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#### Abstract

This article analyzes some available bike mobile applications as an alternative to bike computers, as known as cycle computers or speedometers or speed sensors. We have stored a lot of datasets recorded from different mountain bike routes; in this study, we analyzed two routes only. Most mobile cycling applications estimate fields such as speed, heading, slope, distance, VMG (Velocity Made Good) and pace (cadence). However, it is necessary to calculate the relationship between cadence and power in pedaling so that cyclists know the appropriate moment to apply force to their legs to improve the torque. We studied four cycling apps and one bike computer. The contribution of this paper lies in the fact that it reports and compares measurements of cycling workouts using four mobile applications for cycling, at the same time these measurements are compared against a speedometer; the differences in distance and speed between the mobile apps used in this study are slightly notorious. We also show comparative tables and graphs, and performance evaluation of biking routes in two different bike routes.


Index Terms-Cycling Computer, Fitness and Health Statistics, Bike Computer, Mobile Sensing, Social Fitness Network, Bike Mobile Applications, Wheeled Vehicles, MTB datasets.

## I. InTRODUCTION

Cycling is a hard sport, which requires maximum effort to ride many kilometers per day. A minimum error, physical fatigue, and especially mental weariness could give a wrong ride. Cyclists must know all details of cycling sports equipment necessary for different racing formats (route, road, track, and mountain bike). Professional or amateur cyclists should know times, distances, speed, and types of tests. The much information cyclists have, the better performance they get in each workout.
For this reason, it is necessary to have a speedometer ${ }^{1}$ that provides real-time information to cyclists. For a long time, there have been different types of cycle computers (speed sensor) enabled with speedometer, odometer, and other essential functions. At present exists cycle computers that provide an overview of metrics related to cycling. Mainly, it depends on the type of bicycle; cycle computers can offer us data as simple as distance, current speed and travel time; however, some cycle computers can give us more detailed information such as heart rate, power, and cadence. Therefore, cyclists, whether beginners or professionals have to look at technology to know their fitness and progress.
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}$ device for measuring speed

An experienced cyclist thinks about in a cycle computer with advanced features and accurate physiological data to help in their improvement and progress. In addition to all the metrics mentioned above, this category is complemented by heart rate measurement, that includes calories burned, maximum heart rate and training in target areas. An experienced cyclist chooses cycle computers that provide such as data to be shared, data transfer and training regimes. However, these advanced versions of cycle computers become too expensive.

Recently, hundreds of mobile applications (Apps) have been released for a road, mountain, and urban cycling. Apps with different features, ranging from applications that serve to trace the safest or least contaminated route, apps that calculate the best ratio for our speed, apps that guide us about how to give first aid, apps that recommend us to wear kind of clothes to go pedaling according to the weather. Most of these cycling apps are free, a few apps we must pay for installing. We choose these Apps since have the highest score in google play and are the most used by cyclists. Besides, these four Apps upload their data to their respective website to be seen and analyzed later by a cyclist.
These apps provide GPS-enabled real-time maps, these apps track a route, and long-term storage, interval tracking, calories burned estimation and a fully customizable reading screen with maps, hours or graphics. Another feature for cyclists who like music, there are also available functions that sync it with music to access the playlists, and so on.

In general, these kinds of mobile applications for bikes are preferred by cyclists; they also have the benefit of analyzing workouts, facilitate statistical data sharing and help to improve their performance.

Comparing the high costs of buying a cycle computer or installing a cycling application, always the best decision of a cyclist will be to install a free cycling application. Now, having so many mobile apps, the question is, what application should we install to tracking our rides?.

Therefore, in this paper, we try to analyze statistics on mountain bike (MTB) training on different scenarios or routes using four different cycling apps.

Although it should not be forgotten that a cycle computer in principle measures with greater precision and accuracy the basic metrics such as distance, time and speed. Mobile applications measurement are done based on calculations provided by GPS.

The results of our analysis are considered in each training to measure in parallel also with a cycle computer (speed sensor) while measuring with four cycling mobile apps. That is, having a measurement made by a physical device since this cycle computer measures using a sensor and a magnet mounted on
the front wheel of the bicycle that sends a signal in real time every time that the wheel makes a spin.

