

Copyright and use of this thesis This thesis must be used in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

Reproduction of material protected by copyright may be an infringement of copyright and copyright owners may be entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright.

Section 51 (2) of the Copyright Act permits an authorized officer of a university library or archives to provide a copy (by communication or otherwise) of an unpublished thesis kept in the library or archives, to a person who satisfies the authorized officer that he or she requires the reproduction for the purposes of research or study.

The Copyright Act grants the creator of a work a number of moral rights, specifically the right of attribution, the right against false attribution and the right of integrity.

You may infringe the author's moral rights if you:

- fail to acknowledge the author of this thesis if you quote sections from the work
 - attribute this thesis to another author
- -subject this thesis to derogatory treatment which may prejudice the author's reputation

For further information contact the University's Copyright Service. sydney.edu.au/copyright

A SEMANTIC STUDY OF WORDS FOR YOUNG PERSON, SERVANT AND CHILD IN THE SEPTUAGINT AND OTHER EARLY KOINE GREEK

Ву

Graham McGregor Simpson, B.A., B.D.
University of Sydney, 1976

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Arts in the University of Sydney

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
PRI	EFACE	ii
Α.	WORDS FOR YOUNG PERSON, SERVANT, CHILD	
1.	ABPA	1
2.	ΑΝΔΡΑΠΟΔΟΝ	3
3.	ANHBO Σ	6
4.	ΒΡΕΦΟΣ	8
5.	ΓΕΝΝΗΜΑ	11
6.	ΔΙΑΚΟΝΟΣ	13
7.	ΔΟΥΛΗ	15
8.	ΔΟΥΛΟΣ	22
9.	ΘΕΡΑΠΑΙΝΑ	35
LO.	ΘΕΡΑΠΩΝ	37
Ll.	ΘΗΛΑΖΩΝ	43
12.	Θ YTATHP	45
13.	KOPAΣION	50
L4.	ΛΕΙΤΟΥΡΓΟΣ	55
L5.	MEIPAKION, MEIPAKIΣΚΟΣ, MEIPAΞ	59
16.	ΝΕΑΝΊΑΣ	61
17.	NEANIΣ	67
18.	ΝΕΑΝΙΣΚΟΣ	71
19.	NEO Σ	78
20.	ΝΗΠΙΟΣ	81
21	ΟΙΚΕΤΉΣ	85
22.	OIKETIX	92
23.	ΠΑΙΔΑΡΙΟΝ	94

	Page
24. NAIAION	105
25. ΠΑΙΔΊΣΚΗ	114
26. ΠΑΙΣ	120
27. ΠΑΡΘΈΝΟΣ	135
28. ΣΠΕΡΜΑ	143
29. ΣΩΜΑ	148
30. TEKNON	152
31. ΥΙΟΣ	157
32. ΥΠΗΡΕΤΉΣ	165
33. ΥΠΟΜΑΣΤΙΔΙΟΣ	168
34. YNOTITOION	170
35. ΥΠΟΥΡΓΌΣ	171
B. MEANINGS COVERED BY THESE WORDS	
36. CHILD (NEW-BORN OR VERY YOUNG)	173
37. CHILD (OLDER)	180
38. YOUNG MAN	187
39. YOUNG WOMAN	195
40. MALE SERVANT (ACTIVELY PRACTISED)	200
41. MALE SERVANT (STATUS BUT NO ACTUAL SERVICE)	218
42. MALE SERVANT (RESPECTFUL)	221
43. MALE SERVANT (SERVANT OF GOD)	223
44. FEMALE SERVANT (ACTIVELY PRACTISED)	232
45. FEMALE SERVANT (STATUS BUT NO ACTUAL SERVICE)	239
46. FEMALE SERVANT (RESPECTFUL)	240
47. FEMALE SERVANT (SERVANT OF GOD)	241
48. SON (LITERAL)	243
49. SON (METAPHORICAL)	256

	Page
50. DAUGHTER	263
51. OFFSPRING OF ANIMALS	266
CONCLUDING REMARKS	270
APPENDIX: HEBREW WORDS USED	279
SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY	282

PREFACE

This work began as a study of several well-known words in the LXX which have one or more of the meanings "child", "son", or "servant". It arose from the fact that a word like $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ covers several different senses, including "child" and "servant". Apart from $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$, some of the other words originally listed for study were $\delta\sigma\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$, $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu\ell\sigma\kappa\sigma_{\zeta}$ and $\tau\epsilon\kappa\nu\sigma\nu$.

It soon became evident that this research had potential value in two areas: not only in the study of individual words on an isolated basis, but also in the exploration of the semantic field as a whole. Hence the scope was extended to include all related words, and $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (which was already seen to provide a link between apparently unrelated words) was used as a convenient starting-point. In this way, all words meaning either "servant" or "child/son" were included. One such word is παιδάριον, which however not only covers the status and relationship types of meaning ("servant" and "child") but also introduces a whole range of age meanings, from "baby" to "young man". $\Pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_C$ also means "young woman", and so it seemed arbitrary to exclude those words which have a feminine reference. In these ways, the number of words grew to the present total of 37. Some of these are common and well-known, whereas others are quite rare and were discovered almost by accident. Nevertheless I am

hopeful that all relevant words in the LXX have been included.

The range of meanings thus covered may be arranged according to the following pattern:

- I. On the basis of AGE:
 - (1) child (new-born or very young);
 - (2) child (older);
 - (3) young man/young woman (adult).
- II. On the basis of STATUS or RELATIONSHIP:
 - (1) male/female servant (in various senses¹);
 - (2) male/female child (i.e. son or daughter);
 - (3) son/daughter in a metaphorical sense;
 - (4) offspring of animals.

Most of the words included in this study can be described completely in terms of this pattern. Others which cannot, have nevertheless been included because they relate to the pattern at some point. This is true of $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \nu \eta \mu \alpha$ and $\sigma \ddot{\omega} \mu \alpha$, and to a lesser extent $\sigma \pi \acute{\epsilon} \rho \mu \alpha$. Also included are the substantival uses of the participle $\theta \eta \lambda \acute{\alpha} \zeta \omega \nu$ and the adjective $\nu \acute{\epsilon} \circ \zeta$ ($\nu \epsilon \acute{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \zeta$). (Several other adjectives are used in the LXX only as substantives: $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \eta \beta \circ \zeta$, $\nu \acute{\eta} \pi \iota \circ \zeta$,

^{1.} The ideas distinguished under the general heading "servant" are: (a) servant, where the master-servant relationship is actively practised, (b) servant, where the master-servant relationship exists but is not practised (sometimes described as "status but no actual service"), (c) servant, in what may be called a respectful sense, and (d) servant of God. These are referred to in various parts of the thesis as "servant sense (a)", etc.

ὑπομαστίδιος and ὑποτίτθιον.)

The results of the research are presented in two major sections, the first of which is a study of each word separately. All the relevant examples of each word have been examined (with the help of Hatch and Redpath's Concordance). The text used is that of Rahlfs' two volume Septuaginta. The information on Hebrew equivalents in HR has been utilised where relevant to the meaning of the Greek words. The Hebrew words have been transliterated in the text, and a glossary of all words referred to has been included as an appendix on pp. 279-81.

The primary objective of the study is to elucidate the LXX usage of the words, but the evidence of the papyri has also been used. Indeed this evidence is essential, to provide a balanced picture of LXX usage in relation to κοινή usage generally. The lists in Preisigke-Kiessling's Wörterbuch and Daris' Spoglio Lessicale Papirologico have been consulted, and the references checked in the papyri available in the University of Sydney's Fisher Library. This collection though by no means complete is nevertheless extensive and includes the major publications relevant to the Ptolemaic period such as B.G.U., P.Cair.Zen., P.Mich.Zen., P.S.I., SB and U.P.Z.

The chapters in this first section are arranged in alphabetical order. Within each chapter the LXX evidence has been discussed first, with remarks on the distribution of the word in the LXX. The papyri have been treated

separately at the end of each chapter. The papyrus publications have been abbreviated according to the list in Turner's <u>Greek Papyri</u>, and the references cited after the style of LSJ. Generally, only papyri from the Ptolemaic period have been discussed, though occasionally it has been necessary to refer to later papyri. At the end of each chapter is a numerical analysis of the meanings of the word in the LXX (except for the words with a small number of examples).

The second major section of the thesis follows the pattern of meanings outlined above, though in many cases with further sub-divisions. The purpose here is to study all the words used with a particular meaning, to examine the relationship between them and to determine any points of distinction. Wherever possible, these chapters are set out in the following manner:

- I. The words used and the number of examples of each.
- II. Similarities between the different words:
 - (a) examples where different words are used in identical contexts;
 - (b) examples where different words are used in parallel accounts of the same incident (including variant readings);
 - (c) examples where different words are used in other similar (though not identical) contexts.

- III. Distinctions between the different words:
 - (a) on the basis of overall LXX usage;
 - (b) on the basis of translators' preference;
 - (c) on the basis of the Hebrew equivalents.

These headings have been used for the sake of convenience and are not absolutely accurate. Thus among the examples listed under "Similarities" will be found many cases which can be explained on the basis of translators' preference or Hebrew equivalents. On the other hand the heading "Distinctions" would perhaps be more accurately expressed as "possible sources of distinction", since a study of the material under this heading does not always reveal any actual distinctions.

As stated earlier, the Hebrew equivalents have been used only to provide a greater understanding of Greek usage. The starting-point is the Greek words with which this study is concerned. No attempt has been made to study the LXX translation-technique with regard to a particular group of Hebrew words, nor even to compile a comprehensive list of the Hebrew words relevant to a particular meaning, which would be necessary for such a study.

Attached to each chapter in the second section is a comprehensive list of the relevant references of each

^{1.}In the case of $vió_{\zeta}$ and $\theta v\gamma \acute{\alpha}\tau\eta\rho$ used in the literal sense of "son" and "daughter" only selected references have been given.

word, arranged so as to facilitate comparison of the different words.

A final chapter makes some concluding remarks on the relationship of the LXX usage of the separate words to early $\kappa o \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ usage, the relationships between the words themselves, and the usage of various groups of books in the LXX.

The following abbreviations are used:

BAG W.F. Arndt and F.W. Gingrich's English edition of W. Bauer's Griechisch-deutsches Wörterbuch.

BDB F. Brown, S.R. Driver and C.A. Briggs, A Hebrew and English Lexicon of the Old Testament.

F. Blass and A. Debrunner, A Greek Grammar of the New Testament, as translated and edited by R.W. Funk.

HDB Hastings' Dictionary of the Bible.

HR E. Hatch and H.A. Redpath, A Concordance to the Septuagint.

JBL Journal of Biblical Literature.

JTS Journal of Theological Studies.

LSJ H.G. Liddell, R. Scott and H.S. Jones, A Greek-English Lexicon.

LXX The Septuagint.

MM J.H. Moulton and G. Milligan, The Vocabulary of the Greek Testament.

MT Masoretic text.

N.E.B. New English Bible.

R.V. Revised Version of the English Bible.

VT Vetus Testamentum.

WB F. Preisigke, Wörterbuch.

The books of the LXX are abbreviated as follows.

The <u>names</u> and <u>abbreviations</u> are those used by LSJ, and the <u>order</u> of books (which is the one followed in this thesis)

is that of Rahlfs' edition:

Genesis	Ge.	Hosea	Ho.
Exodus	Ex.	Amos	Am.
Leviticus	Le.	Micah	Mi.
Numbers	Nu.	Joe1	Ji.
Deuteronomy	De.	Obadiah	Ob.
Joshua	Jo.	Jonah	Jn.
Judges	Jd.	Nahum	Na.
Ruth	Ru.	Habakkuk	Hb.
l-4 Kings	1-4 Ki.	Zephaniah	Ze.
1,2 Chronicles	1,2 Ch.	Haggai	Hg.
1,2 Esdras	1,2 Es.	Zachariah	Za.
Esther	Es.	Malachi	Ma.
Judith	Ju.	Isaiah	Is.
Tobit	To.	Jeremiah	Je.
1-4 Maccabees	1-4 Ma.	Baruch	Ba.
Psalms	Ps.	Lamentations	La.
Proverbs	Pr.	Epistle of Jeremiah	Ep.Je.
Ecclesiastes	Ec.	Ezekiel	Ez.
Canticles	Ca.	Susanna	Su.
Job	Jb.	Daniel	Da.
Wisdom of Solomon	Wi.	Bel .	Bel
Ecclesiasticus	Si.		

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to those who have assisted and encouraged me in this work. Dr. J.A.L. Lee, Lecturer in Greek in the University of Sydney, has supervised my work and greatly helped me with numerous criticisms and suggestions. My wife has not only typed a preliminary draft during the final stages of the work but has also cheerfully endured the difficulties of living with a part-time student over the last few years. My thanks are also due to the Reverend W.S. Skillicorn, under whom I have worked for the past fifteen months, who has been most generous in the matter of time and considerate in the demands made on me, and to Mrs. O. Crain, Secretary of the Department of Greek in the University of Sydney, who has typed the final version.

There are fifteen examples of this word in the LXX.

It means "servant girl", and refers to those who are in close attendance on a certain lady.

Ex. 2.5 contains two examples:

κατέβη δὲ ἡ θυγάτηρ Φαραω λούσασθαι ἐπὶ τὸν ποταμόν, καὶ αὶ ἄβραι αὐτῆς παρεπορεύοντο παρὰ τὸν ποταμόν. καὶ ἰδοῦσα τὴν θῖβιν ἐν τῷ ἕλει ἀποστείλασα τὴν ἄβραν ἀνείλατο αὐτήν.

Here the word refers first to the whole group of girls who waited on Pharaoh's daughter, and secondly to the one who seems to be the most important of them.

In addition, there is one example in Genesis, referring to Rebekah's servant girls, who travelled with her on her journey to meet her future husband Isaac; ¹ five in Esther, referring to one or more of Esther's attendants in the harem of the Persian king; ² and seven in Judith, referring to Judith's servant who accompanied her in her exploits in the camp of Holophernes. ³

The definition of $\alpha\beta\rho\alpha$ given by LSJ is "favourite slave". This is not completely adequate for the LXX. It is suitable for the seven references in Judith, Ex. 2.5 (2°), and Es. 5.1d (where it refers to only one of Esther's attendants). But the strict definition "favourite slave" is ruled out by Ex. 2.5, where $\alpha\beta\rho\alpha$ not only describes the chief attendant but the others also. This indicates that

^{1.} Ge. 24.61.

^{2.} Es. 2.9, 4.4, 16, 5.1a, 1d.

^{3.} Ju. 8.10, 33, 10.2, 5, 17, 13.9, 16.23.

 $\ddot{\alpha}\beta\rho\alpha$ need not be restricted to a favourite, but may refer to any female servant who personally attends to a lady.

There are no references in any papyrus of any period, of which I am aware. With regard to other attestation, the closest example is from Menander, Fr. 64, ψμην, εἰ τὸ χρυσίον λάβοι ὁ γέρων, θεράπαιναν εὐθὸς ἡγορασμένην ἄβραν ἔσεσθαι. No earlier examples are listed in LSJ, though there are several later ones, including the following in Plutarch, Caesar 10.3, Τοῦ δὲ Κλωδίου φήσαντος ἄβραν περιμένειν Πομπηΐας, αὐτὸ τοῦτο καλουμένην. Here there is a play on words, since the girl was not only Pompeia's servant (ἄβρα), but Habra was also her name. The other examples in LSJ are from Lucian (ii A.D.) and the orator Aristaenetus (date uncertain). The word does not occur in the New Testament.

Thus, outside the LXX, $\alpha\beta\rho\alpha$ is used in either poetry or formal literary writing. The example in Plutarch is easily accounted for by the play on words. The LXX therefore stands in contrast to a certain extent. Esther is "literary" (according to Thackeray's classification), but there is nothing special or unusual about the other books in which $\alpha\beta\rho\alpha$ occurs.

2: ΑΝΔΡΑΠΟΔΟΝ

This word is used only once in the LXX, in 3 Ma. 7.5, where it means "slave" in the harshest sense, one who is the property of another, without any rights or privileges. The passage refers to the persecution of Jews in the reign of Ptolemy Philopator. The verse reads:

οί καὶ δεσμίους καταγαγόντες αὐτοὺς μετὰ σκυλμῶν ὡς ἀνδράποδα, μᾶλλον δὲ ὡς ἐπιβούλους, ἄνευ πάσης ἀνακρίσεως καὶ ἐξετάσεως ἐπεχείρησαν ἀνελεῖν νόμου Σκυθῶν ἀγριωτέραν ἐμπεπορπημένοι ὑμότητα.

The examples of $\dot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\dot{\alpha}\pi\circ\delta\circ\nu$ in the Ptolemaic papyri are more numerous. Several examples occur in <u>P.Hib.</u> 29 (iii B.C.), whose subject matter is the farming of a tax upon slaves. One of the provisions was that if a slave was concealed, the man who provided information about the matter would receive one third of the price of the slave when sold. This is stated in lines 5-6: $\tau \tilde{\omega}_{i}$ [$\delta \tilde{\epsilon} \mu \eta$] $\nu \dot{\psi} \alpha \nu \tau i \ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \omega \tau \delta$ $\tau \rho i \tau \sigma \nu \mu [\dot{\epsilon} \rho \circ \zeta] \pi \rho \alpha \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu [\nu \tau \circ \zeta] \tau \circ \ddot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \pi \delta \delta \circ \upsilon$. Other references in this papyrus are lines 1, 4 and 8.

In their introduction to this papyrus, Grenfell and Hunt state, "It is noticeable that the word here used for a slave is not $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ or $\sigma \tilde{w} \mu \alpha$ but $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta o \nu$, which strictly signifies a captive or enslaved prisoner." This definition certainly fits 3 Ma. 7.5, as well as the earlier usage of the Greek historians. LSJ gives examples from Herodotus, Thucydides and Xenophon, under the definition "one taken in

war and sold as a slave (whether originally slave or free), captive".

However, this definition does not apply to all the examples from the Ptolemaic period. In P.Col.i (ii B.C.), άνδράποδον occurs in lines 1-2. The heading of this document (line 1) is $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ τοῦ διαγράμματος τοῦ $\tau[\tilde{\omega}]\nu$ ἀνδραπό[δ] $\omega[\nu]$. and the next sentence (line 2) begins ο πραγματευόμενος την ώνην τῶν ἀνδραπόδων. The editor of this papyrus notes the interpretation of ἀνδράποδον in P.Hib.29 suggested by Grenfell and Hunt but denies the possibility of the meaning "prisoner of war" in P.Col. i. Instead he claims that " $\dot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\dot{\alpha}\pi\circ\delta\circ\nu$ in this document is used as a general word for "slave" and does not seem to differ from δοῦλος". 2 I would go further and suggest that ἀνδράποδον does not seem to differ from σῶμα in certain cases. Σῶμα does not always mean "slave", and sometimes is seen to refer to a slave only by the addition of a defining adjective, as in the phrase δοῦλα σώματα in P.Oxy. 492.7 (ii A.D.) and elsewhere. But when $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ standing on its own means "slave", as it does in P.Col i.3 (πράξονται τῶν σωμάτων), it is difficult to draw any distinction between it and $\dot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\dot{\alpha}\pi\circ\delta\circ\nu$ or $\delta\circ\tilde{\upsilon}\lambda\circ\varsigma$.

^{1.} W.L. Westermann, <u>Upon Slavery in Ptolemaic Egypt</u> (New York, 1929), p.8, n.11.

^{2. &}lt;u>Ibid.</u>, p.8.

to an οἰκέτης: ἐὰν δέ τις περὶ ἀδικήματος ἑ[τέ]ρο[v] οἰκέτηι ὅντι δίκην γραψάμενος, ὡς ἐλευθέρωι, καταδικάσηται, ἐξέστω τῶι κυρίωι ἀναδικῆσαι ἐν ἡμέραις ε.Οἰκέτης also occurs in line 11. Though there is no direct parallel between οἰκέτης and ἀνδράποδον, there is no reason to believe that the two words refer to different types of slaves.

However a more direct parallel occurs in lines 21-23: των δὲ δούλων των μαρτυρησάντων, οἱ δικασταὶ τὴν βάσανον ἐκ των σωμάτων ποείσθωσαν. Here δοῦλος and σωμα are used interchangeably, and in the same way as ἀνδράποδον in col. 2.33-34.

On the basis of these examples it is suggested that $\dot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\dot{\alpha}\pi\circ\delta\circ\nu$ is in the Ptolemaic period beginning to lose its distinctive meaning "prisoner of war", though there is sufficient evidence to show that the distinctive meaning has not disappeared.

3: ANHBO Σ

There is only one example of $\Dota \nu \eta \beta o_{\zeta}$ in the LXX, and its meaning in this case is not altogether clear.

As an adjective the word means "not yet come to man's estate" (LSJ), being derived from $\eta \beta \eta$ meaning "youthful prime" (LSJ). Hence as a substantive it refers to someone within an early age grouping.

The LXX example is in 2 Ma. 5.13 where the context does not precisely determine the age group which the author intended. The verse refers to the effect of an attack on Jerusalem by Antiochus' army: ἐγίνετο δὲ νέων καὶ πρεσβυτέρων άναίρεσις, άνήβων τε καὶ γυναικῶν καὶ τέκνων άφανισμός, παρθένων τε καὶ νηπίων σφαγαί. The difficulty is that in the phrase ἀνήβων τε καὶ γυναικῶν καὶ τέκνων, the writer cannot be describing three separate groupings, since $\ddot{a}\nu\eta\beta\circ\zeta$ (whatever the precise age group it describes) must overlap with $\tau \in \kappa \nu \circ \nu$ (which describes children of any and all ages). Since the author is not being very precise, perhaps the best solution is to translate ἄνηβος simply as "young ones" without attempting to limit the age group any further. note the opinion of James Moffatt and the translators of the R.V., who translate the word as "boys" (rather than, say, "babies"). We note also the attempt of the L text to

^{1.} In R.H. Charles, Apocrypha (Oxford, 1913) p.139.

^{2.} In the list of references, I have included this example under the heading "Child (older)".

smooth out the difficulty by altering $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\eta}\beta\omega\nu$ to $\ddot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\omega\nu$, so that the three words describe three easily distinguishable groups.

As far as I am aware, there is no example of $"au\eta \beta o \varsigma"$ in any papyrus of any period. In other literature, there are several examples of the adjectival use in earlier writers (such as Lysias, Plato, Aristotle and Theocritus). The only examples of the substantival use outside the LXX which are known to me, are the inscriptions cited in LSJ, C.I.G. 2034 (Byzantium) and S.I.G. 1028.32 (Cos).

4: ΒΡΈΦΟΣ

 $B\rho\epsilon\phi_0$ occurs only five times in the LXX, once in each of the books of the Maccabees and in Sirach, and refers both to an infant still in the womb and to a new-born or very young infant.

There is only one example of the former sense. In Si. 19.11, $\beta\rho\dot{\epsilon}\phi_{0}$ refers to an infant in the womb, soon to be born:

άπὸ προσώπου λόγου ὡδινήσει μωρὸς ὡς ἀπὸ προσώπου βρέφους ἡ τίκτουσα.

In the other four references, it is clear that the infants are all very young. In 3 Ma. 5.49 they are described both as new-born and as at their mothers' breasts: ἔτεραι δὲ νεογνὰ πρὸς μάστους ἔχουσαι βρέφη τελευταῖον ἔλκοντα γάλα. Likewise, 2 Ma. 6.10 refers to infants at the breast. The other two examples refer to children at the age of circumcision, that is, eight days old. The upper age limit to which this word can be applied cannot be determined precisely, though it can safely be said that it refers to new-born or very young babies rather than older boys or girls.

These same two meanings are attested in earlier Greek, as well as in the papyri and other contemporary sources and the New Testament. In classical literature, the

^{1. 1} Ma.1.61, 4 Ma. 4.25.

meaning "unborn child" is attested in Homer, Iliad 23.266, though it is in reference to an animal, $\beta\rho\dot{\epsilon}\phi_{0}$ $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\nu$ $\kappa\nu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\sigma\alpha\nu$. Examples of the meaning "new-born child" are in Simonides, Pindar, Aeschylus and Euripides. Herodotus applies the word to very young animals.²

The earliest Ptolemaic evidence comes from some epitaphs. The phrase $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ βρέφους, "from early childhood", occurs in SB 5629.7 (Alexandria, iii B.C.): πατὴρ μὲν Εἰρηναῖος, ὰ δέ τοι πατρὶς [Μέ]μφις, τὸ δ' οὕνομ' ἀγορεύετ' $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ β[ρ]έφους. Another is in SB 7288.4 (location unknown, Ptolemaic): Μήτηρ δ' ἦν πρὸς μικρόν, ἀπώλετο καὶ βρέφος $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\theta\dot{\nu}$ [ς].

There are only a few papyrus examples of $\beta\rho\epsilon\phi_0$. The earliest seems to be from the end of the first century B.C., B.G.U. 1104.24, $\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\tau\tilde{\eta}_{\zeta}$ τ ò $\beta\rho\epsilon\phi_0$ $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\taui\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha i$, and half a century later is P.Mich. 228.22 (i A.D.) which refers to the birth of a dead child, $\beta\rho\epsilon\phi_0$ $\nu\epsilon\kappa\rho\delta\nu$. There are other later examples in the papyri.

In the New Testament $\beta\rho\dot{\epsilon}\phi$ o $_{\zeta}$ occurs eight times in the two senses already described.

In classical Greek, $\beta\rho\epsilon\phi_0$ is used mainly in poetry. In the Ptolemaic period it is still basically a poetic word, as we see from its use in 2,3 and 4 Maccabees and in the epitaphs. However, the example in 1 Maccabees (a book regarded by Thackeray as "good $\kappaoi\nu\eta$ Greek")

^{2.} See LSJ for the references in these authors.

^{3.} See BAG.

suggests that it is starting to be used more widely, and there is nothing particularly poetic about <u>B.G.U.</u> 1104 and <u>P.Mich.</u> 228 (cited above). In the New Testament, the word retains something of a poetic flavour. Four of the eight references, for example, occur in the birth and infancy narratives in Luke 1 and 2. However it is used in other contexts which are in no way poetic, as in Luke 18.15 in the story of the children brought to Jesus to be blessed by him.

5: FENNHMA

There are two quite distinct words to be considered here, $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \nu \eta \mu \alpha$ and $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \eta \mu \alpha$. Following the definition of LSJ, $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \nu \eta \mu \alpha$ (from $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \acute{\alpha} \omega$) means "that which is produced or born, child", and $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \eta \mu \alpha$ (from $\gamma \acute{\iota} \nu \circ \mu \alpha \iota$) "produce (of the fruits of the earth)". It cannot be assumed that the MSS will give the correct spelling, so that spelling is not necessarily a guide to the meaning. Where necessary, the spelling should be adjusted according to the meaning, even if in opposition to the MSS.

The two words occur a total of 75 times in the LXX. $\Gamma \acute{e} \nu \eta \mu \alpha$ is most often used with reference to agricultural produce, but it is also used of other kinds of produce, such as material increase, that which wisdom produces, the fruit of men's deeds, and the fruit of righteousness.

But it is γέννημα which is relevant to our study. In Jd. 1.10 it refers to the offspring of Anak: ἐπάταξεν τὸν Σεσι καὶ τὸν Αχιμαν καὶ τὸν Θολμι, γεννήματα τοῦ Ενακ. In Si. 10.18 it is used in the phrase γεννήματα γυναικῶν, and in 4 Ma. 15.13 (S+) in the phrase γεννήμασι φιλόστοργε.

^{1.} This is the view of LSJ, MM, BAG (in each case, s.v. $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \eta \mu \alpha$); also BDF 11 (2), and P. Walters, The Text of the Septuagint (Cambridge, 1973), p.115. However, HR treat the two words merely as variations in spelling.

^{2.} Pr. 15.29a, Ec. 5.9.

^{3.} Pr. 8.19, Si. 24.19.

^{4.} Is. 3.10.

^{5.} Ho. 10.12.

There are two references to the offspring of Jerusalem, 6 where the MSS (and Rahlfs) read γένημα but the correct spelling is yévvnua. Thus, in 1 Ma. 3.45 we read: Ιερουσαλημ ήν ἀοίκητος ὡς ἔρημος, οὐκ ήν ὁ εί σπορευόμενος καὶ έκπορευόμενος έκ τῶν γεννημάτων αὐτῆς.

In three other references the MSS vary between γένημα and $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \nu \eta \mu a$. Thackeray regards these variations as representing different meanings, 8 but Walters rejects what he calls Thackeray's "elaborate interpretation" and says that "in all three passages γένημα yields the proper meaning".9

Unlike γένημα (which is a κοινή development), γέννημα occurs occasionally in classical Greek. In the papyri however the situation is reversed: γένημα (referring only to agricultural produce, as far as I am aware) is extremely common, whereas γέννημα does not occur. Both words occur in the New Testament, γένημα five times, and γέννημα four times (only in the phrase γεννήματα έχιδνῶν).

^{6. 1} Ma. 1.38, 3.45.

έν γενήματι. Wi. 16.19: ϊνα άδίκου γῆς γενήματα διαφθείρη.

^{8.} H. St. J. Thackeray, A Grammar of the Old Testament in Greek, I (Cambridge, 1909), p.118. Thackeray lists the MS evidence.

^{9.} P. Walters, op. cit., p.311.

6 : ΔΙΑΚΟΝΟΣ

There are six examples of this word in the LXX. It is used of those who were servants in a literal sense, and has none of the other shades of meaning that we see in the case of $\delta 0 \bar{b} \lambda 0 c$ and other words for servant.

In one example it refers to a private individual's servant, in Pr. 10.4a:

νίὸς πεπαιδευμένος σοφὸς ἔσται, τῷ δὲ ἄφρονι διακόνφ χρήσεται. The context does not indicate what type of servant this is, and it is likely that διάκονος is used here as a purely general word for servant without any special nuance.

The other five examples refer to a king's servants, describing those who were his personal attendants or assistants, rather than his subjects generally. Four of these occur in Esther and refer to the servants of king Ahasuerus, though not always the same servants. In Es. 1.10 we read: ἀ βασιλεὺς εἶπεν τῷ Αμαν καὶ Βαζαν..., τοῖς ἐπτὰ εὐνούχοις τοῖς διακόνοις τοῦ βασιλέως 'Αρταξέρξου. In this case the king's διάκονοι are defined as the seven eunuchs, but in the other three references the phrase οἰ διάκονοι τοῦ βασιλέως is used without further definition. It cannot be presumed in these other cases that διάκονοι describes the seven eunuchs, for in Es. 6.5 the king's διάκονοι speak to the king about Haman whereas in 1.10 Haman is himself one of the διάκονοι.

^{1.} Es. 2.2,6.3,5.

^{2.} In 1.10 the LXX differs from MT. The latter has Mehuman as the first eunuch and the LXX has changed this to the similar name Haman.

The other reference to a king's servants is in 4 Ma. 9.17, where the first of the seven martyrs addresses his torturers: Οὐχ Οὕτως ἰσχυρὸς ὑμῶν ἐστιν ὁ τροχός, ὡ μιαροὶ διάκονοι, ὥστε μου τὸν λογισμὸν ἄγξαι. These men who were servants of Antiochus were a different type of διάκονος to those mentioned in Esther. Instead of being personal attendants or advisers, the διάκονοι in 4 Ma. 9.17 were soldiers and are described in verse 16 as δορυφόροι.

I have been able to find only one example of $\delta\iota\acute{\alpha}\kappa\circ\nu\circ\varsigma$ in the Ptolemaic papyri. This is in <u>P.Hib</u>. 226. Fr. 3.2 (iii B.C.). Unfortunately, the word which is itself incomplete stands by itself in this fragment, so that there is no context to show how the word is used.

However, $\delta\iota\acute{\alpha}\kappa ονο_{\zeta}$ is attested elsewhere. In classical literature it is used in the sense of messenger as well as servant, but of more particular interest are several inscriptions from the last three centuries B.C. in which $\delta\iota\acute{\alpha}\kappa ονο_{\zeta}$ is used in a religious context, referring to temple officials. In the light of this usage it is significant that the LXX does not use $\delta\iota\acute{\alpha}\kappa ονο_{\zeta}$ in a religious sense. There is clearly ample scope for such a usage in the LXX. In contrast, in the New Testament and other Christian literature $\delta\iota\acute{\alpha}\kappa ονο_{\zeta}$ is used in a religious context, particularly with the technical meaning "deacon".

^{3.} See references in LSJ.

^{4.} Several examples are quoted and discussed in MM, s.v. διάκονος.

^{5.} Cf. the use of $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ and $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma \acute{\circ} \varsigma$.

^{6.} See BAG.

7: ΔΟΥΛΗ

This word is used 52 times in the LXX, and its usages may be classified into four groups. There are those who were the female servants of human masters or mistresses:

(a) where the master-servant relationship was actively practised, (b) where the master-servant relationship existed but was not actively practised, and (c) where the word describes a relationship of respect rather than service. It is also used of (d) female servants of God. 1

In the first sense, $\delta o \acute{v} \lambda \eta$ occurs twenty times. It is used of groups of slaves. In 2 Ch. 28.10 a prophet rebukes the Israelites over the proposal to make slaves of the captive Jews: $\nu \ddot{v} \nu \ v \dot{i} \dot{o} \dot{v}_{\zeta}$ Iov $\delta \alpha \ \kappa \alpha \grave{i}$ Ierova $\lambda \eta \mu \ \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tilde{\imath}_{\zeta} \ \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \imath \ \epsilon \dot{\imath}_{\zeta} \ \delta o \acute{v} \lambda o v_{\zeta} \ \kappa \alpha \grave{i} \ \delta o \acute{v} \lambda \alpha_{\zeta}$. Similarly, Is. 14.2 refers to foreign women as slaves of the Jews. The phrase $\alpha \grave{i} \ \delta o \acute{v} \lambda \alpha \imath \ \alpha \dot{v} \tau \ddot{\eta}_{\zeta}$ in Na. 2.8 refers to the servants of the city of Nineveh (i.e. the female servants who lived within that city).

There are other references to servants of individual men and women. $\Delta o \acute{v} \lambda \eta$ (like $\ddot{\alpha} \beta \rho \alpha$ and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} \sigma \kappa \eta)$ describes Judith's servant who accompanied her to Holophernes' camp. The is also used six times to describe Judith herself as Holophernes' servant, as in Ju. 11.5 where Judith speaks

^{1.} This is not strictly a separate sense. See $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \zeta$ p.22.

^{2.} Ju. 12.15,19,13.3.

to Holophernes: $\delta \acute{e} \xi a \imath \ \tau \grave{a} \ \acute{\rho} \acute{\eta} \mu a \tau a \ \tau \ddot{\eta}_{\varsigma} \ \delta o \acute{v} \lambda \eta_{\varsigma} \ \sigma o v$, $\kappa a \imath \imath$ $\lambda a \lambda \eta \sigma \acute{a} \tau w \ \mathring{\eta} \ \pi a \imath \delta \acute{\iota} \sigma \kappa \eta \ \sigma o v \ \kappa a \tau \grave{a} \ \pi \rho \acute{\sigma} \sigma w m \acute{o} v \ \sigma o v \ ^3$ Judith was not owned by Holophernes, nor was she a personal attendant, but she may be considered an actual servant since she was both under Holophernes' authority (as the commander of the invading army) and was performing the service of offering to show the enemy a way into her city (even if in fact this was only a pretence).

Another example of sense (a) is in 1 Ma. 2.11, where $\delta o \acute{v} \lambda \eta$ is used of the city of Jerusalem. It is said of her: $\dot{a} \nu \tau i$ $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \zeta$ $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \tau o$ $\epsilon i \zeta$ $\delta o \acute{v} \lambda \eta \nu$. This is different from the other examples in that it refers to a city rather than people, but $\delta o \acute{v} \lambda \eta$ is used in the literal sense of being possessed and controlled by others.

The second sense of $\delta \circ \acute{v} \lambda \eta$ is found in twelve examples, all of which refer to a woman in relation to a king. In 1 Ki. 28.21 the medium whom Saul consulted describes herself as Saul's $\delta \circ \acute{v} \lambda \eta$: $i \delta \circ \acute{v} \delta \mathring{\eta}$ $\mathring{\eta} \kappa \circ v \sigma \varepsilon \nu$ $\mathring{\eta}$ $\delta \circ \acute{v} \lambda \eta$ $\sigma \circ v$ $\tau \mathring{\eta} \zeta$ $\phi \circ v \mathring{\eta} \zeta$ $\sigma \circ v$. Similarly, $\delta \circ \acute{v} \lambda \eta$ is used to describe a woman of Tekoa in relation to David, and one of the two women who asked Solomon to arbitrate in their dispute concerning the child. None of these women served the king in an active sense, but they were all subject to the king's authority. In two other examples, Bathsheba describes

^{3.} Also Ju. 11.16,17 (bis), 12.4,6.

^{4.} Also 1 Ki. 28.22.

^{5. 2} Ki. 14.6,7,12,15 (bis),16,19.

^{6. 3} Ki. 3.20.

herself as David's $\delta \circ \acute{\nu} \lambda \eta$, as in 3 Ki. 1.17, $\kappa \acute{\nu} \rho i \acute{\epsilon} \mu \circ \nu \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \tilde{\nu}$, $\sigma \grave{\nu} \ \acute{\omega} \mu \circ \sigma \alpha \varsigma \ \acute{\epsilon} \nu \ \kappa \nu \rho i \phi \ \tau \tilde{\phi} \ \theta \epsilon \tilde{\phi} \ \sigma \circ \nu \ \tau \tilde{\eta} \ \delta \circ \acute{\nu} \lambda \eta \ \sigma \circ \nu .^7$ Though Bathsheba was David's wife and not actually a servant, she was also David's subject and in this sense she can be described as his $\delta \circ \acute{\nu} \lambda \eta$.

There are at least six examples of the third sense of $\delta \circ \circ \delta \wedge \eta$, the respectful use. On three occasions the word describes Ruth in relation to Boaz, as in Ru. 2.13: $\dot{\eta}$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \nu$ E $\dot{\nu}$ $\delta \rho \iota \mu \iota$ $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\rho} \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \sigma \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ $\sigma \circ \nu$, $\kappa \dot{\nu} \rho \iota \epsilon$, $\ddot{\sigma} \tau \iota$ $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \dot{\alpha}_{\zeta}$ $\mu \epsilon$ $\kappa \alpha \dot{\iota}$ $\ddot{\sigma} \tau \iota$ $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha_{\zeta}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\iota}$ $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta \dot{\iota} \alpha \nu$ $\tau \eta_{\zeta}$ $\delta \circ \dot{\nu} \lambda \eta_{\zeta}$ $\sigma \circ \nu$, $\kappa \alpha \dot{\iota}$ $\dot{\iota} \delta \circ \dot{\nu}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\nu}$ $\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ $\dot{\nu}_{\zeta}$ $\mu \dot{\iota} \alpha$ $\tau \ddot{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \sigma \kappa \ddot{\omega} \nu$ $\sigma \circ \nu$. Ruth was not working for Boaz, nor was she under his authority or control, and her use of the word $\delta \circ \dot{\nu} \lambda \eta$ is merely a polite form of speech. In this verse we observe that while Ruth calls herself Boaz's $\delta \circ \dot{\nu} \lambda \eta$, she was not one of his $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \dot{\iota} \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota$ (though she confesses her willingness to become a $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \dot{\iota} \sigma \kappa \eta$, i.e. an actual servant).

Likewise, Hannah describes herself as a $\delta \circ \delta \lambda \eta$ of Eli the priest, and so too does the Shunnamite woman in relation to Elisha the prophet. Neither of these women was a slave or servant in any literal sense.

In addition to the examples already mentioned, there are eight examples which are difficult to classify and may be included under either sense (b) or sense (c). For example, in 1 Ki. 25.24 Abigail speaks of herself as David's

^{7.} Also 3 Ki. 1.13.

^{8.} Also Ru. 3.9 (bis).

^{9. 1} Ki. 1.16,18.

^{10.4} Ki.4.16.

δούλη: λαλησάτω δη ή δούλη σου είς τὰ ὧτά σου, καὶ ακουσον τῆς δούλης σου λόγον. 11 This was before David was king, so that she was not technically his subject. But David was out to take vengeance on her husband Nabal for an earlier humiliation, so that Abigail may have been submitting to David's authority in order to appease him rather than simply showing him respect. But the latter is a possible interpretation. 12 Similarly, in 2 Ki. 20.17, a woman in the besieged city of Abel addresses Joab: ἄκουσον τοὺς λόγους τῆς δούλης σου. Was she only showing respect to Joab in describing herself as Joab's δούλη. or did she recognise his authority as the commander of the besieging army? Another example is in 4 Ki. 4.2, where the widow of one of the sons of the prophets refers to herself as Elisha's $\delta \circ \psi \lambda \eta$. While the sons of the prophets were Elisha's $\delta \circ \tilde{b} \lambda \circ \iota$ in sense (b), in that they accepted his authority over them, 13 it is less certain that their wives had the same status, though it is possible. If the widow was not subject to Elisha's authority $\delta o \psi \lambda \eta$ would have sense (c).

The fourth sense, in which $\delta o \acute{v} \lambda \eta$ applies to a servant of God, is found in six examples. Three times in 1 Ki. 1.11,

^{11.} Also 1 Ki. 25.25,27,28,31.

^{12.} In 1 Ki. 25.41 where Abigail is again described as David's $\delta \circ \acute{\nu} \lambda \eta$ the situation is different. By this stage Abigail was David's wife, and she recognises his authority in her willingness to perform actual service.

^{13.} Verse 1 of the same chapter, and also 4 Ki. 6.3.

Hannah describes herself as God's δούλη. Two of these occur in the following quotation: Αδωναι κύριε ελωαι σαβαωθ, ἐὰν ἐπιβλέπων ἐπιβλέψης ἐπὶ τὴν ταπείνωσιν τῆς δούλης σου ... καὶ δῷς τῷ δούλη σου σπέρμα ἀνδρῶν. There are also two references to Esther 14 and one to Gentile women generally. 15

Concerning the distribution of $\delta o \psi \lambda \eta$, we observe that over half the examples occur in the books of Kings (28 out of 52), with fifteen in 1 Kings. The only other significant quantity are the nine examples in Judith. Most examples occur in Thackeray's groups 2 (indifferent Greek) and 3 (literal or unintelligent versions) - 45 examples. There are only five examples found in books which represent good $\kappa o \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ Greek, and two in Esther which is literary Greek.

Of the 52 examples of $\delta \circ \circ \lambda \eta$ only twenty have the meaning slave or servant in a literal sense (sense (a)), which may be considered the normal Greek usage, the only sense found outside the LXX and Christian writings. ¹⁶ There are 26 examples of senses (b) and (c), including the eight uncertain examples, and these all occur in the books of Ruth and Kings.

The references in the papyri all appear to refer to a slave-girl who was her master/mistress's property and who

^{14.} Es. 4.17x, 17y.

^{15.} Is. 56.6.

^{16.} Senses (b) and (c) are found only in the LXX. Sense (d) is found also in the New Testament (Luke 1.38,48) and in later Christian papyri (see below in the discussion of the papyri).

worked for her owner. Thus there are no examples of the other meanings, senses (b), (c) or (d), which occur in the LXX.

An example is <u>P.Hal</u>. 1.196 (iii B.C.), where the punishments are set out for a slave (male or female) who harms a free man. Thus, we read: $\Delta o \acute{v} \lambda \omega \imath \acute{e} \lambda \acute{e} \acute{v} \theta \epsilon \rho o \nu$ $\pi \alpha \tau \acute{a} \xi \alpha \nu \tau \imath$. $\acute{e} \grave{a} \nu \acute{o} \delta o \~v \lambda o \varsigma \H \mathring{\eta} \acute{o} \delta o \acute{v} \lambda \eta \pi \alpha \tau \acute{a} \xi \eta [\imath \tau \acute{o} \nu \acute{e} \lambda \acute{e} \acute{v}]$ $\theta \epsilon \rho o \nu \H \mathring{\eta} \tau \mathring{\eta} \nu \acute{e} \lambda \epsilon \upsilon \theta \acute{e} \rho \alpha \nu$, $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \imath \gamma o \acute{v} \sigma \theta \omega \mu \mathring{\eta} \H \acute{e} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma o \nu \underline{P} \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \~\omega \nu$. The $\delta o \~v \lambda o \varsigma$ or $\delta o \acutev \lambda \eta$ was very much an inferior citizen, for the emphasis is not so much on the action being wrong as on the fact that a slave did it.

B.G.U. 1109.5 (i B.C.) contains a reference to a slave-girl who was completely at her master's disposal: συγχωρεῖ ὁ Γάι $[ο_{\zeta}$ 'I]γνά $\langle \tau \rangle$ ιος Μάξιμος παρασχέσθαι τὴν δούλην αὑ $[\tau]$ οῦ Χρωτάριο $\langle \nu \rangle$.

In later papyri there are some examples of $\delta o \psi \lambda \eta$ referring to a servant of God. The earliest appears to be from the fourth century A.D., in <u>P. Oxy</u>. 924.10.

Sense (a)	Sense (b)	Sense (c)	Sense (d)	Uncertain	Total
1,				•	1
1			,		1
. 1	•		•		1
		3			3
2	2	2	3	6	15
	7			· 1	8
	3			•	3
		1		1	2
1	44				1,
1			- · <u>.</u>		1
			2		· 2
9					9
1					1
1		•			1
ļ					1
1			1		2
20 .	12	6	6	8	52
. 4	5	3	3	6 .	21
4	7	3	, ,	2	16
2			•		2
	1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 20	1 1 1 2 2 7 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 20 12	1 1 1 1 3 2 2 7 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 20. 12 6	1 1 1 1 1 3 2 2 2 2 3 7 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 6 7 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

8: ΔΟΥΛΟΣ

Aoῦλος is used 384 times in the LXX, with several shades of meaning. At the one extreme it means a slave in the harshest sense, and at the other a trusted attendant or officer. In addition there are other types of submission to or dependence on a master or a superior. The following ideas may be distinguished: (a) slave or servant, where the master-servant relationship existed but was not practised; (c) servant, in what may be called a respectful sense, where there was no actual master-servant relationship, although some sort of dependence is usually implied; and (d) servant of God. This fourth meaning is added for the sake of convenience, although it is not strictly speaking a separate sense but a sub-section of sense (a) or sense (b), since those described as δοῦλοι of God either rendered actual service to God or were subject to his authority.

The first sense covers a wide range of references. Groups of people are described as δοῦλοι, such as the Israelites in Egypt. In Le. 26.13 God addresses the Israelites, έγώ εἰμι κύριος ὁ θεὸς ὑμῶν ὁ ἐξαγαγὼν ὑμᾶς ἐκ γῆς Αἰγύπτου ὄντων ὑμῶν δούλων. The Israelites were slaves elsewhere. In 2 Es. 9.9 Ezra speaks of God's mercy shown to the Jews who were in exile in Babylon, ὅτι δοῦλοί ἐσμεν, καὶ ἐν τῆ δουλεία ἡμῶν οὐκ ἐγκατέλιπεν ἡμᾶς κύριος ὁ θεὸς ἡμῶν καὶ ἕκλινεν ἐφ΄ ἡμᾶς ἕλεος ἐνώπιον βασιλέων

Περσῶν. Following their attempt to deceive Joshua, the Gibeonites were made slaves in the service of Israel, as Joshua says in Jo. 9.23, καὶ νῦν ἐπικατάρατοί ἐστε, οἱ μὴ ἐκλίπη ἐξ ὑμῶν δοῦλος οὐδὲ ξυλοκόπος ἐμοὶ καὶ τῷ θεῷ μου.

There are numerous references to the individual slaves or servants of individual men. In 2 Ki. 9.10 David instructs Ziba about the service which Ziba and his household would perform for Mephibosheth, καὶ ἐργῷ αὐτῷ τὴν γῆν, σὸ καὶ οἰ νἰοί σον καὶ οἰ δοῦλοί σον... (καὶ τῷ Σιβα ἦσαν πεντεκαίδεκα νἰοὶ καὶ εἴκοσι δοῦλοι).

When δοῦλος refers to the servants of kings or other leaders, there is variety in the type of service rendered. Some δοῦλοι were the king's workmen or labourers, skilled or otherwise. Two examples occur in 3 Ki. 5.20, where Solomon addresses Hiram, καὶ νῦν ἕντειλαι καὶ κοψάτωσάν μοι ξύλα ἐκ τοῦ Λιβάνου, καὶ ἰδοὺ οἰ δοῦλοί μου μετὰ τῶν δούλων σου. This refers to the supply of wood from Lebanon which Solomon needed for the construction of the temple. In this context, Hiram's δοῦλοι were his timber cutters, whereas Solomon's may be only unskilled labourers who would be present to assist in the purely physical aspects of the work. In verse 23 of the same passage, Hiram's δοῦλοι (perhaps the same men) were those who would make the timber into rafts and sail them down the coast. A similar type of reference is in 3 Ki. 22.50. ¹ In the context of

^{1.} Verses 47-50 of this passage occur in 0⁺ only.

the Red Sea being reopened as a base for trading, the king of Israel suggests to the king of Judah, $\pi \circ \rho \in \upsilon \theta \acute{\eta} \tau \omega \sigma a \nu$ δούλοί μου μετὰ τῶν δούλων σου καὶ (sic) $\tau \alpha \ddot{\iota}_{\zeta}$ ναυσίν. These men may be sailors or traders or even miners.

In 1 Ki. 17.32 David describes himself as Saul's δοῦλος, as Goliath threatened and challenged the Israelites, ὁ δοῦλός σου πορεύσεται καὶ πολεμήσει μετὰ τοῦ ἀλλοφύλου τούτου. Perhaps this refers to David's previous responsibility, when he was required to play the harp to calm the king's troubled mind. It is also possible that David was referring to his general status as a subject of Saul, 2 or that he had his immediate task in mind, his offer to fight Goliath.

Another example where δοῦλος refers to a person who fought in the service of a king is in 1 Ki. 27.5, where David speaks to the Philistine king Achish, εἰ δὴ εὕρηκεν ὁ δοῦλός σου χάριν ἐν ὀφθαλμοῖς σου, δότωσαν δή μοι τόπον ἐν μιᾳ τῶν πόλεων τῶν κατ' ἀγρὸν καὶ καθήσομαι ἐκεῖ· καὶ ἴνα τί κάθηται ὁ δοῦλός σου ἐν πόλει βασιλευομένη μετὰ σοῦ; David at that time was a mercenary in Achish's service. Similarly, in 4 Ki. 18.24 the Assyrian leader the Rabshakeh refers to the Assyrian army officers as δοῦλοι of their king, as he addresses the Jewish leaders, πῶς ἀποστρέψεις

^{2.} Sense (b), as described above.

τὸ πρόσωπον τοπάρχου ἐνὸς τῶν δούλων τοῦ κυρίου μου τῶν ἐλαχίστων;

In contrast to these examples where $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta$ refers to a servant in his capacity as a soldier, there are several references in Judith where $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta$ describes the personal attendants of Holophernes, the Assyrian army commander. In Ju. 12.10, for example, these $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \iota$ are expressly distinguished from the army officers (who are here described as oi $\pi \rho \delta_{\zeta}$ $\tau \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ $\chi \rho \epsilon (\alpha \iota_{\zeta})$, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \circ (\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu)$ $0 \lambda \circ \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \nu \eta_{\zeta}$ $\pi \circ \tau \circ \tau \circ \tau \circ \zeta$ $\delta \circ \dot{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \iota_{\zeta}$ $\alpha \dot{\upsilon} \tau \circ \tilde{\upsilon}$ $\rho \dot{\upsilon} \circ \iota_{\zeta}$ $\rho \dot{\iota} \circ \iota_{\zeta}$ $\rho \dot{\iota$

Another type of servant is the man who belongs to the circle of the king's advisers, as in 1 Ki. 16.16, $\epsilon i\pi\acute{a}\tau\omega\sigma\alpha\nu$ δη οι δοῦλοί σου ἐνώπιόν σου καὶ ζητησάτωσαν τῷ κυρίφ ἡμῶν ἄνδρα εἰδότα ψάλλειν ἐν κινύρφ. These servants of Saul may be described as his courtiers.

An unusual example which must be classified under this first sense of $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \zeta$ is the first occurrence of the word in 3 Ki. 12.7, ϵi $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\tau \tilde{\eta}$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho q$ $\tau \alpha \dot{v} \tau \eta$ $\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta$ $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \zeta$ $\tau \tilde{\psi}$ $\lambda \alpha \tilde{\psi}$ $\tau \circ \dot{v} \tau \psi$ $\kappa \alpha i$ $\delta \circ v \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \eta \zeta$ $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \circ \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ $\kappa \alpha i$ $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \zeta$ $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \circ \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ $\lambda \circ \gamma \circ \dot{v} \zeta$ $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \circ \dot{v} \zeta$, $\kappa \alpha i$ $\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \circ v \tau \alpha i$ $\sigma \circ \iota$ $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \iota$ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \zeta$ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \zeta$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \alpha \zeta$. This was one piece of advice given to Rehoboam when he began his reign. The suggestion that he be a $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \zeta$ to his people is meant literally, in the sense that his reign would be for the benefit of his people rather than their harm (as the reign of Solomon his predecessor had tended to be).

A good translation for $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o_{\zeta}$ in the second sense is (in most cases) "subject (of a king)". In these instances there is a master-servant relationship, for the person concerned was subject to the authority of the king and was potentially an actual servant, even though at the time he was not directly engaged in the king's service. Thus in 2 Ki. 24.21 Araunah (a private citizen) asks David, τi $\ddot{o} \tau i$ $\ddot{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ \dot{o} $\kappa \dot{v} \rho i \dot{o}_{\zeta}$ $\mu o v$ \dot{o} $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{v}_{\zeta}$ $\pi \rho \dot{o}_{\zeta}$ $\tau \dot{o} \nu$ $\delta o \ddot{v} \lambda o \nu$ $\alpha \dot{v} \tau o \ddot{v}$; Araunah recognised David's authority and his own status as a subject of David, but he was not an actual servant of the king.

As interesting passage which illustrates two meanings of $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ is 1 Ki. 29. In verse 3 the Philistine king Achish asks the Philistine leaders, $o \dot{v} \chi$ $o \tilde{v} \tau o \zeta$ $\Delta \alpha v \iota \delta$ \dot{o} $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ $\Delta \alpha v \iota \delta$ \dot{o} $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ $\Delta \alpha v \iota \delta$ \dot{o} $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ $\Delta \alpha v \iota \delta$ \dot{o} $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ $\Delta \alpha v \iota \delta$ \dot{o} $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ $\Delta \alpha v \iota \delta$ \dot{o} $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ is used to describe engaged in Achish's service, and $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ is used to describe David's relationship to Achish in verse 8 of this chapter. However he was still an Israelite and owed his allegiance ultimately to Saul, so that he can also be described as Saul's $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$.

The Moabites and other surrounding peoples, after their defeat by David, became his $\delta o v \lambda o \iota$, as in 2 Ki. 8.14, $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \nu \tau o \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon_{\zeta}$ oi ໄδνμαῖοι δοῦλοι τῷ βασιλεῖ. They were not his actual servants but subject to his rule and authority.

Even sons and brothers of a king can be described as

^{3.} Also 2 Ki. 8.2,6.

his $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \iota$. In 2 Ki. 13.24 Absalom speaks to his father David, $i \delta \circ \tilde{v} \delta \eta$ $\kappa \epsilon i \rho \circ \upsilon \circ \iota \nu$ $\tau \tilde{\phi}$ $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \phi$ $\sigma \circ \upsilon$, $\pi \circ \rho \epsilon \upsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$ $\delta \dot{\eta}$ \dot{o} $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{\upsilon}_{\zeta}$ $\kappa \alpha \dot{\iota}$ $\circ \dot{\iota}$ $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \delta \epsilon_{\zeta}$ $\alpha \dot{\upsilon} \tau \circ \tilde{\upsilon}$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \circ \tilde{\upsilon}$ $\delta \circ \dot{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \upsilon$ $\sigma \circ \upsilon$. Similarly, Solomon is described as the $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \varsigma$ of his father David and Adonijah as the $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \varsigma$ of his brother Solomon. In spite of their family relationship to the king, each of these was still the king's subject.

In this second sense, a king is sometimes described as the $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ of another king, when he has submitted to the authority of the more powerful one, Thus Hoshea (king of Israel) became the Assyrian Shalmaneser's $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$, in 4 Ki. 17.3, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi'$ $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{\sigma} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \beta \eta$ $\Sigma \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \alpha \rho$ $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{v} \zeta$ 'A $\sigma \sigma \nu \rho \dot{\iota} \omega \nu$, $\kappa \alpha \dot{\iota}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \ddot{\phi}$ $\Omega \sigma \eta \epsilon$ $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$, and similarly Jehoiakim (king of Judah) became Nebuchadnezzar's $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$. In one case, a king describes himself in this way. Ahaz's message to Tiglath-pileser in 4 Ki. 16.7 is, $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda \dot{o} \zeta$ $\sigma o \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \dot{\iota}$ $\nu \dot{\iota} \dot{o} \zeta$ $\sigma o \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$. In a similar example, Ben-hadad's messengers describe Ben-hadad as Ahab's $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$.

There are several examples of the second sense of $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta$ where the master or superior is someone other than a king. In 4 Ki. 4.1 the wife of one of the "sons of the prophets" addresses the prophet Elisha, $\dot{\circ}$ $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \acute{\circ} \zeta$ σου $\dot{\circ}$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \acute{\eta} \rho$ $\mu \circ \dot{\sigma} \alpha \acute{\pi} \acute{\epsilon} \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu$. Elisha was in some sense the master of this

^{4. 3} Ki. 1.19,26.

^{5. 3} Ki. 1.51.

^{6. 4} Ki. 24.1.

^{7. 3} Ki. 21.32.

man and the other "sons of the prophets" and had authority over them. But they were not his $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \iota$ in the same sense as Gehazi, who served Elisha directly as his personal servant, nor as Naaman, who was Elisha's $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \varsigma$ in a different sense again. Similarly, Obadiah who was a member of king Ahab's staff recognised the prophet Elijah's authority over him by describing himself as Elijah's $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \varsigma$.

The third sense of $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o_{\zeta}$ is the "respectful" sense, and there are several references to the servants of prophets which are relevant here. Fifty soldiers sent to Elijah by king Ahaz are described by their leader as Elijah's $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \iota$, in 4 Ki. 1.13, $\tilde{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon \tau o \tilde{v} \theta \epsilon o \tilde{v}$, $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \iota \mu \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$ $\delta \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \mu o v \kappa a \dot{\iota} \dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \delta o \dot{v} \lambda \omega \nu \sigma o v \tau o \dot{v} \tau \omega \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \kappa \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa o \nu \tau a \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta} \sigma o v$. With these words the captain was not placing the soldiers under Elijah's control nor submitting them to his authority, but was only showing respect in order to save their lives, since Elijah had called down fire from heaven on two other groups of fifty which had been sent to him.

When Naaman the Syrian army commander obeyed Elisha and was cured of his leprosy, he returned and urged the prophet to accept a gift, καὶ νῦν λαβὲ τὴν εὐλογίαν παρὰ

^{8.} In 4 Ki. 6.3 all the "sons of the prophets" are described as Elisha's $\delta \circ \tilde{\nu} \lambda \circ \iota$.

^{9. 4} Ki. 5.17,25.

^{10.} Sense (c), discussed below.

^{11. 3} Ki. 18.9,12.

τοῦ δούλου σου (4 Ki. 5.15), 12 and similarly Hazael who was soon to be king of Syria speaks of himself as Elisha's $δοῦλος.^{13}$ In these various examples there is no submission to the prophet's control but only respect for his standing as a "man of God".

There are other types of examples of this third sense. In 3 Ki. 21.9 Ahab (king of Israel) sends a message to Ben-hadad (king of Syria), $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \tau \ddot{\phi} \kappa \upsilon \rho \acute{\iota} \psi \dot{\upsilon} \mu \ddot{\omega} \nu \Pi \acute{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$, or $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \kappa \alpha \zeta \pi \rho \delta \zeta \tau \partial \nu \delta \sigma \ddot{\upsilon} \dot{\delta} \nu \sigma \sigma \upsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \sigma \iota \zeta$, $\tau \sigma \iota \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$, $\tau \dot{\sigma} \dot{\sigma} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\rho} \ddot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \ddot{\upsilon} \tau \sigma \dot{\upsilon} \dot{\sigma} \dot{\upsilon} \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota \pi \sigma \iota \ddot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$. Ahab certainly did not accept Ben-hadad's authority over him, for he was refusing to comply with Ben-hadad's order. When he describes himself as Ben-hadad's $\delta \sigma \ddot{\upsilon} \lambda \sigma \zeta$, he is merely using the language of diplomacy.

Another example of the "respectful" use of $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta$ is when David speaks of himself as Jonathan's $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta$ though the respect shown here is of a different type to that in the previous examples. In 1 Ki. 20.7 David addresses Jonathan (the subject of the first clause being Saul), $\dot{\epsilon} \grave{\alpha} \nu \tau \acute{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \epsilon i' \pi \eta$ 'Aya $\theta \tilde{\omega} \zeta$, $\epsilon i \rho \acute{\eta} \nu \eta$ $\tau \tilde{\phi}$ $\delta \circ \acute{\upsilon} \lambda \phi$ $\sigma \circ \upsilon$. ¹⁴ There was no master-servant relationship in this case, even though Jonathan was the king's son, but David here expresses his respect for and devotion to Jonathan which resulted from their friendship. It is thus a different type of respect

^{12.} Also verses 17,18 (bis).

^{13. 4} Ki. 8.13.

^{14.} Also verse 8 (ter).

to the "diplomatic" respect of one king for another or the respect shown to a prophet as a "man of God".

