles located between the maxima to 34 be classified as $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ ?


Orientation of kink bands in two domains:
(a). Poles to 22 kink bands in a domain $300-400$ square yards in area, (Ioc. No. 36700138300 ). A peripheral and a vertical girdle are developed simulteneously. The peripheral girdle has an unusual orientation, but nevertheless lies within the lirits of $S_{4}$ at the type area. The vertical girdle is similar to the spread of $3_{4}$ at the type area.
(b). Poles to 42 kink bands in the area between the Black Prince and Consolation Snafts. Note the eirdle tending to bridge the $S_{4}$ and $S_{3}$ maxima (limits shown by dotted line) at the type area. A peripheral girdle is also prominent.


Pigure 3.21
Axes of great circles containing poles to kink bands in 31 domains.
(a). Axes of great circles
(b). Key diagram, showing how axes are classified as A, B, C, and D, with respect to the limits (marked by dotted lines) of $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ at the type area.

There are two courses that can be followed. The first is to classify the domains as best as possible, acknowledging that the number of instances in which ambiguity is present, or error may occur, are relatively few. The seconc course is to question the nature of the type area in light of what is known of the distribution of kink bands throughout the whole of the mapped area.

Are the relationships observed at the type area anomalous? Conceivably, at the type area, a set of kink bands and associated mesoscopic folds (corresponding to $S_{3}$ and $3_{3}$ ) may have brought the initial fabric ( $S_{1}$ ) to an oricntation at which it became susceptible to deformation by a second set of kink bands, still in tho same stress field (this is analogous in some respects to the deformation of a single crystal by slip on one glide system which bring the lattice to an orientation suitable for slip on a second system; Turner and Weiss, 1963, p.353). In this view, a system of kink bands and mesoscopic folds of an extreme orientation ( $B$ and $S$ ) were imposed and then later deformed - in the sane applied stress ficld - by kink bands of another orientation ( $S_{4}$ ). This view is clearly different to the first case, where any overlap is incidental. Here, the geometry has resulted from a single continuous process and kink bands of different orientations may have formed simultaneously in different donains. The factors most likely to have influenced the orientation of the kink bands are the geometry and mechanical properties of $S$ in each domain berore deformation (see next section). Any peculiarity in the initial fabric of the type area may then be reflected in its geonetry.

Several facts seem to oppose the alternative just advanced. The spread of $B_{3}$ polos at the typo area is ane a groet circle nearly at richt anzles to Ereat circles joining the $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ maxima. In oxder to generate this pattern it is necessary to have a large number of $S$ girdles, with poles occurring only in a restricted portion of the girdles. This is contrary to the experience
other domains, where poles are confined to a single narrow girdle. Also this takes no account of the subsidiary maximum in the $S$ girdle, and the 3 fact that similar girdles occur in three other domains. Consequently the division of kink bands into two distinct groups will be adopted. Domains in which there is any ambiguity are specifically noted in the macroscopic analysis of the area; however, it is probably fairly safe to include the girdles joining $S$ and $S$ maxima (at the type area) as $S$ since, wherever any 34 number of measurements have been made, the majority fall within the limits of $S$ at the type area.
4 It is worth noting that folding of $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ by $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S}_{3}}$ cannot have contributed significantly to the distribution of $S$ at the type area. The great circle about the intersection of the mean $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ surfaces along which poles of $S$ would be distributed by such folding passes through the main $S$ maximum at nearly right angles to the girdle actually observed (Fig. 3.22). Folding may, however, have caused the slight spread of the maximum in this direction (about $35^{\circ}$, compared with $75^{\circ}$ along the main girdle). The shallow reversals of plunge of $L$ (and $B_{3}$ ) probably result from the effects of $B$ folding.

333
Finally, one point should be clarified at this stage; namely the use of two different reference systems for the description of the variation in orientation of the kink bands. The mean kink axis was chosen as a reference axis in hand specimen, whereas the geometry of the macroscopic domains has been referred to geographic axes. Normally these two reference axes are coincident; fanning about a sub-horizontal axis is the same as fanning about the mean kink axis in hand specimen. The orientation of the kink axes varies considerably from domain to domain but at any one domain tend to form a point maximum in projection. where the kink axes are steep the correspondence above is reversed.


## FIGURE 3.22

Folding of $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ by $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S}_{3}}$ folds. The path on a stereographic projection along which poles to $S_{3}$ should be spread by folds with $S_{4}$ as axial surface is shown. The path is a great circle whose axis is ${ }_{B_{S_{3}}}^{\mathrm{S}_{4}}$ (calculated from the intersection of average $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ planes) passing through the $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ maximum. The path is at nearly $90^{\circ}$ to the great circle along which $S_{3}$ poles actually spread.

The sub-horizontal and sub-vertical axes tilt in a sympathetic manner with the average kink axis.

### 3.27 The formation of the kink bands

The style of the kink bands in $B_{4}$ and $B_{5}$ tectonites is very similar to kink bands in experimentally deformed single crystals of motals and minerals (Orowan, 1942; Barrett, 1952, p.375; Turner, Griggs and Heard, 1954; and in biotite crystals, Griggs, Turner, and Heard, 1960) and,more importantly, to kink bands in well foliated phyllites and mica schists deformed experimentally by Paterson and Weiss (1962). The last experiments reinforced the kinematic model suggested by the analogy of kink bands and kink folds in tectonites with kink bands in single crystals by demonstrating that slip is possible on an existing micaceous foliation, and that kink bands can arise as the result of this type of deformation. Kink bands in crystals develop at an angle to slip planes in the lattice and result in the external rotation of material in the kink band about an axis which is parallel to the intersection of the slip plane and the kink boundary. Unless there is slip in the kink boundary itself, the slip direction in the slip plane must be normal to the axis of external rotation.

The micaceous schistosity of many of the metamorphic rocks is an obvious potential slip-surface, and it is significant that kink bands are only found in rocks possessing such a foliation.

The kinematic model proposed is consistent with the redistribution of the lineation (I ) and the preferred orientation of quartz in the kink bands and mesoscopic $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ folds (Sect. 3.25). One implication of the model is that the kink boundary can only approach an ideal surface if slip can take place on infini $\ddagger$ esimally spaced surfaces. As slip becomes less penetrative, the kinks must degenerate into continuous flexures (unless faulting occurs). The differences of style in kink bands in well foliated (S ) schists and less micaceous rocks are obviously in accord with this prediction. Also the less angular form of mesoscopic $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ folds in layered schists as contrasted
with unlayered schists is no doubt dependent on this factor.
Inhomogeneities in $S_{1}$, such as andalusites or the intersection of $S$ with $S_{1}$, results in inhomogeneous strain, as is evident from the creation of supplementary kink bands (Sect. 3.23). But the irregular array of $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ folds in layered schists is probably not dependent so much on inhomogeneity of slip as the influence of the varying mechanical properties of the different layers. The gross strain in any portion of a deforming body is prescribed by the constraint of the material bounding that portion (Paterson and Weiss, 1962). In a body of unlayered schist the constraint was produced by boundary layers of amphibolite, pegmatite, layered schists, etc. Because of its homogeneous mechanical properties, the portion of unlayered schist would have to respond in a consistent way to produce the gross strain. The gross strain in a body of layered schists will also have depended on the constraint exercised by adjacent amphibolite layers etc. However, at a finer scale the constraint varied from point to point as the mechanical properties of the different layers (a function mainly of composition and thickness) changed. This secondary influence can be thought of as producing perturbations in the gross strain, and may have caused the irregular nature of the folding. However, from the consistent manner in which $L_{2}$ is redistributed in $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ folds, the presence of the layering did not significantly modify the movement picture of the folding.

In the following arguments it is assumed, because there is no evidence of any movement in the kink boundary and the kinks are continuous over relatively large distances parallel to their axes, that the slip direction in $S_{1}$ is always strictly normal to the kink axes. The pattern of the kink bands in hand specimens and different domains consists of two separate geometrical elements;
(i) the poles to the kink bands are distributed in two groat circles in projection, and (ii) the orientation of these great circles varies from comain to domain. Insofar as tho formor is a property of every domain and is found in rocks of different composition and compositional homogeneity, it is apparently an intrinsic proporty of the deformation. Cortainly lithological layering may affect the local distribution of domains, as witnessed by the coincidence of domain boundaries and lithological boundaries, but the domainal structure has an existence in its own right. In contrast, the second pattern is a property of each domain independent of its size (Sect. 3.26) and hence must be dependent on much more general influences. For the moment we shall consider only the first case above.

Cearly in the first case, the measurements are reproducing the variation of the kink bands observed in hand spocimen. As long as sufficient data could be obtained in each domain these tronds will appear (this is true of the data gathered). Whoreas the sproad about the mean kink axis is discernible in most hand specimens, the variation about an axis normal to the moan kink axis is usuaily not. Still, the latter spread is often just as prominent in the total diagrams for a domain (especially if it is fairly large) as variation about a sub-horizontal axis. This suggests that a domainal structure, with a size larger than can be easily viewed, produces the variation about an axis normal to the mean kink axis.

The fanning of the kink bands about an axis coincident with the mean kink axis is very similar to the structure of kink bands produced experimentally by Paterson and Weiss (1962). Very prominent sets of conjugate pairs of kink bands are visible in some of their illustrated specimens (Fig. 1, 2, 3.4).

Unequal development of each set of kink bands (in different portions of specimen) in the experimental tectonites occurred where the constraint was
supplied by rubber jackets, rather than by thick brass or copper jackets capable of supplying a more uniform constraint. Applied to natural tectonites, this signifies that the constraint is never entirely uniform in detail, even in unlayered schists. Each domain, with its own orientation and number of kink bands, apparently represents a domain of homogeneous strain, and the manner in which these domains are totalled, to yield the gross strain in any portion of the deformed rock, is dependent on the nature of the constraint applied. However, the gross strain is also dependent on the mechanical properties of the deformed rock and applied stress. We can gain some information conceming the latter from a study of the deformation lamellae in $\mathrm{B}_{4}$ tectonites.

Deformation lamellae in quartz are commonly found to be preferentially concentrated in the hinge of $B_{4}$ folds, but may be absent from the limbs. The relationship implies a genetic connection between the development of the lamellae and $3_{4}$ folding. The recent experimental work of Carter, Christie and Griggs, 1964, and Caristie, Griggs and Carter, 1964, has shown that deformation lamellae sub-parallel to $\{0001\}$ in quartz originate by slip on $\{0001\}$. The optics of the experimental lamellae can be explained in terms of arrays of basal edge dislocations (Christie, et al, 1964). From a study of the orientation of the basal lamellae in a polycrystalline aggregate (initially with random orientation) it is possible to deduce something of the orientation of the stress axes causing the deformation, since the lamellae form in planes of high resolved shear stress. In the following section the natural lamellae and the experimentally formed lamellae are assumed to be identical structures and have the same significance (see Christie, et al, 1964).

The deformation lamellae in the quartz grains have the characteristic morphological and optical properties summarised by Christie and Raleigh, 1959; they are narrow, planar or slightly lenticular structures which occupy a part or the complete area of a grain. The lamellae have a slight, but definite, difference in extinction position to the host grain and are slightly brighter than the host in plane-polarised light. The lamellae (in rocks from Broken Hill) are always found in grains with marked undulose extinction.

Individual grains with lamellae of more than one orientation, although not common, are found. These grains can be resolved into two types; (1). Grains in which the angle between the c-axis and the pole to the lamellae in each sub-grain has a constant value for the whole grain. The sub-grains correspond with undulatory extinction bands in the quartz and are sub-parallel to the c-axes of the grains.

They are of the type usually referred to as "discontinuous" undulose extinction in natural tectonites (Christie and Raleigh, 1959), and resemble deformation bands in experimentally deformed quartz crystals (Carter et al, 1964). However, Carter et al (1964) note several differences between the two structures, the most significant of which is the presence or absence of deformation lamellae adjacent to the band boundary. The association of deformation lamellae and discontinuous undulose extinction is apparently uncommon (Christie and Raleigh, 1959) whereas lamellae are common in grains with deformation bands in both natural and experimental tectonites (Christie and Raleigh, 1959, Carter et al, 1964). Carter et at (1964) suggest that deformation bands in quartz are equivalent to kink bands
in motals and originato by alip on a plane (honce the prosonce of defomation lamellac) and"bond-tilding" ow hirking of tho plano. On tho other hand Bailoy at al (1958) suejestod that discontinuous undulose extinction develops by polyevonisation of continuous unculose axtinction bands. The climb of dislocations out of the slip plane would tend to renove ceformation lamellae.

It seems therefore that the sub-grains are a type of deformation band, althouth tho walls soparating the sub-grains aro too irregular to neasure, a feature tyraical of discontinuous undulose oxtinction. The boundaries of deformation bands are gonerally planar and easily measurable. Ronever tho genetic dirienence (indicated by the presence or absence of defomation larellae) is probably more important than the morphological characteristic of the boundary of the bends.
(2). Some grains contain more than ono set of lamellae, with a dirferent angle batweon the c-axis of the grain and the pole of each set of larallae. The lamellac usucily do not intersect but rather occur in different portions of a grain in wich tho c-axis is essentially homogencous (dinferences ange up to $5^{\circ}$; probably a combination of measuroment error and slight staciatne of the erain). Nost of the measurenents given below are fron grains of this type. Less comoniy, a smell zone in a grain may have a concentration of lameliae in which the c-axis of tho quartz is different to that in the surround inc grain. The angle between the c-axis and the pole to the lamellae is also difforent to that in the host grain.
lamellae in a grain. The second set is either only poorly developod or in an orientation (at a high-tilt on the U-stage) not easily accessible to accurato measurcment. Some results are presented in Table 1 of Fig. 3.24.

FIGURA 3.24
Neasurement of the angles between two sets of lamellae in one grain. The figures in the two left hand colums of Table 1 give the angle between the pole to cach set of lamcllae and the c-axis of the host grain. Where this is close to an anfle betriecn the c-axis and a comon form of quartz (Table 2), the form is notod in brackots aftor the angle. The right hand column of Table 1 gives the angle between the two poles of each set of lamellac. The angle between the poles of the forms suggested in the left hand columns is also given (to the noarest degrae).

TABLE 1.

| Angles between c-axes and poles to lamellac. |  |  | Angles between the tro poles of the lamellae. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $58^{\circ}$ | $26^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ |
| 2. | $16^{\circ}$. | $38^{\circ}$ | $24^{\circ}$ |
| 3. | $67^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}^{\circ}\right)$ | $25^{\circ}$ (d, IT ) | $88^{\circ}{ }_{s} \wedge_{d}=87^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} \wedge^{\prime}=85^{\circ}$ |
| 4. | $54^{\circ}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{z})$ | $66^{\circ}$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s} \mathrm{s}^{\prime}$ ) | $71^{\circ} \mathrm{r}^{\wedge} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}^{\prime}=75^{\circ} \mathrm{z}^{\wedge_{s, g}} \mathrm{~s}^{\prime}=75^{\circ}$ |
| 5. | $23^{\circ}$ | $66^{\circ}$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}^{\circ}$ ) | $86^{\circ}$ |
| 6. | $66^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}^{\prime}\right)$ | $90^{\circ}$ ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{m}$ ) | $69^{\circ} \mathrm{a} \wedge_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{s}^{\prime}}=64^{\circ}$ |
| 7. | $52^{\circ}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{z})$ | $64^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}^{\prime}\right)$ |  |
| 8. | $47^{\circ}\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ | $58^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ |
| 9. | $55^{\circ}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{z})$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $54^{\circ}$ |

COMMON FORMS IN QUARTZ AND THE ANGLE C AXIS TO POLE OF FORM.


Table 2.

There is a good correlation in some instances of the angles between the c-axis of the grain and the poles to lamellae and the angles between the c-axes and some of the common forms of quartz (see lower table), and also of the angle between the poles of the lamellae and the angle between the forms (in brackets in second column) suggested in the first column. Hence the lamellae are often parallel to some of the lower index lattice planes in quartz.

Fis.3.25presents the results of the measurement of the preferred orientation of derormation lamellae in a $\mathrm{B}_{4}$ tectonite. The measurements were made in a medium-grained ( $4-6 \mathrm{~mm}$.) quartz-pegmatite vein 1 cm . thick. The vein is concordant with $S$ in the onclosing schists and is deformed into microscopic1
mesoscopic folds with an axial plane and axis coincident with micro-kinks in the schist.

Initially attempts were also made to determine the preforred orientation of deformation lamellae in quartz grains in the schist. However these attempts were frustrated by observational difficulties. Undoubted deformation lamellae exist in the quartz grains, generally in the form of fine swarms confined to part of a grain. During measurement it was found that in many grains the swarms of lamellae could apparently be brought to maximum sharpness in two different tilt positions of the E-W axis of the stage. It is not possible to distinguish any difference between these two positions, although possibly some fine distinction is blurred by the use of high magnification (X320) necessary to see the lamellae. The reason for this phenomena is not understood. A second difficulty is that many grains appear to contain a multitude of parallel, fine "fractures" that are difficult to distinguish from deformation lamellae. These "fractures" may be extremely fine lamellae, but if so, their thickness is beyond the limits of resolution of the microscope. These difficulties are absent from the measurement of lamellae in the pegmatite vein.

Fizure 3.25
Deforation lanellae in a $3_{4}$ tectonite:
(a). Histogram relating the angle between the pole to a set of lamellae and the c-axis of the host grain to froquency, for lamellae in 182 quartz grains. (b). Poles to 182 lamellae (contours: 5,4,3,2, and 10 per $1 / 5$ aroa) masured from three sections at right angles. In this and tho following diagrans the plane of projection is nomil to the fold axis in the peanatite layer, $B_{S_{1}}^{S_{4}}$ ard $L_{4}$. The diameter through the index anrow is approximately the averago oriantation of the trace of $S_{4}$ on this section.
(c). Poles to 62 lamellae whose anglo to the c-axis of the host grain is less than $20^{\circ}$ (contours: $10,3,4$, and $2 \hat{\beta}$ por $1 \%$ area). Small circles with a radius of $43^{\circ}$ are shown as dashed lines.
(d). Caxes of 200 quarte grains of grains without and with lamellae, measured in three perpendicular sections (contours 4,3,2,1, and $\frac{1}{2} \%$ por $1 \%$ area).

A. histogram of the angle between poles to lamellae and the c-axes of the host grains for 132 quartz grains from the pegmatiteis given ( in Fig. 3.25a. The angles are distributed through the whole range $0-70^{\circ}$ with a few angles at $80^{\circ}$ and $84-90^{\circ}$. The wide distribution of the angles compares well with the measurement of the same angles in other natural tectonites (Fairbairn, 1941; Christie and Raleigh, 1959). Carter et al (1964) have pointed out significant differences for the distribution of the angle between the poles to lamellae and the c-axes in naturally and experimentally deformed tectonites. Experimental lamellae tend very strongly to form in an oriontation close to the basal pinacoid, so that angles (c-axis to poles to lamellae) form a maxima in the range $0-12^{\circ}$. A lesser maxima occurs at about $35^{\circ}$ (Carter et al, 1954, Figs. 3 and 6). For two examples deformed in the cube apparatus $80 \%$ and $57 \%$ of the lamellae lie within $1-6^{\circ}$ of the basal pinacoid, compared with $7.7 \%$ for the Brokon Hill sample. A concentration of the lamellae at angles of $10-30 \%$ is much more ovident in the Broken Hill example.

In one specimen deformod in their cube apparatus (Spec. C-127) Carter et al (1964) found that increase in the angle C pole to lamellae could be correlated with increase in strain and temperature in the central portion of the specimen. There is no indication in the shape of the grains to confirm that the lamellae have rotated out of their basal orientation by another active slip system.

The orientation of the poles to lamellae is shown in Figs. 3.25a, b. Fig. 3.25 b is for lamellae whose poles are at an angle of less than $20^{\circ}$ to C in the quartz. The distribution of poles is essentially a double maxima, with possibly a weak tendency for poles to lie on two small circles with radius of $43^{4}$

An additional 48 measurements of lamellae in a thin section specially oriented so that basal lamellae whose poles (in projection) would plot on one of these small circles (the E-W axis small circle) away from the maximum should be prominent, revealed no further basal lamellae. The plot has some affinities. with those published by Riley (1947); Cnristie and Raleigh (1959, Fig. 4); Hansen and Borg (1962, Fig. 5) and perhaps Fairbairn (1941, Fig. 1). The experimental work of Carter, Christie and Griggs (1964) has shown that for rocks subjected to a general state of stress (maximum stress $\sigma_{1}>\sigma_{2}>$ minimum stress $\sigma_{3}$ ) the strongest concentration of poles to lamellae may be expected to form, in projection, two maxima in the $\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}$ plane at $45^{\circ}$ to $\sigma_{1}$.
$\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{3}$ are not uniquely specified by the plot in Fig. However, consideration of the axes with respect to the geometry of the kink bands removes the ambiguity. Fig. 3.26 indicates the relationship of the stress axes deduced from Fig. 3.25 c , with respect to the geographical orientation of the kink axes in $\mathrm{S}_{4^{*}}$. One axis is normal to a plane that falls between the two sets of conjugate kink bands in the specimen, and is at an angle of $64^{\circ}$ to the pole to the schistosity (outside the kink bands)at this locality. Kinking could not have occurred on $S_{1}$ unless this axis was the maximum compressive stress; had this axis been $\sigma_{3}$, presumably kinking would have occurred about kink axes normal to those developed. The position of the stress axes inferred from the deformation lamellae agrees in a general way with the experiments of Paterson and Weiss (1962). They observed that the main compressive stress was located in the oblique angle between the two conjugate sets of kink bands. Also the kinking of biotite flakes in specimens of the Westerly Granite deformed by Griggs, Turner, and Heard (1960) occurred preferentially in those flakes with their cleavage oriented at $32^{\circ}$ to the maximum compressive stress.


## Figure 3.26

Goographic oriontation of the stress axes deduced from Fis. 3.25 can the clements of the $B_{4}$ fold. The orientation of the three stress axes are:
$\sigma_{1}$ plunges at $10^{\circ}$ towards $300^{\circ}$
$\sigma_{2}$ plunges at $60^{\circ}$ towards $203^{\circ}$
$\sigma_{3}$ plunges at $28^{\circ}$ towards $040^{\circ}$
The angle between $\sigma_{1}$ and the pole to $S_{1}$ is $64^{\circ}$ measured in the plane containine both elements.

Active elements in the kinking are $S_{i}, S_{1}$ and $I_{2}$. The last involves either the surface structure of $S$ (intersecting foliations) or causes local inhomogeneities 1
in $S$ (quartz rods). In schists where $L$ is virtually absent (e.g. even-grained
1 2 mica schists) the symmetry of the array of kink bands in $B_{3}$ or $B_{4}$ tectonitos is, because of the unequal development of the congugate set, monoclinic. A monoclinic fabric may result from the imposition of a stress system with orthorhombic symmetry, on a fabric with axial symmetry ( $S$ parallel to $S$ ) with one plane of symmetry in common (Paterson and Weiss, 1961).

Where $L_{2}$ is present and at an angle to $L_{3}$ or $L_{4}$, which is the general case, the final fabric is triclinic and the movement picture for the deformation must also have been triclinic. Much of the irregularity of the kink bands in less porfectly foliated schists (which usually corresponds to increasing prominence of $L$ ) is no doubt due to the presence of $L$ as a kinematically active element in the movement 2 picture.

The plot of the 182 poles to lamellae (Fig. 3.25b) is not very different to the plot of basal lamellae (Fig. 3.25c), although the latter contains only one third the number of poles. This suggests that the lamellae of orientation other than nearly parallel to (0001) also form in planes of high shear stress. However, the few lamellae in the centre of the stereogram are anomalous.

We might expect that extensive gliding on a second set of slip planes would cause rotation of the first set out of a rational orientation. The fact that the two sets seldom develop in the same portion of the grain, and the poor development of one of the sets, suggests that slip on one plane had only just
begun or was limited.
In conclusion, we can note that tho results given in Fig. 3.24 indicate that lamellae may parallel $\{11 \overline{2} 0\},\{10 \overline{1} 1\},\{01 \overline{1} 1\},\{01 \overline{1} 1\},\{10 \overline{1}\},\{01 \overline{1}\}$ and $\{1121\}$ or $\{2 \overline{111\}}$. However, it is not certain that lamollae are parallel to $a l l$ these forms, since it is impossible to distinguish boiween two possibilities in some instances (e.g. \{10T1\} or $\{0111\}$ if the second set of lamellae is parallel to $\{1121\}$, see right hand of column of Table 1, Fig. 3.24.)

The kink bands are always symmetrical. To maintain symmetry during progressive deformation either the kink boundary must rotate (with respect to external axes) or equal slip in opposite senses must occur on each side of the kink boundary (Christie, et, al, 1964). The fact that there are marked changes in the angle botween the kink boundary and $S_{1}$ without significant change in the orientation of the boundary (e.g. see Fig. 3.2a) suggests the former is the case.

The fanning of the kink bands about an axis normal to the mean kink axis implies that the direction of slip in $S$ has varied systematically in direction over fairly large areas of each macroscopic domain. Since the variation is'absut an axis normal to the kink axes, it is also about the normal to $S$ in its orientation before deformation. This would seem to 1 rule out any variation in $S$ relative to the principal stresses as a cause. Possible causes are curvature of the lineation $I_{2}$ in $S_{1}$ or indeed, any inhomogeneity in the structure of the actual surface of $S_{1}$.

We can now return to the second type of variation in the geometry of the kink bands. In order to explain the different orientation of the girdles (in projection) in which the poles to kink bands spread, it is only necessary to account for changes in the orientation of the mean kink axis. The rest
of the geometry then follows. Since the kink axes are constrained to lie in $S$, simple changes in the initial orientation of $S$ in the various domains 1 1 is implied. This certainly seems to be the case for the domain at the Black Prince Shafts (see discussion of sub-area 4, next chapter). The axes of the girdles (in projection) for both types of variation about the kink axis tend themselves to spread in a plane that is vertical and strikes $030^{\circ}$.

The kink axes are normal to the direction of slip on $S$ in its initial orientation. Thus the variation in $S$ must have been such as to cause 1 differences in the direction of slip. The nature of the variation could only be found if the absolute magnitudes of the principal stresses were know, for it is the resolution of the stresses on $S$ that determine the direction of slip (assuming that slip is equally possible in all directions on $S$ ). There is no grounds, for instance, for assuming that the different orientation of the kink bands has resulted from superposition of $S_{4}$ on a surface ( $S_{1}$ ) previously folded about a horizontal axis striking $120^{\circ}$. Summary of mesoscopic structures

It is useful at this point to summarise the nature of the various mesoscopic structures described. The mesoscopic structures, when style is used as a criterion, can be divided into three separate groups. The groups can be distinguished by the following criteria:
(1). B folds are characterised by the presence of a foliation (schistosity) 1 parallel to their axial surfaces. The folds are isoclinal and development of mullions in more competent layers is usual. Lineations in B folds lie in the axial surface at some angle to the fold axis.
(2). B folds lack any penetrative surface parallel to their axial surfaces. 2 The only lineations visible in $B$ folds lie in the folded surface and are
disposed in a plane whose normal makes a variable angle with the fold axis. (3). $B$ and $B$ folds (as defined here) are concentric folds with an angle of $60-90^{3}$ between the limbs. Microscopic kink bands are concentrated in the hinge region of the folds. There is always in the hinge region, a "crenulation" lineation parallel to the fold axis. Other lineations in the folded surface are disposed on the surface of a cone co-axial with the fold axis.

Weiss and McIntyre (1957) have given criteria for recognising different generations of mesoscopic structures. They state that:
"(i) structures of similar style and with similar patterns of preferred orientation (not necessarily with same directions of preferred orientation) are assumed to be of the same generation; (ii) structures of consistently dissimilar style and consistently dissimilar patterns of preferred orientation are ascribed to separate generations"。

Cearly $B$ and $B$ folds are sufficiently different in style and pattern of preferred orientation (e.g. $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ with respect to $B_{S}^{S_{1}}$ and $B_{S}^{S}{ }^{2}$ ) to be regarded as separate generations by the above criteria. Overprinting criteria indicate that $B$ folds formed after the completion of $B$ folding.
$B$ folds differ from $B$ and $B$ folds by the absence of kink bands and a 2 3 4 lineation parallel to the fold axes in $B$ folds. Overprinting criteria indic2
ate that $B_{4}$ folds formed after $B_{2}$ folds. The relationship of $B_{2}$ folds to $B_{3}$ folds is more difficult to assess. If we ignore the microscopic kink bands, then 3 folds can be regarded as a tighter form of $B$ folds. From the argum2 3 ents advanced in Sect. 3.40 , the different disposition of lineations (other than cronulations) is then only a matter of the degree of folding. Evidently, however, we cannot ignore the kink bands, for there is no way that they could be obliterated without trace during progressive deformation. The kink bands
are a persistent style differonce between $B_{2}$ and $\frac{3}{3}$ and $B_{4}$ folds and mast refloct somo vital difference in the conditions of formation (sinco both folde soct to depend on slip on a suriace that is folded during the derometion).