## II. Related Works

Cycling has been published in several papers, mainly works about health and mobility related to routes and maps of cycling routes; experiments about tests applied to cyclists in laboratories and indoor controlled scenarios; studies about pedaling movement in order to maximize the performance and minimize risks of injuries; also about mobile sensing system for mapping cyclist experiences, and so on. Below we will briefly describe some related works to cycling.
Several works deal with the subject of pedaling and power, as well as the theme of the cranks. In this classic study [1], the authors intend to determine the effect that different pedaling techniques have on mechanical effectiveness and gross efficiency during cycling in a steady-state. They propose that determine the mechanical efficacy of cycling, cranks with power meters and pedals with force sensors should be used to determine if a mechanically effective pedaling technique can achieve greater efficiency.

Another related work to pedaling and cranks is [2]; a dynamometer pedal is used to record changes in the pedaling technique of 14 cyclists ( 40 km ), who rode at a constant rate. One half of the group of cyclists showed no change in the pedal orientation, and they increased the vertical component of the force applied during the descent as the workload increased. Other seven cyclists also increased the pedal rotation throughout the descent and increased the horizontal component between $0^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$. Another result is that the negative torque on the pedal during the ascent usually becomes a positive torque at the high workload. However, although the torque during the rise decreased the total positive work required during the slope, it did not contribute significantly to the external work performed, since $98.6 \%$ and $96.3 \%$ of the entire work done in the low and high workload, respectively, it realized during the descent.

BikeNet is a mobile sensing system built leveraging the MetroSense architecture to provide people-centric sensing into the real-world and mapping the cyclist's experience. BikeNet uses several sensors embedded into a cyclist's bicycle to gather quantitative data about the cyclist's rides. This proposal uses a dual-mode operation for data collection, using opportunistically encountered wireless access points in a delay-tolerant fashion by default, and leveraging the cellular data channel of the cyclist's mobile phone for real-time communication as required. There exist a Web-based portal where each cyclist can access his/her data, and let to share data among cycling groups, and more general data (environmental data). Authors present a prototype of the system architecture based on small sensors and a mobile phone; it infers cyclist performance and the cyclist environment [3].
Due to mobile devices available on the market that already provide a set of integrated sensors and sensing enabled devices, there has been a significant evolution of applications for mobile devices that provide location-based services. In [4], authors present the development and results concerning
a mobile sensing system applied to cycle which collects performance data using both smartphones with sensors integrated and several wireless sensor nodes. The data collected is stored in a local database and also uploaded to a remote database, where it can be accessed using the mobile application or a web browser. Mobile application users can share data, create events, consult graphs and past access routes in a map. Therefore, users can obtain detailed feedback for the enjoyment of the cycling experience.
Some papers propose novel ideas to control cyclist's physical effort and support to cyclists when they are out of their comfort zone. Afonso et al. [5], developed and evaluated a control system for cycling, which contributes to promoting the users' mobility and physical health. A system proposed provides an automatic mechanism to control the motor assistance level of an electric bicycle to ensure that the cyclist's effort remains inside the desired target zone (which could be for comfort or a health goal). The system has to control the assistance level considering variables that affect the effort, such as the slope of the road. Authors proposal controls the pedaling resistance perceived by the cyclist through the use of a sensor device placed inside of the bicycle crankset, which provides the required torque signal. An effort control algorithm is implemented in a smartphone application, while a microcontroller on the bicycle acquire data, exchange data wirelessly with the smartphone, and control the motor assistance level in real time. Experimental results offered by authors validate the effectiveness of the implemented effort control system [6].

Bicycle sharing systems are becoming increasingly popular in the world's major cities. These systems operate with online maps that reveal situations such as the number of bicycles available and the number of free parking spaces at stations. Online maps are handy both for cyclists and for those who want to do a granular analysis of a city's cyclist trends; also, some work indicates that many cities have unique spatialtemporal characteristics and therefore require customized solutions. The authors in [7] analyze during four and half months of online data on bicycle sharing in ten cities. They applied unsupervised learning to time data, and the results showed that only larger systems have different behavior with fundamental similarities. The similarities can be used to forecast the number of bicycles a station will have shortly. The discovery of the similarities makes it possible to design, build and manage future bicycle sharing systems.