The fourth type of usage is when $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ_{\zeta}$ refers to a servant of God. Some of these $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ_{\iota}$ were directly and actively engaged in God's service. Joshua who led the Israelites under God's direction was a $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ_{\zeta}$ in this sense, as in Jd. 2.8, $\kappa \alpha \wr \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ 'I $\eta \sigma \circ \tilde{v}_{\zeta}$ viò_{\zeta} Nav η $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ_{\zeta}$ as in Jd. 2.8, $\kappa \alpha \wr \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ 'I $\eta \sigma \circ \tilde{v}_{\zeta}$ viò_{\zeta} Nav η $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ_{\zeta}$ $\kappa \upsilon \rho \acute{\iota} \circ \upsilon$ viò_{\zeta} $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\circ} \upsilon$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \tau \ddot{\omega} \upsilon$. Similar examples are the references to Moses 16 and Nehemiah 17 as God's $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ_{\zeta}$, as well as many other individuals. The prophets also were God's $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ_{\zeta}$ in this sense, acting under God's orders, as we see in Je. 25.4, where God says to the people of Judah, $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \circ \upsilon$ $\tau \rho \delta_{\zeta}$ $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{a}_{\zeta}$ $\tau \circ \dot{v}_{\zeta}$ $\delta \circ \dot{v} \lambda \circ \upsilon_{\zeta}$ $\mu \circ \upsilon$ $\tau \circ \dot{v}_{\zeta}$ $\pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \tau \alpha_{\zeta}$. There are many other references to the prophets generally and several to individual prophets.

Other $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \iota$ were subject to God's authority but not directly involved in serving God, except in a general way such as obeying God's laws. These include the nation of Israel or Judah, as in Je. 3.22, which records the people's response to God's appeal to them to turn back to him, $i\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon}$ $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \iota \tilde{\upsilon} \mu \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \zeta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \circ \mu \epsilon \theta \acute{\alpha}$ $\sigma \circ \iota$, $\tilde{\upsilon} \tau \iota \sigma \tilde{\upsilon} \kappa \upsilon \rho \iota \circ \zeta \dot{\sigma} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \zeta \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} \tilde{\upsilon} \epsilon \tilde{\iota}$. In the sense of being subject to God's authority, $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta$ is used with the meaning "worshipper" or "devotee". This is illustrated by three references in 4 Ki. 10.23. As part of his plan to destroy the cult of Baal, Jehu $\epsilon \tilde{\iota} \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \circ \tilde{\iota} \zeta$

^{15.} Also Jo. 24.30.

^{16.} Jo. 14.7(A), 3 Ki. 8.53,56, etc.

^{17. 2} Es. 11.6,11.

δούλοις τοῦ Βααλ Ἐρευνήσατε καὶ ἴδετε εἰ ἔστιν μεθ' ὑμῶν τῶν δούλων κυρίου, ὅτι ἀλλ' ἢ οἱ δοῦλοι τοῦ Βααλ μονώτατοι. He contrasts those whose God was the Lord and those whose God was Baal. In the same sense, the Psalms contain many references to a "servant of the Lord". Ps. 26.9 records part of a worshipper's prayer:

μη ἀποστρέψης τὸ πρόσωπόν σου ἀπ' έμοῦ, μη ἐκκλίνης ἐν ὀργη ἀπὸ τοῦ δούλου σου.

There are several noteworthy facts concerning the distribution of $\delta o \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda o \varsigma$. The accompanying table shows that it is by no means evenly distributed throughout the LXX. Of the 384 examples, 213 are in the books of Kings while another 54 occur in the Psalms - over two-thirds of the total number in five books. In contrast, there are only (for example) three references in the Pentateuch and nine in the books of Chronicles.

We note also the large number of examples of sense (d), 187 out of 384 (almost half the total), compared to only 132 examples of sense (a).

At this point we simply note these facts without comment, until comparisons can be made with other words.

Among the Ptolemaic papyri, there is one example of $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta$ used of a servant of a god in the sense of "worshipper". In SB 7898.6 (place of origin unknown) we read $K \circ \rho \rho \alpha \gamma \circ \zeta$, $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta \tau \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \Sigma \alpha \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \iota \circ \zeta \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \tilde{\eta} \zeta$ "Iσιος.

Several papyri of the Christian era refer to servants

^{18.} No date is given in \underline{SB} , but Kiessling (\underline{WB}) regards it as Ptolemaic.

of God or Christ, but with the above exception the Ptolemaic papyri use δοῦλος only in sense (a). Unlike its use in the LXX, δοῦλος in the papyri does not refer to men like skilled workers or advisers or army officers but only to slaves who were the property of their owner. They may be bought and sold, as in B.G.U. 1128.9 (i B.C.) which refers to a slave auction, μὴ ποιῆται τὸν τοῦ δούλου ἀγορασμόν. They may run away, as in U.P.Z. 121.18 (ii B.C.), ἔστιν δὲ καὶ ὁ συναποδεδρακὸς αὐτῶι Βίων δοῦλος Καλλικράτου, and similarly B.G.U. 1149.34 (i B.C.). Their inferior status is indicated in P.Hal. 1 (iii B.C.) which refers to special penalities which a δοῦλος incurred for harm done to an ἐλεύθερος. Lines 196-198 state,

Δούλωι έλεύθερον πατάξαντι, έὰν ὁ δοῦλος ἢ ἡ δούλη πατάξη[ι τὸν έλεύ] θερον ἢ τὴν έλευθέραν, μαστιγούσθω μὴ ἔλασσον Ρ πληγῶν ἢ τὴ[ν ζημίαν] διπλασίαν ἀποτεισάτω ὁ δεσπότης ὑπὲρ το[ῦ] δούλου.

One papyrus, P.Lille i.29 (iii B.C.), uses $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ apparently interchangeably with $\dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \dot{a} \pi o \delta o \nu$, $o i \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta \zeta$ and $o \tilde{w} \mu \alpha$.

The papyri therefore illustrate only some of the LXX uses of $\delta \circ \tilde{\nu} \lambda \circ \varsigma$. Sense (a) covers different types of servants, from trusted attendants and advisers to slaves without rights or privileges. Only the latter type is mentioned in the papyri. The New Testament refers to servants of kings, in

^{19.} $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ is used in col. 1.19,21,27,29, col. 2.6. For a fuller discussion of words for "slave" in P.Lille i.29, see under $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta o \nu$ (p.4) and $o i \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta \zeta$ (p.90).

one place trusted officials to whom he lent money²⁰ and in another place messengers sent to invite guests to a feast.²¹

Sense (b) does not occur in the papyri but is not confined to the LXX. LSJ state that $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o_{\zeta}$ is used "freq. of Persians and other nations subject to a despot", and cite Herodotus, etc. as witnesses, without giving any actual references.

Sense (c), the "respectful" use of $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o_{\zeta}$ seems to be confined to the LXX and this suggests the direct influence of the corresponding Hebrew word 'ebed. It is significant that all the examples of this sense occur in books translated from Hebrew and that on all but one occasion $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o_{\zeta}$ translates 'ebed. The exception is 4 Ki. 1.14, where the use of $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o_{\zeta}$ in this sense is explained by its use in the same sense in the previous verse.

Sense (d) as we have seen is illustrated by the papyri, both of the Ptolemaic period and later, and also by the New Testament and other early Christian writings.

^{20.} Matthew 18.23 (etc.).

^{21.} Matthew 22.3 (etc.).

	Sense (a)	Sense (b)	Sense (c)	Sense (d)	Uncertain	Total
Leviticus	2					2
Deuteronomy				1		1
Joshua	1			2		3
Judges	3			2	•	5
1 Kings	26	6	4	7		43
2 Kings	24	22	1	14	5	66
3 Kings	· 16	12	1	- 31		60
4 Kings	10	5	7	21	1	44
1 Chronicles				4		4
2 Chronicles	3			2	•	5
1 Esdras	1			•		1
2 Esdras	11	· ·		8		19
Judith	16	1			_ •	17
1 Maccabees				1	•	1
2 Maccabees				4		4
Psalms	3		•	51		54
Proverbs				1		1
Ecclesiastes	5					5
Job	1	•				1
Wisdom	1	•		1		2
Ecclesiasticus	1					1
Minor Prophets	2			6		8
Isaiah	2			8		10
Jeremiah	1			6		7
Lamentations	1				•	1
Ezekiel				6		. 6
Susanna	1					1
Daniel	1			11	•	12
TOTAL	132	46	13	187	6	384
Hebrew:						
< ebed	102	44	12	160	6	324
(am				6		6
<u>na•arah</u>				1	•	1

9: @EPAHAINA

This word is used eight times in the LXX, each time referring to women who rendered actual service to a human master or mistress. It would be difficult to define the word more precisely than this on the evidence available, although there may be an emphasis on inferior social status.

This point perhaps lies behind the use of $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \nu \alpha$ in Ex. 11.5, which refers to those in Egypt who would be affected by God's judgment at the Passover: $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\alpha}$ $\pi\rho\omega\tau\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\kappa\sigma\upsilon$ $\Phi\alpha\rho\alpha\omega$... $\kappa\alpha\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\omega\zeta$ $\pi\rho\omega\tau\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\kappa\sigma\upsilon$ $\tau\eta\zeta$ $\theta\epsilon\rho\alpha\pi\alpha\dot{\epsilon}\nu\eta\zeta$ $\tau\eta\zeta$ $\pi\alpha\rho\dot{\alpha}$ $\tau\dot{\alpha}\nu$ $\mu\dot{\nu}\lambda\sigma\nu$. These phrases describe the opposite extremes of the social order in Egypt.

The eight examples are distributed over four books: Exodus (3), Proverbs (1), Job (3) and Isaiah (1). No significant conclusion can be drawn from this.

I have been unable to find any example of θεράπαινα in the papyri of the Ptolemaic period. Preisigke lists only three examples, from two iii A.D. papyri: P.Giss. 34.6,8, P.Oxy. 1468.13. The word does not occur in the New Testament. But there are several examples from classical literature, where it is used as a general word for female servant, as in Herodotus 3.134, ἐπιθυμέω γὰρ λόγω πυνθανομένη Λακαίνας τέ μοι γενέσθαι θεραπαίνας ..., and in Andocides 1.64, τὰς θεραπαίνας ελαβον οἱ πρυτάνεις. 1

^{1.} See also Menander, Fr. 64, where $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \nu \alpha$ and $\ddot{\alpha} \beta \rho \alpha$ are used in the same sentence. The sentence is quoted on p.2 above.

10: ΘΕΡΑΠΩΝ

There are 67 examples of $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ in the LXX, which may be divided into two groups: (a) those which refer to the servants of men (in two senses) and (b) those which refer to the servants of God.

Of the 42 examples in the first group 27 refer to the servants of Pharaoh. These servants were not his subjects generally, for several times Pharaoh's θεράποντες are distinguished from his "people" or "all the Egyptians", as in Ex. 7.28, where Moses warns Pharaoh about the plague of frogs, καὶ ἐξερεύξεται ὁ ποταμὸς βατράχους, καὶ ἀναβάντες εἰσελεύσονται εἰς τοὺς οἴκους σου...καὶ εἰς τοὺς οἴκους τῶν θεραπόντων σου καὶ τοῦ λαοῦ σου. Τhe θεράποντες were the members of Pharaoh's court who had direct access to their ruler.

There are seven examples in Judith where θεράπων refers to the servants of king Nebuchadnezzar or of Holophernes (the king's commander-in-chief). In Ju. 2.2 there is a reference to Nebuchadnezzar's servants, καὶ συνεκάλεσεν πάντας τοὺς θεράποντας αὐτοῦ καὶ πάντας τοὺς μεγιστᾶνας αὐτοῦ καὶ ἔθετο μετ' αὐτῶν τὸ μυστήριον τῆς βουλῆς αὐτοῦ, and Ju. 7.16 tells us that after Holophernes heard the Moabites' plan, ἤρεσαν οἱ λόγοι αὐτῶν ἐνώπιον Ολοφέρνου καὶ ἐνώπιον πάντων τῶν θεραπόντων αὐτοῦ. In Ju. 11.20 similarly, it is Holophernes' θεράποντες who

heard Judith's plan. These men were army commanders or military advisers. In Ju. 10.23 they are distinguished from Holophernes' $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \iota$ who would appear to have been his personal attendants:

ώς δὲ ἦλθεν κατὰ πρόσωπον αὐτοῦ Ιουδιθ καὶ τῶν θεραπόντων αὐτοῦ, ἐθαύμασαν πάντες ἐπὶ τῷ κάλλει τοῦ προσώπου αὐτῆς καὶ πεσοῦσα ἐπὶ πρόσωπον προσεκύνησεν αὐτῷ, καὶ ἤγειραν αὐτὴν οἱ δοῦλοι αὐτοῦ.

Thus, $\theta\epsilon\rho\acute{\alpha}\pi\omega\nu$ is shown by its context in Ju. 2.2, 7.16 and 11.20 to refer to army officers, and 10.23 suggests that it has a different meaning to $\delta\sigma\ddot{\nu}\lambda_{0}$. Elsewhere in Judith $\delta\sigma\ddot{\nu}\lambda_{0}$ refers to the men of the army as Nebuchadnezzar's servants and to Holophernes' personal servants, as well as to others, and $\pi\alpha\ddot{\iota}_{\zeta}$ is used once of Judith's servants, but only $\theta\epsilon\rho\acute{\alpha}\pi\omega\nu$ refers to army commanders or advisers, and we may well be justified in regarding this as a specialised meaning. There are three other references to Holophernes' $\theta\epsilon\rho\acute{\alpha}\pi\sigma\nu\tau\epsilon\zeta$ without further definition, and this meaning is suitable in each case.

However we cannot draw an absolute distinction between δοῦλος and θεράπων. Part of Judith's prayer in Ju. 9.10 is, πάταξον δοῦλον...ἐπ' ἄρχοντι καὶ ἄρχοντα ἐπὶ θεράποντι αὐτοῦ. Here the two words do not apply to different types of servants but are used for the sake of variety. In the references in Proverbs 5 and Job 6 it is hard to distinguish

^{1.} Ju. 6.3,7.

^{2.} Ju. 6.10,11, 10.23, 12.10, 13.1.

^{3.} Ju. 8.7.

^{4.} Ju. 6.6, 10.20, 12.5.

^{5.} Pr. 18.14, 27.27.

^{6.} Jb. 3.19, 7.2, 19.16, 31.13.

θεράπων on the basis of context from other words used in these books for "servant" (διάκονος, δοῦλος, οἰκέτης and παῖς). Θεράπων here refers to a man's personal servant or attendant.

One other example occurs as a variant reading in 4 Ki. The subject of this verse is Jehoiachin (king of Judah) while he was in exile in Babylon: ἡ ἐστιατορία αὐτοῦ έστιατορία διὰ παντὸς ἐδόθη αὐτῷ ἐξ οἴκου τοῦ βασιλέως. In A+ the phrase διὰ παντός is replaced by $\theta \in \rho \alpha \pi \circ \nu \tau \circ \zeta$. With this reading the verse defines the amount of Jehoiachin's allowance as being that which a $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ would receive. Without any further information, there is no way of knowing whether $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{a}\pi \omega \nu$ refers to a personal attendant or perhaps a military figure such as we find in There is also doubt about the reading. The Hebrew (translated by the R.V. "a continual allowance") would support $\delta i a \pi \alpha \nu \tau \delta \zeta$, though on the other hand it is perhaps easier to understand a change from $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \circ \nu \tau \circ \zeta$ to $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \acute{\circ} \zeta$ than the reverse. Nevertheless, I am inclined to regard διὰ παντός as original.

The examples of $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ referring to servants of men lack the variety which $\delta \circ \tilde{\nu} \lambda \circ \zeta$ (for example) shows. Sense (b) 7 and sense (c) 8 of $\delta \circ \tilde{\nu} \lambda \circ \zeta$ are completely absent.

^{7.} Servant, where the master-servant relationship exists but is not practised.

^{8.} Servant, in what may be called a respectful sense, where there is no actual master-servant relationship.

Θεράπων is used only where the master-servant relationship is actively practised. It is further restricted in that it refers only to privileged servants rather than slaves who were without rights and privileges. The distinctive point about θ εράπων in these references is that (possibly) it can have a meaning like "army leader".

When θεράπων refers to servants of God, the same two distinctions apply as we have observed in the case of δοῦλος. It refers both to those who directly and actively were engaged in God's service and to others who were subject to God's authority without being involved in a specific form of service. The latter group includes people like Isaac and Joseph's brothers. Ge. 24.44 speaks of Rebekah, ην ητοίμασεν κύριος τῷ ἐαυτοῦ θεράποντι Ισαακ. In Ge 50.17 Joseph's brothers address Joseph, καὶ νῦν δέξαι τὴν ἀδικίαν τῶν θεραπόντων τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ πατρός σου. There are other references to Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, Jewish martyrs and Job.

Moses was one who was more directly involved in serving God. After the exodus from Egypt, we read in Ex. 14.31 that έφοβήθη δὲ ὁ λαὸς τὸν κύριον καὶ ἐπίστευσαν τῷ θεῷ καὶ Μωυσῷ τῷ θεράποντι αὐτοῦ. There are two other references where the people concerned are described as "servants" rather than explicitly "servants of God". However they were clearly servants in a religious context. Ex. 33.11 says, ὁ δὲ θεράπων Ἰησοῦς νίὸς Ναυη νέος οὐκ ἐξεπορεύετο ἐκ τῆς σκηνῆς, and To. 1.7 (S) 9 refers to

^{9.} In BA the reading is the participle $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \acute{v}o v \sigma \iota \nu$.

τοῖς νἰοῖς Λενι τοῖς θεράπουσιν ἐν Ιερουσαλημ. The main point of interest in these examples is the use of θεράπων in a religious context to describe one who was a cultic official. In other respects the examples of θ εράπων referring to servants of God do not significantly differ from those of δοῦλος, except that they are considerably fewer in number.

Over half the examples of $\theta \in \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ occur in the Pentateuch (38 out of 63), though they are not distributed evenly over the five books, since 28 of the references are found in Exodus (25 describing the servants of Pharaoh). Eight examples in Judith and ten in Job account for most of the remainder.

Analysing the examples on the basis of meaning, we find that 42 refer to servants of men and 25 to servants of God.

 $\Theta \in \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \varpi \nu$ does not occur in the Ptolemaic papyri. There are two examples from the second century A.D. (P.Oxy. 1381. 116, B.G.U. 361.3.18), and the only other two known to me are from the eighth century A.D. (P.Apoll. 65.13, P.Lond. 1435.118).

In other literature, $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ occurs from Homer onwards in various senses. Referring to servants of men, it means "henchman, attendant" (LSJ) in several passages in Homer. In Herodotus 5.105 it refers to the servant of Darius who was to say to the king, $\Delta \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \pi o \tau \alpha$, $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \mu \nu \epsilon o \tau \~{\omega} \nu$ ' $A\theta \eta \nu \alpha \acute{\epsilon} \omega \nu$. LSJ also give the meaning "companion in arms, squire" in

Homer, and this may be comparable to the idea of "army leader" which we have observed in the LXX.

The restriction of $\theta\epsilon\rho\acute{\alpha}\pi\omega\nu$ in the LXX to the meaning "servant" (rather than "slave") is not paralleled in classical literature. In Lysias 7.34, for instance, the $\theta\epsilon\rho\acute{\alpha}\pi\sigma\nu\tau\epsilon_{\zeta}$ are slaves whom the defendant is willing to hand over to be tortured. In sections 16-17 of the same speech $\delta\sigma\ddot{\nu}\lambda\rho_{\zeta}$, $\theta\epsilon\rho\acute{\alpha}\pi\omega\nu$ and $\sigma\dot{\kappa}\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ are all used without distinction: In a note to Lysias 5.5 Shuckburgh says:

 $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi o \nu \tau \epsilon \zeta$ not originally used properly of slaves... But slavery had become so universal in Greece, and so common was it for even the poorer families in Athens to have at least one slave for domestic purposes, that $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ had become synonymous with $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o c$.

There are classical examples of the religious use of $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha}\pi\omega\nu$. In Homer, Iliad 2.110 the $\Delta\alpha\nu\alpha$ oʻ are $\theta\epsilon\rho \acute{\alpha}\pi\sigma\nu\tau\epsilon\zeta$ "A $\rho\eta\sigma\zeta$, and in Homeric Hymn 32.20 the poets are described as Movo $\acute{\alpha}\omega\nu$ $\theta\epsilon\rho \acute{\alpha}\pi\sigma\nu\tau\epsilon\zeta$. LSJ cite examples of the meaning "worshipper", as in Pindar, Olympian 3.16, which describes the Hyperborean people as 'A $\pi\acute{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\omega\nu\sigma\zeta$ $\theta\epsilon\rho \acute{\alpha}\pi\sigma\nu\tau\alpha$. However I do not know any example of $\theta\epsilon\rho \acute{\alpha}\pi\omega\nu$ referring to a cultic official (such as a priest or temple attendant), similar to the LXX.

In the New Testament 11 and other early Christian literature, $\theta\epsilon\rho\acute{a}\pi\omega\nu$ is used only with reference to Moses, without any cultic overtones.

^{10.} E.S. Shuckburgh, Lysiae Orationes XVI (London, 1961), p.196.

^{11.} Hebrews 3.5 is the only New Testament example.

θεράπων	Servant of man	Servant of God	Total
Genesis		2	2
Exodus	25	3	28
Numbers		4	4
Deuteronomy	2	2	4
Joshua		3	3
4 Kings	1		1
l Chronicles		1	1
Judith	8		8
Tobit	·	1	1
4 Maccabees		. 1	. 1
Proverbs	2		2
Job	4	6	10
Wisdom		2	2
TOTAL	42	25	67
Hebrew:			
<u>'ebed</u>	27	18	45
pen , aqou		1	1
sharath		1	1
na arah	1		1

11: ΘΗΛΑΖΩΝ

The verb $\theta\eta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\zeta\epsilon\iota\nu$ occurs 28 times in the LXX, and of these there are nine examples where the present participle is used as a substantive. It is used in both masculine and neuter forms, without any distinction in meaning. Masculine forms are used in four examples: $\theta\eta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega\nu$ once and $\theta\eta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\zeta\upsilon\nu\tau\alpha$ (masculine accusative singular) three times. The neuter form $\theta\eta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\zeta\upsilon\nu\tau\alpha$ (accusative plural) occurs once. The other four may be masculine or neuter.

Its strict meaning is "suckling", but to all intents and purposes it is simply another word for "infant". Twice it is used in the expression ἀπὸ νηπίου ἕως θηλάζοντος. 5 Here νήπιος and θηλάζων are not being contrasted but are parallel and synonymous. The phrase in fact illustrates two Hebraisms: (a) "from ... to" means "both ... and", and (b) the common device of parallelism, where the same thing is said in two parallel words or phrases. On five other occasions θηλάζων and νήπιος are parallel, 6 as in Ps. 8.3, 6 κ στόματος νηπίων καὶ θηλαζόντων καταρτίσω αἶνον.

^{1.} De. 32.25.

^{2.} Nu. 11.12, Je. 51.7, La. 2.11.

^{3.} Ju. 16.4.

^{4.} θηλάζοντος in 1 Ki. 15.3, 22.19, La. 4.4, and θηλαζόντων in Ps. 8.3.

^{5. 1} Ki. 15.3, 22.19.

^{6.} Ju. 16.4, Ps. 8.3, Je. 51.7, La. 2.11, 4.4.

In one example $\theta\eta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega\nu$ is contrasted with $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\dot{\nu}\tau\eta\varsigma$. This is in De. 32.25, which refers to the judgment that would befall Israel for disloyalty to God:

έξωθεν άτεκνώσει αὐτοὺς μάχαιρα καὶ ἐκ τῶν ταμιείων φόβος • νεανίσκος σὰν παρθένω, θηλάζων μετὰ καθεστηκότος πρεσβύτου.

Though the papyri contain several examples of the verb $\theta\eta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\zeta\epsilon\iota\nu$, I am not aware of any example of the participle used as a substantive. The same is true of classical literature. The only example of this use outside the LXX is in the New Testament, in Matthew 21.16, and even this is a quotation from the LXX (Ps. 8.3).

Θηλάζων	Relevant examples	Total
Genesis		2
Exodus		3
Numbers	1	1
Deuteronomy	1	3
l Kings	2	3
3 Kings		2
Judith	1	1
2 Maccabees		1
Psalms	1	1
Job		2
Canticles		1
Minor Prophets		1
Isaiah		2
Jeremiah	1	1
Lamentations	2	4
TOTAL	9	28
Hebrew:		
yoneq	8	

12: 0YFATHP

By far the majority of the 602 examples of $\theta v \gamma \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$ in the LXX are used with the meaning "daughter", describing the normal direct family relationship of a girl/woman to her parent(s), as in Ge. 29.18 where Jacob says to Laban, δουλεύσω σοι έπτὰ ἕτη περὶ Ραχηλ τῆς θυγατρός σου τῆς $\nu \epsilon \omega \tau \acute{\epsilon} \rho \alpha \varsigma$.

Eleven times in the book of Ruth, $\theta v \gamma \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$ is used not strictly of a daughter but to describe a looser family tie. It is used of Ruth and in some cases Orpah also in relation to Naomi, as in Ru. 2.22, $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \nu$ No $\epsilon \mu \iota \nu$ $\pi \rho \delta_{\zeta}$ Pov θ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\nu \dot{\nu} \mu \phi \eta \nu$ $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \ddot{\eta}_{\zeta}$ 'A $\gamma \alpha \theta \acute{o} \nu$, $\theta \dot{v} \gamma \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho$, $\ddot{o} \tau \iota \dot{\epsilon} \pi o \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \eta_{\zeta}$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \ddot{w} \nu$ $\kappa o \rho \alpha \sigma \dot{\ell} w \nu$ $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \ddot{v}$ (where $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \ddot{v} \ddot{v}$ refers to Boaz). As this verse indicates, Ruth was Naomi's daughter-in-law (and the same was true of Orpah). Ruth is also addressed in this way by Boaz, as in Ru. 2.8, $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \nu$ Boo $_{\zeta}$ $\pi \rho \delta_{\zeta}$ Pov θ O $\dot{v} \kappa$ $\ddot{\eta} \kappa o v \sigma \alpha_{\zeta}$, $\theta \dot{v} \gamma \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho$; Ruth was related by marriage to Boaz, since her father-in-law Elimelech was a kinsman of Boaz. Perhaps however the family relationship is irrelevant in these cases. In each case the vocative form of $\theta v \gamma \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$ is used, and these instances may be better described as $\theta v \gamma \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$ used as a form of familiar address. If so, the

^{1.} Also Ru. 1.11,12,13, 2.2, 3.1,16,18.

^{2.} Also Ru. 3.10,11.

^{3.} Examples of this usage occur in the New Testament. See below, p. 49.

fact that Ruth was related to Naomi and Boaz must be regarded as coincidental.

In three references $\theta v \gamma \acute{a} \tau \eta \rho$ refers to a daughter of God, each time in conjunction with $v i \acute{o} \varsigma$. In Is. 43.6 it refers to Jewish women, in the context of returning from exile: $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \ddot{\omega} \tau \ddot{\phi} \beta o \rho \rho \ddot{a}$ "Ay ϵ , $\kappa a i \tau \ddot{\phi} \lambda \iota \beta \iota$ M $\dot{\eta} \kappa \acute{\omega} \lambda v \epsilon \cdot \ddot{a} \gamma \epsilon$ $\tau o \dot{v} \varsigma v i o \dot{v} \varsigma \mu o v \dot{a} \tau \dot{a} \gamma \sigma \rho \rho \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \kappa a i \tau \dot{a} \varsigma \theta v \gamma a \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \varsigma \mu o v \dot{a} \tau \ddot{a} \kappa \rho \omega \nu \tau \ddot{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \ddot{\eta} \varsigma$.

In connection with towns or countries, $\theta \upsilon \gamma \acute{a} \tau \eta \rho$ is used in several ways in metaphorical senses. First, there are several cases where $\theta \upsilon \gamma \acute{a} \tau \eta \rho$ describes the women who lived in or belonged to a particular place. In Ca. 1.5, one of the girls in the king's harem says, $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \acute{a} \epsilon i \mu \iota \kappa \alpha i \kappa \alpha \lambda \acute{\eta}$, $\theta \upsilon \gamma \alpha \tau \acute{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \varsigma$ I $\epsilon \rho \upsilon \upsilon \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu$. Jerusalem is the city most commonly found in such phrases, though the names of many other towns or cities and countries are used.

Secondly, $\theta v \gamma \acute{a} \tau \eta \rho$ is used to describe the villages or outlying settlements that were attached to a town. So we read of the "daughters" of Jazer in 1 Ma. 5.8, where

^{4.} Also Wi. 9.7, Is. 45.11.

^{5.} Also Ca. 2.7, 3.10,11, 5.8,16, 8.4, Is. 3.16,17, 4.4, 49.22, 60.4, Ba. 4.10,14, La. 3.51, Ez. 5.14, 16.20, 48, 55, 23.25,47.

^{6.} Tyre (and Sidon): Ps. 44.13, J1. 4.8, Ez. 26.6,8.
 Asshur: Ez. 16.28.
 Sodom: Ez. 16.46,48,49 (bis), 53,55.
 Samaria: Ez. 16.46,53,55, 23.10 (bis).
 Rabbah: Je. 30.19.

^{7.} Canaan: Ge. 27.46, 28.1,6,8, 34.1.
 Syria: Ez. 16.57.
 Israel/Judah: Ps. 47.12, 96.8, Su. 48,57 (bis).

it is said that Judas Maccabaeus προκατελάβετο τὴν Ιαζηρ καὶ τὰς θυγατέρας αὐτῆς.8

Thirdly, there are phrases like "daughter of Zion", as in Ps. 72.28:

έξαγγεῖλαι πάσας τὰς αἰνέσεις σου 9 ἐν ταῖς πύλαις τῆς θυγατρὸς Σιων.

This phrase is equivalent simply to the name Zion or Jerusalem. In this way $\theta v \gamma \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$ is also used with Babylon, ¹⁰ Egypt, ¹¹ and other place names. ¹²

There are several other metaphorical uses. $\theta v \gamma \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$ is twice used of daughters of plants. In 4 Ki. 14.9 (and the parallel account in 2 Ch. 25.18), in king Joash's story, the thistle's message to the cedar is, $\delta \delta_{\zeta} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \alpha$ σου $\tau \ddot{\phi} \nu i \ddot{\phi} \mu o v \epsilon i \zeta \gamma v \nu \alpha \bar{\iota} \kappa \alpha$. The phrase $\alpha i \theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon_{\zeta} \tau o \ddot{v} \ddot{\phi} \sigma \mu \alpha \tau o \zeta$ in Ec. 12.4 means singing or songs, and in Je. 30.20 $\theta \dot{v} \gamma \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho i \tau \alpha \mu i \alpha_{\zeta}^{13}$ refers to the character of the Ammonite nation.

The daughters of animals are mentioned four times. In Pr. 30.15 the word literally means female young: $\tau \tilde{\eta}$ $\beta \delta \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta$

^{8.} Also Jd. 1.27(ter), 1 Ma. 5.65. In other passages where $\theta \nu \gamma \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$ is used in this sense it is not the only reading: Jd. 11.26(bis)(A): B reads $\ddot{o}\rho \iota o \nu$. 2 Ch. 13.19(A): the others read $\kappa \acute{\omega} \mu \eta$. 2 Es. 21.25(bis), 27,28,30,31: this reading is in S^C+ and L+, but omitted from the rest.

^{9.} Also 4 Ki. 19.21(bis), Ps. 9.15, Mi. 1.3, 4.8(bis), 10,13, Ze. 3.14(ter), Za. 2.14, 9.9(bis), Is. 1.8, 10.32, 16.1, 37.22(bis), Je. 4.31, 6.2,23, 38.21(A+), La. 1.6, 2.1,4,8, 10,13(bis),18, 4.22.

^{10.} Ps. 136.8, Za. 2.11, Is. 47.1, Je. 27.42.

^{11.} Je. 26.11,19,24.

^{12.} Mi. 4.14, Is. 16.2, 23.12, Je. 31.18, La. 1.15, 2.2,5, 4.21,22.

^{13.} LSJ: $i\tau\alpha\mu$ ia (= $i\tau\alpha\mu$ o $\tau\eta$ c) = 1. initiative, vigour: 2. effrontery. The second is applicable here.

πρεῖς θυγατέρες ἡσαν ἀγαπήσει ἀγαπώμεναι. In the other three references θυγάτηρ may have this literal meaning but probably is used metaphorically. In Mi. 1.8 we read of the reaction to Judah's sin and the impending judgment: ποιήσεται κοπετὸν ὡς δρακόντων καὶ πένθος ὡς θυγατέρων σειρήνων. 14 The corresponding Hebrew phrase is bath ya'anah, which means simply "ostrich", rather than the female young of the ostrich. 15 BDB lists five other instances of this phrase, 16 and in these cases the LXX translation is σειρήν or στρουθός without θυγάτηρ. In the three examples where θυγάτηρ is used with σειρήν or στρουθός it seems likely that the same simple meaning "ostrich" is intended, and if so this usage of θυγάτηρ is a Hebraism.

Nothing of significance can be deduced from the distribution of $\theta v \gamma \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$ in the LXX. It is the normal word for "daughter" and so is used throughout the LXX. It is particularly common in Genesis (103 times), which is explained by the repeated use of the phrase $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \dot{\nu} i o \dot{\nu} \zeta$ $\kappa \alpha i \theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \alpha \zeta$ in the genealogical tables (eighteen times) and by the stories in which daughters played an important part (e.g. Lot's daughters, mentioned seven times, and Laban's daughters, mentioned 21 times).

^{14.} θυγάτηρ is again used with σειρήν in Je. 27.39, and with στρουθός in Is. 43.20.

^{15.} BDB, s.v. bath, sect. 6 (p.123b).

The literal meaning "daughter" is of course common from Homer onwards. This is the only meaning of θυγάτηρ in the numerous examples of the word in the Ptolemaic papyri. In classical Greek there are examples of metaphorical phrases, as in Pindar, Nemean 4.3, Μοισᾶν θυγατέρες (referring to odes), and Critias, Fragment 1D, πλάστιγξ ἡ χαλκοῦ θυγάτηρ and phrases referring to animals, as in Simonides 7, θύγατρες ἴππων. In the New Testament θυγάτηρ is used as a form of familiar or friendly address, as in Matthew 9.22 where Jesus addresses a woman, θάρσει, θύγατερ· ἡ πίστις σου σέσωκέν σε. The New Testament also uses θυγάτηρ with the names of towns, both as a description of the town 17 and to refer to the female inhabitants of a town. 18

Certain LXX usages, not attested elsewhere or attested elsewhere in the New Testament only, may be regarded as Hebraisms. This is true of phrases like "daughter of Zion" (referring to the city and equivalent to the name of the city) and "daughters of ostriches". In both cases the word "daughter(s)" is superfluous. This is a common Hebrew idiom and is attested only in Greek which has a Hebrew background. The use of $\theta v \gamma \acute{a} \tau \eta \rho$ with the names of towns, meaning outlying villages, also seems to be a Hebraism. The two references in 1 Maccabees make this usage a less certain case of Hebraism, but in all other examples the Greek has been translated from Hebrew and it is perhaps best to regard the 1 Maccabees examples also as the result of a Hebraistic manner of thinking.

^{17.} Matthew 21.5, John 12.15. Both of these are a quotation of Za. 9.9.

^{18.} Luke 23.28.

θυγάτηρ	Offspring of animals	Other meta- phorical	Total
Genesis		5	103
Exodus			24
Leviticus			17
Numbers			19
Deuteronomy			20
Joshua			7
Judges		5	25
Ruth			11
l Kings			15
2 Kings			20
3 Kings			13
4 Kings		3	17
l Chronicles			17
2 Chronicles		2	25
l Esdras			3
2 Esdras		6	22
Esther			3
Judith			. 6
Tobit			23
1. Maccabees		2	9
4 Maccabees	•		1
Psalms		6	12
Proverbs	1		2
Ecclesiastes		1	1
Canticles		7	11
Job			7
Wisdom		1	1
Ecclesiasticus			8
Minor Prophets	1	14	23
Isaiah	ı	15	23
Jeremiah	1	11	37
Baruch		2	4
Lamentations		15	22
Ezekiel	•	21	41
Susanna		3	8
Daniel			2
TOTAL	4	119	602

13: KOPAΣION

Koράσιον occurs 27 times in the LXX. It is a diminutive of κόρη, which means "girl", "virgin", "young wife" or "daughter" in classical literature. Κοράσιον which first appears in Hellenistic Greek has some of the same meanings. It is used with an age reference, and this seems to be its basic meaning, although it is used of different age groups and refers both to young girls and to young adult women. It is used also as a relationship word, though not with the meaning "daughter" but "servant-girl". There are no examples of the meaning "virgin".

Considering the age references first, we find that there are only two examples where $\kappa o \rho \acute{a} \sigma \iota o \nu$ refers to a young female child. In Za. 8.5 we read of the Jerusalem of the future: ai $\pi \lambda a \tau \epsilon \tilde{\iota} a \iota \tau \tilde{\eta}_{\zeta} \pi \acute{o} \lambda \epsilon \omega_{\zeta} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \acute{\eta} \sigma o \nu \tau a \iota \pi a \iota \delta a \rho \acute{\iota} \omega \nu \kappa a \iota \kappa o \rho a \sigma \acute{\iota} \omega \nu \tau a \iota \zeta \acute{o} \nu \tau a \iota \zeta \sigma \lambda a \tau \epsilon \acute{\iota} a \iota \zeta \sigma a \iota \tau \tilde{\eta}_{\zeta}$. Similarly in J1. 4.3 $\kappa o \rho \acute{a} \sigma \iota o \nu$ is used in conjunction with $\pi a \iota \delta \acute{a} \rho \iota o \nu$ where the two words mean "girl" and "boy".

Two uncertain examples occur in 1 Ki. 9.11,12. Verse 11 says that when Saul and his servant were looking for Samuel, αὐτοὶ εὐρίσκουσιν τὰ κοράσια ἐξεληλυθότα ὑδρεύσασθαι ὕδωρ. We cannot tell whether these κοράσια were girls or young women.

Those who clearly were young women include Esther and

Sarah. In Es. 2.7 Esther is described as τὸ κοράσιον καλὸν τῷ εἴδει. Esther may not have been very old but she certainly was not a child, as she was soon to become the queen. In the same story the other young women from among whom Esther was chosen to be queen are also described as κοράσια. In the story of Tobias, Sarah is described as α κοράσιον, as in To. 6.12 (BA), where Raphael informs Tobias about Sarah, τὸ κοράσιον καλὸν καὶ φρόνιμόν ἐστιν. Sarah was not a child and had already previously been given in marriage to seven men.

The examples from 1 Ki. 9.11,12 (mentioned above) could also be included under the meaning "servant". We do not know whether they were girls or young women doing a family chore, or servant girls.

^{1.} Also Es. 2.9.

^{2.} Es. 2.2,3,8,12.

^{3.} $Kop\acute{\alpha}\sigma\iota o\nu$ also occurs in S, though the rest of the wording of this quotation differs in S. Other references to Sarah are in To. 6.13(bis)(S), 14 (BA).

^{4.} Also Ru. 2.22,23, 3.2.

^{5. 3} Ki. 12.24^{1} .

^{6.} Es. 2.9.

^{7.} Su. 15,17,19,21 (all in TH).

Κοράσιον probably means "servant" also in 1 Ki. 20.30: ἐθυμώθη ὀργῆ Σαουλ ἐπὶ Ιωναθαν σφόδρα καὶ εἶπεν αὐτῷ Υἰὲ κορασίων αὐτομολούντων. Saul's anger towards Jonathan was expressed in the words υἰὲ κορασίων αὐτομολούντων, which was clearly intended to be an expression of abuse and insult (though to call him the son of more than one κοράσιον seems to be going too far!). "Servant" is the only suitable meaning of κοράσιον which would convey the insult and contempt that Saul intended.

The same may also apply to Ju. 16.12. Referring to the fact that victory over the invaders was achieved not by powerful soldiers or weapons, Judith says, viol $\kappa o \rho \alpha \sigma i \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau o \dot{\nu}_{\zeta}$. This again may be a contemptuous reference to the sons of slave-girls, but it is equally possible that $\kappa o \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota o \nu$ means "young woman". The sons of young women would themselves be very young, the point being that the enemy had been defeated by people of no great strength or courage.

The 27 examples of κοράσιον are confined to a relatively few books, only seven (if we regard the Minor Prophets as a single unit). There is no obvious reason why it is used in these books and not others, since the seven books represent different levels of language. Examples are found in "literary" books like Esther (7), and in Tobit which represents the vernacular (4), while another eight occur in books classed by Thackeray as "indifferent Greek".

One significant fact is that no example is found in the "good $\kappa \circ \iota \nu \acute{\eta}$ Greek" of the LXX.

In the Ptolemaic papyri $\kappa o \rho \acute{\alpha} \sigma i o \nu$ normally means "servant-girl". A relationship meaning is clearly required in <u>B.G.U.</u> 1291.5 (ii/i B.C.), in the phrase $\tau \alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\zeta} \kappa o \rho \alpha \sigma \acute{\iota} o \iota_{\zeta}$ $\alpha \dot{\upsilon} \tau o \tilde{\upsilon}$. In <u>SB</u> 6784.11 (iii B.C.)⁸ $\kappa o \rho \acute{\alpha} \sigma i o \nu$ refers to Satyra who was a harper in the household of Apollonios. As she writes to Zenon, she describes herself as $\tau o \tilde{\upsilon} \kappa o \rho \alpha [\sigma \acute{\iota} o] \upsilon$. Though this does not express relationship, it is nevertheless more likely to mean "servant", as a description of her status, than "young woman", as a description of her age.

A later reference where κοράσιον means "slave" is in B.G.U. 887.3 (ii A.D.): ἐπρίατο ἐν ἀγορᾶ ... κοράσιον Σαμβατίδα. In lines 9-10 of this papyrus a sum of 350 denarii is mentioned as the price of the κοράσιον. If the meaning of κοράσιον in this reference is applicable to the earlier period, a κοράσιον was as much the property of her master as any other type of slave or servant. This is confirmed by the phrase κοράσιον δουλικόν which is equivalent to δούλη and which occurs in, e.g., P.Strass. 79.2,11 (ii B.C.).

In this phrase κοράσιον δουλικόν, κοράσιον clearly refers to one who was a slave. But the idea of slavery is indicated by the adjective, and κοράσιον itself simply means "girl" or "young woman". This is also the meaning of

^{8.} SB 6784 = P.Cair.Zen. 59028 (though the lines are numbered differently).

 $\kappa o \rho \acute{a} \sigma \iota o \nu$ in the New Testament, where it refers to the twelve year old daughter of Jairus, the girl Jesus raised from death and to Herodias' daughter who danced at Herod's party. 10

^{9.} As in Mark 5.41.

^{10.}As in Mark 6.22.

Child (older)	Young Woman	Servant	Total
		4	4
	2	2	4
		1	1
	6	1	7
	1		1
	4		4
2			2
		4	4
2	13	12	27
	7	6	13
2	,	U	2
	(older)	(older) Woman 2 6 1 4 2 2 13	(older) Woman 4 2 2 1 6 1 1 4 2 2 7 6

14: ΛΕΙΤΟΥΡΓΟΣ

This word occurs fourteen times in the LXX, referring both to servants of men and servants of God. In spite of the small number of references, $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma \delta_{\zeta}$ nevertheless covers a wide range of different types of servants.

In three examples $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \sigma \upsilon \rho \gamma \delta_{\zeta}$ refers to the servants of a king. In 3 Ma. 5.5 the phrase of $\tau \epsilon \pi \rho \delta_{\zeta} \tau \sigma \upsilon \tau \sigma \iota_{\zeta}$ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \sigma \upsilon \rho \gamma \sigma \iota'$ describes the servants of Ptolemy Philopator who were in charge of the Jewish prisoners. They may have been soldiers but not necessarily. In 3 Ki. 10.5 we read of $\tau \eta \upsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \delta \rho \alpha \upsilon \pi \alpha \iota' \delta \omega \upsilon \alpha \upsilon \tau \sigma \upsilon \kappa \alpha \iota' \tau \eta \upsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \upsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \sigma \upsilon \rho \gamma \omega \upsilon \alpha \upsilon \tau \sigma \upsilon'$. The words $\pi \alpha \iota'_{\zeta}$ and $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \sigma \upsilon \rho \gamma \delta \varsigma$ here refer to different types of servants in Solomon's palace, though we cannot be certain as to their respective functions. The words $\kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \delta \rho \alpha$ and $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota_{\zeta}$ may suggest that the $\pi \alpha \iota' \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ were ministers of state or advisers, and the $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \sigma \upsilon \rho \gamma \sigma \iota'$ attendants of some sort.

There are other references to those who were servants with official or semi-official status. In Jo. 1.1 (A) 2 Joshua is described as Moses' $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma \circ_{\varsigma}$. Though there is no description of his service here, other passages show that Joshua was not just a personal attendant. In Ex. 17.9 ff.

^{1.} Also 2 Ch. 9.4.

^{2.} The reading in B is ὑπουργός.

Joshua led the Israelites in battle, under the direction of Moses. He was also associated with Moses in official religious activities. He accompanied Moses when Moses went up to Sinai to receive the tables of the law (Ex. 24.13, cf. 32.15-17), and he was also with Moses in the tabernacle when God spoke to Moses (Ex. 33.11).

The λειτουργοί of a judge should also be understood as having official duties rather than attending to the judge's personal needs. They are mentioned in Si. 10.2: κατὰ τὸν κριτὴν τοῦ λαοῦ οὕτως καὶ οἱ λειτουργοὶ αὐτοῦ.

On the other hand $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma \delta \zeta$ is used of those who were servants in the sense of personal attendants. In 2 Ki. 13.18 it describes the servant of Amnon, David's son, and is equivalent to $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \delta \rho \iota \circ \nu$ in the same passage. In verses 17-18 we read:

έκάλεσεν τὸ παιδάριον αὐτοῦ τὸν προεστηκότα τοῦ οἴκου αὐτοῦ καὶ εἰπεν αὐτῷ Ἐξαποστείλατε δὴ ταύτην ἀπ΄ ἐμοῦ ἔξω...καὶ ἐξήγαγεν αὐτὴν ὁ λειτουργὸς αὐτοῦ ἔξω καὶ ἀπέκλεισεν τὴν θύραν ὁπίσω αὐτῆς.

Likewise there are references to the servant of Elisha the prophet, 3 and in one of these (4 Ki. 6.15) $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \nu \rho \gamma \circ \zeta$ and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\alpha} \rho \iota \circ \nu$ are again equivalent.

There are several references to the servants of God, and we continue to note the variety in the types of service covered. In Is. 61.6 the word refers to all the inhabitants of Zion, as the prophet speaks of future deliverance and

^{3. 4} Ki. 4.43, 6.15.

glory: $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ δὲ $\dot{\iota}\epsilon\rho\epsilon\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ κληθήσεσθε, λειτουργοὶ θεοῦ. Λειτουργός here has the connotation of cultic service (used as it is in conjunction with $\dot{\iota}\epsilon\rho\epsilon\dot{\nu}_{\zeta}$). The prophet is using cultic terminology to describe the future close relationship the people of Zion will have with God.

The word is also used in a cultic context in 2 Es. 7.24, $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \nu \rho \gamma \circ \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ o $\tilde{\iota}_{\kappa} \circ \upsilon \theta \epsilon \circ \tilde{\upsilon}$ $\tau \circ \tilde{\upsilon}_{\tau} \circ \upsilon$, 2 Es. 20.40, o $\tilde{\iota}_{\kappa} \circ \tilde{\iota}_{\kappa} \circ \tilde{\iota}_$

έν όλη δυνάμει άγάπησον τὸν ποιήσαντά σε καὶ τοὺς λειτουργοὺς αὐτοῦ μὴ έγκαταλίπης.

In Jo 1.1 (discussed above) $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma \circ \zeta$ describes Joshua as Moses' servant, and this too may refer to cultic service as much as other forms of service.

Two other references to God's $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o \upsilon \rho \gamma o \iota'$ are in the Psalms, where the word describes supernatural beings. Thus we read in Ps. 102.21:

εύλογεῖτε τὸν κύριον, πᾶσαι αἱ δυνάμεις αὐτοῦ, λειτουργοὶ αὐτοῦ ποιοῦντες τὸ θέλημα αὐτοῦ.

And Ps. 103.4 describes God as

ό ποιῶν τοὺς ἀγγέλους αὐτοῦ πνεύματα καὶ τοὺς λειτουργοὺς αὐτοῦ πῦρ φλέγον.

There is nothing significant in the distribution of $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \nu \rho \gamma \circ \zeta$. No book contains more than two examples, and there is no concentration of examples within any particular group of books.

In the Ptolemaic papyri there are several examples where $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma \circ \zeta$ means "servant" in the sense of a paid hired hand, a workman or labourer, rather than a slave.

P.Petr. iii. 46.4.8 (iii B.C.) mentions the amount paid on the completion of a small building: $o\iota\kappa o\delta o\mu o\iota\zeta \kappa a\iota$ $\lambda \epsilon\iota \tau ov\rho\gamma o\iota\zeta \kappa/\xi\epsilon$. Other references where $\lambda \epsilon\iota \tau ov\rho\gamma o\zeta$ is used in conjunction with $o\iota\kappa o\delta o\mu o\zeta$, "builder", are P.Petr. ii. 14.3.4 (iii B.C.), iii.46.3.5 (iii B.C.).

It is used in a different way as a sort of military title, as in P.Hib. 96.31 (iii B.C.), 4 ... $\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\gamma\circ\nu\eta_{\zeta}$ $\lambda\epsilon\iota\tau\circ\nu\rho\gamma\dot{o}_{\zeta}$ (the phrase being preceded by a person's name). In a note on this papyrus Grenfell and Hunt, after referring to the meaning "workman" in the papyri, say that the word here "is a novel title of a military settler. Probably $\lambda\epsilon\iota\tau\circ\nu\rho\gamma\dot{o}_{\zeta}$ has no definitely military significance, but this settler had some special duties assigned to him." Other examples in this papyrus are in lines 14, 15 and 33, and SB 599.71 (Ptolemaic), 7409.4 (i B.C.) are other references where $\lambda\epsilon\iota\tau\circ\nu\rho\gamma\dot{o}_{\zeta}$ is used in a similar way.

There is little in common between the use of $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma \delta \zeta$ in the papyri and the way it is used in the LXX. The nearest parallel to LXX usage is in Dionysius (of Halicarnassus) 2.22, where $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma \delta \zeta$ refers to servants of the gods. In the New Testament there are several references in which $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma \delta \zeta$ has religious connotations.

The word does not seem to have been used by classical authors, though $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma i \alpha$ is used, particularly of public service of different kinds.

^{4.} P.Hib. 96 = C.P.J. 18.

^{5.} B.P. Grenfell and A.S. Hunt, The Hibeh Papyri (part I) (London, 1906) p.269.

^{6.} SB 7409 = B.G.U. 1745.

Λειτουργός	Servant of man	Servant of God	Total
Joshua	1		1
2 Kings	1		1
3 Kings	1		1
4 Kings	2		2
2 Chronicles		2	2
2 Esdras	1		1
3 Maccabees	1		_. . 1
Psalms		2	2
Ecclesiasticus	1	1	2
Isaiah		1	1
TOTAL	8	6	14
			•
Hebrew:			
sharath	6	4	10
pelach		1	1

15: MEIPAKION, MEIPAΚΙΣΚΟΣ, MEIPAΞ

These three words occur only eight times in the LXX, once in 2 Maccabees and seven times in 4 Maccabees. They describe one or more of the seven martyrs, whose sufferings and martyrdom are related in these two books.

Μειράκιον is used four times. Twice it refers to the seven, 1 once to the first six only, 2 and once to the last of the seven, in 2 Ma. 7.25. In this last reference $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \alpha \zeta$ and $\mu \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\alpha} \kappa \iota o \nu$ are used interchangeably: $\tau o \ddot{v}$ δὲ $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i o v$ $\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \ddot{w} \zeta$ προσέχοντος προσκαλεσάμενος ὁ βασιλεὺς τὴν $\mu \eta \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \alpha$ παρήνει γενέσθαι το \ddot{v} $\mu \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \kappa i o v$ σύμβουλον ἐπὶ σωτηρία.

Μειρακίσκος is used twice. Once it refers to the seven, 3 and in 4 Ma. 11.13 to the sixth of the seven: τελευτήσαντος δὲ καὶ τούτου ὁ ἕκτος ἤγετο μειρακίσκος.

Μεῖραξ is also used twice, and on both occasions refers to the seven, as in 4 Ma. 14.8: οὕτως περὶ τὴν ἐβδομάδα χορεύοντες οἱ μείρακες ἐκύκλουν τὸν τῶν βασάνων φόβον καταλύοντες. 4 In this same chapter νεανίας and νεανίσκος also describe the seven. 5

In form $\mu \in i \rho \acute{\alpha} k i \circ \nu$ and $\mu \in i \rho \alpha k \acute{i} \sigma k \circ \zeta$ are diminutives of $\mu \in \widetilde{i} \rho \alpha \xi$, but there is no difference in meaning. It is impossible to differentiate the three words on the basis of age, since all three refer to the whole seven. Each word

^{1. 4} Ma. 8.14, 14.4.

^{2. 4} Ma. 11.24.

^{3. 4} Ma. 8.1.

^{4.} Also 4 Ma. 14.6.

^{5.} Neaviaç in verses 9 and 20 (A), and $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o \zeta$ in verses 4, 12 and 20.

means "youth" or "young man", within the age range from early teens to mid-twenties. These limits are suggested on the following basis, that though the youngest martyr is represented as being quite young he is nevertheless capable of dying for the cause on his own account, and the difference between the first and the seventh would be perhaps ten to fifteen years. All three words refer to age only, and not relationship.

The fact that these words occur only in 2 and 4 Maccabees suggests that they are "literary" in character and not in common use. This is confirmed by the absence of examples in the papyri and the New Testament.

However they are more common in classical literature. They do not refer to a precise age, but may be defined in general terms as describing those who were older than children but not yet fully recognised as adult. Μεῖραξ in classical writers is a feminine noun. The author who uses it most is Aristophanes, as in Ecclesiazusae 611, ήν μειρακ' ίδων έπιθυμήση καὶ βούληται σκαλαθυραι. Μειράκιον refers to a young man implicated in a murder case in Antipho 3.3.11, and in Plato, Republic 497e, students of philosophy are described as μειράκια ουτα Μειρακίσκος. "youth", is contrasted with άρτι έκ παίδων. παῖς, "boy", in Plato, Phaedrus 237b, ην οὕτω δη παῖς. μᾶλλον δὲ μειρακίσκος, μάλα καλός. In Satyrus, Vita Euripidis (iii/ii B.C.) $\mu \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \kappa i \sigma \kappa \circ \zeta$ refers to the young man who seduced Euripides' wife.

^{6.} Also Thesmophoriazusae 410, Plutus 1071, 1079.

^{7.} Fr. 39.xii.26, published in P.Oxy. 1176.

16: NEANIAΣ

 $N \epsilon \alpha \nu i \alpha \zeta$ occurs 32 times in the LXX. It is basically an age word and in the majority of cases means "young man", though there are some examples of the meaning "(older) child". In several examples it has a relationship reference and means "servant". We will also consider whether other specialised meanings are possible in certain cases.

There are only two examples in which $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \alpha \zeta$ refers to children rather than young adults. 1 Es. 8.88 refers to a gathering of the population of Jerusalem: $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\sigma\upsilon\nu\dot{\eta}\chi\theta\eta\sigma\alpha\nu$ $\pi\rho\delta\zeta$ $\alpha\dot{\upsilon}\tau\dot{\delta}\nu$ $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\delta}$ I $\epsilon\rho\upsilon\upsilon\sigma\alpha\lambda\eta\mu$ $\ddot{\upsilon}\chi\lambda\sigma\zeta$ $\pi\sigma\lambda\dot{\upsilon}\zeta$ $\sigma\phi\dot{\delta}\rho\alpha$, $\ddot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\epsilon\zeta$ $\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota}$ $\gamma\upsilon\nu\alpha\bar{\iota}\kappa\epsilon\zeta$ $\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota}$ $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu\dot{\iota}\alpha\iota$. Here $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu\dot{\iota}\alpha\iota$ describes the younger people in the gathering, all those who were not "men and women". It is not possible to be too precise, since we do not know whether there were babies present or not. The translation "children" preserves the imprecise nature of the reference.

In 1 Ki. 20.37 $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nui\alpha\zeta$ refers to the boy who was with Jonathan. When Jonathan shot an arrow, $\dot{\alpha}\nu\epsilon\beta\dot{\delta}\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu$ $I\omega\nu\alpha\theta\alpha\nu$ $\dot{\delta}\pii\sigma\omega$ $\tauo\bar{\upsilon}$ $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nui\upsilon$ $\kappa\alpha\hat{\iota}$ $\epsilon I\pi\epsilon\nu$ ' $E\kappa\epsilon\bar{\iota}$ $\dot{\eta}$ $\sigma\chii\zeta\alpha$ $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\delta}$ $\sigmao\bar{\upsilon}$ $\kappa\alpha\hat{\iota}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon\iota\nu\alpha$. This boy is described in verse 35 as a $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\dot{\alpha}\rho\iota\upsilon\nu$ $\mu\iota\kappa\rho\dot{\upsilon}\nu$, so that though he was not a baby he was clearly a young boy.

A borderline case is Da. 1.10 (G) which refers to the $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu i\alpha\iota$ who were with Daniel in Nebuchadnezzar's training school. They may have been older children (in early

teenage years) but perhaps it is more likely that they were young adults, especially as Daniel and his three Jewish companions were soon to be elevated to important administrative positions in Babylon (Da. 2.49). The Hebrew equivalent of $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \alpha \zeta$ is yeled, which more commonly refers to younger children but can also mean "youth".

Most of the examples where νεανίας is used as an age word mean "young man". In 1 Ki. 20.31 Saul gives instructions to Jonathan concerning David: νῦν οὖν ἀποστείλας λαβὲ τὸν νεανίαν, ὅτι νἰὸς θανάτου οὖτος. David was not a child but was married to Saul's daughter by this time (1 Ki. 18.27). In Jd. 17.7 (B) the νεανίας was old enough to leave home and go to live elsewhere: ἐγενήθη νεανίας ἐκ Βηθλεεμ δήμου Ιουδα...καὶ οὖτος παρφκει ἐκεῖ (ἐκεῖ referring to the territory of Ephraim, verse 8). There are several examples in 2 and 4 Maccabees where νεανίας describes one or more of the seven martyrs. 1

Other references are of a more general nature but would best apply to young men rather than children. In Ru. 3.10 Boaz commends Ruth: τὸ μὴ πορευθῆναί σε ὁπίσω νεανιῶν. Pr. 7.7 refers to one who is easily seduced (as the following verses show): νεανίαν ἐνδεῆ θρενῶν. Pr. 20.29 says κόσμος νεανίαις σοφία, and Za. 2.8 refers to the νεανίας measuring the boundaries of Jerusalem. All these are best understood as referring to adult young men.

All the examples of $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \alpha \zeta$ meaning "servant" occur in the B text of Jd. 19, in verses 3,9,11 and 13. In each

^{1. 2} Ma. 7.25,30, 4 Ma. 8.5,27, 9.13,21,25, 14.9,20 (A).

case A reads $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\circ\nu$. In this story the master was a Levite whose concubine left him and returned to her father's house. In verse 3 we read that when the Levite went to fetch her, $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu'\alpha\zeta$ $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\circ\ddot{\nu}$ $\mu\epsilon\tau'$ $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\circ\ddot{\nu}$. When he found her, verse 9 says that $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\eta$ $\dot{\sigma}$ $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\eta}\rho$ $\tau\circ\ddot{\nu}$ $\pi\rho\rho\epsilon\nu\theta\ddot{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$, $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\nu}\zeta$ $\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota}$ $\dot{\eta}$ $\pi\alpha\lambda\lambda\alpha\kappa\dot{\eta}$ $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\circ\ddot{\nu}$ $\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota}$ $\dot{\sigma}$ $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu'\alpha\zeta$ $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\circ\ddot{\nu}$. In verse 11 the pronoun is not included: $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\pi\epsilon\nu$ $\dot{\sigma}$ $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu'\alpha\zeta$ $\pi\rho\dot{\nu}\zeta$ $\tau\dot{\nu}\nu$ $\kappa\dot{\nu}\rho\iota\circ\nu$ $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\circ\ddot{\nu}$. But again the meaning "servant" is required, both by the proximity of this example to the others where the meaning is "servant" and the juxtaposition of $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu'\alpha\zeta$ and $\kappa\dot{\nu}\rho\iota\circ\zeta$.

It is not clear why $\nu \epsilon a \nu i \alpha_{\zeta}$ should be used in these references. The B text of Judges uses other words for "servant", such as $\delta o \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda o_{\zeta}$, $^2 \pi \alpha \iota \delta i o \nu^3$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$, 4 all of which translate the Hebrew 'ebed. More directly relevant is the fact that $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\alpha} \rho \iota o \nu$ (used in the corresponding verses in the A version of Jd. 19) is used elsewhere in B with the meaning "servant". Both $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \alpha_{\zeta}$ and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\alpha} \rho \iota o \nu$ translate the Hebrew $\underline{na^*ar}$.

There are other examples where $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu'(\alpha_\zeta)$ refers to a servant, though the meaning "servant" is unlikely apart from the Jd. 19 references. In other places the meaning is simply "boy" or "young man". Jd. 16.26 (B) refers to the young man who was with Samson at the feast: $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \nu$

^{2. 6.27, 9.28.}

^{3. 19.19.}

^{4. 3.24.}

^{5.} Except that δοῦλος in 9.28 translates the verb 'abad.