The grouping of folas with the same kind of style into soparate gonorations is, in the first instance, a matter of geonetrical convenionce. Each genoration has a reproduciblo kink of geometry which facilitates the breakdom of a complex rabric into simplor geometrical units during analysis. Thus the separation of $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ folds, even though they have similar styles, is. conveniont, provided the overprinting relationships seen in only one area are valià ovorywhore.

The results gained earlier in this chapter suggest a valid and useful orientation-critoria can bo erected and two generations oi kink folds distinguished.

The chronolofical relationship of 3 to 3 folds is not known, since no examplo of intorference of the folds has been discovered. Considering the scancity of mesoscopic folds of both types, this is perhaps not surprising. Some roasons for belioving 3 folds are probably closely associated with $S_{i}$ folding, and post-date 3 folds, will be advancod in Cnaptor 7 .

It is obvious that style is much more than a "natter of acometaical convenience". B folds, except where parasitic folcis occur in quartzite 1 layers, usually have a very constant style thether the folds are a few inches or many fect across. One limb is parallel to the schistosity and the other limb curves anay gradually from the hinge to nearly parallel the schistosity. The constancy of the shape of the folds implies the oxistonce of a iunciomatil,
if heterogencous, unit of strain for the defomation. The size of the unit of strain varies from point to point, but the proparation of such a unit is very basic to the dofomation. A furthor implication is that variation of the mechanical propertics of tho rock are not, in gonoral, important.

## CHAPTER 4

THE NA CROS OPIC STRU CTURE

### 4.1 Introduction

Map 1*, which embodies the results of the lithological mapping of the area, reveals that the metamorphic rocks have a very complex macroscopic fabric. (Most of the pegmatites and certainly all the larger bodies are intrusive rocks not present before the first phase of metamorphism and deformation; Capter 5). The nature of exposure within the district allows the location of most lithological boundaries to within less than 5 feet. Initially the boundaries were fixed in air photos at a scale of 400 feet $=1$ inch, and later reduced to the scale of the map presented. Hence the final map is a very accurate picture of the macroscopic fabric, and because of this and the complex. ity of the fabric, the extrapolation of boundaries across areas of no outcrop has not been attempted.

The macroscopic structure has been analysed by a method similar to that used by Weiss and McIntyre (1957) in their study of the Loch Levin area. The mapped area is divided into sub-areas in which the structure is homogeneous. In the present study, the geometry of the schistosity rather than $S$ has been used to delineate the sub-areas. Because of transposition, the geometry of $S$ is the same as that of $S$ over large areas. The large-scale structure of the 1
area is mainly determined by folds that post-date the formation of $S$. Since $S$ is more penetrative and usually more prominent than layering, it is more 1 sensitive to changes in the homogeneity of later structures. Obviously, where the geometry of more than one surface is to be considered in a complex fabric, the sub-areas for one surface may not be convenient for other surfaces.

[^0]Onc of the most important sub-areas (1) is at it. Franks, whoro major lithological units form an open fold. From cast to west across the subarca fivo units can be mappod:
(i) poorly schistoso, firc-srained, quartz-plagioclasc-muscovito rocks with some fine laminae rich in biotite,
(ii) fine-grained groy schists (ouarta-muscovito-plagioclasc-biotito with minor andalusito); ofton fincly layerod. This unit and (1) aro ofton defornod by small B folds,
(iii) purple cniastolite schists, with minor andalusite schists, often woll layered,
(iv) poorly foliated, quartz-ruscovite-biotite-andalusite schists, with nunerous fine layers ( $<1$ foot) richer in quartz and biotite,
(v) cvon-Erained quartz-muscovito-andalusite schists, with thin laycrs
( 43 feet) of quartz-plagioclaso racks and quartz-plagioclaso-biotito echists. The thin layers in this unit provided nost of the infornation of transposition described in Cnagter 2.

The litholosical layoring in the sub-area is homogenoously foldod about an axis plungine ab $40^{\circ}$ towards $135^{\circ}$ (fig. $4.1 a$ ); $S$ is folded about an axis glunging at $30^{\circ}$ towards $230^{\circ}$ (fie. 4.1, 1b). Over almost all of the area ocoupici by unit (5) in the sub-area, s is deformed by nicroscopic kint bande; the mean kink axis plunges at $35^{\circ}$ to $225^{\circ}$, which is coincident with the fold in $5^{-}$(this is also the plunge of the mesoscopic folds with the kink bands as 1 axial surface; where the plunge of the nesoscopic folds is visibly sarallul
 used for fold axes detemined from a storeoraphic plot of the suriaco denoted by the subscript). The kirk bands plot in projection, alone a eroat cieclo
(Fiz. 4.1, 1b) and can be classified as $S_{4}$ by comparison with the type area. Thus tho kirk bands and kink axes are $S_{4}$ and $L_{4}$ respectively. The spread of the kink bands in a sub-horizontal plane is not very evident in the plot of the $S_{4}$ poles, but the distribution of $L_{4}$ in such a plane (fig. 4.i, ig) suggests some bias in sampling may have masked the effect. Evidently $\beta_{S_{1}}$ equals ${\underset{S}{4}}_{S_{4}}^{4}$.

However the fold in $S$ is not a $B_{S}^{4}$ fold, since the orientation of $\beta_{S}$ coincides with only $1 \%$ of the measured $L_{4}$ lineations. Also the average $S_{4}$ surface dips at $75^{\circ}$ towards $315^{\circ}$ (Fig. $4.1,1 f$ ), and this cannot possibly give the trace of the axial surface (on the topographic surface) of the fold in $S$. The trace of the axial surface is best judged from the smaller fold on the eastern side of the mountain, for the hinge points of this fold are 211 approximately the same topographic level. In contrast tho hinge points on the larger (westerm) fold are separated vertically by about 750 feet, and this has the effect of displacing the northern-most hinge in the surface between units (4) and (5) westwards (as viewed on the horizontal surface of the map). The shape of the whole fold is considerably distorted by the topography. The trace of the axial surface and the fold axis are located in a plane that dips at about $80^{\circ}$ towards $270^{\circ}$. Now alone the eastern side of the sub-area $S$ changes its strike from $000-020^{\circ}$ in the elbow of Eldee Creek to $340-360^{\circ}$ in the south eastern portion of the sub-aroa. Thus the trace of $\beta_{S}$ is closer to the average orientation of $S_{1}$ than $S_{4}$, and $S_{1}$, in a general way, follows the trace of the axial surface. Also the plunge of $\beta_{S}$ is parallel to the few $L_{1}$ lineations measured in the sub-area (Fig. 4.1, ic). The fold in $S$ is apparently a macroscopic $B_{S}^{S_{1}}$ fold.

Possibly $S$ forms a large fold on the north-western side of the sub-area 1 where litnological layering is virtually absent. Otherwise, $S_{1}$ can only be folded locally, since there is no pronounced girdle in the plot of $S$ that
corrosponds to the fold in the schistosity.
The iit. Franks sub-aroa contains the clearest examples of macroscopic
B folds. The folds correspond in style with parasitic folds on the limbs 1 on some of the larger B macroscopic folds (e.g. Fig. 2.6a). 1
The Eive units described above can be traced continuously to the northern boundary of sub-area 2. Numerous amphibolite bodies outcrop along the western side of these units, and with $S$, trace out a macroscopic structure with a hine just to the south of the Black Prince Shafts.
$\beta_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\beta_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}$ plunge at $80^{\circ}$ towards $240^{\circ}$ (Fig. 4.1, 2a, b). Kink bands are not prominent in this sub-area, but at two localities, kink bands corresponding to $S_{4}$ are found. The axes of the kink bands at these localities have a mean plunge of $75^{\circ}$ towards $225^{\circ}$ (Fig. 4.1, 2g). Kink bands at several other localities cannot be identified as either $S_{3}$ or $S_{4}$. The three main concentrations in the plot of $I_{2}$ are located (approximately) on a small circle of $35^{\circ}$ radius about of $S_{1}$.

The structure in sub-area 2 abutts a similar structure in sub-area 4. However, in the latter case, $\beta_{S_{1}}$ plunges at $60^{\circ}$ towards $262^{\circ}$ (Fig. 4.1, 4b). The whole area west and north of the Black Prince Shafts is deformed by kink bands. The kink bands whose normals form the sub-vertical great circle in Fig. 4.1, 4 f , have shallow axes plunging to the north. These kink bands are mainly found to the north of the Black Prince Shafts. Some kink bands in this area, still with shallowly plunging axes, have normals that plot on the peripheral great circle (Fig。 4.1, 4f) 。Kink axes plunging steeply to the south-west are mainly found in the area to the west of the Black Prince Shaftse Geometrically, then, it is possible to sub-divide the area into two domains, but there is no spatial discontinuity in the development of the kink bands.

The vertical great circle in the projection of the normals to the kink bands in Fig. 4.1, $4 f$ are tentatively designated $S_{4}$, although the girdle nearly bridges the $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ maxima at the type area (see Fig. 3.20b). Kink bands whose poles project on the peripheral great circle are difficult to classify, although the poles of many of them fall within, or are very close to, the 1 活 contour for $S_{4}$ poles at the type area (Fig. 3.15). Consequently, they too are identified as $S$.

None of the kink axes have a plunge near that of $\beta_{S_{1}}$. When this is added to the sympathetic variation of $S_{4}$ and $L_{4}$ as $S_{1}$ changes from a northerly to a westerly strike, it appears that $S_{4}$ and $L_{4}$ post-date $\beta_{S_{1}}$. The changing orientation of $S$ then has affected the ultimate orientation of the kink axes as well 1 as the kink surfaces in a systematic manner (hence the spatial continuity of the domain). In this case the structure in sub-area 4 pre-dates $B_{4}$ folding.

The pegmatites have obscured the actual junction, between sub-areas 2 and 4 but some discontinuity must be involved. This is most evident just to the south of the Black Prince Shafts. The amphibolites in sub-area 4 are intercalated with even-grained andalusite schists with some quartz schist (and quartzplagioclase schist) horizons. On the eastern side of this unit are schists similar to those of unit 4 at Mit. Franks. Scattered outcrops of purple schists similar to unit 3 at Mt. Franks have been found to the east of the above unit. The eastern edge of the mapped area at the Black Prince Shafts corrasponds to the edge of a sandy plain drained by Gun Creek and its tributaries. Hence, it is impossible to completely trace out the pattern of these units. Still, the units in sub-area 4 can be correlated with those of sub-area 2; an interpretation of the relationship of the structures in sub-areas 2, 3, and 4 is given in Fig. 4.2.

The actual location of the fault shown in Fig. 4.2 to the west of the Black


FIGURE 4.2
Interpretation of the distribution of lithological units in tho vicinity of the Black Prince Shafts. The units referrod to aro those defincd at Mt. Franks. 5,10 shown as broken lines. Amphibolites and pegmatites are omitted (Scale: 1 inch $=1$ mile).

Prince Shaft can only be guessed, since the pegmatites and strong retrogression of the schists and amphibolites (virtually obliterating $S$ and $L$ ) have obscured the situation. From Fig. 4.2 it seems clear that the structures in suib-areas 2, 3, and 4 are parts of a single, inhomogeneous structure. The structure may have been initiated as a fold-like structure, but faulting must have occurred early in the development, so that the "folds" on either side of the fault could form about different axes. The axis of the "fold" in sub-area 2 is close to the orientation of some of the kink axes developed in the hinge, but this may be a coincidence, resulting from the imposition of $S$ on the already folded surface.

Sub-area 5 contains the ift. Robe Synform; a fold in $S$ and $S$ plunging at $50^{\circ}$ towards $230^{\circ}$ (Fig. 4.1; 5a, b). The shape of the fold is outlined by a broad band of amphibolites, composed of numerous layers of amphibolite broady parallel to $\mathrm{S}_{1}$. From the Consolation Shafts to the hinge of the fold, the eastern edge of the band of amphibolites coincides more or less with the edge of the sandy plain mentioned above. However, an aero-magnetic map published by the Bureau of Vineral Resources (Canberra) - which faithfully traces out the outcrops of amphibolites in the N. Robe District and elsewhere in the Willyama Complex (by comparison with the 24,000:1 field sheets of zinc Corporation) indicates that there are no further amphibolites to the east. Also, if amphibolites were present to the east, it is likely that they would form ridges similar to those formed by amphibolites along the eastern margin of the area. The band of amphibolites constitutes a mappable form surface.

The schists on the eastern limb of the IVt. Robe Synform can be correlated with units in sub-areas 1, 2, and 4. The amphibolites in the limb of the synforms are intercalated with even-grained andalusite schists that closely resemble Unit

5 at int. Franks. The presence of numerous thin layers of quartz schist is a further point of resemblance. Thicker units of quartz-schist are also prominent in the eastern limb of the Synform, and although such units are absent from Unit 5 at if. Franks, their presence is not inconsistent with the general nature of the unit. Along the eastern edge of the unit above there is a layer of schists resembling Unit 4 at 倠. Franks. Further again to the east, and largely obscured by alluvium, are sporadic outcrops of purplish andalusite schists and andalusite schists correlatable with unit 3 in Sub-area 1. As in sub-areas 2 and 4 , the amphibolites are found mainly in Unit 5.

In the hinge of the synform, the andalusite schist of Unit 5 is iransitional across a motamorphic zone boundary to rodded sillimanite schists. The content of quartz schist in the unit decreases westwards. The other units have not been traced around the hinge of the synform. The amphibolites in the easterm limb of the it. Robe Synform (away from the pegmatite) form regular units with contacts strictly parallol to quartz schist. versus andalusite schist boundaries. The persistence and regularity of thin quartz schist units between and in the mphibolitos suggests they are sedimentary rocks. There is evidently a fault just to the north of the Silver King Kine, offsetting the amphibolites on the northern side to the east. There is a complication in the pattern of anphibolites just on the northern side of the fault in the hinge of the Mt. Robe Synform. An interpretation of the actual distribution of the units across areas occupied by pegmatite and alluvium is given in Fig. 4.3 . The pattern can be interpreted in terms of isoclinal folding if the fold dies out to the northwest. The appearance of numerous mesoscopic $B$ folds in the schists, suggesting that layers in the schist are also trending across $S$, supports this idea. But, since the amphibolites may bo intrusive rocks, there is always the chance that the structure may be a modification of a cross-cutting portion of the original.


## FIGURE 4.3

Interpretation of the outcrop pattern north of the Silver King Mine. The amphibolites pattern suggests the existence of a fold with $S_{1}$ (dashed
intrusion. In dealing with the amphibolites it is difficult to avoid circular arguments by using structure to detemine origin and vica-versa. Those difficulties are a definite handicap in attempting to interpret the macroscopic structure. It is unfortunate that amphibolites provide the only form surfaces over a great part of the mapped area.

Kink bands are found in scattered domains along the eastern limb of the fold, but the greatest concentration of kink bands is found in the hinge region of the fold. The kink bands measured over the whole sub-area form a fairly homogeneous great circle in projection that corresponds to $S_{4}$ at the type area (each individual domain can also be identified as $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ ). The kink axes ( $\mathrm{L}_{4}$ ) form a maximum (in projection) corresponding to a plunge of $45^{\circ}$ towards $216^{\circ}$ (Fig. 4.1. 5f). The shallowly plunging kink axes came almost exclusively from domains just inside the southern edge of the sub-area and are continuous with similar kink bands in sub-area 4.

The trace of the axial surface of the 1 价. Robe Synform on the map cannot be fixed with much precision. Nevertheless it is clear that the axial surface of the fold - calculated from the trace shown and the fold axis - must be close to the mean $S_{4}$ surface. The value calculated is a plane dipping at $70^{\circ}$ towards $280^{\circ}$.

The lineation $L_{2}$ has a plunge very close to $\beta_{S_{1}}$ in the hinge of the fold and a different plunge in each limb. The more central maximum in Fig. 4.1, 5d is made up of lineations in the hinge. Concentrated measurements of $L$ in an area on the eastern limb of the Mt. Robe Synform in which $S$ is homogeneous, indicate that $L$ varies considerably (up to $25^{\circ}$ ) in pitch in $S$. As shown in Fig. 4.1, 2 . 1 5d, the main concentrations of $L_{2}$ fit on a small circle on the projection with a radius of $15^{\circ}$ about $\beta_{S_{1}}$. Similarly $B_{S}$ and $L_{1}$ are located on a small circle on the projection with a radius of $25^{\circ}$ about $\beta_{S_{1}}$ (this means, at least statistically,
what $j_{1}\left(I_{i}\right)$ and $L_{2}$ could not have beon parallel over the arco in what the 3 folcs occur. This covers most of the area of tho hince of the synfom and part of the wostern limb. The actual discrepancy observed is often ioss than $10^{\circ}$ ).

Kososcoyic $3_{4}$ folds in amphibolite-schist contacts are abundant in the hinge region of the int, Robe Synform. The folds apyear as incontations in the boundary, of the amphibolite with $S$, (in amphibolito and schist) fatiffuly
tracine out the folds. Kink bands are common in the indentations. The folds are occasionally transitional to faults and auch of the irrozularity of the outcrops of arphibolito are due to the combined action of folding and falitine. zegmatite dykes in the amphibolite form a pronounced radial pattern in tie hinge of the Symom.

The Mi. Robo Synform is a fairly open fold with two alnost vertical limos, with siight ovorturning on the eastern limb. Since it is not know wick way is up in the sequence of units, the fold is better reforred to as a synform (a fold in a form surface that closos downards) than a syncline. The coincident of $S$ and $S_{1}$ with $L_{4}$ and the axial surfaco of the macroscopic fold with $S_{4}$, the distribution of the lineation ( $L_{2}$ ) and the concentration of kink bancis and nesoscopic $B$ folds in the hinge, all indicate that tho Nobe Synform is a macroscopic : $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S}}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{Si}_{i}}^{\mathrm{S}_{4}}$ fold. As has been pointcd out by Ramsay (1960) and Weiss (1959a) the distribution of a lineation on a small circle
about the fold axis in projection is indicative of flexural slip folding (as a kinematic model). Before folding, I must have plunged at an angle between $35^{\circ}$ and $65^{\circ}$. Similarly $B$ could have plunged before folding at an angle between $25^{\circ}$ and $75^{\circ}$.
$B_{S_{1}}^{S_{2}}$ folds have been found only on the western limb of the Mt. Robe Synform. They are particularly common at one locality ( 31800130900 ) on the northern end of the limb. Here they have steep plunges and cause local reversals (in one limb) of the plunge of $L$. In the same area $L$ sometimes changes plunge, with only a minor variation $\left(<10^{\circ}\right)$ in the orientation of $S$, in strips several hundred feet long. The effect is assumed to indicate the presence of macroscopic $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}$ folds with nearly isoclinal limbs, of which the hinges have not been found. This is in accord with the style of the observed $B_{S_{1}}$ folds. $\beta_{S}$ in sub-area 6 is essentially a sheot dipping to the north-west ( $70^{\circ}$ towards $304^{\circ}$; Fig. 4.1, 6a, b). The considerable variation in strike evident in the plot is present in much smaller domains than the sub-area.. I plunges to both the north and south; the plunge to the south prevails over most of the sub-area, whereas the northerly plunges are very local. As in the preceeding subarea, the latter are believed to be due to undetected $B$ folds.

Kink bands are scattered in numerous small domains and cause only minor folding of $S_{1}$. Except at one locality (35600138300), where the kink bands are not obviously $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{S}_{4}$, they can be classified as $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ (Fig. 4.1, 6g). Kink axes have a wide variety of plunges to the north and south (Fig. 4.1, 6f). Many of the kink axes plunging more steeply to the north are found at the terminations of amphibolite bodies, especially in the extreme northern corner of the sub-area.
$S$ in this subarea is usually parallel to schistosity, with a few notable exceptions. In the central portion of the sub-area (around point 38000132000 on Map 1). the amphibolites are apparently isoclinally folded with $S_{1}$ as axial
surface. In the hinge of these structures $S$ in the schists passes continuously (in orientation) into the foliation in the amphibolites, at a high angle to the amphibolite-schist contact. Consequently, $S_{1}$ in the schists and the foliation in the amphibolites have identical significance. Similarly $L_{2}$ is continuous in orientation across the amphibolite contact.

As before, there is a chance that the 'folds'above may be modified premetamorphic structures (e.g. bifurcating dykes or sills). However, as the number of these structures increase, all with the same basic and regular style similar to mesoscopic B folds, it becomes increasingly probable that they are simply macroscopic $B_{S}^{S 1}$ folds. They will be accepted as such here (other examples will be cited below, Accurate plunges cannot be found for any of the folds in the amphibolite, but it is fairly certain that most plunge at $60-70^{\circ}$ to the south. The mapped shape is then approximately a profile.

The total structure in the above area is not very clear. If we assume that there is only one amphibolite layer, then it is necessary to introduce a complicated system of faults sub-parallel to $S$ to explain the observed fabric. Even so, the results are not very satisfactory, although faulting of this type might be predicted from a study of mesoscopic $B$ folds. It seems probable that 1
more than one layer was present before folding.
$S_{1}$ in Sub-area 7 is folded homogeneously about an axis plunging at $40^{\circ}$ towards $340^{\circ}$ (Fig. 4.1, 7b). Both limbs dip towards the northowest. $L$ is distributed in a great circle on the projection, with an axis at $64^{\circ}$ to the fold axis. The distribution of $L_{2}$, and the style of the fold, strongly suggests that the fold is a macroscopic $B_{S_{1}}^{S_{2}}$ fold. No kink bands are found in the hinge region.

The folds in sub-area 8 (in S ) plunge uniformly at $30^{\circ}$ to $010^{\circ}$ (Fig. 4.1. 8b). This is parallel to a set of kink axes in the subarea (Fig. 4.1, 8f), and kink bands are poorly developed in the hinge region of the folds. The kink bands are classifiable as $S_{4}$. Apparently the macroscopic folds are $B_{4}$ folds developed mainly on the lower limb of the $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ fold in sub-area 7 . $L_{2}$ is not sufficiently developed to be measured. 2

There is in the south-eastern comer of sub-area 8 a single mesoscopic $B$ fold (Fig. 4.1, 8h). The poles to the kink bands plot exactly in the $S$ maximum at the type area.

Sub-area 9 is only a small area penetratively deformed by kink bands. The kink axes have a shallow plunge northwards (Fig. 4.1, 9f). The kink bands are distributed in two great circles in projection parallel and normal to the kink axes (Fig. 4.1, 9g). One of these great circles is coincident (within a few degrees) to the sub-vertical great circle of $S_{4}$ at the type area. The other passes through the $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ maxima, although no poles actually coincide with poles to $S$ at the type area. Since the kink bands are developed in a 3 continuous domain, and there is no evidence of the interference of kink bands of different orientation, all the kink bands can be regarded as $\mathrm{S}_{4}$.

The structures in sub-area 10 are mainly outlined by numerous sheets of pegmatite parallel to $S$ and fine layers of quartz-plagioclase rock. The prevailing trend of $S$ and $S$ over the sub-area is sub-horizontal, a fact that is not very clear from the plot of the surfaces (Fig. 4.1, 10a, b, most of the steep dips are found near the eastern edge of the area). $S$ and $S$ are folded about an axis plunging at $30^{\circ}$ towards $210^{\circ}$. Folds with kink bands parallel to their axial surface abound. The kink bands can be identified as $S_{4}$ (Fig. 4.1,10g) The kink axes generally plunge shallowly to the south (Fig. 4.1, 10f).

Sub-area 11 is bounded on its southern side by a fault. $\beta_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}$ for this subarea plunges at $25^{\circ}$ towards $230^{\circ}$ (Fig. 4.1, 11b). Kink bands, which are only developed in the hinge of the fold, are classifiable as $S_{4} . L_{4}$ is parallel to $\beta_{S_{1}}$. Several possible $B_{1}$ folds in amphibolites are present in the sub-area and, on the eastern side of the sub-area, the total array of amphibolites seems to form a layer crossing $S$ at an angle.

The fault on the southern margin of the sub-area is marked by an abrupt change of dip of $S$ and the truncation of amphibolite layers, including one 1 folded isoclinally. There is also a discontinuity in the gross structure between sub-areas 11 and 12. S in sub-area 12 is virtually a plane sheet dipping $35^{\circ}$ towards $180^{\circ}$ (Fig. 4.1, 2b). L plunges uniformly at about $30^{\circ}$ towards $210^{\circ}$ 2 (Fig: 4.1, 2d). Lithological layering in this sub-area is either parallel to $S$ or is deformed by visible $B_{S}{ }_{S}$ folds. The fold axes and $L_{1}$ are generally at a high angle to $\mathrm{L}_{2}$. Kink bands are not developed, but a few $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ folds are scattered throughout the sub-area.

Sub-area 13 lies along, or close to, the trace of the axial surface of the Nt. Robe Synform. The poles of $S_{1}$ fall on a great circle in projection (Fig. $4.1,13 \mathrm{~b}$ ) with an axis plunging at $20^{\circ}$ towards $216^{\circ}$ but there is little evidence in the trends of $S$ or $S$ of a major fold. Form surfaces are only poorly developed 1 Along the summit ridge of Mt. Robe a distinctive layer of quartz-muscovite-biotite schists overlies muscovite schists. The contact dips uniformly at a low angle southwards and the two units are underlain and overlain by rodded sillimanite schists. The last typically contain numerous fine ( $\angle 1$ foot) layers of quartzplagioclase rock just to the west of the Mt. Robe Mine. Further westwards the fabric of the schists is totally linear and, as a consequence, the structure is undefined. A few scattered bodies of amphibolite provide the only other form surfaces.in, the sub-area. Except in mesoscopic $B_{S}{ }_{S}$ folds,
$S$ is parallel to $S$ throughout the area. 1
Only sporadic kink bands are present. These can be identified as $S$ (Fig. 4.1, 13g). Kink axes plunge shallowly to the south (Fig. 4.1, 13f; $20^{\circ}$ towards $190^{\circ}$ ). The maximum in the projection of $I$ represents a lineation plunging at $40^{\circ}$ towards $237^{\circ}$.

The direct relationship between $S$ and $S$ in sub-areas 5 and 13 can only be seen in the area near the huts at Mt. Robe. In this area there is an abrupt change in the orientation of $S$ across a fault.

1
The fault is marked at one point by a narrow zone characterised by a new phyllitic cleavage (point 39800122400 , the zone is marked on Map 1). The phyllitic foliation dips at $70^{\circ}$ towards $200^{\circ}$. S , and the amphibolites, seems to swing slightly westwards on the western limb of the Mt. Robe Synform as the fault is approached from the north. Clearly neither the amphibolites nor $S$ in sub-area 6 can form a continuous structure with the same elements in 1 sub-area 13. To the east and west of the huts at Mt. Robe the course of the fault is largely obscured by the pegmatites. However the dips between $S$ in sub-areas 6 and 13 appear to be discontinuous across the extrapolated trace of the fault for a considerable distance eastwards. The fault separating sub-areas 11 and 12, and 5 and 13 are continuous in orientation and position and are apparently parts of a major fault crossing the mapped area. It is proposed to call this fault the Mt. Robe Fault.

If the Mt. Robe Fault is continuous to the east; it must eventually intersect the eastern limb of the Mt. Robe Synform somewhere near Gun Creek. The fault has not been located in this area, but unfortunately there is a gap where Gun Creek crosses the band of amphibolites. There is, however, no apparent lateral displacement in the limb across the gap. The strike of the amphibolites and other units in this area is nearly normal to that of the


## FIGURE 4.4

Poles to $S_{1}$ in the western limb of the Mt. Robe Synform and the sub-area 6. The poles are distributed in a great circle of the projection with an axis plunging at $40^{\circ}$ to $230^{\circ}$.
(200 poles; Cont. 20, 15, 10, 5, $\frac{1}{2}, \%$ per $1 \%$ area).
fault and the dips are nearly vertical. Hence only a large lateral movement on the fault would produce any lateral displacement of the units.

The band of amphibolites along the north-western edge of the mapped area can be tentatively correlated with the amphibolites in the western limb of the Mt. Robe Synform. As noted before, the latter seem to swing westwards just before reaching the Mt. Robe Fault towards sub-area 11. From sub-area 11, the amphibolites can be traced, albeit discontinuously, to the north of Big Aller Creek where they again form a band similar to that in sub-area 5.

Acceptance of the correlation leads to the recognition of a second fold to the west of the Mt. Robe Synform, with a western limb dipping more or less parallel to the eastern limb of the Mt. Robe Synform. If the poles to $S$ in sub-area 6 are plotted on a stereogram with the poles to $S$ in the westerm limb of the Mt. Robe Synform, then a fold plunging at $40^{\circ}$ towards $230^{\circ}$ is obtained (Fig. 4.4). This is close to the plunge of the Mt. Robe Synform. The dip of $S$ and $S$ in the two limbs of the proposed fold indicato an antiform.