A study by [8] analyzed more than 10 million journeys made by members of the Cycle Hire Scheme in London and found that women's use characteristics are different from those of men. Women used bicycles on weekends and in London's parks, while men made much longer trips and often occupied roads outside the city.
It is essential that any highly trained cyclist optimize his or her pedaling movement to maximize performance and minimize the risk of injury. Current techniques are based on the assembly of bicycles and measurements with laboratory tests. These techniques do not allow to evaluate the cyclist's kinematics in real scenarios during training and competition when fatigue can alter the cyclist's ability to apply forces to the pedals and thus induce a poorly adapted load on


Fig. 1. Cycle computer (Specialized Speed Zone Sport Wireless) receives data from a sensor mounted on the suspension fork. [10]
the joint. [9] proposes a solution based on wireless motion sensor nodes for the body area that can collaboratively process sensory information and provide cyclists with immediate, realtime information on pedaling motion to determine the actual condition of the lower extremity segments of the cyclist in real-life situations. Knee and ankle angles, which influence performance, and the risk of injury from overuse during pedaling are measured. The system offers to estimate the energy consumption and determines the possible improvements and the aspects of usability found.

## III. Cycling Performance

In all sports exist different ways to measure training performance. In other sports perceiving this improvement can be subjective; in cycling, there are objective methods that can give reliable data about the performance of cyclists.

There are cycle computers and mobile applications that record interesting data about bicycle rides such as distance traveled, average speed, maximum speed, pedaling power, total time, cadence, heart rate, and so on.

## A. Cycle Computer (speed sensor)

In addition to data collected from the mobile cycling applications, we decided to have a different reference about distance, time and speed, for this reason, we used a traditional speed sensor. A cycle computer can help us to accurately measure the right length and speed of the route where we made the tests. Fig. 1 shows the cycle computer (Specialized Speed Zone Sport Wireless) used to validate some measurements such as speed, distance and time.

A magnet placed on a cycle wheel spoke and a sensor mounted on the suspension fork, then the sensor sends data to a cycle computer. Figure 2 illustrates each time the magnet passes a sensor placed on the suspension fork generates a signal. The cycle computer measures the time between those signals and works out how fast the cyclist is pedaling, based on the wheel dimension we gave it on initial set up.
From this measurement, the cycle computer can also work out a whole range of information including distance, average


Fig. 2. Magnet on a cycle wheel spoke and sensor mounted on the right suspension fork measure when the wheel makes a spin. [10]
speed, ride time and maximum speed. Depending on the designer's choices it may also have features like auto on/off, pausing the stopwatch, and different types of timing and average speed.

As we mentioned, in particular, this cycle computer measures values such us: speed, average speed, maximum speed, cadence, ride time, and ride/trip distance.
It is crucial the sensor accurately measures the right distance, so we must configure the cycle computer by entering the wheel circumference size into the setting. Calculating wheel circumference using two ways:

1) If it is known wheel diameter, multiply it by $\pi$ (pi) to find the circumference. For example, a wheel with a 27.5 inches diameter ( 69.85 cm .) will have a circumference of $27.5 \times 3.14159=86.3937979737$ inches $=2,194.41$ mm (millimeters).
2) Mark the tire and the ground where they meet. Roll bicycle forward full revolution and mark the point on the floor, then measure the distance in millimeters.
This measure introduced into the speed sensor gives us the certainty that the odometer counts with accuracy every spin given by the wheel, so distance and speed calculation made by the cycle computer is reliable.

## B. Mobile Applications for Bicycles (Bike Mobile Applications)

Popularizing smartphones appeared several cycling mobile applications, from highly analytical information tools to social networks applications. We analyze the most popular. Immediately when thinking about applications for cyclists, we come up with: Urban Biker, BikeComputer, Strava, Bike Computer, Runtastic, MapMyRide, Garmin Connect, Endomondo, and so on. These applications analyze training, facilitate sharing statistics and help improve performance; there are a large number of applications for cycling.

In this analysis, as previously mentioned, there are dozens of mobile cycling applications available for this purpose. Although we know a current list of the most useful cycling apps, four mobile applications were used in these workouts: Endomondo [11], MapMyRide [12], BikeComputer [13], and


Fig. 3. Four Cycling Mobile Track Applications analyzed.