^{6.} 7.10,11, 9.54(bis).

Σαμψων πρὸς τὸν νεανίαν τὸν κρατοῦντα τὴν χεῖρα αὐτοῦ. There is little doubt that this νεανίας was a servant or slave but there is no reason to give the word this meaning. The νεανίας with Jonathan in 1 Ki. 20.37 (quoted above) was Jonathan's servant, but again the word νεανίας only describes him as someone young.

Thus the meaning "servant" occurs only in the Jd. 19 examples, and its use in this passage leaves some unanswered questions, as we have seen.

It remains to consider whether $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu i\alpha_{\zeta}$ has any other specialised meanings in the LXX. One possibility is the meaning "angel". In 2 Ma. 3 we read of two visions which Heliodorus saw. In each one he saw two $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu i\alpha_{i}$, as in verse 26, $\epsilon\tau\epsilon\rho$ 01 δε δύο προσεφάνησαν αὐτῷ $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu i\alpha_{i}$ 1 τῆ ρώμη μὲν $\epsilon\kappa\pi\rho\epsilon\pi\epsilon\tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$, κάλλιστοι δὲ τὴν δόξαν, διαπρεπε $\tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ 5 δὲ τὴν $\pi\epsilon\rho$ 1 βολήν. It is probably correct to regard these figures as angels, but the meaning of $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu i\alpha_{\zeta}$ is "young man". There is no reason why it must mean "angel", even if these young men were angels.

Another possible meaning is "soldier". There are five examples where νεανίας refers to soldiers. One is in 1 Ch. 19.10 (where the subject is Joab): ἐξελέξατο ἐκ παντὸς νεανίου ἐξ Ισραηλ, καὶ παρετάξαντο ἐναντίον τοῦ Σύρου.

^{7.} Also verse 33.

^{8.} A similar example is the use of $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa \circ \zeta$ in Mark 16.5, which is often understood as referring to an angel though $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa \circ \zeta$ does not mean "angel".

Here the $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu'(\alpha\iota)$ are distinguished from $\tau\delta$ $\kappa\alpha\tau'(\alpha)\iota)\pi\nu$ τ 0 $\tilde{\nu}$ $\lambda\alpha0\tilde{\nu}$ (in verse 11) who were also involved in the battle. 2 Ma. 12.27 refers to Judas' attack on Ephron, and some of the defenders of the town are described as $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu'(\alpha\iota)$ $\dot{\rho}\omega\mu\alpha\lambda'(\epsilon\iota)$ $\pi\rho\delta$ $\tau\ddot{\omega}\nu$ $\tau\epsilon\iota\chi'(\epsilon\omega\nu)$ $\kappa\alpha\theta\epsilon\sigma\tau\ddot{\omega}\tau\epsilon\zeta$. In 3 Ki. 12.21 Rehoboam's army is described as $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\alpha\tau'\delta\nu$ $\kappa\alpha\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}''(\kappa\sigma)\iota$ $\chi\iota\lambda\iota'(\delta\epsilon\zeta)$ $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu\iota''(\bar{\omega}\nu)$ $\pi\sigma\iota\sigma'(\nu\tau\omega\nu)$ $\pi'(\delta\epsilon\mu\sigma)\nu$. It is clear that these $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu'(\alpha\iota)$ were the best men to have as soldiers, but again there is not enough evidence to show that "soldier" is a possible meaning of $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu'(\alpha\zeta)$. Perhaps the need for further definition in 3 Ki. 12.21 ($\pi\sigma\iota\sigma'(\nu\tau\omega\nu)$ $\pi'(\delta\epsilon\mu\sigma\nu)$) suggests that $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu'(\alpha\zeta)$ in itself does not mean "soldier".

The 32 examples of $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu i\alpha\zeta$ in the LXX include 13 references in 2 and 4 Maccabees. Another seven occur in Judges, but no other book contains a significant number of examples. The word is not used in the Pentateuch, or any of the other books which Thackeray classes as "good $\kappa o \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ Greek".

There is only one possible example of $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \alpha_{\zeta}$ in the Ptolemaic papyri. This is in <u>P.Petr.</u> ii. 4.8.1 (iii B.C.), which reads $\Phi \iota \lambda \circ \xi [\epsilon \nu] \circ_{\zeta} \kappa \alpha \iota \circ [\iota \lambda] \circ \iota \pi \circ \iota \nu \epsilon \alpha [\nu \iota \alpha \iota ?]$. Even in this case, therefore, there is some doubt due to the state of the text. If $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu \iota \alpha \iota$ is the correct reading, there is no evidence that it would mean anything other

^{9.} Also 2 Ki. 10.9 (O) in the parallel account to 1 Ch. 19.10, and 2 Ma. 10.35.

than "young men". The same meaning is applicable in one other piece of contemporary evidence, from S.I.G. 425.25 (iii B.C.): ϵi_{ζ} τo $\tilde{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \iota o \nu \tau o \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i o \iota_{\zeta}$ (sic) $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \tilde{\eta} \rho \alpha \zeta$ $\delta \tilde{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha$, as well as in the three New Testament examples. 10

Two other examples occur in later papyri: P.Oxy. 471.114 (ii A.D.), in a restored text, and SB 8542.8 (Roman).

In earlier literature $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nui\alpha\zeta$ occurs from Homer onwards, and LSJ cite examples of the meaning "young man" from Homer, Pindar, Herodotus, Sophocles, Euripides and Xenophon. The classical sense of a youth in character (in a good or bad sense) and the use of $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nui\alpha\zeta$ as a masculine adjective do not appear to have occurred in later literature.

^{10.} Acts 7.58, 20.9, 23.17.

Νεανίας	Child (older)	Young man	Servant	Total
Judges		3	4	7
Ruth		1		1
l Kings	1	1		2
2 Kings		2		2
3 Kings		1		1
l Chronicles		1		1
l Esdras	1			1
2 Maccabees		6		6
4 Maccabees		7		7
Proverbs		2		2
Minor Prophets		1		1
Daniel		1		1
TOTAL	2	26	4	32
Hebrew:				
na•ar	1	5	4	10
bachur		6		6
yeled		1		1

17: NEANIX

This word is found thirty times in the LXX, where it refers to a wide variety of females who differ in several respects. The common factor is that they are all young, and the basic meaning is therefore "young female". There is one reference where the meaning daughter is required.

With regard to the age reference of $\nu \epsilon \tilde{a} \nu \iota \zeta$ we find that there are four examples where the meaning is "child" (older than infant but not yet a young woman). In 4 Ki. 5.2 we read of the Israelite girl who was taken prisoner in war and waited on Naaman's wife:

ήχμαλώτευσαν ἐκ γῆς Ισραηλ νεάνιδα μικράν, καὶ ἡν ἐνώπιον τῆς γυναικὸς Ναιμαν.
The adjective μικρά indicates that she was only a child. In Ex. 2.8, Moses' sister Miriam may have been older than the girl in the previous example but she was still a child. The νεανίδων τυμπανιστριών in Ps. 67.26 were girls rather than young women.

Most examples of $\nu \in \tilde{\alpha} \nu \iota \varsigma$ (24 out of thirty) refer to adult young women. In De. 22, for example, there are several laws dealing with various sexual offences. $N \in \tilde{\alpha} \nu \iota \varsigma$ occurs eight times in this section and describes a young woman of marriageable age, as in verse 19, which refers to the fine on a man who falsely accused his bride of not being

^{1.} Also 4 Ki. 5.4.

a virgin: ζημιώσουσιν αὐτὸν ἐκατὸν σίκλους καὶ δώσουσιν τῷ πατρὶ τῆς νεάνιδος. 2 3 Ma. 4.6 refers to young women who had been recently married: αἱ δὲ ἄρτι πρὸς βίου κοινωνίαν γαμικὸν ὑπεληλυθυῖαι παστὸν νεάνιδες.

As previously mentioned, the meaning "daughter" is possible in two cases. In Ru. 2.5 Boaz asks his servant concerning Ruth, $\tau i \nu o_{\zeta}$ $\dot{\eta}$ $\nu \epsilon \ddot{\alpha} \nu \iota_{\zeta}$ $\alpha \ddot{\upsilon} \tau \eta$; The wording here with the genitive $\tau i \nu o_{\zeta}$ suggests that $\nu \epsilon \ddot{\alpha} \nu \iota_{\zeta}$ is used in a relationship sense, such as "daughter" (or perhaps even "servant"). However it is quite possible that $\nu \epsilon \ddot{\alpha} \nu \iota_{\zeta}$, though referring to a relationship, retains the usual meaning "young woman". In this case Boaz's question may be translated, "To whom does this young woman belong?" Ruth was a stranger to Boaz. He did not know whether she was a daughter of anyone or perhaps someone's servant. Hence he uses the word $\nu \epsilon \ddot{\alpha} \nu \iota_{\zeta}$ which means "young woman" and covers every possibility. 3

The other reference is 3 Ma. 5.49, $\gamma \circ \nu \in \tilde{l}_{\zeta}$ $\pi \alpha \iota \circ \hat{l} \nu \kappa \alpha \hat{l}$ $\mu \eta \tau \acute{\epsilon} \rho \in \zeta$ $\nu \in \acute{\alpha} \nu \iota \circ \iota \nu$. The first three substantives in this expression are relationship words, "parents", "children" and "mothers", and a relationship meaning is necessary for $\nu \in \tilde{\alpha} \nu \iota_{\zeta}$ also. This conclusion would be strengthened if $\gamma \circ \nu \in \tilde{l}_{\zeta}$ $\pi \alpha \iota \circ \acute{l} \nu$ means "fathers with sons" (as is quite possible)

^{2.} Also De. 22.20,21,24,26(bis), 27,29.

^{3.} The examples of $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{e}\nu_{0}$ which refer to a relationship are identical, in that the meaning "young woman" is still applicable.

rather than more generally "parents with children". In this case it would be absolutely certain that $\nu\epsilon\tilde{a}\nu\iota_{\zeta}$ means "daughter" to balance $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ "son". However, this example is unique, and the use of $\nu\epsilon\tilde{a}\nu\iota_{\zeta}$ in this sense is to be regarded as a peculiarity of 3 Maccabees, a book which C.W. Emmet describes as " a product of Alexandrian literature, exemplifying in its extremest form the pseudo-Classicalism of the Atticists". The description "pseudo-Classicalism" is particularly appropriate here, since $\nu\epsilon\tilde{a}\nu\iota_{\zeta}$ is not used with the meaning "daughter" in classical literature (or elsewhere).

Two other distinctions may be observed in the types of women/girls described as νεάνιδες. The first is between those who were virgins and those who were not. In the laws in De. 22, the eight examples of νεᾶνις refer to young women who were virgins, as the use of παρθένος in three places makes clear. In Jd. 21.12 the 400 girls from the town of Jabesh-gilead are described as τετρακοσίας νεάνιδας παρθένους. Likewise, Abishag, the girl found for king David in his old age, was a virgin: παρθένον νεάνιδα (3 Ki. 1.2). In these examples, the need to add παρθένος indicates that νεᾶνις itself does not mean "virgin" but only "young woman". The phrase ἀποπαρθενῶσαι νεάνιδα occurs in Si. 20.4, and here too νεᾶνις does not mean "virgin" but is seen to refer to a virgin by the use of the

^{4.} In R.H. Charles (ed.), Apocrypha (Oxford, 1913), p.161.

^{5.} De. 22.19,23,28.

^{6.} Also 1 Ki. 1.3,4.

verb ἀποπαρθενόω.

On the other hand there are several examples where the $\nu\epsilon\tilde{\alpha}\nu\iota\zeta$ is explicitly not a virgin. Six of these are Jd. 19⁷ and refer to one who was a concubine $(\pi\alpha\lambda\lambda\alpha\kappa\dot{\eta}$ in verse 1, etc.), and in 3 Ma. 4.6 (quoted above) $\nu\epsilon\tilde{\alpha}\nu\iota\zeta$ describes a recently married young woman.

The other distinction in the use of $\nu\epsilon\tilde{a}\nu\iota_{\zeta}$ is that it describes both free women and servants. Ruth was a free young woman, whereas the Israelite girl who waited on Naaman's wife was a servant. However $\nu\epsilon\tilde{a}\nu\iota_{\zeta}$ itself does not convey either of these ideas. In 4 Ki. 5.2 the phrase $\nu\epsilon\dot{a}\nu\iota\delta a$ $\mu\iota\kappa\rho\dot{a}\nu$ describes the Israelite girl simply as a young girl. The fact that she was also a servant is indicated by the verb $\alpha i \chi\mu\alpha\lambda\omega\tau\epsilon\dot{\omega}$ in the same verse.

In classical literature the word is poetic. LSJ cite no example from any classical prose author. However, in the LXX its use is not restricted to books which are literary or poetic in character, and on the contrary nine examples out of thirty are found in the Pentateuch.

I am not aware of any example of $\nu \in \tilde{a}\nu \iota_{\zeta}$ in any papyrus of any period, and it does not occur in the New Testament.

^{7.} Jd. 19.3,4,5,6,8,9.

Νεᾶνις	Child (older)	Young Woman	Daughter	Total
Exodus	1		•	1
Deuteronomy		8		8
Judges		8		8
Ruth		1		1
3 Kings		3		3
4 Kings	2			2
3 Maccabees		1	1	2
Psalms	1			1
Canticles		2		2
Ecclesiasticus		1		1
Daniel		1		1
TOTAL	4	25	1	30
Hebrew:				
<u>calmah</u>	2	2		4
nafarah	2	19		21

18: ΝΕΑΝΙΣΚΟΣ

There are 106 examples of $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu'(\sigma\kappa\circ_{\zeta})$ in the LXX. It is essentially an age word, referring in all but a few cases to young men of adult age (or approaching that age). Several references describe children of a younger age, while others describe young men who were soldiers and we will consider whether the meaning "soldier" is possible. There is no clear example where the meaning "servant" applies.

Referring to young people below adult age, νεανίσκος is used in 2 Es. 10.1 which describes the gathering of the inhabitants of Jerusalem: ἐκκλησία πολλὴ σφόδρα, ἄνδρες καὶ γυναῖκες καὶ νεανίσκοι. This account is parallel to 1 Es. 8.88 where νεανίαι is used instead of νεανίσκοι. Νεανίσκοι here refers to all in the assembly who were not adults, though it is not stated how young the youngest ones were. However it is not necessary to define a precise age group, for νεανίσκοι means "children" generally (that is, not adults).

There are three references in which $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o_{\zeta}$ is used in contrast with $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\nu} \tau \eta_{\zeta}$ or $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \rho o_{\zeta}$ where the two words denote the opposite extremes of age, from young children to those of advanced years. When Moses spoke to Pharaoh about the Israelites leaving Egypt, he said, in Ex. 10.9, $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \tau o i_{\zeta}$ $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o i_{\zeta}$ $\kappa \alpha i_{\zeta}$ $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho o i_{\zeta}$ $\pi o \rho \epsilon \nu \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$, $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \tau o i_{\zeta}$ $\nu i_{\zeta} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} c \nu i_{\zeta} c \nu$

καὶ θυγατράσιν καὶ προβάτοις καὶ βουσὶν ἡμῶν. 1 Other examples of contrast between νεανίσκος and a word for "old man" are discussed below.

An example referring to specific individuals is in Ge. 25.27 where $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o \zeta$ refers to Jacob and Esau. Following the story of their birth, the narrative continues $\eta \dot{\nu} \xi \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ où $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o \iota$. It is difficult to define $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o \zeta$ precisely here. In order to do so it would be necessary to decide whether $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o \iota$ refers to them at the start of the process of growth (i.e. these children, whose birth has just been related, grew up) or at the end (i.e. they grew and became young men). However this seems a rather artificial distinction which a writer of a narrative like this would be hardly likely to make. More probably $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o \zeta$ refers to them during the period of growth and means "child" in a general sense, like the example in 2 Es. 10.1 (discussed above).

In the text of OL, $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o \varsigma$ occurs in 1 Ki. 17.55,56 to describe David when as a youth he fought Goliath.

^{1.} Also Jo. 6.21, Is. 20.4.

^{2.} Also Jo. 2.1,23, 6.22,23.

Zerubbabel who led the Jewish exiles back to Jerusalem, and in To. 7.2 Raguel says of Tobias, $\dot{\omega}_{\zeta}$ \ddot{o} μοιος \dot{o} νεανίσκος Τωβιτ τῷ ἀνεψιῷ μου. ³ In Ge. 41.12 Pharaoh's butler refers to Joseph and his ability to interpret dreams: $\dot{\eta}$ ν δὲ ἐκεῖ μεθ' $\dot{\eta}$ μῶν νεανίσκος παῖς Εβραῖος τοῦ ἀρχιμαγείρου, καὶ διηγησάμεθα αὐτῷ, καὶ συνέκρινεν $\dot{\eta}$ μῖν. None of the people in these examples was a child.

Apart from the three examples mentioned above, where $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o_{\zeta}$ means "child" in contrast with $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\nu} \tau \eta_{\zeta}$ or $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \rho o_{\zeta}$, there are four other examples where $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o_{\zeta}$ is contrasted with one of these words and refers to an adult young man. Thus in Ge. 19.4 we read of the attempt of the men of Sodom to gain access to Lot's guests: oi $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon_{\zeta} \tau \ddot{\eta}_{\zeta} \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega_{\zeta}$ oi $\Sigma o \delta o \mu \ddot{\iota} \tau \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \kappa \dot{\nu} \kappa \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ oi $\kappa i \alpha \nu \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon_{\zeta} \tau \ddot{\eta}_{\zeta} \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega_{\zeta}$ and do not include children.

On other occasions $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o_{\zeta}$ is used as the male counterpart of $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon \nu o_{\zeta}$, as in 1 Es. 1.50, where it is said that the Babylonians οὐκ ἐφείσαντο νεανίσκου καὶ παρθένου καὶ πρεσβύτου καὶ νεωτέρου. Since $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon \nu o_{\zeta}$ does not mean "girl" but "young woman", we may assume that $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o_{\zeta}$ in these examples means "young man" and not "boy". This is confirmed by the fact that where there is a Hebrew

^{3.} This is the wording of the BA text, though $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu$ ($\sigma\kappa\circ_{\zeta}$ also occurs in S in this verse. Other references to Tobias are in To. 5.5,7, 8.1, all in the S text only.

^{4.} Also in 1 Ma. 14.9, Jl. 3.1, Ez. 9.6, Si. 32.7 (in this passage the πρεσβύτερος is mentioned in verse 3).

^{5.} Also De. 32.25, 1 Ma. 1.26, Ps. 148.12, Is. 23.4, 62.5, Je. 28.22, 38.13, La. 1.18, 2.21, Ez. 9.6.

equivalent, it is <u>bachur</u> (a choice young man in the prime of life), a word which is not used of children of younger age.

As in the case of $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu i\alpha_{\zeta}$, we must again consider the possibility of the meaning "soldier". There are many examples where νεανίσκος refers to soldiers, as in Jd. 20.15 (A). Verses 15-16 are speaking of the inhabitants of Gibeah: οὖτοι ἐπεσκέπησαν ἐπτακόσιοι ἄνδρες νεανίσκοι έκλεκτοὶ ἀμφοτεροδέξιοι • πάντες οὖτοι σφενδονῆται βάλλοντες $\lambda i\theta ov_{C}$. Another example is in 2 Ma. 13.15 which describes one of Judas' battles: μετὰ νεανίσκων ἀρίστων κεκριμένων έπιβαλών νύκτωρ έπὶ τὴν βασιλικὴν αὐλὴν τὴν παρεμβολὴν άνεῖλεν είς ἄνδρας δισχιλίους. 6 It is possible that νεανίσκος does not mean "soldier", but retains the meaning "young man" even when it refers to soldiers. However, since it describes young men in the prime of life, it seems to have been regarded as a particularly appropriate word to use of soldiers, as we see in the papyri (see below) as well as the LXX. Thus the possibility should be allowed that νεανίσκος has acquired the specialised meaning "soldier". It is more likely for νεανίσκος than νεανίας, since there are fewer examples of $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \alpha \zeta$ in the LXX and no support from elsewhere.

^{6.} Other examples are 2 Ki. 10.9, 2 Ch. 11.1, 1 Es. 3.4,15, 4 Ma. 3.12, and, less certainly 2 Ch. 36.17, Ju. 2.27, 16.4,6, 1 Ma. 14.9, Is. 31.8, Je. 31.15, Ez. 23.6,12,23.

refers to a boy or young man who was a servant. Ge 14.24 refers to the young men who were Abraham's servants and helped him rescue Lot. 1 Ki. 9.27 refers to the young man with Saul (a servant of Saul's father Kish) who helped Saul look for his father's asses. 1 Ki. 20.22 refers to the boy who was with Jonathan and fetched his arrows. All these people were servants, but in no case is there an explicit indication of relationship which would show that $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o c$ means "servant".

The 106 examples of $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu'$ i orog are very evenly distributed throughout the LXX, with Jeremiah containing the greatest number of references (11). Though there are some individual books which contain no examples, there is no section of the LXX (following Thackeray's grouping) in which $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu'$ i orog does not occur.

Most of the examples in the papyri mean "young man". There are not many precise indications of the ages of those described as $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa \sigma i$, though P.Mich.Zen. 85.4 (iii B.C.) refers to a young man in prison: $\delta i \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha i \tau \delta \nu \nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa \sigma \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta_{\varsigma}$ we may assume that this $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa \sigma_{\varsigma}$ was an adult, and as there are no certain references to children it would seem that the word normally refers to adults.

There are references to soldiers in several papyri.

In P.Cair.Zen. 59254.2 (iii B.C.) νεανίσκος refers to certain people who have received allotments: τοὺς κεκληρουχημένους ἐν τῶι ᾿Αρ[σινοίτηι] νομῶι νεανίσκους διέγνωκα πάντας ἀρ[ι]θμήσω. Τhe νεανίσκοι were military recruits

of some kind. P.Amh. 39.2 (ii B.C.) refers to soldiers who served with a certain Porteis: Πόρτεις $\dot{\eta}[\gamma]$ εμὼν τῶν ἐν προχειρισμῶι καὶ οἱ [ἐκ] τοῦ σημείου νεανίσκοι. The νεανίσκοι from the gymnasium in B.G.U. 1256.29 (ii B.C.) were probably military trainees: ἐπί τε τὸν γυμνασίαρχον καὶ [ἐ]πὶ τοὺς ἐκ τοῦ ἐν τῆι Φιλαδελφείαι γυμνασίου νεανίσκους.

As in the LXX, the papyri do not contain any certain example of the meaning "servant", though in P.Cair.Zen. 59018.6 (iii B.C.) $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o_{\zeta}$ refers to a servant: $\sigma \nu \nu \alpha \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon i \lambda \alpha$ [$\delta \epsilon \Sigma \tau$] $\rho \alpha \tau \omega \nu i$ [$\pi \alpha \rho$ ' $\dot{\eta}$] $\mu \omega \nu \nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o \nu$ $\kappa \alpha i \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \ddot{\epsilon} \gamma \rho [\alpha] \psi \alpha \pi \rho \dot{o}_{\zeta}$ 'I $\epsilon \delta \delta o \ddot{\nu} \nu$. This papyrus is republished as SB 6710 (as well as C.P.J. 6), and instead of [$\pi \alpha \rho$ ' $\dot{\eta}$] $\mu \omega \nu$, SB 6710 restores [$\tau \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon}$] $\mu \omega \nu$ which would give $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o_{\zeta}$ the meaning "servant" ("one of my servants").

In earlier literature, the meaning "young man" or "youth" is attested from Herodotus onwards, including references in Plato, Aristotle, Xenophon and Antipho. The meaning "servant" is not attested in classical literature and as we have seen is doubtful in the Ptolemaic period. The first clear example seems to be from Lucian, Alexander 53 (ii A.D) where the phrase δ $\epsilon\mu\delta_{\zeta}$ $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nui\sigma\kappa_{\zeta}$ occurs.

Νεανίσκος	Child (older)	Young Man	Total
Genesis	1	5	6
Exodus	1	1	2
Numbers		1	1
Deuteronomy		1	1
Joshua	1	5	6
Judges		5	5
l Kings	2	2	4
2 Kings		1	1
2 Chronicles		2	2
l Esdras		6	. 6
2 Esdras	1		1
Judith		7	7
Tobit		4	4
l Maccabees		3	3
2 Maccabees		2	2
4 Maccabees		6	6
Psalms		2	2
Proverbs	1		1
Ecclesiastes		2	2
Job		1	1
Ecclesiasticus		1	1
Minor Prophets		6	6
Isaiah	1	7	8
Jeremiah		11	11
Lamentations		3	3
Ezekiel		5	5
Susanna		4	4
Daniel		5	5
TOTAL	8	98	106
Hebrew:			
na'ar	6	17	23
bachur		36	36
yeled	1	6	7
<u>'elem</u>	1	1	2
'enosh		3	3

19: NEOΣ

This adjective is often used as a substantive in the LXX. Sometimes it is not easy to determine whether $\nu\acute{e}o_{\zeta}$ is being used as an adjective or a substantive. However I have attempted to do so, and have listed the relevant examples in the table immediately following this chapter. The list includes eight examples where $\nu\acute{e}o_{\zeta}$ translates a Hebrew noun, although considered apart from the Hebrew they would probably be regarded as adjectival uses. Also included are several examples of the comparative form $\nu\acute{e}\acute{\omega}\tau\acute{e}\rhoo_{\zeta}$ either used as a substantive or translating a Hebrew noun. There is a total of 36 relevant examples.

The word is used with an age reference, with the exception of one instance in Jb. 24.5. Here in the sentence $\dot{\eta}\delta\dot{\nu}\theta\eta$ $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}\tau\ddot{\phi}$ $\ddot{\alpha}\rho\tau_{0}$ $\dot{\epsilon}i_{\zeta}$ $\nu\epsilon\omega\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho_{0}\nu_{\zeta}$, the word refers to a man's children, although the relationship is not made explicit.

In the other examples, a variety of age groups is covered. Nέος does not refer to babies, but there are several examples referring to young children. In 1 Ma. 11.57

Antiochus is described as ο νεώτερος. He was about four or five years old at this time. In De. 28.50 νέος refers to someone young and defenceless: ἔθνος ἀναιδὲς προσώπφ, ὅστις οὐ θαυμάσει πρόσωπον πρεσβύτου καὶ νέον οὐκ ἐλεήσει. Pr. 22.15 speaks of a young person who is old enough (or, from

^{1.} See J.R. Bartlett, The First and Second Books of the Maccabees (Cambridge, 1973), pp.158-9 (notes on 1 Ma. 11.39 and 54).

another point of view, young enough) to be beaten and disciplined:

άνοια ἐξῆπται καρδίας νέου, ράβδος δὲ καὶ παιδεία μακράν ἀπ' αὐτοῦ.

At a later age, Joseph was seventeen years old when described as a $\nu \acute{e}o_{\zeta}$ (Ge. 37.2), and Jeremiah was perhaps about the same age (Je. 1.6,7). Gideon's son Jether was old enough to carry a sword but not accustomed to using it (Jd. 8.20).

Néoς also refers to young men who were adults. Joshua was a θεράπων with Moses (Ex. 33.11): ὁ δὲ θεράπων Ἰησοῦς υἰὸς Ναυη νέος. Zadok was a man of strength and a leader (1 Ch. 12.29): Σαδωκ νέος δυνατὸς ἰσχύι. The word also describes Solomon at the beginning of his reign (1 Ch. 29.1), Rehoboam's contemporaries who were his advisers (2 Ch. 10.14), Rehoboam himself (2 Ch. 13.7), and Daniel in the story of Susanna (Su. 52,55,60 - all in G only).

Relevant examples of véos:

Ge. 37.2 †	2 Ma. 5.24*		24.5 *
Ex. 33.11	6.24		42.8
De. 28.50 Jd. 8.20*†	6.28 6.31	15.	40.30* 65.20
		۳.	
1 Ch. 12.29†	15.17	Je.	1.6*+
29.1†	4 Ma. 6.19		1.7*+
2 Ch. 10.14*	Ps. 36.25*	Su.	52 (G)*
13.7*†	118.9 *		55 (G)*
15.13*	148.12*		60 (G)*
1 Es. 1.50*	Pr. 7.10		63 (G)*
l Ma. 11.57*	22.15		63 (G)*
2 Ma. 5.13	Ec. 10.16*+		63 (G)*

^{*} denotes the comparative form $\nu \epsilon \acute{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ c$.

[†] denotes an example which may be regarded as an adjective but which has been included because it translates a Hebrew noun.

Νέος	Child (older)	Young man	Child (relationship)	Total
Genesis	1			1
Exodus		1		1
Deuteronomy	1			1
Judges	1			1
l Chronicles		2		2
2 Chronicles	1	2		3
1 Esdras	1			1
1 Maccabees	1			1
2 Maccabees	5	1	į.	6
4 Maccabees	1			1
Psalms	2	1		3
Proverbs	1	1		2
Ecclesiastes	1			1
Job			1	1
Ecclesiasticus	1			1
Isaiah	1	1		2
Jeremiah	2			2
Susanna		6		6
TOTAL	20	15	1	36
Hebrew:				
na ar	10	6	1	17
<u>yeled</u>		1		1
<u>qaton</u>	1			1

20: ΝΗΠΙΟΣ

Nήπιος is used 49 times in the LXX. It is used:

- (a) of an unborn, or more precisely, a stillborn child;
- (b) of a living child in the earliest stages of life; and
- (c) of an older child.

The word was originally an adjective, and this accounts for the different forms which appear in the LXX. There are five examples that are masculine: $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota\circ_{\zeta}$ twice, 1 $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota\circ_{\iota}$ once, 2 and $\nu\eta\pi\dot{\iota}\circ\nu_{\zeta}$ twice. 3 In 23 examples the form is definitely neuter: $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota\circ\nu$ (nominative) once, 4 and $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota\alpha$ 22 times. 5 The remainder are masculine or neuter. The feminine form $\nu\eta\pi\dot{\iota}\alpha_{\zeta}$ occurs in one papyrus example, $\underline{U.P.Z.}$ 20.22 (ii B.C.). However, with one exception, $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota\circ_{\zeta}$ is used in the LXX as a substantive. The exception is Is. 11.8, where the phrase $\pi\alpha\iota\dot{\delta}\dot{\iota}\circ\nu$ $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota\circ\nu$ ("young child") occurs.

The first meaning of $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota\circ_{\zeta}$ is seen in only one example, Jb. 3.16:

ἔκτρωμα ἐκπορευόμενον ἐκ μήτρας μητρός, νήπιοι, οι οὐκ είδον φῶς.

^{1.} Si. 30.12, Ho. 11.1.

^{2.} Љ. 3.16.

^{3.} Ps. 118.130, Pr. 1.32.

^{4.} Is. 11.8.

^{5. 4} Ki. 8.12, Es. 8.11 (S^c+), Ju. 4.10,12, 7.22, 16.4, 1 Ma. 2.9, 3 Ma. 5.50, Ps. 18.8, 114.6, 136.9, Jb. 31.10, Wi. 18.5, Jl. 2.16, Na. 3.10, Je. 6.11, 9.20, 50.6, La. 1.5, 2.20, 4.4, Ez. 9.6.

The reference to $\ddot{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\rho\omega\mu\alpha$ (LSJ: "untimely birth") in the first part of this verse makes it clear that the $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota\circ\iota$ who have not seen the light were stillborn babies.

The second sense is by far the most common, and may be illustrated by some examples which refer to a $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota_{0\zeta}$ feeding at its mother's breast. In 3 Ma. 5.50 we read of the reaction of the Jewish women to their impending fate: $\tau\dot{\alpha}$ $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota\alpha$ $\chi\omega\rho\dot{\iota}\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon_{\zeta}$ $\tau\ddot{\omega}\nu$ $\mu\alpha\sigma\tau\ddot{\omega}\nu$ $\dot{\alpha}\nu\epsilon\beta\dot{\sigma}\eta\sigma\alpha\nu$ $\phi\omega\nu\ddot{\eta}$ $\mu\epsilon\gamma\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta$ $\sigma\phi\dot{\delta}\rho\alpha$. In Jl. 2.16 $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota_{0\zeta}$ is qualified by the participle $\theta\eta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega\nu$: $\sigma\upsilon\nu\alpha\gamma\dot{\alpha}\gamma\epsilon\tau\epsilon$ $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota\alpha$ $\theta\eta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\zeta\upsilon\nu\tau\alpha$ $\mu\alpha\sigma\tau\dot{\upsilon}\zeta$. There are several other examples where $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota_{0\zeta}$ is parallel to $\theta\eta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega\nu$ used as a substantive. Once it is parallel to $\upsilon\pi\omega\mu\alpha\sigma\tau\dot{\iota}\delta\iota_{0\zeta}$, in 3 Ma. 3.27: $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\sigma}$ $\gamma\epsilon\rho\alpha\iota\dot{\sigma}$ $\nu\dot{\tau}$ $\nu\dot$

In several cases $\nu\eta\pi\iota\circ\zeta$ conveys the idea of a babe in understanding, as in Ps. 118.130:

ή δήλωσις τῶν λόγων σου φωτιεῖ καὶ συνετιεῖ νηπίους.9

There are only a few references to an older child.

^{6.} This example is not separated from the examples of sense (b) for the purpose of the table at the end of this present chapter or the tables of references included later.

^{7.} In spite of the masculine participle $\chi\omega\rho$ $i\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon\zeta$, the sense of the sentence requires that the subject be understood as the Jewish women.

^{8. 1} Ki. 15.3, 22.19, Ju. 16.4, Ps. 8.3, Je. 51.7, La. 2.11, 4.4. In the first two of these references the phrase and $\nu\eta\pi$ iou έως $\theta\eta\lambda$ άζοντος occurs. Two Hebraisms are illustrated here: (a) "from...to" = "both ... and", and (b) the use of parallelism. Thus $\nu\eta\pi$ ιος and $\theta\eta\lambda$ άζων are not being contrasted, but are equivalent to each other.

^{9.} Also, e.g., Ps. 8.3, 18.8.

Pr. 23.13 contains the instruction:

μη ἀπόσχη νήπιον παιδεύειν ότι ἐὰν πατάξης αὐτὸν ῥάβδφ, ού μη ἀποθάνη.

And another instruction is given in Si. 30.12:

θλάσον τὰς πλευρὰς αὐτοῦ, ὡς ἔστιν νήπιος, μήποτε σκληρυνθεὶς ἀπειθήση σοι.

The references to disciplining and beating a $\nu \dot{\eta} \pi \iota o_{\zeta}$ in these two examples would apply more suitably to an older child than a baby.

Another likely example is in Es. 8.11 (S^c+), where the Jews were allowed to take vengeance on their enemies' $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota\alpha$ καὶ γυναῖκας. Νήπια here refers to children generally rather than young children/infants specifically. This phrase is similar to the English expression "women and children".

No examples of $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota_{0}\varsigma$ occur in the Pentateuch, but otherwise it is distributed fairly evenly throughout the LXX, including references in Isaiah and 1 Maccabees (which, like the Pentateuch, Thackeray regards as examples of "good $\kappa \iota_{\nu} \dot{\eta}$ Greek").

In contemporary Hellenistic Greek, I can find only two papyrus examples. In <u>U.P.Z.</u> 20.22 (ii B.C.) $\nu\eta\pi\iota\circ\varsigma$ refers to young (female) children, possibly emphasising their legal status as minors: ...] χρείας ἔτι $\nu\eta\pii\alpha\varsigma$ οὔσας τὸ θεῖον βραβεύσας. In <u>P.Mich.Zen.</u> 67.23 (iii B.C.) it refers to new-born animals: καὶ ἐρίφων κεφάλαιον σο χωρὶς τῶν ι τῶν νηπίων.

The word is found in classical Greek, mainly in the

poets (e.g. Homer, Pindar, the fifth century tragedians, Aristophanes) but also in Plato, Aristotle and Hippocrates. The meanings seen in the LXX and the papyri are all illustrated from earlier writings.

Nήπιος also occurs in the New Testament where it particularly emphasises the ideas of childishness in understanding and lack of legal status. $^{\rm 10}$

^{10.} See BAG.

Νήπιος	Child (new-born)	Child (older)	Total
l Kings	2		2
4 Kings	1		1
Esther		1	1
Judith	5		5
1 Maccabees	1		1
2 Maccabees	3		3
3 Maccabees	3		3
Psalms	7		7
Proverbs	1	1	2
Job	4		4
Wisdom	4		4
Ecclesiasticus		1	1
Minor Prophets	3		3
Isaiah	1		1
Jeremiah	4		4
Lamentations	5		5
Ezekiel	2		2
TOTAL	46	3	49
Hebrew:			
•olel	17		17
taph	2	1	3
pethi	5		5
na ar	2	1	3
yanaq	1		1

21: ΟΙΚΕΤΗΣ

Oiké η_{ζ} occurs 55 times in the LXX, and is used to describe the servants of men and of God. The same distinctions are found as apply to other "servant" words, such as $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$, so that we have the following classification: (a) slave or servant, where the masterservant relationship exists but is not practised; (b) servant, where the master-servant relationship exists but is not actively practised; (c) servant, in what may be called a respectful sense, where there is no actual masterservant relationship; and (d) servant of God. 1

By far the majority of examples of $oi\kappa \epsilon \tau \eta_{\zeta}$ refer to servants who perform actual service. Because of its derivation (from $oi\kappa o_{\zeta}$) and its usage in classical literature ("household slave" - LSJ), we might expect $oi\kappa \epsilon \tau \eta_{\zeta}$ to be used in the LXX primarily of household slaves or servants. This is often the case, as in To. 8.18 (S). A grave had been dug for Tobias, on the assumption that he would be the eighth husband of Raguel's daughter Sarah to die on the wedding night. When this did not eventuate, Raguel gave new instructions: $\tau \acute{o}\tau \epsilon \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu \tau oi_{\zeta} oi\kappa \acute{e}\tau ai_{\zeta}$ abto $\chi \ddot{\omega} \sigma ai \tau \partial \nu \tau \acute{a}\phi o\nu \tau \rho \partial \tau o \ddot{o} \ddot{\rho} \theta \rho o\nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \acute{e}\sigma \theta ai$. There are twenty references of a general nature in Proverbs and

^{1.} This fourth sense is not strictly separate. The same comments as apply to $\delta \circ \tilde{\nu} \delta \circ \tilde$

Ecclesiasticus which refer to household servants.

But oikéths is not restricted to household servants. Several times in Exodus and Deuteronomy it refers to the Israelites as slaves in Egypt. Ex. 5.16 records the Israelites' complaint to Pharaoh about the work they were expected to do: ἄχυρον οὐ δίδοται τοῖς οἰκέταις σου, καὶ τὴν πλίνθον ἡμῖν λέγουσιν ποιεῖν. Six times in Deuteronomy, the Israelites are instructed: μνησθήση ὅτι οἰκέτης ἡσθα ἐν γῷ Αἰγύπτφ. Similarly, Is. 36.9 refers to the Jews becoming slaves (to the Assyrians) if they rely on Egyptian support: οἰκέται εἰσιν οὶ πεποιθότες ἐπ' Αἰγυπτίοις εἰς ἵππον καὶ ἀναβάτην.

There are several references where the emphasis is not on the type of service an $oi\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ performed but on his status. In 1 Es. 3.19 $oi\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ is opposed to $\acute{\epsilon}\lambda\acute{\epsilon}\acute{\nu}\acute{e}\rho_{\zeta}$. The verse speaks of the effect of wine: $\tau o\~{\nu}$ $\tau \epsilon$ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \omega_{\zeta}$ $\kappa a \iota \tau o\~{\nu}$ $\acute{\rho}\rho a \nu o\~{\nu}$ $\pi o \iota \epsilon\~{\iota}$ $\tau i \nu$ $\delta \iota \acute{a}\nu o \iota a \nu$ $\mu \iota a \nu$, $\tau i \nu$ $\tau \epsilon$ $\tau o\~{\nu}$ $oi\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau o \nu$ $\kappa a \iota$ $\tau i \nu$ $\tau o\~{\nu}$ $\acute{\epsilon}\lambda \epsilon \nu o \acute{\epsilon}\rho o \nu$. $Oi\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ thus emphasises a person's status as one who is not free. Another example is Le. 25.42, where God says, $\delta \iota \acute{\sigma}\tau \iota$ $oi\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau a \iota$ $\mu o \acute{\nu}$ $\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ $o\~{\nu}\tau o \iota$..., $o\~{\nu}$ $\pi \rho a \partial i \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ $\acute{\epsilon}\nu$ $\pi \rho \acute{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ $oi\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau o \nu$. An Israelite cannot be sold as an $oi\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ (to another Israelite), because he was already God's $oi\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$. The emphasis of $oi\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau \eta_{\zeta}$ in these examples is that the person so described is someone's property. There is no interest in what he might do in

^{2.} De. 5.15, 15.15, 16.12, 24.18, 20,22. Sometimes Αίγύπτφ is replaced by Αίγύπτου.

that condition.

There is one definite example of $oi\kappa\acute{e}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ used in sense (b), and one other possibility. In Ge. 50.18 we read that on the death of their father Jacob Joseph's brothers came to Joseph and said, $oi\delta \acute{e}$ $\eta\mu e i\zeta$ σοι $oi\kappa\acute{e}\tau\alpha\iota$. While Jacob was still alive, Joseph's brothers felt secure against the threat of revenge for what they had done to Joseph in earlier years. But now they did not feel quite as safe, and they sought to safeguard themselves by assuring Joseph of their loyalty and submitting to his authority. They were not Joseph's servants in the sense of working for him.

The third sense of οἰκέτης is represented by only two examples, Jo. 9.8,11, where οἰκέτης describes the Gibeonites in relation to Joshua. In verse 11 they say to Joshua, οἰκέται σου ἐσμεν, καὶ νῦν διάθεσθε ἡμῖν διαθήκην. It is possible that the Gibeonites were submitting to Joshua's authority and that these examples should be included under sense (b). But they were claiming to have come from a distance, and it is more likely that they were using the respectful language of diplomacy in order to secure an agreement with Joshua and the Israelites. Later in the story the situation changed and the Gibeonites became servants who performed actual service. ³

Oiκέτης refers to different types of servants of God. It describes those who served God in a specific way, such as leaders like Moses and Zerubbabel. We include in this group Joshua, who says in Jo. 5.14, δέσποτα, τί προστάσσεις τῷ σῷ οἰκέτη. Joshua was addressing the one who is described in the same verse as ἀρχιστράτηγος δυνάμεως κυρίου. He understood the occasion as a theophany and it was his relationship to God to which he was referring in the phrase ὁ σὸς οἰκέτης.

In other references $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ describes those who were God's servants in a more general way, in that they were

^{3.} In this story other words describe the Gibeonites as slaves/servants to Joshua/the Israelites. Mai_{ζ} is used in a respectful sense (Jo. 9.9) while $\delta \text{o} \tilde{\text{u}} \lambda \text{o}_{\zeta}$ (Jo. 9.23) and mai_{ζ} (Jo. 10.6) are both used of actual service rendered.

^{4.} De. 34.5.

^{5. 1} Es. 4.59.

under God's authority but did not have a particular service to perform. An example is Le. 25.42, where God says of the Israelites, οἰκέται μού εἰσιν οὕτοι.

We have noticed the problems in classifying the Nu. 32.5 example under sense (b) and in trying to distinguish it from sense (a). Apart from the particular difficulties involved in this case, the general distinction between sense (a) and sense (b) will be even more blurred, if the fact of ownership is recognised as a significant element in $oiket\eta_{\zeta}$. If the emphasis is on the fact that a person was not free but the property of another, then it is a secondary issue whether he performed actual service for his master or not. This observation also applies to the references to servants of God. If the emphasis is on the fact that they belonged to God, the distinction between those who served God in a specific way and those who were merely under God's authority in a general way is likewise a secondary one.

However it is difficult to see any reference to ownership when $oi\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ is used in sense (c). The Gibeonites did not belong to Joshua (at the stage of the story when $oi\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ is applied to them). For this reason we must hesitate before regarding ownership as an essential element in the meaning of $oi\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$.

Of the 55 examples of $oi\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\varsigma}$, almost half (25) occur

^{6.} This point has been discussed above in relation to Le. 25.42 and 1 Es. 3.19.

in the Pentateuch, while there are another eight in Proverbs and twelve in Ecclesiasticus. It is not used at all in the books of Kings and Chronicles, and only once in the Prophets.

The majority of references come under sense(a), with 45 in this sense, two in sense (b), two in sense (c), and six in sense (d).

There are only a few examples in the Ptolemaic papyri but sufficient to show that $oi\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ refers to different types of slaves or servants. In <u>P.Lille</u> 1.29 (iii B.C.) $oi\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ is used in lines 2 and 11, and refers to the same people as $\dot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\dot{\alpha}\pi\circ\delta\circ\nu$, $\delta\circ\ddot{\omega}\lambda\circ_{\zeta}$ and $\sigma\ddot{\omega}\mu\alpha$ refer to. The people so described were slaves without rights or privileges, who were subject to examination by torture. On the other hand, in <u>P.Col.Zen</u>. 83.6 (iii B.C.) we read of Mereotrator $\tau\iota\nu\alpha$ $\eta\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\rho\circ\nu$ $oi\kappa\epsilon[\tau\eta]\nu$. In a note the editors state, "Menestratos was a servant $(oi\kappa\epsilon\tau\eta\nu)$ attached in some way to the household of Antipatros, probably not a slave."

As in the LXX, some of the papyri suggest that (at least sometimes) the emphasis in $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ is on the man's status rather than the nature of his service. Thus in P.Lille i.29, although $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ refers to the same people as other words for "slave", the particular point about $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$

^{7.} See further on P.Lille i.29 under ἀνδράποδον (p.4).

^{8.} W.L. Westermann, C.W. Keyes and H. Liebesny, Zenon Papyri (vol.II) (New York, 1940), p.84.

is that it is used in contrast to $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\rho\sigma\varsigma$. The papyrus begins $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\nu$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ $\tau\iota\varsigma$ $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\alpha}\delta\iota\kappa\dot{\eta}\mu\alpha\tau\sigma\varsigma$ $\dot{\epsilon}[\tau\dot{\epsilon}]\rho\sigma[\upsilon]$ $oi\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\tau\eta\iota$ $\ddot{o}\nu\tau\iota$ $\delta\iota\kappa\eta\nu$ $\gamma\rho\alpha\dot{\nu}\dot{\alpha}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\varsigma$, $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\upsilon\theta\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega\iota$, $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\delta\iota\kappa\dot{\alpha}\sigma\eta\tau\alpha\iota$, $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\omega$ $\tau\ddot{\omega}\iota$ $\kappa\upsilon\rho\dot{\iota}\omega\iota$ $\dot{\alpha}\nu\alpha\delta\iota\kappa\ddot{\eta}\sigma\alpha\iota$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\alpha\iota\varsigma$ $\dot{\epsilon}$. In P.Cair.Zen. 59369.3 (iii B.C.) a certain Philemon writes, $\kappa\alpha\tau\eta\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\rho\eta\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\mu\upsilon\upsilon$ $\ddot{\delta}\tau\iota$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\iota}\mu\dot{\iota}$ $oi\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\tau\eta\varsigma$. The accusation does not imply that Philemon was an $oi\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\tau\eta\varsigma$ rather than any other sort of slave, but that he was a slave rather than a free man. 9

^{9.} Other examples of $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\varsigma}$ in the Ptolemaic papyri include U.P.Z. 158B. 39 (iii B.C.), P.Tebt. 739.33, 37 (ii B.C.), SB 9934.68 (ii/i B.C.).

*•					
Οἰκέτης	Sense (a)	Sense (b)	Sense (c)	Sense (d)	Total
Genesis	4	1			5
Exodus	5			1	6
Leviticus	2			2	4
Numbers		1			1
Deuteronomy	8			1	9
Joshua			2	1	3
1 Esdras	1			1	2
Tobit	4				4
Proverbs	8				8
Ecclesiasticus	12				12
Isaiah	1				1
TOTAL	45	2	2	6	55
Hebrew:				ř	
<ebed< td=""><td>26</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>5</td><td>35</td></ebed<>	26	2	2	5	35

22: OIKETIX

 $0i\kappa\acute{e}\tau\iota_{\zeta}$ is used only three times in the LXX and in each case it refers to a girl or woman who was literally a servant.

In Ex. 21.7 we read: ἐἀν δέ τις ἀποδῶται τὴν ἐαυτοῦ θυγατέρα οἰκέτιν, οὐκ ἀπελεύσεται ὤσπερ ἀποτρέχουσιν αὶ δούλαι. The point of this verse is obscured by a mistranslation in the LXX, which translates •ebed (R.V. "menservants") by δούλαι. Thus in the Hebrew text the verse contrasts male and female servants, whereas in the LXX the contrast is between two different types of female servant, though there is no indication as to what the difference between an οἰκέτις and a δούλη actually was (or what the LXX translator thought it was). Nevertheless the basic meaning of οἰκέτις is clear enough, and the word refers to a woman who was the property of another.

A second example of $oi\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\iota_{\zeta}$ occurs in another law in Le. 19.20

έὰν δέ τις κοιμηθῆ μετὰ γυναικὸς κοίτην σπέρματος καὶ αὐτὴ οἰκέτις διαπεφυλαγμένη ἀνθρώπω καὶ αὐτὴ λύτροις οὐ λελύτρωται ἢ ἐλευθερία οὐκ ἐδόθη αὐτῆ, ἐπισκοπὴ ἔσται αὐτοῖς · οὐκ ἀποθανοῦνται, ὅτι οὐκ ἀπηλευθερώθη.

In these verses the same is true of $oi\kappa\acute{e}\tau\iota_{\zeta}$ as we have already observed in the case of $oi\kappa\acute{e}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$, that the word stresses the status of the person concerned. There is no interest in these verses in the nature of the woman's service, but only in her status - in Ex. 21.7 the fact that she has been sold and is therefore the property of another, and in Le. 19.20 the fact that she has not been set free.

The other example is in Pr. 30.23 where again the emphasis is on the woman's status, as $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\iota_{\zeta}$ is contrasted with $\kappa\nu\rho\acute{\epsilon}\alpha$:

καὶ οἰκέτις ἐὰν ἐκβάλη τὴν ἐαυτῆς κυρίαν, καὶ μισητὴ γυνὴ ἐὰν τύχη ἀνδρὸς ἀγαθοῦ.

There are no examples of this word in the Ptolemaic papyri, and in later papyri the only example of which I am aware is from the third century A.D., <u>P.Strass.</u> 285.16. The word does not occur in the New Testament, but there are several references in classical literature, as in Euripides, <u>Electra</u> 104, $\ddot{\eta}$ $\gamma \acute{\alpha} \rho$ $\tau \iota_{\zeta}$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho o \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ $\ddot{\eta}$ $\tau \iota_{\zeta}$ $oi\kappa \acute{\epsilon} \tau \iota_{\zeta}$ $\gamma \upsilon \upsilon \dot{\eta}$ $\phi \alpha \upsilon \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ $\upsilon \ddot{\psi} \upsilon$. In Theocritus 18.38 ($\ddot{\omega}$ καλὰ $\dot{\omega}$ χαρί ε σσα κόρα, $\tau \dot{\upsilon}$ $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \upsilon$ $oi\kappa \acute{\epsilon} \tau \iota_{\zeta}$ $\ddot{\eta} \delta \eta$) it seems to mean "housewife", a meaning not otherwise attested for this word.

23: ΠΑΙΔΑΡΙΟΝ

Παιδάριον occurs 229 times in the LXX. As a diminutive of $\pi \alpha \bar{\iota}_{\zeta}$, it might be expected to mean "little or young boy" or "little or young servant" or "little or young child" (where "child" denotes relationship rather than age). All these meanings occur, but it is not confined to those who are young. On the one hand, it is used as an age word not only to refer to children (whether new-born or very young, or older children) but also to refer to young men. On the other hand, it is used as a relationship word, not only to refer to young servants, but also to older servants, and likewise not only of young children but older ones as well.

than someone older. This is the more natural sense and it is supported by a similar reference where $\nu\eta\pi\iota\circ\varsigma$ is used: $\dot{\alpha}\pi\alpha\lambda\nu\nu\epsilon\tilde{\iota}$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\circ\tilde{\nu}$ $\tau\dot{\alpha}\varsigma$ $\sigma\dot{\alpha}\rho\kappa\alpha\varsigma$ $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ $\nu\eta\pi\dot{\iota}\circ\nu$ (Jb. 33.25).

Παιδάριον also refers to older children (not babies). It again describes Samuel in 1 Ki. 2.11: τὸ παιδάριον ἦν λειτουργών τῷ προσώπω κυρίου ἐνώπιον Ηλι τοῦ ἰερέως. 1 At this stage Samuel was old enough to share in the religious duties at the tabernacle. In 4 Ki. 2.23 it refers to the children who mocked the prophet Elisha: παιδάρια μικρὰ έξῆλθον έκ τῆς πόλεως καὶ κατέπαιζον αὐτοῦ. Antiochus (who became Antiochus VI) was only four or five years old when he is described as a $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\alpha} \rho \iota \circ \nu$ in 1 Ma. 11.54: άπέστρεψεν Τρύφων καὶ 'Αντίοχος μετ' αὐτοῦ παιδάριον νεώτερον. All the examples of $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\circ\nu$ in the Pentateuch describe children in this age group, as in Ge. 22.12 which refers to the boy Isaac. 3 The angel spoke to Abraham as he was about to sacrifice Isaac, in the words: μη έπιβάλης την χεῖρά σου έπὶ τὸ παιδάριον (To. 7.11 - A^*+) should be included here. Sarah was not in fact a child - she had already been given in marriage to seven husbands - it is likely that her father Raguel thought of her as a child and that $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\circ\nu$ on his lips has this meaning. Alternatively, it would mean "young woman".

In the next age group $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{a} \rho \iota \circ \nu$ is used to describe those

^{1.} Also 1 Ki. 1.24,25(bis),27, 2.18,21,26, 3.1,8.

^{2.} See J.R. Bartlett, The First and Second Books of the Maccabees (Cambridge, 1973), pp. 158-9 (notes on 1 Ma. 11.39,54).

^{3.} Also Ge. 22.5.

who were young men rather than babies or children. 13.32 David's sons are described as παιδάρια: μη εἰπάτω ὁ κύριός μου ὁ βασιλεὺς ὅτι πάντα τὰ παιδάρια τοὺς νίοὺς τοῦ βασιλέως έθανάτωσεν, ότι Αμνων μονώτατος ἀπέθανεν. The background to this statement is that David's son Amnon had raped his half-sister Tamar (the sister of Absalom, another of David's sons), and consequently Absalom had killed Amnon. These $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\alpha$ (and David's other sons) were young adults. In the stories of Absalom's revolt against David, he is described as "the young man Absalom" on several occasions, as in 2 Ki. 14.21 where David instructs Joab: πορεύου έπίστρεψον τὸ παιδάριον τὸν Αβεσσαλωμ. 4 When Rehoboam came to the throne, he sought advice from his contemporaries, and in 3 Ki. 12.14 we read that he spoke to the people κατὰ τὴν βούλην τῶν παιδαρίων (i.e. his contemporaries). 5 Two other young men are described as παιδάρια who were old enough to go on journeys away from their homes and families: the young man in the story of Jd. 17,6 and Tobias. 7 Several times $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\alpha} \rho \iota \circ \nu$ describes a messenger or watchman, as in 2 Κί. 13.34: ἤρεν τὸ παιδάριον ὁ σκοπὸς τοὺς ὀφθαλμοὺς There are eight examples where $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \check{\alpha} \rho \iota \circ \nu$ refers

^{4.} Also 2 Ki. 18.5,12,29,32(bis).

^{5.} Also 3 Ki. 12.8,10,24s, 2 Ch. 10.8,10.

^{6.} Jd. 17.7,11,12.

^{7.} To. 5.17(BA), 6.2(BA), 2.3(S), 3.5, 7.11, 14(BA).

^{8.} Also 1 Ki. 4.17, 2 Ki. 1.5,6,13.

to soldiers. 1 Ki. 30.17 refers to 400 of the Amalekite raiding party which destroyed David's city Ziklag: οὐκ ἐσώθη ἐξ αὐτῶν ἀνὴρ ὅτι ἀλλ' ἢ τετρακόσια παιδάρια, ἃ ἢν ἐπιβεβηκότα ἐπὶ τὰς καμήλους καὶ ἔφυγον. 9 But though παιδάριον refers to soldiers, there is little evidence that it actually means "soldier". 10

Another example of $\pi a \iota \delta \acute{a} \rho \iota o \nu$ which has an age reference is in Je. 31.11: $\dot{a}\nu \epsilon \pi a \iota \delta a \rho \iota o \nu$ καὶ $\pi \epsilon \pi o \iota \theta \grave{\omega}_{\zeta}$ $\mathring{\eta}\nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\iota} \ \tau \ddot{\eta} \ \delta \acute{o} \xi \eta \ a \grave{\upsilon} \tau o \ddot{\upsilon}$. The prophet is speaking of the freedom from invasion and exile that Moab had enjoyed, and this had been the situation $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \ \pi a \iota \delta a \rho \iota o \nu$, from earliest times. This phrase occurs in classical literature in a similar sense. 11

As a relationship word, $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\alpha} \rho \iota o \nu$ means "servant" far more often than "child" (in a relationship sense). $\Pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\alpha} \rho \iota o \nu$ refers only to servants who were actively engaged in serving another, and none of the other senses (which we see in the use of $\delta o \ddot{\upsilon} \lambda o \zeta$, $o \dot{\iota} \kappa \acute{\epsilon} \tau \eta \zeta$ and $\pi \alpha \ddot{\iota} \zeta$, and to a lesser extent $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ and $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o \upsilon \rho \gamma \acute{o} \zeta$) occurs in the case of $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\alpha} \rho \iota o \nu$.

The following examples refer to servants who were also young. Jonathan's servant who fetched his arrows was young, as the adjective $\mu\iota\kappa\rho\delta\varsigma$ makes clear in 1 Ki. 20.35:

^{9.} Also 2 Ki. 2.14,21, 18.15, 3 Ki. 21.14,15,17,19.

^{10.} The meaning "soldier" is more likely in certain examples of $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \alpha \zeta$ and $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa \circ \zeta$. See discussion under these two words.

^{11.} Compare Plato, Symposium 207d, and ἐκ μικροῦ παιδαρίου in Demosthenes 53.19.

έξῆλθεν Ιωναθαν εἰς ἀγρόν, ... καὶ παιδάριον μικρὸν μετ' αὐτοῦ. 12 We may suppose that the servant of Kish who helped Saul look for his father's asses was reasonably young, 13 and likewise the servants who accompanied David when he was a fugitive from Saul, as in 1 Ki. 25.12, where the servants of David spoke to Nabal and then τ α παιδάρια Δ αυιδ ... ἡλθον καὶ ἀνήγγειλαν τῷ Δ αυιδ κατὰ τὰ ῥήματα τ αῦτα. 14

There are other examples where $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\circ\nu$ describes servants who were not young. The clearest case is Ziba who was a servant to Saul and (later) to Jonathan's son Mephibosheth. His age is suggested by the information in 2 Ki. 9.10 that he had fifteen sons.

Παιδάριον refers to different types of servants. It refers occasionally to a king's courtiers. When Saul asked his servants to provide for him one who could play the harp, 1 Ki. 16.18 says that ἀπεκρίθη εἶς τῶν παιδαρίων αὐτοῦ. ¹⁶ It refers also to a man's personal attendants, such as the men who accompanied Naaman when he came to Elisha to seek a cure for his leprosy ¹⁷ and Gehazi the servant of Elisha. ¹⁸

^{12.} Also 1 Ki. 20.21(bis), 36(bis),37,38(bis),39,40(bis),41. It is possibly the same servant who appears in 1 Ki. 14.1,6.

^{13. 1} Ki. 9.3,5,6,7,8,10,22, 10.14.

^{14.} Also 1 Ki. 25.5(bis),8,9,25,27.

^{15. 2} Ki. 9.9, 16.1, 19.18.

^{16.} In this passage the same servants are described by $\delta \circ \tilde{\nu} \lambda \circ \zeta$ in verse 16 and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ in verses 15 and 17.

^{17. 4} Ki. 5.23.

^{18.} E.g. 4 Ki. 4.12,14,25.

Other servants were workmen of different kinds. Doeg's position as Saul's servant was $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \omega \nu \tau \dot{\alpha}_{\zeta} \dot{\eta} \mu \iota \dot{\phi} \nu o v_{\zeta}$ (1 Ki.21.8). In Ru. 2.5 we read of one of Boaz's servants: $\epsilon \dot{l} \pi \epsilon \nu$ Boo $_{\zeta}$ $\tau \ddot{\phi} \pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \rho \dot{\iota} \dot{\phi} \alpha \dot{v} \tau \ddot{\phi} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\phi} \epsilon \sigma \tau \ddot{\omega} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{o} \dot{c}_{\zeta} \theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\zeta} o \nu \tau \alpha_{\zeta}$.

The meaning "child" referring to relationship rather than age is rare. There are many references to a person's child or children, but in most cases παιδάριον means "young person" (of one age or another) and so has an age rather than a relationship reference. There are only two certain examples where the relationship is made explicit. 3 Ki. 12.24g refers to Jeroboam's son Abijah, and in this verse παιδάριον is used both as a relationship word and an age word: καὶ ἡρρώστησε τὸ παιδάριον αὐτοῦ ἀρρωστίαν κραταιὰν σφόδρα καὶ ἐπορεύθη Ιεροβοαμ ἐπερωτῆσαι ὑπὲρ τοῦ παιδαρίου. The other is in Jd. 8.20(A), where Gideon's son Jether is described as τὸ παιδάριον αὐτοῦ. (In B, the word αὐτοῦ is omitted.)