If the above correlation is invalid, and $S$ and $S$ are merely changing dip about the vertical on the western limb of the Mt. Robe Synform, the northwestern band of amphibolites should close to the north of the mapped area around the ILt. Robe Synform. The mapping of Zinc Corporation (King and Thomeson, 1953) and Leslie and White (1955) and the aero-magnetic map cited previously all indicate that this is not so. Consequently a major fold to be called the Westerm Antiform-a can be postulated with some confidence.

There remains one difficulty. Over much of the area covered by the Western Antiform (sub-areas 6,7,8,9,10, and 11) there are kink bands identified as $S_{4}$ with kink axes plunging at various angles to the north. From its
association with the Mit. Robo Synform the Western Antiform should bo a B 4 fold, in which case the kink axes are anomalous. Sub-area 8 is critical for it lies very close to the hinge of the antiform. However, $S_{1}$ in sub-area 8 has an orientation controlled by a macroscopic $B_{2}$ fold that closes in sub-area 7. The orientation of $S_{1}$ before $B_{4}$ folding in what is now sub-area 8 may have been different from the average and resulted in the appearance of kink bands of a different orientation. Similar arguments can be applied to all of the kink bands plunging to the north in sub-area 6. The kink bands with axes of this direction of plunge are all found around the termination of amphibolite layers where $S$ may have been disturbed before $B_{4}$ folding. In sub-area 11 , which is located in the hinge of the fold, and in parts of sub-area 6, L plunges nearly parallel to $\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{1}}$ for the Westerm Antiform (the plunges are slightly shallow in sub-area 11, see below). The situation is not very different to that in the iNt. Robe Synform, where kink axes near the Consolation Shaft are subhorizontal, although the kink surfaces have a similar orientation to those in the hinge of the fold with more steeply plunging axes. Near the Consolation Shafts, $S$ differs only slightly in orientation from $S$ further to the north in the 1
eastern limb of the Mt. Robe Synform.
The kink axes in sub-areas 9 and 10 are less critical, for the flat dips of $S$ and $S$ across both these sub-areas might indicate the presence of another 1 macroscopic fold along the western edge of the mapped area. It is not possible to confirm this idea, for the area to the west is covered by the alluvium of the Mundi Mundi Basin.

The trends of $S$ in sub-area 14 indicate the presence of a macroscopic fold. There is no form surface to delineate the fold as a whole. In general the subarea is composed of rodded sillimanite schists and often, especially along the
western side of the area, linear fabrics and poor outcrop limit the structural interpretation. $\beta_{S_{1}}$ plunges at $55^{\circ}$ towards $210^{\circ}$ (Fig. 4.1, 14b). The calculated axial surfaca digs at $72^{\circ}$ towards $276^{\circ}$. Lithological laycring commonly cuts $S$ in this sub-area, but in most cases the intersection is too fine to allow meaningful calculation of $L_{1}\left(L_{1}\right.$ here, and elsewhere in the area, is selciom exposed as a lineation that can be measured directly. This is partially because of the character of the schists and partially due to the nature of oxposure). L lineations plunge southwards, and appear to plot on a small circle on the projection with a $15^{\circ}$ radius about $\dot{\beta}_{S_{1}}$ (Fig. 4.1, 14d).

Kink bands are not very prominent anywhere in this sub-area, and are notably inconspicuous in the hinge of the macroscopic fold. Those found can be identified as $S_{4}$ (Fig. 4.1, 14). While the orientation of the axial surface of the macroscopic fold and the geometry of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ suggest a $\mathrm{B}_{4}$ fold, the lack of kink bands is unusual.

Scattered bodies of amphibolite outcrop on the southern side of the V . Robe Fault in sub-areas 13 and 14, but there is nothing comparable to the band of amphibolites north of the fault. This would seem to set a limit on the direction of movonent of the fault. The limited amount of lateral movement on the fault means that sub-areas 13 , and 12 and 14 , are extensions of the $M$. Robe Synform and Western Antiform respectively. The limb between the two folds on the southern side of the fault is not easily detected, because of the predominance of rodded sillimanite schists lacking proper foliation to the west of Nit. Robe. If the fault had moved upwards on the southern side. then the hinge and limbs of the Western Antiform would be repeated somewhere on the southem side of the fault. If the amphibolites near Vit. Eltie are considered as equivalent to the hinge of the Western Antiform in sub-area 11, then amphibolites should stretch back to the fault from the hinge. A sufficient portion of the area south of the fault has been mapped to eliminate this possibility.

Down movement of the fault on the southern side means that the rocks on this side of the fault are part of a sequence not seen on the northern side of the fault. The sequence on the northern side might then correlate with portions of the sequence displaced by pegmatite in the hinge of the Mt. Robe Synform and covered by alluvium on the western side of the Nestern Antiform.

The Xit. Robe Faultiis apparently located in a region where the plunges of the two major folds to the north of the fault flatten. The dips of $S$ in subarea 13 cannot be fitted to a fold that has a plunge of $50^{\circ}$ and a direction of glunge of $230^{\circ}$ (note the last two elements must be considered simultaneously, since we do not know which part of the fold the dips are in). Rather, $S_{1}$ in subarea 13 are folded about an axis plunging at $20^{\circ}$ to $216^{\circ}$.

The plunge of the Western Antiform is $15^{\circ}$ shallower than the plunge calculated betwoen sub-areas 5 and 6. The dips in sub-area 12 on the southern side of the fault are compatible with a shallower plunge. In sub-area 14 the plunge is again steep. Therefore, the Mt. Robe Fault can be viewed as a steep normal fault, downthrown on the southern side, probably resulting from inhomogeneous movements where the plunge of the two major folds changed.

A model of the shape of the Mt. Robe and Western folds is provided by a hand specimen from an outcrop in Little Aller Creok (Fig. 4.5). The mesoscopic fold reproduces the open hinge of the 保. Robe Synform and the more acute hinge of the Western Antiform, even down to the concentration of kink bands in the area of the former and their relative absence in the latter. The radical pattern of quartz segregations in the larger voin of pegmatite approximates the radical pattern of pegmatites in the hinge of the Mt. Robe Synform.

In one respect the macroscopic and mesoscopic fabrics do not compare very well. There is less isoclinal folding ( $B_{1}$ ) and less evidence of transposition in the macroscopic fabric than may have been expected from a study of the meso-


## FIGURE 4.5

Style of $B_{4}$ folds in a hand specimen from Little Aller Nreek. The layers are pegmatites concordant with $S$. Note the radial quartz "veins" in the pegmatite (drawn from polished slab perpendicular to fold axes, actual scale).
scopic iabric. Indecd there seems to be no major isoclinal folds in the mapped area that cause a complete reversal of the layers. The length the band of amphibolites traces out around the Mt. Robe Synform and the Western Antiform is about 14 miles and in this distance thore is no sign of an isoclinal closure in the band of amphibolites as a whole. Cartainly there is some isoclinal folding of the amphibolites in sub-areas 6 and 11, and perhaps near the Silver King vine, but these do not significantly alter the trend of the amphibolites parallel to S . Apparently the gross parallelism of layering and schistosity 1 at all scales is a feature of the Willyama Complex. Gustafson, Burrell, and Garretty (1950) and King and Thomson (1953) comment that schistosity is always parallel to bedding, even in the closure of folds. However, Andrews (1922, Fig. 8 , 11,28) gives a number of examples, including Mt. Franks, of schistosity intersecting layering.

Two alternatives can be considered:
(i) there are no isoclinal folds larger than those known. Schistosity has been imposed nearly parallel to the mean orientation of layering before deformation and it is only where $S$ crossed $S$ (bocause of some inhomogeneity in the 1 initial layering) that $S$ became folded. It should be pointed out that $S$ is not an example of a "concentric" schistosity in the sense used by deSitter (1960, p.292) with respect to the macroscopic $B_{4}$ folds in the area. Although the schistosity passes around the folds parallel to layering, the folds and the schistosity belong to two different tectonic and metamorphic episodes (Chapter 3). It may, however, be a concentric schistosity with respect to other macroscopic folds of which we are seeing only a portion in the Mt. Robe area. The concopt seems to be implicit in the writings of Gustafson ot al (1950) and King and Thomson (1953). Nor is the schistosity a "load" schistosity since it is often associated with intense mesoscopic folding (for a more general criticism of
the concept of "load" schistosity see remarks by deSitter, 1960, p.278; Turner and Weiss, 1963, p.455, concerning imposed fabrics in such regions).

There are some difficultios in reconciling this hypothesis with the symmetrios of the various sub-fabrics. The schistosity in this model is Wholly an imposed element and, as noted proviously (Sect. 3.25), it is difficult to see why the symmetries of the quartz and mica sub-fabrics should then differ. (ii) the Nt. Robe Synform and the Western Antiform are superposed on one limb of a laree $B$ fold in which transposition equivalent to that observed in the mesoscopic fabric has acted to bring $S$ parallel to $S_{1}$. Areas such as Nit. Franks represent parasitic folds on the limb of the major $B$ fold. It is not possible to reconstruct much of the details of such a fold without making assumptions about the orientation of $B$. The difficulties are manifold:
(a) apparently the axes of $B$, folds were not homogeneous at the conclusion of $B$ folding. Presumably any major $B$ fold would also be inhomogeneous, (b) the initial orientation of $B$ is not known, for there is no place in the mapped area that we can be sure is beyond the influence of later folding. It is not possible to unroll the $B_{4}$ folds to find the internal orientation of $B$, since we do not know the true orientation of any element before $B_{4}$ folding. All we know is that $B$ varied with respect to $L$ in different areas.

It is possible, however, to obtain some idea of the initial plunge of $B$ if it is at a small angle to $B_{4} . B_{1}$ and $L_{1}$ (and $L_{2}$ ) are apparently distributed on the surface of a cone co-axial with $B$ during folding. Where the angle of the cone is small, no matter how much $B$ has been rotated, it is still not very far from its original orientation. Unfortunately the possible error is twice the angle between $B_{1}$ and $B_{4}$ so that the usefulness of this criteria is limited. Still it appears B may have plunged south or south-west over much of the mapped 1
area
(iii) the plunges in the mapped area, if they are locatod on one limb of the

3 fold ane not nocosencily rulovent to tho plungo of the wholo propocod $e$, ごold.

Obvioucly only a caroful combination of pocional mapping ant structural analysic can clearly differontiato betucon the altomatives above fon the goneral retationchip of S , to S (in this roopect tho mapong of tine and Thomson, 1953, is quito inedoquate to resolve the peoblen).

The rinst altomative is not very jlausible for it domande that layeathe bohave in two contracted ways in difforent paets of the doroming body. In a
 created by the defomation, the layering is kinematically active. The properice oi layoung can be cxpoctod to dominate defomation and control such factors as size, shopo and distribution of folds. If there wore any aromalios in tio Enitial fabric by changes in orientition of the fold axes and local noterogeroity in the fold systom. In contrast, in sinilar folds it appars thet layosing, It not passive, piays a loss important role in the defomation. The caticacaeo of areas such as Mt. Frarks and of sioclinal folding in sub-area 6 groves thet lay Ging when deformed macroscopically behaves in a manner similar to the observed in tho roscscopic fabric. Thoro is ro reason to beliove the swo charactor does not porsist to oven larger scales. In this case, the gross parallelien of major anits to schistosity results from tranoposition at a macroscopia scale as penetrative and effective as that found in the mesoscopic rabric.

The five units of schist mapped on the castern side of the area are no doubt derived Erom original stratigraphic units. There are no eritoria to indicato which way up the original sequence was before folding. Also, large scile transposition, by comparison with the mososcopic fabric, has probably distortod the thickncss of the units considorably.
$B_{2}$ folds, as in tho mesoscopic fabric, are rolatively unimporiant in the
macroscopic fabric. Where $B$ folds are present in the mesoscopic fabric their 2
axial surfaces are usually at an acute angle to the prevailing orientation of $S$ in adjacent areas. Thus on the westerm limb of the Mt. Robe Synform $S$ is 1 steeply dipping, whereas at two localities at Mt. Robe it dips shallowly. The relationship implies that $S_{2}$ has been rotated with $S_{1}$ by $B_{4}$ folding and $B_{2}$ folds pre-date $B_{4}$ folds.
4.3 Meavage zones

Zones of retrograded rocks, charactorised by a phyllitic cleavage, are sporadically developed throughout the area. One example, located on the Vit. Robe Fault, has already been cited. Otherwise, it is impossible to determine if there is a discontinuous displacement across the zones, and so they will be referred to as cleavage zones rather than fault zones. The zones are linear and up to 50 feet wide (e.g. at the Consolation Shafts) and cut across layering and schistosity. The rocks in the zones are typically phyllitic in appearance with a prominent cleavage parallel to the margins of the zone. A second, more widely spaced cleavage intersects the first at an angle of $10-20^{\circ}$ about a steep axis. A faint lineation parallels this axis. Both cleavages are near vertical in the examples known and the lineation plunges vertically. The cleavage develops where the zones cross amphibolites (e.g. near the adit of the Nt. Robe Kine). Kink bands, with an orientation typical of $S_{4}$, are found deforming zones at three localities where $S_{4}$ is present in adjacent rocks:
(i) the zone west of the summit of Mt. Robe
(ii) at the Consolation Shafts
(iii) in zones to the north-east of Mt. Franks (loc. 3400078000 and northwards)

Thus, at least some of the zones pre-date the completion of $\mathrm{B}_{4}$ folding. On the other hand, the zone on the Mt: Robe Fault is probably synchronous with the final stages of $B_{4}$ folding. Either the zones have formed at different
stages of $B$ folding or are separated into several distinct episodes. There is no evidence for the unsupported claim made by Binns (1963) that kink bands are marginal or transitional to zones of this type. Generally the zones trend at a high angle to kink bands in adjacent rocks. At Mt. Franks zones nearly parallel to $S_{4}$ are doveloped, but the zones are separated from the main concentration of kink bands by rocks in which kink bands are only very sporadically developed.
4.4 The Mt. Pranks Fault

A fault running along the eastern flank of Kt. Franks and disappearing near the Terrible Dick Mine was first recordod and named by Andrews (1922) as the Kit. Franks Fault. King and Thomson (1953) show a similar fault, but extended a furthor three miles north on their map. Binns (1963) extended the fault a further 10 miles north to Brewory Well. None of these authors has demonstrated any lithological or structural discontinuity that might indicate the presence of a fault, and in the case of Binns, the extension is unsupported by mapping. Apparently Binns' main arguments for the existence of a fault are concerned with metamorphism. He believes that thormal metamorphism associated with the fault has caused retrogression of a belt of sillimanite schists from south of Mt. Franks to Brewery Well to andalusite schist. In contrast to the foliated and lineated fabric of the schists, the same rotrogression has caused the destruction of foliation ( $S$ ) in amphibolites.

There are no compelling reasons to believe a fault of the type envisaged by Binns or King and Thomson exists. Near Mt. Franks there are a number of cleavage zones, but the intensity of these dies out rapidly northwards. If we assume, as in the case of the Mit. Robe Fault, these zones are sporadically developed along a fault and project the fault northwards (Fig. 4.6 ), then the fault crosses the units mapped in sub-area 2 at an acute angle. There is no evidence of


## FIGURE 4.6

Location of Mt. Franks Fault proposed by King and Thomas (1953) and Binns (1963). Note how the fault crosses units of schist at a low angle without causing any displacement (S dashed; lithological units as defined at int. Franks. Scale 1 inch = 1 mile).
displacemont of the units. Nor is thero any discontinuity of structure across the proposed fault. It is possible that the cleavage zones near Nit. Franks mark faulting, but there are no reasonable grounds for placing a major fault along the eastern side of the mapped area. The genesis of the andalusite, which does not require a distinctive motamorphism in this region, is discussed at the ond of Chapter 5.

## CHAPTER 5

metakorphic perrology

### 5.10 Introduction

The contributions of Browne (1922) and Binns (1962, 1963) to the study of the general metamorphic petrology of the Willyama Complex have been noted in the introductory Chapter. A more detailed review of the conclusions of these authors is given below. This review serves to establish the regional setting of the Mt. Robe area, and also to record coments particular to this area.

Browne (1922) recognised three definite stages in the history of metamorphism at Broken Hill:
(i) A regional high grade metamorphism producing sillimanite schists and gneisses.
(ii) Local metamorphism associated with the intrusion of igneous rocks. The genesis of andalusite, sericite - and in part staurolite, kyanite, chloritoid and chlorite is ascribed to this phase of metamorphism.
(iii) A "stress" metamorphism. Assemblages include sericite, staurolite and kyanite.

A very local metamorphism, concomitant with faulting, is also noted by Browne.

Widespread development of andalusite (including the variety chiastolite) is apparently restricted to the northern portion of exposed Willyama rocks. It is particularly important in the area northwards and eastwards of Mt. Robe and Mt. Franks. Browne was apparently perplexed by the genesis of andalusite. He was clearly unable to reconcile its mode of occurrence with the then (1922) current acceptance of andalusite as only a contact metamorphic mineral; particularly as the only visible
thermal source, the pegmatites, seemed insufficient to create the required intensive alteration. Browe, however, tentatively accepted the contact metamorphic origin.

The even grained mica schists and carbonaceous phyllites (the rocks typically containing andalusite and chiastolite respectively) were believed by Browne to have formed during the same metamorphic period as the sillimanite schists, but in areas of lower iomperature.

Binns $(1962,2963)$ defines four metamorphic periods, designated $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$, and $M_{4}$, in chronological order.
(i) $M$ : Regional high grade metamorphism, divided into three 1 zones on the basis of both pelitic and basic assemblages. The N.W. Barrier Ranges lie completely within the zone of lowest grade rocks - Zone A. The oritical minerals for this zone are (Binns, 1962);
a. Sillimanite-muscovite in pelitic rocks
b. Pleochroic green-blue hornblende (z) in basic rocks.
(ii) $M$ : A period of metasomatism, the main expression of which is 2 the growth of unoriented porphyroblasts of muscovite. andalusite in pelitic assemblages, and pale blue-green pleochroic hornblendes in amphibolites.
(iv) $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ : A dynamic metamorphism along "shear" zones; chloritoid is assigned to this phase.

Binns, in common with Browne, records the different habit of sillimanite and the overall intensity of retrogressive metamorphism in the N.W. Barrier Ranges.

A still different origin for the andalusite is postulated by Leslie and White (1955), as a result of their study of the area around Brewery Well (Map 1). Although they note that andalusite (chiastolite) may be related to the Brewery Creek Granite, Leslie and White conclude that andalusite is probably the product of primary regional metamorphism.

In this thesis metamorphic events are divided into four categories, labelled:
(1). M - regional high grade metamorphism
(2). M - local metamorphism associated with faulting
(3) $M$ - local contact metamorphism associated with the intrusion of 3 the pegmatites
(4). $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ - retrogressive metamorphism, irregularly distributed at a regional scale.

Later we shall have reason to believe that $M_{3}$ and $M_{4}$ are different aspects of the same phenomena, but the separation is convenient for descriptive purposes. The symbols denote a probable chronological order of events. Again for convenience, the descriptive material is arranged in the order $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ (Chapter 5), $\mathrm{M}_{3}, \mathrm{M}_{4}$, and finally $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ (Chapter 6). 5.21 The mineralogy of $M$ rocks

The rock types included in this group are the schists and unfoliated quartz-muscovite-biotite rocks and minor unfoliated quartz-feldspar rocks. Most rocks which contain more than a few percent of mica are sufficiently foliated to be termed schists, even though in certain sillimanite schists the foliation may be very poor and the fabric mainly linear. Other exceptions are fine-grained sillimanite (andalusite)-
muscovite-biotite-quartz rocks containing 20-30\% of micas, but in which the mica sub-fabric is virtually isotropic. Similar rocks lacking either sillimanite or andalusite also occur. The fabric of the schists has already been described in Chapter 2.

Assemblages typical of $M$ schists are:-
(1). Sillimanite-muscovite-biotite-plagioclase-quartz
(2). Sillimanite-muscovite-garnet-biotite-plagioclase-quartz
(3). Sillimanite-muscovite-biotite-quartz-( ${ }^{+}$garnet)
(4). Andalusitemuscovite-biotite-quartz-(- garnet)
(5). Andalusite (chiastolite)-muscovite-quartz-graphite
(6). Quartz-muscovite-garnet
(7). Quartz-muscovite-biotite-( - garnet)
(8). Quartz-muscovito-biotite-plagioclase-(- garnet)
5.22 The sillimanite schists

The sillimanite in the schists invariably occurs as fine fibres up to -1 cm . long and less than 0.01 mm . in diametor. The organisation of the fibres to form cylindrical aggregates is typical of most schists. More rarely the sillimanite is dispersed as felted laminae parallel to the schistosity or in wisp-like aggregates.

The mode of occurrence and the dimensions of the cylindrical aggregates of sillimanite have been described proviously in Chapter 2. At some point along the length of each cylinder there is a slight bulge which contains a few grains of biotite, muscovite and quartz, about which the fibres form a swirling mass. Away from this bulged region the c-axes of the sillimanite are co-axial with the cylinder axis. The muscovite and biotite crystals in the bulge distinctly lack the preferred orientation of the same minerals in
the enclosing schist, but have the same optical properties. A variety of the sillimanite schist has finer rods than those described above, approximately 1 mm . in diameter and a mean length of 1 cm . Muscovite and biotite crystals have not been observed in rods of this type and the fibres parallel the longth of the rod throughout the aggregate.

The rods are restricted to sillimanite-rich schists, and as the sillimanite decreases, which can be correlated in a general way with an increase of quartz and feldspar compared with micas, the sillimanite is distributed in the felted laminae and visps mentioned above. Fibres of sillimanite also appear as inclusions in quartz and to a much less extent in biotite and muscovite. Muscovite is generally the predominant mica in sillimanite schist. The muscovite forms small tabular flakes ( 0.05 mm . long ) which define the foliation of the rock. Some sillimanite schists also contain a few porphyroblastic flakes of muscovite; further details of these and the discussion of their origin will be delayed until Sect.6.43. . The smaller grains of muscovite seldom contain inclusions, and then only sillimanite.

The biotite of the sillimanite schists, and indeed in all $M$ rocks, is essentially dichroic with $Y$ and $Z$ a vivid red-brown and $X$ a pale straw yellow. The tints of the colours vary very little from rock to rock. The biotite has a 2 V of only a few degrees in thicker flakes separated from the rock, $x$ and in thin section is often sensibly uniaxial. Biotite usually tends to be porphyroblastic (up to $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$.) and more weakly oriented than muscovite. The larger flakes, cormonly contain inclusions of sillimanite, quartz, and regularly arranged inclusions of skeletal (?) rutile. Haloed zircons are raror inclusions.

Plagioclase is only a minor constituent of schists which bear only a small percentage of sillimanite and is entirely absent from those richer in sillimanite. The plagioclase is untwinned and is either andesine or labradorite. Plagioclase, like quartz, has an average grain size of 0.2 mm .

A pinkish garnet is present in many schists as small idioblastic grains but never forms more than $1 \%$ of the rock. The garnet grains intersected in thin section are generally less than 0.2 mm . in diameter, but a few coarser grains ( $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$.) have been obsorved in hand specimens.

A black tourmaline (Schorl), pleochroic from olive-brown to colourless is a common accessory in the sillimanite schists. Traces of tourmaline in the schists may have been derived during the metamorphism from detrital material present in the parent rock. However, tourmalinisation of the schist, post-dating $M_{1}$ metamorphism, is widespread in the Mt. Robe District (Chapter 6) and hence accassory tourmaline might also mark the incipient stages of boron metasomatism, particularly as the accessory tourmaline is optically identical with that produced by the tourmalinisation.

### 5.23 Andalusite schists

The typical andalusite schist is composed of porphyroblasts of andalusite set in a fine-grained, well foliated matrix of quartz and muscovite and minor biotite. Metamorphic lamination is usually prominent in the andalusite schists. The andalusite is generally confined to quartz-rich laminae.

The andalusite is strongly idioblastic in all but a few schists and may be up to 7 cms . long, although 1 cm . is a more typical size. Cross twins on (101) are common. Optically the andalusite is colourless with a negative 2 V of $70-80^{\circ}$. The porphyroblasts always contain numerous inclusions
of quartz and occasionally plagioclase, garnet and biotite. Biotite inclusions have the same pleochroism and 2 V as biotite external to the porphyroblasts.

The optical properties and mode of occurrence of tho biotite, plagioclase, muscovite, garnet, and accessory tourmaline are the same as the corresponding minerals in the sillimanite schists. On the whole the andalusite schists are finer and more uniformly grained than the sillimanite schists. Grains of quartz have a mean diameter of 0.1 mm . Garnet is very rare. It is possible to distinguish two distinct varieties of andalusite schist; quartz-mascovitebiotitemandalusite schist, and quartzmuscovite-andalusite schist with only minor biotite. Biotite may compose as much as $30 \%$ of the first, and at Mt. Franks forms delicate layers $1-5 \mathrm{mms}$, thick. In contrast, the second variety which is the comoner of the two, contains less than $3 \%$ of biotite and generally more andalusite. The two varieties can be mapped as distinct lithological units.

Chiastolite forms in schists which are rich in a black opaque mineral. Determinations by Browne (1922) indicate that the opaque mineral is graphite. Chiastolite schists are also well foliated and very well laminated. The chiastolite typically forms "finger-shaped" porphyroblasts up to 7 cms . in length. The average grainsize of quartz in the chiastolite schists examined is 0.01 mm. , which is much less than the grainsize of quartz in intercalated andalusite schists.
5.24 Andalusite in sillimanite schists

Isolated andalusite crystals are sporadically distributed throughout the schists in which sillimanite is the dominant Al-silicate polymorph. The texture of andalusite in these schists is very distinctive; the andalusite
is always surrounded by a partial or complete "ruff" of muscovite. The ruff is composed of clear flakes of muscovite with a grain size ( $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$.) slightly larger than muscovite elsewhere in the schist. The mica composing the rufir is noticeably free of inclusions of sillimanite but may contain numerous inclusions of quartz and sometimes tourmaline.

The ruff is only absent where the andalusite is in contact with a quartzrich lamina containing no trace of sillimanite. Otherwise the ruff forms a complete shield between the andalusite and any nearby sillimanite.

The aggregate of muscovite plus andalusite in Fig. 5.1 (Plate 1), has a square outline about which the schistosity diverges, with a structure similar to that of andalusite in the ordinary andalusite schists. In this particular example small inclusions of andalusite in the muscovite with an optical orientation the same as that of the core of andalusite extend almost to the edge of the square. The total shape of the aggregate (mica plus andalusite) is the same as that of a basal section of andalusite and thus it appears that muscovite is replacing the andalusite.

Apart from the presence of andalusite the assemblages of the rocks are the same as normal X sillimanite schists. 1

### 5.25 Other rocks

Schists or non-schistose rocks composed of quartz,plagioclase and micas, but bearing no sillimanite and andalusite, are only minor constituents of the body of metamorphic rocks. The most important of these are the quartz-muscovite schists that outcrop along the eastern edge of the area north of the Black Prince Vine. These rocks usually contain quartz and muscovite in the proportions of $2: 1$ and sometimes minor biotite (the proportions vary quite


## FIGURE 5.1 (PLATE 1)

Andalusite porphyroblast partially replaced by muscovite (Spec. No. 28279). The section is normal to $\{001\}$ in the original grain and the rectangular outline of the andalusite in this section has been preserved. The core of andalusite (high relief) is surrounded by coarse flakes of muscovite (mainly with their basal cleavage sub-parallel to the section). (Field of view is $2 \times 1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~cm}$. , crossed nicols)
considerably from specimen to specimen) and have grainsizes comparable to the andalusite and sillimanite schists.

Garnet is never more than a minor component, and then is mainly found in quartz-muscovite layers often with a higher biotite content than is usual in sillimanite schists. At Mt. Franks andesine and biotite are more common in the quartzmuscovite schists than elsewhere, but still only constitute $10-15 \%$ of the total volume of the rock.

To the north and east of Mt. Franks there is a unit of much finer grained ( 0.01 mm. ) poorly foliated quartz-andesine-muscovite rocks which may be finely layered ( 1.5 mm .) with layers of biotite and andesine. Muscovite is only 10-20\% of these rocks and quartz and plagioclase in equal amounts make up the bulk of the rock. .

Rock which might be classified as true quartzites are very rare. 5.2.6 Petrography of the foliated amphibolites.

It is only the well foliated amphibolites that have simple mineral assemblages combined with simple arrays of grain boundaries and an even grain size. These amphibolites are interlayered with andalusite and sillimanite schists that show a minimum of retrogressive effects: This association, combined with the fabric of the rocks and the assemblages indicate that the foliated amphibolites are a result of the primary highgrade matamorphism.

