

THEME 5 | GRASSLANDS AND FORAGES

Effect of grazing frequency on enteric methane emissions, output of milk constituents and milk yield

Guilherme F. de. S. Congio¹, Marília B. Chiavegato*¹, Camila D. A. Batalha¹, Alexandre Berndt², Rosa. T. S. Frighetto³, Sila C. da Silva¹

¹University of São Paulo, Piracicaba/SP, Brazil; ²EMBRAPA Southeast Livestock, São Carlos/SP, Brazil; ³EMBRAPA Environment, Jaguariúna/SP, Brazil

*Postdoctoral Fellow – marilia.chiavegato@usp.br

Grazing management changes sward structure, affecting forage morphological characteristics and nutritive value, and ultimately animal performance and enteric methane (CH₄) emissions. The objective of this study was to evaluate enteric methane emissions and animal performance of lactating dairy cows grazing elephant grass (*Pennisetum purpureum* Schum. cv. Cameroon). Treatments corresponded to strategies of rotational grazing characterized by two pre-grazing targets; 95% and maximum canopy light interception (LI_{95%} and LI_{Max}, respectively). Post-grazing target corresponded to 50% of the pre-grazing targets. Twenty-two mid-lactation Holstein × Jersey cows (488 ± 60 kg) were stratified by body weight, days in milk (126 ± 90 days), lactation number (2.3 ± 1.2), and daily milk yield (20.3 ± 2.6 kg d⁻¹) in a completely randomized design (n = 11). The 2.5 ha experimental area was divided into two sets of 18 paddocks (700 m²). Concentrate was offered twice daily before milking based on the average milk production of each group (1 kg of concentrate:3 kg of milk). Enteric CH₄ emissions were collected using the sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) tracer gas method. Dry matter intake (DMI) was determined using titanium dioxide as a marker. Sampling occurred during the grazing season from December 2015 to April 2016. Results were analyzed using the PROC MIXED of SAS (α = 0.05). There were no treatment effects on DMI (18.4 kg d⁻¹, on average; P = 0.090) nor on daily CH₄ emissions (304.9 g d⁻¹ on average; P = 0.136). Therefore, there were no treatment effects on enteric CH₄ emissions per unit of feed consumed (17.3 g CH₄ kg DMI⁻¹). However, cows grazing LI_{95%} swards had greater milk (17.5 vs 14.6 kg d⁻¹; P = 0.043), protein (0.55 vs 0.47 kg d⁻¹; P = 0.029), fat (0.66 vs 0.55 kg d⁻¹; P = 0.027), and milk solids yield (2.15 vs 1.79 kg d⁻¹; P = 0.019). Consequently, the LI_{95%} target resulted in lower enteric CH₄ emissions per unit of milk produced (16.7 vs 23.4 g CH₄ L⁻¹, P = 0.002), per unit of milk protein (528.1 vs 703.5 g CH₄ kg⁻¹; P = 0.003), per unit of milk fat (437.9 vs 606.5 g CH₄ kg⁻¹; P = 0.001), and per unit of milk solids yield (135.2 vs 186.1 g CH₄ kg⁻¹; P = 0.001). Grazing management based on the LI_{95%} pre-grazing target resulted in increased output of milk constituents and milk yield, whilst reducing CH₄ emissions per unit of final product. These results are likely associated with increased forage nutritive value in LI_{95%} swards, since no effects of pre-grazing targets were observed on DMI.

Keywords: light interception, *Pennisetum purpureum*, dairy cows

Acknowledgments: FAPESP (2014/20182-9 and 2016/22040-2), CNPq and Capes