On the distribution of παιδάριον, we note a concentration on the books of Kings, where 158 of the 228 examples occur. Another 22 are found in Judges, and ten in Tobit, thus leaving only 38 for the rest of the LXX. There are only eight in the Pentateuch (all in Genesis) and four in the books of Chronicles, and none at all in 2-4 Maccabees, the Wisdom literature. Isaiah or Ezekiel.

An analysis on the basis of meanings shows that the age references are predominant, with 141 examples (twenty new-born or very young child, 57 older child, and 64 young

man). There are 86 examples of the meaning "servant" and one of "son". Of the 86 examples of "servant", 38 are from 1 Kings and 68 from 1-4 Kings.

The references to παιδάριον in the papyri cover several meanings. Some examples refer to age, and most of these mean "older child" (as distinct from "baby" and "young man"). In P.Tebt. 793.8.24 (ii B.C.) we read: Πτολεμαῖος τῆι βίαι χρώμενος ἀφελ[ὼν τὴν] ῥάβδον [πα]ρὰ τοῦ παιδαρίου ἤλασεν αὐτὰ ἐπὶ τὴ[ν διώρυγα]. Here τοῦ παιδαρίου refers to the writer's son Puepheros (who was not a servant), and αὐτά to Puepheros and his companion. Another example is P.Mich.Zen. 77.4 (iii B.C.): περὶ τοῦ παιδαρίου οὖ Φίλων σοι ὁ διδάσκαλος προσήγαγεν. Other examples of this meaning are P.Hib. 207.13,18 (iii B.C.) and P.Mich.Zen. 49.14,16 (iii B.C.).

A possible reference to a baby is in <u>SB</u> 6762.4 (iii B.C.): Νίκων δὲ ὁ κρινόμενος πρὸς 'Αντίπατρον οὐκ ἄφατο εἰληφέναι τὸ παιδάριον παρ' αὐτῶν. Antipatros' son Theodosios, to whom this refers, may have been a baby, but it is also possible that he was an older boy. Another example is <u>SB</u> 6763.4 (iii B.C.), ¹⁹ which refers to the same situation.

There are no certain examples where $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\circ\nu$ means "young man".

Παιδάριον often means "servant". Several times this is clear when the servant's master is named, as in P.S.I.

^{19.} SB 6763 = P.Cair.Zen. 59347.

580.8 (iii B.C.), καὶ τῶι Δημητρίου παιδαρίωι, and similarly U.P.Z. 39.19 (ii B.C.)²⁰ and P.Col.Zen. 77.21, 22 (iii B.C.), or when a personal pronoun indicating relationship is used, as in P.Tebt. 798.11 (ii B.C.). On other occasions the relationship is not explicitly expressed but the meaning "servant" or "slave" is clear from the context. In P.Cair.Zen. 59076.6 (iii B.C.) Toubias writes to Apollonios and gives the descriptions of four slaves: τὰς εἰκόνας [αὐ] τῶν τ[αιδαρ]ίων ἴνα εἰδῆις. 21 P.S.Ι 340.24 (iii B.C.) contains another reference to a slave: ἀπόστειλον δ' ἡμῖν καὶ τὸ παιδάριον ὁ ἐδείκνυές μοι, ἵνα προσάγωμεν καὶ τοῦτον πρὸς τὰ μαθήματα.

There are several cases where παιδάριον means "child" (in a relationship sense), as in P.Cair.Zen. 59498.11 (ii B.C.), χρείας παρέχομαι καὶ τὰ παιδάριά μου. Another example where the relationship is made explicit is SB 8427.11 (i B.C.): προσκεκύνηκα τὴν κυρίαν Ίσιν σὸν Ἰουλίωι τῶι υἰῶι καὶ ὑπὲρ Γαίωνος τοῦ νεωτέρου υἰοῦ ... καὶ τῶν παιδαρίων μου πάντων. In other references the relationship is not made explicit but the context requires that παιδάριον be understood as referring to relationship rather than age. An example is C.P.J. 12.13 (iii B.C.) where the writer says, οὺχ ἰκανὸν οὖν [ἐστ]ιν οὐδὲ τὰ παιδάρια [διαβό]σκειν.

^{20.} U.P.Z. 39 = P.Lond.33.

^{21.} P. Cair. Zen. 59076 = SB 6790.

In earlier Greek it would seem that the age reference of παιδάριον was the more important. Most of the references from classical authors in LSJ are listed under the meanings "little boy/girl" or "young children". Even the two examples in LSJ where παιδάριον means "slave" are listed under the heading "young slave".

It cannot be said that the same emphasis is evident in the Ptolemaic period. There are many LXX references which refer only to age. In Ge. 33.14, when Jacob tells Esau that he will follow κατὰ πόδα τῶν παιδαρίων, he is not referring to the relationship between the παιδάρια and himself, but to their age: because they were παιδάρια, and therefore young, their pace was slow. When Solomon at the start of his reign refers to himself as a παιδάριον μικρόν (3 Ki. 3.7), he is speaking of his lack of wisdom (characteristic of a young person). The phrase ἐκ παιδαρίου (Je. 31.11) clearly refers to age only and has no reference to relationship.

However we have already noticed that though some of the examples where $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\alpha\rho\iota\circ\nu$ describes a servant refer to young servants, this is not always the case. It is evident that some servants could not have been very young. Among those already mentioned, there is Ziba who had fifteen sons, the servants who were Saul's courtiers and advisers, and Boaz's servant who was in charge of the activities of the reapers.

Thus the diminutive force of $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\circ\nu$ which gives the

meaning "little" or "young" has not disappeared and on the contrary is present in the majority of examples. However there is evidence that this factor is tending to become less significant, in that $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\circ\nu$ is often used to describe a person's relationship or status as a slave or servant, irrespective of his age.

Παιδάριον	Child (new-born)	Child (older)	Young Man	Servant	Son	Total
Genesis	•	8				. 8
Judges	4	1	9 ·	8	1	23
Ruth				6,	•	6
1 Kings	2	13	8	38		61
2 Kings	13		15	10	•	38
3 Kings		13	9	6	1	29
4 Kings	1	13	2	14		30
1 Chronicles			1			1
2 Chronicles			3			3
2 Esdras		*		. 1		1
Tobit		1	9	- '	•	10
1 Maccabees		6		. 1		7
Minor Prophets		2				2
Jeremiah			1			1
Lamentations			1			1
Susanna	•		. 1			1
Daniel	•		5	1		6
Bel	•			1		. 1
TOTAL	20	57	64	86	2 ,	229
Hebrew:				•		
<u>na'ar</u>	8	29	44	71		152
yeled	11	13	10			. 34
'ebed				3		3
'ish-na'ar			1			1
neturim			1			1.

24: ΠΑΙΔΙΟΝ

There are 163 examples of παιδίον in the LXX. Like παιδάριον, it is a diminutive of παῖς, and often refers to those who were little or young but by no means always. Referring to age, παιδίον describes new-born or very young children, children who were older, and (rarely) young men. It also has a relationship reference, and means "child" (male or female) in numerous cases, as well as "child" in a metaphorical sense, "servant" (in only one example of which we can be certain) and the "offspring" or "young" of animals.

At the youngest age level, παιδίον refers to children even before birth, as in Ge. 25.22 which refers to Jacob and Esau: ἐσκίρτων δὲ τὰ παιδία ἐν αὐτῆ. There are references to children at the time of birth, as in the law recorded in Ex. 21.22: ἐὰν δὲ μάχωνται δύο ἄνδρες καὶ πατάξωσιν γυναῖκα ἐν γαστρὶ ἔχουσαν, καὶ ἐξέλθη τὸ παιδίον αὐτῆς μὴ ἐξεικονισμένον, ἐπιζήμιον ζημιωσθήσεται. Ru 4.16 refers to the child Ruth bore to Boaz, immediately after birth: ἔλαβεν Νεωμιν τὸ παιδίον καὶ ἔθηκεν εἰς τὸν κόλπον αὐτῆς.

There are several references to children in the first few months of their life. Ge. 17.12 contains portion of the instructions given to Abraham concerning circumcision: παιδίον ὀκτὰ ἡμερῶν περιτμηθήσεται ὑμῖν πᾶν ἀρσενικόν.

The child of David and Bathsheba, in 2 Ki. 12.15, was also only a few days old: $\ddot{\epsilon}\theta$ ραυσεν κύριος τὸ παιδίον, \ddot{o} $\ddot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\nu$ $\dot{\eta}$ γυν $\dot{\eta}$ Ουριου τῷ Δαυιδ, καὶ ἡρρώστησεν. Moses is described as a παιδίον in Ex. 2.3 when his mother hid him in an ark in the river: $\ddot{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha\beta\epsilon\nu$ αὐτῷ $\dot{\eta}$ μήτηρ αὐτοῦ θ ῖ β ιν ... καὶ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\beta\alpha\lambda\epsilon\nu$ τὸ παιδίον ϵ ίς αὐτ $\dot{\eta}\nu^1$. And παιδίον describes Isaac when he was being weaned, in Ge. 21.8: $\dot{\eta}$ υξ $\dot{\eta}\theta\eta$ τὸ παιδίον καὶ $\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\gamma\alpha\lambda\alpha\kappa\tau$ ίσ $\theta\eta$.

Maιδίον is used of children at a later age, describing those who were boys or lads rather than babies. When Ishmael was left by his mother Hagar to die, he cried out, and God heard $\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ φωνης τοῦ παιδίου (Ge. 21.17). When Abraham and Isaac went to sacrifice, Abraham said to his servants, καθίσατε αὐτοῦ μετὰ της ὄνου, ἐγὰ δὲ καὶ τὸ παιδίον διελευσόμεθα έως ὧδε (Ge. 22.5 - A+). And when Joseph told his brothers to bring Benjamin to Egypt, they said, οὐ δυνήσεται τὸ παιδίον καταλιπεῖν τὸν πατέρα (Ge. 44.22). 4

There are only four examples where παιδίον means "young man". In the incident when Absalom killed his brother Amnon, the message was brought to David, in 2 Ki. 13.32, μη είπάτω ὁ κύριός μου ὁ βασιλεὺς ὅτι πάντα τὰ παιδία τοὺς υἰοὺς τοῦ βασιλέως ἐθανάτωσεν, ὅτι Αμνων μονώτατος ἀπέθανεν. Παιδία here is the reading in A+,

^{1.} Also Ex. 2.6,7,8,9(bis),10.

^{2.} Also Ge. 21.7.

^{3.} Also Ge. 21.12,14,15,16,18,19,20.

^{4.} Also Ge. 44.32,33(bis),34.

instead of $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\alpha$. The sons of king David were at this stage young adults rather than children. Also in A+, $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ refers to the young men with David in 1 Ki. 21.5. The other two references are in the S text of To. 6.1,2,5 which is part of the account of Tobias and the angel Raphael's journey. In verse 1 we read, $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\xi}\tilde{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$ $\tau\dot{\delta}$ $\pi\alpha\iota\dot{\delta}\acute{\iota}o\nu$ $\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota}$ $\dot{\delta}$ $\ddot{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\delta\varsigma$ $\mu\epsilon\tau$ $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}\tau\delta\ddot{\sigma}$ and in verse 2, $\kappa\alpha\tau\dot{\epsilon}\beta\eta$ $\tau\dot{\delta}$ $\pi\alpha\iota\dot{\delta}\acute{\iota}o\nu$ $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\nu\dot{\iota}\psi\alpha\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ $\tau\dot{\delta}\dot{\delta}\alpha\varsigma$ $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\varsigma$ $\tau\dot{\delta}\nu$ $T\dot{\iota}\gamma\rho\iota\nu$ $\pi\sigma\tau\alpha\mu\dot{\delta}\nu$. Tobias was an adult young man: he was not only undertaking a journey which a child would not, but he was also soon to marry Raguel's daughter Sarah. Thus D.C. Simpson's translation "young man" is preferable to "boy" in N.E.B.

The meaning "child" (in a relationship sense) is the most common use of παιδίον in the LXX. When he was aware that Esau was near, Jacob arranged his family in the order described in Ge. 33.2: ἐποίησεν τὰς δύο παιδίσκας και τοὺς υἰοὺς αὐτῶν ἐν πρώτοις και Λειαν και τὰ παιδία αὐτῆς ὀπίσω και Ραχηλ και Ιωσηφ ἐσχάτους. In Jb. 1.19 παιδία refers to Job's sons and daughters as they feasted: ἔπεσεν ἡ οἰκία ἐπὶ τὰ παιδία σου, και ἐτελεύτησαν. Several times παιδίον refers to Raguel's daughter Sarah, as in To. 7.10 (BA), where Raguel speaks to Tobias concerning Sarah, σοι γὰρ καθήκει τὸ παιδίον μου λαβεῖν. In these references παιδίον means "child" (who happens to be female)

^{5.} $\text{Mat}\delta io\nu$ in verse 1 has no direct equivalent in BA, where there is simply a plural verb, with no nominative nouns. In verse 2 BA read $\pi a \iota \delta \acute{a} \rho \iota o \nu$.

^{6.} In R.H. Charles (ed.), Apocrypha (Oxford, 1913), p.217.

^{7.} $\theta v \gamma \acute{a} \tau \eta \rho$ is used in the S text at this point.

rather than "daughter". There are many examples in Tobit where $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ is used as a vocative, as in To. 14.11, where the dying Tobit addresses Tobias and his sons, $\kappa\alpha\grave{\iota}$ $\nu\ddot{\upsilon}\nu$, $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}a$, $\ddot{\iota}\delta\epsilon\tau\epsilon$ $\tau\acute{\iota}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\eta\mu\circ\sigma\acute{\upsilon}\nu\eta$ $\pi\circ\iota\epsilon\ddot{\iota}$.

In ten cases $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ means "child" in a metaphorical sense. In Ge. 44.20 it occurs in a phrase describing Benjamin and the circumstances of his birth: $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ $\gamma\acute{\eta}\rho\omega_{\zeta}$ $\nu\epsilon\acute{\omega}\tau\epsilon\rhoο\nu$ $\alpha\dot{\upsilon}\tau\ddot{\varphi}$. In Is. 66.8 it refers to the children of Jerusalem: $\ddot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\nu$ $\Sigma\iota\omega\nu$ $\tau\grave{\alpha}$ $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}a$ $\alpha\dot{\upsilon}\tau\ddot{\eta}_{\zeta}$. The other example is in Je. 38.20 which describes Ephraim as God's child: $\upsilon\dot{\iota}\grave{\delta}_{\zeta}$ $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\pi\eta\tau\grave{\delta}_{\zeta}$ $E\phi\rho\alpha\iota\mu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\acute{\iota}\acute{\iota}$, $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\rho\upsilon\phi\ddot{\omega}\nu$. The relationship is not made explicit here, but the meaning "child" in a relationship sense is required. It is difficult to see how $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ could refer to age in this example. The other seven examples are in Tobit (S), where $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ is used as a form of familiar address.

There are six references where $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \circ \nu$ refers to the offspring or young of animals. In Is. 34.15, for example, there is reference to the young of the $\dot{\epsilon}\chi \bar{\iota}\nu \circ \zeta$ (hedgehog?): $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa \epsilon \bar{\iota}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \acute{o}\sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\chi \bar{\iota}\nu \circ \zeta$, $\kappa \alpha \dot{\iota}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$ $\dot{\eta}$ $\gamma \bar{\eta}$ $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \alpha$ $\alpha \dot{\nu}\tau \bar{\eta}\zeta$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\alpha}\sigma \phi \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \alpha \zeta$.

The other relationship meaning of $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota\acute{o}\nu$ is "servant". The only certain example is in Jd. 19.19 (B), in the phrase $\tau\ddot{\phi}$ $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu\iota\acute{o}\kappa\phi$ $\mu\epsilon\tau\grave{a}$ $\tau\ddot{\omega}\nu$ $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota\acute{\omega}\nu$ σ ov, which refers to the servants of the man of Gibeah. The phrase in A reads

^{8.} Also Ge. 32.16, Le. 22.28, De. 22.7, Jb. 39.3, Is. 11.7.

τῷ παιδαρίφ τοῖς δούλοις σου, which confirms that the meaning of παιδίον in this reference is "servant" rather than "child". Another possible example is in Si. 21.19: πέδαι ἐν ποσὶν ἀνομία τοῦ παιδίου. However this verse is ambiguous and παιδίον could mean "child" as well as "servant". There is also doubt about the correct reading. The words quoted above are found in A+, but instead of ἀνομία τοῦ παιδίου, B reads ἀνοήτοις παιδεία. Rahlfs emends to ἀνοήτου παιδεία.

It is difficult to determine whether $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} o \nu$ primarily denotes age (emphasising the youth of the person concerned) or relationship (emphasising the connection with the parent(s) or others). In many cases $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} o \nu$ refers to both age and relationship. Thus in Ex. 21.22 (quoted above), $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} o \nu$ clearly refers to the age of the child but the phrase $\tau \eth \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} o \nu \alpha \rlap{\iota} v \rlap{\tau} \rlap{\eta}_{\zeta}$ also emphasises the child's relationship to its mother. In Ge. 21 $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} o \nu$ occurs several times in the same passage, sometimes referring mainly to Ishmael's age but in other cases emphasising his position as Hagar's child. In the first part of verse 17 (quoted above) Ishmael is simply $\tau \eth \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} o \nu$, but in the second part the addition of a pronoun makes a significant difference and alters the emphasis: $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \kappa \acute{\eta} \kappa o \epsilon \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\delta} \theta \epsilon \eth_{\zeta}$

There are examples where $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}\circ\nu$ refers only to age. In Ex. 2.9 Pharaoh's daughter refers to Moses: $\delta\iota\alpha\tau\acute{\eta}\rho\eta\sigma\acute{o}\nu$

μοι τὸ παιδίον τοῦτο καὶ θήλασόν μοι αὐτό. She did not know that she was speaking to Moses' mother, and so her words can refer only to Moses' age as a baby rather than his position as the woman's child. In two references $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}$ ον is used in contrast to $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\dot{\nu}\tau\eta\varsigma$. Is. 3.5 describes the chaos which would result from God's judgment on Jerusalem: προσκόψει τὸ παιδίον πρὸς τὸν πρεσβύτην, ὁ ἄτιμος πρὸς τὸν ἕντιμον. 9 The contrast is clearly one of age and nothing else. In Is. 46.3 the phrase $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\dot{\iota}$ ov means from the earliest age onwards: οἶκος τοῦ Ιακωβ καὶ πᾶν τὸ κατάλοιπον τοῦ Ισραηλ οἱ αἰρόμενοι ἐκ κοιλίας καὶ παιδευόμενοι έκ παιδίου. Even if such a stock phrase should not be given too precise a meaning, it nevertheless refers only to age. (However there are enough examples of παιδιον referring to a very young child to suggest that έκ παιδίου should not only be regarded as a stock phrase carried over from earlier usage but also as additional evidence for normal contemporary usage.)

On the other hand there are cases where $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota'\circ\nu$ has little or no reference to age but only to relationship. In Ge. 50.23 we read of Ephraim's descendants: $E\phi\rho\alpha\iota\mu$ $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota'\alpha$ $E\omega_{\zeta}$ $\tau\rho\iota'\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ $\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon\tilde{\alpha}_{\zeta}$. This phrase includes some who would have been young, but others would not have been. In any case the age factor is irrelevant here and the emphasis is

^{9.} Ba. 4.15 also.

on their descent from Ephraim. Another example involving Ephraim is in Je. 38.20 (quoted above) where Ephraim is described as God's child. Ephraim refers to the nation of Israel rather than the son of Joseph of that name, and $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ has no reference to the youth of the nation but her relationship to God. In Ge. 31.28 Laban reproaches Jacob for his hasty departure from Laban's camp, oùe $\dot{\eta}$ ξιώθην καταφιλῆσαι τὰ παιδία μου καὶ τὰς θυγατέρας μου. The use of θυγάτηρ indicates that παιδίον here refers only to male children and is equivalent to $v\dot{\iota}$ ος, which is a relationship word. In several examples it is stated that people had no children, as in Nu. 3.4 which refers to Nadab and Abihu, καὶ παιδία οὐκ $\dot{\eta}\nu$ αὐτο $\dot{\iota}$ ς. Again the age factor is irrelevant, the point being that they had no offspring at all (young or old).

We see therefore that there are many examples of $\pi\alpha\iota\delta i\circ\nu$ which have an age reference or a relationship reference (to the exclusion of the other), and so it is not possible to say that one has the priority.

The 163 examples of $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota\acute{o}\nu$ tend to be concentrated in several parts of the LXX. The Pentateuch contains 61 examples, Tobit 48, and Isaiah sixteen, while the other 48 are scattered in small quantities among the other books.

The most common meaning is "child" ("son" or "daughter") with 94 examples. The other relationship senses are

^{10.} Also 1 Ki. 1.2,5,6, 2 Ki. 6.23, To. 3.15(BA).

"servant", with one example, "offspring or young" of animals, with six, and "child" (in a metaphorical sense), with ten. The age meanings are "child" (new-born or very young), with 26 examples, "child" (older), with 22, and "young man", with four.

Concerning the distribution of meanings among the books, we observe that fifteen of the 22 examples of "child" (older) occur in Genesis, and 39 of the 94 examples of "child" (in a relationship sense) occur in Tobit.

Most of the papyrus examples of παιδίον mean "child", referring to the relationship with the parent(s). P.Tebt. 800.30 (ii B.C.) contains a reference to an unborn child still in the womb: κινδυνεύει [ö] ἔχει ἐγ γ[α]στρὶ παιδίον ἔκ[τ]ρωμα γί[νεσ]θα[ι]. Another example is in B.G.U. 1244.15 (ii B.C.). In several cases the age of the παιδίον is indicated by the adjective ὑποτί (τ)θιος. B.G.U. 1058.12 (i B.C.), for instance, mentions ἐατοῦ δουλικὸν παιδίον ὑποτίθιον θήλυον, and similar examples are B.G.U. 1107.9 (i B.C.) and P.Giss. 2.1.13 (ii B.C.). In other references the age of the παιδίον is not made clear, though the contexts would suggest ages up to teenage years rather than beyond.

The age reference does not seem to be as prominent in the papyri as the relationship aspect. Sometimes the relationship is made explicit by the use of a personal

pronoun. An example is <u>U.P.Z.</u> 60.4 (ii B.C.): αὐτὸς δ' ὑγίαινον καὶ Εὐδαιμονὶς καὶ τὰ παιδία καὶ Ἰσιὰς καὶ τὸ παιδίον σου καὶ οἱ ἐν οἴκωι πάντες. Other examples are <u>B.G.U.</u> 1058.12 (i B.C.), quoted above, and the references in <u>B.G.U.</u> 1107 and <u>P.Giss.</u> 2 already cited. In most cases the relationship is not made explicit, but the context indicates that it is a person's child or children that is meant and not merely someone young, as in <u>SB</u> 8850.5 (iii B.C.): [ὑπὲ]ρ αὐτοῦ καὶ [τῆς γυ]ναικὸς καὶ [τᾶν π]αιδίων.

There are at least three other examples where the meaning is "servant" or "slave". P.Col.Zen. 81.24 (iii B.C.) contains one such reference: Χιλων δ΄ ουκ εφη δυνασθαι προς σε ηξειν. το [[τα]] γαρ παιδιον αυτου περι αυτα εφη ειναι. The παιδίον of Chilon who was occupied with the jars (αὐτά) was a servant rather than a child. P.Ryl. 593.5 (i B.C.) mentions τῷι ἐπιστάτηι τοῦ παιδίου, the "slave supervisor". The other example is in P.Oxy. 2979.9 (i B.C.), where Aphynchis asks Apis to come himself or send his παιδίον (ἤτε σὺ ἢ τὸ παιδίον σου) in order to arrange a lease, where παιδίον again refers to a servant and not a son.

Παιδίον	Child (new-born)	Child (older)	Young / Servant Man	Son (literal)	Son (metaph- orical)	Daughter	Offspring of enimals	Total
Genesis	4	15		14	7		.	35
Exadus	7			89	•			15
Levitions				7			-	2
Numbers				ຄ				10
Deuteronamy	– 1			4				9
Joshua				2				2
Judges	8		1					۳٦
Ruth	ਜ							1
1 Kings		#1	, ,	ស				7
2 Kings	н .			7				ĸ
3 Kinga	ຄ	H			v		,	4
2 Chronicles				г				
Judith				2				
Tobit			7	35	2	4		48
2 Maccabees				-				7
4 Maccabees	7			7				7
Job				۳		٠	-H	4
Ecclesiasticus				H				1
Isdiah	. 9	4		, PD	-		2	16
Jeremiah					Ħ			٦
Baruch		-				•		7
Lamentations				7			•	т
Epistle of Jeremiah				7				7
Susanna				н				т
,			•	- 4				
THO	,			8				2
TOTAL	26	22	4	06	70	4	9	163

Total		18	38	25	6	-1	H	-	7	٦	r
Daughter Offspring of animals		n	2		:						
Daughter											•
Son (metaph— orical)	٠	-	2								.
Son Son (literal) (metaphorical)		10	19	2	6 0	~		7			ન
Young Servent Man							-	•	•		
Young				5							
Child (older)	ı	-	7	16							
Child Child (new-born) (older)		n	13	ហ	ત			•	2	Ħ	
Παιδίον	Hebrew:	peu	yeled	na'ar	taph	bekor	, eped	na arah	yoneq	racham	, nj

25: ΠΑΙΔΙΣΚΗ

By far the majority of the 93 examples of $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta$ in the LXX describe a woman who was a female servant in a household, but there are also examples of the meaning "servant of God" and others where $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta$ refers to young women who were not servants. Although it is a diminutive of $\pi \alpha \bar{\imath} \zeta$, there is little evidence that $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta$ is restricted in terms of age. In most cases in fact it refers to women who are not children but young adults (or older).

There are many references to female servants in the households of the patriarchs. Hagar was Sarah's servant. When Sarah had produced no children after a long time, she said to Abraham, $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon$ ov $\pi \rho \delta_{\zeta}$ $\tau \eta \nu$ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta \nu$ $\mu o \nu$ (Ge. 16.2). In some cases Hagar is described as "the $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta$ " rather than "Sarah's $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta$ ", as in Ge. 21.10 when Sarah tells Abraham, $\ddot{\epsilon} \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \epsilon$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \iota$ $\tau \dot{\delta} \nu$ $\nu \dot{\iota} \dot{\delta} \nu$ $\alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \ddot{\eta}_{\zeta}$. But though in such cases the relationship between servant and mistress is not made explicit, there is no doubt about Hagar's status as a servant. \frac{1}{2}

Similarly there are references to Zilpah as $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota\sigma\kappa\eta$ to Laban or Leah, ² Bilhah to Laban or Rachel, ³ and the $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota\sigma\kappa\alpha\iota$ of Abimelech. ⁴

^{1.} Other references to Hagar are in Ge. 16.1,3,5,6,8, 21.10,12,13, 25.12.

^{2.} Ge. 29.24(bis), 30.9,10,12,18, 35.26.

^{3.} Ge. 29.29(bis), 30.3,4,5,7, 35.25.

^{4.} Ge. 20.17.

Outside the Pentateuch there are references to the servants of Judith, 5 Raguel 6 and Susanna, 7 to mention some examples. The references to Judith's servants include all her $\pi\alpha\iota\delta i\sigma\kappa\alpha\iota$, as in Ju. 8.7, where it is said that Manasseh (her deceased husband) left her $\pi\alpha\bar{\iota}\delta\alpha\varsigma$ $\kappa\alpha\bar{\iota}$ $\pi\alpha\iota\delta i\sigma\kappa\alpha\varsigma$, as well as the particular $\pi\alpha\iota\delta i\sigma\kappa\eta$ who accompanied her to the camp of the Assyrians, as in Ju. 10.10, $\kappa\alpha\bar{\iota}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\bar{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$ Iov $\delta\iota\theta$, $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\eta}$ $\kappa\alpha\bar{\iota}$ $\dot{\eta}$ $\pi\alpha\iota\delta i\sigma\kappa\eta$ $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\bar{\eta}\varsigma$ $\mu\epsilon\tau'$ $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\bar{\eta}\varsigma$.

There are also other references of a more general nature, and in several cases $\pi\alpha\iota\delta(\sigma\kappa\eta)$ is used in conjunction with $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}\zeta$, as in Jd. 8.7 (quoted above) and in Ex. 20.10, referring to the law of the Sabbath, où $\pi\circ\iota\dot{\eta}\sigma\varepsilon\iota\zeta$ ev av $\tau\eta$ $\pi\tilde{a}\nu$ epyo ν , où kaì o vió ζ σου καὶ $\dot{\eta}$ θυγάτηρ σου, ο $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}\zeta$ σου καὶ $\dot{\eta}$ $\pi\alpha\iota\delta(\sigma\kappa\eta)$ σου. It is also used in conjunction with $\delta\circ\tilde{\upsilon}\lambda\circ\zeta$, as in 2 Es. 2.65. After numbering the returning Jewish exiles, the passage continues $\chi\omega\rho\dot{\iota}\zeta$ δούλων αὐτῶν καὶ $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota\sigma\kappa$ ῶν αὐτῶν. 9

In Ju. 11, $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota'\sigma\kappa\eta$ is used in a different type of context when referring to Judith as Holophernes' $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota'\sigma\kappa\eta$.

^{5.} Ju. 8.7, 10.10.

^{6.} To. 3.7, 8.12,13,14(S), 10.10(S).

^{7.} Su. 30(G), 36(bis)(TH).

^{8.} Also Ge. 12.16, 20.14,24,35, 30.43, 32.6, Ex. 20.17, 21.20,32, Le. 25.6,44, De. 5.14(bis),21, 12.12,18, 16.11,14, 28.68, 4 Ki. 5.26, 1 Es. 5.1,41(bis), Es. 7.4, To. 10.10(S), Je. 41.9,10,11,16.

^{9.} Also 2 Es. 17.67, Ps. 122.2, Ec. 2.7.

In Ju. 11.5 Judith says to Holophernes, $\delta \epsilon \xi a \iota \tau \dot{a} \dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a \tau \ddot{\eta} \zeta$ $\delta o \dot{v} \lambda \eta \zeta$ sou kai $\lambda a \lambda \eta s \dot{a} \tau \dot{w} \dot{\eta}$ $\pi a \iota \delta i s \kappa \eta$ sou kat $\dot{a} \eta \rho \dot{s} s \omega \dot{v} \dot{v}$ sou. ¹⁰ Judith was not Holophernes' servant by virtue of being owned by him or attending on him regularly. But she was nevertheless his servant, because, first, she was at the time under his authority, and secondly, she was (in appearance at least) rendering the service of showing his army a way into her town.

We note among these above mentioned examples that $\pi\alpha\iota\delta i\sigma\kappa\eta$ refers to different sorts of servants. It refers on the one hand to women who were personal attendants or favourite slaves, such as Hagar, Zilpah, and Bilhah to their respective masters or mistresses, and Judith's servant. Other $\pi\alpha\iota\delta i\sigma\kappa\alpha\iota$ on the other hand did not enjoy the same status but were apparently household servants employed in more general tasks.

There are three examples where $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota'\sigma\kappa\eta$ describes a servant of God. Wi. 9.5 records a worshipper's prayer, $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ δοῦλος σὸς καὶ νἱὸς $\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ παιδίσκης σου. The identical phrase νἱὸς (or νἱὸν) $\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ παιδίσκης σου occurs in the other two references. 11

Three other references show that $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota\sigma\kappa\eta$ can be used to describe a young woman who was not a servant. In Ge. 34.4 Shechem uses the word of Leah's daughter

^{10.} Also Ju. 11.6. Apart from the verse quoted, δούλη is used in the same way as $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta$ in Ju. 11.16, 17(bis), 12.4,6.

^{11.} Ps. 85.16, 115.7.

Dinah, as he speaks to his father, λαβέ μοι την παιδίσκην ταύτην είς γυναῖκα. In Ru. 4.12 the people of Bethlehem speak of Ruth in a similar way, as they express their wish for Boaz, γένοιτο ὁ οἶκός σου ὡς ὁ οίκος Φαρες ... έκ τοῦ σπέρματος, οδ δώσει κύριός σοι έκ τῆς παιδίσκης ταύτης. In neither case were the women servants, nor do the Hebrew equivalents require this meaning. 12 It is unlikely that the women were being described contemptuously. Shechem was in love with Dinah (as the previous verse indicates), and there is no suggestion that the people of Bethlehem had anything but a very high regard for Ruth. It is possible that the translators have misunderstood the original stories, though this conclusion is not necessary. It is more likely that they have deliberately and consciously used $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota\sigma\kappa\eta$ with the meaning "young woman", without the connotation of slavery.

The other example is in Ju. 12.13, where Bagoas says to Judith, μη ὀκνησάτω δη ἡ παιδίσκη ἡ καλη αὕτη ἐλθοῦσα πρὸς τὸν κύριόν μου δοξασθήναι κατὰ πρόσωπον αὐτοῦ. Here παιδίσκη describes Judith not as a slave (as it does in the previous chapter 13) but as a young woman. Bagoas was trying to persuade Judith to enjoy Holophernes' company, and it is hardly likely that in this context he would refer to her as a slavegirl.

^{12.} In Ge. 34.4 the Hebrew is <u>yaldah</u> and in Ru. 4.12 <u>na arah</u>. The latter can mean "servant-girl" but need not.

^{13.} Ju. 11.5,6.

With regard to the distribution of $\pi\alpha\iota\delta i\sigma\kappa\eta$, 53 of the 93 examples are in the Pentateuch, including 37 in Genesis. The other references are scattered throughout the LXX, except that there are several books (such as Chronicles and Maccabees) in which it does not occur.

As we have already observed, the meaning "female servant" (with a human master or mistress) accounts for the majority of the references, 87 out of 93, with the other two meanings ("servant of God" and "young woman") having three examples each.

In the papyri, παιδίσκη usually refers to a slave-girl, who could be bought and sold and was the possession of her master. SB 6771.48 (iii B.C.) ¹⁴ refers to the price of a παιδίσκη : [εἰς τὸ δ]άνειον προσδεχόμ[εθα τι]μὴ[ν π]αιδίσκης α. Similarly, SB 6709.22 (iii B.C.) reads ἀνὴ παιδίσκη[ς], and P.S.I. 406.25 (iii B.C.) states: Δριμύλος παιδίσκην ἡγόραζεν +T. The work done by some παιδίσκαι is indicated in SB 6730.2 (iii B.C.) δόντες τὰ Μιλήσια ἔρια ταῖς ἐμ Μέμφει παιδίσκα[ι]ς, and similarly SB 7639.10 (iii B.C.). ¹⁷

There is another instance, SB 5103.12 (iii B.C.), 18

^{14.} SB 6771 = P.Cair.Zen. 59355.

^{15.} SB 6709 = P.Cair.Zen. 59003.

^{16.} SB 6730 = P.Cair.Zen. 59142.

^{17.} SB 7639 = P.Ryl. 556.

^{18.} SB 5103 = U.P.Z. 1.

which Preisigke, <u>WB</u>, lists under the meaning "daughter". $\Pi \alpha \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta$ occurs in the phrase $\dot{\sigma}$ $\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ $\tau \ddot{\eta} \zeta$ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta \zeta$, and it clearly refers to the man's daughter (cf. line 2, $\tau \ddot{\sigma}$ (sic) $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \dot{\sigma} \zeta$ $\tau \ddot{\eta} \zeta$ $\theta \nu \gamma \alpha \tau \rho \dot{\sigma} \zeta$), but $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta$ need not actually mean "daughter" and probably means "girl".

Thus we see in Hellenistic Greek the same basic meanings as in earlier writings (young girl or woman, and female slave), although there is no longer any emphasis on youth. We might almost say there is little or no reference to youth at all. LSJ mentions another meaning, "prostitute" (with examples from Herodotus and Isaeus from classical times). This meaning does not occur in the LXX or contemporary writings, though LSJ cite later references from Plutarch.

Παιδίσκη	Young Woman	Servant of man	Servant of God	Total
Genesis	1	36	•	37
Exodus		5	,	· 5
Leviticus		2		2
Deuteronomy		:9		9
Judges		.2		2
Ruth	1.	1		2
l Kings		1		1
2 Kings		3		3
4 Kings		1		1
1 Esdres		3		3
2 Esdras		2		2
Esther		ľ		1
Judith	1	4	•	5 - '
Tobit		6	•	6
Psalms		1	2	3
Ecclesiastes		1		. 1
Wisdom			1	· 1
Ecclesiasticus		1		1
Minor Prophets		1		1
Jeremiah	•	4		4
Susanna		3		3
TOTAL	3	B7	3	93
Hebrew:				
shipchah		40		40
• amah		28	2	30
yaldah	1			1
na (arah	1	1		2

26: ΠΑΙΣ

The 467 examples of $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ in the LXX may be divided into two main categories: (a) examples where it refers to age (meaning "child" or "young adult", whether male or female), and (b) examples where it refers to relationship (meaning "servant" in various senses, or "child", whether "son" or "daughter").

The age references account for only 28 examples of $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$. The word does not refer to new-born or very young children, but in nine cases it refers to older children. In 4 Ki. 4.24 we read that after Elisha cursed the children who mocked him, $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\bar{\eta}\lambda\theta\sigma\nu$ δύο άρκοι $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ τοῦ δρυμοῦ καὶ ἀνέρρηξαν $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ αὐτῶν τεσσαράκοντα καὶ δύο $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \delta \alpha_{\zeta}$. That they were young children is shown by the phrase $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \alpha$ $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha}$ in the preceding verse. I have included in the nine references to older children four examples of $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ in the phrase $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \dot{\alpha}_{\zeta}$. The contexts in which this phrase occurs suggest that an age later than infancy but earlier than adolescence is intended. In Ge. 46.34, for example, Joseph's brothers speak of themselves: ἄνδρες κτηνοτρόφοι $\dot{\epsilon}$ σμὲν οἱ $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}$ δ $\dot{\epsilon}_{\zeta}$ σου $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \delta_{\zeta}$ $\ddot{\epsilon}\omega_{\zeta}$ τοῦ νῦν. However this is a stock

^{1.} Other examples of this phrase are in 2 Ma. 6.23, 15.12, Pr. 29.21.

phrase and the contexts in which it occurs may not be relevant. It may simply refer to a youthful age generally without necessarily being restricted to any particular age.

Ma $\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ is applied to youths or young men in nine examples. There are several references to the martyrs in the story in 2 and 4 Maccabees. In 4 Ma. 12.9 we read of the reaction of the persecutors when it seemed that one of the martyrs was willing to renounce his religion and eat the polluted food: καὶ ἐπιχαρέντες μάλιστα ἐπὶ τῆ ἐπαγγελία τοῦ παιδὸς ταχέως ἔλυσαν αὐτόν. In To. 7.10(S) Tobias is described as ὁ πα $\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$: Ραγουηλ... $\epsilon \tilde{\iota}_{\pi}\epsilon \nu$ τῷ παιδί (in BA, $\epsilon \tilde{\iota}_{\pi}\epsilon \nu$ Ραγουηλ πρὸς Τωβιαν). Another example is in Ec. 4.13:

άγαθὸς παῖς πένης καὶ σοφὸς ὑπὲρ βασιλέα πρεσβύτερον καὶ ἄφρονα.

Here $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}\zeta$ could mean "son" but it is more likely to refer only to age. The point of contrast is between the youthful age of the $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}\zeta$ and the age of the $\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\epsilon\dot{\imath}\zeta$ who is $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\dot{\imath}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\zeta$.

There are ten examples where $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ refers to a young

^{2.} $\Pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ referring to the martyrs sometimes means "youth" and sometimes "son". Where a relationship to the mother is expressed, as in 4 Ma. 16.9, $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \ \epsilon \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu \ \pi \alpha i \delta \omega \nu$, it clearly means "son". There are other examples, such as 4 Ma. 12.9 (quoted above), where no relationship is expressed or implied and where $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ means "youth". In other cases however either meaning is possible, and it is merely a question of personal preference as to which is chosen.

woman. Six of these are in De. 22, where there is a series of laws concerning sexual offences to do with young women. $\Pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ is used in this section³ to describe an unmarried girl of marriageable age (who in some cases was betrothed and in others not). $\theta \nu \gamma \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$ and $\nu \epsilon \tilde{\alpha} \nu \iota_{\zeta}$ are also used. $\theta \nu \gamma \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho^4$ describes the relationship between the girl and her parent(s), but $\nu \epsilon \tilde{\alpha} \nu \iota_{\zeta}^5$ is used interchangeably with $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ to describe the age of the girl. One of the laws (in verses 25-26) states:

έὰν δὲ ἐν πεδίψ εὕρη ἄνθρωπος τὴν παῖδα τὴν μεμνηστευμένην καὶ βιασάμενος κοιμηθῆ μετ' αὐτῆς, ἀποκτενεῖτε τὸν ἄνθρωπον καὶ τῆ νεάνιδι οὐ ποιήσετε οὐδέν.

Other young women are described by $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$. In Ru. 2.6 Boaz's servant informs his master about Ruth: $\dot{\eta}$ $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ $\dot{\eta}$ Mwa $\beta \tilde{\imath} \tau i_{\zeta}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \phi \epsilon \tilde{\imath} \sigma \alpha$ New $\mu \iota \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho \sigma \tilde{\imath}$ Mwa β . Similarly, $\pi \alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ refers to Rebekah and Dinah.

The first (and most common) meaning in the category of relationship references is "servant". The same shades of meaning which we see in the use of $\delta o \bar{v} \lambda o_{\zeta}$ and $o i \kappa \epsilon \tau \eta_{\zeta}$ occur also in the use of $\pi \alpha \bar{\iota}_{\zeta}$: (a) slave or servant, where the master-servant relationship was actively practised; (b) servant, where the master-servant relationship existed but was not practised; (c) servant, in what may be called a respectful sense, where there was no actual master-

^{3.} In verses 15(bis),16,23,25,28.

^{4.} In verses 16,17(bis).

^{5.} In verses 19,20,21,24,26(bis),27,29.

^{6.} Ge. 24.28,57.

^{7.} Ge. 34.12.

servant relationship; and (d) servant of God.

In sense (a) παῖς describes several different types of servants. On at least one occasion it refers to a man's personal attendants. In Jd. 3.24 the παῖδες of Eglon (king of Moab) are those who came to wait on Eglon after Ehud had left his presence. Somewhat similar to this example are the references to a king's courtiers, although these men were ministers of state or advisers rather than personal servants. Thus, in Ge. 41.37 the effect of Joseph's interpretation of Pharaoh's dream and his suggested plan was that ἤρεσεν δὲ τὰ ῥήματα ἐναντίον Φαραω καὶ ἐναντίον πάντων τῶν παίδων αὐτοῦ. There are similar references to the courtiers of Saul, 8 David 9 and Solomon, 10 as well as others.

Παῖς is used in a different way to refer to workmen. Often they were skilled men, such as Egyptian embalmers in Ge. 50.2, προσέταξεν Ιωσηφ τοῖς παισίν αὐτοῦ τοῖς ἐνταφιασταῖς ἐνταφιάσαι τὸν πατέρα αὐτοῦ, or Pharaoh's butler and baker in Ge. 41.10, where the butler says, Φαραω ὡργίσθη τοῖς παισίν αὐτοῦ καὶ ἔθετο ἡμᾶς ἐν φυλακῆ ἐν τῷ οἴκῳ τοῦ ἀρχιμαγείρου, ἐμέ τε καὶ τὸν ἀρχισιτοποιόν. Others would appear to have been unskilled, such as Isaac's παῖδες in Ge. 26.19, ὥρυξαν οἱ παῖδες Ισαακ ἐν τῷ φάραγγι Γεραρων καὶ εὖρον ἐκεῖ φρέαρ ὑδατος ζῶντος.

^{8.} E.g. 1 Ki. 16.15.

^{9.} E.g. 2 Ki. 12.19.

^{10.} E.g. 3 Ki. 10.5.

There are several examples where $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ refers to tribes or nations or large groups of people who were in bondage. After their attempt to deceive the Israelites was discovered, the Gibeonites were made their slaves, so that when they needed protection they appealed to Joshua for help, in Jo. 10.6: $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ἐκλύσης $\tau \dot{\alpha}_{\zeta}$ χε $\tilde{\iota}$ ράς σου ἀπὸ $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ παίδων σου. In Ex. 5.16 πα $\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ is used of the Israelites in Egypt: ἄχυρον οὐ δίδοται το $\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ οἰκέταις σου, καὶ τὴν πλίνθον ἡμ $\tilde{\iota}$ ν λέγουσιν ποιε $\tilde{\iota}$ ν, καὶ ἰδοὸ οἰ πα $\tilde{\iota}$ δές σου μεμαστίγωνται. However πα $\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ is not synonymous with οἰκέτης here, for οἰκέτης refers to all the people but πα $\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ only to the leaders. The leaders were complaining that they were being beaten (verse 14) because the people could not meet the impossible demands made on them.

The Egyptian people are also described as $\pi\alpha\tilde{\imath}\delta\epsilon\zeta$.

Joseph $\tau \partial \nu$ λα $\partial \nu$ κατεδουλώσατο αὐτῷ (Pharaoh) ϵi_{ζ} παῖδα $_{\zeta}$ ἀπρων ὁρίων Αἰγύπτου έω $_{\zeta}$ τῶν ἄκρων (Ge. 47.21). In the period of famine in Egypt, the people became completely dependent on the food provided by Pharaoh through Joseph, and this verse describes how after a few years they could pay for it only by becoming slaves to Pharaoh.

In sense (b) $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ is used frequently to describe the relationship of Joseph's brothers to Joseph (when he was in power in Egypt). After Joseph accused them of being spies, they denied and said, oi $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \delta \acute{e}_{\zeta}$ σου $\ddot{\eta}_{\lambda}\theta o \mu \epsilon \nu$ $\pi \rho \acute{\iota} \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ $\beta \rho \acute{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ (Ge. 42.10). They were not literally Joseph's servants but were hoping to return to Canaan where they would have nothing to do with Joseph. But at the time they were subject to Joseph's authority. At a later stage, when the family came to live in Egypt, the brothers are described as Pharaoh's $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \delta \epsilon_{\zeta}$. In Ge. 46.34 Joseph instructs his brothers to say to Pharaoh, $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon_{\zeta} \kappa \tau \eta \nu \sigma \tau \rho \delta \phi \sigma \iota \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oi $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \delta \dot{\epsilon}_{\zeta}$ σου. They were thus accepting Pharaoh's authority over them without being actively engaged in working for him.

Also in sense (b), $\pi\alpha\tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ describes the relationship of a conquered people to a king, as in 1 Ch. 18.2:

^{11.} Also Ge. 42.11,13, 44.7,9,16,18(bis),19,21,23,32.

^{12.} Also Ge. 47.3,4(bis),19.

ἐπάταξεν τὴν Μωαβ, καὶ ἦσαν Μωαβ παῖδες τῷ Δαυιδ φέροντες δῶρα. It also refers to an individual as subject to his king. In 2 Ki. 19.20 Shimei (who was not the king's servant in a direct and active sense) appeals to David, μὴ μνησθῆς ὅσα ἡδίκησεν ὁ παῖς σου ἐν τῆ ἡμέρα, ἡ ὁ κύριός μου ὁ βασιλεὺς ἐξεπορεύετο ἐξ Ιερουσαλημ. There is one other example where παῖς describes the relationship of the "sons of the prophets" to the prophet Elisha. 13

The third sense in which $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ means "servant" is the "respectful" use of the word. Most of these examples are found in Genesis and refer to Jacob. First, Jacob is described as $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ to his brother Esau. In Ge. 32.5 we read of Jacob's message to Esau: $057\omega_{\zeta}$ $\lambda\acute{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon\iota$ or $\pi\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ our Iakwa Metà $\Lambda\alpha\beta\alpha\nu$ $\pi\alpha\rho\psi\kappa\eta\alpha\alpha$ kai $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\rho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\iota\alpha$ $\dot{\epsilon}\omega_{\zeta}$ $\tauo\bar{\nu}$ $\nu\bar{\nu}\nu$. This was the first contact between the two brothers since Jacob had cheated Esau of his father Isaac's blessing. Jacob thought that Esau would still be seeking revenge and at this first meeting tried to placate his brother. Thus the use of $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ here does not mean that Jacob was submitting to Esau's authority and control over him, but that he was showing extreme respect in a delicate situation.

Jacob is also described as his son Joseph's $\pi \alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$.

^{13. 4} Ki. 2.16. For examples of $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ describing the "sons of the prophets" in relation to Elisha, and discussion of this point, see p. 27.

^{14.} Also Ge. 32.6,19,21, 33.5,8,14.

In Ge. 43.28 Joseph's brothers are speaking to Joseph about Jacob: $\dot{\nu}\gamma\iota\alpha\dot{\iota}\nu\epsilon\iota$ \dot{o} $\pi\alpha\bar{\iota}_{\zeta}$ σου \dot{o} $\pi\alpha\dot{\tau}\dot{\eta}\rho$ $\dot{\eta}\mu\bar{\omega}\nu$, $\ddot{\epsilon}\tau\iota$ $\zeta\bar{\eta}$. ¹⁵ Jacob himself was many miles away at the time and not under Joseph's authority. The brothers were merely being polite as they spoke to the ruler of Egypt.

Another example is in 4 Ki. 18.26, where the Jewish leaders say to the Assyrian Rabshakeh, $\lambda \acute{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \nu \delta \mathring{\eta} \pi \rho \delta \zeta$ $\tau \circ \delta \acute{\alpha} \zeta \sigma \circ \delta \omega \Sigma \upsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \acute{\iota}$. Jerusalem was under siege at the time and the Assyrians were certainly in the better position. However the Jews had in no sense submitted to the Assyrians and the language used here is merely diplomatic.

In the fourth sense, $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ is applied to servants of God, both those who had a specific work to do in God's service and those who were God's servants in the more general sense of being under God's authority. The former group includes the prophets. In 1 Es. 8.79 Ezra confesses, $\pi\alpha\rho\dot{\epsilon}\beta\eta\mu\epsilon\nu$ yàp $\tau\dot{\alpha}$ $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\dot{\alpha}\gamma\mu\alpha\tau\dot{\alpha}$ gov, $\ddot{\alpha}$ $\ddot{\epsilon}\delta\omega\kappa\alpha_{\zeta}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\chi\epsilon\iota\rho$ $\dot{\tau}\bar{\omega}\nu$ $\pi\alpha\dot{\epsilon}\delta\omega\nu$ gov $\dot{\tau}\bar{\omega}\nu$ $\pi\rho\sigma\eta\tau\bar{\omega}\nu$. Kings and other leaders (such as Moses, Joshua, David and Nehemiah) are described as God's $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}\delta\epsilon_{\zeta}$, as in Jo. 1.17 where God is instructing Joshua: $\ddot{\iota}\sigma\chi\nu\epsilon$ $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$ $\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota}$ $\dot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\dot{\iota}\zeta\sigma\nu$ $\phi\nu\lambda\dot{\alpha}\sigma\sigma\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ $\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota}$ $\pi\sigma\iota\epsilon\bar{\iota}\nu$ $\kappa\alpha\theta\dot{\sigma}\tau\iota$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\epsilon\dot{\iota}\lambda\alpha\tau\dot{\sigma}$ go. M $\omega\nu\sigma\ddot{\eta}_{\zeta}$ $\dot{\sigma}$ $\pi\alpha\ddot{\iota}\zeta$ $\mu\nu\nu$.

^{15.} Also Ge. 44.24,27,30,31.

^{16.} The identical words are used in the parallel account in Is. 36.11.

The second way in which $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}\zeta$ is used as a relationship word, is to describe the relationship of a child to his parent(s). We have already noted the use of $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}\zeta$ to describe the seven martyrs. At the end of 4 Maccabees, they are described as sons or children of their mother, $\tau\sigma\delta\zeta$ έπτὰ $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}\delta\alpha\zeta$ $\tau\tilde{\eta}\zeta$ Αβρααμίτι $\delta\sigma\zeta$ (18.20), and then as sons of Abraham, oi δὲ Αβραμια $\tilde{\iota}\sigma$ $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}\delta\epsilon\zeta$ (18.23). In Pr. 4.1 a teacher addresses his pupils: $\dot{\alpha}\kappa\sigma\sigma\tau\epsilon$, $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}\delta\epsilon\zeta$, $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\epsilon\dot{\iota}\alpha\nu$ $\pi\alpha\tau\rho\dot{\iota}\varsigma$. Here $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}\zeta$ means "son", although it is used metaphorically and does not describe a literal father-son relationship. Other examples are in To. 11.5(BA), $\Delta\nu\nu\alpha$ ἐκάθητο $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\sigma\mu\acute{\epsilon}\nu\eta$ ε $i\zeta$ $\tau\tilde{\eta}\nu$ $\dot{\iota}\delta\delta\nu$ $\tau\delta\nu$ $\tau\tilde{\iota}\delta\alpha$ $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\tilde{\iota}\varsigma$ and Jb. 29.5, where Job is speaking of his life in the past:

ότε ήμην ὑλώδης λίαν, κύκλφ δέ μου οἰ παῖδες.

A difficult example is in Es. 2.7, where Esther is described as Mordecai's $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$, in the phrase $\tilde{\eta}\nu$ τ ούτ ψ $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ $\theta\rho\epsilon\pi\tau\tilde{\eta}$. The wording here indicates a relationship of some sort, but $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ does not mean "child" or "daughter", since we are told in the same verse that Esther was the daughter of Aminadab (Mordecai's uncle), so that Esther

was Mordecai's cousin. There are several possibilities here: (i) $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ is used loosely, with a meaning like "adopted daughter" or "kinswoman"; ¹⁷ (ii) $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ means "servant" (though nothing in the story of Ruth suggests this); (iii) we may ignore the indication of relationship and translate $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ as "girl" or "young woman".

Concerning the distribution of $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$, the Pentateuch accounts for 124 examples (over one quarter of the total), though within the Pentateuch the distribution is not very even since there are 93 examples in Genesis. The books of Kings contain 111 examples and the books of Chronicles 62, with other worthwhile contributions by Joshua (14), 4 Maccabees (24), Isaiah (21) and Jeremiah (19).

With regard to the meanings, the statistics show that $\pi\alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ is predominantly a relationship word, since there are only 28 cases in which it describes age, and furthermore it means "servant" (402 times) far more often than it means "child" or "son" (30 times). Within the references to servants, we notice too that sense (a) predominates over the others in a ratio of about two to 18 one.

Some further observations may be made about the distribution of meanings within particular books. The

^{17.} $\theta v \gamma \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$ is used in a similar way of Ruth in relation to Naomi and Boaz. See p. 45.

^{18.} In contrast to $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$, where the ratio of sense (a) to the others is only one to two.

meaning "servant of God" occurs in twelve of the fourteen examples of Joshua and eighteen of the 21 in Isaiah. There are 22 examples of this meaning in Chronicles, whereas there is none in Kings.

The usage of $\pi\alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ in 2-4 Maccabees is most unusual, especially the 24 examples in 4 Maccabees. Of these 24, five mean "young man" (out of a total of nine examples of $\pi\alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ with this meaning) and eighteen mean "son" (out of a total of 29). In no case in 4 Maccabees does $\pi\alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ mean "servant", and if we include 2 and 3 Maccabees, there is only one example out of 29 in these three books where $\pi\alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ has this meaning.

In the papyri there seems to be only one Ptolemaic example where $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ has an age reference. The phrase $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\dot{\delta}_{\zeta}$ occurs in <u>U.P.Z.</u> 144.19 (ii B.C.): $\mu\epsilon\mu\nu\eta\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\nu$ $\tau\ddot{\eta}_{\zeta}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\dot{\delta}_{\zeta}$ $\pi\rho\dot{\delta}_{\zeta}$ $\tau\epsilon$ $\tau\dot{\delta}\nu$ $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\nu\nu$ $\pi\alpha\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\alpha$ $\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota}$ $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$ $oi\kappa\dot{\iota}\alpha\nu$ [[$\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon\tilde{\imath}$]] $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon\dot{\iota}\nu\eta\nu$ $\phi\iota\lambda\dot{\iota}\alpha_{\zeta}$.

However there are several examples in <u>P.Oxy</u>. 275 (i A.D.), which is only slightly later than our period. This papyrus is a contract in which Tryphon apprentices his son Thoonis to the weaver Ptolemaeus, and $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ is used with the meaning "boy" to describe Thoonis, in lines 14, 17 and 23. Thus in line 23 we read of one of the conditions: οὐκ ἐξόντος τῷ Τρύφωνι ἀποσπᾶν τὸν πα $\tilde{\iota}$ δα ἀπὸ τοῦ Πτολεμα $\tilde{\iota}$ ου.

By far the majority of examples of $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ in the papyri refers to servants. People of different status

are described. On the one hand(and in most cases) there are slaves who were the property of their master. In this sense there are several references to slaves who had run away, as in P.Cair.Zen. 59015. verso. 3 (iii B.C.). 19 ότι οι παϊδες οι άποδράντες μηνυτρίζοιντο, and similarly in SB 9779.2 (iii B.C.) and P.S.I. 637.4 (iii B.C.). But on the other hand, $\pi\alpha i\zeta$ is sometimes used to describe a servant who was a workman rather than a slave. One such example is in P.Col.Zen. 87.23 (iii B.C.): αλλα τους (Σ)υρους παιδας ους γραφετε εν τωι απολογισμωι των σωματων. The editors' note states: "The $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\alpha\varsigma$ we understand to be the regular paid hands of the former great farm of Apollonios."²⁰ Other examples of παῖς used in this way are in P.Cair.Zen. 59043.7 (iii B.C.), 59751.12 (ii B.C.).

There is one other example (and possibly a second) where $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ is used of other relationships. SB 4312 (Ptolemaic) is an inscription from a tombstone (from Alexandria). It begins:

Παῖδά με Δωσιθέαν τὴν Οἰκ[ονόμου μάθε φῦναι] λεύσσων εἰς ξεστῆς γράμ[ματα τῆσδε(?) πλακός].

Here παῖς means "daughter". The other possibility is SB

8545.8, τούς τε νέους αὐτῶν καὶ γυναῖκας καὶ παῖδας καὶ παρθένους. This may well mean "sons" but could also mean

^{19.} P.Cair.Zen. 59015 = SB 6782.

^{20.} W.L. Westermann, C.W. Keyes and H. Liebesny, Zenon Papyri (vol. II) (New York, 1940), p.96.

"servants". There is also some uncertainty about the date of this papyrus. In \underline{SB} its date is given as iii B.C. or i A.D.

We conclude therefore that in this period the meaning "servant" has come to predominate. This contrasts with earlier usage. In LSJ this meaning occupies only three lines in an article of half a column, with references from Aeschylus, Aristophanes, Demosthenes, and Epicrates (iv B.C. comedian). The main meanings in classical literature are "son" or "daughter", and "boy" or "girl".

The use of $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ as an age word has almost disappeared in the Ptolemaic period. The one papyrus example is in the stock phrase $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\dot{\circ}_{\zeta}$, which is not necessarily evidence of general contemporary usage of the word. In the LXX, the nine examples classified as "older child" include four examples of $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\dot{\circ}_{\zeta}$, and three others from books which Thackeray classes as literary (4 Maccabees, Proverbs and the Wisdom of Solomon). Six of the nine examples of "young man" are from literary books (2 and 4 Maccabees). The meaning "young woman" is better attested, with nine examples from the Pentateuch (good $\kappa o\iota \nu \hat{\eta}$ Greek, according to Thackeray) and one other from Ruth (a literal or unintelligent version, in Thackeray's list).

Similar points may be made about $\pi\alpha i\zeta$ meaning "child" ("son" or "daughter"). Outside the LXX, the one certain example, <u>SB</u> 4312, may be described as a semi-

literary usage, while <u>SB</u> 8545 is doubtful evidence for the two reasons noted above. The thirty LXX examples include 27 from literary books, the other three being one each from Judith and Tobit, and the example in Es. 2.7 where the meaning is uncertain.

In spite of this tendency in Ptolemaic times, the New Testament contains examples of the meanings "boy", 21 "girl", 22 and "son", 23 as well as the more common "servant" in various senses. 24

^{21.} E.g. Matthew 2.16.

^{22.} Luke 8.51,54.

^{23.} John 4.51.

^{24.} For fuller information on all meanings, see BAG.

*	f																															٠	•	•	134	4.
	4																						i e				٠					-	- .			···•
Total .	93	80	r	2	13	14	2	-	23	45	24	19	24	38	14	6	ы	4	4	4	n	2	24	4	۷	7	9	6	٦ ٦	21	19	ស	-		11	467
Uncertain					•		٠										-										-	9							,	۲.
Son (metaph- orical)	(Table)		-											•											~											- +
Son (literal)															-			-	7			7	18		4		-	7								53
Servant (sense (d)			7	7		12							10	12	4	ហ							.•	4	-		က			18	4	S			9	92
Servant sense (c) (12					.			~			7			•										- 1,4	i				-					•	16
Servant sense (b)	18			4						2	, ,		د	7	-													٠						-		34
Servant sense (a)	52	80	7	2	7	7	2		22	43	21	16	, 6	24	8	4	2	٠ د	2	4		ਜ					2		1	7	15		, H	T	ഗ	260
Young	ຄ				9			~														۴														10
Young	•	<i>t</i> '		٠							н								т		7		ហ			п										6
Child (older)	т										#1	п									7		٦	•	2			ન								6
Παῖς	Genesis	Exadus	Leviticus	Numbers.	Deuteronomy	Joshua	Judges	Ruth	1 Kings	2 Kings	3 Kings	4 Kings	l Chronicles	2 Chronicles	1 Esdras	2 Esdras	Esther	Judith	Tobit	1 Maccabees	2 Maccabees	3 Maccabees	4 Maccabees	Psalms	Proverbs	Ecclesiastes	Job	Wisdom	Ecclesiasticus	Isaiah	Jeremiah	Baruch	Ezekiel	Susanna	Daniel	TOTAL

Hebrews
'ebed
yeled
na'arah
no'ar
ne'urim
ben
'ish
'enosh
'enosh
'am
'mm'ak

27: ΠΑΡΘΈΝΟΣ

There are 65 examples of $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\nu}\nu_{0}\varsigma$ in the LXX. Its primary meaning is "young woman", but it also means "virgin" (both literally and in a metaphorical sense). It is best to take "young woman" as the primary meaning since it is the more general sense. "Young woman" applies in all cases whereas "virgin" is a specialised meaning which applies only in some.