The foliation is due to a planar preferred orientation of the hornblendes and is further emphasized in some rocks by a crude layering of quartz and feldspar. The amphibolites are fine-grained with hornblende grains of $1-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and a cross-sectional diameter (average), of the same order as plagioclase and quartz, i.e. 0.1-0.5 mm. Plagioclase grains
are slightly elongate parallel to $\{001\}(0.5-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$.$) , but in general$ grains of plagioclase and quartz are equant.

Assemblages of the foliated amphibolites are:-

1. Hornblende-plagioclase
2. Hornblende-plagioclase-quartz ( $\pm$ minor epidote)
3. Hornblende-plagioclase-quartz-garnet
4. Hornblende-plagioclase-quartz-biotite*
5. Hornblende-cummingtonite-plagioclase-quartz-biotite*
6. Hornblende-cummingtonite-plagioclase-quartz-garnet-biotite*
7. Hornblende-cummingtonite-plagioclase
8. Hornblende-plagioclase-epidote
9. Hornblende-plagioclase-quartz-sphene *

The modal composition of three typical varieties of amphibole are:
Volume percentage composition
(1)
(2)
(3)

Hormblende $\quad 50.9 \quad 73.2 \quad 34.1$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Plagioclase } & 48.1 & 15.5 & 46.7\end{array}$
Cummingtonite 16.1
Quartz 9.9
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Opaques } & 0.9 & 1.4 & 3.2\end{array}$
3,000 points counted in each specimen. Apatite ( $0.1 \%$ ) is present in all specimens.
(1). Specimen No. 28389 , typical amphibolite,
(2). Fine grained, dark coloured variant of amphibolite, (28377)
(3). Two amphibole amphibolite. (23317)
*Apatite and opaques (mainly ilmenite) are present as accessories in all assemblages, except (9), examples of which contain no opaques. Biotite is only a minor member of assemblages (4), (5), and (6).
the hormblendes of the amphibolites are pleochroic with $X=$ pale $y \in l l o w$, $Y=m e d i u m-d a r k$ green (brownish) and $Z=m e d i u m-d a r k$ green or, medium-dark green (bluish) or, medium-dark vivid blue-green. The absorption is always $Z=Y X$.

The $Z^{\wedge} c$ angles in the hornblende lic in the range $18-24^{\circ}$, measured on a flat stage in $\{010\}$ sections. A few values determined with a Universal Stage agree with those measured on the flat stage. Due to the strong absorption of the hornblendes, the former are probably no more accurate than values determined with a flat stage (See Turner, 1947).

The 2 V Z vary from $102-106^{\circ}$. The hornblende is generally nearly idioblastic and contains only rare inclusions of quartz and feldspar.

Amphibolites with a second amphibole are not widely distributed and examples (5), (6), and (7) are from specimens taken at three localities (37200132800, 23000123200,28500111300 ). The curmingtonite in one specimen sectioned (28281) forms lamellae in the homblendo (Fig. 5.2, Plate 1) as well as discrete grains. The latter contain no inclusions or lamellae of hornblende. The cummingtonite is a pale green variety, non-pleochroic, with a $2 \mathrm{~V}_{2}$ of $80^{\circ}$ $\left( \pm 1.5^{\circ}\right)$ and a $2^{\wedge} \mathrm{c}$ angle of $17^{\circ}\left( \pm 1.5^{\circ}\right)$-(averages of 6 measurements). Lamellar twins parallel to $\{100\}$ in the cummingtonite are common. There is a distinctive relationship batween the optical orientation of the curmingtonite lamellae and the host horrblende grain. Both minerals share common $\{110\}$ cleavages and also $X$ and $Z$ axes within the limits of measurement* $\left(_{-}^{+} 3^{\circ}\right.$ in the hornblende, due to absorption). The only difference in the optics of the two minerals is the location of the optic axes, with a $2 V_{Z}$ of $106^{\circ}\left(-2^{\circ}\right)$ in the homblende and $80^{\circ}\left(-2^{\circ}\right)$ in the cummingtonito. One set of cummingtonite lamellae have poles that make an angle of $4-5^{\circ}$ (four measurements) with $Z$ in the hornblende and cummingtonite, and at the same time are
*Average of measurement in four different grains with curmingtonite lamellae


## FIGURE 5.2 (PLATE 1)

Cummingtonite lamellae in hornblende. The fine cummingtonite lamellae crossing the hornblende grain vertically (appearing mainly as fine black lines resembling cleavage) are probably parallel to $\{001\}$ in the hornblende. The broader lamellae slanting along the diagonal of the plate (and parallel to the trace of the cleavages) are parallel to $\{100\}$ in the hornblende. (The field of view is $8 \times 6 \mathrm{~mm} .$, crossed nicols, Spec. No. 28281)


## FIGURE 5.2 (PLATE 2)

Sieve structure in a garnet porphyroblast in amphibolite. The garnet (high relief) contains inclusions of quartz (white), hornblende (dark grains in quartz cluster), biotite(far right) and opaques. (Field of view approximately $1 \times 0.75 \mathrm{~mm}$. , nicols uncrossed, Spec. No. 28285)
at an average angle of $17^{\circ}$ to $c$ (Fig. 5.3), suggesting the lamellae are parallel to $\{001\}$ in the hornblende. The second set of lamellae are parallel to $\{100\}$ in the hornblende.

The composition of plagioclase is very variable (An - An )* (Binns, 1964, gives a range of An 40 - An for plagioclases of Zone A amphibolites. However, this includes many amphibolites from outside the area studied). All plagioclase determined are low-temperature varieties. Twin types recorded include albite-ala, albite-carlsbad and carlsbad. Albite twins, with one or two broad lamellae crossing the whole grain, are probably the commonest type. A large percentage of grains are untwinned.

Garnet is widespread in the amphibolites bearing quartz and a minor quantity of biotite. The garnet forms scattered xenoblastic porphyroblasts ( $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$.) . The grains often give the impression of a matrix (sieve structure), pervading the quartz rich portions of the rock (Fig. 5.2, Plate 2). Quartz and opaques are the commonest inclusions, but the garnet may include all other components of the amphibolite except plagioclase.

As mentioned previously, variation in the internal composition of the foliated amphibolites is uncommon. A few important instances are described below.

10
An outcrop of amphibolite in the creek just below the adits of the $\mathbb{M} t$. Robe Mines contains, over a limited area 10-12 feet long and 2-3 feet wide, numerous prism shaped fragments of a biotite-andesine-quartz rock. The fragments are usually $10-15 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and have an approximately square end section of $3-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. , and are not systematically aligned. The portion containing the fragments is close to contact of the amphibolite with sillimanite *Determined optically by Gniversal Stage, using data given by Slemmons, (1963) by the method of Turner (1947).


## PIG. 5.3

Optical orientation of cummingtonite lamellas in hornblende. (Data from grain in Fig. 5.2, Plate 1.) The pole to one set of lamellae (a) makes an angle of $5^{\circ}$ with the $z$ axis of the homblende (and curmingtonite) and is at $17^{\circ}$ to the c-axis of the grain, suggesting the lamellae are parallel to $\{001\}$ in the homblende. The pole of the second set of lamellae is located mid-way between the poles of the $\{010\}$ cleavages of the homblende, and hence the lancllac aro parallel to $\{100\}$ in the homblende. (Lower hemisphere Wulf Nott; $C=p o l e$ to $\{010\}$ cleavage in the homblende, $O A(h)$ and $O A(c)=$ position of one optic axes of the hornblende and the curningtonite respectively)
schists. Unfortunately the amphibolite is partially retrogressed, but in the specimen sectioned (Spec. 28,324.) the effects are not extensive enough to cause any real ambiguity. The principal effect is the replacement of plagioclase (in the amphibolite and fragment) by sericite and very fine (?) clinozoisite.

The mineral assemblage of the amphibolite is hornblende, plagioclase and biotite (red-brown), and accessory apatite and opaques. The fragment is composed of andesine (extensively sericitised), biotite, quartz, hornblende, and minor chlorite. Most grains are untwinned and a few grains are indistinctly zoned. The texture and grainsize of the rock are the same as those of quartz-feldspar layers in the schists. The biotite (3-5\%) is the usual vivid red-brown variety and is apparently little altered, and the flakes are roughly aligned to define a foliation that is continuous with the weak foliation of the amphibolite. (The foliation in the fragment cannot be seen in hand-specimen, and an attempt in the field to decide if the foliation is independent of the orientation of the fragments was inconclusive. Presumably the parallelism of the foliation in the fragment and the amphibolite in the above specimen is typical.) Small needles of homblende $(0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, similar to that in the amphibolite, are disseminated throughout the fragment. Hornblende is also concentrated in strings that sweep across the contact between the fragment and the amphibolite and penetrate the former for a distance of several millimetres, along surfaces parallel to the foliation of the amphibolite. Chlorite is only a very minor component and may well be due to local retrogression of the biotite.

There is an increase in the hornblende content of the amphibolite near its contact with the fragment with the hornblende forming distinct clusters. A
fine "halo" of hornblende can be observed around most of the fragmente. The amount of biotite in the amphibolite is a little unusual (particularly as quartz is absent); and a specimen sevoral feet from the fragmonts, although strongly retrogressed, contains no trace or biotite or anything that might be interpreted as altered biotite (Spec. 28325).

Layers of quartz-andesine-garnet (pink)-biotite rock are sparsely disseminated throughout the amphibolite bodies. The layers are usually less than $2^{\prime \prime}$ thick and a few feet or less long. The proportions of quartz and andesine in the layers varies widely from approximately equal volumes to $70 \%$ quartz. Scattered grains of pink garnet, exhibiting pronounced:sieve structure, are always present; flakes of red-brow biotite are sometines abundant enough to impart a foliation to the rock (S ) but are often entirely absent. Hornblende, identical with that in the onclosing amphibolite, is an important component ( $10 \%-15 \%$ ) in some layers (e.g. Spec. 28337). Unlike the fragments from Mt. Robe just described there is no concentration of biotite and hornblende in the amphibolite near the layers. The texture and grainsize of the layers are sinilar to those of quartzose layers in the schists.

The recognition of retrogression in the calc-silicate rocks that outcrop in the area is hampered by their lack of a distinctive (imposed) fabric similar to that in the schists and their mineralogy. Many of the minerals that characterise these rocks (e.g. diopside, epidote, clinozoisite) appear in the retrograde amphibolites. Because of uncertainties in distinguishing $M, M$ and $M$ metanorphism, the calc-silicates contribute little to our knowledge of the metamorphic history of the area; consequently their description is deforred until the end of chapter 6, where they can be discussed in the light of the metamorphic history deduced from the schists and amphibolites.

### 5.30 Microstructure of $M$ Rocks

The configuration of grain boundaries in many $M$ rocks is very similar 1 to that of certain metals, ceramics and rocks recrystallised experimentally. In metals, where the details of microstructural changes during recrystallisatm ion have been much more extensively investigated experimentally than in rocks, microstructures of the type we shall be interested in are commonly formed during annealing of cold worked metals: The microstructure is the result of migration of the grain boundaries in the aggregate under the sole influence of differences in interfacial energies, and tends to minimize the interfacial energy of the aggregate as a whole. Recrystallisation of this type (during the annealing of cold work metals) is usually referred to by metallurgists as grain growth (recrystallisation) (Beck, 1954). The characteristic geometry of grain boundaries that is created during grain growth, and its dependence on interfacial tensions was first noted by Desch (1919) and later generalised by Harker and Parker (1945) and, in particular, Smith (1948, 1954).

In addition to the empirical studies a sound theoretical understanding of this type of microstructure is also available (Smith, 1948, Herring, 1951, 1952, Maclean, 1957. Swalin, 1962). Since the theory is couched in terms of macroscopic thermodynamic quantities it can be applied equally to rocks or metals, even though knowledge of the structure of grain boundaries between silicate minerals is almost totally lacking. Before describing the microstructure of $M$ rocks a brief review will be given of the equivalent micro1 structure in metals and the thermodynamics necessary for understanding its formation.

The important features of an aggregate resulting from grain growth recrystallisation is the array of strain-free grains with planar, or very slightly
curved boundaries; three boundaries intersect in line (a triple junction) and four boundaries intersect in a point (Smith, 1948).

The condition for the stable configuration of three grain boundaries intersecting along a line is given by Herring (1952, p.157) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\gamma_{i}{ }_{i}+\frac{\partial \gamma_{i}}{\partial t_{i}}\right)=0 \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{i=1}$ is the interfacial tension in the $i$ th face and $t_{i}$ is a vector in the $i$ th surface normal to the triple junction (and pointing away from it). If the term $\frac{\partial \gamma_{i}}{\partial t_{i}}=0$, that is if the interfaciaf tension is independent of the orientation of the interface with respect to the crystal lattice, then equation (i) for the three interfaces between three phases reduces to the usual form (Smith, 1948):

$$
\frac{\gamma}{\sin a}=\frac{\gamma}{\sin a}=\frac{\gamma}{\sin a} \quad \therefore \quad-\quad \text { (2) }
$$

where $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ are related to the interfacial angles $a_{1}, a_{2} a_{3}$ as shown in Fig. 5.4.
If the values, or the relative values, of the interfacial tensions are known then measurement of the interfacial angles can be used as a criterion of the completeness of grain growth.

Surface energy and surface tension (and the corresponding terms for interfaces*) are macroscopic thermodynamic quantities which are independent of the atomic structure (or type of bonding) of the surface region. Here independence means that the physical nature of the thermodynamic quantities is not a function of these properties, although the numerical values of the quantities will depend on these properties of the material, and possibly also the structure of the interface.
"Some authors, in the discussion of solids, (Swalin, 1962, Maclean, 1957) retain the term 'surface' for the external boundaries of a solid (solid/ liquid, solid/vapour) and 'interfaces' for the internal boundaries between grains of the solid. This convention is used here, although it should be noted that there is no difference in the physical nature of the thermodynamic quantities. Grain boundary is synonymous with interface.


## FIG. 5.4

(a). Relationship between tho interfacial angles $a, a_{2}$, and $a_{3}$ and the interfacial tensions $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{3}$ at a triple point. The line of intersection of the two boundaries is normal to the page.
(b). $O$ is a triple junction between three grains of the same phase, at which the three boundaries $A O, B O$, and $O C$ meet at $120^{\circ}$ (the faces and triple junction are normal to the page). The interfacial tension is assumed to be independent of orientation of the lattice of the phases. Novement of the triple junction to $0^{\prime}$, with a corresponding change in the three interfacial angles leads to an increase of the total interfacial energy of the system if the points $A, B$, and $C$ are fixed (at triple points). The increase is demonstrated by the grater length of $\mathrm{AO}^{\prime}+\mathrm{BO}^{\circ}+\mathrm{OCl}$ ( 4.7 units) compared with $\mathrm{AO}+\mathrm{BO}+\mathrm{OC}$ ( 4.4 units), and is equivalent to an increase in area in three dimensions.

Sore confusion in the uso of the themodymic quantities associated with solid surfaces particulably in the derinition of surface or interfacial tension is apparent in the metallurgical literature. The surface tonsion defined by Gibbs (1906) is a scalar energy-íunction, while that devised by Shutileworth (2950) is a symmetrical second-rank tensor which only reduces to a scalar in special circunstances. Part of the difficulties is due to terminology ard pert to the comparison of solid surfaces with liquid surfaces, for which the suriace free energy, the surface-tension and the surface stress are all numerically equal, whereas for solids this is not generally true. The circunstances in which the quantities can be considered equivalent can be seon from the equations defining the quantitios.

Gibbs (1906; p. 221) showed how to assign definite quantities of energy $\left(U_{S}\right)$ and entropy $\left(S_{S}\right)$ to an interface between two phases. The Holrholtz free enorgy $\left(F_{S}\right)$ of a surface at temperature $T$ is then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{S}=U_{S}-T S_{S} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Gibos (1906, p.228, p.315) then defined the surface tension $\gamma$ For both solid and liquid surfaces as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\gamma}=F_{s}-\sum_{1} \mu_{i} \eta_{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{i}$ is the surface density of the $i$ th phase and $\mu_{i} i t s^{\prime}$ chenical potential.
The surface energy is a neasure of the difference in total energy between a homogeneous phase with no surface bid the same phase with a surface introduced. The surface energy of all crystalline solids is positive (Shuttleworth, 19:50). The mathematical specification of $\gamma$ is dependent on a convention for locating the surface (Gibbs, 1906, p.315). The term "Holmholtz surface free encrgy" of Shuttieworth (1950) is equivalent to definca as above. The "surface tension" derived by Shuttleworth for solid surfaces is

a particular case of the surface stress of Gibbs (1906) or the surface stress tensor $G_{i k}$ used by Herring (1952). Changes in $\sigma_{i k}$ measure the work required to deform a surface. In general $\sigma_{i k}$ is a symmetrical second-rank tensor with two principal components in the surface layer.

For the very special case of a crystal surface with a normal axis of three-fold or greater symmetry the tensor can be reduced to a scalar (Shuttleworth, 1948). Surface stress is related to surface tension for the reversible deformation of a surface by the equation:

$$
\sigma_{i k}=\gamma+A\left(\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial A}\right) \quad \cdots-\cdots(3)
$$

Thus the two quantities are numerically equal if $\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial A}=0$; that is if the atomic mobility is sufficient to keep the separation of surface atoms constant during deformation of the surface. This is true for a liquid and may be approximately true for solid interfaces at temperatures approaching the melting point of the solid, in which atoms can move to the surface at a rate comparable to the ra te of expansion of the surface.

It is a result of the above discussion that equation (ii) should not be literally interpreted as a tension tending to pull grain boundaries into equilibrium configurations during grain growth. Triple junctions compatible with equation (ii) are stable because any motion of the triple junction requires the creation of a positive amount of new surface; and hance an increase in the interfacial energy of the system around that triple junction. A simple graphical demonstration of this, for a system in which $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=\gamma_{3}$ is given in Fig. 5.4b.; the demonstration can easily be extended to cases in which $\gamma_{1} \neq \gamma_{2} \neq \gamma_{3}$. It is only when $\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial A}$ in equation (i) is not equal to zero that there is a "torque" tending to rotate the boundary.

### 2.31 Description of the Microstructure of $M_{1}$ rocks

The minerals of $M_{1}$ rocks, in which there is no trace of retrogression, are strain free. Quartz is free of undulose extinction, the cleavage of the mica grains is planar and free of kinks. None of the other minerals have any micro-structural features that could be interpreted as evidence of strain in the aggregate. At the conclusion of $M_{1}$ metamorphism, any recrystallisation* that was proceeding, was taking place in a strain-free aggregate. Kany rocks that show minimal traces of retrogression also show signs of intragranular strain. The main expression of this is slight undulatory extinction of the quartz. However, the invariable association of the intra-granular deformation with retrogression, proves that it is not a primary feature of i rocks (Chapter 6).

Aggregates of quartz grains best exhibit the features to be expected from grain growth recrystallisation. The grains (in section) are usually five or six sidad polygons whose diagonals tend to be equal (equiaxial polygons). Three edges invariably meet in a triple point. The commonest deviation from this situation is for the polygons to become less regular (yet still with the same number of sides) and for angular bends to appear in the boundary between two triple points. However triple points are universal in quartz aggregates; the intersection of four boundaries at a point has not been encountered.

With a Universal stage, it is simple to show that the grain boundaries are generally planar in three dimensions, and hence the line of intersection of three boundaries must be straight. On a flat stage the angles at the triple
*Recrystallisation is used here in the sense of Beck (1954) who proposed ".....all boundary migration phenomena connected with the movement of ordinary high angle grain boundaries are designated by the generic term 'recrystallisation' ". hpparently this is the sense intended for the term "annealing recrystallisation" of Griggs, Paterson, Heard and Turner, (1960).
point are often near $120^{\circ}$; angles more acute than $80^{\circ}$ are exceptional. To avoid effects of the orientation of the slide with respect to the triple junction, the determination of the interfacial angles is best made with a Universal Stage.
5.32 Measurement of interfacial angles at triple junctions between guartz

## grains

The interfacial angles between three quartz interfaces were determined by use of a Universal Stage by the measurement of each interface. The interfacial angles were then calculated on a stereographic nett. Grains were checked simultaneously for undulose extinction by testing the homogeneity of the extinction of the grain.at the optic-axis position.

An estimate of the error involved in the measurements can be gained from the "triangle of error" formed at the intersection of the three great circles representing the interfaces on the nett. Where the sides of the triangles exceeded three degrees the measurements were usually rejected, unless some very obvious error had been made. This means that the probable error in the measurements of the interfacial angles is less than $2^{\circ}$. The failure of the three great-circles to meet at a point may be either the result of a proceedural error or measurement in an oblique section through a slightly curved triple junction. Each interfacial angle was determined separately, and the sum checked against $360^{\circ}$. Specimen 28371 (a quartz-plagioclasegarnet rock) was traversed at regular intervals and all the triple junctions crossed were counted. The results are given in Fig. 5.5a. 48\% of the interfacial angles lie within the range $113^{\circ}$ to $127^{\circ} ; 16.6 \%$ are within $2.5^{\circ}$ of $120^{\circ}$. The distribution is slightly skewed about $120^{\circ}$, a feature that results from the absence of one angle near to $120^{\circ}$ at some triple points. However, at least


Fig. 5.5 ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ). Frequency of interfacial angles between the grain boundaries of three quartz grains at a triple junction. The angles are summed over $5^{\circ}$ intervals centered on $120^{\circ}$;
(a). Neasurements at 92 triple junctions.
(b). Keasurements at 71 triple junctions.
(See text for description)
-
one angle of 120 appears at most triple junctions. The Universal Stage revealed that the homogeneity of the grains in this specimen was not as good as the preliminary examination had implied. Curvature of the boundary* is also more common than in the rocks measured below.

The results expressed in Fig. 5.5b, have been obtained by measurement in quartz aggregates with well developed triple junctions in a number of specimens, mainly quartz-muscovite schists. (Sections from Specimens 28291, 28297 from the Silver King Mine provided the bulk of the results). The distribution in the second plot is more symmetrical, and $55 \%$ of grains lie in the range $113^{\circ}$ to $127^{\circ}$. The quartz grains at the triple junction in the second case are free of strain.

The following points are relevant in evaluating the significance of the plots (in terms of interfacial tensions):
(1). Adjustments of the grain boundaries under the influence of interfacial tension is complete; ultimately all the interfacial angles would closely approach $120^{\circ}$.
(2). The interfacial tension of quartz is not independent of the orientation of the underlying lattices at the boundary. If the term $\frac{\partial \lambda_{i}}{\partial t_{i}}$ in equation (1) is not zero, then the equation expands into three equations with five independent unknowns. Determination of the unknowns depends, in part, on determining the orientation of an interface with respect to the quartz lattice. Knowledge of the orientation of the c-axis, the only easily determined direction in quartz, is insufficient. Nevertheless, measurement of the angle between the boundaries and the c-axes of quartz grains (in the first case) at triple junctions whose interfacial angles are close to $120^{\circ}\left( \pm 3^{\circ}\right.$ as determined) showed that no simple relationship existed. A complete, and apparently *Triple junctions at which curved boundaries intersect were not measured.
haphazard, scatter of angles was obtained. Further determination of the anglos for grains at another 26 triple junctions (for all ranges of interfacial angles), when combined with the above, indicated that the boundaries between the quartz grains are general high angle boundaries.

Brace and Walsh (1952) made direct measurements of the surface enersy of quartz in known lattice planes. The surface energies varied by a factor of 5 , so that the surface energy of quartz is anisotropic. However, these results cannot be applied immediately to aggregates, since the situation in aggregates is much more complicated. Across a boundary between two solid phases of the same composition there exists the possibility of a compromise structure forming, so that the interfacial energy may, be less than the energy of the two juxtaposed surfaces taken by themselves (unless the interface consists of a fairly thick zone with a completely chaotic, and hence structureless, nature; Maclean, 1957). The structure of high angle boundaries in metals is not properly understood, oven less is known of silicates. The "island" theory of Mott (1948) and Smoluchowski (1952), in which the boundary is conceived as a thin region broken up into areas of complete mis-fit of the adjacent lattices and isolated regions of good fit, is the only model that has some acceptance. Bubble rafts constructed by Lomer and Nye (1952) approximate to this structure. However the model has failed to predict adequately other experimental results, such as grain boundary sliding rates; MacLean, 1957). The interfacial energy will then depend in a complex fashion on the orientation of the contiguous lattices, and the extent of anisotropy on the nature of the compromise structures. (3). Assuming the interfacial tension of quartz is isotropic, the nature of other boundaries acjacent to the quartz aggregate (e.g. quartz-plagioclase, quartz-muscovite) because of their different interfacial tensions, necessitate compromises at nearby all-quartz triple junctions. This effect should
disappear with the possibility of prolonged adjustment of the boundaries.
Still, even allowing for these uncertainties, the results obtained point to one conclusion. The approach of the interfacial angles to $120^{\circ}$ is close; and no matter whether the departure from $120^{\circ}$ is due to imperfect annealing or anisotropy of the interfacial tensions, these effects are subsidiary to this general trend. If the spread of the interfacial angles is due to anisotropy of the interfacial tension, the total variation is only small compared to the mean value of the interfacial tension.

### 5.33 Plagioclase aggregates and quartz-plagioclase aggregates

Aggregates of plagioclase grains also tend to form polygonal grains with good triple points, especially in the amphibolites. However, the impression is given that the polygons are generally less regular than those of quartz. Nixed quartz-plagioclase aggregates are similar to aggregates of either mineral by itself; except that acute angles (mainly in the quartz) are more abundant. However triple points with the interfacial angles approaching $120^{\circ}$ seem to be the rule. Hence the interfacial energy of general quartz-p plagioclase boundaries must be of the same order of magnitude as plagioclaseplagioclase boundaries, which in turn, are of the same order as quartz-quartz boundaries.

Measurement of the interfacial angles between three plagioclase grains at a triple junction (Spec. 28371, Fig. 5.6) indicates a wider distribution about $120^{\circ}$ than that of quartz in the same rock. The prevalence of angles around $90^{\circ}$ often corresponds to the intersection of boundaries against a surface nearly parallel to the cleavage in the third grain. Triple junctions between combinations of quartz and plagioclase grains have not been measured. 5. 34 Quartz-mica interfaces

Voll (1960) suggested that the interfacial energy of a quartz-muscovite


## FIG. 5.6

Frequency of interfacial angles observed at a triple junction between (a) three plagioclase grains and (b) two quartz grains and a muscovite flake. Angles are summed over 5 degree intervals centered on $120^{\circ}$. Angles measured at 192 triple junctions.
interface parallel to $\{001\}$ in the mica is infinite compared with the energy of a quartz-quartz interface. Thus, at a triple junction between two quartz grains and a mica grain the quartz-quartz interfaces will tend to meet the $\{001\}$ plane of the muscovite at right angles.

Triple junctions between two quartz grains and a muscovite flake are very prominent in the Broken $H i l l$ schists. The interfacial angle in the muscovite (opposite the quartz-quartz interface) is always $180^{\circ}\left(-1^{\circ}\right.$ ) (by measurement at 100 triple points*) and the quartz-mica interface is parallel to $\{001\}$ in the mica within the limits of observation. The angles between the quartz-quartz interface and the two quartz-muscovite interfaces are given in Fig. 5.7 - Since the two angles must be symmetrical about $90^{\circ}$ only 100 of the 200 measured angles are plotted. $74 \%$ of the interfacial angles are situated in the range $90^{\circ}$ to $97^{\circ}$ and $90 \%$ within the range $90^{\circ}$ to $101^{\circ}$.

The boundaries involved at the triple junction are general high angle boundaries (from measurement c-axes of quartz and $\{001\}$ in the muscovite).

Again the spread in the results may indicate insufficient annealing for the attainment of a stable configuration, or anisotropy of interfacial tension. If the latter is the cause, the anisotropy of the quartzmuscovite boundary is probably more significant than that of the quartz-quartz interface (since the latter is only a very small proportion of the energy of a general quartzmuscovite boundary).

Quartz-mica interfaces trending at an angle to $\{001\}$ in the muscovite are much less regular than the corresponding quartz-muscovite boundaries parallel to $\{001\}$ in the muscovite. Boundaries of the former type are found at the ends of single grains of quartz and quartz laminae one grain wide. Although the boundary trends as a whole across $\{001\}$ in the mica, closer examination *That the angle is sensibly $180^{\circ}$ can be observed in almost any $M$ schist on a flat stage. The angle is unaffected by variation in the orientation of the plane of the section.


FIG. $5.7^{\circ}$
Interfacial cycles botween quartz-muscovite and the quartz-quartz boundary, sumned over four degree intervals from $90^{\circ}$. The histogram must be symmetrical about the vertical frequency axis passing through $90^{\circ}$ (see text). Angles measured at 101 triple junctions.
reveals numerous fine "tongues" of quartz penetrating along the basal cleavage of the mica (Fig. 5.8a). Strong curvature of the trend of the quartz-mica boundary is also common. Both types of structures can be observed in schists in which boundaries between quartz grains meet at good triple points. Often the termination of the quartz grain by a boundary transverse to the muscovite cleavage is avoided, by formation of wedgeshaped grains as illustrated in Fig. 5.82.