Runtastic [14]. All of them offer users almost the same functions and features, register practices more or less accurately and allow sharing data through social networks. However, in this paper, we try to analyze some differences in performance. In Figure 3 is shown four mobile track applications.

1) Endomondo: Endomondo is a mobile cycling application with GPS; available for Android, IOS and Windows platforms. Endomondo is a social fitness network. Endomondo allows real-time track workouts; it measures distance, speed, altitude, and location because it uses GPS and Google maps. Endomondo has functions such as:

- Follow workout with GPS while riding a bicycle.
- Check duration, speed, distance or caloric expenditure.
- Get audio information about the distance and the rhythm every km.
- Manually registers workouts that we have not followed with GPS.
- Record of all workouts.
- View daily physical activity volume.
- Analyze performance in partial times.
- When sessions are finished, data is exported to GPX (GPS Exchange Format) files.
Endomondo has a web site where we can see workout's history, progress and much more. Endomondo is a free application that can be very useful for monitoring training or find extra motivation for activities. Fig. 4 shows the endomondo's main screen.

Endomondo has its website where we can see total kilometers traveled, workout duration, calories burned, average speed, and other data; Endomondo allows us to visualize on google map the route traveled and a graph with time, speed, and distance (Fig. 5).
2) MapMyRide: MapMyRide allows to see, search or create maps of favorite routes and destinations, print them or take them on our mobile device. Record the workouts, follow up on them and analyze the results to improve your training
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Fig. 4. Endomondo's main screen.


Fig. 5. Endomondo's website.
habits and motivate ourselves. Get in touch with other people, become part of a group or invite all your friends to train with us. The above and much more is what we find in this application. (See Fig. 6).

MapMyRide GPS Cycling also allows sharing of data through social networks (Facebook and Twitter). MapMyRide is free. When starting to create routes with MapMyRide, it is possible to do it in two ways. The first one is through its web interface, where we can select a location on a map and start plotting points, similarly what we would do in Google Maps. The second option to create routes is directly using mobile applications for Android or iPhone. In this way, the map draws along the path.

There is also the possibility of creating a training plan, for more advanced users or an exciting option is to create the


Fig. 6. MapMyRide's main screen.


Fig. 7. MapMyRide's website.
route and then publish journey times.
Other options of MapMyRide is to record calories burned, pace, depending on the weight, size or bicycle used including duration, elevation and route traveled. Fig. 7 depicts the MapMyRide website. All of this can be uploaded to the MapMyRide site for detailed analysis. Data generated by these apps stores in the GPX file format.
3) BikeComputer: BikeComputer is one of the most popular platforms used for tracking cycling performance. BikeComputer follow trips on the map, distance, speed, and all other relevant data. It also plans a route by setting points on the map, BikeComputer calculates track and distance. BikeComputer moves waypoints of the route using drag and drop functions, and it discovers new trails or unknown paths we have always wanted to try. BikeComputer shows an elevation profile for the planned route. When finishing a trip, it is possible to review this session and post data on social networks (Facebook,


Fig. 8. BikeComputer's main screen.

Twitter) and it also exports data as GPX.
Smartphone and computer platforms use BikeComputer, where users can see all data on a screen. All information is synced and available on site. Figure 8 shows the BikeComputer main screen.
BikeComputer is focused exclusively on the world of cycling. BikeComputer is designed to monitor routes every time a cyclist goes with the bicycle, being able to know thanks to GPS, kilometers traveled, speed in different segments, pedaling rhythm (pace or cadence), etc. Fig. 8 shows BikeComputer's main display.
4) Runtastic: Runtastic is a cycling application, that records fitness and cycling activities using GPS technology. It also plans a cycle route, records rides, monitors workouts. Runtastic offers some features as follows:

- Track GPS
- Measure distance, duration, speed, rhythm, calories burned
- View map
- Create tables (speed, elevation, heart rate)
- Generates training history
- Create table for lapses
- Share on social networks

Runtastic excels among other similar applications for simplicity when using it. Runtastic has a free application version. Once a workout is finished, data is exported to GPX file to be visualized and analyzed the collected data. In Fig. 10 is shown the Runtastic main display.