This basic meaning "young woman" is seen in fourteen examples where νεανίσκος and παρθένος are used in juxtaposition as male and female counterparts. 1 Ma. 1.26 describes the effect of Antiochus' plunder of Jerusalem and the temple: ἐστέναξαν ἄρχοντες καὶ πρεσβύτεροι, παρθένοι καὶ νεανίσκοι ἡσθένησαν. 1 Similarly, παρθένος and νήπιος are used together in 2 Ma. 5.13 which refers to another attack by Antiochus' army on Jerusalem: ἐγίνετο δὲ νέων καὶ πρεσβυτέρων ἀναίρεσις, ἀνήβων τε καὶ γυναικῶν καὶ τέκνων ἀφανισμός, παρθένων τε καὶ νηπίων σφαγαί. In these examples there is no reference to virginity, and παρθένος conveys the fact that the people were young and female.

We see from Ge. 34.2-3 that "virgin" is not the essential meaning of $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{e}\nu\sigma_{\zeta}$, for here the word describes

Also De. 32.25, 1 Es. 1.50, Ju. 16.4, Ps. 77.63, 148.12, Am. 8.13, Za. 9.17, Is. 23.4, 62.5, Je. 28.22, 38.13, La. 1.18, Ez. 9.6.

Dinah after she had been raped by Shechem: λαβών αὐτὴν ἐκοιμήθη μετ' αὐτῆς ... καὶ προσέσχεν τῷ ψυχῷ Δινας τῆς θυγατρὸς Ιακωβ καὶ ἡγάπησεν τὴν παρθένον.

In view of these facts, the meaning "virgin" should not be given to $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{e}\nu_{0}\varsigma$ unless the context demands it. Thus in Ju. 16.4, in Judith's song of praise, the R.V. translation of the phrase $\tau\grave{a}_{\varsigma}$ $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{e}\nu_{0}\varsigma$ $\mu_{0}\nu$ σκυλεῦσαι is "make my virgins a spoil". There is no reason why $\pi\alpha\rho\acute{e}\nu_{0}\varsigma$ may not mean "virgin" here, but there is also no reason why it must mean this. The N.E.B. "take ... my maidens as spoil" is better.

The same principle applies to the much disputed Is. 7.14, ἡ παρθένος ἐν γαστρὶ ἔξει. It is commonly supposed that παρθένος here means "virgin", both in the LXX itself and in Matthew 1.23 where this verse is quoted. However the context of Is. 7.14 does not require the meaning "virgin", but rather the opposite, that "virgin" is not the meaning. This is confirmed by the Hebrew equivalent 'almah, which does not mean "virgin" but "young woman". (Even in Matthew 1.23 παρθένος does not necessarily mean "virgin". The main point of Matthew's quotation of

^{2.} See, e.g., O. Kaiser, <u>Isaiah 1-12: A Commentary</u> (London, 1972), p.100: "the Greek translation, which translates the Hebrew word...as 'virgin'." Also, in their comments on and/or translation of Matthew 1.23: W.C. Allen, A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Gospel According to S. Matthew (3rd ed.)(Edinburgh, 1912), R.V.G. Tasker, The Gospel According to St. Matthew (London, 1961), F.V. Filson, A Commentary on the Gospel According to St. Matthew (2nd ed.)(London, 1971).

^{3.} This is recognised by, e.g, A.H. McNeile, <u>The Gospel According to St. Matthew</u> (London, 1915), pp.9-10.

Is. 7.14 may be in the second part of the verse: $\kappa\alpha\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\epsilon\iota\zeta$ (Matthew $\kappa\alpha\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\upsilon\sigma\iota\nu$) $\tau\dot{\delta}$ $\ddot{o}\nu\sigma\mu\alpha$ $\alpha\dot{v}\tau\sigma\ddot{v}$ $E\mu\mu\alpha\nu\sigma\upsilon\eta\lambda$, the last word meaning (as Matthew explains) $\mu\epsilon\theta$, $\dot{\eta}\mu\bar{\omega}\nu$ $\dot{\delta}$ $\theta\epsilon\dot{\delta}\zeta$. But though the meaning "young woman" does not contradict Matthew's account of the virgin birth in the preceding verses, it is perhaps more likely that Matthew uses $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma\zeta$ with the meaning "virgin".)

However there are unambiguous examples where $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu o_{\zeta}$ means "virgin". In Jd. 19.24 a man describes his daughter as $\dot{\eta}$ θυγάτηρ μου $\dot{\eta}$ παρθένος. He did not mean that his daughter was a young woman (which we may assume was obvious to his fellow townsmen, to whom he was speaking) but that she was a virgin. There is a similar reference to David's daughters in 2 Ki. 13.18, αi θυγατέρες τοῦ βασιλέως αi παρθένοι.

In several places $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \acute{\epsilon} \nu o \zeta$ describes the type of woman suitable to be the wife of a high priest. Thus in Le. 21.14 we read, $\chi \acute{\eta} \rho \alpha \nu$ δὲ καὶ ἐκβεβλημένην καὶ βεβηλωμένην καὶ πόρνην, ταύτας οὐ λήμψεται, ἀλλ' ἢ παρθένον ἐκ τοῦ γένους αὐτοῦ λήμψεται γυναῖκα. ⁴ In Ju. 9.2 Judith complains of the Gentiles οἱ ἕλυσαν μήτραν παρθένου εἰς μίασμα. There are several passages where $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \acute{\epsilon} \nu o \zeta$ is used in addition to $\nu \epsilon \~{\alpha} \nu \iota \zeta$ and $\pi \alpha \~{\iota} \zeta$, as in De. 22.28 (one of the laws concerning sexual offences), ἐἀν δέ τις εὕρη

^{4.} Also Le. 21.13, Ez. 44.22.

τὴν παῖδα τὴν παρθένον. ⁵ In these references νεᾶνις and παῖς both mean "young woman", so that παρθένος means "virgin", unless it is merely tautologous.

Παρθένος meaning "virgin" is also used in a metaphorical sense in connection with the names of nations or cities, in phrases like $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu_{0}$ ($\theta\upsilon\gamma\dot{\alpha}\tau\eta\rho$) $\Sigma\iota\omega\nu$. There is no evidence of this usage outside the LXX and since all the LXX examples occur in passages translated from a Hebrew original it is safe to assume that this usage is a Hebraism. Such phrases are in general terms equivalent to the name of the nation or city but the precise force of $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\circ\varsigma$ (or the underlying Hebrew idea) is not easy to In HDB⁷ it is suggested that the term "virgin" is used of Zion, Judah and Israel "originally, it would seem, in the sense of not yet subdued by an enemy...; but sometimes (it refers) to other nations...and sometimes (is used) even where the original intention of the metaphor is lost, as in Je. 31.4, where the restoration of captive Israel is promised." God's promise referred to here is: έτι οίκοδομήσω σε, καὶ οίκοδομηθήση, παρθένος Ισραηλ. Perhaps here and in the context of defeat (as in Am. 5.2, ἔπεσεν οὐκέτι μὴ προσθή τοῦ ἀναστήναι παρθένος τοῦ Ισραηλ)

^{5.} With $\nu \in \tilde{a}\nu \iota_{\zeta}$, in De. 22.19, 3 Ki. 1.2. With $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$, also in De. 22.23.

^{6.} The references are listed in subsequent footnotes but are not included in any later lists.

^{7.} Article, "Virgin". The reference cited, Je. 31.4, is Je. 38.4 in the LXX.

it is not that the original force of the metaphor has been lost, but that $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{e}\nu\circ\varsigma$ draws attention to the rightful situation of the nation (i.e., in Am. 5.2, Israel which should be unconquered has been conquered). Places referred to in this way are Zion, Israel, Undah, Babylon and Egypt. 13

In six examples there is an indication of relationship but in no case does $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\sigma_{\zeta}$ mean anything but "young woman". Thus in Ju. 16.4 where Judith says $\tau\grave{\alpha}_{\zeta}$ $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\sigma_{\zeta}$ $\mu\sigma\sigma$ $\sigma\kappa\nu\lambda\epsilon\~\sigma\sigma\iota$ she is not referring to her own daughters or servants but the young women who belong to her city. In Lamentations the $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\sigma\iota$ of Jerusalem are the young women who lived there, and in the two other references "their $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\sigma\iota$ " are the young women of Israel. 15

The 65 examples of $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{e}\nu\circ_{\zeta}$ are distributed fairly evenly, and cover most parts of the LXX. The greatest concentration is in the Pentateuch where there are sixteen examples.

^{8.} For a summary of suggestions, see W.R. Harper, A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on Amos and Hosea (Edinburgh, 1905), p.107.

^{9. 4} Ki. 19.21, Is. 37.22, La. 2.13.

^{10.}Am. 5.2, Je. 18.13, 38.4,21.

^{11.}La. 1.15.

^{12.}Is. 47.1. In this verse in S*+ there is a second example, in the phrase $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{e}\nu o_{\zeta}$ $\theta\upsilon\gamma\acute{a}\tau\eta\rho$ $X\alpha\lambda\delta\alpha\acute{\iota}\omega\nu$.

^{13.}Je. 26.11.

^{14.}La. 1.4,18, 2.21.

^{15.2} Ch. 36.17, Ps. 77.63.

Leaving aside the examples of the meaning "virgin" (since $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{e}\nu\circ_{\zeta}$ was the only word available for this sense), we note that the 35 examples of the meaning "young woman" are likewise evenly distributed. Thus there are ten examples in books classified by Thackeray as "good $\kappa\circ\iota\nu\acute{\eta}$ Greek", and seven in "literary" books (Thackeray's groups 4 and 5), and similarly with other groups. There are no examples in Tobit (which is "vernacular").

In other contemporary Greek, most of the extant examples of $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\circ\varsigma$ occur in <u>SB</u> 8858 (iii B.C.), ¹⁶ which refers to $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\circ\varsigma$ occur in <u>SB</u> 8858 (iii B.C.), ¹⁶ which refers to $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\circ\iota$ who were engaged in religious ritual. Berevíkh was both a $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\circ\varsigma$ herself (line 47) and also $\ddot{a}\nu\alpha\sigma\sigma\alpha$ $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\omega\nu$ (lines 61 and 65). The $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\circ\iota$ are described as "holy" ($\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\rho\acute{\epsilon}\varsigma$, lines 67 and 68), and as $\tau\grave{\alpha}\varsigma$ $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\circ\iota\varsigma$ $\tau\~{\omega}\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\rho\acute{\epsilon}\omega\nu$ (line 65). This latter phrase Preisigke (<u>WB</u>) takes to mean "daughters of the priests". There is certainly a relationship expressed here, and it is hard to see what $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\circ\varsigma$ could mean other than "daughter", unless it means "servant-girl".

^{16.} SB 8858 = 0.G.I.S. 56.

servants", but $\nu \acute{\epsilon} \circ \upsilon_{\zeta}$ may refer to young children specifically in which case $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \delta \alpha_{\zeta}$ $\kappa \alpha \tilde{\iota}$ $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \acute{\epsilon} \nu \circ \upsilon_{\zeta}$ could refer to older sons and daughters. There is some doubt about the date of this papyrus, which is given as iii B.C. $\circ \gamma \acute{\iota}$ A.D.

The phrase $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon \nu \eta \nu$ α occurs in <u>U.P.Z.</u> 101.21 (ii B.C.), in a list of some kind. Not only the meaning but also the form is doubtful here. The apparatus criticus suggests $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ or $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \iota o \nu$ as alternative readings. LSJ (s.v. $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon \nu \eta \nu$) regard it as a possible misspelling of $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \iota o \nu$ and supply ζώνην.

In classical literature $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{e}\nu\circ\varsigma$ occurs from Homer onwards meaning "maiden, girl". Sometimes it means "virgin" (LSJ cite examples from Sophocles and Theocritus) but it also refers to those who were not virgins.

In later Greek $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\circ_{\zeta}$ means "girl, young woman", as in <u>P.Fay.</u> 102.30 (ii A.D.), $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}$ ($\nu\omega$) $\lambda\iota\kappa\nu\iota\zeta\circ\nu\circ\check{\omega}$ (ν), "girls winnowing"; and also "virgin", as in the New Testament, and in <u>P.Ryl.</u> 125.23 (i A.D.), where $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\circ_{\zeta}$ is used as an adjective or a substantive in apposition, in the phrase $\delta\iota\grave{\alpha}$ $\tau\check{\eta}_{\zeta}$ $\grave{\epsilon}\alpha\tau\circ\check{\nu}$ $\theta\nu\gamma\alpha\tau\rho\grave{\delta}_{\zeta}$ $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\circ\nu$, "by his unmarried daughter".

Παρθένος	Young Woman	Virgin (literal)	Virgin (metaph- orical)	Total
Genesis	6	1		7
Exodus		2		2
Leviticus	_	3		3
Deuteronomy	1	3		4
Judges		3		3
2 Kings		2		2
3 Kings		1		1
4 Kings			1	1
2 Chronicles	1			1
l Esdras	1			1
Esther	1			1
Judith	1	1	e e	2
1 Maccabees	1			1
2 Maccabees	2			2
3 Maccabees	1			1
4 Maccabees		1		. 1
Psalms	3			3
Job	1			1
Ecclesiasticus	2			2
Minor Prophets	2		1	3
Isaiah	3		3	6
Jeremiah	3		4	7
Lamentations	4	1	2	7
Epistle of Jeremiah	1			1 .
Ezekiel	1	1		2
TOTAL	35	19	11	65
Hebrew:				
<u>bethulah</u>	19	16	10	45
<u>na'arah</u>	5			5
<u>calmah</u>	2			2

28: ΣΠΕΡΜΑ

In eight references $\sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ occurs in the phrase $\kappa o i \tau \eta$ $\sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha\tau o_{\zeta}$ which refers to the male semen. An example is Le. 15.16: $\mathring{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi o_{\zeta}$, $\mathring{\phi}$ $\mathring{e}\mathring{a}\nu$ $\mathring{e}\xi\acute{e}\lambda\theta\eta$ $\mathring{e}\xi$ $\mathring{a}\mathring{v}\tau o \tilde{v}$ $\kappa o i \tau \eta$ $\sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha\tau o_{\zeta}$, $\kappa a \imath$ $\lambda o \circ \sigma \epsilon \tau a \imath$ $\mathring{v}\delta a \tau \imath$ $\pi \tilde{a}\nu$ $\tau o \sigma \tilde{\omega}\mu\alpha$ $\mathring{a}\mathring{v}\tau o \tilde{v}$ $\kappa a \imath$ $\mathring{a}\kappa\acute{a}\theta\alpha\rho\tau o_{\zeta}$ $\mathring{e}\sigma\tau a \imath$ $\mathring{e}\omega_{\zeta}$ $\mathring{e}\sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\alpha_{\zeta}$. In this expression $\sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ refers to what is literally seed, though not of the agricultural variety, and this usage may be regarded as the link between the ideas of agricultural seed and human offspring.

The meaning "human offspring, descendants" applies in

^{1.} Also Le. 15.17,18,32, 18.20, 19.20, 22.4, Nu. 5.13.

194 cases. A few examples only need be given. Ge. 12.7 contains God's promise to Abraham, $\tau \tilde{\phi}$ σπέρματί σου δώσω τὴν γῆν ταύτην. Another divine promise is addressed to David in 2 Ki. 7.12, ἔσται ἐὰν ... κοιμηθήση μετά τῶν πατέρων σου, καὶ ἀναστήσω τὸ σπέρμα σου μετὰ σέ, ὅς ἔσται ἐκ τῆς κοιλίας σου.

In Je. 38.27 there is another promise in which God speaks of the future prosperity of his people: $\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho\omega$ $\tau\delta\nu$ Ισραηλ καὶ $\tau\delta\nu$ Ιουδαν $\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho\mu$ α ἀνθρώπου καὶ $\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho\mu$ α κτήνους. Here $\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho\mu$ α refers to animal offspring as well as human offspring.

There are several other examples in which σπέρμα refers to human offspring in phrases which are metaphorical. Thus, 1 Ki. 2.31 refers to the offspring of a house. This verse is God's forecast of judgment on Eli: ἰδοὺ ἡμέραι ἔρχονται καὶ ἐξολεθρεύσω τὸ σπέρμα σου καὶ τὸ σπέρμα οἴκου πατρός σου. Τhere "your seed" and "the seed of your father's house" are parallel expressions meaning "future members of your family". In 3 Ki. 11.14 one of Solomon's opponents is described as Αδερ ὁ Ἰδουμαῖος ἐκ τοῦ σπέρματος τῆς βασιλείας ἐν Ἰδουμαία. Here, and elsewhere, "seed of the kingdom" means "royal family".

Another use of $\sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ is found in seven references

^{2.} Also Ge. 3.15, 7.3, Is. 14.29.

^{3.} Also To. 6.19(S), Ez. 20.5.

^{4. 4.} Ki. 11.1, 2 Ch. 22.10, Ez. 17.13, Da. 1.3(TH).

and may be described as a "colourless" use. In these $\sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ refers to people, but the notion of descent has largely disappeared and the word means simply "nation" or "people" rather than "offspring". 1 Es. 8.67 refers to intermarriage between the returned Jewish exiles and the surrounding peoples: $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\mu\acute{\iota}\gamma\eta$ $\tau\grave{o}$ $\sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ $\tau\grave{o}$ $\ddot{\alpha}\gamma\iota\omicron\nu$ $\epsilon \dot{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ $\tau\grave{\alpha}$ $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\omicron\gamma\epsilon\nu\~{\eta}$ $\ddot{\epsilon}\theta\nu\eta$ $\tau\~{\eta}_{\varsigma}$ $\gamma\~{\eta}_{\varsigma}$. Wi. 10.15 describes the blessings that Wisdom has brought:

Αὕτη λαὸν ὅσιον καὶ σπέρμα ἄμεμπτον έρρύσατο έξ ἔθνους θλιβόντων.

Wi. 12.11 refers to judgment on the heathen in Canaan: $\sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ $\gamma\grave{a}\rho$ $\mathring{\eta}\nu$ $\kappa\alpha\tau\eta\rho\alpha\mu\acute{e}\nu\sigma\nu$ $\mathring{a}\pi'\mathring{a}\rho\chi\tilde{\eta}\varsigma$. Other phrases are $\sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ $\pi\sigma\nu\eta\rho\acute{o}\nu$, 6 and $\sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ $\mathring{a}\nu\sigma\mu\sigma\nu$. 7 The idea of descent has not entirely disappeared: the phrase "holy seed", for example, when applied to the Jews, contains the idea of descent from and therefore relation to God. But the idea is certainly not prominent in these phrases.

The distribution of $\sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ in the LXX follows no particular pattern. It occurs in most books, though it is used most frequently in the Pentateuch (115 examples, including 61 in Genesis), Isaiah (35), Psalms (18) and Ecclesiasticus (15).

Outside the LXX, $\sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ is common in the papyri, though in the Ptolemaic period is used only of agricultural

^{5.} Also 2 Es. 9.2.

^{6.} Is. 1.4, 14.20.

^{7.} Is. 57.4.

seed or produce in a literal sense. The common use of the word in the LXX to refer to human offspring may therefore be regarded as due to Hebrew influence, since the corresponding Hebrew word zera, "seed", is commonly used in this way. However it would not be correct to conclude that this use of σπέρμα is due solely to Hebrew influence, since it occurs in classical times, particularly in the poets, as in Aeschylus, Fragment 399, τὸ βρότειον σπέρμα and Aeschylus, Choephori 503, σπέρμα Πελοπιδῶν. There is one example of this sense in Plato in Leges 853c, ἀνθρώπων σπέρμασι νουθετοῦμεν.

A different type of metaphorical use (not with reference to persons) occurs in a sixth century A.D. papyrus, in the phrase $\pi \tilde{a} \nu$ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu a$ $\delta i \kappa \eta_{\varsigma}$ (P.Monac. 1.43).

						Tr	41.	
Σπέρμα	Human Offspring	Offspring of animals	Metaph- orical	Κοίτη σπέρματος	Colour - less	Agricul- tural	Total	
Genesis	49	. 2		•		10	61	
Exodus	4					1	5	
Leviticus	9			7		4	. 20	
Numbers	10		1	1		1	13	
Deuteronomy	13		•			3	16	
Joshua	1						1	
Ruth	1						1	
1 Kings	6.		1	·		1	8	
2 Kings	3					•	3	
3 Kings	4		1			1	6	
4 Kings	2		3	•	, ,		5	
1 Chronicles	2		•				2	
2 Chronicles	1		1				2	
l Esdras	1				1		2	
2 Esdras	2	•			1		3	
Esther	. 1				_		1	
Tobit	. 6		1				7	
1 Maccabees	2		_				2	
2 Maccabees	1		٠		- •		1	
3 Maccabees	1			•			1	
4 Maccabees	1			•			- 1	
Psalms	18						18	
Proverbs	1						1	
Ecclesiastes	-					í	1	
Job	1	•				•	1	
mobeiW	3				2	•	5	
Ecclesiasticus					-		15	
Minor Prophets							13	
Isaiah	24	1	1		3	. 6	35	
Jeremiah	3	1	2		3	3	9	
Ezekiel	2	•	3				_	
Susanna	1		1			1	6	
Daniel	5		1			•	2	
TOTAL	194	4	16	8	7	2	8	
IDIAL	194	• .	10	8	,	34	263	
Hebrew:								
zera	140	3	10	8	4	29	194	
*acharith	1		1				2	
ben	1							
neked + nin	1			•			1	
basar	1	•					1	
zero im	=					1	1	
zere onim		•					1	
zeru'a						1	1	
2014 4						. 1	1	

29: ΣΩΜΑ

Most of the examples of $σ\~ωμα$ in the LXX are irrelevant to this study. The most common meaning is "body". Le. 6.3, for example, refers to the clothing a priest was to wear περὶ τὸ σ $\~ωμα$ αὐτο $\~υ$. There are several other examples where the meaning is "person", as in 2 Ma. 12.26, which states that Judas κατέσφαξεν μυριάδας σωμάτων δύο καὶ πεντακισχιλίους. 1

However there are probably four examples which are relevant, where σῶμα means "slave". Ge. 34.29 lists those who were taken captive by Jacob's sons Simeon and Levi in a raid on one of the Canaanite cities: πάντα τὰ σώματα αὐτῶν καὶ πᾶσαν τὴν ἀποσκευὴν αὐτῶν καὶ τὰς γυναῖκας αὐτῶν ἡχμαλώτευσαν. The Hebrew word translated by σώματα is chayil, which is translated "wealth" in the R.V. The LXX has taken it to refer not to material possessions but to persons. The question arises whether it is the first item in a list of three, or a description of all the captives, being defined by the two words which follow. In the latter case σώματα would mean "persons". But this seems unlikely, as it would make σώματα superfluous. It is probable that we have a list of three types of captives, with σώματα meaning "slaves".

^{1.} Also Ge. 36.6, 47.12, Bel. 32.

In To. 10.10, σώματα occurs in BA: ἀναστὰς δὲ Ραγουηλ ἔδωκεν αὐτῷ Σαρραν τὴν γυναῖκα αὐτοῦ καὶ τὰ ἢμισυ τῶν ὑπαρχόντων, σώματα καὶ κτήνη καὶ ἀργύριον. In place of the last five words, S has an extended list: παῖδας καὶ παιδίσκας, βόας καὶ πρόβατα, ὅνους καὶ καμήλους, ἰματισμὸν καὶ ἀργύριον καὶ σκεύη. If the BA version is an abbreviated account of the story in S, then σώματα has replaced παῖδας καὶ παιδίσκας and "servants" is the required meaning. In any case "servants" makes the best sense, as "persons" is too vague and indefinite in the context.

The other two examples both occur in 2 Ma. 8.11: $\epsilon i \theta \epsilon \omega_{\zeta}$ $\delta \epsilon \epsilon i_{\zeta} \tau \alpha_{\zeta} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma i_{\zeta} \omega_{\zeta} \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon i_{\zeta} \alpha \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \nu \pi \rho \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \delta \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \omega_{\zeta} \epsilon \omega_{$

The examples of $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ is the Ptolemaic papyri illustrate several meanings. There is the basic meaning "body", which is found where a writer expresses concern for the health of a friend, as in <u>U.P.Z.</u> 61.30 (ii B.C.), $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\mu\dot{\epsilon}\lambda$ ov $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ τ o $\dot{\nu}$ $\sigma\dot{\omega}\mu\alpha\tau$ o $_{\zeta}$ or in references to corpses, as in <u>U.P.Z.</u> 162.2.22 (ii B.C.), $\tau\tilde{\eta}_{\zeta}$ "Hpa $_{\zeta}$ καὶ Δήμητρο $_{\zeta}$ $\tau\tilde{\omega}\nu$ $\mu\epsilon\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\omega\nu$ $\theta\epsilon\tilde{\omega}\nu$, $\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ $\dot{\alpha}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\mu\iota\tau\dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$ $\nu\epsilon\kappa\rho\dot{\alpha}$ $\sigma\dot{\omega}\mu\alpha\tau\alpha$ καὶ οἱ $\tau\alpha\tilde{\nu}\tau\alpha$ $\theta\epsilon\rho\alpha\pi\epsilon\dot{\nu}o\nu\tau\epsilon_{\zeta}$.

The word also means "slave", as is seen in references to the purchasing of slaves. An example is <u>SB</u> 6771.68 (iii B.C.), ἐὰν δὲ τὰ $\bar{\beta}$ σώματα βούληται κομίσασθαι. In <u>P.Lille</u> i.29.1.23 (iii B.C.) σῶμα is used in the context of the torture of slaves and appears to be synonymous with δοῦλος: τῶν δὲ δούλων τῶν μαρτυρησάντων οἱ δικασταὶ τὴν βάσανον ἐκ τῶν σωμάτων ποείσθωσαν. In <u>P.Col</u>. i.3 (ii B.C.) it occurs in the phrase πράξονται τῶν σωμάτων, and in this context is synonymous with ἀνδράποδον.

In other places $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ means "workman", not a slave but a hired hand. Thus, <u>SB</u> 6716.10 (iii B.C.) refers to the wages paid to such people, $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ $\delta \psi \omega \nu \ell \omega \nu \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \tilde{\omega} \tilde{\nu}$ $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$

Another meaning is "person", without reference to status or work, as in <u>P.Petr</u>. iii.59b.2 (iii/ii B.C.):

σωματα ερσενικα. Β' ρ η αφ ων εστιν των μη τελουντων ιερα εθνη.

In <u>P.Rev.</u> 50.9 (iii B.C.) the phrase $\kappa\alpha\tau\dot{\alpha}$ $\sigma\ddot{\omega}\mu\alpha$, "per person", "per head", is used.

^{2.} See under ἀνδράποδον, p.4.

It is not used absolutely for "slave" but with a defining adjective it refers to slaves as in Demosthenes 20.77 ($\alpha i \chi \mu \alpha \lambda \omega \tau \alpha$ $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$). In such cases the meaning of $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha$ is again "person". The meaning "workman" is not classical.

Thus the LXX and the papyri both bear witness to post-classical developments in the meaning of $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$.

30: TEKNON

Tékvov occurs 312 times in the LXX, meaning "child", "descendant" or "offspring". It denotes relationship rather than age. Though as we might expect it often refers to children who were young, the age factor is irrelevant, and on many other occasions it is used of children who were not young. It is also used in metaphorical phrases, where it is clearly a relationship word.

It is commonly used of a person's direct issue, as in 2 Ch. 28.3, where it is said of king Ahaz, διῆγεν τὰ τέκνα αὐτοῦ διὰ πυρός. Es. 9.25 describes the fate of Haman and his family: ἐκρεμάσθη αὐτὸς καὶ τὰ τέκνα αὐτοῦ. When Jacob blessed his sons shortly before his death, he referred to Reuben as ἀρχὴ τέκνων μου (Ge. 49.3).

Τέκνον is used not only of a person's literal children or descendants, but is also used in different types of metaphorical phrases. Some of these describe character. In 4 Ki. 2.24 (A +) the children who mocked Elisha are called τέκνα παραβάσεως καὶ ἀργίας. The Israelites are described as τέκνα ἀδικίας in Ho. 10.9.

Another type of example is in Ho. 1.2, where God instructs Hosea, $\lambda\alpha\beta$ deavt $\bar{\psi}$ you a $\bar{\kappa}\alpha$ more $i\alpha_{\zeta}$ $\kappa\alpha$ i teke $\kappa\alpha$ more $i\alpha_{\zeta}$. The genitive $\kappa\alpha$ is used in two ways. In the first phrase it describes the character of Hosea's wife, whereas in the second it has nothing to do with the children's character but refers to the fact that they were (or would be) produced by an immoral woman.

In Is. 57.4 the wicked are described as $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \acute{\iota} \alpha \zeta$, "children of destruction", which has two possible meanings. It may refer to their character and mean "those who destroy". The Hebrew phrase refers to character, for the word pesha', "transgression", is used. But $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\omega}\lambda\epsilon\iota\alpha$ does not mean the same as pesha' and there is another possibility, that the phrase means "worthy to be destroyed". An analogy is the phrase $vi\delta_{\zeta}$ $\theta\alpha\nu\dot{\alpha}\tau$ ov which means "worthy to die".

The inhabitants of a town or city are sometimes described as its $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \alpha$. The town of Lachish is addressed in Mi. 1.16:

^{1.} Also Ho. 2.6.

^{2.} The precise meaning of this phrase has been the subject of a lengthy debate. For a recent view, see J.L. Mays, Hosea (London, 1969), ad. loc. This point does not affect our discussion.

^{3.} See under υίός, p. 161.

ξύρησαι καὶ κεῖραι ἐπὶ τὰ τέκνα τὰ τρυφερά σου. 1 Ma.

1.38 refers to Jerusalem and describes the effect of one of Antiochus' campaigns: τὰ τέκνα αὐτῆς ἐγκατέλιπον αὐτήν.

Another type of metaphorical usage is in the four examples where $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu$ describes the relationship between God and the people of Israel as his children. Thus, in Is. 63.8 God asks concerning the Jews, $\dot{\circ}$ $\dot{\circ}$

Perhaps also to be classed as metaphorical are those examples where $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \circ \nu$ is used in the vocative as a form of familiar address, particularly in the context of a teacher instructing a pupil (Si. 2.1):

τέκνον, εί προσέρχη δουλεύειν κυρίφ, έτοίμασον την ψύχην σου είς πειρασμόν.

In a different context, Eli the priest addresses Samuel his assistant, in 1 Ki. 3.16: $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \nu$ H $\lambda \iota$ $\pi \rho \delta_{\zeta}$ Σαμουηλ Σαμουηλ $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \rho \nu$.

In ten other examples $\tau \in \kappa \nu \circ \nu$ refers to the offspring or young of animals. Among the animals provided for the Passover celebration were $\dot{\epsilon}\rho i\phi \circ \nu \circ \dot{\alpha}\pi \circ \dot{\alpha}\nu \circ \tau \in \kappa \nu \circ \nu \circ \dot{\alpha}\nu \circ \dot$

εί δὲ καὶ μὴ δύναιντο κωλύειν, περιιπτάμενα κυκλόθεν αὐτῶν ἀλγοῦντα τῆ στοργῆ ἀνακαλούμενα τῆ ἰδία φωνῆ, καθ ὁ δύναται, βοηθεῖ τοῖς τέκνοις.

The papyri contain numerous examples of $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu$, but as far as I am aware only with the meaning "child" or

^{4.} Also De. 32.5, Wi. 16.21, Is. 30.1.

^{5.} Also De. 22.6, 1 Ki. 6.7(bis),10,14,32, 4 Ma. 14.18, Jb. 39.4,16.

"descendant" in a literal sense. However other uses are attested outside the LXX.

In classical Greek there are references to the young of animals in both poets and prose authors from Homer onwards. There are also metaphorical phrases, such as $\gamma\alpha i\alpha\zeta$ $\tau i\alpha\zeta$ $\tau i\alpha\zeta$ referring to flowers (Aeschylus, Persae 618), $\alpha i\theta i\alpha\zeta$ $\gamma i\alpha\zeta$ γ

The New Testament contains quite a few examples of $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu$ used other than in a literal sense. It is used in the vocative as a form of familiar address, in the phrase $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \alpha$ ($\tau o \ddot{v}$) $\theta \acute{\epsilon} o \ddot{v}$ and similarly $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \alpha$ $\tau o \ddot{v}$ $\delta \iota \alpha \beta \acute{o} \lambda o \nu$, with the names of towns in phrases describing the inhabitants of towns, and with abstract nouns in phrases describing character. 7

^{6.} For details, see LSJ.

^{7.} For details, see BAG.

Τέκνον	Son (human)	Offspring of animals	"Son of God"	Vocative as familiar address	Other metaph- orical	Total
Genesis	20			1		21
Exodus	8					8
Leviticus	2					. 2
Numbers	3				•	3
Deuteronomy	12	1	1		,	14
Joshua	7:					7
Judges	1				•	1
1 Kings	4	4		8		16
3 Kings	10					10
4 Kings					. 1	1
1 Chronicles	3					3
2 Chronicles	4	1				5
1 Esdras	6				- ' .,	6
2 Esdras	2		•			2
Esther	3 .					. 3
Judith	3					3
Tobit	10	•		2		12
1 Maccabees	12				2	14
2 Maccabees	7	•				7
3 Maccabees	5	•				5
4 Maccabees	18	2				20
Psalms	5					5
Proverbs	7			3		10
Job	. 2	2				4
Wisdom	8		1			. 9
Ecclesiasticus	26			21		47
Minor Prophets	17			•	6	23
Isaiah	12		2		5	19 .
Jeremiah	9					9
Baruch					7 .	7
Ezekiel	9				2	11
Susanna	1					1
Daniel	1					1
Bei	3					3
TOTAL	240	10	4	35	23	312

1560	
TJUG	٠

Hebrew:			•					156
ben	108	7	١ ,	3	8			
yeled	7				Ū	10	136	
taph	5			•		. 2	9	
	5						5	
bar					3	•	3	
<u>bayith</u>	1						7	
'acharith	2						2	
dor	1							
colel	1						1	
peri-beten	•						1	
	1						1	
se'esa'im	3						. 3	

31: YIO Σ

Yióc is by far the most common of the words covered by this study, with 5068 examples. The vast majority of these can be dealt with briefly, as examples where vióc has the normal meaning "son" and describes the direct family relationship between a person and his parent(s). Thus in Ge. 4.25 we read, ἔγνω δὲ Αδαμ Ευαν την γυναϊκα αύτου, και συλλαβούσα ετεκεν υίόν. and in Ge. 6.10, έγέννησεν δὲ Νωε τρεῖς υἰούς, τὸν Σημ. $\tau \delta \nu \ \text{Xa}\mu$, $\tau \delta \nu \ \text{Ia}\phi \epsilon \theta$. In some cases $\text{vi}\delta \zeta$ is used of the relationship with a more distant ancestor meaning "descendant" rather than "son", as in 1 Ch. 6.42, τοῖς υἰοῖς Ααρων ἔδωκαν τὰς πόλεις τῶν φυγαδευτηρίων. This refers to the allotment of cities in Palestine long after Aaron's death, so that Aaron's vioi in this verse are not his immediate sons but descendants of a later generation.

There are 351 examples (less than 10% of the total) where the normal meaning does not apply. This number is comprised of 35 references to sons of God, 165 examples of the phrases "son of man" and "sons of men", 36 examples where the vocative form is used as a form of familiar address, five references to the young of animals, and 110 other examples where $vi\acute{o}_{\zeta}$ is used metaphorically to describe a relationship other than the literal father-son

relationship.

Different individuals and groups are described as sons of God. In De. 14.1 Moses describes the Israelites in this way: vioi $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ $\kappa v\rho iov$ $\tau o\bar{v}$ $\theta\epsilon o\bar{v}$ $\dot{v}\mu\bar{\omega}\nu$. The description is applied to an individual worshipper in Pr. 3.12:

ου γὰρ ἀγαπᾳ κύριος παιδεύει, μαστιγοῖ δὲ πάντα υἰὸν ου παραδέχεται.

King Solomon is described as God's son in 1 Ch. 22.10: οὖτος οἰκοδομήσει οἶκον τῷ ὀνόματί μου, καὶ οὖτος ἔσται μοι εἰς υἰὸν κάγὰ αὐτῷ εἰς πατέρα. Likewise, Ps. 2.7 refers to an unnamed king in the same way. Another example to be included here is Si. 4.11, where Wisdom's devotees are described as her sons:

ή σοφία υἰοὺς αὐτῆς ἀνύψωσεν καὶ ἐπιλαμβάνεται τῶν ζητούντων αὐτήν.

Thus this description is applied to different people who stood in a special relationship to God.

The 165 examples of "son of man" or "sons of men" include 95 in the book of Ezekiel, the form of address used by God when speaking to Ezekiel, as in Ez. 2.1: $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \nu \pi \rho \delta_{\zeta}$ $\mu \epsilon$ Yiè $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi o \nu$, $\sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \theta \iota$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ $\tau o \nu_{\zeta}$ $\pi \delta \delta \alpha_{\zeta}$ σου, $\kappa \alpha i$ $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma}_{\zeta}$ $\sigma \epsilon$. Likewise, the angel Gabriel addresses Daniel in the

^{1.} Also De. 32.19,20,43(bis), 2 Ch. 6.41, Es. 8.12g, Ju. 9.13, 3 Ma. 6.28, Wi. 9.7, 18.4,13, Ho. 2.1, Is. 30.9, 43.6, 45.11, Je. 38.20.

^{2.} Also Wi. 2.18.

same way in Da. 8.17. On many occasions the phrases refer to men as a class or men as human beings. In Ge. ll.5 for example, in the tower of Babel incident we read, κατέβη κύριος ἰδεῖν τὴν πόλιν καὶ τὸν πύργον, ὅν ἀκοδόμησαν οἱ νἱοὶ τῶν ἀνθρώπων. (In these phrases the word for "man" is ἄνθρωπος, except in La. 3.33: ὅτι οὐκ ἀπεκρίθη ἀπὸ καρδίας αὐτοῦ καὶ ἐταπείνωσεν νἱοὺς ἀνδρός.)

The vocative is used as form of familiar address especially in the Wisdom literature to describe the relationship between a teacher and pupil (or author and reader). An example is Si. 7.3: vi'e, $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\sigma\pi\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\rho\epsilon$ $\dot{\epsilon}\pi'$ $\alpha\ddot{\upsilon}\lambda\alpha\kappa\alpha\zeta$ $\dot{\alpha}\delta\iota\kappa\dot{\iota}\alpha\zeta$. In some cases the teacher-pupil relationship may also be a literal father-son relationship, as in Pr. 1.8: $\ddot{\alpha}\kappa\omega\epsilon$, vi'e, $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\epsilon\dot{\iota}\alpha\nu$ $\pi\alpha\tau\rho\acute{o}_{\zeta}$ $\sigma\omega\nu$. However it is perhaps more likely in verses like this that $\pi\alpha\tau\acute{\eta}\rho$ and vi\'e_{ζ} are both used in a metaphorical sense.

An example where $vi\acute{o}_{\zeta}$ refers to the offspring or young of animals is 2 Es. 6.9 which contains a list of animals for sacrifice: $vi\acute{o}_{\zeta}$ $\beta o \tilde{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa a i$ $\kappa \rho \iota \tilde{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa a i$ $\dot{a} \mu \nu o \dot{b}_{\zeta}$ $\epsilon i \zeta$ $\dot{o} \lambda o \kappa a v \tau \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota_{\zeta}$ $\tau \tilde{\phi}$ $\theta \epsilon \tilde{\phi}$ $\tau o \tilde{v}$ $o \dot{v} \rho a \nu o \tilde{v}$.

The 110 other examples where $vió_{\zeta}$ is used metaphorically cover a variety of different uses. First the word refers to those who were sons in the literal sense but does so by means of a metaphorical phrase which

^{3.} Also De. 32.14, Ps. 28.1, Si. 38.25.

describes the circumstances of the person's birth. Thus Ge. 37.3 gives the reason for Jacob's preference for Joseph: Ιακωβ δὲ ἡγάπα τὸν Ιωσηφ παρὰ πάντας τοὺς νίοὺς αὐτοῦ, ὅτι νίὸς γήρους ἡν αὐτῷ. Similarly, in Ge. 35.18 Rachel describes her son Benjamin as νίὸς ὀδύνης μον.

There are 13 examples of the phrase "sons of the prophets", as in 4 Ki. 6.1: $\epsilon l \pi o \nu$ oi viol $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho \delta \zeta$ Elicale. This expression describes the prophets who were grouped under the leadership of another prophet. These men were not (necessarily) in the literal sense sons of prophets, but in the context the word "sons" does have something of the idea of family relationship which we might retain by calling them a religious fraternity.

A different type of example is in To. 4.12 (BA), where Tobit says of the Jews, viol $\pi\rho o\phi \eta\tau\bar{\omega}\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu$. Here vióç is used with a sense like "spiritual descendant".

Other phrases describe physical or moral attributes. In 1 Ki. 10.26 we read, that $\dot{\epsilon}\pi o \rho \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu}\theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ viol $\delta v \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \omega \dot{\nu}$, $\dot{\omega}\nu \dot{\eta} \psi \alpha \tau o \kappa \dot{\nu} \rho i o \varsigma \kappa \alpha \rho \delta i \alpha \varsigma \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \ddot{\omega}\nu$, $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \Sigma \alpha o v \lambda$. Here viol $\delta v \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \omega \nu$ means "strong men". Similar phrases which describe moral attributes are vio $\dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\delta} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\delta} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\delta} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\delta} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\delta} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\delta} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\delta} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\delta} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\delta} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\delta} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\delta} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\delta} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\delta} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\delta} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\delta} \delta i \kappa i \alpha \varsigma$, $\dot{\delta} v \dot{\delta} \delta i \alpha \delta i \alpha \varsigma$

^{4.} Also 3 Ki. 21.35, 4 Ki. 2.3,3(0),5,7,15, 4.1,38(bis), 5.22, 9.1.

^{5. 2} Ki. 3.34. 7.10, 1 Ch. 17.9(L).

^{6.} Ps. 88.23.

and viòς $\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ vπερηφανίας. ⁷ Another phrase which describes some sort of attribute is in Za. 4.14: οὐτοι οἱ δύο viοὶ $\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ πιότητος παρεστήκασιν $\tau\tilde{\phi}$ κυρίφ πάσης $\tau\tilde{\eta}_{\zeta}$ $\gamma\tilde{\eta}_{\zeta}$. The meaning of vioὶ $\tau\tilde{\eta}_{\zeta}$ πιότητος is by no means clear. The corresponding Hebrew phrase is "sons of oil" which probably means "anointed ones". However πιότης means "richness" or "fatness", not "oil". Possibly the LXX translator used the phrase vioὶ $\tau\tilde{\eta}_{\zeta}$ πιότητος with the meaning "anointed ones", but it is far more likely that he meant something else, such as "men who dispense richness". At best the phrase remains obscure. Also describing a person's character is the phrase viòς θανάτον or viòς θανατώσεως as Saul describes David in 1 Ki. 20.31. ⁸ This expression does not mean "dead person" but "worthy to die".

Other phrases describe circumstance. In 1 Es. 7.12 we read that the Levites $\ddot{\epsilon}\theta v\sigma\alpha\nu$ $\tau\delta$ $\pi\alpha\sigma\chi\alpha$ $\pi\ddot{\alpha}\sigma\iota\nu$ $\tau \sigma\ddot{\iota}\zeta$ $v\dot{\iota}\sigma\ddot{\iota}\zeta$ $\tau\ddot{\eta}\zeta$ $\alpha\dot{\iota}\chi\mu\alpha\lambda\omega\sigma\dot{\iota}\alpha\zeta$. This, and similar phrases using the words $\dot{\alpha}\pi\sigma\iota\kappa\epsilon\sigma\dot{\iota}\alpha$, $\dot{\alpha}^{10}$ $\pi\alpha\rho\sigma\iota\kappa\dot{\iota}\alpha$, $\dot{\alpha}^{11}$ and $\dot{\alpha}\pi\sigma\iota\kappa\dot{\iota}\alpha$, $\dot{\alpha}^{12}$ refer to the Jews in exile.

Yió; is used with place names, with the meaning "belonging to" as well as "living in". The most common place is Jerusalem (or Zion), as in Is. 62.5 (which is

^{7. 1} Ma. 2.47.

^{8.} Also 1 Ki. 26.16, 2 Ki. 12.5.

^{9.} Also 1 Es. 7.11, Da. 1.3(TH), 2.25(TH), 5.13(TH), 6.14(TH).

^{10. 2} Es. 6.16,19,20.

^{11. 2} Es. 8.35.

^{12. 2} Es. 10.7,16.

addressed to Jerusalem): $\dot{\omega}_{\zeta}$ συνοικῶν νεανίσκος παρθένω, οὕτως κατοικήσουσιν οἱ νἰοί σου μετὰ σοῦ. The word is used with the names of other cities, 14 countries, 15 and areas. In the phrase (οἱ) νἱοὶ τῆς χώρας, 17 which refers to the Jews in their place of exile, there is only the meaning "living in" rather than also "belonging to".

A different type of expression is the formula "son of x years", the normal Hebrew way of indicating age. Ge. 11.10 contains one example of this formula (which occurs 32 times): $\Sigma \eta \mu$ viòς ἐκατὸν ἐτῶν, ὅτε ἐγέννησεν τὸν Αρφαξαδ.

In Ez. 30.5 the phrase "sons of God's covenant" means those who are related to God because of his covenant with them: Πέρσαι καὶ Κρῆτες...καὶ πάντες οἱ ἐπίμικτοι καὶ τῶν υἱῶν τῆς διαθήκης μου μαχαίρα πεσοῦνται ἐν αὐτῆ.

In two references (parallel accounts of the same story), $vi\acute{o}_{\zeta}$ refers to a plant. Thus, in king Joash's story, the message of the thistle to the cedar is $\delta\grave{o}_{\zeta}$ $\tau\grave{\eta}\nu$ $\theta\upsilon\gamma\alpha\tau\acute{e}\rho\alpha$ σου $\tau\~{\phi}$ $\upsiloni\~{\phi}$ μου ϵi_{ζ} γυνα $\~{\iota}$ κα. 18

One other phrase worth mentioning occurs in 4 Ki.

^{13.} Also To. 13.10, Ps. 149.2, Is. 49.20,22,25, 51.18,20, 54.13, 60.4, La. 4.2.

^{14.} To. 14.15 (Nineveh), Ez. 23.17,23 (Babylon).

^{15.} Ez. 16.26 (Egypt), Da. 2.25(G)(Judea).

^{16.} Jd. 6.3,33, 7.12, 8.10(A)("sons of the east").

^{17. 2} Es. 2.1, 17.6.

^{18. 4} Ki. 14.9, 2 Ch. 25.18.

14.14. When Joash (king of Israel) had successfully attacked Jerusalem, ἕλαβεν...τοὺς νἱοὺς τῶν συμμίξεων καὶ ἀπέστρεψεν εἰς Σαμάρειαν. 19 The corresponding Hebrew phrase is "sons of pledges", which means "hostages", but the LXX has made nonsense of the sentence by translating the word ta'arubah, "pledge", as if it were 'ereb, "mixture, mixed company".

In its normal literal meaning "son", vióς is of course well attested elsewhere. Many of the other expressions are Hebraistic, and we would not expect to find them used outside the LXX or other Greek influenced by Hebrew thought forms (such as the New Testament).

However not every use of $vi\delta_{\zeta}$ other than the literal sense is to be explained as a Hebraism. The phrase "son of God" occurs in several contexts. It is used as a description of the Roman emperor, as in <u>B.G.U.</u> 543.3 (i B.C.), $\ddot{o}\mu\nu\nu\mu\iota$ Καίσαρα Αὐτοκράτορα θεοῦ $vi\delta\nu$. It is also used of the pupils and helpers of Asclepius in <u>S.I.G.</u> 1169.12 (Epidaurus). Phrases such as $vi\delta_{\zeta}$ $\tau\bar{\eta}_{\zeta}$ $\pi\alpha\tau\rho i\delta_{\zeta}$, <u>Insc.Magn</u>. 167.5 (i A.D.), are used as titles of honour.

^{19.} Also 2 Ch. 25.24.

^{20.} For further references and quotations, see LSJ, BAG, MM.

Υἰός	Son (human)	Offspring of animals	"Son of God"	"Son of man"	Vocative as familiar address	Other Metaphor- ical	Total
Genesis	328		. 2	1		3	334
Exodus	218						218
Leviticus	133						133
Numbers	489			1			490
Deuteronomy	111	1	5			_	117
Joshua	230					. •	230
Judges	223					7	230
Ruth	9	·					9
1 Kings	130			1	1 .	6	138
2 Kings	214			1	1	10	226
3 Kings	224			1		. 7	232
4 Kings	207					30	237
1 Chronicles	673		. 1		•	2	676
2 Chronicles	170	ų.	1	1		9	181
1 Esdras	169			1	- · .,	2	172
2 Esdras	462	1				.8	471
Esther	7		1				8
Judith	58		1	2			61
Tobit	54					3	57
1 Maccabees	60	•	•			1	61
2 Maccabees	9	•					9
3 Maccabees	1	•	1			•	2
4 Maccabees	13						13
Psalms	63	2	5	30	•	2	102
Proverbs	29		1	2	31		63
Ecclesiastes	4			10	1		15
Canticles	2						2
Job	31			3		•	34
Wisdom	1		9	1			11
Ecclesiasticus	35	1	2	2	2		42
Minor Prophets	52		1			1	54
Isaiah	43		3	2		8	56
Jeremiah	158		1	5			164
Baruch	16					1	17
Lamentations	1			1		1	3
Ezekiel	77			95		4	176
Susanna	4		•				4
Daniel	7		1	5	•	5	18
Bel	2						
TOTAL	4717	5	35	165	36	110	2
		-	J J	103	Jo	110	5068

Hebrew:							164a.
ben *		4	18	152	9	98	
bar	3		1	1	1		6
yeled	3					•	3
yalid	1						1
<u>'ish</u>	13				•	*	13
bayith	15						15
mishpachah	2					•	2
zera '	1			·			1
zera '	1					•	*

^{*} Because of the large number of examples involved, no attempt has been made to calculate the number of times that $vi\acute{o}_{\varsigma}$ (with the normal literal meaning "son") translates ben, and so there is no total for ben.

32: ΥΠΗΡΕΤΉΣ

There are only four examples of this word in the LXX, three referring to servants of men and one to servants of God.

Its use in Is. 32.5 is somewhat obscure. The verse reads καὶ οὐκέτι μὴ εἴπωσιν τῷ μωρῷ ἄρχειν, καὶ οὐκέτι μὴ εἴπωσιν οἱ ὑπηρέται σου Σίγα, "and let them no longer tell the fool to rule, and let your servants no longer say, 'Be silent'". The first part of the verse is clear, for the paragraph is speaking of the reign of a βασιλεὺς δίκαιος (verse 1). In these circumstances there would be no opportunity for a μωρός to rule. But it is by no means clear what the second part means. To whom does the second person σου refer? It is not the Lord, who is the speaker (31.9), nor the king, who is spoken of in the third person. I can see no other possibility, and so it is impossible to say whose servants these ὑπηρέται were or what sort of servants they were.

We note that the LXX is different from the Hebrew. The latter (as translated by the R.V.) reads, "The vile person shall no more be called liberal, nor the churl said to be bountiful." The LXX translators may have mistranslated the Hebrew, or perhaps they had a different form of the text, but in any case there is no help to be gained on this point from the Hebrew.

In contrast, Da. 3.46 is clear and refers to the servants of the Babylonian king who threw the three Jews into the furnace: οὐ διέλιπον οἱ ἐμβάλλοντες αὐτοὺς ὑπηρέται τοῦ βασιλέως καίοντες τὴν κάμινον. These ὑπηρέται are described in 3.20 as ἄνδρας ἰσχυροτάτους τῶν ἐν τῷ δυνάμει, that is, soldiers of Nebuchadnezzar's army.

The other reference to a servant of a human master is in Pr. 14.35, again referring to a king's servant: $\delta \epsilon \kappa \tau \delta \zeta$ $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \tilde{\iota}$ $\delta \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \zeta$ $\nu o \eta \mu \omega \nu$.

The final example is in Wi. 6.4, where kings are described as $\mathring{v}\pi\eta\rho\acute{e}\tau\alpha\imath$ $\mathring{o}v\tau\epsilon_{\zeta}$ $\tau\ddot{\eta}_{\zeta}$ a $\mathring{v}\tau\circ\ddot{v}$ (God's) $\beta\alpha\sigma\imath\lambda\epsilon\acute{\iota}\alpha_{\zeta}$, and so virtually servants of God himself.

The word is rather more common in the papyri. Sometimes it refers to a servant of a private individual, as in P.Cair.Zen. 59062b.14 (iii B.C.). This papyrus concludes:

Θεύδωρος ὑπηρέτης περὶ τῶν Ζήνωνι ᾿Α + ὧν ἔχει παρὰ Πύθωνος

This man Theodorus, writing to Zenon about a sum of 1,000 drachmae, must have been an assistant of some standing in the household of Apollonios, rather than a mere slave.

More often in the papyri, $\dot{v}\pi\eta\rho\dot{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ refers to a public official who was subordinate to someone else in authority. Thus, in <u>P.Princ</u>. ii. 16 (ii B.C.), which is a report of legal proceedings, the $\dot{v}\pi\eta\rho\dot{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ Pelops mentioned in line 8 was a court messenger. In <u>U.P.Z.</u> 15.20 (ii B.C.)

it refers to a paymaster: ὑπὸ δὲ τῶ[ν ὑ]πηρετῶν περισπᾶται εἰς τὰς λε[ι]τουργίας. In P.Cair.Zen. 59291.4 (iii B.C.), a petition to Zenon refers to "Ωρου τοῦ σοῦ ὑπηρέτου. Horos was Zenon's foreman. In other places the word refers to military officials, in different phrases: ὑπηρέτης τάγματος (P.Rein. 14.31 (ii B.C.), U.P.Z. 215.2 (ii B.C.)) and ὑπηρέτης προστάγματος P.Rein. 15.30 (ii B.C.)). It refers to an assistant or subordinate of a λογευτής in P.Rev. 13.2 (iii B.C.), a πράκτωρ in P.Tebt. 814.2,27,40 (iii B.C.), P.Cair.Zen. 59460.11 (ii B.C.), SB 7450.50 (iii B.C.), and a σιτολόγος in P.Cair.Zen. 59497.7 (ii B.C.).

'Υπηρέτης is also used of religious officials, in pagan, Jewish and Christian contexts. The early chapters of Luke contain several examples. Luke 1.2 uses the word in a Christian setting, in the phrase ὑπηρέται τοῦ λόγου, while Luke 4.20 refers to a Jewish synagogue attendant. Several references are given in LSJ, BAG and MM to pagan cult officials, but I have not been able to check any of these.

33: ΥΠΟΜΑΣΤΙΔΙΟΣ

This word is an adjective meaning "under the breast". It occurs only once in the LXX, in 3 Ma. 3.27, where it is used as a substantive meaning "suckling":

ός δ' ἄν σκεπάση τινὰ τῶν Ιουδαίων ἀπὸ γεραιοῦ μέχρι νηπίου καὶ μέχρι τῶν ὑπομαστιδίων, αἰσχίσταις βασάνοις ἀποτυμπανισθήσεται πανοικία.

Thus it is used in contrast with $\gamma \in \rho \alpha i \acute{o}_{\zeta}$ and is parallel to $\nu \acute{\eta} \pi i \circ \zeta$. C.W. Emmet translates these words "old man and child and very suckling", and so attempts to distinguish $\nu \acute{\eta} \pi i \circ \zeta$ from $\mathring{v} \pi \circ \mu \alpha \sigma \tau i \delta i \circ \zeta$, but no meaningful distinction can be made. Although $\mathring{v} \pi \circ \mu \alpha \sigma \tau i \delta i \circ \zeta$ strictly means "suckling", in this context it is used simply as an alternate word for "infant".

The word is cited here in the form in which it appears in the text of Rahlfs' edition, the form suggested by W. Schulze. The MSS forms (as listed by Rahlfs) are ὑπομαστιαίων (AL) and ὑπομασθίων (V+).

There are no examples of this word in any papyrus of any period, nor in any other Greek literature. It is not listed in LSJ. Several related words occur:

ὑπομάζιος: Diodorus Siculus 34.2 (i B.C.), Conon 44 (i B.C./ i A.D.), Josephus, Bellum Judaicum 6.3.4 (v.l.) i A.D.).

^{1.} In R.H. Charles (ed.), Apocrypha (Oxford, 1913), p.167.

ὑπομάσθιος : 3 Ma. 3.27 (V+), Conon 44 (i B.C./ i A.D.), Josephus, Bellum Judaicum 6.3.4 (i A.D.).

ὑπομαστίαιος : 3 Ma. 3.27 (AL).

ὑπομάστιος: Josephus, Bellum Judaicum 6.3.4. (v.l.) (i A.D.), IG 12 (7). 53.6 (Amorgos, iii A.D.).

34 : YHOTITOION

The adjective ὑποτίτθιος means "under the breast", and in its only occurrence in the LXX it is used as a substantive meaning "child at the breast, suckling". It is used in Ho. 14.1 which is a prophecy of doom against Samaria and speaks of the destruction of the city and its people. In this context it is said that τὰ ὑποτίτθια αὐτῶν ἐδαφισθήσονται.

The basic meaning of the word as suggested by its etymology indicates that it has primarily an age reference, but here the addition of the pronoun $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \ddot{w} v$ (referring to the people of Samaria) gives it also a relationship reference; that is, it not only refers to infants, but to particular people's children.

The papyri contain several examples of the adjective $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\sigma\tau\dot{\iota}(\tau)\theta\iota \circ \varsigma$ but no examples where it is used as a substantive. In <u>P.Cair.Zen</u>. 59292.302 (iii B.C.) we read of a $\gamma\upsilon\nu\alpha\iota\kappa\dot{\iota}$ $\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota}$ $\theta\upsilon\gamma\dot{\alpha}[\tau\rho\dot{\iota}]\omega\iota$ $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\sigma\tau\iota\tau\dot{\iota}\dot{\iota}\dot{\omega}[\iota]$, and the phrase $\delta\upsilon\upsilon\lambda\iota\kappa\dot{\upsilon}\nu$ $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\dot{\iota}\dot{\upsilon}\nu$ $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\sigma\tau\dot{\iota}\dot{\theta}\iota\dot{\upsilon}\nu$ occurs in <u>B.G.U</u>. 1058.12 (i B.C.) and in three other first century B.C. papyri. 1

There is one later example of the substantival use, in the grammarian Athenaeus 2.46e (ii/iii A.D.), but no examples earlier than the LXX.

^{1. &}lt;u>B.G.U</u>. 1106.13, 1107.9, 1110.6.

35 : ΥΠΟΥΡΓΌΣ

There is only one example of this word in the LXX. It occurs in the B text of Jo. 1.1 as a variant for $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau \circ \nu \circ \varphi \circ \varphi : \quad \mu \varepsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \quad \tau \grave{\gamma} \nu \quad \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \upsilon \tau \grave{\gamma} \nu \quad \text{Modest} \quad \varepsilon \widecheck{\iota} \pi \varepsilon \nu \quad \kappa \widecheck{\upsilon} \rho \iota \circ \varphi \quad \tau \widecheck{\varphi}$ 'Indot $\upsilon \widecheck{\iota} \widecheck{\varphi} \quad \text{Nauh} \quad \tau \widecheck{\varphi} \quad \widecheck{\upsilon} \pi \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma \widecheck{\varphi} \quad \text{Modest} \quad \text{No description is}$ given in this passage of the nature of Joshua's service, though it appears from other passages that he was not basically a private and personal servant but that he assisted Moses in an official or semi-official capacity. I

The word occurs more frequently in the Ptolemaic papyri, where it refers to a servant who was a workman or assistant rather than a slave. In <u>P.Cair.Zen.</u> 59176 (iii B.C.), for example, there is a list of workmen who were paid wages, as in line 232, $Ko\mu o a\pi io \zeta$ imoup $\gamma \bar{\omega} i$ Mookiwh β .

Different types of workmen are described as ὑπουργοί.

In P.Col.Zen. 69.65.66 (iii B.C.) we read of payment made

ΤΕΚΤΟνι και τωι υπουργωι. In P.Cair.Zen. 59752 (iii B.C.)

the ὑπουργοί mentioned in lines 8 and 24 were assistants

to the vinedresser Andronicus (line 5), and in P.Cair.Zen.

59760 (iii B.C.), which is a fragment of a building account,

the ὑπουργοί of line 4 were presumably builders' labourers.

^{1.} For details see under $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o \upsilon \rho \gamma \delta_{\zeta}$, p.55.

^{2.} Also in lines 220, 224, 231, 233, 235.