Where a single grain of muscovite forms a triple point with two grains of quartz the interfacial angles of ten take the form depicted in Fig. 5.9. . Actual values have not been determined, mainly because of the imperfect nature of the quartz-mica boundary across $\{001\}$ in the mica. Angle $\theta_{3}$ (in section) is usually of the order of $100-110^{\circ}$; angles less than $90^{\circ}$ have not been observed. Contrary to the experience of Voll (1960), quartz-quartz boundaries are seldom attached to the ends of muscovite flakes, except where the situation is unavoidable (e.g. where muscovite grains are separated in a quartz matrix). The quartz-quartz interfaces in the Broken Hill schists are normally attached to the central portion of the muscovite grain.

If we accept the configuration in Fig. 5.9 as an approximation to stability, then by resolving the interfacial tensions parallel to ox, we obtain*:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{2}\left(\cos \left(180-\theta_{3}\right)+\gamma_{3} \cos \left(180-\theta_{2}\right)-\gamma_{1}=0\right. \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\gamma_{3}$ is very small compared to $\gamma_{1}$, so that, to a first approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2} \cos \left(180-\theta_{3}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $\theta_{3}$ is more than $90^{\circ}$ - as is observed - $\gamma_{2}$ is greater than $\gamma_{1}$. The conclusion is supported by the penetration of quartz along the basal cleavage of muscovite grains, thus reducing the total interfacial energy of the aggregate.

The above results have immediate implication in the study of some of the features of the micaceous schists; some details of quartz-muscovite aggregates *Note that in using this equation wo are assuming there are two very distinct minima in a function describing the variation of against direction for quartz -muscovite boundaries.


Figure $5.8(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c})$.
Features of quartz-muscovite ageregates in $M_{1}$ schists (sketched from thin sections; quartz grains stipled, muscovite dashed parallel to traces of $\{001\}$ cleavage). Of importance is the conformity of the quartz-muscovite boundaries to the basal cleavage of the muscovite, except where the irrezular, and strongly curved boundaries cross the mica grain:
(a). Examples of quartz-muscovite boundaries (from Specimens 28372, 28350).
(b). Layers of quartz grains in muscovite aggregates (Spec. 28372).
(c). Shape of quartz aggregates composed of many grains (Spec. 28372); section normal to $I_{2}$ ).


RIG. 5.9.
(a). The frequently observed arrangement of interfaces at a triple point between two quartz grains and a muscovite flako. The trace of 001 in the muscovite is shown as a dashed horizontal line.
(b). Relationship of interiacial tensions and interfacial angles for the calculations in equations (6) and (7). The arrangement of the various interfaces is as shown in (a).
in rocks of this type are given in Fig. 5.8b. Single grains of quartz in a matrix of muscovite grains are dimensionally elongate in section; ratios of width/length of between $1 / 15$ to $1 / 20$ are common (see especially Spec. 28372 ). All values from $1 / 1$ up to $1 / 20$ can be found, obviously the location of the plane of the section with respect to the shape of the grain will be a major factor in determining the ratio. Loose sampling of the various specimens has not revealed ratios in excess of $1 / 20$ ). The dimensional elongation is always parallel to the schistosity of the rock. Elongation of the grains is similar in sections at right angles from the same specimen. Sections sub-parallel to the foliation of the schists suggest - when combined with the above - that the grains are very roughly disc-shaped. Development of this shape might reflect the balancing of the interfacial tension of boundaries across the cleavage of the muscovite flakes at the ends (in section) of the quartz against that parallel to the cleavage of the muscovite. The two tensions will be balanced when the area of the side of the disc is in the same proportion to the area of the circular ends as the values of the two interfacial tensions. (If the grains are considered as ideal discs, and the ratio of $1 / 20$ represents an average for thickness to diameter of a stable disc, then the ratio of the area of the edge of the disc to the area of two circular ends is close to $1 / 10$. Substituting this value in equation (4) gives a value of $\theta_{3}$ equals $96^{\circ}$. A ratio of $1 / 15$ for the thickness/diameter ratio yields $\theta_{3}$ equals $99^{\circ}$. These angles are of the same magnitude as the interfacial angle frequently observed in the muscovite flake at a quartz-quartz-muscovite triple junction).

It is noticeable that elongate grains of quartz (in section) never transgress the cleavage of the mica flakes they contact, except at their lateral termination. Again this probably reflects the interfacial tensions
of the various quartz-muscovite boundaries.
Of very common occurrence in the schists, are laminae one grain thick (Fig. 5.8b ) composed of dimensionally elongate quartz grains. The laminae are strictly parallel to the schistosity. Tho width/length ratio of the individual grains is usually $1 / 5$ to $1 / 10$. Except for the internal boundaries and their overall dimensions, the laminae are very similar to the single grains of quartz just described; and have - with one difference - probably developed in a similar fashion. The much smaller tension of a quartz-quartz interface compared to quartz-muscovite interfaces parallel to the mica cleavage, mean that thickening of the laminae normal to the schistosity, with corresponding reduction of the area of quartz-muscovite boundary, is a favourable process. The result is a lowering of the total grain boundary energy of the aggregate. However the thickening increases the area of quartzmuscovite interface that transgresses the micas (at the ends of the laminae); hence, the ultimate dimensions of the laminae will depend on a balancing of these three tensions. Since the area of the quartz-quartz interfaces is relatively large, the contribution of these interfaces may be significant.

There is a marked contrast between the shapes of quartz grains in layers many grains thick and the two structures just discussed. The quartz grains in the layers are polygons with no noticeable dimensional elongation. Measurement of the interfacial angles at all-quartz triple junctions in layers of this type provided the data for Fig. 5.5. The shape of these layers in a section nommal to $I$ is shown in Fig. 5.8 b (other examples have already been 2 illustrated in Fig. 2.2 of Chapter 2). The slightly lenticular outline is characteristic, and as mentioned previously in Chapter 2, with decrease of the length relative to thickness (using $S$ as a reference plane) the laminae
grade into rods. In terns of interfacial tension, the development of the lenticular section allows an increase of the area of quartz-quartz interface without producing any quartz-muscovite boundaries that cut across the muscovite flakes at a significant angle. The area of the ends of the layers; are correspondingly decreased.

The behaviour of quartz-biotite aggregates parallels that of quartz-muscovite aggregates, although the dimensional elongation of quartz grains isolated by biotites seems to be less marked. It was noted earlier that the absence of intragranular strain in if rocks, when combined with the knowledge that the rocks have been metamorphosed (i.e. recrystallised), indicates that grain growth recrystallisation was a possibility in M rocks. The micro-structure of quartz and plagioclase aggregates agrees well with the micro-structure of aggregates formed experimentally by grain growth in metals (Smith, 1943, MacLean, 1957, for grain growth recrystallisation of rocks see Griggs, Paterson, Heard and Murner, 1960), and with predictions from thermodynamics. The two strongest points in this connection are the reduction of curved boundaries to a minimum and the creation of triple junctions. The relative interfacial energies of quartz-muscovite interfaces and quartz-quartz interfaces allow a ready explanation of some of the features of quartzmuscovite aggregates. However it is necessary to try to evaluate whether interiacial tension has been the prime cause of the structures observed, or if the grain growth is merely modifying stmuctures inherited from the pregrain growth fabric. The relevance of this question is indicated by the fact that the lenticular laminae in the schists are part of the imposed schistosity and lineation ( $L$ ) of the schists.

The fabric of $M$ rocks, amphibolites and schists, is dominated by one or

Doth of the fabric elements, $S_{1}$ and $L_{1}$ imposed during $B_{1}$ folding (Chanter 2). In turn, those fabric olements are dependent on the dimensional oriontation of muscovite and biotite and to a much lesser oxtent discoid grains of quartz $\left(S_{1}\right)$, and for the variation in orientation of micas from point to point to form $I_{2}$. Dimensional orientation of sillimanite, andalusite and hornblende also contribute to the lineation $L_{2}$. The orientation of these minerals, plus their lack of intra-granular strain, proves that $i_{q}$ metamorphism can only have beon synchronous with, or post-dated $B_{1}$ folding. The grain growth texture of quartz and plagioclase signifies that $M_{1}$ metamorphism has concluded after the finish of $\bar{B}_{1}$ folding.

Some aspects of the fabric of the $M$ rocks favour the operation of metamorphism during $B_{i}$ folding. This relationship has been proposed previously to explain the closed internal layering in the ellipsoids (Soct. 2.33). The data offered by the preferred orientation of the minerals produced by $M_{1}$ metanorphism is less equivocal, sinco final interpretation must depend on the vexed question of the mechanisms of orientation. All the major phases produced by $M_{1}$ metamorphism - except plagioclase and garnet, are known to have a preferred orientation of some sort. Quartz apart, the orientation of the minerals (sillimanite, andalusite, muscovite, biotite, hornblende) is a dimensional orientation; hence mimetic recrystallisation may have played a significant role in the evolution of the pattern. This certainly secms to be the case for tufted aggregates of sillimanite and perhaps twinned andalusites growing with random dimensional orientation in the schistosity.

The reason for the aggregation of sillimanite into rods in the sillimanite schists is not clear, although it seems, at least for the coarser rods, that the presence of the few ilakes of mica (unoriented) and grains of quartz are vital for their formation. Binns (1963) has proposed that the aggrogates are
replacing kyanite porphyroblasts; this might account for the quartz and mica and also the swirling of the sillimanito around these particles. nowever the almost periect cylindrical shape of the rods (and also the finer rods without) a core of quartz and mica agcregate) away from the bulged region might result from the direct influence of stross on recrystallisation; the shape is not one likcly to result wholly from replacement of kyanite or any other phase.

Wo concluded in Sect. 2.35 , that the preferred orientation of quartz in $B_{1}$ by tectonites probably reflects the symmetry of the stress field that caused the deformation. This is compatible with the recrystallisation of quartz during the course of deformation; but it is not possible to eliminate critically the growth of the pattorn from "sood" crystals developed during deformation in a distinct, and later, episodic of metamorphism.

The shape of the discoid quartz grains is almost cortainly the result of the action of interfacial tension, as shown by the close conformity of the quartz grains to the dimensional shape of the mica grains (e.g. where the grains form wedges betweon two mica grains). The fact that the shape of the grains have symmetry elements in common with the other fabric elements of the schists (and consequently might be interpreted as evidence of the symmetry of strain of the rocks) is a secondary effect, resulting from the interplay of interfacial tonsio and the pre-existing proferred orientation of the mica lattice. Any influence the deformation may have had on the shape of the quartz grains, either in muscovite or quartz aggregates, has been long since obliterated by the influence of intorfacial tension.

The rods and laminae present a differont picture. Conceivably the rods may have originated by lateral contraction of laminae to satisfy the requirements of interfacial tension. However several facts contradict this hypothesis. The muscovites and biotites doviate around the rods; the deviation is not simply a
mattor of urain shape, but also involves tho orientation of $\{001\}$ in tho mica. While grain boundary adjustment might alter the dimensional elongation of the grains rolative to tho lattice, it can hardly altor the actual orientation of tha lattice. Also, the elongation of the aggregates to form rods is a deformation foature. It seoms that the rods had much the same shape during the initial stages of recrystallisation (bofore the onset of grain growth) as they have now. Grain growth has probably caused the rods to conform more closely to the pattern of the surrounding micas and also contributed to somo extent to the lenticular cross-section of the laninae, but it is not an important factor in their ovorall genesis. The reason laminae doveloped (predominantly) in some rocks and rods in others, seems to depend on somo intrinsic property of the original rocks and its influence on the doformation.

Finally, a comment should be made on the micro-structure of the chiastolite schists. The grain size of quartz and muscovite in these rocks is much less than that or the same minerals in the adjacent schists (Sect. 5.24 ). A further contrast is provided by the irregularity of the quartz-quartz interfacas and the shape of quartz grains in the chaistolite schists. The presence of numerous fine inclusions of (?) graphite in the quartz boundaries suggests that locking of the grain boundaries during grain growth may have been the cause of these differences.

There is no change of the microstructure or grainsize of $M$ schists across glide surfaces (Spec. 28350). Nor is there any sign of straining of quartz or mica in the vicinity of the glide surface. This indicates the glide surfaces must pre-date the grain growth phase in the schists and are thus an intrinsic part of $B$ folding.

## 2. 25 Distribution of the Sillimanite and andalusite schists

The distribution of sillimanite and andalusite schists is given in Fig. 5.10. Data for the compilation of the figure are derived from thin sections and the examination of hand specimens in the field. Areas in which andalusite grains are present in the sillimanite schists are denoted by the overlap of symbols. The latter areas should be regarded as the minimum distribution of andalusite in sillimanite schist. For example in one thin section of a sillimanite schist from north of Eldee Creek (Loc. 5240011500 ; Spec. No. 28385 ), a single grain of andalusite was encountered in the thin section, although andalusite was not evident in the hand specimen (before cutting) or in numerous other hand specimens from the same area.

The area can be divided into distinct zones, as can be seen in Fig. 5.10 in which either sillimanite or andalusite is dominant. The nature of the boundary between the two zones is well illustrated in the hinge of the Mt. Robe Synform. The transition from andalusite schists to sillimanite schists is abrupt and occurs in less than 300 feet. There is a region between the andalusite and sillimanite zones in which sillimanite and andalusite schists are mixed, with andalusite occuring predominantly in the more quartzose layers and sillimanite in the more micaceous layers. However to the west of this mixed region andalusite is either absent or appears only as scattered grains (never more than $1 \%$ of the total volume of the rock, as opposed to $15 \%$ or more sillimanite) in the sillimanite schists.

Nuch the same situation is reproduced along the boundary between sillimanite and andalusite schists to the north of Mt. Franks, towards and at, Mt. Robe. In particular, about $1 \frac{1}{2}$ miles north of Mt. Franks an outcrop of chiastolite schists and sillimanite schists are intermixed in a region several hundred


FIGURE 5.10
Distribution of andalusite and sillimanite schists.
 Only areas where andalusite or sillimanite occur are shown.
feet across (normal to the general N-S boundary). On the eastern side of this boundary chiastolite is totally absent from the sillimanite schists.

The reason for assigning the andalusite and sillimanite schists to the same metamorphic event relate mainly to the identity of the fabric of both varieties of schist. The situation is most clear at the locality just to the north of Mt. Franks mentioned in the previous paragraph. Both the andalusite and sillimanite schists are moderately well foliated and the lineation ( $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ) although not prominent is clearly recogniseable. The lineation is visible mainly as a "mineral streaking" in the foliation, but there is also a tendency for chiastolites to be aligned parallel to this direction. Sillimanite rods also define the lineation in the sillimanite schists. There is a complete continuity in style and orientation of the schistosity and lineation (as mineral streaking) passing from the andalusite (chiastolite) schists to the sillimanite schists from east to west. The same is true passing around the hinge of the Mt. Robe Synform, although there is a gradual (and continual) change of the gross orientation of the schistosity and lineation around the hinge of the fold.

It is quite clear that the schistosity ( $S_{2}$ ) and lineation ( $I_{2}$ mineral streaking) in the andalusite and sillimanite schists are basically identical, although the lineation is commonly more prominent in the sillimanite schists and foliation is more prominent in the andalusite schists. However even this difference is missing in the quartz-muscovite schists and amphibolites that are intercalated with the andalusite and sillimanite schists; the fabric of these rocks is completely identical in both zones. Finally the microstructure of the rocks which is an intimate part of the fabric of the rocks, is the same in rocks of both zones; and in each case has been created by a metamorphism
that emphasised fabric elements associated with the first phase of folding (B).

The crux of Binns' argument for associating the formation of andalusite with the Vit. Robe Fault is a supposed relationship between andalusite and "crenulations" (S and $S_{4}$ in this text) in the andalusite schists. This involves (Binns, 1962, 1963);
(1). The identification of the kink bands as a result of the same deformation as the Mt. Franks Fault, and marginal to the fault.
(2). A genetic relationship between andalusite and the kink bands, as a result of which "knots of andalusite often lie at the axes of puckers" (Binns, (1963).

Andalusite is scattered fairly uniformly throughout the andalusite schists, whereas $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ are concentrated in discrete regions. It is only where $S_{3}$ or $S_{4}$ tends to become penetrative and the andalusite prisms are aligned roughly 4 parallel to $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ that the relationship in (2) is observed. Given that the average cross-section of the andalusite prisms is of the order of $4 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm} .$, a high proportion of grains will be intersected by a kink surface in a rock in which the kink bands are less than a centimetre apart on the average. The alignment of the prisms with $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{L}_{4}$ occurs in areas where $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ is parallel to $I_{3}$ or $I_{4}$, and results from preferred orientation of andalusite parallel to $I_{2}$. Where $L_{2}$ is at an angle to $I_{3}$ or $L_{4}$, the andalusite prisms can be observed to have a different orientation in each limb of a $B_{3}$ or $B_{4}$ fold or inside and outside a kink band. The whole range of relationships can be observed passing around the hinge of the fold at Mt. Franks.

The presence of andalusite in the sillimanite schists might imply that the rocks of the sillimanite zone have passed progressively through the andalusite zone (in time). However the aggregates of muscovite replacing the andalusite
are very distinctive, and would be recognised even if the andalusite had been totally replaced. Yot aggregates of this kind do not occur, either in the schists with partially replaced andalusites, or in the sillimanite schists in general. Thus, the number of andalusite porphyroblasts probably never exceeded the number of partially replaced grains now observed. The volume of andalusite in the sillimanite schists is small, and andalusite has never been the dominant polymorph in the sillimanite zone. The preference of andalusite for the more quartzose laminae and sillimanite for the micaceous laminae (in all rocks bearing both or either mineral) suggests that metastable nucleation of andalusite schists in the sillimanite schists has occurred, where the environment has been unfavourable for the nucleation of sillimanite. The sillimanite-andalusite zone boundary predates the main macroscopic folds of the area (Chapter 4). The zone boundary, in fact, may dip quite shallowly under much of the area, and the andalusite in the sillimanite schist might indicate this fact. Finally, it is worth noting that the zone boundary cannot continue very far to the south of Mt. Franks. Reconnaissance mapping by the author in the region south-east of Mt. Franks (not included here) indicates that the boundary swings sharply eastwards or north-eastwards a half-mile to the south of Mt. Franks.

The constantly recurring mineral assomblages hornblende-plagioclase-almandine is recorded by Turner and Verhoogen (1960) as diagnostic of the almandineamphibolite facies. Cummingtonite can be expected to appear in rocks rich in MgO. The association of sillimanite and muscovite in the pelitic rocks of the sillimanite zone places these rocks in the sillimanite-almandinemuscovite sub-facies of the above facies. The association of sillimanite with orthoclase which marks the upper limit of this sub-facies has not been
found in the area mapped.
No particular difference between the amphibolites of the andalusite zone and the sillimanite zone is discernible. On the basis of the assemblages of the basic rocks, the rocks of the andalusite zone - characterised by the association andalusite-muscovite are also to be classified in the almandineamphibolite facies. Rocks of a very similar nature in the central Abukuma 'Plateau of Japan have been placed in the same facies by Miyashiro (1958, 1961)

Binns (1964) has proposed that progressive regional metamorphism of the Willyama Complex passes downwards from the sillimanitemuscovite zone (his Zone A) to a zone characterised by kyanite. The extension into the kyanite zone is based mainly on a supposed correlation between the Willyama Complex and the metamorphic rocks exposed in the Olery Hills of South Australia (50 miles west of Broken Hill); the correlation has yet to be substantiated by mapping to the south and west of Broken Hill. Meanwhile the progressive sequence from Zone $A$ (of Binns) to the andalusite zone is more confidently established, and the relationship of these rocks to the kyanite rocks of South Australia remains as a separate problem. The sequence of zones established in the Willyama Complex (andalusite-muscovite; sillimanitemuscovite; sillimanite-orthoclase-cordierite is very similar to the sequence of zones in the central Abukuma Plateau of Japan established by Miyashiro and co-workers (Miyashiro, 1959, 1961).

### 5.37 The origin of the amphibolites

The chemical composition and nature of occurrence of the amphibolites throw some light on their pre-metamorphic origin. However, if they are ortho- or para- amphibolites cannot be decided unequivocally at the moment. The chemical analyses of Broken Hill amphibolites published (Mawson, 1912; Browne, 1922; Edwards, 1958; Binns, 1962; a total of 22 analyses, none from the Mt. Robe District) show that
the amphibolites have a composition close to that of an average basalt. However Binns (1962) comments on two anomalies; the total iron of the amphibolites is higher and the alkalies lower, than in an average basalt. The analyses are all of single specimens from widely separated outcrops; Leake (1954) has pointed out some of the difficulties inherent in using samples of this type to distinguish ortho- and para- amphibolites. Edwards (1958) and Binns (1962), from a review of their data, both favour the metamorphism of rocks of basaltic composition rather than sediments of unusual composition.

The regular boundaries of the amphibolites over many miles of contact, their concentration in a discrete layer (even if transposed) and gross conformity with lithological layering at each point (Chapter 4), would seem to eliminate intrusive igneous rocks (gabbros) as a source. The general lack of intermal layering (especially relict conglomeratic layers) and the apparent homogeneity in bulk composition of the amphibolites throughout the area favours basaltic flows rather than basic tuffs.

The fragments found in the amphibolite at Mt. Robe are compatible with the reaction between quartzose xenoliths and a basic magma. The modification of the magma to produce a rim of mora acid differentiate (as glass) around the xenolith and the crystallisation of excess pyroxene in the magma near the xenolith are typical of such assimilation reactions (Iumer and Verhoogen, 1960). On metamorphism, the formation of excess hornblende and of biotite could mark these reactions. Other quartz-plagioclase layers in the amphibolite may represent streaks of pegmatitic material generated during crystallisation of the magma. It seems likely that the amphibolites are basaltic flows representing (broadly) a stratigraphic horizon in the unmetamorphosed Willyama rocks.

### 6.10 Introduction

Following if metamorphism the fabric and mineralogy of the schists and 1 amphibolites were modified by metamorphic (metasomatic) changes. If we ignore if metamorohism for the moment the changes can be divided into two 2
groups. It is not certain yet whether these two groups - $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ metamorphisms - reprasent two distinct metamorphic events, for both are almost certainly connected with the intrusion of the larger bodies of pegmatite. However there are several significant differences between $M$ and $M$ netamorphism and it is worthwhile making the division to emphasise these differences.

M matamorphism is evident as the contact metamorphism (metasomatism) 3
of the schists and amphibolites and can be visibly related to specific bodies
of pegmatite. $M_{4}$ metamorphism is a general retrogressive metamorphism of the schists: amphibolites and calc-silicates. The correlation of 4 metamorphism with the intrusion of the pegmatites can only be inferred and not directly observed. One reason for the division is to emphasise the degree of uncertainty in this correlation.
$M$ metamorphism is very local, intense, and has definite (usually sharp) 3 boundaries with the surrounding rocks. In contrast $M$ metamorphism is more 4 pervasive, of regional extent and is usually not very intense. Very few rocks in the area are totally unaffected by $M$ metamorphism. There is no apparent gradation between rocks classified as $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ products. It is possible that $M$ metamorphism was a particular, and very local effect of the 3 intrusion of the pegmatites, the main effect of which was $M$ metamorphism. This, and some other altematives, will be discussed in Sect.6.47.

One exception to the classification adopted is certain rocks produced by intense local epicotisation ("patch-epidosites"Sect. 5.43) of the amphibolites. None of the examples discovered can be
connected to a particular body of pegwatite; nevertheless their affinities with the tourmalinised schists are so strong that they are classifiod as $M$ products.

3
$\frac{6.21 \mathrm{M}}{3}$ metamorphism
Contact metamorphism and metasomatism of the schists and amphibolites by pegmatite bodies can be recognised by abrupt changes in the fabric and mineralogy in close prozimity to a pegmatite. Distinctive contact metamorphism is only noticeable in the presence of quartz-rich pegmatites bearing some quantity of sulphides (galena, chalcopyrite, pyrites, sphalerite), flourite and tourmaline.

An excellent example of the contact metamorphism of an amphibolite occurs at the Diamond Jubilee Mine. The amphibolite is altered for 40-50 feet about a narrow, steeply-dipping pegmatite dyke located wholly within an amphibolite unit. Intense alteration is confined to within 10-15 feet of the dyke. The intensity of alteration is very irregularly distributed. The amphibolite at some distance from the dyke is a foliated hornblendeplagioclase variety. The first manifestation of alteration is the replacement of the opaque mineral in the amphibolite by sphene, and the introduction of numerous fine "voins" (1 mm.) of epidote and albite and rare tremolite. The sphene forms granular aggregates with occasional cores of the opaque. The veins are surrounded by an area of intensoly sericitised plagioclase, interspersed with rare grains of completely clear albite. Away from the veins the plagioclase is moderately sericitised, but it is difficult to determine if this is due to the contact metamorphism or the effects of $M$. Even in heavily sericitised grains the outline of the 2 ( original plagioclase grains can still be distinguished. The veins cut
across individual grains of homblende and plagioclase grains without disturbing them structurally (Fig. 6.1, Plate 1). Instead a narrow portion of the hornblende grain is replaced by either epidote or, more rarely colourless tremolite. In some instances there is almost complete optical continuity of the tremolite and the host hornblende, but generally there is a difference of $1-2^{\circ}$ in orientation of 2 in the two minerals. The $Z^{\wedge} c$ angle in both minerals is close to $17^{\circ}$, although the value in the trerolite is probably slightly less ( $1^{\circ}$ ) than this value. The tremolite has a negative 2 V of $74^{\circ}\left({ }^{+} 1^{\circ}\right)$ in contrast to the 2 V of the hornblende $\left(76^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}\right)$. Veins of this type are common in the foliated amphibolites throughout the whole area. A discussion of the origin of the veins is given in Sect. 6.30.

Specimens taken closer to the pegmatite have the following mineral assemblages:
(1). Diopside-epidote-sphene (accessory carbonate, quartz and apatite. (Spec. 28321)
(2). Diopside-epidote-actinolite-carbonatemuartz (accessory sphene). (Spec. 28322)
(3). Gamet-quartz-epidote-actinolite-carbonate (Spec. No. 28320)
(4). Epidote-clinozoisite-quartz-garnet (accessory apatite, muscovite, sphene.

The minerals ara given in order of abundance, but the distribution varies considerably even within a single thin section. Pods composed of coarse grains of alkali-feldspar and black tourmaline are scattered throughout the rocks near the dyke.

The garnet in Spec. 28230 is a yollow-orange variety and makes up 60-70\% of the rock. With the exception of Spoc. 28321, which is composed of


## FIGURE 6.1 (PLATE 1)

Tremolite-epidote vein cutting hornblende grains in a slightly contact metamorphosed amphibolite (Spec. No. 28290). The vein passes horizontally across the plate and is composed of epidote in the horizontal lath of hornblende on the right hand side of the plate. To the left of this lath the vein is composed of tremolite and the cleavage can be seen passing continuously from the tremolite to the hornblende.
(Field of view is $8 \times 6 \mathrm{~mm}$., crossed nicols)

70\% diopside grains in aggregates of grains with the shape of equi-axial polygons with well developed triple points, the textures of the rocks are very irregular and the grainsizes variable.

A quartz-rich pegmatite dyke bearing minor copper sulphides is revealed by several shallow shafts 400 feet $N$. of the main Black Prince Shafts (at the tank and wind-mill). At the margin of the pegmatite the amphibolite has been changed to an albite-epidote-tremolite hornfels. The tremolite ( $Z=$ very pale green; $Y, X,=c o l o u r l e s s, Z^{\wedge} c=20^{\circ}$ ) appears as fine fibres up to 3 mm . long. Granular epidote is by bulk the main constituent ( $80 \%$ ) of the rock and occurs as minute granules or as grains up to 0.5 mm . in diameter. Finely twinned albite laths are concentrated in a fow small lenticular aggregates (1\% rock). Sphene and opaque minerals, as accessories, are the only other constituents.

The pegmatite itself contains small patches rich ( $80-90 \%$ ) in prismatic epidote ( $1-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. Iong), which apparently represent fragments of amphibolite incorporated in the dyke.

### 6.22 Tourmalinisation of the schists

Around the main Black Prince Shafts there is an area of intense tourmalinisation of the schists. The altered zone has an areal extent of several hundred square yards. The tourmalinised rocks have the appearance in hand specimen of dense black, laminated hornfels and are composed almost entirely of altemate laminae rich in black tourmaline and quartz. The lamination in the rock is generally continuous in orientation with the surrounding (unaltered) mica schists; although in places the laminae form structures that are similar to $B_{1}$ folds in the schists. Small relict patches of unaltered mica schists are found in the tourmalinised zone.

The former, and the mica schists sumrounding the tourmalinised zone are moderately deromed by $B_{4}$ folds, but there is no trace of $S_{4}$ surfaces in the tourmalinised rocks proper.