Runtastic also offers a web interface to view the collected data (See Fig. 11). Runtastic allows us to see history showing a list of all sessions, with a view to a month or a week. There is also an option of a website where users appear who at the same time are using Runtastic in the world and around us. If



Fig. 9. bikecomputer's website.


Fig. 10. Runtastic's main screen.
we have added friends, it is possible to see routines about my friends.

## IV. Experimental Setting

To carry out the experiments we chose some routes to ride a bike, it was also necessary to have a smartphone for installing the applications, and finally, a cyclist also needed.

One smartphone Samsung Galaxy S4 was used to make this bike ride, operating system Android, Samsung Galaxy

Fig. 11. Runtastic's website.

TABLE I
Total Number of Training

| Application | Workout |
| :--- | :--- |
| Endomondo | 31 |
| MapMyRide | 31 |
| BikeComputer | 31 |
| Runtastic | 31 |
| - Total - | 124 |

S4 has several sensors such as an accelerometer, geomagnetic compass, proximity, gyroscope, barometer, infrared, humidity, and temperature. Four mobile cycling applications mentioned above were installed on this Samsung Galaxy S4.
One mountain bike (MTB) was used to carry out our experiments, Specialized brand, model Hardrock Disc 650b, wheel size 27.5 inches ( 69.85 cm .), frame constructed from A1 Premium Aluminum, seven rear sprockets and three chainrings.

One cyclist participated in our experiments, male, 48 years old, 170 cm , and 82 Kg . All tests performed riding the same bicycle. Our experiments consisted of two hundred and nineteen rides or workouts; Table I summarizes the total number of exercises, corresponding to two different routes. Our cyclist performed each trip in approximately 50 minutes, 20 km , average speed $23.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, and 800 Kcal .

Every mobile bike application analyzed has a particular way to $\log$ a workout, Table II shows the average rows by datasets, this number of rows depends on the sample that the application records in the GPX file.

TABLE II
Average Rows per Datasets

| Application | Average Rows |
| :--- | :--- |
| Endomondo | 493 |
| MapMyRide | 673 |
| BikeComputer | 1400 |
| Runtastic | 965 |

TABLE III
Bike Routes where our Workouts were Ridden. Villahermosa, Tabasco, Mexico

| Route | Location | Distance (Km) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | Lomas del dorado - Sabina - Ixtacomitan | 20.00 |
| B | CD Deportiva VHSA | 1.94 |



Fig. 12. (Left) Route D. CD Deportiva VHSA. (Right) Route A. Lomas del dorado - Sabina - Ixtacomitan. As we can notice, each map has points to signal 1 kilometer [10].

We collected the datasets from two different routes (Table III). We collected data from many workouts (rides from mobile applications) corresponding to two different paths. For our analysis, first of all, we are going to study the 1.94 km route, since it is a controlled scenario where the trip is labeled every 100 m .

To analyze in particular our workouts, we chose two routes, the first one, a path with fixed measures, a circuit 1.94 km length; the second one, a route 20.00 km length.

In this study we analyzed two routes only. The first one consists of 20 laps on the circuit of 1.94 km . (Route D. CD Deportiva VHSA. Fig. 12 (Left)), this route is a controlled scenario, where the exact distance is previously known, it is an oval form with two slight slopes at the ends. The second one is a trip of 20.00 km . (Route A. Lomas del dorado - Sabina - Ixtacomitan. Fig. 12 (Right)), this route contains a descent of 52 m and a length of 150 m ; on the other hand, there is also an ascent of 400 m (approximately) and a length of 66 m , both descent and ascent certainly influence the cyclist's performance.

## V. Cycle Computer vs. Bike Mobile Applications COMPARISON

We used two measures (distance and average speed) for establishing the accuracy between the speedometer and the mobile bike applications.

## A. Distance Analysis

To verify the accuracy of the cycle computer was tested on the route D since it has precisely calculated 1.94 km and it is printed on the traffic sign of route $D$; it can be said that it adjusts $1,940 \mathrm{~m}$. And this measure was checked with the cycle computer, that also recorded $1,940 \mathrm{~m}$.