There are a few earlier examples of $\mathring{v}\pi \circ v \rho \gamma \circ_{\zeta}$ referring to a skilled or semi-skilled workman, as in Hippocrates, Acut. 67 where it refers to attendants who had the knowledge to bath a patient properly: $\mathring{e}\nu$ $\gamma \mathring{a}\rho$ $\mathring{e}\kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \circ \nu$ $\alpha \mathring{v}\tau \mathring{w}\nu$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma \mathring{a}\lambda \eta \nu$ $\phi \acute{e}\rho \epsilon \iota$ $\beta \lambda \mathring{a}\beta \eta \nu$ $\mu \mathring{\eta}$ $\pi \rho \circ \pi a \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon \upsilon \alpha \sigma \theta \grave{e}\nu$ $\mathring{\upsilon}\pi \eth \tau \mathring{w}\nu$ $\mathring{\upsilon}\pi \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma \mathring{w}\nu$ \mathring{w}_{ζ} $\eth \epsilon \tilde{\iota}$.

36 : CHILD (NEW-BORN OR VERY YOUNG)

I. Words Used:

νήπιος (45), παιδίον (26), παιδάριον (20), θηλάζων (9), βρέφος (5), ὑπομαστίδιος (1), ὑποτίτθιον (1).

II. Similarities

- (a) Different words used in identical contexts
- (1) $\text{Bp\'e}\phi_0$ (1 Ma. 1.61) and $\nu\acute{\eta}\pi\iota_0$ (1 Ma. 2.9). The Jewish children who had been circumcised and who were therefore put to death by Antiochus together with their mothers.
- (2) $\mathbb{B}\rho\acute{\epsilon}\phi\circ_{\zeta}$ (2 Ma. 6.10) and $\nu\acute{\eta}\pi\iota\circ_{\zeta}$ (2 Ma. 5.13, 8.4, 9.15). Examples from 2 Maccabees describing the same children as above.
- (3) $B\rho \epsilon \phi o_{\varsigma}$ (3 Ma. 5.49) and $\nu \eta \pi \iota o_{\varsigma}$ (3 Ma. 5.50). Examples from 3 Maccabees (in consecutive verses) describing the same children as in (1) above.
- (4) Βρέφο_{ζ} and παιδίον (4 Ma. 4.25). Another example describing the same children: γυναῖκας, ὅτι περιέτεμον τὰ παιδία, μετὰ τῶν βρέφων κατακρημνισθῆναι.
- (5) Θηλάζων and νήπιος (1 Ki. 15.3, 22.19, Ju. 16.4,
 Ps. 8.3, Je. 51.7, La. 2.11, 4.4). General phrases
 referring to unspecified children: e.g. Ps. 8.3, ἐκ
 στόματος νηπίων καὶ θηλαζόντων. These references include

seven of the nine examples of $\theta\eta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega\nu$.

- (6) Νήπιος and ὑπομαστίδιος (3 Ma. 3.27). A description of the whole Jewish nation: ἀπὸ γεραιοῦ μέχρι νηπίου καὶ μέχρι τῶν ὑπομαστιδίων.
- (7) $\text{Mar}\delta\acute{a}\rho\iota o\nu$ (2 Ki. 12.16 and eleven other times in this passage) and $\pi \alpha\iota \delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ (2 Ki. 12.15). The child of David and Bathsheba. See also (b)(2) below.
- (b) Different words used in parallel accounts of the same incident (including variant readings)
- (1) Παιδάριον (Jd. 13.8,12(A)) and παιδίον (Jd. 13.8,12(B)). This passage refers to Samson before he was born. Παιδάριον is also used in verses 5 and 7 of this chapter, in both A and B. Thus A uses παιδάριον on all four occasions, whereas B uses each word twice.
- (2) Παιδάριον and παιδίον (2 Ki. 12.15). The child of David and Bathsheba. Here Origen replaces παιδίον by παιδάριον (apparently because παιδάριον is used elsewhere in this passage see (a)(7) above).
 - (c) <u>Different words used in other similar (though not</u> identical) contexts
- (1) $\text{Bp\'e}\phi_{O_{\zeta}}$ (Si. 19.11), $\nu\acute{\eta}\pi\iota_{O_{\zeta}}$ (Jb. 3.16) and $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ (Ge. 25.22). Unborn children. $\text{Bp\'e}\phi_{O_{\zeta}}$ and $\nu\acute{\eta}\pi\iota_{O_{\zeta}}$ are used generally (of unspecified children), while $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ refers specifically to Jacob and Esau.
- (2) $\text{Mai}\delta i \text{o} \nu$ (Ge. 17.12) is used in the instructions given

to Abraham concerning the circumcision of children eight days old. With this may be compared the examples in the books of the Maccabees (see (a)(1)-(4) above), where $\beta\rho\dot{\epsilon}\phi_{0}$, $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota_{0}$ and $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\dot{\iota}_{0}\nu$ refer to children at the age of circumcision.

(3) Nήπιος (Jb. 33.25) and παιδάριον (4 Ki. 5.14). These verses refer to a person's flesh becoming like the flesh of a child.

III Distinctions

(a) On the basis of overall LXX usage

No obvious distinctions suggest themselves. On the contrary the similarities listed above show a considerable degree of overlap in the usage of the different words.

On etymological grounds we may be inclined to isolate $\theta\eta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega\nu$, $\dot{\nu}\pi o\mu\alpha\sigma\tau i\delta\iota o_{\zeta}$ and $\dot{\nu}\pi o\tau i\tau\theta\iota o\nu$ from the others, as meaning "suckling" or "child at the breast". However this distinction is not always confirmed by actual usage. Thus $\theta\eta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega\nu$, in the seven examples listed in II (a)(5) above, would seem to be synonymous with $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota o_{\zeta}$ and merely an alternate word for "infant"; and similarly, the one example of $\dot{\nu}\pi o\mu\alpha\sigma\tau i\delta\iota o_{\zeta}$ (see II (a)(6) above). We may at least say that the distinctive meaning "suckling" is not always emphasised, even if it has not disappeared.

(b) On the basis of translators' preference

(1) The Pentateuch prefers $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \circ \nu$. The twelve examples

of $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}\circ\nu$ in these books are nearly half of the total examples of $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}\circ\nu$ in this sense. The only other word used in the Pentateuch is $\theta\eta\lambda\acute{\iota}\langle\omega\nu\rangle$ (two examples).

- (2) In the books from Judges to 4 Kings παιδάριον is preferred (and conversely all twenty examples of παιδάριον occur in these books). Other words used are παιδίον (7), νήπιος (3) and θηλάζων (2).
- (3) Even with only a few examples, 2,3 and 4 Maccabees show their customary variety, with $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota_{0}\zeta$ used six times, $\beta\rho\dot{\epsilon}\phi_{0}\zeta$ three times (out of a total of five examples in LXX), and $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\dot{\iota}_{0}\nu$ and $\dot{\nu}\pi\rho\mu\alpha\sigma\tau\dot{\iota}\delta\iota_{0}\zeta$ once each.
- (4) The books from Psalms onwards (with the exception of Isaiah) prefer $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota\circ\varsigma$. Excluding Isaiah, $\beta\rho\dot{\epsilon}\phi\circ\varsigma$ and $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\circ\tau\dot{\iota}\tau\theta\iota\circ\nu$ are used once each in these books, $\theta\eta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega\nu$ four times, and $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota\circ\varsigma$ 29 times.
- (5) In Isaiah, $\nu\eta\pi\iota\circ_{\zeta}$ is used once, while $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota\circ\nu$ occurs six times.

(c) On the basis of the Hebrew equivalents

The Hebrew equivalents for each Greek word are:

θηλάζων <u>yoneq</u> (8).

νήπιος <u>'olel</u> (17), <u>taph</u> (2), <u>yanaq</u> (1), <u>na'ar</u> (2), <u>pethi</u> (5).

παιδάριον <u>yeled</u> (11), <u>na ar</u> (8).

 $mai\delta iov$ ben (3), yeled (13), yoneq (2), taph (1), na'ar (5), racham (1).

ὑποτίτθιον olel (1).

($^{\rm B}$ ρέφος and ὑπομαστίδιος have no Hebrew equivalents).

The Hebrew words and their Greek translations are:

<u>ben</u> παιδίον (3).

taph νήπιος (2), παιδίον (1).

yeled (or yalad) παιδάριον (11), παιδίον (13).

yoneg (or yanag) θηλάζων (8), νήπιος (1), παιδίον (2).

na'ar (or no'ar) νήπιος (2), παιδάριον (8), παιδίον (5).

<u>'olel</u> νήπιος (17), ὑποτίτθιον (1).

pethi $\nu \dot{\eta} \pi \iota \circ \varsigma$ (5).

racham $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \circ \nu$ (1).

(1) We may leave aside <u>ben</u> ("son"), <u>pethi</u> ("simple, simple-minded") and <u>racham</u> ("womb") as not being direct equivalents of the Greek words used to translate them.
(2) Of the other five Hebrew words, only in the case of 'olel is it meaningful to speak of a "standard" translation.

In addition we make the following observations:

- (3) There is a degree of order in the translation of <u>yeled</u>. It is translated by $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{a}\rho\iota\circ\nu$ in 2 Ki. 12 only (in each case referring to David and Bathsheba's child), and more than half the examples where it is translated by $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}\circ\nu$ occur in the Pentateuch. However there is no clear-cut pattern, for in 2 Ki. 12.15 it is translated by $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}\circ\nu$. (4) There is an even clearer pattern in the case of $\underline{na\cdot ar}$.
- (4) There is an even clearer pattern in the case of <u>na*ar</u>. In Judges (A), 1, 2 and 4 Kings it is translated by $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\alpha} \rho \iota o \nu$, Judges (B) by $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} o \nu$, and in Proverbs, Job and Hosea by $\nu \acute{\eta} \pi \iota o \varsigma$.

- (5) There is likewise a clear pattern in the translation of taph (though the small number of examples reduces the significance of this). It is translated by $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota$ ov in Deuteronomy, and by $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota\circ\varsigma$ in Esther, Jeremiah and Ezekiel.
- (6) The more usual translation of <u>yoneq</u> (<u>yanaq</u>) is $\theta \eta \lambda \acute{\alpha} \zeta \omega \nu$. The exceptions are confined to two examples in Isaiah: 11.8 (where it is translated by the two words $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} \circ \nu \nu \acute{\eta} \pi \iota \circ \nu$) and 53.2. $\theta \eta \lambda \acute{\alpha} \zeta \omega \nu$ is not used in this book.
- (7) Since <u>'olel</u> is normally translated by $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota_{0\zeta}$, it is somewhat surprising that $\dot{\upsilon}\pi o\tau i\tau\theta\iota_{0}\nu$ should be used in Ho. 14.1, especially as $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota_{0\zeta}$ translates <u>'olel</u> elsewhere in the Minor Prophets and is used in this very book (to translate na'ar in 11.1).

Thus we find that though there are some minor patterns to be observed in the way the different Hebrew words are translated, these are of little significance. There are no patterns sufficiently general in scope to enable us to draw distinctions between the Greek words on the basis of their Hebrew equivalents.

Υποτίτθιου							Ho.14.1				•			17	'9 .
Υπομαστίδιος							3 Ma.3.27								
Παιδίον	34.13.8(8),12(8)	2 K1.12.15	Ge.17.12,4 Ma. 4.25				Ge.21.7,8,25.22, Ex.2.3,6,7,8,9 (bis),10,0e.1.39, Ru.4.16,3 Ki.3.25 26,27,1s.7.16,8.4 11.8,46.3,53.2,					•	•	•	
Παι δάριον	3d.13.5,7,8(A), 12(A)	2 Ki.12.15(0),16,2 Ki.12.15 18(4 times),19 (bis),21(bis), 22(bis),23			4 K1.5.14		1 K1.1.22,4.21	·		-	, . <u>.</u>				
Νήπιος				3 Ma.5.50,J1.2.16, La.2.20	Jb.33.25	36.3.16	1 Ki.15.3,22.19, 4 Ki.8.12,Ju. 4.10,12,7.22,27, 16.4,1 Ma.2.9, 2 Ma.5.13,8.4, 9.15,3 Ma.3.27, 6.14,P9.8.3,16.14,	18.8,63.8,114.6, 118.130,136.9,Pr. 1.32,Jb.24.12, 31.10,Wi.10.21,	12.24,15.14, 18.5, Ho.ll.1,Na.3.10, Ts.ll.8.78.71.	9.20,50.6,51.7, La.1.5,2.11,19,	4.4,Ez.9.6,45.20				
θηλάζων							Nu.ll.12,De.32. 25,1 Ki.15.3, 22.19,Ju.16.4,Pa. B.3,Ja.51.7,La. 2.11,4.4								
Βρέφος	,		1 Ma.l.61,4 Ma. 4.25	2 Ma.6.10,3 Ma. 5.49		51.19.11									
 CHILD (NEW-BGRN OR VERY YOUNG	Samson	Child of David and Bathsheba	At the age of circumcision	Infants at the breast	Flesh of a child	Unborn/stillborn children	Other references								

37 : CHILD (OLDER)

I. Words Used:

παιδάριον (57), παιδίον (22), νέος (20), παῖς (9), νεανίσκος (8), νεᾶνις (4), νήπιος (3), κοράσιον (2), νεανίας (2), ἄνηβος (1).

II. Similarities

- (a) Different words used in identical contexts
- (1) $\Pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\circ\nu$ (1 Ma. 11.39,54) and $\nu\acute{\epsilon}\circ\varsigma$ (1 Ma. 11.57). Antiochus when he was a boy king in the care of Trypho.
- (2) $\text{Hat}\delta\acute{a}\rho\iota o\nu$ (Ge. 44.30,31) and $\text{mat}\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ (Ge. 44.32, etc.). Benjamin, when Joseph demanded that his younger brother be brought to Egypt.
- (3) Παιδάριον (4 Ki. 2.23) and παῖ_{ς} (4 Ki. 2.24). The children from Bethel who mocked the prophet Elisha. (See also III (b)(4) below.)
- (b) <u>Different words used in parallel accounts of the</u> same incident (including variant readings)
- (1) $\text{Mai}\delta\acute{a}\rho\iota o\nu$ (1 Ki. 17.58) and $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu\acute{\iota}\sigma\kappa o_{\zeta}$ (1 Ki. 17.55, 56). David, in the Goliath episode. These readings are in O and L only. ($\text{Mai}\delta\acute{a}\rho\iota o\nu$ also occurs earlier in this chapter, referring to David, in verses 33 and 42 see (b)(3) below.)
- (2) Παιδάριον and παιδίον (Ge. 22.5). Isaac, when Abraham his father was going to sacrifice him. Παιδίον

is the reading of A+.

- (3) Παιδάριον and παιδίον (1 Ki. 17.33). David, when he fought Goliath. Again, A reads παιδίον.
- (4) Παιδάριον (3 Ki. 14.12, etc.), and παιδίον and παῖς (both in 3 Ki. 14.3). Abijah, the son of king Jeroboam. Παιδάριον is the more common word (used seven times in 3 Ki. 12 and 14), but παιδίον is used in the 0 text in 3 Ki. 14.3 (except that A+ read $\pi\alpha$ ῖς in this reference also an 0 reading).
- (5) Neaviaς (1 Es. 8.88) and ν eaviaroos (2 Es. 10.1). The phrases ανδρες καὶ γυναῖκες καὶ ν eaviar and ανδρες καὶ γυναῖκες καὶ ν eaviaror of ν eaviaror refer to the population of Jerusalem gathered around Ezra, so that ν eaviar and ν eaviaror describe all the children present (male and female, and of all ages up to adult age).

(c) <u>Different words used in other similar (though not identical) contexts</u>

- (1) $\text{Mai}\,\delta\acute{a}\rho\imath\,o\nu$ (Ge. 37.30,42.22) and $\nu\acute{e}o_{\zeta}$ (Ge. 37.2). Both words refer to Joseph. They are not used in precisely the same incident, but there is no difference between them in respect of age.
- (2) Παιδάριον (Ge. 22.5,12) and παιδίον (Ge. 21.12, and seven other examples). In these references παιδάριον describes Isaac and παιδίον Ishmael. Though the words describe different people and occur in separate narratives, there appear to be no significant differences between Isaac and Ishmael in terms of their age. Perhaps the

translator felt it inappropriate to use the same word to describe the son of the free woman and the son of the slave, though this observation (even if correct) does not affect the essential meaning of the two words. (3) $\text{Mai}\delta\alpha\rho\iota o\nu$ (Ge. 33.14) and $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu\iota \sigma\kappa o\varsigma$ (Ge. 25.27). Both words refer to Jacob's children, $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\alpha\rho\iota o\nu$ to Jacob's children generally, and $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu\iota \sigma\kappa o\varsigma$ to Jacob and Esau specifically.

(4) Παιδάριον (Jd. 13.24) and νεανίσκος (Ge. 25.27).
In Jd. 13.24 we read ηύξήθη (A - ἡδρύνθη in B) τὸ παιδάριον, referring to Samson, and in Ge. 25.27 ηύξήθησαν δὲ οἱ νεανίσκοι, referring to Jacob and Esau.
(5) Νεανίσκος (Pr. 20.11), νέος (Pr. 22.15, Si. 42.8), νήπιος (Pr. 23.13, Si. 30.12) and παῖς (Pr. 1.4, 29.21).
These words are used in maxims in the Wisdom literature.
There are no direct parallels here, but at the same time it is difficult to distinguish between the different words.

III Distinctions

- (a) On the basis of overall LXX usage
- (1) Kopáσιον and $\nu \in \tilde{a}\nu \iota_{\zeta}$ can be distinguished from the others, in that they apply only to girls.
- (2) No other distinction can be made on the basis of sex. Even words which are specifically applied to males are also applied to children generally, irrespective of sex. For $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \alpha \zeta$ and $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o \zeta$, see II (b)(5) above. For $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\alpha} \rho \iota o \nu$, see II (c)(3) above, and note also that in one example it refers specifically

to a girl (Sarah, in To. 7.11). For ἄνηβος and νέος, compare 2 Ma. 5.13, νέων καὶ πρεσβυτέρων ἀναίρεσις, ἀνήβων τε καὶ γυναικῶν καὶ τέκνων ἀφανισμός. For νήπιος, compare Es. 8.11 (S^{c} +), νήπια καὶ γυναῖκας. For παιδίον, compare Ba. 4.15, οὐκ ἡσχύνθησαν πρεσβύτην οὐδὲ παιδίον ήλέησαν. For παῖς, compare 4 Ma. 15.4, εἰς μικρὸν παιδὸς χαρακτῆρα.

- (3) There are no other general distinctions to be observed.
- (b) On the basis of translators' preference
- (1) There is nothing especially significant in the distribution of the feminine words $\kappa o \rho \acute{a} \sigma \iota o \nu$ and $\nu \epsilon \~a \nu \iota \varsigma$. (The following observations apply to the other eight words only.)
- (2) The Pentateuch prefers $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ (fifteen examples all in Genesis), which is used more often than all other words combined (thirteen examples all but two of which are in Genesis). $\Pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ occurs only seven more times outside Genesis. At the same time Genesis shows considerable variety, with five words used.
- (3) The books of Kings prefer $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\alpha} \rho \iota o \nu$, which occurs thirteen times in each of 1, 3 and 4 Kings. The total examples of all other words in these books are four, two and three respectively. (None of the words in this group occurs in 2 Kings.) 1 Kings shows variety, with four words used.
- (4) 2 and 4 Maccabees avoid the more common παιδάριον and

 $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$, and use $\ddot{\alpha}\nu\eta\beta\circ_{\zeta}$ (the only example of this word in the LXX), $\nu\acute{\epsilon}\circ_{\zeta}$ (six of the twenty examples of this sense of the word) and $\pi\alpha\widetilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (three of the nine examples of this sense).

(c) On the basis of the Hebrew equivalents

The Hebrew equivalents for each Greek word are:

κοράσιον <u>yaldah</u> (2).

 $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \alpha \zeta$ na 'ar (1).

νεανίσκος yeled (1), na'ar (6), elem (1).

 $\nu \acute{e}o_{\zeta}$ na 'ar (10), qaton (1).

νήπιος na'ar (1), taph (1).

παιδάριον yeled (13), na'ar (29).

παιδίον yeled (2), na'ar (16), ben (1).

('Aνηβος has no Hebrew equivalent.)

The Hebrew words (with the feminine ones at the end of the list) and their Greek translations are:

yeled νεανίσκος (1), παιδάριον (13).

παιδίον (2), παῖς (1).

 na^{α} α νεανίας (1), νεανίσκος (6), νέος (10),

νήπιος (1), παιδάριον (29),

παιδίον (16), παῖς (1).

taph νήπιος (1).

'elem νεανίσκος (1).

ben παιδίον (1).

no'ar $\pi\alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (1).

ne urim $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (1).

qaton $\nu \acute{\epsilon} \circ \varsigma$ (1).

yaldah κοράσιον (2).

na'arah $\nu \epsilon \bar{\alpha} \nu i \zeta$ (2).

'almah $\nu \epsilon \tilde{\alpha} \nu i c$ (2).

- (1) The following are not direct equivalents of the Greek words that translate them: <u>ben</u> ("son"), <u>no'ar</u> and <u>ne'urim</u> (both "youth, early life"), qaton (adjective "small").
- (2) There is a clear pattern in the translation of the three feminine words, though this may be coincidental, since there are only six examples and no two Hebrew words occur in the same book (yaldah in the Minor Prophets, na arah in Exodus and Psalms, and almah in 4 Kings).
- (3) There is no consistency in the translation of the other four words, and no general distinction can be drawn between any of the words on the basis of the Hebrew equivalents.
- (4) In one passage the Hebrew equivalents explain the use of separate Greek words. In 4 Ki. 2 (see II (a)(3) above) $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\circ\nu$ translates <u>na'ar</u> in verse 23, and this presumably is why a different word ($\pi\alpha\bar{\iota}\varsigma$) is used in verse 24 to translate <u>yeled</u> (instead of the more normal $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\circ\nu$). The translator wanted to avoid using the same Greek word to translate different Hebrew words.

٦,	22	
	£ 30 1	

ומנכ			3 K1.14.3 (0 -A+)	4 Ki.2.24	Ge.46.34, 2 Ma.6.23, 15.12,4 Ma. 15.4,Pr.1.4, 29.21,Wi.8.	18
Παιδίον	Ge.22.5(A+)	Ge.44.22,32, 33(bis),34 1 Ki.17.33 (A)	3 Ki.14.3(0)		Ge.21.12,14 15,16,17,18, 19,20,48.16, 18.3.5,10.19, 11.6,38.19, 8a.4.15	
Παιδάριον	Ge.22.5,12 Ge.37.30, 42.22	Ge.43.8, 44.30,31 1 K1.17.33, 42,58	3 Ki.12.24g (bis),241, 24m,24n,14.12,	4 Ki.2.23 I Ma.11.39.54	Ge.33.14,3d. 13.24,1 Ki. 1.24,25(bis), 27,2.11,18, 3.1,7.21(bis), 3.1,7.21(bis), 22(bis),4 Ki. 4.18(bis),22,30,31(bis), 32,34(bis), 32,34(bis), 1 Na.2.46, 11.11(A*+), 1 Na.2.46, 13.17,18,19, 31.4.3,2a. 8.5	
Nήπιος					Es.8.11(5°+) Pr.23.13, Si.30.12	
Néoç	Ge.37.2			1 Ma.11.57		
Neavioros		1 K1.17.55, 56			Ge.25.27,Ex. 10.9,Jo.6.21, 2 Es.10.1, Pr.20.11, IS.20.4	
Neavic					.x.2.8,4 Ki.	·
Neaviac	()				1 Ki.20.37,	
Κοράσιον			·	٠.	J1.4.3, Za.8.5	
"Ανηβος					2 Ma.5.13	
CHILD (OLDER)	Isaac Joseph	Benjamin David	Abijah (Jeroboam's son)	Children mocking Elisba Antiochus	Other references	

38: YOUNG MAN (ADULT)

I. Words Used:

νεανίσκος (98), παιδάριον (64), νεανίας (26), νέος (15), παῖς (9), παιδίον (4), μειράκιον (4), μειρακίσκος (2), μεῖραξ (2).

II. Similarities

- (a) Different words used in identical contexts
- (1) Παιδάριον (Το. 6.2,3(bis),5,7) and παιδίον (Το. 6.1,2). (These references are in the S text only. In this incident BA use παιδάριον exclusively.) Tobias, in the incident when the fish tried to swallow his foot. See also (b)(12) and (c)(2) and (3) below.
- (2) Melpáklov (2 Ma. 7.25), $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \alpha \zeta$ (2 Ma. 7.25,30) and $\pi \alpha i \zeta$ (2 Ma. 7.34). The last of the seven martyrs.
- (3) Melpáklov (4 Ma. 8.14) and $veavia_{\varsigma}$ (4 Ma. 8.5,27). All the seven martyrs.
- (4) Melpag (4 Ma. 14.6,8), $veavia_{\zeta}$ (4 Ma. 14.9) and $veavio\kappa o_{\zeta}$ (4 Ma. 14.4,12,20). All the seven martyrs. For (2), (3) and (4) in this section see also (b)(2) and (c)(5) below.
- (5) Νεανίας (Da. 1.10(G)) and νεανίσκος (Da. 1.13,15(G)).
 The young men being trained for service in king
 Nebuchadnezzar's court. See also (b)(5) and (6) below.
 (6)Νέος (2 Ch. 10.14) and παιδάριον (2 Ch. 10.8,10).
 The young men who were king Rehoboam's contemporaries.

See also (b)(9) below.

(b) <u>Different words used in parallel accounts of the</u> same incident (including variant readings)

- (1) Neavias and veaviakos (2 Ki. 10.9). The young men fighting with Joab. Neavias is the reading of 0.
- (2) Neaviaç and ν eaviaco (4 Ma. 14.20). The seven martyrs. Neaviac is the reading of A.
- (3) Neavias and ν eaviakos (Za. 2.8). The young man measuring Jerusalem, in the prophet's vision. Neaviakos is the reading of SL+
- (4) Νεανίας, νεανίσκος and παιδάριον (Jd. 17-18). These words all describe the young man from Bethlehem. A reads παιδάριον in 17.7,11, 18.3,15, whereas B reads νεανίας in 17.7,11 and νεανίσκος in 18.3,15.
- (5) Neavias and παιδάριον (Da. 1.10). The young men being trained for service in king Nebuchadnezzar's court. Greads $\nu \epsilon a \nu i \alpha s$, while TH reads $\pi a \iota \delta a \rho \iota o \nu$.
- (6) Νεανίσκος and παιδάριον (Da. 1.13,15). The same young men as in (5) above. G reads νεανίσκος, while TH reads παιδάριον.
- (7) Νεανίας and παιδάριον (Jd. 16.26). The young man who guided the blind Samson at the feast. A reads παιδάριον, while B reads νεανίας.
- (8) Νεανίσκος and παιδάριον (Jd. 19.19). The young man with the man from Bethlehem. A reads παιδάριον, while B reads νεανίσκος.

- (9) Νέος (2 Ch. 10.14) and παιδάριον (3 Ki. 12.14).
 The young men whose advice Rehoboam followed in refusing to ease the burdens which his father Solomon had placed on his subjects.
- (10) $\Pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\circ\nu$ and $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}\circ\nu$ (1 Ki. 21.5). The young men who accompanied David during his time as a fugitive from Saul. $\Pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}\circ\nu$ is the reading of A+.
- (11) $\text{Mai}\delta\acute{a}\rho\iota o\nu$ and $\pi a\iota \delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ (2 Ki. 13.32). The young men who were sons of king David. $\text{Mai}\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ is the reading of A+.
- (12) Παιδάριον and παιδίον (Το. 6.2). Tobias. BA read π αιδάριον, while S reads π αιδίον.

(c) <u>Different words used in other similar (though not</u> identical) contexts

- (1) Neavias (2 Ma. 10.35) and veaviaros (2 Ma. 13.15). These words describe different groups of young men who fought with Judas Maccabaeus.
- (2) $N\epsilon\alpha\nu$ iσκος (To. 7.2) and $\pi\alpha$ ιδάριον (To. 5.17, 6.2(bis), 3,5,7,11,14). These words are used in the BA text of Tobit to describe Tobias.
- (3) Νεανίσκος (Το. 5.5,7, 7.2, 8.1), παιδάριον (Το. 6.2, 3(bis),5,7,11), παιδίον (Το. 6.1,2) and πα $\tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ (Το. 7.10). These words are used in the S text of Tobit to describe Tobias.
- (4) Νέος (1 Ch. 29.1) and παιδάριον (1 Ch. 22.5). Solomon is described by his father David as νέος καὶ $\dot{\alpha}$ παλός in the former reference, and in the latter as

παιδάριον ἀπαλόν. Νέος of course is used here as an adjective, but this comparison is of interest since the corresponding Hebrew phrase is the same in each case.

(5) In 2 and 4 Maccabees six of the nine words are used to describe one or more of the seven martyrs. (The three not used are $\nu \acute{\epsilon} \circ \varsigma$, $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\alpha} \rho \iota \circ \nu$ and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} \circ \nu$.) The others are used apparently quite indiscriminately without distinction in meaning and in no special order, as the following list of references indicates:

2 Ma.	7.12	νεανίσκος	4	Ma.	12.6,9	$\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$
	7.25	νεανίας			14.4	νεανίσκος
	7.25	μειράκιον			14.6,8	μεῖραξ
	7.30	νεανίας			14.9	νεανίας
	7.34	παῖς			14.12	νεανίσκος
					14.20	νεανίσκος
4 Ma.	8.5	νεανίας				(νεανίας in A)
	8.14	μειράκιον			16.1	παῖς
	8.27)	νεανίας			16.17	νεανίσκος
	9.13,21,25	5			17.13)	παῖς
	11.13	μειρακίσκος			18.6)	3
	11.24	μειράκιον				

III. Differences

(a) On the basis of overall LXX usage

- (1) Néo_{ζ} is different to the other words in this group since it is an adjective even though it is sometimes used as a substantive.
- (2) There are no distinctions in meaning in the case of the other words. All are general words for "young man", with no other nuance discernible.

(b) On the basis of translators' preference

- (1) The Pentateuch and Joshua prefer $\nu \in \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa \circ \zeta$, which is used eight times in the Pentateuch and five in Joshua. Néo ζ (used once in Exodus) is the only other word in these books.
- (2) The books from Judges to 2 Chronicles prefer $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\circ\nu$, which occurs 47 times. There are 26 examples of other words: $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu\acute{\alpha}\varsigma$ (9), $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu\acute{\alpha}\kappa\circ\varsigma$ (10), $\nu\acute{\epsilon}\circ\varsigma$ (4), $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\epsilon}\circ\nu$ (2), and $\pi\alpha\~{\epsilon}\varsigma$ (1).
- (3) 1 Esdras (6) and Judith (7) use $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa \circ \zeta$ exclusively.
- (4) 2 and 4 Maccabees show the most variety: $\mu \epsilon \iota \rho \acute{\alpha} \kappa \iota o \nu$ (4) $\mu \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \kappa \acute{\iota} \sigma \kappa o_{\zeta}$ (2), $\mu \epsilon \ddot{\iota} \rho \alpha \xi$ (2), $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu \acute{\iota} \alpha_{\zeta}$ (13), $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu \acute{\iota} \sigma \kappa o_{\zeta}$ (8), $\nu \acute{\epsilon} o_{\zeta}$ (1) and $\pi \alpha \ddot{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (6). Other noteworthy points about these two books are that: (i) $\mu \epsilon \iota \rho \acute{\alpha} \kappa \iota o \nu$, $\mu \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \kappa \acute{\iota} \sigma \kappa o_{\zeta}$ and $\mu \epsilon \ddot{\iota} \rho \alpha \xi$ occur here only in the LXX; (ii) half of the examples of $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu \acute{\iota} \alpha \zeta$ in this sense occur here; and (iii) two thirds of the examples of $\pi \alpha \ddot{\iota}_{\zeta}$ in this sense occur here.
- (5) The books from Psalms to Daniel prefer $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o \varsigma$ (47 times), with 22 examples of other words: $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \alpha \varsigma$ (4), $\nu \epsilon o \varsigma$ (9), $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \rho \iota o \nu$ (8) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \varsigma$ (1). An exception within these books is Proverbs, where $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \alpha \varsigma$ is used twice and $\nu \epsilon o \varsigma$ once, but $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \sigma \kappa o \varsigma$ not at all.

(c) On the basis of the Hebrew equivalents

The Hebrew equivalents for each Greek word are:

νεανίας bachur (6), yeled (1), na ar (5).

νεανίσκος <u>bachur</u> (36), <u>yeled</u> (6), <u>na'ar</u> (17),

'elem (1), 'enosh (3).

 $\nu \acute{\epsilon} \circ \varsigma$ <u>yeled</u> (1), <u>na 'ar</u> (6).

παιδάριον <u>yeled</u> (10), <u>na'ar</u> (44), <u>'ish-na'ar</u> (1),

ne urim (1).

παιδίον na ar (2).

 $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ yeled (1), na 'ar (1).

(Μειράκιον, μειρακίσκος and μεῖραξ have no Hebrew equivalents.)

The relevant Hebrew words and their Greek translations are:

bachur (or bachar) νεανίας (6), νεανίσκος (36).

yeled νεανίας (1), νεανίσκος (6), νέος (1),

παιδάριον (10), παῖς (1).

na · ar νεανίας (5), νεανίσκος (17), νέος (6),

παιδάριον (44), παιδίον (2), παῖς (1).

'ish-na'ar παιδάριον (1).

ne urim παιδάριον (1).

·elem νεανίσκος (1).

enosh νεανίσκος (3).

- (1) There is no overall consistency in the translation of these Hebrew words.
- (2) There is a degree of consistency within smaller sections. This is in accord with the observations made in III (b) above. Thus, in the books from Judges to 2

Chronicles, for example, $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{a} \rho \iota \circ \nu$ is the preferred translation of $\underline{na^*ar}$ and the sole translation of \underline{yeled} . Elsewhere $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu \acute{\iota} \sigma \kappa \circ_{\zeta}$ is the preferred translation of \underline{bachur} , \underline{yeled} and $\underline{na^*ar}$.

1	Oli	
	44	

Νέος Παιδάριον Παιδίον Παΐς	Jd.16.26(A)	Jd.17.7,11, 12,18.3,15 (all in A)	Jd.19.19(A)	1 Ki.21.3,5,6 1 Ki.21.5(A+)	2 K1.13.32 2 K1.13.32(A+)	Ch.29.1 1 Ch.22.5	Ch.10.14 3 Ki.12.8,10, 14,24s,2 Ch. 10.8,10	To.5.17(BA), 6.2(BA),2,3(S), 2(S) 2(S) 3,5,7,11,14(BA)	2 Ma.7.34, 4 Ma.12.6,9 16.1,17.13, 18.6		Su.52,55,60 Su.45(TH), (ell in G) Da.1.10,13,15, 17(A),17(ell	Ex.33.11,1 Ch. Jd.8.14,20,1 Ki. 12.29,2 Ch. [2.17,4,17,16,11, 13.7,2 Ma. 30.13,17,2 Ki.1.5, 15.17,ps. 6,13,2.14,21,13.32, 15.17,ps. 6,13,2.14,21,17.18,18.5 Is.40,30,5u.6312,15,29,32(bis) 3 (ter)(G) Ki.11.29,21.14,15
Νεανίσκος		3d.18.3(B),	Jd.19.19(8)			-		To.5.5(S),7(S), 7.2,8.1(S)	, 2 Ma.7.12, 4 Ma.13.7, 14.12,20, 16.17	Za.2.8(SL+)	Da.1.4,13(G), Su. 15(G),17(G) (e	Ge.4.23,14.24, 19.4,34.19, 41.12,6x.24.5, Nu.11.27,0e. 32.25,0o.2.1 (bis),23,6.22, 23,dd.14.20,
Νεανίας	34.16.26(8)	3d.17.7(8), 11(8)	-						2 Ma.7.25,30, 4 Ma.8.5,27, 9.13,21,25, 14.9,20(A)	Za.2.8	Da.1.10(G)	Ru.3.10,1 Ki. 20.31,2 Ki. 6.1,10.9(0), 3 Ki.12.21, 1 Ch.19.10, 2 Ma.3.26,33, 10.35,12.27,
Melpat									4 Ma.14.6,8			
Merparíoros									4 Ma.8.1, 11.13			
Μειράκιου			5u.						2 Ma.7.25, 4 Ma.8.14, 11.24,14.4			
YDUNG MAN (ADULT)	Young man with Samson	Young man from Bethlehem	Young man with the preceding	Young men with David	David's sons	Solomon	Rehoboam's contemporaries	Tobias	The seven martyrs (one or more)	Young man measuring Jerusalem	Daniel end∕or his companions	Other references

Παιδάριου	5.22,9.4,2 Ch. 34.3,3e.31.11, La.2.21	
Neaviorog	2 Ch.11.1,36.17, 1 Es.1.50(bis), 3.14,15,4.58, 8.49,30.27,6.16, 7.22,23,10.9,16.4, 6,1 Ma.1.26,2.9, 14.9, 2 Ma.13.15, 4 Ma.3.12,13.9, Ps.77.63,148.12, Ec.4.15,11.9,30, 29.8,51.32.7,4m, 2.11,4.10,8.13, 31.3.1,2a.9.17, 1s.3.4,9.16,13.18, 23.4,31.8,40.30, 62.5,3e.6.11,9.20, 11.22,15.8,18.21, 27.30,44,28.3,22, 31.15,38.13,La.1.18,	23.6,12,23,30.17, Su.21(TH),37(TH), 39(G),40(TH), Da.6.5
The second secon		
	nt 'd)	

Other references(cont'd)

39: YOUNG WOMAN (ADULT)

I. Words Used:

παρθένος (35), ¹ νεᾶνις (25), κοράσιον (13), παῖς (10), παιδίσκη (3).

II. Similarities

- (a) Different words used in identical contexts
- (1) Ne $\tilde{\alpha}\nu\iota\zeta$ (De. 22.19 and seven other examples) and $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}\zeta$ (De. 22.15(bis) and four other examples). In this chapter both words are used interchangeably to describe a young woman of marriageable age. They translate the same Hebrew word na arah in each case.
- (2) Neãviç (Ru. 2.5) and $\pi\alpha\tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ (Ru.2.6). Both words refer to Ruth. In verse 5 Boaz asks, $Ti\nu_{0\zeta}$ $\dot{\eta}$ $\nu\epsilon\tilde{a}\nu_{i\zeta}$ $\alpha\ddot{\nu}\tau\eta$; and in verse 6 his servant answers, 'H $\pi\alpha\tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ $\dot{\eta}$ Mwa $\beta\tilde{\imath}\tau i_{\zeta}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau_{i}\nu$. They translate the same Hebrew word (na arah) in each case. (3) $\Pi\alpha\iota\delta i\sigma\kappa\eta$ (Ge. 34.4), $\pi\alpha\tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ (Ge.34.12) and $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu_{0\zeta}$ (Ge. 34.3(bis)). Jacob's daughter Dinah. The use of different words is partly explained by the corresponding Hebrew words. $\Pi\alpha\iota\delta i\sigma\kappa\eta$ translates yaldah, and $\pi\alpha\tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ and $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu_{0\zeta}$ both translate na'arah.
- (4) Mais (Ge. 24.28,57) and maphévos (Ge. 24.14,16,43,55). Rebekah. In verse 43 maphévos translates <u>'almah</u>, but in the other five cases the corresponding Hebrew word is na 'arah.

^{1.} There are another nineteen cases where $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\sigma_{\zeta}$ means "virgin" and these have been ignored for the purpose of this chapter.

(b) Different words used in other similar (though not identical) contexts

- (1) With $\nu \in \tilde{\alpha} \nu \iota_{\zeta}$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ in (a)(2) above, we may compare $\pi \alpha \iota_{\delta} (\sigma \kappa \eta)$ (Ru. 4.12), which in a later part of the story also describes Ruth, and which also translates na arah.
- (2) Kopáσιον (Ju. 16.12) and $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{e}\nuο_{\zeta}$ (Ju. 16.4). Both words occur in Judith's song of triumph, as general words for "young woman". There is no other similarity apart from the fact that they are used in the same passage; they do not refer to the same young women.

III. Differences

(a) On the basis of overall LXX usage

Κοράσιον tends to be used in places where other words are not (see (b)(3) below), but there appears to be no differences in meaning between any of these five words. On the contrary, the similarities listed above, especially in (a), suggest that they are synonymous.

(b) On the basis of translators' preference

- (1) The Pentateuch (in fact only Genesis and Deuteronomy) uses four words (all except $\kappa o \rho \acute{a} \sigma \iota o \nu$). There is some variation between the two books. Whereas Genesis uses $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \acute{e} \nu o \varsigma$ six times, Deuteronomy uses it only once, and in contrast to the eight examples of $\nu \epsilon \tilde{a} \nu \iota \varsigma$ in Deuteronomy, there is none in Genesis.
- (2) The following books use $\nu \in \tilde{\alpha} \nu \iota_{\zeta}$ exclusively: Judges (8), 3 Kings (3), Canticles (2) and Daniel (TH)(1).
- (3) The following books prefer κοράσιον: 1 Kings (2),

Esther (6), Tobit (S)(3) and Tobit (BA)(2). These books account for all but one example of $\kappa o \rho \acute{a} \sigma \iota o \nu$, and in them there is only one example of any of the other words $(\pi \alpha \rho \theta \acute{e} \nu o \varsigma)$ in Es. 2.17).

(4) Several books prefer $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu_{0}\zeta$, especially the Psalms (3), Minor Prophets (2), Isaiah (3), Jeremiah (3) and Lamentations (4), although these examples reflect a uniformity in the translation of bethulah (see (c) below) rather than a definite preference for $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu_{0}\zeta$ for its own sake.

(c) On the basis of the Hebrew equivalents

The Hebrew equivalents for each Greek word are:

κοράσιον <u>na arah</u> (7).

 $\nu \in \tilde{a}\nu i \zeta$ <u>na'arah</u> (19), <u>'almah</u> (2).

παιδίσκη yaldah (1), na arah (1).

 $\pi \alpha \tilde{i}_{\zeta}$ na arah (10).

παρθένος bethulah (19), na arah (5), almah (2).

The Hebrew words and their Greek translations are:

bethulah $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\circ\varsigma$ (19).

yaldah παιδίσκη (1).

na arah κοράσιον (7), νε \tilde{a} νις (19), παιδίσκη (1),

παῖς (10), παρθένος (5).

'almah νεᾶνις (2), παρθένος (2).

^{2.} There are only four separate examples of $\kappa o \rho \acute{\alpha} \sigma \iota o \nu$ in Tobit, since it occurs in both texts in 6.12.

- (1) $\Pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{e}\nuο_{\zeta}$ is used exclusively to translate <u>bethulah</u>. This explains the (apparent) preference for $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{e}\nuο_{\zeta}$ in certain books (see (b)(4) above).
- (2) The impression (already noted) that the five Greek words in this group are synonymous is confirmed by the fact that all five are used to translate <u>na arah</u>.

Παρθένος	Ge.24.14,16,43,55 Ge.343(bis)		De.32.25,2 Ch36.17, 1 Es.1.50,Ju16.4,Es. 2:17,1 Ma.1.26,2 Ma. 3.19,5.13,3 Ma.1.18, Ds.44.15.77.67.148,17.	Jb.311,51,9,5,30,20, Am.8.13,2a,9.17,1s. 7.14,23.4,62.5,3e.2. 32,28.22,38.13,La.1.4, 18,2.10,21,Ep.Je.8,					199.
Παῖς		U8.Z.15(D1S),1D,Z3, 25,28 Ru.2.6	0 H % 6 G	32 - A - A - B - B - B - B - B - B - B - B			•	•	
Παιδίσκη	Ge.34.4	Ru.4.12	Ju.12.13			•	, 		
Neāviç	, c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	U8.22.5 26(bis),27,29 Ru.2.5	Jd.5.8(v.1),19.3,4, 5,6,8,9,21.12,3 Ki.1.2, 3,4,3 Ma.4.6,Ca.1.3, 6.8,Si.20.4,Da.11.6(TH)	. ,	V				
Κοράσιον			1 Ki.9.11,12,E8.2.2,3, 7,8,9,12,Ju.16.12,To. 6.12,13(bis)(S),14(BA)						
YOUNG WOMAN (ADULT)	Rebekah Dinah	Young women of marriageable age Ruth	Other references						

40: MALE SERVANT (ACTIVELY PRACTISED)

I. Words Used:

παῖς (260), δοῦλος (132), παιδάριον (86), οἰκέτης (45), θεράπων (42), λειτουργός (8), διάκονος (6), νεανίας (4), σῶμα (4), ὑπηρέτης (3), ἀνδράποδον (1), παιδίον (1), ὑπουργός (1).

II. Similarities:

(a) Different words used in identical contexts

- (1) Δ οῦλος (1 Ki. 16.16), παιδάριον (1 Ki. 16.18) and παῖς (1 Ki. 16.15,17). Saul's servants, his courtiers or advisers. The Hebrew equivalents provide a partial explanation: δ οῦλος and παῖς translate 'ebed, whereas παιδάριον translates <u>na'ar</u>.
- (2) $\Delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \zeta$ (1 Ki. 18.5,30) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (1 Ki. 18.22(bis),23, 24,26). Saul's servants.
- (3) $\Pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\circ\nu$ (1 Ki. 25.5(bis), 8.9,12,25,27) and $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}\varsigma$ (1 Ki. 25.10,40,41,42). David's servants, in the incident concerning Nabal and Abigail. Different Hebrew words are translated: $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\circ\nu$ translates $\underline{na'ar}$, whereas $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}\varsigma$ translates $\underline{mal'ak}$ in verse 42 and $\underline{\dot{\bullet}}$ ebed in the other places.
- (4) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{i} \zeta$ (2 Ki. 10.2). The servants sent by

David to Hanun the Ammonite king.

- (5) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ (2 Ki. 11.9,17) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ (2 Ki. 11.1,24(bis)). David's servants involved in the war against the Ammonites.
- (6) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ (2 Ki. 12.18) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ (2 Ki. 12.19(bis),21). David's servants, his courtiers.
- (7) $\Delta o \tilde{u} \lambda o \zeta$ (2 Ki. 19.6,8) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (2 Ki. 19.7). David's servants who remained loyal to him during Absalom's rebellion.
- (8) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \varsigma$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \varsigma$ (2 Ch. 2.7). Hiram's servants, his timber-cutters
- (9) $\Delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \varsigma$ (2 Ki. 14.30) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ (2 Ki. 14.30(bis),31). Absalom's servants. $\Pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ translates <u>'ebed</u>, while there is no Hebrew word corresponding to $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \varsigma$.
- (10) $\Delta o \tilde{u} \lambda o \zeta$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{u} \zeta$ (2 Ki. 15.34). Hushai, Absalom's servant.
- (11) $\Delta \iota \acute{\alpha} \kappa \circ \nu \circ \varsigma$ (Es. 6.3,5) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \varsigma$ (Es. 6.8). The servants of king Ahasuerus.
- (12) Δοῦλος (Ju. 6.7) and θ εράπων (Ju. 6.6). Holophernes' servants, soldiers in his army.
- (13) Δ οῦλος and θ εράπων (Ju. 9.10). General references to unspecified servants: δοῦλον ... ἐπ' ἄρχοντι καὶ ἄρχοντα ἐπὶ θ εράποντι αὐτοῦ.
- (14) $\Delta o \bar{v} \lambda o \zeta$ (Ju. 3.4) and $\pi \alpha \bar{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (Ju. 3.2). The Phoenicians as slaves of Nebuchadnezzar.
- (15) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \varsigma$ (Si. 33.25 (B^S)), $o i \kappa \acute{e} \tau \eta \varsigma$ (Si. 33.25,27,31,
- 32) and $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\varsigma}$ (Si. 33.26). General references to

- unspecified servants.
- (16) $\Delta o \bar{v} \lambda o \varsigma$ and $\pi \alpha \bar{v} \varsigma$ (Le. 25.44). An Israelite's servant.
- (17) Λειτουργός (2 Ki. 13.18) and παιδάριον (2 Ki. 13.17). The servant of Amnon, David's son.
- (18) $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ (Ge. 9.25) and $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ (Ge. 9.25,26,27). Noah's son Canaan as servant to his brothers.
- (19) $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\varsigma}$ and $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\varsigma}$ (Ge. 44.33). Judah as servant of his brother Joseph.
- (20) $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\varsigma}$ (Ex. 21.26,27) and $\pi\alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ (Ex. 21.20,32). An Israelite's servant.
- (21) $\Lambda \epsilon i \tau o v \rho \gamma \delta_{\zeta}$ and $\pi \alpha i \delta \alpha \rho i o \nu$ (4 Ki. 6.15). An unnamed servant of the prophet Elisha.
- (22) Δοῦλος (4 Ki. 5.17,25) and παιδάριον (4 Ki. 5.20). Gehazi, servant of the prophet Elisha.
- (23) $\Pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \rho \iota \circ \nu$ (2 Ki. 9.9) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (2 Ki. 9.2). Ziba, servant of Saul.

(b) Different words used in parallel accounts of the same incident (including variant readings)

- (1) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} o \nu$ (Jd. 19.19). The servants of the Levite's host. $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ is the reading of A, and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} o \nu$ of B.
- (2) $\Delta o \tilde{u} \lambda o \zeta$ (3 Ki. 5.20) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (2 Ch. 2.7). Solomon's servants who were engaged in the task of acquiring timber from Lebanon for the building of the temple.
- (3) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \varsigma$ (3 Ki. 5.20) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \varsigma$ (2 Ch. 2.9). Hiram's servants, his timber-cutters.

- (4) $\Delta o \tilde{u} \lambda o \zeta$ (3 Ki. 22.50) and $\pi a \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ (3 Ki. 16.28g (0+)). The servants of Ahaziah, king of Israel. See also (b) (13) below.
- (5) $\Delta \circ \tilde{\nu} \lambda \circ \zeta$ (3 Ki. 22.50) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ (3 Ki. 16.28g (0+)). The servants of Jehoshaphat, king of Judah.
- (6) $\Delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta$ (4 Ki. 12.21,22) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ (2 Ch. 24.25). The servants of Joash.
- (7) $\Delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \varsigma$ (4 Ki. 14.5) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \varsigma$ (2 Ch. 25.3). The servants of Amaziah, Joash's son (the same men as in (6) above).
- (8) $\Delta o \tilde{u} \lambda o \zeta$ (4 Ki. 22.9) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ (2 Ch. 34.16). The servants of Josiah. This is not just a distinction on the basis of books, since $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ also refers to Josiah's servants in 4 Ki. 23.30.
- (9) $\Delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \varsigma$ (4 Ki. 22.12) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \varsigma$ (2 Ch. 34.20). Asaiah, servant of Josiah.
- (10) $\Lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma \circ \zeta$ and $\dot{\upsilon} \pi \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma \circ \zeta$ (Jo. 1.1). Joshua, servant of Moses. $\Lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma \circ \zeta$ is the reading of A, and $\dot{\upsilon} \pi \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma \circ \zeta$ of B.
- (11) Neavias and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{a} \rho \iota \circ \nu$ (Jd. 19.3,9,11,13). The servant of the Levite. In each case $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu \acute{a} \alpha \varsigma$ is the reading of B, and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{a} \rho \iota \circ \nu$ of A.
- (12) $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta\varsigma$ and $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}\varsigma$ (To. 9.2). A servant or servants of Tobias. $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta\varsigma$ is the reading of S, and $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}\varsigma$ of BA.
- (13) Παιδάριον and $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (3 Ki. 16.28g). The servants of Ahaziah. Παιδάριον is the reading of B+, and $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ of the

other MSS.

καὶ παιδίσκας in S.

- (14) $\text{Mai}\delta\acute{a}\rho\iota o\nu$ (4 Ki. 19.6) and $\pi\alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ (2 Ch. 32.9,16). The servants of the Assyrian king Sennacherib who attempted to persuade the Jews to submit by the use of propaganda.
- (15) $\text{Mai}\delta\alpha\rho\iota o\nu$ and $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (Da. 1.13). Daniel and his three Jewish companions, as servants of the leader of the eunuchs. $\text{Mai}\delta\alpha\rho\iota o\nu$ is the reading of A+, and $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ of the other MSS (in both the G and TH texts). (16) Mai_{ζ} and $\sigma\tilde{\omega}\mu\alpha$ (To. 10.10). The servants of Raguel. The word $\sigma\tilde{\omega}\mu\alpha\tau\alpha$ in BA corresponds to the phrase $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}\delta\alpha\zeta$

(c) <u>Different words used in other similar (though not identical) contexts</u>

- (1) $^{\prime}$ Ανδράποδον (3 Ma. 7.5) and σῶμα (2 Ma. 8.11). Jewish slaves under the Ptolemies.
- (2) Διάκονος (Pr. 10.4a), θεράπων (Pr. 18.14, 27.27) and οἰκέτης (Pr. 13.13a, 17.2, 19.10, 22.7, 29.19,21, 30.10,
- 22). General references to unspecified servants. In these various references there is no evidence from the context that different types of servants are intended.
- (3) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ (Jd. 6.27) and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{a} \rho \iota o \nu$ (Jd. 7.10,11). Servants of Gideon. $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ refers to his servants generally (and translates <u>'ebed</u>) and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{a} \rho \iota o \nu$ refers specifically to Purah (and translates <u>na'ar</u>), so that there is at best only a slight similarity between the

two words in these references.

- (4) Δοῦλος (Jd. 9.28) and $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{a}\rho\iotaο\nu$ (Jd. 9.54(bis)). Servants of Abimelech. The same comments apply as in
- (3) above, since the words refer to different servants and translate different Hebrew words.
- (5) Δ οῦλος (2 Ki. 11.11,13) and παιδάριον (2 Ki. 20.11). Servants of Joab, referring to army personnel. Δ οῦλος translates 'ebed and παιδάριον na'ar.
- (6) $\Delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta$ (Ps. 134.9), $\theta \in \rho \acute{a} \pi \omega \nu$ (Ex. 5.21 and in 24 other examples in Exodus, De. 29.1, 34.11) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (Ge. 40.20 (bis), 41.10,37,38, 50.7, Ex. 11.8, 2 Es. 19.10, Je. 32.19). Servants of Pharaoh, his courtiers, mostly in connection with the Exodus story or the Joseph story. Apart from the one example of $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ in Exodus, there is a clear division on the basis of books.
- (7) Δοῦλος, οἰκέτης and παῖς. The Israelites as slaves (or the nation, collectively, as a slave) in Egypt. Οἰκέτης alone is used in the formula $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\theta\dot{\eta}\sigma\eta$ ὅτι οἰκέτης $\dot{\eta}\sigma\theta\alpha$ ἐν γῷ Αἰγύπτψ (-του), in De. 5.15, 15.15, 16.12, 24.18,20,22. But even apart from this case, the three words are all used in the Pentateuch without any obvious distinction (δοῦλος in Le. 26.13, οἰκέτης in De. 6.21, παῖς in Ex. 5.16, De. 28.68), and δοῦλος elsewhere (1 Ki. 2.27, Ju. 5.11).
 - (8) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \varsigma$ (Jo. 9.23) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ (Jo. 10.6). The Gibeonites as slaves to Joshua or the Israelites.

- (9) $\Delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta$ (1 Ki. 22.14) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (1 Ki. 22.6,7,17). Saul's servants. $\Delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta$ refers to all Saul's servants generally, while $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ refers specifically to those who accompanied him as he pursued David.
- (10) $\Delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \varsigma$ (2 Ki. 13.35) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ (2 Ki. 13.36). David's servants. $\Delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \varsigma$ refers to Jonadab specifically, while $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ refers to all David's servants.
- (11) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \varsigma$ (2 Es. 17.57,60, 21.3) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ (1 Es. 5.33,
- 35). These two words are both used in the phrase "sons of Solomon's servants".
- (12) To the references to Sennacherib's servants in
 (b)(14) above (involving παιδάριον and παῖς) may be added δοῦλος in 4 Ki. 18.24, where it refers to Sennacherib's army commanders.
- (13) $\Lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \nu \rho \gamma \delta_{\zeta}$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (both in 3 Ki. 10.5, 2 Ch. 9.4). Solomon's servants. There are however clear distinctions here. The two words refer to different groups of servants, and translate different Hebrew words.
- (14) $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{a}\pi \omega \nu$ (Jb. 19.15,16, 31.13) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (Jb. 1.15,17). Servants of Job. They translate different Hebrew words: $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{a}\pi \omega \nu$ <u>'ebed</u> in 19.16, 31.13 (nothing in 19.15), and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ <u>na'ar</u>.
- (15) $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\varsigma}$ (De. 15.17) and $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\varsigma}$ (Ex. 21.2,5). An Israelite as servant to another Israelite.
- (16) $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta\varsigma$ (To. 8.10(S), 18 (both texts)) and $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}\varsigma$ (To. 10.10(S)). Raguel's household servants. See also (b)(16)

above.

- (17) $\text{Mai}\delta\acute{a}\rho_{\text{IOV}}$ (2 Ki. 13.28,29) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ (2 Ki. 17.20). Absalom's servants. $\text{Mai}\delta\acute{a}\rho_{\text{IOV}}$ translates $\underline{\text{na'ar}}$, and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ 'ebed.
- (18) To the references to Saul's servants in (a)(1) above (involving $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$, $\pi a \iota \delta \acute{a} \rho \iota o \nu$ and $\pi a \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$) may be added $\pi a \iota \delta \acute{a} \rho \iota o \nu$ in 1 Ki. 21.8. Though in a different context, this example is significant, since $\pi a \iota \delta \acute{a} \rho \iota o \nu$ here translates 'ebed, so that the explanation given above on the basis of the Hebrew equivalents does not always apply. (19) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ (3 Ki. 11.26, 12.24b) and $\pi a \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (2 Ch. 13.6). Jeroboam, Solomon's servant.

III Differences

(a) On the basis of overall LXX usage

Mme. S. Daniel has offered some suggestions concerning the distinctions between the words used in the Pentateuch. 1 $\Delta_{0}\tilde{\upsilon}\lambda_{0}\varsigma$, used only three times in the Pentateuch (including once of God's servants), has a pejorative sense, according to Mme. Daniel. But whether this is a sufficient explanation of the virtual disuse of $\delta_{0}\tilde{\upsilon}\lambda_{0}\varsigma$, as she suggests, is doubtful. There are many examples where a pejorative word would certainly have been possible, if not positively desirable. It is true that $\theta \epsilon_{0}\tilde{\alpha}m\omega\nu$ stresses a relationship

^{1.} S. Daniel, Recherches sur le Vocabulaire du Culte dans la Septante (Paris, 1966), especially p.103 n.38.

of intimacy and confidence, being used in the Pentateuch only of Pharaoh's inner circle of advisers. But it is not the only word so used, and only in a general sense does this definition mark $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{a}\pi\omega\nu$ off as distinctive. $Oi\kappa\acute{e}\tau\eta\zeta$ is said to emphasise the dependance of slaves on their masters. This statement is difficult to justify as a generally valid definition for the nineteen examples in the Pentateuch. For instance, there is no emphasis in this direction in the phrase $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\theta\dot{\eta}\sigma\eta$ or i oi $\kappa\acute{e}\tau\eta\zeta$ $\dot{\eta}\sigma\theta\alpha$ $\dot{e}\nu$ $\gamma\ddot{\eta}$ $Ai\gamma\dot{\omega}\pi\tau\phi$ (see II (c)(7) above). Furthermore there are sufficient close parallels between oi $\kappa\acute{e}\tau\eta\zeta$ and $\pi\alpha\ddot{\iota}\zeta$ to arouse further suspicion as to whether a meaningful distinction can be made between the two words.

Mme. Daniel herself notes that in nearly all the rest of the LXX there is a reverse trend back to $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \varsigma^6$. While I do not doubt the possibility of differences in shades of meaning of synonyms between one book or group of books in the LXX and another, I would interpret the evidence in the case of the words under discussion as indicating that they are to a large degree interchangeable. 7

This applies to other words in this group, and not

^{2.} E.g. $\pi \alpha \tilde{i}_{C}$ in Ge. 41.37,38.

^{3.} See, e.g., II (a)(18)(19)(20) above.

^{4.} This statement is not intended to rule out the possibility that $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta\varsigma$ is sometimes used to emphasise the idea of ownership. See pp. 86,89 above.

^{5. &}lt;u>Ibid</u>., p.104, n.39.

^{6.} The statistics quoted by Mme. Daniel include the examples of the other three senses of "male servant".

^{7.} This conclusion of course applies only to the first sense of "male servant".

only the four already mentioned. In the list in II (a) above, there are close parallels between $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ and $\pi \alpha i \delta \acute{a} \rho i o \nu$, $\delta i \acute{a} \kappa o \nu o \zeta$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{i} \zeta$, $\lambda \epsilon i \tau o v \rho \gamma \acute{o} \zeta$ and $\pi \alpha i \delta \acute{a} \rho i o \nu$, and $\pi \alpha i \delta \acute{a} \rho i o \nu$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{i} \zeta$.

Some further points may be made. 'Aνδράποδον may have the specialised meaning "prisoner of war", though this is not absolutely certain. The use of νεανίας with the meaning "servant" is attested only in Jd. 19 (B), and nowhere else in Greek literature, and perhaps is to be explained as a peculiarity of the B text of Judges. The one LXX example of παιδίον in this sense is also to be found in the Judges B text, though this use is attested elsewhere both in earlier and contemporary examples.

(b) On the basis of translators preference

(1) In the Pentateuch overall, $\pi\alpha\tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ is the most common, with 71 examples, while $\theta\epsilon\rho\acute{\alpha}\pi\omega\nu$ (27) is slightly more common than $\circ i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ (19). $\Delta\rho\tilde{\upsilon}\lambda\circ_{\zeta}$ is used only twice and $\pi\alpha\imath\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\imath\circ\nu$ not at all. There is also one example of $\sigma\tilde{\omega}\mu\alpha$. When we analyse these figures further, we find some interesting variety. In Genesis $\pi\alpha\tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ is used 52 times, with four examples of $\circ i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ and one of $\sigma\tilde{\omega}\mu\alpha$. But in Exodus, $\theta\epsilon\rho\acute{\alpha}\pi\omega\nu$ occurs 25 times, as against five examples of $\circ i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ and only eight of $\pi\alpha\tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$. Leviticus has two

^{8.} See p. 4 above.

examples each of $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \zeta$, $\circ i \kappa \acute{\epsilon} \tau \eta_{\zeta}$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$, and Numbers two of $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$, while Deuteronomy uses $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ twice, $\circ i \kappa \acute{\epsilon} \tau \eta_{\zeta}$ eight times, and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ seven times.