The tourmalinised rocks vary between quartz-rich varieties ( $80 \%$ quartz) and toumaline-rich varieties. In the quartz-rich rocks the toumaline is dispersed as fine needles ( 0.1 mm . long) throughout the rock as well as in laminar aggregates. The tourmaline is pleochroic from medium-deep brow (olive) to pale yollow-brown, which combined with its black body colour, indicates the tourmaline contains a high percentage of the schorl ( Fe ) molecule (Deer, Howie, and Zussman, 1960, Vol. 1). The quartz also occurs in aggregates with fine grains of partially sericitised albite (An ${ }_{8-10}$, minor quantity). The quartz has discontinuous undulose extinction and fine deformation lamellae. Although the grain boundaries are serrate, the overall shape (in section) of the grain is regular equi-axial polygons with triple points approaching 120 degrees. The size of the quartz is 0.2 mm ., which is of the same order as the average schist in the area.

The tourmaline is coarser grained in the tourmaline rich varieties ( $1-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long) and occasionally has fine cylindrical cores of a bright chrome-green tourmaline. Quartz is also coarser grained ( $0.2-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.) with some very coarse layers ( $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$.). Quartz grains are much more ragged in the coarser grained rocks. Flakes of muscovite are scattered through all the rocks.

The rocks are cut by numerous fine quartz-albite veins that carry little or no tourmaline.

Somothing of the nature of the process of tourmalinisation can be
deduced from a study of two specimens (28269-70) from a locality to the west of Mt. Robe. Whatever the process is, it is capable of reproducing many of the features of the structure of the parent rock. This is beautifully illustrated by Specimen 28269.

The country rock surrounding the tourmalinised schist is a poorly foliated, rodded sillimanite schist. The foliation of the unaltered schist (S ) is emphasised by biotite laminae $1-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick and quartz 1 plagioclase and muscovite laminae.

The completely tourmalinised rock, which outcrops over 100 square yards, has rods ( $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. in diameter) of the same general dimensions composed of white microcline, with abundant inclusions of tourmaline and minor quartz. Often in thin section, the cross section of the rod is largely occupied by a single grain of microcline ( $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. in diameter) crowded with inclusions of tourmaline surrounded by a smaller grains ( 0.3 mm .) of microcline with fewer inclusions. The larger cylinders in the altered rock contain the usual bulged region typical of the rods in the sillimanite schists. In the altered rock this is now the site of a cense concentration of tourmaline with an overall shape that looks very like the structure of biotite flakes in rods of sillimanite. The total structure of the larger diameter rods, except for mineralogy, is identical in style with the rods in the unaltered sillimanite schist.

The tourmalinised rock is also foliated. Dense aggregates of toumaline form irregular black laminae, up to $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick. Between these laminae are lighter coloured layers of numerous thin foliae ( $0.2-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) thick composed altematively of microcline and quartz, and tourmaline. The tourmaline in the laminae and foliae, unlike the inclusions in the micro-
cline, have a strong preferred orientation oit their exes parallel to the lengtin of the rods.

The tourmaline (Schorl), both as inclusions in the microcline and in the body of the schist, is black and pleochroic from a medium brom (olive tint) to colourless. The included toumaline (in microcline) averages one third the size of the grains throughout the schist, which are needles 0.1 mr . in diameter and 1 mm . long.

The microcline is microscopically perthitic and has typical poly-synthetic twinning. A few flakes of muscovite appear as inclusions in the coarser microcline, and are also scattered throughout the rock.

A partially toumalinised specimen (23270) from the same locality posesses some interesting and peculiar features. The specimen is a poorly roded sillimanite schist with the following minerals; sillimanite, andalusitie, quartz, muscovite, biotite, microcline and tourmaline. Aggregates (not rods) of fibrous sillimanite are concentrated in a thin layer ( $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$.) which crosses the foliation of the rock, and only a few rods of sillimanite aro scattered through the rest of the schist. The sillimanite is fresh, and apparently unaffected by metasonatisn. The biotite, the typical redubrown variety where fresh, generally has a slightly bleached appearance. Fresh biotite and sillimanite go together and tourmaline is less prominent in these portions of the rock. Numerous needles of toumaline have grown through the altered biotite flakes to give the densest concentration of tourmaline in the rock. The microcline forms grains up to 5 mm . across
and is crowded with inclusions oi quartz, tourmaline and muscovite. The tourmaline and microcline are optically identical to that described in the previous specimen. In section (parallel to the $L_{2}$ ) the andalusite forms ragged laths up to 1 cm . long, with numerous inclusion's of ouartz, biotite, tourmaline and muscovite. Thero is a tendency for slightly coarser muscovite ( $1-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.) to concentrate at points around the margin of the grains. The andalusite is colourless and shows no trace of sericitisation. Single grains of andalusite seem to contain whole sections of the $S_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ of the original schist (Fig. 6.2, Plate 1). Examination of the hand specimen reveals that the andalusite grains form rods several centimetres long. The rods are elliptical in cross-section with the maxirum diameter ( $1.0-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.) in $S_{2}$. Andalusite, by volume is about $10 \%$ of the rock. The andalusite grains aro apparently oriented with their c-axes roughly parallel to the length of the rods.

The andalusite is not easy to account for in this environment. It seems to be a transient phase in the process of tourmalinisation, since there is no andalusite in the completely tourmalinised rock, and only a few grains (1貫) are present in the untourmalinised sillinanite schist. Its habit is in no way akin to the usual occurrence of andalusite in the sillimanite schists; the only point of resemblance is the few grains of coarse muscovite around the edge of the andalusite grains. Three tentative suggestions are offered:
(1). The andalusite has grown from pre-cxisting andalusite grains in the sillimanite schist. This theory encounters difficulties in explaining the number of andalusite grains, even $i \hat{i}$ we pernit the volume of andalusite to increase by growth; the number of rods in this schist is far greater


## FIGURE 6.2 (PLATE 1)

Details of inclusions in an andalusite grain in a partially tourmalinised sillimanite schist (Spec. No. 28270). The plate illustrates portion of an andalusite grain (medium grey - high relief) containing a lamina composed of quartz grains (white) which passes out laterally into a muscovite lamina (centre-left). A few tourmaline inclusions (high relief - dark grey) are also present.
(Field of view is $6 \times 8 \mathrm{~mm}$. , crossed nicols)
than the usual number of andalusite grains in the sillimanite schist. it is necessary to assume that the original rock was a very particular and very local instance of andalusite occurring in sillimanite schist. However this theory might account for the partial ruff of muscovite as a relict from the parent rock.
2. The andalusite represents a stage in the replacement of sillimanite by microcline. This would certainly explain the shape of the andalusite grains and their volume. It fails to fully explain the few remaining rods of sillimanite and the layer of sillimanite. This difficulty is resolved to some extent by the previously noted observation, that the degree of alteration is very irregular and that the sillimanite left is not associated with tourmaline. The layer of quartz crossing the andalusite grain in Fig. 6.2 , may represent the material contained betwoen the two arms of a bifurcating rod in a section slightly oblique to the two rods.
(3. The andalusite is a result of the reversing of the reaction andalusite $\rightarrow$ muscovite. Andalusite grains nucleated and grew preferentially (1) along the intersection of two trains of muscovite , which parallels $I$ in the rock and the orientation of the sillimanite rods. Growth in this fashion would produce the present shape of the andalusite grains. Presumably if the grains also expanded out along the muscovite lamellae in the schists they might enclose rods of quartz, to produce the structure illustrated in Fig. 6.2 . This explanation is favoured, for the rods of andalusite pass laterally into mica-rich bands (in section) of the schist. With a lack of specific chemical data it is impossible to state definitely which elements have been added and which removed during the metasomatism.
(1)

```
See Section 2.21 for description of fabric of sillimanite schists.
```

Coriainly boron, iron and water must have been added and possibly manganese and alkalis (mainly $K O$ ). Tne tourmaline and raicrocline 2 have not replaced individual minerals, but the preservation of the structure of the rock, and the tendeney for toumaline to concentrate in biotito laminae and microcline in micaceous laminao (in the partially replaced specimen) and the sillimanite rods suggests that the added material is fixed by very short-range reactions; that is, the reactions are governed, to some extent, by the availability of elements already present in the parent rock. The preferred orientation of the tourmaline can be explained, if individual tourmaline grains are influenced either (1)
during nucleation or growth , by the oriontation of the lattice of the enclosing grain.

### 6.30 The patch epidosites

A type of epidosite occasionally found in the foliated amphibolites shall be referred to as "patch-epidosites". The patch-epidosites are small (12-30 cm.) and roughly sub-circular in plan, and are traversed, particularly in the outer portion of the patch, by numerous veins of epidote with or without minor albite and quartz. The specimens to be described in detail are from a small patch of epidosite (Locality Specs. 28299, 28300, and 28301) in a body of well foliated amphibolites. A second suite of specimens from Eldee Creek (Loc . 53400107000 . 28350, 28351, 28352) exhibits only minor differences. In neither inst ance - as is typical - is the epidosite visibly connected to a pegmatite dyce, and the enclosing amphibolites show negligible traces of retrogression. The patch at the first locality, has a bright green epidosite core, which grades outwards, by decrease in the intensity of (1) i.e. nucloi of all orientations are formed, but those of a particular orientation with respect to the surrounding lattice grow preferentially.
colours into the amphibolites. The foliation can be traced from the amphibolite right through the patch of epidosite, although in the core it is less distinctive.

The amphibolite is a nomal M type, composed of $50 \%$ homblende, $50 \%$ plagioclase (An ) and a trace of opaque mineral. The rock in the outer 52 part of the core is composed of an array of hornblende grains similar in shape, distribution, and optical properties to the hornblende in the unaltered amphibolites. The granular epidote ( $0.1-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) fills all the space between the hornblende grains and laminae of hormblerde and epidote define the foliation.

The epidosite in the core of the patch (Spec. 28301) is composed of an amphibolo, opidote and sphene. The araphibole, with $X=c o l o u r l e s s, Y=p a l e-$ medium green, $2=$ pale-medium blue green, has the same general pleochroism as the hornblende in the amphibolites, but the absorption is many times weaker. The $Z^{\wedge} c$ angle averages $14^{\circ}$ and the amphibole may be either the hornblende or, more likely, an actinolite. The grains are ragged with the average grainsize ( 0.5 mm .) much the same as that in the amphibolite. The granular epidote ( $0.1-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.) is slightly greener (pale-green) in thin section than the epidotes encountered in most rocks. Sphene (less than $1 \%$ ) appears as string-like aggregates. Of some importance is the presence of quartz as scattered grains. Judging from the hand specimen there is every gradation in composition from the epidosite at the core to the amphibolites.

Veins which traverse the patch epidosite are $1-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick and are made up entirely of granular or bladed epidote. Blades up to $5-6 \mathrm{mr}$. Iong are found in some of the thicker portions of the voins. The margins of
the veins are sharp and truncate individual hornblende grains without any structural disturbance of the grains. A thin layer of very fine opicote ( ( $\therefore 0.01 \mathrm{~mm}$.) separates the coarser epidote of the veins from the enclosing rocks. The fine and coarse epidote in the vein and the epidote in the enclosing rocks are ail optically identical (monoclinic, negative with a 2 V of $80-85^{\circ}$ ) and there is no trace of zoning in individual grains.. In one specimen (28300) where a number of veins intersect, numerous prisms of epidote criss-cross a void. . Nunerous fibrous projections from the prism suggest they may have grown in a non-solid medium. The open spaces are apparently not due to weathering out of some component, for the rocks are only superficially weathered. Moreover, in one of the specimens from Eldee Creek there is a distinct tendency for the b-axes of the epidote to be aligned normal to walls of the vein.

The specimens from Eldee Creek differ only in that the epidosite and the amphibolite are both richer in quartz than the specimens above. Also veins cutting the rock carry a few percent of clear albite and scattered quartz.

Initially only the plagioclase is replaced by granular epidote with, judging from the optical properties, no participation of the hornblende. The epidote effectively "pseudomorphs" the plagioclase, leaving the stmucture, and in particular the microstructure, of the rock intact. With more intensive alteration the hornblende is also affected and the opaque mineral (?ilmenite) also reacts. At this stage, the distinctive structure of the amphibolite is only crudely preserved by the alternation of epidote-rich and epidote-poor laminae and the microstructure is largely obliterated.

The fabric of the partially altered amphibolite (Spec. 38300) implies, as a first approximation, that the law of equal volumes (Turner, 1948) can be applied to the reaction plagioclase $\longrightarrow$ epidote. This is most obvious in the case of single grains of plagioclase in an aggregate of hornblendes. By applying calculations of the type similar to those used by Turner (1948, p.111) we can make an estimate of metasomatic changes involved in the reaction. We shall assume the plagioclase is anorthite, since the replacing mineral is calcium-bearing silicate, and this assumption sets an upper limit to the amount of calcium that can be contributed from the replacement of the plagioclase. The data assumed for the reactionaare: $3^{+}$

| epidote, | $\mathrm{Ca}_{2} \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{OH}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right)$ | S.G. $=3.49$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| anorthite, | CaAl Si 0 , | S.G. $=2.76$ |

Then the equation representing the equal volume replacement of anorthite by epidote of this composition can be written as;

348.3 gm.
28.3 gm .

This can be re-written (approximately) as;
$3 \mathrm{CaAl} \mathrm{SiO}_{2}+\mathrm{CaO}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{SiO}+30 \mathrm{H}+3 \mathrm{FeO} \longrightarrow$
$3 \mathrm{Ca} \mathrm{FeAl} \mathrm{O.OH} \mathrm{(SiO)(SiO)} \mathrm{Sa}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Al} \mathrm{O}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}2 & 2 & 27 & 4 & 2\end{array}$
The composition of plagioclases determined in the amphibolites does not
exceed An , so that in natural examples an even greater supply of CaO than 55
that of equation (2) will be required to satisfy the right hand side of the equation. If, as the lack of change in the optical properties indicates,
the amphibole is unchanged during the initial stages of metasomatism, then the $\mathrm{CaO}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{OH}$ and FeO can only be of metasomatic origin.

A source for some of this material can be found in the core of the patch. For example, the breakdown of the opaque mineral may yield some iron, but it seems unlikely, particularly if the volumo of epidote veins is considered, that all the material necessary can be derived internally.

It is more difficult to spocify tho changes in the core of the patch, for the amphibole is now participating in the reaction, and the precise nature of the changes in its composition are unknown. If wo assume the change is from hornblende to an actinolito then it is doubtiul that the reaction could supply in any quantity the material on the left-hand side of equation (2). It may, however, contribute alumina to the right-hand side. Also the breakdown of the opaque to spheno could supply some iron to the system, but the total volune of opaque is very small. Considering the overall volume of epidote in the epicosite and veins it seems fairly certain that there has been introduction of all the olements (other than anorthite) on the left-hand side of equation (2). The tourmalinisation of the schists also signifies the metasomatism of at least iron and wator, and in this respect, the epidotisation is similar to the toumalinisation of the schists, In fact, it seems probable that the two processes are genetically related, and that the elements fixed from the metasomatic agents are dependent on the bulk chemistry of the rock being netasomatisedi This also agrees with the observation that in the toumalinisation of the schists, the netasomatic material is fixed by very localised reactions, apparently dopendent in part, on the chemical composition of the rock at each point.

Tho explanation in the previous paragraph can bo extended to the veins
of epidote, albite and quartz cutting foliated amphibolite and the contact metamorphosed amphibolites at the Diamond Jubilee Uine. Micro-fractures cutting the rock and rupturing without displacing individual grains could provide a path for permeating "volatiles" or iluids carrying lime, silica and iron.

M metamorphism is found in a number of other localities apart from those 3 specified in the preceeding discussion. Tourmalinisation of the schists is fairly cormon over restricted areas in the strip of rocks along the eastern margin of the IIt. Robe Stock and in the area northwards and westwards of Mt. Robe. nowever, tourmalinisation has not been detected in the whole area north and west of the Aller Creek Stock. The intensity of tourmalinisation varias greatly from locality to locality but is more or less uniform at any one locality. Diopside and diopside-cpidote rocks in amphibolite are also located around a sulphide bearing pegmatite north of Eldee Creek (50000113200) Numerous other examples of patch epiciosites occur in the general area from which the first suite of specimens described were taken. $6.44 \frac{\text { metamorohism }}{4}$

Two different circumstances are involved with regard to $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ metamorphism. The schists and amphibolites throughout the area have been affected by a mild or even moderately intense retrograssive metamorphism that leaves the original fabric of the rocks largely unaffected. This type of metamorphism is confined mainly to the alteration and pseudomorphing of individual grains.

The most obvious evidence of this in the schists is the replacement of andalusite by a fine mesh of sericite. The idioblastic outlines of the andalusite porphyroblasts remain, even where replacement is almost complete.

The systematic array of quartz inclusions in tho frosh andalusito also persists in somo aggregates. Relict coros of andalusite and the distinctive shape of the andalusite porphyroblasts oliminate any problem of identifying the replaced material.

Coincident with fairly oxtensive alteration of the andalusite is a change in biotita. Frosh porphyroblasts of red-brown pleochroic biotite may cxist side by side in a thin section with an altered variant. Relict cleavage is siill visible in the altered material and sections of the altored biotite normal to $\{001\}$ are still strongly plcochroic from a dirty brown to a pale (dirty) yellow. However the interforence colours in all sections are low first order colours, which are often slightly anomalous. At very high magnification (X1200) the porphyroblasts can be resolved into fine plates of interleaved chlorite, biotite, and muscovite, in that order of abundance. Rare plates of a fine opaque mineral aro disseminated in the relict cleavage.

The chlorite is pale green and virtually non-pleochroic, so that the stronger pleochroism of the biotite is seen on rotation in plane-polarised light. However the plates of both biotite and chlorite are apparently very fine, so that the birefringence colours are low, and reflect the anomalous colours of the chlorite. It is not evident whether the muscovite is a result of alteration, since muscovite and biotite are occasionally interleaved in fresh biotites. With progressive altoration distinct laminae of chlorite may appear in the altored biotite.

Discrete flakes of chlorite accompany the altered biotite in many schists, but chlorite never accompanies fresh red-brown biotite. The chlorite is apparently the ultimato product of the alteration, and not a membor of the original assemblage.

Alteration of the plagioclase to fine sericite and (?) clinozoisite as with andalusite and biotite, does not obliterate the shape of the grain. The outlines of fairly extensively altered grains of plagioclase forming triple junctions with each other and with quartz grains are easily discemible.

The alteration of sillimanite is more difficult to trace. The original fibrous nature of the sillimanite would make the detection, even if such occurs, almost impossible. However in some sillimanite schists, with well developed rodded structure, part of the rod may consist of a fine mesh of sericite in which only a few fibres of sillimanite are visible. The association of sericite and sillimanite is foreign to the schists in which the biotite and plagioclase (and garnet) are fresh, but is typically found in schists with altered biotite and chlorite. It seems ceritain that some of the sericite is the product of the alteration of sillimanite.

Garnet is sometimes pariially replaced, especially along fractures, by an indefinite chlorite material.

A peculiarity of many slightly retrogressed schists is the presence of porphyroblastic flakes (up to 6 mm .) of muscovite which are oriented with their basal cleavage at a high (but variable) angle to the schistosity. Fine fibres of sillimanite and dimensionally elongate grains of quartz, both oriented parallel to S, are usually prominent as inclusions in the muscovite. The quartz grains resemble the isolated quartz grains found in muscoviterich portions of $M$ schists. The muscovite porphyroblasts themselves are 1 situated in muscovite-rich laminae in the schists and are normally bounded by finer muscovite flakes similar to those in a typical $M$ schist. Only a 1 few scattered porphyroblasts occur in any one specimen and there is a complete contrast in grainsize between the coarser muscovite and the finer
flakes inherited from the M , schist. The retrogressive effects in some of the schists bearing muscovite are minimal and consist of little more than mild chloritisation of the biotite.

The retrogrado effects describod so far are relatively mild and the nature of the original $M$ rock can be discemod without undue difficulty. 1 This type of motamorphism is independent of the proximity of pegmatites. More intensive retrogression in the schists results in the gradual obliteration of $S$ and $I$ fabric by the growth of disoriented flakes of muscovite $1 \quad 1$ and chlorite. While it is true that this type of metamorphisn is often spatially associated with the pegmatites, the converse is not true. Schists abutting the pegmatites, or enclosed with pegmatites, may show little on no retrogression.

As the intensity of the retrograde netamorphism increases, the choice of a standard for comparison becomes harder. Consequently it is more difficult to distinguish critically between features that may be the sole products of $M$ metamorphism and features that may be due (at least in part) to some variation in the M rocks not previously encountered in the less 1 altered or unaltered rocks. The problem is less acute in the amphibolites, because of their greater initial uniformity of composition.

The most obvious difference between the less altered and more altered rocks is the prominence of unoriented, coarse flakes of muscovite ( $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$.) . In thin section there is generally a continuous gradation of size of the muscovite from the finest sericite to the coarse flakes. In specimens from the north-east side of it . Robe (Spec. Nos. 28262, 3, 4), the coarser grains of muscovite are located in trains of finer muscovite, through which fine wisps of sillimanite can still be discerned. In hand specimen the aggregates
of mscovite (coarse and fine) form a streaky lineation on the crude foliation prosent in the specimen, resembling the lineation sometimes formed by aggregates of sillimanite in $S$ (in unrodded sillimanite schists). 1 It appears that the coarser muscovite is growing from sericite that raplaced sillimanite. The same specimens as mentioned above have numerous flakes of muscovite that are the same general size as muscovite in $M$ schists. Rosette-like aggregates of muscovite are also common (Spec. No. 28263). These flakes are oriented throughout the rock, and seem to be relict flakes of muscovite from the M rocks. The muscovite developed during more extensive .1 retrogression of the schists differ from the porphyroblastic muscovite fourd in slightly altered schist in its lack of inclusions and complete gradation of grain size.

Very often in specimens in which the growth of randomly oriented muscovite is quite marked, chlorite flakes have a distinct, although poor, prefored orientation. The chlorite also tends to be concentrated in laminae. The superposition of these two efrects gives rise to a crude foliation in the rock which parallel any trace of relict $S$. The chlorites in this case seem 1 to be the end product of the reaction biotite $\longrightarrow$ chlorite, thus retaining the preferred orientation of biotite in the parent $M$ rock. A bromish 1 tinge in the pleochroism of some chlorites and the similarity of size of the chlorite and biotite in $M$ rocks support this contention. In other 1
specimens the chlorite lacks any orientation; but even here there are traces of what appear to be chloritised biotite (Spec. Nos. 28362, 3). It is possible that a chlorite fabric of this type could result from the replacement of randomly oriented biotite in a parent quartz-muscovite-biotite rock. I rocks in which biotite is unoriented were mentioned briefly in Sect. 5.21. 1 Very little or none of the chlorite then may have been nucleated as discrete grains.

Quartz in the strongly retrograded rocks has much wider limits of grainsize ( 0.1 to 2 mm .) and the average grainsize is much coarser ( $1-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.) than in if rocks. Since the coarser grainsize is limited to strongly 1 retrograded rocks, and the grainsize of $M$ or slightly altered $M$ rocks never exceeds 0.2 mm ., the coarseness of the grains must be related to the processes of $M$ metamorphism. The nature of the microstructure of gurtz aggregates in $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ rocks contrasts strongly with that of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ rocks, this topic is taken up in the next section.

Clear, well twinned grains of albite ( $\mathrm{An}_{4-9}$ ) where detemined by Universal stage), of much the same grainsize as quartz, are present in some specimens in which coarse muscovite and chlorite abound. Unlike plagioclase in the M schists, the shape of the albite is irregular and the grains are divided 1 into many fine twin lamellae (albite-low). The absence of alteration in strongly altered rocks proves that the albite is a product of $M$ metamorphism.

Chloritoid has only been encountered in rocks at Mt. Robe. In a specinen Coscribed previously by Browne (1922, p.305, Spec. No. 13517, the description here is from the authors own examination of the slide), the chloritoid occurs with sillimanite, andalusite, altered biotite, muscovite, garnet, chlorite, quartz, and sericite. Tourmaline and an opaque mineral are important accessories. Retrograde metamorphism is expressed by the almost complete alteration of the biotite to chlorite, and probably sillimanite to sericite. The andalusite is partially replaced by a ruff of muscovite. Garnet is distributed as a few small polygonal grains throughout the slide, and also as rare inclusions in the andalusite and the muscovite replacing the andalusite. Chlorite, only weakly pleochroic in light greens, is only a very minor component. Smaller grains of quartz in the slide ( $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$.) have only slight
undulose extinction. However the larger grains are traversed by fine fractures filled with sericite. Only a fow grains of chloritoid are zresent, with optical properties in agreement with those to be described below.

Chloritoid has also been examined in two specimens (Spec. 28276, 28277) from outcrops a hundred yards west of the Mt. Robe Trig. Station. Zoth spocimens are unfoliated. The chloritoid in both specimens forms ragged, unoriented laths up to 1.5 mm . long, with $\{001\}$ lamellar twins. Rosettes of chloritoid are visible in Specimen 28277. The mineral is optically negative with a 2 V close to $90^{\circ} *$. The pleochroic scheme is $X=$ mediun green, $Y=$ medium blue-green, and $2=c o l o u r l e s s$. Quartz is the only mineral detected as an inclusion in the chloritoid, and then only in minor quantities.

The bulk of both specimens is made up of a ragged collection of grains of chloritised biotite, quartz and muscovite set in a matrix of sericite. The muscovite forms a few flakes up to 2 mm . Iong but ranges over all sizes down to less than 0.01 mm . The grains almost always exhibit some evidence of deformation, particularly by kinking and flexuring of the basal cleavage. Many of the larger flakes have a peculiar fragmented appearance, in which the ruscovite is separated along the $\{001\}$ cleavage by a iine layer of sericite. Sillimanite fibres are included in some flakes of muscovite.

The quartz, like the muscovite has a very variable grain size ( $0.1-1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and is extensively strained (discontinuous undulose extinction) with deformation lamellae in a few grains. The grains are cut by numerous fine fractures filled with sericite. A dirty mixture of chlorite, an opaque, muscovite, and minor biotite apparently represent the last remmants of biotite porphyroblasts ( $2-3 \mathrm{rm}$. ) in the parent rock. Chloritoid grains sometimes cut across these altered biotites, but the writer has not seen any evidence, as suggested * Values measured for a chloritoid from ht. Robe by Misar (1963) have an average 2 Vx of 82 degrees.
by İsan (1903) that the chloritoia is proierentially regacing biotite, The Last tio specinens shon siens of relativoly intenso cefornation (comvaned mith that nomally observed in 4 rocks), but not of the saro
 located in a than layer ( 1 foot thick) which is unfoliated; there is in tinis layer and the adjacent schists considerable developmont oi sidckensides on the joint iacos. Athouch retrogressed $S_{1}$ anc $L_{2}$ can bo recognised without difficulty in the surroundine scinsts. Tho specinen collected by Brown lacks any trace of intinsive deformation. Kisar (1963) found chloritoid in $S_{3}$ or $S_{4}$ tectonitos to tho southwest of wh. Franks (in hand speciren) in rocks winich have definitely not been produced by metamorphism in Iault zones. Thus, chlonitoid is also a product of $H_{4}$ motarorphism ancinot $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ motamorphisn (as definod in this text); indeed not a trace of chloritoid has been found in Known $\because_{2}$ zones.

If the retrogression of the schists had been carried to its conclusion, the ultinate rocis woula apparently have been a quartz-chloritemalbitemuscovite homitels, with, very locally, chloritoid. Eowever, in no specimens examined have all the traces of the original schist been totally obliterated. The ultimate ${\underset{X}{4}}$ rock has a mineral assemblaso typical of the albitemepidote homiels facies (Turner and Verhoogen, 1960). The mineral assemblages of the unioliated amphibolites, to be described in the next section, signify the same facies.

### 6.42 Unioliatod Mrohibolitos

In general the stucy of $M$ in the mohibolites is more difficult thon 4
in the schists. The rature of the proble: is best outlind by reference to the area extonding from the Black Prince Mine to the Silver King Mine, whore numerous large units of amphibolite outcrop.

The basic netarorphic rocks within this area consist in part of woilfoliatod and lineated arphibolites and in part of rocks whoce main mafic component is xenoblastic grains of a pale coloured ampitole. A very crudo foliation may be detected in some specirens of the latter, but usually they are "mottles" (built up of irregularly shaped aggregatos of arphibole and quarte-ioldspar).