After checking the distance on route D , a workout was ridden on this route. Talking only about distance traveled. In the ride of 20 laps on route D , the cycle computer recorded 20.63 Km , while the other applications recorded 20.27 km
(Endomondo), 20.63 km (MapMyRide), 20.18 km (BikeComputer), and 20.20 km (Runtastic). In Table IV it is noticed slight differences of some meters in the same applications. There are also some differences between data collected by mobile applications concerning data collected by the cycle computer. Table IV summary results.

Another workout to analyze is a trip of 20.00 Km (route A. Lomas del dorado - Sabina - Ixtacomitan). In this workout, our cyclist stopped his bike just when the cycle computer recorded 20.00 km . Considering these measurements, we see that three out of four applications failed to adjust 20.00 km ; instead, Endomondo recorded 19.80 Km, Bikecomputer recorded 19.94 Km, and Runtastic recorded 19.72 Km , while MapMyRide filed exactly 20.00 km . We can see small differences between data recorded by the cycle computer and data logged by the mobile applications. Other measures documented in the bike ride are displayed in Table V. It is noticed slight differences in some meters in the same applications. According to data in Table V here there are also differences in meters, but these are smaller than the difference in distance shown in Table IV.

However, in another workout when our cyclist stopped his bike until all applications had crossed 20.00 km , it can be seen that three out of four applications had passed several extra meters after 20.00 km , while the cycle computer recorded 20.53 km . Table VI summarizes this workout. Understanding these measurements the mobile applications analyzed registered more than 20.00 Km to reach the threshold of 20.00 Km , the distance recorded by the applications are not very accurate.

## B. Speed Analysis

The following figures were plotted and analyzed by ibpindex ${ }^{2}$ to standardize all collected data; these graphs use speed and time values only.

Twenty laps on route D , the average speed on the cycle computer recorded $23.80 \mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{h}$, Endomondo recorded $23.90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, MapMyRide recorded $23.90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, BikeComputer recorded $23.90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, and Runtastic recorded an average speed of 23.28 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$. There are slight differences between data collected by the cycle computer and data collected by mobile applications. Fig. 13 plots the behavior in time and speed values. The horizontal axis represents time in seconds, and the vertical axes depict speed. The plots compare speed and time values.

We notice a repetitive pattern in speed since the 20 laps were made on the same circuit, as we mentioned above, the curves of the elliptical shape of route D (Fig. 12 Left) has descents, while in the straight parts, the track has terrain flat. Therefore, our mobile applications have a very similar pattern. The graph that shows significant differences is Runtastic because the number of events recorded is 965 rows in its dataset (See Table II). In this ride the arithmetic mean is $23.76 \mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{h}$.

In the trip of 20.00 Km (route A. Lomas del dorado - Sabina - Ixtacomitan) our cyclist recorded an average speed using the cycle computer about $24.10 \mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{h}$, while Endomondo recorded an average speed of $23.72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, MapMyRide obtained 23.50

[^0]TABLE IV
Workout Summary. Route D-CD Deportiva VhSA, Circuit Distance $=1.94 \mathrm{Km}$, Laps $=10$, Total Distance $=20.63 \mathrm{KM}$. And Date $=$ Nov/01/2017.

| Application | Devices | Max Speed <br> $\mathbf{K m} / \mathbf{h}$ | Avg Speed <br> $\mathbf{K m} / \mathbf{h}$ | Time <br> Minutes | Distance <br> $\mathbf{K m}$ | Cadence <br> $\mathbf{M i n} / \mathbf{K m}$ | Calories <br> $\mathbf{K c a l}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SpeedZone Sport Wireless | Sensor | 34.30 | 23.80 | 51.57 | 20.63 | - |  |
| Endomondo | App | 35.32 | 23.90 | 50.53 | 20.27 | 2.31 | 710 |
| MapMyRide | App | 41.60 | 23.90 | 51.45 | 20.63 | - | 866 |
| BikeComputer | App | 41.40 | 23.90 | 51.24 | 20.18 | - | - |
| Runtastic | App | 41.40 | 23.28 | 52.04 | 20.20 | 2.34 | 1265 |
|  |  | 38.80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 3.6647 | 23.76 | 51.37 | 20.38 | 2.33 |  |  |
| Standard Deviation | 13.43 .01 | 0.2696 | 0.5518 | 0.2288 | 0.0212 |  |  |
| Variance |  | 41.40 | 23.90 | 0.3044 | 0.0524 | 0.0004 |  |
| Median |  | 51.45 | 20.27 | 2.33 |  |  |  |