- (2) In the books of Kings $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}\zeta$ (102), $\delta\circ\tilde{\upsilon}\lambda\circ\zeta$ (76) and $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\circ\nu$ (68) are all common. The large number of examples of $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\circ\nu$ is especially noteworthy, as $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota\circ\nu$ is used in this sense only another eighteen times in the LXX.
- (3) In contrast to Kings, the books of Chronicles use $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ 33 times, but $\delta o \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda o_{\zeta}$ only three times and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\alpha} \rho \iota o \nu$ not at all.
- (4) Some of the other books show a preference for one word. Thus Judith uses $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \varsigma$ sixteen times to eight examples of $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{a} \pi \omega \nu$ and three of $\pi a \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$. Proverbs uses $o i \kappa \acute{\epsilon} \tau \eta_{\varsigma}$ eight times to three examples of other words, and similarly Ecclesiasticus uses $o i \kappa \acute{\epsilon} \tau \eta_{\varsigma}$ twelve times to four examples of other words. Jeremiah prefers $\pi a \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ (fifteen times, to one example of $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \varsigma$). Esther prefers $\delta \iota \acute{a} \kappa o \nu o \varsigma$ (four examples, of a total of six examples of $\delta \iota \acute{a} \kappa o \nu o \varsigma$, to two examples of $\pi a \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$).
- (5) The B text of Judges contains some unusual readings. The only examples where $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i \alpha \zeta$ (4) and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \circ \nu$ (1) mean "servant", occur in this text.

(c) On the basis of the Hebrew equivalents

The Hebrew equivalents for each Greek word are:

διάκονος $\underline{\text{na•ar}}$ (1) $\underline{\text{sharath}}$ (1), $\underline{\text{na•ar}} + \underline{\text{sharath}}$ (2).

δοῦλος • ebed (102).

 θ εράπων <u>'ebed</u> (27), <u>na·arah</u> (1).

λειτουργός sharath (6).

 $v \in avia_{\varsigma}$ $\underline{na \cdot ar}$ (4).

οίκέτης <u>· ebed</u> (26).

παιδάριον 'ebed (3), na ar (71).

παιδίον 'ebed (1).

 $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ ebed (218), na ar (7), chayil (1),

'ish (1), 'enosh (1), mal'ak (1),

'am (1), <u>le</u> (1).

 $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha$ chayil (1).

ὑπηρ**έτης** <u>'ebed</u> (1)

ὑπουργός sharath (1).

(There is no Hebrew equivalent for $\dot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\dot{\alpha}\pi\circ\delta\circ\nu$)

The Hebrew words and their Greek translations are:

• ebed δοῦλος (102), θεράπων (27), οἰκέτης (26),

παιδάριον (3), παιδίον (1), πα $\tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ (218),

ὑπηρέτης (1).

na' ar διάκονος (1), νεανίας (4), παιδάριον (71),

 $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ (7).

sharath διάκονος (1), λειτουργός (6), ὑπουργός (1).

na'ar + sharath $\delta i \acute{\alpha} ko \nu o_{\zeta}$ (2).

ish $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (1).

 $\begin{array}{lll} \underline{\text{renosh}} & \pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma} & \text{(1).} \\ \underline{\text{chayil}} & \pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma} & \text{(1), } \sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha \text{(1).} \\ \underline{\text{mal'ak}} & \pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma} & \text{(1).} \\ \underline{\text{'am}} & \pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma} & \text{(1).} \\ \underline{\text{le}} & \pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma} & \text{(1).} \end{array}$

(1) There is a wide variety of translations of 'ebed. the five most common Greek words, δοῦλος, θεράπων and οίκέτης translate 'ebed and nothing else, while 'ebed is overwhelmingly the most common equivalent for $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{C}$. There is no semantic reason for choosing one word rather than any other to translate 'ebed. Desire for variety and translators' preference are relevant factors, though it is difficult to see clear patterns in the way these factors are applied. The use of $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iota$ ον and $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}$ ον to translate 'ebed is clearly unusual and requires some explanation. Παιδίον occurs in Jd. 19.19 (B), and is not the only example of a peculiarity in this text (see (b)(5) above). Two of the examples of παιδάριον are variants, in 3 Ki. 16.28g (B+) and Da. 1.13 (A+), and are probably incorrect readings, but the example in 1 Ki. 21.8 is not so easily explained. Here it refers to the servants of Saul, and either $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \zeta$ or $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (which are used elsewhere of Saul's servants) could easily have been used. This example reinforces the point that at least the five most common words are often interchangeable. (2) The translation of na ar is also varied, though not to

the same extent as 'ebed. Har δάριον stands out as the most common translation. This raises the question why the other words are used. $\Delta\iota$ άκονος translates na ar in Esther only, where παιδάριον is not used. Ne ανίας occurs in the B text of Jd. 19 (see (b)(5) above), where A uses παιδάριον. The seven relevant examples of π αῖς occur in sections where π αιδάριον is either not used at all (in the case of Numbers and Job) or not used with the meaning "servant" (in the case of Genesis).

(3) A pattern can be seen in the translation of sharath. $\Lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \nu \rho \gamma \circ \zeta$ may be regarded as the normal translation, with $\delta \iota \acute{\alpha} \kappa \circ \nu \circ \zeta$ found only in Esther (where $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \nu \rho \gamma \circ \zeta$ is not used at all) and $\dot{\nu} \pi \circ \nu \rho \gamma \circ \zeta$ only as a variant in Jo. 1.1 (B).

OTHER WORDS				<u>λειτουργός:</u> 3 Ki.10.5,2 Ch.9.4		21
Παΐς	Ge. 40.20(bis),41.10, 37,38,50.7,Ex.11.8, 2 Es.19.10,3e.32.19	1 Ki.16.15,17,18.22 (bis),23,24,26,19.1, 22.6,7,17,28.7(bis), 23,25,29.10	1 K1.25.10,40,41,42, 2 K1.2.13,15,17,30, 31,3.22,38,10.2,3,4, 11.1,24(bis),12.19 (bis),21,13.24,31, 36,15.14,15(bis),17, 18,22,16.6,18.7.9, 19.7,20.6,21.15, 24.20,3 Ki.1,2,1 Ch. 19.2,3,4,20.8,22.17 (BA)	2 Ki.9.2 3 Ki.3.15,9.27,10.5, 8,22c,2 Ch.2.7,14, 8.18,9.4,7,10,1 Es.	5.33,35 3'K1.5.15,9.27,2 Ch. 2.7,9,12(A ^F B ^C),8.18 (bis),9.10,21	
Παιδάριον		3d.7.10,11 1 Ki.16.18,21.8, 26.22	1 K1.25.5(b1s),8,9, 12,25,27,2 K1.1.15, 4.12,16.2	2 K±.9.9,16.1,19.18		
0iĸéτης				- 1 ,		-
θεράπων	Ex.5.21,7.9,10(bis), 20,28,29,8.5,7,17, 20,25,27,9.8,14,20, 30,34,10,1,6,7,11.3, 12,30,14,5,8,De,29,1,			 	•	
ο έλος	Pa)34.9	3d.6.27 1 Ki.16.16,18.5,30, 22.14	2 K1.6.20,10.2,11.9, 17,12,18,19.6,8,15, 21,22,3 K1.1.33,47	3 Ki.5.20,11.11, 2 Es.17.57,60,21.3	3 K1.5.20,23, 2 Ch.2.7	·
MALE SERVANT (ACTIVELY PRACTISED)	In this column "David" (etc.) means "servant(s) of David" (i) Servant of a king or another leader Pharaoh	Gideon Saul	David	Ziba to Saul/Mephibosheth Solomon	Hiram	

	OTHER WORDS			λειτουργός:	<u>ὑπουργός</u> Jo.1.1(Β)		νεανίας; 34.19.3(Β), 11(Β),13(Β)	παιδίον: Jd.19.19(8)		λειτουργός: 2 Ki.13.18		λειτουργός: 4 Κί.4.43,6.15	<u>σῶμα</u> : Το.10.10(ΒΑ)		216.
	Παίς	Ge.9.25,26,27	GB.43.18,44.9,10,33		, ~µ11			(SIE)		FAM	2 Ki.14.30(bis),31, 15.34(bis),17.20	2.2	To.10.10(S)	Jb.1.15,17	Ge.12.16,14.15,18.7, 20.14,22.3,5,19, 24.2,5,9,10,17,34, 35,52,53,59,61,65 (bis),66,26,15,18, 19,25,32,30,43,32.6, 17(bis),39.14,17,19, 41.12,Ex.20,10,17,
	Παιδάριον					Jd.9.54(bis)	3d.19.3(A),9(A), 11(A),13(A)		2 K1.20.11	2 K1.13.17	2 Ki.13.28,29	4 Ki.4.12,14,25,38, 41,5.20,6.15,17,8.4		•	Ru.2.5,6,9(bis),15, 21,1 Ki.1.14,2.13, 15,9.3,5,6,7,8,10, 22,10.14,14.1,6, 20.21(bis),35,36 (bis),37,38(bis),39, 40(bis),41,25.8,14, 19,3 Ki.12.24k,18.43 (ter),19.3,
_	οίκέτης	Ge.9.25	Ge.44.16,33								·		10.8.10(5),18		Ge.27.37,Ex.12,44, 21.26,27,De.15.17
	θεράπων													36,19,16,31,13	
-	Δοξλος					Jd.9.28		3d.19.19(A)	2 K1.11.11,13,14.30		2 Ki.14.30,15.34	4 K1.5.17,25			Le.25.44,1 Ki.8.16 30.13,2 Ki.9.10(bis) 12,19.18,27,3 Ki. 2.39(bis),40(bis), 41,2 Es.2.65,17.67, Ps.104.17,1s.14.2, 45.14
	MALE SERVANT (ACTIVELY PRACTISED)	(11) Sarvant of another individual	Canaan to his brothers Judah and his brothers to	Joseph Joshua to Moses		Abimelech	Levite in 3d.19	Man of Gibbah	Joab	Amnon	Absalom	Elisha	Raguel	Job	Other references

OTHER WORDS			άνδράποδον: 3 Μα.7.5 αῶμα: 2 Μα.8.11(bis)	διάκονος:	217.
Παῖς	32,Le.25.6,44,Nu. 22.22,31.49,De.5.14 (bis),21,12.12,18, 23.16,Jd.16.26(A), 2 Ki.2.12,15,16.11, 1 Ch.2.34,35,1 Es. 5.1,41(bis),Ju.7.12, 8.7,Je.41.9,10,11, 16(bis),5u.30(G)	Ex.5.16,De.28.68	Jo.10.6 Ge.47.21,25,2 Ch. B.9,Es.7.4,1 Ma.3.41	4 Ki.5.26,To.9.2 (BA),1 Ma.3.41(v.1.), 3 Ma.5.31,Si.33.26, Is.24.2,Je.47.9, Da.10.17	
Παι δάριον	4 Ki.4.19,22,24, Bel.14(TH)				
0i kétnç	•	Ex.5.15,16,De.5.15, 6.21,15.15,16.12, 24.18,20,22		Le.25.39,42,1 Es. 3.19,To.9.2(5),5(5), Pr.13.13a,17.2, 19.10,22.7,29.19,21, 30.10,22,51.4.30, 6.11,7.20,21,10.25, 23.10,33.25,27,31, 32,37.11,42.5, Is.36.9	
θεράπων				4 Ki.25.30(A+), Ju.9.10, pr.18.14, 27.27, Jb.3.19,7.2	
Δοῦλος		Le.26.13,1 K1.2.27, Ju.5.11	Jo.9.23 1 Ki.17.9(bis),2 Ch.28.10,36.20,2 Es. 9.9,15.5,19.36,Ju. 3.4,727,14.13,18, Je.2.14,La.5.8	1 Ki.13.3,14.21, 25.10,3 Ki.12.7, 4 Ki.4.1,1 Es.4.26, 2 Es.4.15,12.10,19, 30.9.3,10,Ps.122.2, 3b.40.28,Ec.2.7, 5.11,7.21,10.7(bis), Wi.18.11,5i.33.25, 31.3.2,Ma.1.6,Su. 27(TH),Da.3.85(G)	
MALE SERVANT (ACTIVELY PRACTISED)	(ii) <u>Servant of another individual</u> (cont ¹ d) Other references (cont ¹ d)	(iii) Groups as slaves Israelites in Egypt	Gibeonites to Israel/Joshum Other references	(iv) <u>Miscallaneous</u>	

41: MALE SERVANT (STATUS BUT NO ACTUAL SERVICE)

I. Words Used: δοῦλος (46), παῖς (34), οἰκέτης (2).

II. Similarities

- (a) Different words used in identical contexts
- (1) $\Delta \circ \tilde{\eta} \lambda \circ \zeta$ (2 Ki. 19.21) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (2 Ki. 19.20). Shimei as David's subject.
- (2) $\Delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta$ (2 Ki. 19.27,28,29) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ (2 Ki. 19.27). Mephibosheth as David's subject.
- (3) $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\varsigma}$ (Nu. 32.5) and $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\varsigma}$ (Nu. 32.4,25,27). The Reubenites in relation to their leader Moses.
- (b) <u>Different words used in parallel accounts of the same</u> incident (including variant readings)
- (1) $\Delta o \tilde{u} \lambda o \varsigma$ (2 Ki. 8.2,6,14) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \varsigma$ (1 Ch. 18.2,6,13). The Moabites and other surrounding peoples in relation to David, after being conquered by him.
- (2) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ (3 Ki. 12.7) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ (2 Ch. 10.7). The Israelites as subjects of Rehoboam.
- (3) $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\varsigma}$ and $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\varsigma}$ (Nu. 32.5). The Reubenites in relation to Moses. $\Pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\varsigma}$ is the reading of A, and $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\varsigma}$ of the other MSS. (See also (a)(3) above.)
- (c) <u>Different words used in other similar (though not</u> identical) contexts
- (1) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \varsigma$ (4 Ki. 4.1, 6.3) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{i} \varsigma$ (4 Ki. 2.16). Both words refer to the "sons of the prophets" in relation to

the prophet Elisha.

(2) $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\varsigma}$ (Ge. 50.18) and $\pi\alpha\widetilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ (Ge. 42.10,11,13, 44.7, etc.). Joseph's brothers in relation to Joseph.

III Differences

(a) On the basis of overall LXX usage

One point of difference between these three words in this sense is that $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ_{\zeta}$ is the only word which describes one king as servant/subject/vassal to another king (3 Ki. 21.32, 4 Ki. 16.7, 17.3, 24.1).

Another factor is that $\circ i \kappa \acute{\epsilon} \tau \eta \varsigma$ is possibly used to emphasise the fact that the master owned the servant. 1

(b) On the basis of translators' preference

- (1) The Pentateuch prefers $\pi\alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$, which is used 22 times (including one variant reading), in contrast to the two examples of $\circ i \kappa \acute{\epsilon} \tau \eta_{\zeta}$ and none of $\delta \circ \tilde{\iota} \lambda \circ \varsigma$.
- (2) The books of Kings prefer $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o_{\zeta}$. There are 45 examples of $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o_{\zeta}$ and only four of $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$, and conversely, only one example of $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o_{\zeta}$ in this sense occurs outside these books (in Ju. 11.14).
- (3) The books of Chronicles use only $\pi\alpha\tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ which occurs seven times.

(c) On the basis of the Hebrew equivalents

There is nothing of significance here, since all three words translate the same Hebrew word <a href='ebed', except'

^{1.} See p.86 above.

for two examples of $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \varsigma$ and two of $\pi \alpha \, \tilde{\iota} \, \varsigma$ where there is no Hebrew equivalent.

οίκέπης Παϊς	0.18 Ge.42.10,11,13,44.7,9,16, 18(bis),19,21,23,31,32		1 Ch.18.2,6,13,20.3(8)	2 Ki.19.27	2 Ki.19.20	2 Ch.10.7	4 Ki.2.16	Ge.4634,47.3,4(bis),19,3 Ki.1.9,1 Ch.21.3,2 Ch. 12.8,1 Es.1.54
Δοΰλος	Ge,50,18	Nu.32.5	2 Ki.8.2,6,14	2 Ki.9.6,8,19.27,28,29	2 Ki.19.21	3 Ki.12.7	4 Ki.41,6.3	1 Ki.12.19,17.58,26.17,18, 19,29.3,2 Ki.9.2,11(bis), 13.24(bis),15.8,21,19.36(bis), 37,38(bis), 24,21,3 Ki.1.19,26(bis),27,51, 2.38,18.9,12,21.32,39,40,4 Ki. 16.7,17.3,24.1,30.11.4
MALE SERVANT (Status but no actual service)	Joseph's brothers to Joseph	Reubenites to Moses	Moabites (etc) to David	Mephibosheth to David	Shimei to David	israelites to Rehoboam	Prophets to Elisha	Other references

42: MALE SERVANT (RESPECTFUL)

- I. <u>Words Used</u>: παῖς (16), δοῦλος (13), οἰκέτης (2).
- II. Similarities
- (a) Different words used in identical contexts
- (1) $0i\kappa\acute{e}\tau\eta_{\varsigma}$ (Jo. 9.8,11) and $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\varsigma}$ (Jo. 9.9). The Gibeonites in relation to Joshua.

III Differences

(a) On the basis of overall LXX usage

Although there is only the one passage in which there is a direct parallel between two words, it is nevertheless impossible to draw any general distinctions between the words in the ways they are used. Though there are no direct parallels between $\delta o \tilde{u} \lambda o_{\zeta}$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$, both words are used in generally similar ways: e.g. both are used of political leaders (a king or other leaders) in relation to other political leaders, $\delta o \tilde{u} \lambda o_{\zeta}$ in 3 Ki. 21.9 and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ in 4 Ki. 18.26, Is. 36.11; and both are used of respect shown to someone other than a political leader, $\delta o \tilde{u} \lambda o_{\zeta}$ in 1 Ki. 20.7 (etc.), $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ in Ge. 32.5 (etc.).

It may be worth mentioning that $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \zeta$ alone is used to describe the respect shown to a prophet, but as these seven examples all occur in 4 Kings this fact may be simply explained as a case of translators' preference.

(b) On the basis of translators' preference

- (1) The books of the Pentateuch (in fact only Genesis) use only $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (twelve times).
- (2) The books of the Kings prefer $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$. All thirteen examples of $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ in this sense occur in these books. $\text{Ma} \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ is used twice, once in the OL versions only (1 Ki. 25.8), and once in 4 Ki. 18.26 (perhaps due to assimilation to the parallel account in Is. 36.11).

(c) On the basis of the Hebrew equivalents

There is nothing of significance here, since all three words translate the same Hebrew word 'ebed, except for one example of $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o_{\zeta}$ and two of $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ where there is no Hebrew equivalent.

יוומנכ	Jo.9.9 Ge.32.5,6,19,21,33.5,8,14, 43.28,44.24,27,30,31, 1 K1. 25.8(OL),4 Ki.18.26,18,36.11
0irémc	Jo.9.8,11
Δοΐλος	beonites to Joshua 1 K1.20.7,8(ter),2 K1.15.2, 3 K1.21.9,4 Ki.1.13,14, 5.15,17,18(bis),8.13
MALE SERVANT (RESPECTFUL)	Gibeonites to Joshua Other references

43: MALE SERVANT (SERVANT OF GOD)

Words Used: δοῦλος (187), παῖς (92), θεράπων (25),
 λειτουργός (6), οἰκέτης (6), ὑπηρέτης (1)

II Similarities

- (a) Different words used in identical contexts
- (1) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \varsigma$ (1 Ch. 17.7,18,26) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{v} \varsigma$ (1 Ch. 17.4,17,
- 23, etc.). David.
- (2) $\Delta o \ddot{v} \lambda o c$ (2 Ch. 6.42) and $\pi \alpha \ddot{v} c$ (2 Ch. 6.15,16,17). David.
- (3) $\Delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta$ (2 Es. 11.6) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (2 Es. 11.10,11). The Jews who had returned from exile.
- (4) Δοῦλος (2 Es. 11.6, 11) and $\pi\alpha$ ῖς (2 Es. 11.11). Nehemiah.
- (5) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \varsigma$ (2 Ch. 6.23) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{v} \varsigma$ (2 Ch. 6.14,27). The Israelites.
- (6) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ (Ps. 85.2,4) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{v} \zeta$ (Ps. 85.16). An unnamed worshipper whose prayer is the substance of this psalm.
- (7) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ (Is. 42.19). Unnamed servants of God.
- (8) $\Delta o \bar{v} \lambda o \zeta$ (Is. 49.5) and $\pi \alpha \bar{v} \zeta$ (Is. 49.6). The unnamed servant who is the subject of the Isaiah servant poems.
- (9) $\Delta \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{v}} \lambda \circ \varsigma$ (Je. 26.27) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\mathfrak{v}}_{\varsigma}$ (Je. 26.28). Jacob, the nation.
- (10) Θεράπων (Ge. 24.44) and παῖς (Ge. 24.14). Isaac. There are different Hebrew equivalents here. Θεράπων translates ben 'adon, and παῖς 'ebed.

- (11) Θεράπων (Jo. 1.2) and παῖς (Jo. 1.7,13). Moses.
- (12) $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ (Jo. 9.2b,2d) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \varsigma$ (Jo. 9.24). Moses.
- (13) $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta\varsigma$ and $\pi\alpha \ddot{\imath}\varsigma$ (Le. 25.55). The Israelites.

(b) <u>Different words used in parallel accounts of the same</u> incident (including variant readings)

- (1) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ (Jo. 14.7). Moses. A reads $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$, the other MSS $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$.
- (2) $\Delta o \tilde{u} \lambda o \zeta$ (3 Ki. 8.28(bis),29,30) and $\pi a \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ (2 Ch. 6.19(bis), 20,21). Solomon as God's servant, in parallel accounts of Solomon's prayer at the dedication of the temple.
- (3) $\Delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \zeta$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ (1 Ch. 17.4). David. $\Delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \zeta$ is the reading in BL+. See also (a)(1) above.
- (4) $\Delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ (Je. 42.15). The prophets. $\Delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta$ is the reading of A and the majority of the minuscules.
- (5) $\Delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \zeta$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ (Je. 51.4). The prophets. $\Delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \zeta$ is the reading of A.
- (6) $\Delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \zeta$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (Da. 3.93, 9.6,10,11,17). In these five references $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \zeta$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ describe different servants of God. In each case $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \zeta$ is the reading of TH and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ of G. However this pattern does not always apply in Daniel for in several places the same word is used in both forms of the text: $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \zeta$ in 3.33,35,44, and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ in 3.95.
- (7) Θεράπων and παῖς (Jb. 1.8). Job. Θεράπων is the reading of A.
- (8) $\Theta \in p\acute{\alpha}\pi\omega\nu$ and $\pi\alpha\tilde{\imath}\zeta$ (Jb. 42.8). Job. In contrast to the above example, $\pi\alpha\tilde{\imath}\zeta$ is here the reading of A (and also V+).

- (c) <u>Different words used in other similar (though not</u> identical) contexts
- (1) Δοῦλος (2 Μα. 1.2), θεράπων (De. 9.27) and οἰκέτης
- (Ex. 32.13). The patriarchs Abraham, Isaac and Jacob.
- (2) Δοῦλος (Jo. 24.30), οἰκέτης (Jo. 5.14) and παῖς (Jo. 7.7). Joshua.
- (3) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \varsigma$ (Hg. 2.23), $o i \kappa \acute{e} \tau \eta \varsigma$ (1 Es. 4.59) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{i} \varsigma$ (1 Es. 6.26). Zerubbabel.
- (4) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \varsigma$ (Ps. 104.42) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{i} \varsigma$ (Ge. 18.3,5,17, 19.2,19). Abraham.
- (5) $\Delta o \tilde{u} \lambda o \zeta$ (Ez. 28.25, 37.25) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ (Ge. 32.11, Ba. 3.37). Jacob the patriarch.
- (6) $\Delta o \tilde{u} \lambda o \zeta$ (2 Es. 19.14, 20.30) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ (2 Es. 11.7,8). Moses.
- (7) $\Delta o \tilde{u} \lambda o \varsigma$ (Is. 48.20, 49.3) and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \varsigma$ (Is. 41.8,9, 42.1, 44.1,2,21(bis), 45.4). Jacob or Israel, as the name of the nation. See also (a)(9) above.
- (8) $\Delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ (Ps. 68.37) and $\pi a \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (Ps. 68.18). An unnamed worshipper describes himself as God's $\pi a \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ and uses $\delta o \tilde{v} \lambda o \zeta$ of God's servants generally.
- (9) $\Delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ \zeta$ (Da. 3.35), as well as $\theta \in \rho \acute{a}\pi \omega \nu$ and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ in Ge. 24 (see (a)(10) above), is applied to Isaac.
- (10) Θεράπων (De. 3.24) and οἰκέτης (De. 34.5). Moses. See also (a)(11)(12) and (b)(1) above.

III Differences

(a) On the basis of overall LXX usage

Thackeray offers a brief analysis of words used in this sense as applied to Moses. 1 Two comments may be made about his suggestions. First, he concludes from these examples that there is "a growing tendency to emphasize the distance between God and man", a tendency evidenced by the replacement of one word by another, then another, and so on. Thus the LXX begins with $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$, which is replaced by $0i\kappa \epsilon \tau \eta_{C}$, then $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{C}$ and finally $\delta 0 \tilde{\nu} \lambda_{C}$. This conclusion is a generalisation from one group of examples, and does not fit the facts. For example, the implication that the Pentateuch uses mainly $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{a}\pi\omega \nu$ is simply not true (see (b) (1) below). Furthermore it requires an order of translation for the books of the LXX which must be regarded as unlikely: e.g. that the books of Joshua and Chronicles (which prefer $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$) and the books of Kings and the Psalms (which prefer δούλος) were all produced after Job, in which there is a high proportion of examples of $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{a}\pi\omega\nu$.

A second criticism of Thackeray is his actual definitions of the four words with which he deals. $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ is "the confidential attendant", $oi \kappa \acute{\epsilon} \tau \eta_{\varsigma}$ is a word "which may include all members of the household and therefore implies close intimacy", $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ is described as "more colourless but still familiar" (familiar in the sense of "intimate", I assume), while $\delta o \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda o_{\varsigma}$ means "bond-servant", one who has no will of his

^{1.} H. St.J. Thackeray, A Grammar of the Old Testament in Greek, I (Cambridge, 1909) pp.7-8.

own. I doubt whether these definitions or descriptions are derived from an examination of the examples which Thackeray cites, whatever justification may be made on etymological grounds or on the basis of classical usage. My own suggestion is that the four words are on the whole synonymous, with the preferences shown by different translators (see (b) below) having little to do with the actual meanings of the words.

The only general distinction which I can see is that $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \nu \rho \gamma \delta_{\zeta}$ and to a lesser extent $\theta \epsilon \rho \delta \pi \omega \nu$ are often used in a cultic context. The four examples where $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \nu \rho \gamma \delta_{\zeta}$ refers to men as God's servants are in this type of context (the other two examples of $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \nu \rho \gamma \delta_{\zeta}$ referring to supernatural beings), and the same is true in the use of $\theta \epsilon \rho \delta \pi \omega \nu$ when applied to Joshua (Ex. 33.11) and the Levites (To. 1.7 (S)).

(b) On the basis of translators' preference

books of Kings where it is the only word used (1 Kings, 7

⁽¹⁾ In the Pentateuch $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ is used eleven times, $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ nine, $\circ i \kappa \acute{\epsilon} \tau \eta_{\zeta}$ four and $\delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ_{\zeta}$ once. Thus there is both considerable variety, but at the same time a preference for $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ (when we consider that there are only another fourteen examples of $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ in this sense in the LXX). (2) $\Delta \circ \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \circ_{\zeta}$ is preferred in several books, notably the

^{2.} See under λειτουργός, p.57.

examples; 2 Kings, 14; 3 Kings, 31; 4 Kings, 21), and the Psalms (51 examples, with four of $\pi\alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ and two of $\lambda\epsilon\iota\tau\sigma\upsilon\rho\gamma\delta_{\zeta}$). It is also used exclusively in Judges (both A and B)(2), 1 Maccabees (1), 2 Maccabees (4), Proverbs (1), Minor Prophets (6), and Ezekiel (6), and preferred in 2 Esdras (eight examples to seven of other words), Jeremiah (six to four) and Daniel (eleven to six). (3) $\Pi\alpha\tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ is preferred in Joshua (twelve examples to six of

- (3) Hai_{ζ} is preferred in Joshua (twelve examples to six of other words), 1 Chronicles (ten to five), 2 Chronicles (thirteen to two), 1 Esdras (three to one), Isaiah (eighteen to nine), and Baruch (five to none).
- (4) $\Theta\epsilon\rho\acute{\alpha}\pi\omega\nu$ is preferred in Job (six examples, with three of $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$), Wisdom (two examples, with one of $\delta\sigma\tilde{\iota}_{\lambda}\delta_{\zeta}$), and in Tobit and 4 Maccabees (one example each).

(c) On the basis of the Hebrew equivalents

The Hebrew equivalents for each Greek word are:

δοῦλος <u>• ebed (160), • am (6), na• arah (1)</u>.

θεράπων <u>ebed</u> (18), sharath (1), ben adon (1).

λειτουργός sharath (4), pelach (1).

οίκέτης <u>· ebed</u> (5).

 $\pi \alpha \tilde{i} \varsigma$ • ebed (80).

The Hebrew words and their Greek translations are:

<u>'ebed</u> δοῦλος (160), θεράπων (18), οἰκέτης (5),

παῖς (80).

sharath θεράπων (1), λειτουργός (4).

pelach λειτουργός (1).

ben · adon θεράπων (1).

<u>am</u> δοῦλος (6).

na arah δοῦλος (1).

•ebed, and this confirms the suggestion made above, that δοῦλος, θεράπων, οἰκέτης and παῖς are on the whole synonymous. (2) Λειτουργός stands out as being the only word in this group which does not translate •ebed, the normal equivalent being sharath. This also confirms the earlier observation,

(1) The normal Hebrew equivalent for most Greek words is

(3) The one example where $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ translate <u>sharath</u> is Ex. 33.11. Here Joshua is described as $\mathring{\circ}$ $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ in a cultic context.

λειτουργός (servant of God in a cultic context).

that a special nuance can be detected in the meaning of

MALE SERVANT (SERVANT OF GOD)	Δοΰλος	θεράπων	Λειτουργός	Οἰκέτης	Παῖς
Isaac	Da.3.35	Ge•24•44			Ge.24.14
Moses	18.12,21.8,2 Es. 19.14,20.30,Ps. 104.26,Ma.3.24,	Nu.11.11,12.7,		_	Jo.1.7,13,9.24, 11.12,15,12.6, 13.8,14.7,18.7, 22.2,5,1 Ch. 6.34,2 Ch.1.3, 24.9,2 Es.11.7, 8,8a.1.20,2.28, Da.9.11(G)
Joshua	Jo.24.30, Jd.2.8	Ex.33.11	·	Jo.5.14	30.7.7
David	1 Ki.23.10,11 (bis),25.39, 2 Ki.3.18,7.5,8, 19,20,21(BL),21, 25,27(bis), 28,29 (bis), 24.10, 3 Ki.3.6,8.24,25, 26(0+),56,11.13, 32,34,36,38, 14.8,4 Ki.8.19, 19.34,20.6,1 Ch.	.		-	1 Ch.17.4,17, 23,24,25(bis), 27,21.8,2 Ch. 6.15,16,17, Ps.17.1,Is. 37.35
	17.4(BL+),7,18, 26,2 Ch.6.42,1 Ma.4.30,Ps.35tit, 77.70,88.4,21,131 10,143.10,Ez.34. 23,37.24,25				
Salomon	3 Ki.3.7,8,9, 8.23,28(bis), 29,30,36,52,59	·	·		2 Ch.6.19(bis), 20,21
Zerubbabel	Hg.2.23			1 Es.4.59	1 Es.6.26
Nehemiah	2 Es.11.6,11				2 Es.11.11
Job		Jb.1.8(A), 2.3,42.7,8 (ter)			Jb.1.8,42.8(AV+
Daniel and/or his companions	Da.3.93,6.21, 9.17(all in TH)		-		Da.3.93(G),95, 9.17
The prophets	4 Ki.9.7,17.13, 23,21.10,24.2, 2 Es.9.11,Am. 3.7,Za.1.6,Je. 7.25,25.4,42.15 (A pl.),51.4(A), Ez.38.17,Da.9.6 (TH),10(TH)				2 Ch.36.5b, 1 Es.8.79,Je. 33.5,42.15,51.4 Ba.2.20,24, Da.9.6(G),10(G)
			-		

					231.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·		
MALE SERVANT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	04		64.4	
(SERVANT OF GOD)	Δοῦλος	θεράπων	Λειτουργός	Οίκέτης	Παῖς
Other references	De.32.36,Jd.	Ge.50.17,Nu.	2 Es.7.24,	Ex.32.13,Le.	Ge.18.3,5,17,
	15.18,1 Ki.3.9,	32.31,De.9.27,		25,42,55	19.2,19,32.11,
	10,14.41,3 Ki.	To.1.7(5),4 Ma.			Le.25.55,Nu.
•	B.34(B*A+),36(B+)	12.11	Si.7.30,		14.24,1 Ch.16.13
	14.18,15.29,16.2		Is.61.6		2 Ch.6.14,27,
	(A+),18.36,20.28,				32.16,1 Es.6.12,
	4 Ki.9.7,10.10, 19(bis),21(bis),			,	2 Es.11.10,11, Ps.68.18,85.16,
	22,23(ter),14.25,			•	112.1,Jb.4.18,
	2 Ch.6.23,2 Es.				Is.20.3,22.20,
: ·	5.11,11.6,12.20,			•	41.8,9,42.1,19,
	2 Ma.1.2,7.6,33,				23,43.10,44.1,2,
	8.29,Ps.18.12,				21(bis),26,45.4,
•	14,26.9,30.17,	,			49.6,50.10,
	33.23,34.27, 68.37,78.2,10,				52.13,Je.26.28, Ba.3.37
•	79.5,85.2,4,				Data de de
	88.40,51,89.13,				
[16,101.15,29,104.				*****
,	6,25,42,105.7			- ,	******
	(bis),108.28,118.				
	17,23,38,49,65, 76,84,122,124,				*
•	125,135,140,176,				ὑπηρέτης ₩1.6.4
	.133.1,134.1,12,				
	.14,135.22,142.2,				
	12,Pr.9.3,Wi.	1.			
	9.5,Jn.1.9,Za.			ř	
	3.8, Is. 42.19, 48.				
·	:63.17,65.9,Je.				
- X -	3.72,26.27,Ez.				
*	28.25,37.25,Da.				, i
	3.33,44,85(TH),				
	9.17(G)				
•			. [
		1		•	
_		1			
វ					
		1			
		1			
	:	1			
		1			
		Ī			
į.	ļ	. 1			
*	ļ		1		
	•				
:]	l		
	ļ		-		
			1		
4			1		
1	İ	1	i		

44: FEMALE SERVANT (ACTIVELY PRACTISED)

I. Words Used:

παιδίσκη ⁽⁸⁷⁾, δούλη ⁽²⁰⁾, ἄβρα ⁽¹⁵⁾, κοράσιον ⁽¹²⁾, θεράπαινα ⁽⁸⁾, οἰκέτις ⁽³⁾

II <u>Similarities</u>

- (a) Different words used in identical contexts
- (1) "A $\beta\rho\alpha$ (Ju. 13.9) and $\delta\circ\delta\lambda\eta$ (Ju. 13.3). Judith's female servant who accompanied her on her expedition to the camp of Holophernes.
- (2) "Appa (Ju. 10.2,5,17) and $\pi\alpha\iota\delta i\sigma\kappa\eta$ (Ju. 10.10). Judith's female servant (as in (a)(1) above).
- (3) "Appa and κοράσιον (Es. 2.9). The female attendants assigned by king Ahasuerus to Esther. An explanation of this variety is provided by the Hebrew equivalents: $\ddot{a}\beta\rho\alpha$ translates 'amah, and κοράσιον na'arah.
- (4) Δούλη and παιδίσκη (Le. 25.44). Both words are used in this verse to describe a Hebrew's female servant.
- (5) Δούλη and παιδίσκη (Ju. 11.5). Judith as Holophernes' servant. Other examples referring to Judith in this way are 11.16,17(bis), 12.4,6 for δούλη, and 11.6 for παιδίσκη.
- (6) Δούλη, θεράπαινα, οἰκέτις and παιδίσκη (Ex. 21). A Hebrew's female servant. In verse 7 δούλη and οἰκέτις are both used. The R.V. translation is, "And if a man sell his daughter to be a maidservant, she shall not go out as the menservants do." The LXX translates "maidservant" (Hebrew

•amah) by οiκέτις and mistranslates "menservants" (Hebrew •ebed) by δούλη, thus drawing a distinction between οiκέτις and δούλη, as if they were different types of servants. This distinction is of course based on a mistranslation, and it must be considered doubtful whether the translator thought he knew what the difference actually was. In this same chapter θεράπαινα is used in verses 26 and 27, and παιδίσκη in verses 20 and 32, and these words are not in any obvious way distinct from each other or from οiκέτις.

- (7) Κοράσιον (Ru. 2.8,22,23) and παιδίσκη (Ru. 2.13). Boaz's female servants. The Hebrew equivalents are in each case different, for κοράσιον translates <u>na'arah</u> and παιδίσκη shipchah.
- (8) Κοράσιον (Su. 15,17,19,21) and παιδίσκη (Su. 36(bis)). Susanna's female attendants. These examples are from the TH version. (In G, there is only one example, $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \sigma \kappa \eta$ in verse 30).
- (b) <u>Different words used in parallel accounts of the</u> same incident
- (1) Δ ούλη and παιδίσκη (Jd. 19.19). The female servant of a man of Gibeah. Δ ούλη is used in A, and παιδίσκη in B.
- (c) <u>Different words used in other similar (though not identical) contexts</u>
- (1) "A $\beta\rho\alpha$ (Ju. 8.10) and $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota'\sigma\kappa\eta$ (Ju. 8.7). Judith's female servants. "A $\beta\rho\alpha$ refers to the one special maid who

accompanied her on her expedition (see (a)(1) and (2) above), while $\pi\alpha\iota\delta i\sigma\kappa\eta$ (in this reference, but not always) refers to all her maidservants generally.

(2) $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \alpha \imath \nu \alpha$ (Is. 24.2) and $oi \kappa \acute{\epsilon} \tau \imath \varsigma$ (Pr. 30.23). In these examples the respective words for "servant-girl" are used in juxtaposition to "mistress" ($\kappa \nu \rho \acute{\epsilon} \alpha$). Though these references are in quite different books, there is no obvious difference between the types of servant referred to in each case. The Hebrew equivalent is shipchah in both examples.

III Differences

(a) On the basis of overall LXX usage

As noted earlier, 1 $\alpha\beta\rho\alpha$ can mean "favourite servant", though it is by no means always used in the LXX in this narrower sense. In Ju. 8 this meaning explains the difference between $\alpha\beta\rho\alpha$ and $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota'\sigma\kappa\eta$ (see II (c)(1) above). On the other hand it is difficult to insist on a distinction between $\alpha\beta\rho\alpha$ and $\delta\sigma\iota'\lambda\eta$ in Ju. 13 (II (a)(1) above), or between $\alpha\beta\rho\alpha$ and $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota'\sigma\kappa\eta$ in Ju. 10 (II (a) (2) above).

There are no other semantic grounds for distinguishing between the separate words.

^{1.} P.l above.

(b) On the basis of translators' preference

- (1) In the Pentateuch, $\pi\alpha\iota\delta i\sigma\kappa\eta$ is by far the most common (52 examples to a total of ten for all the other words), though it should be noted that whereas this is particularly the case in Genesis (36 to one) and Deuteronomy (nine to none), there is a more even balance in Exodus (five to seven).²
- (2) The greatest variety is seen in Exodus, which uses $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta$ (5), $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \alpha \iota \nu \alpha$ (3), $\alpha \beta \rho \alpha$ (2), $\delta \circ \delta \lambda \eta$ (1) and $\delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \tau \iota \varsigma$ (1), and in Judith, which uses $\delta \circ \delta \lambda \eta$ (9), $\alpha \beta \rho \alpha$ (7) and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta$ (4).
- (3) For the other books there are not enough examples to draw conclusions of any great significance. However we note a preference for $\pi\alpha\iota\delta i\sigma\kappa\eta$ in 2 Kings (three to none), 1 Esdras (three to none), Tobit (six to none) and Jeremiah (four to none), for $\ddot{\alpha}\beta\rho\alpha$ in Esther (five to two), and for $\theta\epsilon\rho\dot{\alpha}\pi\alpha\iota\nu\alpha$ in Job (three to none).
- (4) It is interesting that no book shows a clear preference for δούλη.

(c) On the basis of the Hebrew equivalents

The Hebrew equivalents for each Greek word are: αβρα <u>'amah</u> (5), <u>na'arah</u> (1)

^{2.} In a note on female-slave terminology, R.A. Kraft states that "the Pentateuch prefers pais and paidiske", although παῖς is not in fact used in this sense in the LXX. (However nine of the ten examples of παῖς meaning "young woman" occur in the Pentateuch.) See Robert A.Kraft (ed.), Septuagintal Lexicography (University of Montana, Missoula, 1972), p.178.

δούλη \cdot amah (4), shipchah (4), \cdot ebed (2).

θεράπαινα ramah (4), na rah (1), shipchah (2).

κοράσιον na arah (6).

οἰκέτις $\underline{\underline{\dot{}}}$ amah (1), shipchah (2).

παιδίσκη <u>'amah</u> (28), <u>na' arah</u> (1), <u>shipchah</u> (40).

The Hebrew words and their Greek translations are:

• amah ἄβρα (5), δούλη (4), θεράπαινα (4),

οίκέτις (1), παιδίσκη (28).

na arah άβρα (1), θεράπαινα (1), κοράσιον (6),

παιδίσκη (1).

shipchah $\delta o \acute{v} \lambda \eta$ (4), $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{a} \pi a \iota \nu a$ (2), $o \acute{\iota} \kappa \acute{\epsilon} \tau \iota \varsigma$ (2),

παιδίσκη (40).

<u>'ebed</u> δούλη (2).

- (1) There is no overall consistency in the translation of the Hebrew words.
- (2) $\text{Naid}(\sigma \kappa \eta)$ is the most common translation of <u>'amah</u> and <u>shipchah</u>, especially the latter. In the books where $\text{maid}(\sigma \kappa \eta)$ translates <u>shipchah</u>, it is the only word used to translate <u>shipchah</u> (except for one example in 1 Kings where $\delta o \psi \lambda \eta$ is used).
- (3) In no book is more than one Greek word used to translate <u>na'arah</u>. In Exodus $\ddot{\alpha}\beta\rho\alpha$ is used, in Ruth, 1 Kings and Esther, $\kappa o \rho \acute{\alpha} \sigma \iota o \nu$, in Proverbs $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \nu \alpha$, and in Amos $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} \sigma \kappa \eta$.

some explanation. We have already observed (see II (a) (6) above) that in Ex. 21.7 the translator has mistranslated (or at least reinterpreted) the Hebrew text. The other example is 2 Es. 15.5, where δούλη is a variant reading in B+. Most MSS read τ οὺς νἰοὺς ἡμῶν καὶ τ ὰς θυγατέρας ἡμῶν εἰς δούλους, but in B+ δούλους has been changed to δούλας to agree in gender with the nearer noun θυγατέρας.

_	~	~	
/	١,	н	_

	Παιδίσκη		Jd.19.19(B)	Ru.2.13		Ju.8.7,10.10	Ju.11.5,6	Su.30(G),36(bis)(TH)	Ge.12.16,16.1,2,3,5,6,8,20.14,17,21.10 (bis),12,13,24.35, 25.12,29.24(bis),29 (bis),30.3,4,5,7,9, 10,12,18,43,31.33, 32.6,23,33,1,2,6, 21.20,32,33,1,2,6, 21.20,32,33,12,1e, 25.6,44,De.5.14(bis), 21,12.12,18,15.17, 16.11,14,28.68,3d, 9.18,1 K:25.41, 2 Ki. 6.20,22,17.17,4 Ki. 5.26,1 Es.5.1,41(bis), 2 Es.2.65,17.67,Es. 7.4,To.3.7,8(5),812, 13,14(5),10.10(5),Ps. 122.2,Ec.2.7,5:41.24,
1470,	Oikéric						in the communication		Ex.21.7, Le.19.20, Pr.30.23
- <u></u>	Κοράσιον			Ru.2.8,22,23,3.2	Es.2.9			Su.15,17,19(TH), 21(TH)	1 Ki.20.30,25.42, 3 Ki.12.241
	θεράπαινα		•					<u>-</u>	Ex.11.5,21.26,27, Pr.31.15,31,19.15, 31.13,31,19.24.2
	Δούλη		Jd.19.19(A)	•		Ju.12.15,19,13.3	Ju.11.5,16,17(bis), 12.4,6		Ex.21.7, Le.25.44, 1 Ki.8.16,25.41, 2 Ch.28.10,2 Es. 15.5(8+),1 Ma.2.11, 31.3.2,Na.2.8, Is.14.2
€.∪	"Αβρα				Es.2.9,4.4,16, 5.la,1d	Ju.8.10,33,10.2,5, 17,13.9,16.23			Ge.24.61,Ex.2.5(bis)
	FEMALE SERVANT (ACTIVELY PRACTISED)	In this column "Boaz" (etc.) means "(female) servant(s) of Boaz"	Man of Gibeah	Boaz	Esther	Judith	Judith to Holophernes	Susanna	Other references

FEMALE SERVANT (STATUS	Δούλη
BUT NO ACTUAL SERVICE)	
	1 Ki,28.21,22,2 Ki.14.6,7, 12,15(bis),16,19,3 Ki.1.13, 17,3.20
	•

46: FEMALE SERVANT (RESPECTFUL)

Very much the same can be said here as for the previous usage ("status but no actual service"). Again, $\delta o \acute{v} \lambda \eta$ is the only word used, and it occurs six times in Ruth, 1 Kings and 4 Kings. In Ruth it describes Ruth in relation to Boaz, in 1 Kings Hannah in relation to Eli, and in 4 Kings the Shunnamite woman in relation to Elisha.

Likewise, the same two Hebrew words are represented, shipchah and 'amah, each of which is used three times.

<i>-</i>			
; ;	FEMALE SERVANT (RESPECTFUL)	Δούλη .	
4		Ru.2.13,3.9(bis),1 Ki.1.16,18,4 Ki.4.16	
· •		Ki.1.16,18,4 Ki.4.16	
Ž			

explanation of the distinction between the two words, it is also worth noting that there is a distinction on the basis of the books in which they are used. $\Delta o \acute{\upsilon} \lambda \eta$ occurs in 1 Kings, Esther and Isaiah, while $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} \sigma \kappa \eta$ is used in the Psalms and the Wisdom of Solomon.

Παιδίσκη	Ps.85.16,115.7, W1.9.5
Δούλη	1 K1.1.11(ter), Es. 4.17x,17y,1s.56.6
FEMALE SERVANT (SERVANT OF GOD)	•

48: SON (LITERAL)

I. Words Used:

υίός (4717), τέκνον (240), σπέρμα (194), παιδίον (90), παῖς (29), γέννημα (3), παιδάριον (2), νέος (1).

II. Similarities

In many of the examples listed below, the Hebrew equivalents provide an explanation for the use of different Greek words. Where this is the case, these equivalents are given without further comment.

(a) Different words used in identical contexts

- (1) $\Gamma \acute{e} \nu \nu \eta \mu \alpha$ (Jd. 1.10) and $vi\acute{o}_{\varsigma}$ (Jd. 1.20). The sons or offspring of Anak.
- (2) $\text{Mai}\delta\text{iov}$ (Ge. 21.16,17) and vio_{ζ} (Ge. 21.9,10(bis),13). Ishmael, Hagar's son. $\text{Mai}\delta\text{iov}$ translates <u>yeled</u> in verse 16 and <u>na'ar</u> in verse 17, while vio_{ζ} translates <u>ben</u> in each case.
- (3) $\text{Mai\deltaio}\nu$ (Ge. 33.2), $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu$ (Ge. 33.6,7) and vió_{ς} (Ge. 33.2). The sons of Jacob's wives.
- (4) Mai\deltaiov (Ge. 45.19) and vió_{ζ} (Ge. 45.10, etc.). The sons of Joseph's brothers. Mai\deltaiov translates $\underline{\text{taph}}$, and vió_{ζ} $\underline{\text{ben}}$.
- (5) $\Pi \alpha \iota \delta i \circ \nu$ and $\nu i \circ \varsigma$ (Ge. 50.23). $\Pi \alpha \iota \delta i \circ \nu$ refers to the children of Ephraim, $\nu i \circ \varsigma$ to those of Machir.

- (6) $\text{Maidio}\nu$ (Ex. 4.25,26) and vio_{ς} (Ex. 4.25). Gershom, the son of Moses and Zipporah.
- (7) $\text{Mai}\delta\text{io}\nu$ (1 Ki. 1.2) and vio_{ζ} (1 Ki. 1.4). The children of Peninnah. $\text{Mai}\delta\text{io}\nu$ translates <u>yeled</u>, and vio_{ζ} ben.
- (8) $\text{Mai}\delta\text{iov}$ (1 Ki. 1.2,5,6(bis)) and vio_{ζ} (1 Ki. 1.4). The children of Hannah. $\text{Mai}\delta\text{iov}$ translates <u>yeled</u> in verse 2 (but has no Hebrew equivalent in the other references), while vio_{ζ} translates ben.
- (9) $\text{Mai}\delta i \circ \nu$ (To. 5.18,19) and $\text{v}i \circ \zeta$ (To. 5.15,17). Tobias, the son of Tobit.
- (10) $\text{Hat}\,\delta\acute{l}\text{o}\nu$ (To. 10.11) and $\tau\acute{e}\kappa\nu\sigma\nu$ (To. 10.13). The children of Tobias. (These references are in the S text only.)
- (11) $\text{Mai}\delta\text{io}\nu$ (Jb. 1.19) and $\text{vio}\varsigma$ (Jb. 1.18). Job's children, $\text{mai}\delta\text{io}\nu$ referring to all the children, $\text{vio}\varsigma$ only to his sons. $\text{Mai}\delta\text{io}\nu$ translates ma'ar, and $\text{vio}\varsigma$ ben.
- (12) $\Pi \alpha \iota \delta i \circ \nu$ (Jb. 21.11) and $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \circ \nu$ (Jb. 21.8). The children of the wicked. $\Pi \alpha \iota \delta i \circ \nu$ translates <u>yeled</u>, and $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \circ \nu$ se'esa'im.
- (13) $\Pi \alpha \iota \delta i \circ \nu$ (Le. 25.54) and $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \circ \nu$ (Le. 25.41,46). The children of Israelites.
- (14) Παιδίον (Nu. 14.3,31) $\tau \acute{\epsilon} κνον$ (Nu. 14.23) and $v \acute{\iota} \acute{\epsilon} \varsigma$ (Nu. 14.33). The children of Israelites. Παιδίον translates \tanh , and $v \acute{\iota} \acute{\epsilon} \varsigma$ ben, while $\tau \acute{\epsilon} κνον$ has no Hebrew equivalent.

- (15) Παιδίον (De. 11.2), τέκνον (De. 11.19) and $viό_{\varsigma}$ (De. 11.21). The children of Israelites. Σπέρμα is
- also used in verse 9 of this chapter, though it translates a different Hebrew word (zera).
- (16) Mai_{ζ} (4 Ma. 16.6,8, etc.), $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu$ (4 Ma. 16.1) and vi\'o_{ζ} (4 Ma. 16.3,11, etc.). The seven martyrs described as sons of their mother.
- (17) $\Pi \alpha \tilde{\imath}_{\zeta}$ (4 Ma. 17.2,3,5,9) and $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu_{O} \nu$ (4 Ma. 17.7). The seven martyrs.
- (18) $T \in \kappa \nu o \nu$ (4 Ma. 15.1,8,20(bis), 21,24,25,26) and $v \in (4 \text{ Ma. } 15.2,9,22,27,32)$. The seven martyrs.
- (19) $\Sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ and $vi\acute{o}_{\varsigma}$ (Ge. 4.25). Seth, the son of Eve. $\Sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ translates zera , and $vi\acute{o}_{\varsigma}$ ben.
- (20) $\Sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ (1 Ki. 2.31) and $vi\acute{o}_{\varsigma}$ (1 Ki. 2.12,22,29,34). The sons of Eli.
- (21) $\Sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ and $vi\acute{o}_{\zeta}$ (1 Ch. 16.13). The offspring of Jacob or Israel. $\Sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ translates zera, and $vi\acute{o}_{\zeta}$ ben.
- (22) $\Sigma\pi\epsilon\rho\mu\alpha$ and $\tau\epsilon\kappa\nu\sigma\nu$ (Jb. 5.25). The children of Job. $\Sigma\pi\epsilon\rho\mu\alpha$ translates zera, and $\tau\epsilon\kappa\nu\sigma\nu$ se esa im. (See also (11) above.)
- (23) $\Sigma\pi\epsilon\rho\mu\alpha$ (Ge. 48.11) and $vi\delta_{\zeta}$ (Ge. 48.5,8,9,13). The children of Joseph. $\Sigma\pi\epsilon\rho\mu\alpha$ translates zera, and $vi\delta_{\zeta}$ ben (except in verse 13, where there is no Hebrew equivalent).
- (24) $\Sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ and $vi\acute{o}_{\varsigma}$ (both in Ex. 28.43 and Nu. 18.19). The descendants of Aaron. In both cases $\sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ translates zera', and $vi\acute{o}_{\varsigma}$ ben.

- (25) $T \epsilon \kappa \nu o \nu$ (De. 28.57) and $v i \delta \varsigma$ (De. 28.56). The son of an Israelite woman.
- (26) Tékvov (Jo. 22.24,27) and vió ς (Jo. 22.25, etc.). The descendants of Reuben and Gad.
- (27) Té $\kappa\nu$ o ν (3 Ki. 17.12,13,15) and vió ς (3 Ki. 17.17,18, 19.20,23). The son of the widow of Zarephath.
- (28) Tékvov (1 Ch. 7.7) and vióς (1 Ch. 7.11). Solomon, David's son.
- (29) $T\acute{\epsilon}\kappa\nu\sigma\nu$ (Es. 9.25) and $v\acute{\iota}\acute{o}\varsigma$ (Es. 9.10,12 (v.1.), 13,14). The sons of Haman.
- (30) Tékvov (Je. 42.14) and $vió_{\varsigma}$ (Je. 42.14,16,18,19). The sons of Jonadab.
- (b) <u>Different words used in parallel accounts of the same</u> incident (including variant readings)
- (1) $\Pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\alpha}\rho\iotaο\nu$ (3 Ki. 12.24g) and $\upsiloni\acute{o}_{\varsigma}$ (3 Ki. 14.1 (0)). Abijah, the son of Jeroboam.
- (2) $\Pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ and $\tau\acute{\epsilon}\kappa\nuo\nu$ (To. 10.13). The children of Tobias. $\Pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ is used in the text of BA, and $\tau\acute{\epsilon}\kappa\nuo\nu$ in S.
- (3) $\text{Mai}\delta i \text{o} \nu$ and $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \text{o} \nu$ (both in To. 12.1, 14.3,10). Tobias, the son of Tobit. In each case $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \text{o} \nu$ is used in the S text, and $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \text{o} \nu$ in BA.
- (4) Παιδίον and $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \rho \nu$ (Su. 30). The sons of Susanna. Παιδίον is the reading in G, and $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \rho \nu$ in TH.
- (5) Tékvov and vió $_{\zeta}$ (Da. 6.25). The sons of Daniel's accusers. Tékvov is the reading in G, and vió $_{\zeta}$ in TH.

- (6) Tékvov (Is. 39.7) and $vi\acute{o}_{\zeta}$ (4 Ki. 20.18). The sons of Hezekiah.
- (7) $T\acute{\epsilon}\kappa\nu\sigma\nu$ (Jo. 14.9) and $vi\acute{o}\varsigma$ (De. 1.36). The sons of Caleb.
- (c) <u>Different words used in other similar (though not</u> identical) contexts
- (1) $\text{Mai}\delta\acute{i}\text{o}\nu$ (Is. 8.18) and $\text{vi}\acute{o}\varsigma$ (Is. 7.3). The son(s) of Isaiah. $\text{Mai}\delta\acute{i}\text{o}\nu\text{translates yeled, and vi}\acute{o}\varsigma$ ben.
- (2) Hai_{ς} (1 Es. 6.30) and vio_{ς} (1. Es. 8.21). The sons of the Persian king.
- (3) As well as the examples listed in (a)(9) and (b)(3), referring to Tobias as Tobit's son, we note the use of $\pi\alpha \tilde{\iota}_{C}$ in To. 11.4 (BA).
- (4) $\Pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ (Wi. 18.9,10) and $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu$ (Wi. 18.5 (bis)). General references to sons.
- (5) Τέκνον (Ge. 3.16) and υἰός (Ge. 4.25). The sons of Eve.
- (6) $T\acute{\epsilon}\kappa\nu\nu\nu$ (Ge. 17.16) and $v\acute{i}\acute{o}\varsigma$ (Ge. 18.10,14, 21.3,5, etc.). Isaac, Abraham's son.
- (7) Tékvov (Ho. 2.6) and $vi\acute{o}_{\varsigma}$ (Ho. 1.3). The son(s) of Gomer (Hosea's wife).
- (8) $T\acute{\epsilon}\kappa\nu\sigma\nu$ and $vi\acute{\epsilon}_{\zeta}$ in various examples of the expression, "Fathers will not die for the sons, and sons will not die for the fathers."
 - (i) In both De. 24.16 and 2 Ch. 25.4, $\tau \in \kappa \nu o \nu$ is used in the first part of the sentence and $vi \circ_{\zeta}$ in the second.

- (ii) In 4 Ki. 14.6, $vi\acute{o}_{\zeta}$ is used in both parts of the sentence.
- (iii) Slightly different forms of the saying occur in Je. 38.29, where $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu$ is used, and Ez. 18.20, where $v \acute{\iota} \acute{o}_C$ is used.

III Differences

(a) On the basis of overall LXX usage

Two general distinctions may be made with regard to the words in this group:

In most cases vióc means "son" (i.e. male offspring), whereas the words παιδάριον, παιδίον and τέκνον are not always restricted in respect of sex and can (and often do) refer to female children as well as male. A clear example is in II (a)(11) above: in Jb. 1.19 παιδίον refers to the children of Job who have been described in the previous verse by the two words υίος and θυγάτηρ. Even in cases where παιδάριον, παιδίον and τέκνον refer specifically to male children, they are better understood in the general sense "child" rather than the more specific "son". An exception is the use of $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\iota\acute{o}\nu$ in Ge. 31.28, in the phrase τὰ παιδία μου καὶ τὰς θυγατέρας μου, where παιδίον must be understood as equivalent to $vi\delta_{\zeta}$. In the case of $\pi\alpha\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ most examples refer to male children, but as it can and sometimes does include female children, it too means "child" rather than "son".

However this distinction between $vi\delta_{\zeta}$ and these other words is not absolute. Apart from instances where the other words refer to male children, there are also examples in which $vi\delta_{\zeta}$ cannot be restricted only to male children but is used more widely. This is true of phrases like of viol $I\sigma\rho\alpha\eta\lambda$ referring to the whole Israelite nation, all who were descendants of Israel and not the males only. Nevertheless it remains true that $vi\delta_{\zeta}$ normally means "son, male child".

(2) The other distinction is between $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \nu \eta \mu \alpha$ and $\sigma \pi \acute{\epsilon} \rho \mu \alpha$, and the other words. $\Gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \nu \eta \mu \alpha$ and $\sigma \pi \acute{\epsilon} \rho \mu \alpha$ can be classed as collective nouns, and refer to offspring or descendants considered collectively rather than as individuals. Again, while this is a generally valid distinction, there is one example of $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \nu \eta \mu \alpha$ for which it is not true. In Jd. 1.10(A) we read of $\tau \eth{\epsilon} \nu \Sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota$ $\kappa \alpha \i{\iota} \tau \eth{\epsilon} \nu \Lambda \chi \iota \mu \alpha \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \i{\iota} \tau \eth{\epsilon} \nu \Lambda \mu \Lambda \nu \Lambda \mu \mu \lambda \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \i{\iota} \tau \eth{\epsilon} \nu \Lambda \mu \Lambda \mu \mu \lambda \nu$ and it is difficult to consider this as a collective use of $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \nu \eta \mu \alpha$, especially as it occurs in the plural.

(b) On the basis of translators' preference

(1) In most books $vi\acute{o}_{\zeta}$ is used more than all the other words combined. Exceptions are 3 Maccabees, in which there are five examples of $\tau\acute{\epsilon}\kappa\nu\nu\nu$ and one of $\sigma\pi\acute{\epsilon}\rho\mu\alpha$, and only one of $vi\acute{o}_{\zeta}$; 4 Maccabees, in which $\tau\acute{\epsilon}\kappa\nu\nu\nu$ is used eighteen times, $\pi\alpha\~{\iota}_{\zeta}$ eighteen, and $\gamma\acute{\epsilon}\nu\nu\eta\mu\alpha$, $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}_{0}\nu$ and $\sigma\pi\acute{\epsilon}\rho\mu\alpha$ once each,

with thirteen examples of $vió_{\zeta}$; Ecclesiasticus, in which $vió_{\zeta}$ (35) is the most common word, though there are also 26 examples of $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu$ and fifteen of $\sigma \pi \acute{\epsilon} \rho \mu a$; and the Epistle of Jeremiah, in which $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} o \nu$ (1) is the only word used. Clearly however $vi\acute{o}_{\zeta}$ is the word preferred in most books.