The arphibole is only woakly or moderately pleochroic according to the scheme -
$X=$ Vory pale greon
$Y=$ palc or mediura green
$Z=$ pale or medium blue-groon
Absospcion $Y=Z>X$.
The pleochroism and absorption are weaker than that of the hornblendes in the foliated arphibolites. Also the $Z^{\wedge} c$ angle in the poler arphibole is genorally less than that of ho:nblendes, ranging from $13-18^{\circ}$ (18-24 for the hormblendes). The amphibolo is optically negative with a large 2 V (measured values lie in the range $2 \mathrm{~V}=101-104^{\circ}$ ). Duinning on $\{001\}$ is common. X-ray powder photographs of the strongly pleochroic and the less plochroic variecies of amphibole aro virtually identical. Tho propeatios of the amphibole lie in the overlap range botween momers of the tremoliteferroactinolite serios and the homblende series (Doeec, Howie and Zascman, 1962, Vol. 2). Distinction between members of these tro series can only be
properly made by chemical analysis.
The remainder of the rock is occupied by grains of plagioclase in various stages of alteration and minor quartz. In some specimens the plagioclase is almost wholly replaced by a mesh of sericite and an epidote mineral (clinozoisite, where the optical properties are determinable), and it is only with difficulty that the relict grains can be distinguished.

In the midst of the altered plagioclase there may be a few grains of olear, unaltered plagioclase, and in one specimen from another locality interstices between the amphibole grains are entirely occupied by clear albite ( $\mathrm{An}_{5}$ ). Unlike the plagioclases of the foliated amphibolites these grains are elongate laths, multiply twinned on the albite law with numerous fine lamellae. The grains are crowded with inclusions of fine acicular amphibole, with the optical properties of the main amphibole, and quartz. A few zoned grains have been detected in Specimen 28339.

There seems little reason to doubt that the unfoliated amphibolites have resulted from the rotrogressive metamorphism of the foliated amphibolites, although details of the changes are lacking. Slight retrograde metamorphism of the foliated amphibolites proceeds by sericitisation of the plagioclase, but leaves the amphibole and the fabric of the rock unchanged. There is a gap between alteration of this type and the more extensive changes necessary to produce the unfoliated amphibolite.

Scattered areas of foliated amphibolite do occur in the bodies of unfoliated amphibolite, testifying to the pre-metamorphic origin of the whole body. Grains of plagioclase in the foliated rocks are heavily sericitised; similar grains, with polygonal outlines exist in the unfoliated amphibolites. The lack of foliation in the unfoliated amphibolites is also significant. It
is unlikely that any rock could have survived $B_{1}$ folding and if metamorphism without some imprint of the deformation. Intense retrogressive metamorphism of the schists has occurred in an environment where the new phases were not directly oriented and the final rock is unfoliated. The comparison between the retrograde schists and the unfoliated amphibolites is obvious.

The intensity of alteration of the amphibolites, judging by the extent to which the initial foliated fabric has been destroyed, must have been greater than in most of the enclosing schist. Some poorly foliated muscovite-chlorite schists outcrop between the layers of amphibolite but well foliated andalusite schists, only superficially altered, are also present.

The greatest concentration of unfoliated amphibolites is found in the Black Prince - Silver King area, and there is an abrupt change from a predominance of unfoliated amphibolite to a predominance of foliated amphibolite in the unit north of the Silver King Mine (around the hinge of the Mt. Robe Synform). Unfoliated amphibolite is fairly extensively developed south and south-west of the Black Prince Mine and in the extreme north-western portion of the area. In both these areas the unfoliated amphibolites are associated with strongly retrogressed chloritemuscovite-quartz schists.

Units of amphibolite enclosed within the larger bodies of pegmatite are very variable in character. Some amphibolites of this type are well foliated and virtually unaltered; an excellent example is Spec. 28309 a sample from a small bar of amphibolite in Little Aller Creek (403001129300). The only trace of retrogression in this specimen is slight sericitisation of the plagioclase (An ${ }_{55}$ ). Many of the amphibolites around Big and Little Aller Croeks are of
are of this typo.
Ampibole grains in many of the unfoliated amphibolites axhibit ciscontinuous undulose extinction. However flakes of muscovito which have grom frow the sericite afgrogates roplacing plagioclase show no trace of deformation. The situation is similar to that in quartz to be describod in Section 6.43; the undulose extinction is probably inherited from the deformed foliated amphioolite and does not indicate post-recrystallisation strain. 6. 41 The coidosites

Accompanying the unfoliated amphibolites in the eastern limb of the Zt. Robe Synform are large quantities oi opidosite. The epicosites form irregular masses in tho amphibolite and locally over quite laree arcas (io20,000 square yards, e.g. east of the Black Prince Shafts) may make up 50$60 \%$ oi the amphibolite unit. The contact of the epidosites with the unfolintud amphibolitos is sharp and there are no mixed rocks.

Epidosites are not common away from tho eastorn limb of the Nt. Nobe Synform, even where unfoliated amphibolites are relatively abundant. A New examples are found in the north-west limb of the lit. Robe Synform. Some epidosites in the latter area occur in foliated amphibolite and to some extent resemble patch-epidosites.

In hand specimen the epidosites are fine grained, green rock lacking either foliation or layering. The commonest variety is composed of a mosaic of epidote (monoclinic, negative with 2 V close to $90^{\circ}$ ) and quartz with minor quantities of accessory opaques and sphene. The ratio of epidote to quartz is usually 3 to 1 or more. The quartz and
epidote occur as polygonal, equi-axed grains bounded by almost planar interfaces meeting at triple junctions. However many quartz boundaries are serrate at a vory fine scale.

A second variety of opidosite (Spec. 28345 ) is deficient in epidote compared to the above and contains some amphibole, chlorite and opaques. The amphibole is pleochroic in vivid blue-greens and is apparently an actinolito $\left(Z^{\wedge} c=14^{\circ}\right)$.

The contact of the epidosite with the surrounding unfoliated amphibolite has not beon found in a singlo hand-specimen in the above area. However the structure of an epidosite of a similar nature from another locality (Locality 30300132000 , Spec. 28336", ), in contact with a foliated and lineated amphibolite is illustrated in Fig. 6.3. The amphibolite in hand specimen is a dark, well lineated variety, composed of hornblende, albite, epidote, quartz, clinozoisite, and accessory sphene and apatite. Opaque minerals are conspicuously absent. The hornblende ( 1 mm . long), grains are bounded by rogular faces and have a $Z$-pleochroic colour of deep green. The albite is universally untwinned and is slightly altered to sericite. Very fine (?) clinozoisite granules are often included in the albite. The albite grains are ragged and variable in size ( $0.01-0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$.) and their texture is quite different to that of the normal $M_{1}$ amphibolite. Quartz ( $10 \%$ ) and epidote ( $3-5 \%$ ) are scattered throughout the rock. A few prismatic grains of clinozoisite are associated with a quartz aggregate in one portion of the slide. There is, in the amphibolite, a slight concentration of hornblende along the contact of the amphibolite with the epidosite.

The epidosite (E) is composed of epidote and quartz in the proportions of 4:1. Sphene ( 0.02 mm ) is a constant accessory.


FIG. 6.3
Details of the contact between a foliated amphibolite and epidosite (see text for description and details of various layers).

Layer $C$ which has the appearance of a vein of "pegmatitic" material in hand specimen, is composed of large grains ( $1-3 \mathrm{~mm}$.) of quartz and orthoclase, with strongly sutured interfaces. The orthoclase is clouded with very fine particles of an unidentified high relief mineral. Clusters of clear, prismatic clinozoisite (up to 1 mm . long) and a few exceptionally large grains of sphene ( 0.5 mm .) are the only other components.

Layer D, represented by the dashed line in Figure 6.3 has an actual width of 0.5 mm . and is made up of prismatic grains of epidote. The epidotes are arranged with their b-axes at a high angle to the margin of the layer. At one point in the layer a single large grain of quartz ( 0.5 mm .) is fringed by a number of exceptionally well formed prisms of epidote. Layer B, which slants across the other layers is approximately similar. Although the layer has not been intersected in thin section, examination of the hand specimen leaves little doubt that this is so. Where Layer B reaches the amphiboliteepidosite contact it seems (in the hand specimen) to pass laterally into a thin epidote filled "fracture" in the amphibolite. In thin section the continuation is marked by a fine "vein" ( 0.01 mm .) containing a fine epidote mineral (? epidote). A series of similar veins almost normal to Layer $B$ also traverse the amphibolite and the epidosite.

The epidosites described in the preceeding, Section differ in several respects from the patch-epidosites (Sect. 6.30). Two important differences are the lack of foliation and the small or zero volume of amphibole in the opidosites compared to the patch-epidosites. These differences are most noticeable in Spec. 28336 where they might be most expected if the epidosites are to be reconciled with the patch epidosites. Also noticeable in Spec. 28336 is the sharp transition between the amphibolite and the epidosite. The amphibolite
in this specimen can hardly be considered a normal $M_{1}$ variety, even though the rock is well lineated (L). The association of albite and epidote and the ragged texture of the albite are atypical of the $M$ amphibolite (the co-existence of albite and epidote is, of course, inconsistent with the almandine-hornblende facies assemblages of the M amphibolites). Apparently the rock is the result of the retrogression of a well lineated amphibolite, in which the hormblende has survived unaltered.

In considering the origin of the epidosites we are faced with two alternatives:
(1). The epidosites are primary features, that have resulted from the metamorphism of some compositional variant of the rock which yields the amphibolites.
(2). The epidosites are a secondary feature, resulting from epidotisation of the amphibolites of a different kind to that which produced the patchepidosites.

A number of facts oppose a primary and favour a secondary origin. of importance are the consistent associations of the epidosites with unfoliated amphibolites and the relative restriction of large quantities of both rock types to a particular area (the Black Prince-Silver King Area). The sudden disappearance of epidosites in the amphibolites to the north of the Silver King Mine is concomitant with a predominance of foliated amphibolite in the amphibolite units. With regard to the epidosites alone, this might be regarded as a simple lateral variation in the environment (igneous or sedimentary) of formation of the amphibolites (metamorphic equivalent).

However, the decrease in epidosites oceurs in a number of units, not a single unit. In this light it is very difficult to sustain the idea of a suddon lateral variation in a number of units. The ireceular distribution of the epidosites is not a crjtical factor, for it could arise in three unrelatod ways:
(1). Irregular distribution of the primary processes.
(2). The effects of transposition of tho recular or irregular primary structure within the amphibolites.
(3). Irrerular distribution of a secondary process (emidotisation).

By ascuming the epidosites are a result of an epidotisation process we can reasonably combine the two facts which oppose the primary oricin.

The differences betweon the patch-epidosites and the epidosites may be dependent to a large extent on their rospective enviroments. The patchopidosites are a very local phenomena, whereas the epidosites in the 3lack Prince-Silvor King area are situated in a much broader environment of retrogression (marked by the unfoliated amphibolito). It is possible that changes accompanying the epidotisation in the latter instance have proceeded much further, more or less obliterating any influence of the original anphivolite, than in the case of the patch opiclosites.

The changes in composition of the amphibolite during its conversion to epidosite must be similar in many respects to changes associated with the formation of the patch-epidosites; although the lack of actinolite in the epidosites may indicate some differences. The sharp but irregular contact in Spec. 28336 , is consistent with metasomatic alteration. Beyond the area
of definite metasomatism, the metamorphism has produced the unfoliated amphibolites. The effect of this reasoning on the division of $M$ and $M$ metamorphism will be discussed in Sect. 6.52.
6.43 The microstructure of $M$ rocks

One of the more obvious aspects of $M$ rocks in this section is the evidence of intra-granular strain in the aggregates, whether microscopic-mesoscopic fabric elements that post-date B folding (e.g. $S_{\text {, }} L_{\text {, etc. }}$ ) are present or absent. The intra-granular strain is seen as:
(1). Undulose extinction, either continuous or discontinuous, in quartz grains. Undulose extinction is also visible in some of the pale amphibole grains in unfoliated amphibolites.
(2). Bent flakes of muscovite, biotite and fibres of sillimanite. Kink bands (sub-normal to the $\{001\}$ cleavage) are also common in muscovite.

The microstructure of quartz aggregates in $M$ rocks differ markedly in one 4 respect from similar aggregates in $M$ rocks; the boundaries between quartz grains 1 are serrate and often strongly curved. With one exception (the chiastolite schists discussed in Chapter 5), the presence of undulose extinction in quartz grains is always accompanied by serrate or curved boundaries between contiguous quartz grains (this statement is also true of $M$ and $M$ rocks). The converse is also apparently true, that the curved or serrate boundaries occur only in quartz grains with undulose extinction. One difficulty in confirming the latter is due to the observation of undulose extinction. Undulose extinction in $M$ rocks, wheth er continuous or discontinuous, tends to form discrete bands inthe grains. Thus undulose extinction will be invisible, or at least very much suppressed, in many grains due to the orientation of the bands with respect to the plane of the section. However it is very rarely that undulose extinction
cannot be observod in one of two contiguous grains with a curved or serrate boundary.

Thore is a correlation between the intensity of undulose extinction developed in the grains of quartz, the shapes of the grains and the nature of the boundaries between the grains of the aggregate. Where the undulose extinction is continuous and not pronounced, the serrations in the grain boundaries are fine and curvature of the boundary is weak (i.e. the radius of curvature is very large or nearly infinite). An aggregate of this type may retain the most of the details of the equiaxial polygons that are characteristic of $M$ rocks. In contrast, where undulose extinction is predominantly discontinuous (with perhaps deformation lamellae in some grains), the boundaries between quartz grains of an aggregate are coarsely serrated and strongly curved, and the shape of the grains is irregular. Aggregates of the latter type are typical of the coarser grained $M_{4}$ schists.

The correlation in the previous paragraph can also be discerned in some $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ tectonites. Discontinuous undulose extinction and deformation lamellae in quartz are more prominent in the hinge region of mesoscopic $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ folds than in the limbs of the folds (Sect. 3.27). The quartz grains (in aggregates) in the hinge region have more strongly curved and strongly serrated boundaries than the less strained grains in the limbs.

Serrations in quartz-quartz interfaces are often found to coincide with the termination of sub-grain boundaries against the grain boundary in grains which possess discontinuous undulose extinction. The same obvious observational difficulty as mentioned above applies. The
interaction of the sub-grain boundary with the grain boundary takes two forms (Fig. 6.4).

Usually the two boundaries produce a triple junction (Fig. 6.4a) that resembles that development at the intersection of three grain boundaries in a grain growth texture. The interfaces near the triple junction are normally curved but nevertheless, the angle opposite the sub-grain boundary ( $a_{1}$ in Fig. 6.4 a ) is always significantly less than $120^{\circ}$. Less commonly the situation depicted in Fig. 6.4 b is encountered. Curvature of the boundaries along the triple junction in this case is usually strong. The angle opposite the sub-grain boundary is usually much larger (nearer to $270^{\circ}$ ) than $180^{\circ}$.

The resemblance between the triple junction in the first case (Fig. 6.4) and the triple junction between three grain boundaries suggests an analogous formation. Thus the serration can be thought of as resulting from an attempt to balance the submgrain boundary energy against that of the grain boundary. With the strong curvature of the boundaries nea $r$ the triple junction the array of boundaries can hardly be stable; nevertheless if the model above is accepted the usually small angle opposite the sub-grain boundary tentatively suggests the sub-grain boundary is much less than the grain boundary energy. This agrees with the experience in metals (see below) and is intuitively very acceptable. The second case cannot be as simply explained, for this would require that the sub-grain boundary energy be negative.

Mullendore and Grant (1961) in a study of serrate boundaries in deformed Al-Mg boundaries also noted the coincidence of sub-grain boundaries with the peak of serrations. However they dismiss. (for the alloys they studied) the hypothesis that the serrations may have resulted from efforts to balance the energies of various boundaries; the energy of an average sub-grain boundary


## Figura 6.4

Skotches of tho two forms in which sub-grain boundaries (1) intorsect grain boundaries (2) between quartz trains (not drawn to scale).
in the alloy is too small to create other than a neeligible deviation of the grain boundary. The creep tests performed by Nullendore and Grant (190́1) favoured intra-granular slip and grain boundary sliding, and they believe that differential slip in the grain boundaries results in the build up of local concentrations behind the grain boundaries. They further propose that the concentration of dislocation will influence the rate of migration of the boundary (during annealing) at different points; thus creating serrations.

The explanation of Mullendore and Grant is an attempt to provice a mechanism for "strain-induced" boundary migration, a phenomena first documented by Beck, Sperry and Hu (1950). They found that boundary migration could be induced into previously annealed metals by first cold working and then raannealing the metal. The energy for the boundary migration is derived from residual strain energy stored in the grains (as dislocations) during deformation and released during annealing by replacement of the strained lattice by a homogeneous lattice. Replacement of the strained grain by the more homogeneous grain" appears to be proceeding in both of the cases shown in Fig. 6.4.

The reason the serrations develop can be explained if a number of relationships discovered during the investigation of boundary migration in metals also hold for silicates. It appears in most circumstances in metals that the angle of mis-fit of the contiguous lattices across the boundary determines the relative mobility of the boundary; low angle boundaries are less mobile. than high angle boundaries*. During the measurement of deformation lamellae in Cnapter 3, the angle between the c-axes in the various sub-grains of 30 quartz grains with prominent (discontinuous) undulose extinction were determined. The maximum angle measured (from a stereographic plot) between two adjacent sub-grains was $13^{\circ}$, and angles of $8-12^{\circ}$ were numerous. Few angles of less than $7^{\circ}$ were encountered (no doubt these angles would be found if grains *
Beck, (1954), McLean (1957)
exhibiting less prominent undulose extinction had been measured). Thus a considerable difference of orientation exists between the two lattices on either side of the line of impingement of the sub-boundary on the grain boundary. The effect of this additional displacement has been on the original angle of misfit of the two lattices - by increasing or decreasing the misfit near the sub-grain boundary, could produce the two different types of serrations observed. Obviously this hypothesis will require more experimental information on mobility rates of boundaries in silicate aggregates before it can be regarded with confidence.

The arguments outlined, concerning the mobility of boundaries of different misfit, also allow explanations for two other phenomena connected with $M$ metamorphism. The growth of disseminated porphyroblasts of muscovite at a high angle to $S_{1}$ in rocks only superficially affected by $M_{4}$ metamorphism is analogous in many respects to the formation of "duplex" structure in metals. The argument that follows parallels that summarised by Beck (1954)* for the formation of duplex structure in metals. The general boundary between grains in an aggregate with a strong preferred orientation - as occurs in aggregates of muscovite flakes in well foliated $M$ rocks - will be a low angle boundary. 1
If then, by accident of nucleation, there are isolated grains in the aggregates with a lattice orientation radically different to the average, then the growth of these disoriented grains will be favoured in circumstances favouring grain growth. This follows from the greater mobility of the high angle boundaries of the disoriented grains against other grains of the aggregate, compared to the low angle boundaries throughout the rest of the aggregate. The presence of dimensionally elongate quartz grains, similar in nature and size to grains found in the muscovite-rich portions of a normal $M$ schist, *See also, for a summary, McLean (1957).
probably signify that the volume occupied by the porphyroblast now was originally a muscovite aggregate. The porphyroblasts are located in micaceous laminae. A second explanation of duplex structure (McLean, 1957) depends on the release with prolonged annealing of some boundaries from inhioition of movement by dispersed particles in the interface. If the release of the boundary is independent of the structure of the boundary (i.e. low or high angle boundary), then this process is unlikely to favour grains of any particular orientation. This is, of course, contrary to the observed nature of the porphyroblastic muscovite. If the release is dependent on boundary orientation, then observation of the completed (or arrested) process will not be able to distinguish this from the process above.

In either case, the growth of the muscovite could have occurred during any period (metamorphic) in which muscovite was a stable phase and annealing conditions pertained. These circumstances were probably fulfilled towards the conclusion of $M_{q}$ metamorphism (Sect. 5.35. ) and perhaps again during $M_{4}$ metamorphism. Certainly muscovite was capable of grain growth during $M$ metamorphism*. The appearance of the porphyroblastic flakes in schists which have suffered minimal retrogression (given that very few rocks are unaffected by $M$ metamorphism) probably signifies that growth of the porphyroblasts was 4 initiated during $M$ metamorphism.

A similar type of argument might explain the more extensive obliteration of the $S$ fabric in the unfoliated amphibolites compared to the adjacent schists. 1
In well foliated amphibolites which are not well lineated, most hornblende grains are oriented in $S$, but the orientation in this surface is poor. Hence 1 the contact between many grains is a high angle boundary. Grain growth of selected hornblendes, without nucleation of new grains, during the initial *See Sect. 6.44.
stages of $\underset{\psi}{X_{i}}$ metamorphism could eive rise to the relative coursoness of those rocks and also create the mottled fabric. The mottied fabric, is then meroly a more ragged vorsion of the laminated fabric of the foliatod amphibolite. It is noticeable that nornblende in bodies of well linoated amphibolite in the Silver King - Black Prince area survive quite extensive retrogressions without any apparent change in the dimensions or shape of the hornblende grains (the retrogression is shown by the extensive replacement of plagioclase - of the same order as that in the unfoliated rocks - by sericite and dinozoisite; e.g. as in Spec. 28342. . The effect of a similar type of grain growth in tho schists is limited, excopt possibly for quartz, by the low angle boundaries between ageregated grains (muscovite, sillimanite) or the isolation of the phases as porphyroblasts (e.g. andalusite, biotite). Superimposed on growth of the amphibole by boundary migration must be chemical and structural changes to produce the optical differences between the pale coloured amphibole and hormblende. Unless theso changes can be made relatively easily, the process could not procecd as outlined above. 6.44 The relationshin between $M_{3}$ and $M_{4}$ metamorphisms and the tectonic history of the arca

Relict $B_{1}$ folds and associated structures can often be recognised in the tourmalinised schists, but no instance of a rolict $B_{2}, B_{3}$, or $B_{4}$ folds or of a $B_{2}, B_{3}$, or $B_{4}$ folds deforming the tourmaline rocks has been discovared. This is particularly significant in the area around the Black Prince Shafts, since the schists surrounding the tourmalinised rocks, and relict patchos of
schists within the altored rocks are moderately deformed by $B$ folds. Consequently we may conclude that the tourmalinisation has post-dated B folding, and pre-dated the $\mathrm{B}_{4}$. The tourmaline rock, although well foliated, lacks the micaceous foliation necessary to deform by kinking (Sect. 3.27). nowever $B_{4}$ folding is recorded in the tourmaline rocks by intramgranular deformation of the quartz in the rocks at the Black Prince Shafts (See below). The absence of $B$ and $B$ folds may be fortuitous, since mesoscopic folds of either generation are not abundant in any schists surrounding a tourmalinised area.

Kink bands (S ${ }_{4}$ ) in a tectonite from north of the Silver King mine (Spec. : 28389,10c.22400128000 are emphasised by a new quartzose layering that cuts across layering, and metamorphic lamination parallel to $S$. A modal analysis of the rock yielded the following results:

|  | Quartz | Muscovite | Tourmaline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | $11.6 \%$ | $84.3 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| (2) | $31.2 \%$ | $63.1 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| (1) Area outside the kink bands | $(1,000$ points) |  |  |

(2) Area inside the kink bands (1000 points) Data from a section normal to $L$.

The concentration of quartz inside the kink band (relative to muscovite) is marked. Incipient tourmalinisation of the rock has apparently been concurrent with the formation of the layering, and probably some of the silica is of metasomatic origin. Less spectacular layering is visible in a L tectonite collected several feet beyond the area of tourmalinisation at the Black Prince Mine. The layering is due to the growth of quartz grains in the $S$ surface in mica-rich portions of the schist (Fig. 6.5a). Again the layering is at an angle to transposed $S$ and metamorphic lamination. Because layering of this type is not general in $B_{4}$ or ( $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ ) rocks elsewhere, we may conclude that creation of the layering in this instance is also due to $M$ metamorphism. In

- Figure 6.5
(a). Developrent of incipient layering in an $S_{4}$ tectonite from near the Black Prince Mine. The layering consists of quartz grains located along the $S_{4}$ surface, at an ancle to a quartz layer parallel to $S_{1}$. All quartz grains in the mica layer that are prosent are shown (quartz, stipled; orientation of micas indicated by dashed lines).
(b). Ivicience for grain growth of muscovite in the domain deaning $S_{4}$. Note the way muscovite grains of oither limb of the kink interlock with each other and with quartz crains with no evidence of $\operatorname{strain}(Q=q u a r t z ; ~ m u s c o v i t e$, dashed).
(c). Porphyroblastic muscovite grain, growing across the $S_{4}$ surface containing, fibres of sillimanite oriented parallel to $S_{1}$ in either limb of the kink
 muscovitembiotite schist).

both of the cases just described, $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ metamorphism has been synchronous 3 with ${ }_{4}$ folding.

Nothing can be determined of the relative ages of $M$ metamorphism of the 3 amphibolites, except that it post-dates $S_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. Nor is the age of $B_{2}$ and $B_{3}$ folds with respect to $M$ metamorphism known; however, $M_{3}$ metamorphism cannot pre-date either opisode of folding.

Some recrystallisation of muscovite has occurred after $B_{4}$ folding; the evidence for this conclusion is contained in Fig. 6.5 b . In the domain that constitutes the $S_{4}$ surface there are regions in which there is flexuring of the basal cleavage of the micas across the domain, and other regions in which strain-free micas interlock across the surface. The interlocking is, at least in many cases, due to boundary migration of grains (in S ) on either side of the $S_{4}$ surface that existed prior to the kinking. Some smaller grains, however, may have actually nucleated in the $S$ domain. This type of structure is common in $L_{4}$ tectonites affected by $M$ metamorphism, and identical examples can be found in B tectonites. Fig. 6.5 C shows a muscovite flake in a 3
sillimanite schist extensively affected my $M$ metamorphism. The flake is located across an $S_{4}$ surface and contains fine fibres of sillimanite oriented in $S$, on either side of the surface. Obviously in both cases, $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ metamorphism post-dates $B_{4}$ folding.

The source of the intra-granular strain in $M_{4}$ rocks, where it is unaccompanied by visible mesoscopic fabric elements, is not immediately obvious. However it is reasonable to equate this deformation with $B_{3}$ or $B_{4}$ folding, especially as the same effects are present in $B$ and $B$ tectonites. The rarity of meso34 scopic and macroscopic $B$ folds outside of the north-western portion of the area, when contrasted with the more uniform spread of the intra-granular deformation over the whole area, makes it improbable that $B$ folding is responsible for the observed strain. Undulose extinction in quartz grains is

Often most evident in rocks which were poorly foliated (in the unaltered state) and, hence, least likely to deform by kinking of $S_{1}$ (See Chapter 3 and also Weiss and Turner, 1964, pp. 98, 114, and 475). However, the deformation of the rocks may be accomplished by more diffuse movements throughout the aggregate, giving rise to the intra-granular features.

The close connection between the undulose oxtinction in quartz and serration of quartz/quartz interfaces proves that the undulose extinction is due to deformation either synchronous with, or pre-dating $M_{4}$ metamorphism. The development of distinct sub-grains in the quartz is not incompatible with the general coarsening of the quartz grain size; those grains in which undulose extinction is best developed may grow more rapidly. Homogencous sub-grains in contact with less homogeneous sub-grains in adjacent grains will tend to replace these grains, with a consequent lowering of the strain-energy stored in the lattice by defomation. The situation is analogous to the growth of homogeneous grains in a strained aggregate, excopt that many of the internal boundaries are sub-grain boundaries (this situation will probably be transient; it is obvious that we are looking at rocks in which the motamorphic reactions are far from complete).

Before concluding the discussion of $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ metamorphisms it is necessary to examine the pegmatites and their possible role in the metamorphic history of the area.

### 6.45 The pegmatites

No comprehensive petrological or mineralogical study of the rocks grouped collectively as the "pegmatites" has been undertaken during the present study. It should be obvious from the brief discussion that follows that the pegmatites are a very heterogeneous and complex group and work necessary to sample and prepare even a summary of their petrology is beyond the scope of this thesis. The brief review that follows is based mainly on field observations and is only intended to bring to light the variability of the pegmatites and their age relative to the tectonic and metamorphic history of the schists.

The pegmatites are acid rocks composed of various quantities of quartz, alkali feldspar (usually microcline), albite and pale green or colourless mica. The microcline, with typical polysynthetic twinning, is commonly both graphic and perthitic. Tourmaline (Schorl), with the same optical properties as that in the tourmalinised schists (Sect. 6.22) is always important as an accessory, and sometimes makes up 3-5\% of the rock. Sulphides (chalcopyrite, pyrite, arsenopyrite, galena and sphalerite), oxides (magnetite), flourite and very rare beryl are occasional components of quartz-rich pegmatites. Sillimanite, garnet and epidote have also been discovered in a few pegmatite dykes, but are fairly obviously derived locally from the schists and amphib. olites by assimilation.

The grainsize ranges from 0.5 cm . to more than 100 cm ; and extreme contrast of grainsize between different components is usual. Alkali-feldspar is normally the coarsest component in any particular sample. The larger pegmatite bodies locally contain small bodies of acid rocks with a grainsize of $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. and a granitic texture. These rocks contain more albite and less alkali-
feldspar and mica than the coarser pegmatites, and are often rich ( $5 \%$ ) in small needles ( $0.5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$.) of tourmaline. One body of this finer grained rock sampled (28300) consists of $97 \%$ of perfectly aligned laths of albite and $3 \%$ of quartz and a pale green muscovite. The volume of these finer grained rocks is comparatively minor relative to fine and coarse grained pegmatite.