TABLE V
Training Summary. Route A. Lomas del dorado - Sabina - Ixtacomitan. 20.00 km , Final Distance travelled 20.00 km, on November 082017.

| Application | Devices | Max Speed <br> $\mathbf{K m} / \mathbf{h}$ | Avg Speed <br> $\mathbf{K m} / \mathbf{h}$ | Time <br> Minutes | Distance <br> Km | Cadence <br> Min/Km | Calories <br> $\mathbf{K c a l}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SpeedZone Sport Wireless | Sensor | 45.50 | 24.10 | 49.42 | 20.00 | - |  |
| Endomondo | App | 38.75 | 23.72 | 50.05 | 19.80 | 2.32 |  |
| MapMyRide | App | 46.00 | 23.50 | 51.03 | 20.00 | 2.28 | 89 |
| BikeComputer | App | 45.00 | 24.10 | 49.34 | 19.94 | 2.29 | - |
| Runtastic | App | 43.95 | 23.47 | 50.24 | 19.72 | 2.33 | 1240 |
|  |  | 43.86 | 23.78 |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 2.9592 | 0.3094 | 0.02 | 19.89 | 2.31 |  |  |
| Standard Deviation | 8.7568 | 0.0957 | 0.4729 | 0.1262 | 0.0238 |  |  |
| Variance | 45.00 | 23.72 | 50.05 | 0.0159 | 0.0006 |  |  |
| Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE VI
Training Summary. Route A. Lomas del dorado - Sabina - Ixtacomitan. Distance 20.00 km , Final Distance travelled a little more than 20.00 Km, on Nov 062017.

| Application | Devices | Max Speed Km/h | Avg Speed Km/h | Time Minutes | Distance Km | Cadence $\mathbf{M i n} / \mathbf{K m}$ | Calories Kcal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SpeedZone Sport Wireless | Sensor | 41.60 | 23.70 | 51.50 | 20.53 | - | - |
| Endomondo | App | 37.28 | 23.86 | 50.29 | 20.07 | 2.31 | 704 |
| MapMyRide | App | 39.15 | 23.84 | 51.33 | 20.48 | 2.31 | 858 |
| BikeComputer | App | 41.40 | 24.38 | 49.57 | 20.15 | 2.27 | - |
| Runtastic | App | 40.05 | 23.64 | 50.49 | 20.02 | 2.34 | 1263 |
| Mean |  | 39.90 | 23.88 | 50.64 | 20.25 | 2.31 |  |
| Standard Deviation |  | 1.7741 | 0.2924 | 0.7914 | 0.2380 | 0.0287 |  |
| Variance |  | 3.1473 | 0.0855 | 0.6264 | 0.0567 | 0.0008 |  |
| Median |  | 40.05 | 23.84 | 50.49 | 20.15 | 2.31 |  |

$\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$, BikeComputer recorded an average speed of 24.10 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$, and Runtastic recorded an average speed of $23.47 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. In summary, the arithmetic means value was $23.78 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. We also observe small differences between data collected by mobile applications concerning data collected by the cycle computer. Fig. 14 plots the behavior in time and speed values.

Graphs in Fig. 14 show a clear pattern, since route A (Fig. 12 Right) is usually flat, except for a significant descent (From Km 18 to Km 19 ), route A has some curves and several straight segments. Similarly to the previous graph (Fig. 13) Runtastic graph differ slightly concerning Endomondo, MapMyRide, and BikeComputer, these last graphs are almost equal to each other.

When riding route A (Lomas del dorado - Sabina - Ixtacomitan) our cyclist ride recorded an average speed about $23.70 \mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{h}$ using a cycle computer; while in the other applications our cyclist recorded an average speed of $23.86 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
(Endomondo), an average speed of $23.84 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (MapMyRide), an average speed of $24.38 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (BikeComputer). Finally, Runtastic recorded an average speed of $23.64 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The arithmetic mean was $23.88 \mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{h}$. We can see very slight differences between data collected by the mobile applications and data collected by the cycle computer. Fig. 15 plots the behavior in time and speed values.