- (2) In the following books or groups of books there is a relatively large number of examples of words other than $vió_C$:
 - (i) The Pentateuch uses σπέρμα 85 times, τέκνον 45
 and παιδίον thirty (υἰός 1279).
 - (ii) Tobit (S) uses παιδίον 28 times (υἰός 44).
 - (iii) 3 Maccabees uses $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu$ five times ($v \acute{\iota} \acute{o}_{\zeta}$ 1).
 - (iv) 4 Maccabees uses $\pi\alpha \bar{\imath}\zeta$ and $\tau \in \kappa \nu \circ \nu$ each eighteen times ($\nu i \circ \zeta$ 13).
 - (v) Proverbs uses $\tau \in \kappa \nu \circ \nu$ seven times and $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ four (vióc 29).
 - (vi) Wisdom uses $\tau \in \kappa \nu \circ \nu$ eight times and $\sigma \pi \in \rho \mu \alpha$ three ($\upsilon i \circ \varsigma$ 1).
 - (vii) Ecclesiasticus uses $\tau \in \kappa \nu \circ \nu$ 26 times and $\sigma \pi \in \rho \mu \alpha$ fifteen ($\nu i \circ \varsigma$ 35).
 - (viii) Isaiah uses $\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho\mu\alpha$ 24 times and $\tau\epsilon\kappa\nu\sigma\nu$ twelve (vior 43).

(c) On the basis of the Hebrew equivalents

The Hebrew equivalents for each Greek word are:

νέος na ar (1). na ar (1). na ar (1).

παιδίον <u>ben</u> (10), <u>yeled</u> (19), taph (8), na ar (2),

bekur (1), •ul (1), na•arah (1).

 $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{C}$ ben (1), na ar (2).

σπέρμα \underline{zera} (140), \underline{ben} (1), $\underline{acharith}$ (1),

basar (1), neked + nin (1).

τέκνον <u>ben</u> (108), <u>yeled</u> (7), <u>taph</u> (5),

'acharith (2), bayith (1), dor (1),

<u>'olel</u> (1), <u>peri-beten</u> (1), <u>se'esa'im</u> (3).

υίός <u>ben</u>¹, <u>bar</u> (4), <u>yeled</u> (4), <u>'ish</u> (13),

bayith (15), mishpachah (2), zera (1).

(There are no Hebrew equivalents for γέννημα.)

The (more significant) Hebrew words and their Greek translations are:

ben παιδίον (10), παῖς (1), σπέρμα (1),

τέκνον (108), νίός.

<u>bar</u> υἰός (5).

taph παιδίον (8), τέκνον (5).

yeled παιδίον (19), τέκνον (7), υἱός (4).

<u>na'ar</u> νέος (1), παιδάριον (1), παιδίον (2),

 $\pi\alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ (2).

^{1.} I would estimate that $vi\acute{o}_{\zeta}$ translates <u>ben</u> about 4,000 times.

(1) Yióς is overwhelmingly the most common translation of ben, though $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu$, while rare in comparison, is nevertheless common. Of the other words which translate ben, $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\epsilon} o \nu$ is used only in the Pentateuch (with the partial exception of 2 Ch. 20.13, where it translates ben + taph), and this appears to be a case of stylistic variation in the Pentateuch. This may also be the explanation for the use of $\sigma \pi \acute{\epsilon} \rho \mu \alpha$ in De. 25.5. There is no clear reason for the use of $\pi \alpha \~{\epsilon} \varsigma$ to translate ben in Pr.20.7. (2) $\Sigma \pi \acute{\epsilon} \rho \mu \alpha$ is clearly the normal translation of zera. It is therefore somewhat surprising to find vióς used in 2 Es. 19.2, especially as $\sigma \pi \acute{\epsilon} \rho \mu \alpha$ translates zera in three other places in 2 Esdras.

Ge.5.154425 Ge.7146 4 Mais.17122 Ge.5.154425 Ge.7146 17.6(44),148.1,22 Gi.5),17(4),15.3, 17.6(44),148.1,22 Gi.5),17(4),15.3, 17.70,17(1),15.3, 17.70,17(1),15.3, 17.70,17(1),15.3, 17.70,17(1),16.3, 17.70,17(1),16.3, 17.70,17(1),18.3,	SON (LITERAL)	Périmila Néo	Παιδάριου	Hai Sťov	liate	Σπέρμα	Tékvov	۲۱۵۶
The state of the s	In this column a proper name by itself means that the person concerned is described as son of his parent(s)		The second section of the section of th					
### ### ##############################					-		** *** Valence on a	
and Gad 1 Mei. 1972 1	Of Eve					Ge:3.15,4:25	68:3:16	GB.4.25
1	Of Abraham					Ge.12.7,13.15,16 (bis),17(A).15.3, 5,13,18,17.7(bis), 8,9,10,12,21.12, 13,22.17(bis),18, 24.7,30.24.3,		Ge.17.23, 4 Ma.16.20
Ge.28.4,13,14 Ge.28.4,13,14 Ge.32.12,49,3 22.3,33.1,5 Ge.33.23.1 Ge.31.28 Ge.33.2 Ge.33.2 Ge.33.6,4) Ge.33.6,4) Ge.33.6,4) Ge.48,11 Ex.4.25,26 and Gad 1 Ki.1.2 1 Ki.1.2 1 Ki.2.20 1 Ki.1.2						2 Ch.20.7,3 Ma. 6.3,4 Ma.18.1, Ps.104.6,1s.41.8		
Ge.30.26,31.17, Ge.28.4,13,14 Ge.32.12,49.3 32.23,33.1,5 (bis),13 (bis),32.13,51.2,49.3 1 Ge.31.28 Ge.33.2 1 Ge.33.2 1 Ge.33.6(4+) 1 Strothers and Gad 1 Ki.1.2	Ishmael			Ge.21.16,17	,			Ge.21.9,10(bis), 13
1 Ge.33.2 Ge.33.7 Ge.33.6(4+) Ge.33.7 Ge.33.6(4+) Ge.33.6(4+) Ge.33.6 Ge.45.19 Ge.48,11 Ex.4.25,26 Ge.48,11 Ex.4.25 Ge.48,11	Of Jacob			Ge.30.26,31.17, 32.23,33.1,5 (bis),13		Ge.28.4,13,14 (bis),32.13,35.12, 46.6,7,48.4	Ge.32.12,49.3	Ge.34.5,49.1
1 Ge.33.2 Ge.33.7 Ge.33.6(4+) Ge.33.6(4+) Ge.33.7 Ge.33.6 Ge.45.19 Ge.48.11 Ex.4.25,26 Jo.1.14 Jo.22.224, 27 Jo.11.14 Jo.11.22.224, 27 Jo.11.19 Jo.11.10 I Ki.1.2 Jo.11.10 I Ki.1.2 Jo.11.10 Jo.Jo.11.10 Jo.Jo.11.10 Jo.Jo.11.10 Jo.Jo.11.10 Jo.Jo.11.10 Jo.Jo.11.10 Jo.Jo.Jo.Jo.Jo.Jo.Jo.Jo.Jo.Jo.Jo.Jo.Jo.J	Of Laban			Ge.31.28				Ge.31.43
Search services Ge.33.6(4+) Ge.33.6(4+) Ge.33.6(4+) Ge.33.6(4+) Ge.33.6(4+) Ge.33.6(4+) Ge.33.6(4+) Ge.33.6(4+) Ge.33.6(4+) Ge.48.11 Ex.4.25,26 Ge.48.11 Ex.4.25,26 Ge.48.11 Ex.4.25,26 Ge.48.11 Ex.4.25,26 Ge.48.11 Ge.3.19,30.22.24, 27 Nu.14.24,51.46.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.12.20 I Ki.1.2 I Ki.1.2 Ge.48.11 Hi.1.2 Ge.3.19,30.22.24, 27 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.17.20 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.20 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.20 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.20 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.20 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.20 Jo.14.20 Jo.14.9 Jo.14.20 Jo.14.	Of Rachel						Ge.30.1	Ge,30.6
Ser45.19 Ge.45.19 Ge.45.19 Ge.48.11 Ex.4.25,26 and Gad JoillO 1 Kill.2 1 Kill.2 1 Kill.25,6(bis) 1 Kill.2	Of Leah			Ge,33,2			Ge.33.7	Ge.30.14,15
and Gad Ex.4.25,26 Jo.1.14 De.3.19,Jo.22.24, 27 Nu.14.24,51,46.9 Jo.14.9 I Ki.1.2 I Ki.1.2 I Ki.1.2 I Ki.1.8	Of Jacob's handmaids Of Joseph's brothers			Ge.33.6(A+)		•	Ge.33.6	Ge.33.2
Ex.4.25,26 Jo.1.14 Nu.14.24,51,46.9 Jo.14.9 1 Ki.1.2 1 Ki.1.2 1 Ki.1.2 1 Ki.1.2 1 Ki.2.20 1 Ki.1.8	Of Joseph			n		Ge.48.11		68.48.5,8,9,13
and Gad Jo.1.14 Nu.14.24,S1.46.9 1 Ki.1.2 1 Ki.1.2,5,6(bis) 1 Ki.2.20	Gershom			Ex.4.25,26	. -			Ex.4.25
3d.1.10 1 Ki.1.2 1 Ki.1.25,6(bis) 1 Ki.2.20 1 Ki.1.8	Of Reuben and Gad			Jo.1.14		•	De.3.19,Jo.22.24 27	, 30.22.25
Jd.1.10 1 Ki.1.2 1 Ki.1.2,5,6(bis) 1 Ki.2.20 1 Ki.1.8	Of Caleb		•				Jo.14.9	De.1.36
l Ki.l.2 l Ki.l.2,5,6(bis) 1 Ki.2.20 l Ki.l.8	Of Anak	34.1.10						Jd.1.20
07.7.17 T /67.7.030 (018) T VI	Of Peninnah			1 Ki.1.2			· · ·	1 Ki.l.4
	Of Hannah			1 Ki.i.2,55,6(019)			1 Ki.l.8	

SON (LITERAL)	Γέννημα	Néoç	Παιδάριον	Παιδίου	Ilaïç	Σπέρμα	Térvov	Yióç
Of David					·	1 Ki.20.42,2 Ki.7.12, 22.51,3 Ki.1.48,2.33, 11.39(UL+),1 Ch.17.11, Ps.17.51,88.5,30,37	3 K1.8.25,9.6,15.4	ps.3.1,Ec.1.1
Abijah			3 Kf.12.24g					3 Ki.14.1(0)
Of widow at Zarephath	• • •						3 K1.17.12,13,15	3 Ki.17.17
Of Manasseh					•		2 Ch.33.6	2 Ch.33,20,23
Of Darius					1 Es.5.30			1 Es.8.21
Of Haman							Es.9.25	Es.9.13,14
Ur Kaguel				To.3.15(BA)			To.3.15(s)	
Tobias				To.518,19,21(s), 10.4,7	To.11.5(BA)			To.5.15,17,11.5(S)
of Tobias				To.6.18,10.11(S),13(BA)	. •		To.10.13(s)	To.14.3(bis)(BA), 12(BA)
The seven martyrs					4 Ma.15.12,16.6, 8,9,15(bis),16, 17.2,3,5,9,18.20		4 Ma.14.12,13(5 ^C),20, 15.1(bis),8,15,20(bis), 21,24,25,26,16.1,17.7, 18.6	2 Ma.7.20,26,27,41 ,4 Ma.15.2,9,16.24
0 f Job				Jb.1.19	Jb.29.5	Jb.5.25	Jb.5.25	Jb.1.18,8.4,42.13
Of Hosea's wife			. در دستان (۱۹۰۰)			-	Ho.2.6	Ho.1.3
Of Isaiah				18.8.18				Is.7.3
Of Hezekiah			***************************************				18.39.7	4 Ki.20.18
Of Jonadab			and a second				Je.42.14	Je.42.14,16,19
Of Susanna	÷		n manu	su.30(G)		•	Su.30(TH)	
Of Daniel's accusers	- <u>-</u>		B. (80 B) (10 B)		-		Da.6.25(G)	Da.6.25(TH)
Of priests of Bel	"مي		، سد،	Bel.9(TH),20	7	•	Bel.9,15(TH),20(TH)	
Other references	4 Ma.15.13 (5+),51. 10.18	Jb.24.5,	Jd.8.20(A)	Ge.50.23,Ex.2.6,4.20, Ju.16.12,3 Me. 21.4,5,22,22.23,Le. 5.49, 25.54,	Ju.16.12,3 Ma. 5.49,	Ge.9.9,16,10,17,19, 19,32,34,21,23,24,60,.		
			1900 1970 AND 18					
					-	-		

Yio		• •	255.
Τέκνον	Ge.22.7,8,27.13,18,20,21, 25,26,37,43,31.16,43,48.19, Ex.10.2(ter),17.3,20.5,34.7 (ter),Le.36.41,46,Nu.14.18, 23,16.27,De.2.34,5.9,11.19, 21,17,24.16,28.54,5.9,11.19, 21,17,24.16,28.54,5.9,11.19, 21,17,24.16,28.54,55,57,29, 10(A),28,33.24,30.22.34,27 (A),27,28(A),30,18.21(B),1 Ki.2.5,24,30.22,3 Ki.9.21 (0),10.22b,12.24h,14.3(0), 1 Ch.2.30,22.7,32,2 Ch.25.4, 28.3,30.9,1 Es.4.53,8.50,	81(h+), 181(h+), 50, 50, 2 Es. 8.21, 22.43, Es. 3.13f, 7.4, 30. 7.14, 27, 32, 10.4, 12, 10.5, 11. 14(S), 12.1(BA), 14.3(BA), 4 (BA), 8(BA), 10(BA), 1 Ma. 1.32, 60, 2.38, 50, 64, 3.20, 5.13, 23, 45, 8.10, 13.6, 45, 2 Ma. 5.13, 6.10, 7.28, 9.20, 12.3, 21, 15.18, 3 Ma. 1.4, 20, 3.25, 6.3, 7.2, 4 Ma. 2.12, 16.9, Ps. 37.2, 2.12, 16.12, 9.12, 16.12, 9.12, 16.12, 9.12, 16.12, 9.12, 16.13, 12.2, 11.28, 12.5, 13.12, 4.6, 10.5, 12.5, 13.12, 4.5, 10.26, 10.13, 11.28, 12.5, 13.12, 14.26, 16.1, 3, 23.23, 24, 25, 22, 40.19, 41.5(bis), 6, 7, 42.5, 44.9, 12.47, 20, 40.4, 6, 5.7, 9.12, 13(bis), 10.14, 11.11, 10, 13.13, 11.13, 10.7, 9.12, 13(bis), 13.26, 13.16, 18.26, 13.16, 18.26, 13.16, 18.26, 13.16, 13.10, 13.12, 1	3.9,19.2,38.17,29,39.18,39,45.23,E2.5.10(bis),16.45(bis),18.2,20.18,21,23.37,39
Σπέρμα	26.3,4(ter),24,38.8,9(bi8),48.19,Ex.28.43,32.13(bis),33.1,Le.18.21,20.2,3,4,21.15,21.22.3,4,113,Nu.5.28,17.5,18.19,21.30,23.10(ter),24.7,25.13,be.1.8,33.4,37.10.15,11.9,25.5,28.46,59,30.6,17.9,11.11,2.31,20.42,24.22,27,25.25,1 Ch.16.13,1 Es.8.85,2 Es.2.59,17.61,Es.9.27,	10.1.1,9,4.12(D1S)(BA1,9.12 (BA),13.17(S),1 Ra.5.62,7.14 2 Ma.7.17,Ps.20.11,21.24 (b1S),31,24.13,30.25,26,28,68 68.37,101.29,105.27,111.2, 125.6,Pr.11.18,W1.3.16,7.2, 14.6,Si.1.15,41.6,44.11,12, 13,21(b1S),45.15,21,24,25, 47.20,22,23,Ma.2.15,18.19, 14.22,30,31.9,33.2,37.31, 43.5,443,45.19,25,48.14,19, 53.10,54.3,57.3,58.7,59.21 (L),21,61.9(b1S),65.9,23, 66.22,30.22.30,56.29,23, 66.22,31.17,43.19,5u.56(G),Da. 2.43(TH),3.36,9.1(TH),11.6 (TH),31(TH)	
Παῖς	4 Ma.9.18, pr. 19.14, 28, 20.7, 29.15, Wi.18.9, 10		-
Παιδίον	Nu.3.4,14.3,31,De.1.39(A), 3.6,11.2,25.6,50.9.2f,2 Ki. 6.23,2 Ch.20.13,Ju.4.11, 7.23,To.2.2(bis)(S),3(S), 4.3(BA),4,5,12(bis)(BA),13 6.8A),14(BA),19,20(S),21, 5.3(S),9(S),17(bis)(S),11.9 12.1(S),4(S),14.3(S),8(S), 9(bis)(S),10(S),11,2 Ma.8. 28,4 Ma.4.9,Jb.21.11,40.29, 51.21.19(A+),18.9.5,49.15, La.4.10,Ep.3e.32		•
Παιδάριον			
révvnµa			
SON (LITERAL)	Other references (cont'd)		

49: SON (METAPHORICAL)

I. Words Used:

υίός (346), τέκνον (62), σπέρμα (16), παιδίον (10), γέννημα (2), παῖς (1).

II. Similarities

- (a) Different words used in identical contexts
- (1) $\Gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \nu \eta \mu \alpha$ and $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu$ (1 Ma. 1.38). The offspring of the city of Jerusalem.
- (2) $\Pi \alpha \iota \delta i \circ \nu$ and $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \circ \nu$ (To. 10.13(S)). These words are used as a form of familiar address, as Edna addresses Tobias.
- (3) Παιδίον and viός (Je. 38.20). Ephraim as God's son. Παιδίον translates yeled, and viός ben.
- (4) Tékvov and vió $_{\zeta}$ (Pr. 31.2). Tékvov (3) and vió $_{\zeta}$ (1) are here used as a form of familiar address, by a teacher addressing his pupil.
- (5) $T \in \kappa \nu o \nu$ and $v \in \zeta$ (both in Is. 51.18, 54.13, 60.4). Children of the city of Jerusalem. (See also (1) above.)

(b) Different words used in other similar (though not identical) contexts

- (1) $\Pi \alpha \iota \delta i \circ \nu$ (Is. 66.8) is also used of the children of Jerusalem, in addition to $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \mu \alpha$, $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \circ \nu$ and $\nu i \delta \varsigma$ (see (a)(1) and (5) above).
- (2) Παιδίον (Ge. 44.20) and υίός (Ge. 37.3). The phrases

παιδίον γήρως and υἰός γήρους refer to Benjamin and Joseph respectively as sons of Jacob's old age. Παιδίον translates yeled, and υἰός ben.

- (3) $\Pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (Pr. 4.1) is also used as a form of familiar address, in addition to $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} \circ \nu$, $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \circ \nu$ and $\nu \acute{\iota} \circ \zeta$ (see (a) (2) and (4) above).
- (4) Σπέρμα (3 Ki. 11.14, 4 Ki. 11.1, 2 Ch. 22.10, Ez. 17.13, Da. 1.3 (TH)) and $viό_{\varsigma}$ (Ba. 5.6 (A)). In these references the phrase "sons/offspring of the kingdom" (βασιλεία) occurs.
- (5) Tékvov (De. 32.5, Is. 30.1) and vióς (De. 32.19,20,43 (bis), Is. 30.9). In these two chapters both $\tau \acute{\epsilon} k \nu o \nu$ and $v \acute{\iota} \acute{o}_{\zeta}$ refer to the Israelites/Jews as God's sons.
- (6) $T\epsilon\kappa\nu\nu\nu$ (Ho. 10.9) and $vi\delta_{\zeta}$ (2 Ki. 3.34, 7.10, 2 Ch. 17.9 (L)). In these references the phrase "son(s) of unrighteousness" ($\delta \delta \iota\kappa i\alpha$) occurs.

III. Differences

(a) On the basis of overall LXX usage

The same observations as were made in the previous chapter concerning the distinctions between these words also apply in this chapter.

We also note that $vi\acute{o}_{\varsigma}$ alone is used in the phrase "son of x years", the Hebrew idiom for expressing a person's age (32 examples) and in the phrase "son(s) of man (men)" (165 examples).

- (b) On the basis of translators' preference
- (1) $Yi\acute{o}_{\zeta}$ is preferred in all books except those mentioned in the following two paragraphs.
- (2) $\text{Hal}\delta io\nu$ is used seven times in Tobit (S) as a form of familiar address, with $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu$ used once in the same way, and $\text{vi}\acute{o}_{\zeta}$ used twice in other types of metaphorical phrases.
- (3) Tékvov is used more often than $vi\acute{o}_{C}$ in the following:
 - (i) Ecclesiasticus: 21 examples of the vocative of $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu$ used as a form of familiar address, with $v i \acute{o}_{\zeta}$ used twice in the same way and in four other metaphorical examples.
 - (ii) The Minor Prophets: six examples of $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \circ \nu$ and two of vióc .
 - (iii) Baruch: seven examples of $\tau \in \kappa \nu \circ \nu$ (all referring to children of Jerusalem) and one of $\nu i \circ \zeta$.

(c) On the basis of the Hebrew equivalents

The Hebrew equivalents for each Greek word are:

παιδίον <u>ben</u> (1), <u>yeled</u> (2).

 $\pi \alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ ben (1).

σπέρμα zera' (1), 'acharith (1).

τέκνον <u>ben</u> (21), <u>bar</u> (3), <u>yeled</u> (2).

viός <u>ben</u> (277), <u>bar</u> (3).

(There are no Hebrew equivalents for $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \nu \eta \mu \alpha$.)

The Hebrew words and their Greek translations are:

<u>ben</u> παιδίον (1), παῖς (1), τέκνον (21), υἰός (277).

bar τέκνον (3), νίός (3).

yeled παιδίον (2), τέκνον (2).

<u>zera·</u> σπέρμα (10).

·acharith σπέρμα (1).

These facts appear to provide no basis on which to distinguish between the Greek words in this group.

Son(s) of God	Γέννημα	Παιδίον	Παῖς	Σπέρμα	Térvov	Yi ốg
		Je.38.20			De.32.5,Wi.16.21, Is.30.1,63.8	Ge.6.2,4,De.14.1,32.19,20,43(bis), 1 Ch.22.10,2 Ch.6,41,Es.8.12q,
						81.6,88.7,20,Pr.3,12,Wi.2,18,5.5,
Son of man/sons of men						Ge.11.5,Nu.23.19,1 Ki.26.19,2 Ki.
						7.14,3 Ki.8.39,2 Ch.6.30,1 Es. 4.37,Ju.8.12,16,Ps.4.3,8.5.10.4,
						11.2,9,13.2,20.11,30.20,32.13,35.8,844.3,48.3,52.3,56.5,57.2,
		•				61.10(bis),65.5,79.16,18,88.48,
	-	,			-,,-	144.12,145.3,Pr.8.4,31,Ec.1.13,
						2.3,8,3.10,18,19,21,8.11,9.3,12, Jb.16.21,25.6.35.8.Wi.9.6.5i
		*		÷		17.30,36.23,1s.51.12,53.3(8LSC),
						La.3.33,Ez.2.1,3,6,8,3.1,3,4,
	-					12,15,17,11,2,4,15,12,2,3,9,18,
						ZZ,Z/,13.Z,1/,14.3,13,15.Z,15.Z, 17.Z,1Z,18.Z,19.1(A).20.3.4.27.
						21.2,7,11,14,17,19,24,33,22.2,
					• .	18,24,23.2,36,24.2,16,25,25.2, 26.2,27.2,28.2.12,21.29.2.18.
					•	30.2,21,31.2,32.2,18,33.2,7,10,
			-			12,24,30,34.2,35.2,36.1,17,37.3,
				-	•	43.7.10.18.44.5.47.6.38.2.38(TH).
			-14			3.82,7.13,8.17,10.16(TH)
					•	
	-					
						260.

	_	
26	7	

Yióç	Ge.11.10,Jd.2.8,1 Ki.4.15,2 Ki.4.4, 5.4,19.33,36,3 Ki.12.2.24a,24h,14.21, 22.42,4 Ki.8.17,26,12.1,14.2,21,15.2, 33,16.2,18.2,21.1,19,22.1,23.31,36, 24.8,18,2 Ch.26.3,27.1,28.1,36.2,9	1 Ki.3 ₆ (0),2 Ki.18,22,Pr.1,8,10, 15(0,5+),2.1,17,3.1,11,21,4.10, 20,5.1,7,6.1,3,20,7.1,1a,24,8.32,9.12, 19.20,23.15,19,22,26,24.1,13,21,27.11, 27,31.2,Ec.12.12,51.7,3,39.13	Ba.5.6(A)	To.13.10,Ps.149.2,Is.49.20,22,25, 51.18,20,54.13,60.4,62.5,La.4.2	Jd.6.3,33,7.12,8.10(A),To.14.15, Ez.16.26,23.17,23,Da.2.25(G) Ge.37.3	3 Ki.21.35,4 Ki.2.3,3(0),5,7,15, 4.1,38(bis),5.22,6.1,9.1,To.4.12(BA)	Ge.35.18,3d.18.2,21.10,1 Ki.10.26, 14.52,18.17,20.31,26.16,2 Ki.3.34, 7.10,12.5,13.28,17.10(bis),3 Ki.1.52, 21.15,4 Ki.2.16,14.9,14,1 Ch.5.18, 7.11,12,2 Es.2.1,6.16,19,20,8.35,10.7, 11,12,2 Es.2.1,6.16,19,20,8.35,10.7, 16,17.6,1 Ma.2.47,Ps.88.23,2a.4.14, Ez.30.5,0a.1.3(TH),2.25(TH),5.13(TH),	261.
Τέκνον		Ge.43.29,1 K1.3.6(L),9,16, 4.16,24.17,26.17,21,25,70, 10.11(BA),13(S),Pr.31.2(ter), S1.2.1,3.1,12,17,4.1,6.18,23, 32,10.28,11.10,14,11,16.24, 18.15,21.1,23.7,31.22,37.27, 38.9,16,40.28,41.14	·	1 Ma.1.38,40(5*+),11.2.23, Za.9.13,1s.51.18,54.13,60.4, 9,8a.4.12,19,21,25,27,32, 5.5,Ez.16.21,36	M1.1.16		10.9,1s.57.4 10.9,1s.57.4	
Σπέρμα			3 Ki.ll.l4,4 Ki.ll.l, 2 Ch.22.l0,Ez.l7.l3, Da.l.3(TH)				Nu.24.20,1 Ki.2.31, 4 Ki.14.27,17.20,To. 6.19(\$),Is.15.9,Je.7. 7.15,22.8,Ez.20.5, 44.22,Su.56(TH)	- .
ומנכ	'}*	pr.4.1		•				-
Παιδίον		To.7.6,10,11,12, 10.9,11,13(all in 6)		18.66.8	Ge.44.20			<u>-</u>
Γέννημα				1 Ma.1.38,3.45				-
SON (METAPHORICAL)	Son of x years	Vocative as a form of familiar address	Son of the kingdom	Son of Jeruselem/Zion	Sons of other cities/ countries/areas	Sons of the prophete	Other references	

Page 262 was allowed for the table which appears on the preceding pages, but was not needed

50: DAUGHTER

I. Words Used:

θυγάτηρ (479), παιδίον (4), νεᾶνις (2).

II. Similarities

The following facts are noted:

- (1) Sarah, the daughter of Raguel, is described by both $\theta \nu \gamma \acute{a} \tau \eta \rho$ and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} \circ \nu$. There is a direct parallel in To. 7.10, where the S text reads $\theta \nu \gamma \acute{a} \tau \eta \rho$ and the BA text $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \acute{\iota} \circ \nu$.
- (2) Several times there are expressions where the words "mother" and "daughter" are used in juxtaposition. Thus $\theta v \gamma \acute{a} \tau \eta \rho$ is used in the phrase $\theta v \gamma \acute{a} \tau \eta \rho$ $\acute{e} \pi a \nu a \sigma \tau \acute{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ $\acute{e} \pi \iota$ $\dagger \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\mu \eta \tau \acute{e} \rho a$ $a \dot{v} \tau \ddot{\eta} \varsigma$ (Mi. 7.6), and $\nu \epsilon \ddot{a} \nu \iota \varsigma$ in the phrase $\mu \eta \tau \acute{e} \rho \epsilon \varsigma$ $\nu \epsilon \acute{a} \nu \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ (3 Ma. 5.49).
- (3) Both $\theta \nu \gamma \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$ and $\nu \epsilon \~{\alpha} \nu \iota_{\varsigma}$ are used to refer to Ruth. However, there are distinctive points about the use of the two words in this case. $\theta \nu \gamma \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$ is used only in the vocative, as a form of familiar address, and $\nu \epsilon \~{\alpha} \nu \iota_{\varsigma}$ may not mean "daughter" here, but the more usual "young woman". 1

III. <u>Differences</u>

(a) On the basis of overall LXX usage

It is clear from the statistics quoted in I above that

^{1.} See discussion under νεάνις, p.68.

θυγάτηρ is the normal word for "daughter". In fact there is only one other reference where a word other than θυγάτηρ may confidently be given the meaning "daughter". Παιδίον in the four examples relevant to this section can be distinguished from θυγάτηρ, because it has the general meaning "child" rather than the more specific "female child".

Another point of distinction is that $\theta v \gamma \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$ is the only word used in the LXX with the meaning "daughter" in a metaphorical sense. 3

(b) On the basis of translators' preference

Θυγάτηρ is preferred in all books except 3 Maccabees. Here there is one example of $\nu \epsilon \tilde{a} \nu \iota \varsigma$ and none of θυγάτηρ.

(c) On the basis of the Hebrew equivalents

Θυγάτηρ is the only word used to translate the Hebrew bath. (It also translates 'ishshah in Jd. 21.14.) In the doubtful case of $\nu \epsilon \tilde{a} \nu \iota \varsigma$ in Ru. 2.5 the Hebrew equivalent is na arah.

^{2.} Νεᾶνις in 3 Ma. 5.49.

^{3.} The metaphorical uses of $\theta v \gamma \acute{a} \tau \eta \rho$ are discussed, and references listed, on pp. 45-48.

Παιδίον	To.7.10(BA),11(B), 8.21(bis)(S)			
Νεάνις		Ru.2.5 (?)	3 Ma.5.49	
θυγάτηρ	To.7.7,10(S),13,16 (BA),17,17(S),8.20	Ru.1.11,12,13,2.2,8, 22,3.1,10,11,16,18	M1.7.6	
DAUGHTER (LITERAL)	Sarah, daughter of Raguel	Ruth (?)	Mother and daughter	

51: OFFSPRING OF ANIMALS

I. Words Used:

τέκνον (10), παιδίον (6), υἰός (5), θυγάτηρ (4), σπέρμα (4).

II. Similarities

- (a) Different words used in identical contexts
- (1) $\text{Hat}\delta\text{iov}$ (De. 22.7) and τinvov (De. 22.6). The young of a bird. Both words translate the Hebrew word <u>ben</u>. (Also in verse 6 are two examples of $\nu\epsilon\cos\sigma\delta\varsigma$, which translates a different Hebrew word.)
- (2) $\text{Mai}\delta\text{iov}$ (Jb. 39.3) and τikvov (Jb. 39.4). The young of the wild goat ($\tau\rho\alpha\gamma\text{ik}\alpha\phi\circ\varsigma$, verse 1). This variation may be explained on the basis of the different Hebrew equivalents: $\pi\alpha\text{i}\delta\text{iov}$ translates yeled, and τikvov ben.
- (b) Different words used in other similar (though not identical) contexts
- (1) Παιδίον (Is. 11.7), $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu$ (1 Ki. 6.7(bis)) and $v \dot{\iota} \dot{o} \varsigma$ (2 Es. 6.9). These words are all used to describe the young of a $\beta o \tilde{v} \varsigma$, though in widely scattered passages.

III Differences

(a) On the basis of overall LXX usage

It would be difficult to distinguish between $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$, $\tau\acute{\epsilon}\kappa\nu\circ\nu$ and $\upsilon\dot{\iota}\acute{\circ}_{\zeta}$, in the light of the above examples. However

the other two words are distinctive in several ways.

θυγάτηρ in at least one example refers to female young rather than male. In addition it can be distinguished on the basis of its Hebrew equivalent.

 $\Sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ also can be distinguished by its Hebrew equivalent, but apart from this it is different from the others in having a collective reference.

(b) On the basis of translators' preference

- (1) The Pentateuch shows variety in its usage, with $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$ being used three times, $\sigma\pi\acute{e}\rho\mu\alpha$ twice, and $\tau\acute{e}\kappa\nuo\nu$ and $\upsilon\dot{\iota}\acute{o}\varsigma$ each once.
- (2) $T\acute{\epsilon}\kappa\nu\circ\nu$ is the only word used in Kings (4) and Chronicles (1).

(c) On the basis of the Hebrew equivalents

The Hebrew equivalents for each Greek word are:

θυγάτηρ bath (4)

 π αιδίον <u>ben</u> (3), <u>yeled</u> (2).

σπέρμα $zera^{*}$ (3).

τέκνον ben (7).

υίός <u>ben</u> (4).

The Hebrew words and their Greek translations are:

bath $\theta \upsilon \tau \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$ (4).

ben παιδίον (3), τέκνον (7), υἰός (4).

yeled παιδίον (2).

<u>zera'</u> σπέρμα (3).

^{1.} Pr. 30.15. For the problems associated with the other three examples of $\theta v \gamma \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$, see discussion on p.48.

- (1) The basic synonymity of $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}o\nu$, $\tau\acute{\epsilon}\kappa\nuo\nu$ and $\upsilon\acute{\iota}o\acute{\varsigma}$ (noted in (a) above) is supported by the fact that these three words are all used to translate ben.
- (2) The distinctiveness of $\theta \upsilon \gamma \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$ and $\sigma \pi \acute{\epsilon} \rho \mu \alpha$ is also confirmed, in that $\theta \upsilon \gamma \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho$ alone translates <u>bath</u>, and $\sigma \pi \acute{\epsilon} \rho \mu \alpha$ alone translates <u>zera</u>. (It would of course be surprising if this were not the case with these words.)

_	_	_	
2	h	О	

OFFSPRING OF ANIMALS	θυγάτηρ	Παιδίον	Σπέρμα	Tékvov	Yióç
Of a bird ($\delta\rho u eq u$) Of a wild-goat($\tau holpha\gamma\epsilon\lambdalpha\phi_{C}$)		De.22.7 Jb.39.3		D8.22.6 Jh39.4	
Other references	Pr.30.15,M1.1.8, 1s.43.20,Je.27.39	Ge.32.16,Le.22.28, 19.11.7,34.15	Ge.3.15,7.3,18.14.29, Je.38.27	1 Ki.6.7(bis),10,14,32, 2 Ch.35.7,4 Ma.14.17,18, 1b.39.16	De.32.14,2 Es.6.9, Ps.28.1,6,51.38.25
	ana a				:
,					
•					
•				•	
			•	•	
			*		
				•	
					2
					6

CONCLUDING REMARKS

I. THE SEPARATE WORDS IN RELATION TO EARLY KOINE

With regard to the individual words considered in this study, it is true in general that the LXX and the papyri agree in their usage. By far the majority of the LXX uses are illustrated in the papyri, so that it is possible to conclude that the LXX translators and authors were using the words which were in common use in their time. Numerous examples of this have been given in the earlier chapters of the present work.

But while this conclusion is valid in a general way, there are also a number of differences between the LXX and the papyri:

(1) The following LXX words do not occur in the Ptolemaic papyri as far as I am aware: ἄβρα (15), ἄνηβος (1), γέννημα (5), διάκονος (6), θεράπαινα (8), θεράπων (67), μειράκιον (4), μειρακίσκος (2), μεῖραξ (2), νεᾶνις (30), οἰκέτις (3), ὑπομαστίδιος (1). In addition θηλάζων (9) and ὑποτίτθιον (1) are not used in the papyri as substantives, and νεανίας (32) is attested in only one doubtful papyrus reference.

It will be noted that most of these words are rare or poetic (or both), and most occur less than ten times in the LXX. However this explanation does not cover $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha}\pi\omega\nu$ or $\nu\epsilon\alpha\nu\acute{\iota}\alpha\varsigma$. The use of these words in the LXX is nothing new,

since both were fairly common in classical authors, although the LXX is not in line with contemporary usage at this point.

- (2) Certain words which are used in both the LXX and the papyri have a meaning which is restricted to either the LXX or the papyri. For example, $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \nu \rho \gamma \delta_{\zeta}$ has the meaning "personal attendant" in the LXX which does not occur in the papyri, and in the papyri it is used with the meaning "workman" and in a military context, uses which are not in the LXX. In the case of $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \delta \rho \iota \circ \nu$, the LXX meaning "(newborn or very young) child" is doubtful in the papyri. We notice also the wide variety in the use of $\nu \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \eta_{\zeta}$ in the papyri and the meaning "workman" for $\sigma \delta \mu \alpha$ and $\nu \pi \circ \nu \rho \gamma \delta_{\zeta}$, which are not found in the LXX.
- (3) In certain respects the LXX is closer to classical Greek than to the contemporary $\kappa \circ \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ (even apart from those portions of the LXX which are "Atticistic"). We have already observed that $\theta \in \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ which does not occur in the papyri is common in the LXX (particularly the Pentateuch) and in classical authors. The LXX use of $\pi \alpha \bar{\iota}_{\zeta}$ corresponds to the $\kappa \circ \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ generally, in the increasing predominance of the meaning "servant", but the LXX is more in line with classical usage in retaining the earlier meanings "boy/girl" and "son". (4) Other unusual or unique LXX usages are to be explained on the basis of the Hebrew background. The use of the Hebrew 'ebed (and Hebrew words for "female servant") explains the meanings "servant (where the master-servant relationship

existed but was not actively practised)" and "servant (in a respectful sense)", which apply to several Greek words. Neither of these meanings occurs in any pagan Greek writing. Similarly the metaphorical uses of words for "son" and "daughter", and the metaphorical use of $\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho\mu\alpha$ meaning "human offspring" depend largely on Hebrew usage. The fact that there are some classical parallels for several of these LXX usages does not explain the widespread metaphorical uses found in the LXX.

Several explanations for the differences between LXX usage and the $\kappa \circ \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ Greek reflected in the Ptolemaic papyri have already been suggested. First, there is the Hebrew background, and the use of various Hebrew words has in some cases influenced the use of the corresponding Greek words (though no entirely new meanings have been introduced in this way for any of the words in this study). Secondly, some of the LXX books are literary compositions and some also Atticistic, and this explains the presence of rare and/or poetic words which do not occur in the papyri. Thirdly, a less significant (though not irrelevant) factor is that those parts of the LXX which may be regarded as an accurate reflection of normal $\kappa \circ \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$, are examples of fairly early $\kappa \circ \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ (mostly iii B.C., and in the case of 1 Maccabees ii B.C.). This may partly explain LXX uses which are closer to classical usage than $\kappa \circ \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ as it is otherwise attested.

II. RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE WORDS

The relationships between the words of this study have already been partly examined in the second major section of the work. In those chapters the words which cover a particular sense were grouped and their relationship to one another examined. The main point to emerge from this is that there is a large degree of synonymity between the words in any one group.

It may be objected that if words with a common meaning are grouped in the way described, it is hardly surprising that the result will be a group of synonyms! But though this is true, one may expect to find different nuances of meaning to a greater extent than has actually happened. Several points have been noticed, such as the emphasis in $0i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ on the fact that the slave/servant is the property of his master, the use of $\lambda\epsilon\iota\tau\sigma\nu\rho\gamma\acute{o}_{\zeta}$ (and to a lesser extent $\theta\epsilon\rho\acute{a}\pi\omega\nu$) in a cultic context when referring to a servant of God, the distinction between $\upsiloni\acute{o}_{\zeta}$ as a masculine word ("son") and $\tau\acute{\epsilon}\kappa\nu\sigma\nu$ (etc.) as a word not restricted in terms of sex ("child").

But more often than not, distinctions between the words in a particular group have not suggested themselves. Indeed etymological distinctions between words have been found not always to correspond to actual usage. This is especially true of words referring to very young children, where $\theta\eta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega\nu$ ("suckling") and $\dot{\nu}\pi o\mu\alpha\sigma\tau\dot{\iota}\delta\iota\circ\varsigma$ and $\dot{\nu}\pi o\tau\dot{\iota}\tau\theta\iota\circ\nu$ ("one

at (under) the breast") often mean simply "infant". Likewise $oi\kappa\acute{e}\tau\eta_{\varsigma}$ does not always mean "household servant", and the various words which are diminutives in form are not always (in some cases not at all) diminutives in meaning.

The relationships between the words need to be considered over the total range of their meanings and not only within restricted groups. These overall relationships are set out in tabular form on the following pages, and a glance at this table will show that only the following words overlap in all their meanings:

- (1) Words used for "new-born or very young child": βρέφος, θηλάζων, ὑπομαστίδιος and ὑποτίτθιον.
- (2) Words used for "young man": $\mu \in ip \alpha k i \circ \nu$, $\mu \in ip \alpha k i \circ \kappa \circ \zeta$ and $\mu \in ip \alpha \xi$.
- (3) Words used for the four senses of "male servant": $\delta \circ \tilde{v} \lambda \circ \zeta$ and $\delta i \kappa \epsilon \tau \eta \zeta$.
- (4) Words used for sense (a) and (d) of "male servant": $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ and $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \nu \rho \gamma \acute{\circ} \varsigma$.
- (5) Words used for sense (a) only of "male servant": $\dot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\dot{\alpha}\pi\circ\delta\circ\nu$, $\delta\iota\dot{\alpha}\kappa\circ\nu\circ\varsigma$, $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\eta\rho\dot{\epsilon}\tau\eta\varsigma$ and $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\circ\upsilon\rho\gamma\dot{\circ}\varsigma$. (Σ $\tilde{\omega}\mu\alpha$ is used with other meanings not relevant to this study.)
- (6) Words used for sense (a) only of "female servant": ἄβρα, θεράπαινα and οἰκέτις.
- (7) Words used for "son" (both literally and metaphorically) and to describe the offspring of animals: $\tau \in \kappa \nu \circ \nu$ and $\upsilon i \circ \varsigma$.

5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	15 20 20 20 112 6	27.		- I	2 2	479	WOPDS FOR YOUNG PERSON, SE
5 1 1 6 6 9 9 9 9 9 7 7 8 9 9 8 9 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9			G .				
5 1 6 6 6 133 13 46 133 13 9 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8							
5 1 6 6 133 1 1 9 9 1 9			a				
9 2 132 46 133 9 2 138 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8			0				
9 4 4 6 13 1 2 13 8 8 1 2 2 4 4 8 8 1 2 2 2 4 4 8 8 1 2 2 2 4 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8			a				
25 4 6 13 13 25 46 13 13 13 25 46 13 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15			0				
25 26 27 27 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20			JO .				
2 13 46 13 13 46 13 13 46 13 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15							
9 42 ' 'cc 2 13 6 'cc 2 2 4 4 'cc 2 2 4 4 'cc 4 4 4 'cc 2 2 4 4 'cc 4 4 4 'cc 4 4 5 25 'cc 4 4 'cc 4 4 6 'cc 6 15 6 4 'cc 7 15 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7							
2 13 6 55 2 13 6 56 2 2 4 4 57 4 4 25 50 2 2 4 4 50 15 4 4 50 15 4 4 50 15 4 4 50 15 7 60 15							
2 13 8 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6							
2 13 8 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7							
5c 2 13 8 6c 4 4 4 7c 2 4 4 8 9 9 6 7c 8 96 8 8 3 4 5 8 3 4 5 8 3 4 5 8 3 4 5 8 3 6 7 8 3 6 7 9 15 7 10 15 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 4 5 4 5 2 2 2 2 3 4 5 4 4 5 5 2 2 6 3 4							
coc 2 2 4 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7							OUNG PERSON, SE
y 4 4 cog 2 2 2 2 4 2 26 4 4 25 4 5 3 98 6 9 98 7 45 2 8 3 45 8 3 45						<u> </u>	G PERSON, SE
2 4 2 2 2 2 4 25 4 25 5 3 4 3 45 3 45 2 2 2 45 2 2 2						-	ERSON, SE
2 26 4						-	EN, SE
2 26 4 4 5 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5				1		+	SE
4 9 9 25 20 15 45 3 45 2 2		_					-
45 3 45 2 2	•					-	RVA
46 3 45 2 2						-	NT
45 3 45 2 2				1		-	AND
45 2 2				-		- -	<u>C</u> H
	9					-	ILD
	c						IN
Taifaciov 20 57 64 66				2			THE
Entitov 26 22 4 1				06	10	4	LX
3	87					+-	
9 9 10 260 34 16 92				23	1		
Tre6éveç 35						-	
				194	16		9
Erua 4							
ばんなつか				240	62	1	
				4717	346		
ሃተጥዕራ ተስ						-	
YTOURGET, Stoc 1			+			- -	
'Υπυτίτθιον' 1						+	
Υπουργές				-		+	Ì
			1	,			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

Many of the other words overlap in some of their meanings, but not in all.

Even in the cases listed above, various distinctions may apply which would rule out complete synonymity:

- (a) Distinctions already noted within a particular group: e.g. in (3) $\circ i\kappa \acute{\epsilon} \tau \eta_{\varsigma}$ denoting ownership; in (5) $\mathring{a}\nu \delta \rho \acute{a}\pi \circ \delta \circ \nu$ meaning "prisoner of war"; in (6) $\mathring{a}\beta \rho a$ sometimes meaning "favourite slave/servant"; in (7) the distinction between male child and child not necessarily male.
- (b) Words which are literary or poetic: e.g. in (1) $\beta\rho\epsilon\phi_0$ and $\dot{\nu}\pi o\mu\alpha\sigma\tau i\delta\iota_0$. (In (2) this description applies to all words and so cannot be used to distinguish between them.)
- (c) Words which have other meanings attested in the papyri: e.g. in (5) $\dot{v}\pi o v \rho \gamma \dot{o}_{\zeta}$ has the additional meaning "workman", and so can be distinguished from the other words.
- (d) Different degrees of emphasis: e.g. in (4), though $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ and $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma \acute{\circ}_{\zeta}$ are both used of servants of God in a cultic context, $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \upsilon \rho \gamma \acute{\circ}_{\zeta}$ does so in most cases whereas $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ is used in this way in only a few examples.

This is not intended to be a complete list of possible criteria for distinguishing the words, but it is enough to show that complete synonymity is unlikely to be common. The most probable case in the words under consideration is $\mu \epsilon \iota \rho \acute{\alpha} \kappa \iota \circ \nu, \; \mu \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \kappa \acute{\iota} \circ \kappa \circ \varsigma \; \text{ and } \mu \epsilon \~\iota \rho \alpha \xi \;. \; \text{ These words are used only in 2 and 4 Maccabees, and refer only to one or more of the seven martyrs.}$

III. ON THE USAGE OF CERTAIN PARTS OF THE LXX

The following remarks are not intended as a comprehensive survey of the usage of the books of the LXX in regard to the words of this study, but only as a few comments on several points of interest.

- (1) Thackeray's first group is described as those books which represent "good $\kappa o \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ Greek". They include the Pentateuch, Joshua (part), 1 Maccabees and Isaiah. Generally speaking, these books are consistent in their use of the words under discussion, and the following facts emerge:
- (i) Child (new-born or very young): $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \circ \nu$ is clearly the most common word.
- (ii) Child (older): παιδίον is the most common, thoughπαιδάριον is also frequent.
- (iii) Young man: νεανίσκος is clearly the normal word.
- (iv) Male servant (sense (a)): $\pi\alpha \tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ is used more than all the others combined, though $\theta\epsilon\rho\acute{\alpha}\pi\omega\nu$, $\circ i\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\tau\eta_{\zeta}$ and $\delta\circ\tilde{\upsilon}\lambda\circ_{\zeta}$ are also used.
- (v) Female servant (sense (a)): $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \sigma \kappa \eta$ is clearly the normal word.
- (vi) Son: $vió_{\zeta}$ is overwhelmingly the most common word These facts may be compared to the evidence of the papyri:
- (i) $\text{Hal}\delta i \text{o} \nu$ is used of infants in the papyri, although usually the emphasis is on the child's relationship to the parent(s), rather than simply the child's age. Nevertheless $\pi \text{al}\delta i \text{o} \nu$ may be regarded as the usual word for "infant" in

the papyri.

- (ii) $\text{Mai}\,\delta\acute{a}\rho\iota\circ\nu$ is the usual word for "(older) child", rather than $\pi\alpha\iota\delta\acute{\iota}\circ\nu$.
- (iii) Νεανίσκος is the normal word in the papyri.
- (iv) $\text{Ha}\tilde{\iota}_{\zeta}$ is very common in the papyri, though other words are also used. (As we have already noted, see I above, $\theta \epsilon \rho \acute{a} \pi \omega \nu$ does not occur in the papyri.)
- (v) Παιδίσκη is common in the papyri, though δούλη is also frequently used.
- (vi) Yi \acute{o}_{ζ} is the most common word in the papyri.

On the whole therefore the papyrus material and that in the good $\kappa o \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ Greek of the LXX correspond, as far as these particular senses are concerned.

- (2) We compare the books in Thackeray's first group with 2-4 Maccabees which he describes as "literary and Atticistic". Here there are two main observations to be made: the failure to use the normal $\kappa o \iota \nu \acute{\eta}$ word(s), and the variety in the words used. Thus for the six meanings discussed above, we find the following words used:
- (1) Νήπιος (6), βρέφος (3), παιδίον(1), ὑπομαστίδιος (1).
- (ii) $\text{Ha}\tilde{\iota}_{\varsigma}$ (3), $\ddot{a}\nu\eta\beta\circ_{\varsigma}$ (1).
- (iii) Νεανίας (13), νεανίσκος (8), παῖς (6), μειράκιον (4), μειρακίσκος (2), μεῖραξ (2).
- (iv) Σῶμα (2), ἀνδράποδον (1), διάκονος (1), λειτουργός (1),παῖς (1).
- (v) No examples.

- (vi) Τέκνον (30), νἰός (23), παῖς (18), σπέρμα (3),παιδίον (2), γέννημα (1).
- (3) With regard to the other books of the LXX, there is no consistent pattern. Sometimes the normal $\kappa o \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ word for a particular idea will be used, and sometimes not. Thus in Kings the most common word for "(older) child" is the normal $\kappa o \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota o \nu$, whereas the same word is used for "young man" (an unusual usage, by comparison with the papyri), and $\delta o \ddot{\upsilon} \lambda o_{\zeta}$ and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota o \nu$ as well as $\pi \alpha \ddot{\iota}_{\zeta}$ (all acceptable $\kappa o \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ alternatives) are used for "male servant". In Chronicles the usual $\kappa o \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ word $\pi \alpha \ddot{\iota}_{\zeta}$ is used for "male servant", but the unusual $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota o \nu$ and $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu \dot{\iota} \alpha \kappa o_{\zeta}$ are used for "young man" (as well as the normal $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu \dot{\iota} \alpha \kappa o_{\zeta}$). In Tobit (S) $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota o \nu$ is the most common word for "young man" (as well as the normal $\kappa o \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ word $\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu \dot{\iota} \alpha \kappa o_{\zeta}$, and other words) and $o \dot{\iota} \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta_{\zeta}$ is used for "male servant", although the only word for "female servant" in this book is the normal $\kappa o \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ word $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \dot{\iota} \sigma \kappa \eta$.

APPENDIX

HEBREW WORDS USED

Since this list is primarily for the benefit of one who does not know Hebrew, it is compiled in the order of the English alphabet, with the letters \aleph (') and \square (') placed first. The meanings are taken from BDB.

'acharith (אַחַרִי)	after-part, end; hence posterity.
<u>'adon</u> (אָדוֹן)	lord.
<u>'amah</u> (אָמָה)	maid, handmaid.
<u>'enosh</u> (אָנוֹשׁ)	man, mankind.
<u>'ish</u> (אִישׁ)	man.
יishshah (אִשָּׁה)	woman, wife, female.
' abad (עְבַד)	(verb) serve.
(עֹלְטָה) <u>almah</u>	young woman.
<u>'am</u> (עַם)	people.
(װְבָּר) <u>ebed</u> (ייָבָר)	slave, servant.
<u>• elem</u> (اب کا ا	young man.
ereb (עֵרֶב)	mixture, mixed company.
olel (کا کا)	child.
<u>יעו</u> (אוי)	sucking child, suckling.
bachar (기미크)	(verb) choose.
bachur (기기미국)	young man (choice, in the prime of manhood).
<u>bar</u> (つ <u>ラ</u>)	son.
basar (ユヅュ)	flesh.
bath (ハラ)	daughter.

house.

bayith (בּיָת)

bekor (カララ)	first-born
<u>ben</u> (בֵּן)	son.
bethulah (בְּתנּלְה)	virgin.
chayil (ליָבַ)	strength, efficiency, wealth, army.
<u>dor</u> (つ) つ)	period, generation.
<u>le</u> ("Ṣ)	(preposition) to, for, in regard to.
<u>mal'ak</u> (귀찮칫亞)	messenger.
mishpachah (ភាំភ្នំបុំ)	clan.
<u>na°ar</u> (נער)	1. boy, lad, youth; 2. servant, retainer.
na'arah (נְעָרָה)	1. girl, damsel; 2. maid.
ne'urim (נְעוּרִים)	youth, early life.
neked (コウ漬)	progeny, posterity (always with <u>nin</u>).
(i, l) <u>uiu</u>	offspring, posterity (always with neked).
<u>no'ar</u> (נער)	youth, early life.
pelach (이호호)(Aramaic)	(verb) pay reverence to, serve (deity).
peri-beten (פְּרִי־בֶּטֶּן)	fruit of the womb.
bethi (څُنٽر)	(adjective) simple.
gaton (קטן)	(adjective) small, insignificant.
racham (DD)	womb.
se'esa'im (מְצְאָצְאים)	issue, offspring, produce.
sharath (ハユヴ)	
	(verb) minister, serve (especially in worship).
shipchah (שִׁכְּחָה)	
shipchah (שַּאָנִרְבָה) taʻarubah (שִּאָנִרְבָה)	worship).
	worship). maid, maid-servant.
ta' arubah (פּאָעַרְבָה)	worship). maid, maid-servant. pledge.
ta' arubah (פּוְעַרָבְה) taph (טַף)	worship). maid, maid-servant. pledge. children.

yalid (בֹלִיד) (adjective) born.

yanaq (yerb) suck.

yeled $(\neg \xi;)$ child, son, boy, youth.

yoneq (pii) suckling, babe.

zera' (וֻרַע) sowing, seed, offspring.

zere'onim (וֵרְעֹנִים) vegetables.

zero'im (וְרֹעִים) vegetables.

zerua' (ברוּעַ) sowing, thing sown.

A SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Lexica, Concordances and Grammars
- W.F. Arndt and F.W. Gingrich, A Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature (Chicage, 1957). Translated from W. Bauer, Griechischdeutsches Wörterbuch zu den Schriften des Neuen Testaments und dur übrigen Urchristlichen Literatur (4th ed.) (Berlin, 1952).
- F. Blass and A. Debrunner, A Greek Grammar of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature (Chicago, 1961). Translated from the 9th-10th German edition by R.W. Funk.
- F. Brown, S.R. Driver and C.A. Briggs, A Hebrew and English Lexicon of the Old Testament (Oxford, 1907).
- P. Chantraine, <u>Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue</u> grecque: <u>histoire des mots</u> (Paris, 1968-).
- S. Daris, <u>Spoglio Lessicale Papirologico</u> (3 Vols.) (Milan, 1968).
- H. Frisk, Griechisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch (Heidelberg, 1954-).
- E. Hatch and H.A. Redpath, <u>A Concordance to the Septuagint</u> and the Other Greek Versions of the Old Testament (3 Vols.)(Oxford, 1897-1906).
- G. Kittel and G. Friedrich (ed.), Theologisches Wörterbuch zum Neuen Testament (10 vols.)(Stuttgart, 1933-74).
- H.G. Liddell, R. Scott and H.S. Jones, <u>A Greek-English</u> Lexicon (9th ed.)(Oxford, 1940). Supplement (ed. E.A. Barber)(Oxford, 1968).
- E. Mayser, <u>Grammatik der griechischen Papyri aus der Ptolemäerzeit</u> (2 vols.)(Berlin, 1923-38).
- J.H. Moulton, W.F. Howard and N. Turner, A Grammar of New Testament Greek.

 I: Prolegomena (3rd ed.)(Edinburgh, 1908).

 II: Accidence and Word-Formation (Edinburgh, 1919-29).

 III: Syntax (Edinburgh, 1963).
- J.H. Moulton and G. Milligan, The Vocabulary of the Greek Testament illustrated from the Papyri and other non-literary Sources (London, 1930).

- W.F. Moulton and A.S. Geden, A Concordance to the Greek Testament (Edinburgh, 1899).
- L.R. Palmer, A Grammar of the Post-Ptolemaic Papyri, I: Accidence and Word-Formation, Part 1: The Suffixes (London, 1946).
- F. Preisigke, Wörterbuch der griechischen Papyrusurkunden.
 I-III: (Berlin, 1925-31).
 IV: (ed. E. Kiessling)(4 parts)(Berlin, 1944;
 Marburg, 1958, 1966, 1971).
 Supplement (ed. E. Kiessling)(3 parts)(Amsterdam, 1969-71).
- J.F. Schleusner, Novus Thesaurus Philogico-Criticus, sive Lexicon in LXX (3 vols.) (London, 1829).
- H. St. J. Thackeray, A Grammar of the Old Testament in Greek According to the Septuagint, I: Introduction, Orthography and Accidence (Cambridge, 1909).
- 2. Biblical Semantics
- J. Barr, The Semantics of Biblical Language (Oxford, 1961).
- J. Barr, Biblical Words for Time (London, 1962).
- G.B. Caird, "Towards a Lexicon of the Septuagint", <u>JTS</u> 19 (1968), 453-75, and <u>JTS</u> 20 (1969), 21-40.
- H.S. Gehman, "Adventures in Septuagint Lexicography", Textus 5 (1966), 125-32.
- F.W. Gingrich, "The Greek New Testament as a Landmark in the Course of Semantic Change", JBL 73 (1954), 189-96.
- D. Hill, Greek Words and Hebrew Meanings (Cambridge, 1967).
- R.A. Kraft (ed.), <u>Septuagintal Lexicography</u> (Septuagint and Cognate Studies 1) (University of Montana, Missoula, 1972).
- J.A.L. Lee, "A Note on Septuagint material in the Supplement to Liddell and Scott", Glotta 47 (1969), 234-42.
- J.F.A. Sawyer, <u>Semantics in Biblical Research</u> (London, 1972).

- 3. Other Works
- K. Aland, "Die Kindertaufe im Neuen Testament", Studi e Materiali di Storia delle Religioni 38 (1967), 24-37.
- S.P. Brock, "The Phenomenon of the Septuagint", Oudtestamentische Studiën 17 (1972), 11-36.
- R.H. Charles (ed.), The Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha of the Old Testament.

 I: Apocrypha (Oxford, 1913).

 II: Pseudepigrapha (Oxford, 1913).
- S. Daniel, <u>Recherches sur le Vocabulaire du Culte dans la Septante</u> (Paris, 1966).
- M. David and B.A. van Groningen, <u>Papyrological Primer</u> (4th ed.)(Leyden, 1965).
- M. Finley (ed.), Slavery in Classical Antiquity (Cambridge, 1960).
- H.S. Gehman, "The Hebraic Character of Septuagint Greek", VT 1 (1951), 81-90.
- F. Gschnitzer, Studien zur griechischen Terminologie der Sklaverei (Wiesbaden, 1963).
- J. Hastings, <u>Dictionary of the Bible</u> (5 vols.) (Edinburgh, 1898).
- A.S. Hunt and C.C. Edgar, <u>Select Papyri: Non-Literary</u> Papyri (2 vols.)(London, 1932-34).
- S. Jellicoe, The Septuagint and Modern Study (Oxford, 1968).
- P.Katz, "Septuagintal Studies in the Mid-Century. Their Links with the Past and their Present Tendencies", in W.D. Davies and D. Daube (ed.), The Background to the New Testament and its Eschatology (Cambridge, 1956), 176-208.
- G. Milligan, Selections from the Greek Papyri (Cambridge, 1910).
- C. Rabin, "The Translation Process and the Character of the Septuagint", <u>Textus</u> 6 (1968), 1-26.
- A. Rahlfs, Septuaginta, id est Vetus Testamentum Graece iuxta LXX Interpretes (2 vols.)(Stuttgart, 1935).
- E.G. Turner, Greek Papyri: An Introduction (Oxford, 1968).

- J. Vogt, "Ecce Ancilla Domini", <u>Vigiliae Christianae</u> 23 (1969), 241-63. (On δούλη)
- J. Vogt, Ancient Slavery and the Ideal of Man (Oxford, 1974).
- H. Waetjen, "The Ending of Mark and the Gospel's Shift in Eschatology", Annual of the Swedish Theological <u>Institute</u> 4 (1965), 114-31. (Οπ νεανίσκος)
- P. Walters (Katz)(ed. D.W. Gooding), The Text of the Septuagint: Its Corruptions and their Emendations (Cambridge, 1973).
- W.L. Westermann, <u>Upon Slavery in Ptolemaic Egypt</u> (New York, 1929).
- W. Zimmerli and J. Jeremias, The Servant of God (London, 1957).