The three stocks of pegmatite are made up of two fractions of different grainsize and structure mixed at all scales. Generally one fraction consists of pegmatite with a grain-size roughly in the range $.5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. and is fairly uniformly grained. The second portion consists of coarse grains of alkali feldspar (a maximum of 100 cms ) with finer quartz distributed between the alkali feldspar. Coarse books of muscovite (10-20 cm.in diameter) and toumaline ( $3-15 \mathrm{~cm}$. long) are scattered throughout the coarser fraction. Finer muscovite and tourmaline are also found in the finer grained pegmatite. It is impossible to estimate the comparative volumes of the tourmaline and mica in the two fractions, for the two minerals are not uniformly spread throughout either type of pegmatite. Often there is a distinctive contact between the two types of pegmatite. The coarser pegmatite may appear as small irregular shaped bodies within a fine pegmatite, or the two are separated by a sharp, but irregular contact, with tongues of the coarser pegmatite penetrating the finer pegmatite. Equally often it is impossible to define a sharp contact between the two types of pegmatite, and there is a rapid transition over a short distance (a few feet) from one type to the other. Although the two fractions are apparently mixed on all scales and in differing proportions, some definite trends can be noticed in the three stocks. Nuch of the Aller Creek Stock is composed of fine grained material, some of
which borders on, or is slightly loss than, the lower limit of the pegmatite size rangef in particular the portion of the Stock that is cut by the lower parts of Big and Little Aller Creeks, near the edge of the Mundi Mundi Plain). The composition of this finer pegmatite is fairly uniform and an average composition is; microcline $50 \%$, quartz $40 \%$, albite $5 \%$, muscovite and tourmaline 5\%. Tourmaline and muscovite with blue-grey quartz (in roughly equal proportions) are found in small blebs. The blebs which are often sub-circular in section are only a fow inches across.

North and South of Big and Little Aller Creek the pegratite of the stock coarsens and more nearly resembles the character of the other stocks.

The Mt. Robe and Eldee Craek Stocks are composed of a large proportion of coarser material, especially the latter. Tourmaline and mica are evenly dispersed through the portions of pegmatite within which they occur; in contrast to the Aller Creek Pegmatite. There appears to be a higher proportion of inine grained pegmatites and acid rocks (the $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. size range) along the northern and north-western contact of the Mt. Robe body than at any other locality. The contact of the three stocks is seldom simple. Passing from the schists there is a zone of ever increasing profusion of pegmatite dykes and sills of pegmatite separating blocks of schist and amphibolite before the solid pegmatite is encountered. Where the dip of the contact of the solid pegmatite can be deduced, it is invariably fairly steep.

Smailer dykes and sills of pegmatite show as much variation in composition as do the larger bodies, although the character of any one body is usually uniform throughout. Many of the dykes are compositionally and structurally zoned. A systematic and constantly recurring example is zoning from a fina grained quartz - microcline - albite margin ( $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$.) , through a zone of
coarsor microcline ( $10-20 \mathrm{~cm}$.) with less quartz and albite, to a coro of coarse grained quartz ( $10-20 \mathrm{~cm}$.) with litile or no microcline.

The volume of pegmatite in the Mi. Robe District is enormous, even if all the larger bodies have shallow bases. By volumo, pegratites are very important components of the Willyama Complex, whereas rocks which'might be classified as "granites" are very minor*. Tho consistont crystallisation of the acid rocks as pegmatites rather then "granitic" rocks myst be attributed to some factor inherent in the source of the rocks. The complex structure of the larger bodies could result from multiple intrusion, but the close interaingling of fine and coarse pegmatito at all scales, with the whole forming a homozeneous body, seems to rule this out. The zoning of the dykes also confirms that the same structure could arise from complicated crystallisation in the pegmatite, rather than multiplo intrusion.

The soquence of crystallisation in systems such as the albite-water system, which might represent very crudely the behaviour of pegratites, is very complex; in all probability the crystallisation of pegmatite magmas will be equally, if not more, complex (Turner and Verhoogen, 1960). A host of different factors may affect the actual path that crystallisation may take at any point during the crystallisation (Turner and Verhoogen, 1960). The complex structure and different distribution of minor phases such as tourmaline and mica in different pegmatite bodies agrees with complexity predicted from experimental systems.

[^1]6.46 The arc of the pegmatites relative to the tectonic history of the matamoruhic rocks

No instance of a pegnatito vein folded with $S$ as axial surface has been 1
found. Examples of pegmatite sheets, generally concordant with the schistosity, folded about $B$ folds are plentiful in the arca along the western side of the Aller Creek Stock. Thin layers of pegnatite are also commonly folded by $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ folds, but no clear example (at a mesoscopic scale) of a pecmatite cutting a fold of this type has been encountered. However, other evidence suggests that pegmatite has crystallised simultaneously with $3_{4}$ folding. Stringers of tourmaline and mica, and to a less extent, quartz, aro concentrated in the hinge region of $\mathrm{B}_{4}$ folds in pegmatites (Fig. 6.6). The stringers aro parallel to the axial surface of the folds and rosemble (in style) fracture cleavage sometimes developed in quartz-feldspar rocks and suggosts that final crystallisation of the pegratite post-dated the folding. This type of structure is fairly common in pegmatite veins folded about $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ as axial surface.

The Aller Creek and Vit. Robe Stocks are easily dated, for they cut across, and obliterate, the Mt. Robe Fault over much of its length. Consequently they must post-date $\mathrm{B}_{4}$ folding. The situation of the two stocks in the hinge of macroscopic $B_{4}$ folds, and their gross confomity to the shapo of the folds probably results from the influence of $S$ and perhaps the amphibolites on the 1 intrusion. Along their margins, partially enclosed by projections from the main body, or within the pegmatite are numerous bodies of amphibolite and schist. The foliation $S_{1}$ in these bodics trace out the macroscopic $B_{4}$ folds, parallel to $S$ in rocks outside the stock. Noreover it is doubtiful that macroscopic 1 $B_{4}$ folds, with their dependence on slip on the schistosity could have


## BIGURE 6.6

Arcangement of quartz and tourmaline veins in a sheet of pegmatite (stipled) folded with $S_{4}$ as axial surface. The pegmatite is concordant with schistosity in the schists (sketch; Loc. 31200104800).
developed if the pogmatites pre-dated the folds.
Tro Eldee Creek pegmatite is more difficult to date. It apparently postdates the macroscopic structure developed at the Black Prince Sharts. The latter structure of course pre-dates $B_{4}$ folding (Chapter 4). Insofar as the Eldeo Crook pegmatite seens to be continuous with the lit . Robe Stock, it is tentaitively assigned the samo age.

From their age relationships, the larger bodies of pegmatite must be of intrusive origin. However, this might have been concluded separately from the nature of their contacts, characterised by tongues of pegmatite penetrating the schists at all angles to lichological layering and schistosity. Any sheet of pegmatite that cuts across $S$ other than in the hingo of an isoclinal $i$ fold, can scarcely be considered as pre-dating $B$ folding.

Thin sheets of pecmatite that are concordent with $S_{1}$ cannot be dated, although many of these must pre-date $B_{2}$ folding (above). However it is unlikely that these pogmatites represent transposed felspathic layering inherited from the pre-B fabric. They are undeformod (mullions, fracture cleavage) and there seems no reason why they should diffor significantly in their microstructure and grain size (as they do) from other in metamorphic rocks. Even if we allow that all theso rocks originated duxing the lator stages of M metamorphism, it is cloar that by far the bulk of the pegmatites has been intruded after 3 folding. The radio-metric dates of R.Pidgeon (Gapter 1) suggest there has been pegmatitic material introduced over an extended period.
6.47 Discussion of $\mathrm{H}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{V}_{4}$ metamorohism

A metamorphism is not a simple contact metamorphism associated with the 4 intrusion of the pegmatite, for, as has already been emphasised, a number of times, there is no simple correlation between the apparent intensity of $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ motamorphism and proximity to the pegmatite stocks. In view of the discussion
of boundary migration effects in $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ motamorphism (Sect. 6.43) and tho incompleteness of the reactions, comparison of intensity of alteration at different localities are best confined to structurally and compositionally homogeneous rocks. The amphibolites are the only group of rocks that approximate these conditions. There is a very marked discrepancy in the amphibolites in the two limbs of the Mi. Robe Synform, although both limbs are close to pecmatite.

Nevertheless, some degreo of correlation exists for bodies of amphibolite enclosed in the pegmatites, if we consider the nature of the pegmatito. ilmost all the amphibolites enclosed in the Ildee Creek and ivt. Robe Stocks are intensely altered. Quite intense retrogression is also tyoical of amphibolites in the southem, coarser portion of the Aller Creek Stock, where the character of the pagmatite is more like that of the other two stocks. The finer grained portion of the last stock is characterised by only incipient retrozression of amphibolites. Intensive retrogression is apparently associatcd with predominance of the coarser-grained pegratite.

The rapid variation in $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ metamorphism in the amphibolites outside the stocks implies that the retrogression is not a "blanket" metanorphism, but rather a localised phenomena recurring over a wide area. Factors which might have contributed to the localisation of the retrogression are; the incidence of strain, variation in themal intensity , of the source, and perhaps availability of metasomatic elements. The close association of strain can hardly be accidental. At low intensity of retrogression, the association is sensible if slight straining assists the initiation of retrogression. For example, the introduction of dislocations into the biotite lattice, by creating regions in which the bonds are distorted, may lower tho activation energy for the reaction biotite_,
$\longrightarrow$ chlowite. IThe coarser sub-grains in rock intensely anfocted by motamorphism may reflect sub-srain growth from sub-grains formed as incipient deformation bands during $B_{3}$ and $3_{4}$ foldine.

The retrogression may involve metasomatic elements. The reactions of at motamonphism (andalusite, sillimanite $\longrightarrow$ muscovice; biotite $\longrightarrow$ _ chloritc; plagioclase $\longrightarrow$ sericitc) favour the replacement of the if 1 phases with more hydrous phases; unless muscovite were consumed during the retrogression (which is most unlikely) at least water is required from an extem21 source. The epidosites also seem to be at least partially metasomatic in origin. It can be argued that the epidosites would be better classified as M products, in spite of the fact that they cannot be connected with a specific source. In this case the close association of the epidosites with unfoliated amphibolites (Sect. 6.42), implies that $M_{3}$ and $M_{4}$ metamorohism be considered as a single episode.

Thore aro some advantages in this view. The maximum development of both types of metamorphism in the one area - along the eastern side of the yt. Robe pegmatita - could point to somathing inherent in the relationship of the pegmatite to the country rocks (or the pegratite itself). The same factors wore then absent $2 l o n g$ the north-western margin of the pegmatite. Turner and Verhoogen (1960) have proposed that conditions in the wall rocks adjacent to a permatitic "magna" containing volatile phases may exert a considerable iniluence on the crystallisation history of the magma and the escape of the volatiles.

However, one fact tends to contradict this hypothesis. The dated examples of ${ }_{3}$ metamorphism pre-date $B_{4}$ foldinz, whereas $M_{4}$ metamorphism and the intrusion of the pegmatites post-dato $3_{4}$ folding. The combination of the three events into a single episode is only possible in they are centred about $3_{4}$ folding; that is if the sulphide-bearing pegmatites are a preliminary phase oi, and genetically related to, the pegmatite stocks introduced just after the conclusion oi $B_{4}$
folding. it metamorphism (as dofined here) has then been produced in a general 4 environment created by the intrusions. As the thermal onvironnent evolved it may have exerted an increasingly important influence on the straining of the rocks. There is nothing inconsistent in the information gathered with this view; but, by the same token, we are justified in considering $N$ and $M$ metarorphism as discreto and genetically unrelated episodes.

In any case, it seems that in motarorphism may be relatod to the intrusion of the pezmatites on separate grounds. A. Low intensity themal onvironment (from the general nature of $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ minerals, e.g., chlorite and aloite) of variable character, depending on the crystallisation behaviour of the pegmatites at different points (and perhaps expressed by the relative proportions of coarse and fine pegmatite), and combincd with variable release of metasomatic agents from the pezmatites could account for the apparently capricious development of is mectmorphism. 4

## $5.49-2$ motmomism

The vocke in the cleavage zonos contain no trace of tho dictinctive hathgrado" minoras produced by if motamonphism (c.e. sillimanito, andahaito. hornblendo), although these minerals (possibly partially rotaceressen) may bo presont in the adjacent rocks. Thus thero hes been a distinetive motarombisk associntod with the cleavage zones. There the zones cut schists the noers are usually quabtr-mascovite phyilites that may or may not contain chiorite. The muscovites are arraned to form the two cleavajos described previously in Chapter 4. 'In one specinen Eron near At. Pmarks, hematite is an inportant component along with minor quantities of tourmaline (Spec. 28250 ). The rocks are very fine grained ( $L 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$. ). The quartz is ofton freo of strain and may, as in the specimen already cited, forn polygonal grains meoting in triplo junctions.

Howeven, the quartz in a vein intersected in a specimen from int. Robe (Spoc. 23265 ) Exhibit discontinuous unduiose extinction and individual frains ane curved. The sub-structure oi the quarte is composed of distinctive polygons with sharp sub-boundaries. Quartz grains with a similar sub-structure have been found in quartz lenses fror a cleavage zone crossine arphibolito near the adit of the it. Robe tine. The fine, strain-free quartz erains apparontly represent recnystallisation products while the strained grains are inneritod from the parent rock.

Where the cleavage zones cut amphibolite the rock produced is composed mainly of chlowite and albite with only minor quartz (0.8. Spec. 28305 ).

Since the cleavage zones either pre-date on are synchronous with 3 coldine, medmomphise acocolated with their fomation prodatod 3 folding. To wat extont the minowl assemblages now obsowed in tho rocks from the zone represent comations during Eomation of the zones is unknown. The minenal
assemblages found in schists and amphibolites are consistent in a esonexal way with the tondency of M motanorphism to produce assomblages of the treenschist facies. For zones formed during $3_{4}$ foldinz ( 0.8 . alons the $\begin{aligned} & \text { fit. }\end{aligned}$ Robe Fault), the actual motamorphic conditions may have boon those of if motamorphism. ilowever, because of deformation in the zones, as withossed by the formation of a new foliation to produce fine grain sizos, the displaceront of the $X$ assemblages by greenschist assemblages has been much morc complete 1 than in rocks in general: Still the possibility exists that the zone 1. completely pre-dates $M$ metamorphism and the rocks now obsorved reflect a distinct metamorphism localised along the cleavage zones.
6.49 mone calc-sijicates

Although the minomal assomblages of the cale-silicatos are often sinilat to thoso produced by $x$ motamomphisn of amohioolites, the calc-silicutes 3 have a separate oxistonce. The thin layexing so characteristie of the calesilicatos is foraign to tho altered amohibolite. The cormonest mineral assembiage in the calc-silicates is diopside and quarta with accessory apatite and sphene. Variation in the ratio of diopside to quartz and the grainsize of dach component dificrontiate the layering oit the calc-silicatos. Grainsizes range between 0.1 and 1 mana a sairly constant size prevails in any one layc:- Plagioclase, now mainly sericitised, is only occasionally an important component in some of the layors. Ovorall, diopsicio usuaily constitutas $30 \hat{p}$ or rore of the portions of the rocks sampled.

A orange-pink garnet sometimes foms distinct layers in tho calc-sinicatos, especially in the thinner, boudinaged layers. An arphibole, that is probibly an actinolito ( $Z^{\wedge} c_{c}=12-14^{\circ}$, pleochroic in brilliant bluc-aroon colours), is only sonctimes inportant.

Epidote (in thin section pale green slighty pleochroic, ronoclinic nogativo with a 2 v of $75^{\circ}$ to $85^{\circ}$ ) and clinozoisice (colourless, monoclinic yositive, $2 V$ of $80-85^{\circ}$ ) are constantly encountered, often in the same rock. Cininozoisite is ofton associatod with layors of coarse cuartz (up to 4 me.) that rone or less parallel the quartz-diopside layers. The quartz exnibits marked disoontinuous undulose extinction due to the presence of polygonal sub-grains. The sub-structure is distinctly different to that encountered in quarty in the schists or ambibolites, but is fairly typical of the peamatites. Inclusions of dionside are not uncommon in the quartz, and they and the diopside boworine
the quartz have a peculiar cloudy appearance. The clinozoisite is present in layers laterally continuous with the quartz or along the margin of the quartz layer. Small quantities of epidote and/or clinozoisite are found in the diopsido rock bordering the quartz layers. It appears that the quartz layers and the clinozoisite represent a type of $M$ metamorphism imposed on the diopside-quartz rocks.

M metamorphism of a different type is evident in a calc-silicate enclosed 3 iin prgmatite near the north-nostern edge of the area (Spec. 28261). The specimen contains layers parallel to the diopside-quartz layers of vesuvianite (up to 3 mm . grainsize). The vesuvianite is riddled with inclusions of quartz and diopside with distinctive"shard-like" shapes. Again the diopside in and near the vesuvianite has a cloudy appearance. The vesuvianite is apparently replacing whose layers of diopside and quartz in the calc-silicate and is a result of $M$ metamorphism. 3

Clinozoisite and epidote also occur in circumstances which suggest they may have been members of the original M mineral assemblage. Layers composed of clinozoisite and sphene are prominent in the small calc-silicate body at Mt. Franks where neither $M_{3}$ nor $M_{4}$ metamorphisms are prominent (Spec. 28254). However, clinozoisite (which is more common than epidote) in other specimens (e.g. Spec. 28332) form granular aggregates that have a distinct grain shape. Apparently the clinozoisite is replacing some other phase in this instance. The more quartzose parts of the calc-silicates are composed of up to $50 \%$ quartz with biotite (10-15\%) and minor garnet (Spec. 28332). In the specimens examined clinozoisite or sericite constitutes the remainder of the rocks, but both minera may be replacing other minerals.

The $K$ mineral assemblages of the calcosilicates' were probably diopside-quartz ( $\pm$ plagioclase), garnet-diopside-quartz, and actinolite-plagioclase-quartz with minor amounts of epidote, biotite, sphene, and apatite.

## CONCIUSIONS AND SUMMARY

When the fabric of the metamorphic rocks is studied in detail at the mesoscopic and macroscopic scales, a picture of an intensely and repeatedly deformed complex evolves. Due to a combination of circumstances the major structure of the mapped area is dominated by two relatively simple folds. The apparent simplicity of these folds belies the complex tectonic history of the area. It seems possible to divide the history of the mapped area into two broad episodes:
(1) $B$ folding and $M$ metamorphism
(2) $\mathrm{B}_{4}$ folding, the intrusion of the pegmatites, $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ metamorphisms,

If the radio-metric dates of R. Pidgeon are representative of all the pegmatites, then the two episodes may be separated by nearly 300 million years (further dates are given by Binns, 1963, including some from the area mapped. The dates obtained from a single specimen by two methods or by using two different minerals sometimes show marked discrepancies - up to 600 millions years. The results, because of their internal inconsistency, can be interpreted in a number of ways and are of no value in clarifying the history of the region)

Knopf and Ingerson (1938, p.190) warned of the difficulties of recognising transposition fabrics. Where, as in the area mapped, the transposition is nearly complete the difficulties are particularly evident. However, the persist ence of isoclinal folds and fold hooks throughout the area are clear evidence of transposition. The effect of the transposition on the stratigraphic sequence is not very clear. There seems little doubt that useful units can be mapped in the schists, but if macroscopic $B$ folds are similar in style to observed mesoscopic B folds, the compilation of a stratigraphy over large areas may be difficult.

The principal axes of stress for $B$ folding were probably oriented normal and
 axis nomm to $S$ may havo bown the major axis. The analysis of tho vanious 1 sub-iabaics, inhorited and imposed, sugeset tho presence of an earlier ecromatior of foile. Broad concentric folds with fracture clavage or slaty cleavage in the rove sialy rocks best fit the properties deducod from the geometry and the sub-sabrics of $B$ foldis. If 3 foldine took place more or less synchronously with $K$ metamorphisn - that is at rolatively hich tomporatures oi the 1 almandino-amphibolite facies - then it is likely that viscous flow on diffusion were the paimaxy mechanisms of deformation. In this case the mechanical properites of layering and the old foliation may have been suppressod ard the movement picture orthorhombic. Altematively the moverent picture ray have been monoclinto - and the presence of glide surfaces tends to confian this - and tho prefemes orientation of quarte and Layoring in the boudins are related dixectiy to stress.

I rotamorphism outlasted deformation and interfacial tenstons played a 1 significant wole in determining grain shapes. The very large intoriacial tensions of quartz-mica boundaries compared to quartz-quartz inteniaces mant that the preferred orientation of mica was the most important influonce. we offect of intoriacial tensions is to produce an ingge of the $S$ fabric as determined by deformation. Thus no part of the fabric of $B$ folding has beon 1
lost because oi erain growth. It will always be impossible to tell if the departure of tho interfacial angles from $120^{\circ}$ in quartz aggrezates is due to imperiect annealine, the offect of boundaries with other phases, or true anisotropy of the interfaciel tensions for different orientation oi the boundanies with respect to the contiguous lattices and different ancles of misufit oi the lattice. Ve may suspect that the last is important. Othoriso the boundary
(quartz-quartz) must possess a characteristic structure for 211 orientations capable of nullifying the influence of each of the juxtaposed lattices. Moasurements of interfacial angles in natural quartz agorragates in contact with different phases in a wide variety of rocks (contact and regional motarorghics) would tend to indicate anisotropy, if the interfacial angles have a peak frequency that is repeated and a fairly characteristic spread.

The second generation of folds are not important enough in the Mit. Robe District to be considered a major episode; apparently they represented a minor episode confined to the north-western portion of the area. There is apparently no metamorphic event associated with 3 folding.

The second major episode is less surely established and the possibility remains that the events added together here may have been separate. The style of $B_{4}$ folds contrasts markedly with $B$ folds. However both generations of iclas are very widespread. In any case the orientation of the initial fabric is not a factor that affects the style of $B$ folds or associated kink bands (from the wide range of oxientation of $L_{4}$ ). The differences in style, then, may reinect a change in the whole metamorphic environment of the complex. By signiricantiy altering the mechanical properties of tho rocks between $B_{2}$ and $B_{4}$ folding, the metamorphic environment may have influenced the manner in which the rocks responded to deformation. (Otner factors may be important, such as the magnitude of the stresses and rate of strain).

A change in the thermal conditions was not sufficient to cause retrogession of the schists and amphibolites by itself. The retrogression is partially dependent on the introduction of material from the pegmatites. On the other hand, the occurrence of significant retrogression at a distance fron the pegmatites tonding to produce mineral assemblages of the Albite-Epidote-Eomiels
$\therefore$ acies, tust depond on a theral enviroment croated by mowe than tho locul pegmatitus.

Apparonty othor major foids sinilar to the It. Robe Synom and the Vestern fintifom are not uncomon in the milyara Complex. Kine and momes (1953) wocond a large number of synfoms in which schistosity (gneissosity) closes around the hinge parallel to layoring and with the same open shape (in the hinge) of the lit. Robe Synform. They note, however, that antifoms solic: have this shape and are usually much tighter and faulted in the hinge region. The first patt of this descrigtion at least fits the Western Antiform. Kng and Thomas (1953, p.549) also comment on a linoation "due to rineral oloneation which is genorally sympathetic with the plunge of the folded structures". Ezain the itit. Robe Synform is apparently typical, although the lineation (i) is at strictly yarallel to the fold axis. The actual distribution of in is on a cono about the fold axis and tho results point to tho value of the stereopraphe rete in distinguishing apparent patterns from real patterns.

The schistosity imparted to the rocks during $B$ folding was the conimant factor in the mechanical bohaviour of the rocks during $\frac{3}{3}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$ folding. One aspect of the influence of S , is apparent in the lad of 3 and 3 folds in layering and schistosity in areas where they intersect. Thus at ift. San:s, B foids in the schistosity occur in between the units without folding the 4 units themselves. The discontinuity of the structure of $S$ between two whitus (e.c. mica-schist and a quartzose mica schist boundary) is sufficient to provent folding across the contact. It is doubtful that the Nt. Robe Syanom and Western Antiforms could have developed if transposition had not been so complete. It this is the gencral rule then the willyana complex may be divicod into two types of macroscopic domains consisting of $B_{3}$ or $\frac{3}{}$ folds on the

```
\
wansyosed itmbs on macroscopic B Iolds (as is sugeosted by tho moadni
    1
nnd "comos" of marons (1922) soparatod by zonos or "intonoe dislocateon and
#20wagc").
```

Tho pegmatites have a long history and may provide the best sourco fon the dotemanation of absolute ages of the tectonic and metanorphic events. Evidontly throughout the history of the Willyama Complex afton 3 folajng theno ras a 1 roady source of acid matcrial. The recognition of the second major apisocie Lepends on the rocognition of is metanorphism which pro-dated B foldine, as a prolude to the intrusion of some pegmatites during $B_{4}$ folding and tho rain Whase oi intrusion after $B_{4}$ iolding. To a largo extent the structure of the metamorphic rociss controlled the shapo of tho intrusions.

Absolute ages from pegmatitos from the following situations should cien y tho history of the area:
(1) Fron pogmatites in glide surfaces in $B$ folding.
(2) From pernatites folded by 3 folds and pegmatites occurring alone tio hinge Of D GOIds.

2
(3) From sulphide-bearing pognatitos at the Black Prince Shafts winch pau-dato 3 folding.
(4) Fom pegmatitos that have apparontly crystallised durines 3 iolijne.
(5) From the Aller Greek Stock whore it cuts across the rit. Rode Fault.
$B_{3}$ folds have styles that are indistinguishable from those of $B_{4}$ iolds. The infrequency of $\geq$ folds (and hence the much less penetrative nature of the 3 deformation) signifies that metamorphic conditions rather than magnitude of stress determined the style. Thus $B$ folds may have developed in much the samo
metanorehic onviroment as $\bar{B}_{4}$ folds and represent a low intonsity defomation prior to the rain phase of $B_{4}$ folding.

The fault-fold structure at the Black Prince Shafts reprosents a single, non-penetrative event that occurred sometime between $3_{1}$ and $3_{4}$ folding. From the distribution of the lineation $L$ in the fold structure on the southom side 2 of the fault (sub-area 2) slip on schistosity was the main mechanism of doformation away from the fault. If vertical, the fault has an orientation similar to some of the cleavage zones in the area (e.g. at the consolation Shafts) and may be a particular development of a period of faultine after 3 folding. Many of the cleavage zones pre-date $B_{4}$ folding.
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The Structural and Metamorphic Petrology of the Mt. Robe District, Broken Hill, N. S. W. -->-- D. E. Anderson.

The tectonic and metamorphic histories of a portion of the Willyama Complex are clarified and described.

Four generations of folds are distinguished. Folds of the first generation are isoclinal and are characterised by an axial-surface schistosity. Two lineations, usually at an angle to each other, are associated with the folds. Transposition of layering to parallel schistosity is almost complete. Analysis of the various sub-fabrics contributing to the tricilnic symetry of the folds suggests the existence of an even earlier phase of folding. Folds of the second generation are also isoclinal, but lack an axial-surface foliation.

The third and fourth generations of folds are characterised by the development of a conjugate set of kink bands in their hinges. The style of the folds is very variable and reflects the properties of the initial fabric. The preferred orientation of basal deformation lamellae in quartz. in a fourth generation fold allows the fixing of the principal axes of stress for the deformation. The minor and major axes are sub-horizontal and the intermediate axis plunges steeply parallel to the fold axes. A study of the geometry of two sets of deformation lamellae in a single grain indicates that they may be parallel to a number of the common forms of quartz.

The major structure of the area is determined by one first generation fold, two fourth generation folds and an inhomogeneous fold-fauit structure.

Metamorphism to produce mineral assemblages of the Almandine-Amphibolite Facies followed or accompanied the first generation of folds. The area can be zoned with respect to the distribution of andalusite and silifmanite. Interfacial tension played a significant role in determining the shapes of grains but probably did not alter the shape of aggregates determined by deformation. The relative tensions, as determined from the measurement of interfacial angles at triple functions, of quartz-quartz and quartzmascovite boundaries are the most important factors determining grain shape. Local metamorphism associated with the intrusion of pegmatites and a more general retrogression followed the first phase of metamorphism. The various phases of folding and metamorphism probably represent two major episodes in the history of the Willyama Complex.
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[^0]:    * In pocket in back cover of thesis.

[^1]:    * The petrography or mineralogy of the acid rooks have hardly been touched, except for the pionecring work of Browne (1922). However, there is little coubt that throughout the complex, as in the Mt. Robe District, that rocks with pegmatite grainsize predominate.