Similar to the graphs in Fig. 14, the graphs in Fig. 15 have a pattern. As we mentioned before, route A (Fig. 12 Right) is almost flat. Route A has a descent only; it has curves and straight segments. Similarly to the previous graph (Fig. 14) Endomondo and Runtastic graphs are different slightly concerning MapMyRide and BikeComputer, MapMyRide and BikeComputer present almost the same behavior in terms of lines.


Fig. 13. Endomondo, MapMyRide, BikeComputer, and Runtastic workout route and graphic (analyzed and graphed by ibpindex). Sample experiment at 20.00 km. The plots shows speed and time values of route D - CD Deportiva VHSA. November 12017.

## VI. DISCUSSION

All training registered in the datasets keep knowledge, at least it is possible to exploit them with data analysis tools.

As we have seen, the analyzed applications are not very accurate; theses present very slight differences. In all the routes analyzed (about 20 km ), in the most cases the differences in distances were 100 m or more; however, their measurements are not so far in terms of average speed, these measurements keep an approximation of the values recorded.

Sometimes appear subjective aspects that can not be registered in workout $\log$ files. Some workouts have records that travel the same distance in less time since it is the same route, it is the same cyclist, it is the same bicycle, and in general, it is the same scenario. We know the wind at our back plays an important role to get good records, however, subjectively and hypothetically, sometimes a dog persecutes to a cyclist
motivate him to apply more force into the pedal and therefore, the final time record decreases.

This paper contributes to the decision-making process when a cyclist must select an application for installing to have and share data such as speed, distance, cadence and burned calories along their routes. Our research objective was achieved by comparing the values obtained with four different applications compared to a conventional cycle computer.

One of the findings obtained is that although each application offers efficient measurements, it was proved that the distance traveled parameter (obtained using GPS from a smartphone), it had inconsistencies between one application and another in a range of 100 to 200 meters. On the contrary, speed values recorded by these applications are very close to those obtained by the speedometer.

Another aspect that can be improved, it is to have a reference application that is widely validated by its quality and


Fig. 14. Endomondo, MapMyRide, BikeComputer, and Runtastic workout route and graphic (analyzed and graphed by ibpindex). Sample experiment at 20.00 km. The plots shows speed and time values of route A - Lomas del dorado - Sabina - Ixtacomitan. November 62017.
accuracy in results obtained from measurements, so that it is useful to know the differences in the applications evaluated, concerning the reference application.

## VII. Conclusions

The main contribution of this work has been to develop measurements of four mobile applications for cycling. In this paper a comparison among mobile applications for cycling was made, differences in accuracy of distance, speed, and time; similarly, those measurements were compared against the measurements provided by a speedometer.

These four mobile applications for cycling use Google Maps to visualize and calculate travel, something important to emphasize since it influences when taking into account that
the GPS of the phone works on the same basis in the distances and terrain.
The applications analyzed measured similar distance; GPS installed on the smartphone calculated the measurement. Finally, it is the same for all applications. Taking into account that all applications were activated stopped and at the same time, it turns out that some applications measure a few meters less than others in sometimes and sometimes measure a few meters more than others.
The results obtained from a series of experiments demonstrate that mobile bike applications based on GPS present differences in distance, p.e. when the speedometer shows 20.00 km , a bike mobile application only registers 19.72 km .

It is necessary to calculate the relationship between cadence


Fig. 15. Endomondo, MapMyRide, BikeComputer, and Runtastic workout route and graphic (analyzed and graphed by ibpindex). Sample experiment at 20.00 km. The plots shows speed and time values of Route A - Lomas del dorado - Sabina - Ixtacomitan. November 82017.
and power in pedaling so that cyclists know the appropriate moment to apply more force in their legs to improve torque. This paper shows tables and comparative graphs of monitoring and performance evaluation of cyclist's routes in four different mobile bike track applications.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ ibpindex is a website that analyses the difficulty of a mountain or road bike route. https://www.ibpindex.com/index.php/en/

