ORIGINAL SCIENTIFIC PAPER



Croat. Chem. Acta **2018**, *91*(3), 309–315 Published online: June 11, 2018 DOI: 10.5562/cca3291



# The Wiener Polarity Index of Benzenoid Systems and Nanotubes

Niko Tratnik

University of Maribor, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Koroška cesta 160, 2000 Maribor, Slovenia Author's e-mail address: niko.tratnik@um.si, niko.tratnik@gmail.com

RECEIVED: January 16, 2018 \* REVISED: April 30, 2018 \* ACCEPTED: May 9, 2018

Abstract: In this paper, we consider a molecular descriptor called the Wiener polarity index, which is defined as the number of unordered pairs of vertices at distance three in a graph. Molecular descriptors play a fundamental role in chemistry, materials engineering, and in drug design since they can be correlated with a large number of physico-chemical properties of molecules. As the main result, we develop a method for computing the Wiener polarity index for two basic and most commonly studied families of molecular graphs, benzenoid systems and carbon nanotubes. The obtained method is then used to find a closed formula for the Wiener polarity index of any benzenoid system. Moreover, we also compute this index for zig-zag and armchair nanotubes.

Keywords: Wiener polarity index, benzenoid system, zig-zag nanotube, armchair nanotube, cut method.

### INTRODUCTION

**B** ENZENOID systems (also called hexagonal systems) represent a mathematical model for molecules called benzenoid hydrocarbons and form one of the most important classes of chemical graphs.<sup>[1]</sup> Similarly, carbon nanotubes are carbon compounds with a cylindrical structure, first observed in 1991 by lijima.<sup>[2]</sup> Carbon nanotubes posses many unusual properties, which are valuable for nanotechnology, materials science and technology, electronics, and optics. They can be open-ended or closedended. Open-ended single-walled carbon nanotubes are also called tubulenes. In this paper, we model benzenoid hydrocarbons and tubulenes by graphs.

Theoretical molecular structure-descriptors (also called topological indices) are graph invariants that play an important role in chemistry, pharmaceutical sciences, and in materials science and engineering since they can be used to predict physico-chemical properties of organic compounds.<sup>[3]</sup> For example, molecular descriptors were used to study molecular complexity of benzenoid hydro-carbons<sup>[4]</sup> and to investigate the topological symmetry of some nanostructures.<sup>[5]</sup> The most commonly studied molecular descriptor is the Wiener index introduced in

1947,<sup>[6]</sup> which is defined as the sum of distances between all the pairs of vertices in a molecular graph. Wiener showed that the boiling points of alkanes are closely correlated with a linear combination of (what later become known as) the Wiener index and the Wiener polarity index. Further work on quantitative structure-activity relationships for the Wiener index showed that it is also correlated with other quantities, for example the parameters of its critical point,<sup>[7]</sup> the density, surface tension, and viscosity of its liquid phase.<sup>[8]</sup>

The Wiener polarity index of a graph is defined as the number of unordered pairs of vertices at distance three. As already mentioned, this index was first introduced in the same paper as the Wiener index.<sup>[6]</sup> Later, Lukovits and Linert<sup>[9]</sup> demonstrated quantitative structure-property relationships for the Wiener polarity index in a series of acyclic and cycle-containing hydrocarbons. Also, Hosoya found a physico-chemical interpretation for this index.<sup>[10]</sup> Furthermore, the Wiener polarity index is closely related to the Hosoya polynomial,<sup>[11]</sup> since it is exactly the coefficient before  $x^3$  in this polynomial. In recent years, a lot of research has been done in investigating the Wiener polarity index of trees<sup>[12,13]</sup> and unicyclic graphs.<sup>[14]</sup> Also, the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type results for this index were



considered.<sup>[15,16]</sup> Some other recent investigations on the Wiener polarity index include results about fullerene graphs,<sup>[17]</sup> generalizations of the Wiener polarity index,<sup>[18]</sup> and algorithms.<sup>[19]</sup> Furthermore, Behmaram et al.<sup>[20]</sup> expressed the Wiener polarity index by using the Zagreb indices<sup>[21,22]</sup> and by applying that result, formulas for fullerenes and catacondensed benzenoid systems were found. In this paper, we choose a different approach and express the index by the number of hexagons and the Wiener polarity indices of smaller graphs.

We proceed as follows. In the following section, some basic definitions and notations are introduced. Next, we develop a cut method for computing the Wiener polarity index of benzenoid systems and tubulenes. Finally, the main result is used to find the closed formulas for the Wiener polarity index of benzenoid systems, zig-zag tubulenes, and armchair tubulenes. For more details about the cut method see a survey paper by Klavžar and Nadjafi-Arani.<sup>[23]</sup> Some recent applications of this method include investigations of various molecular descriptors on benzenoid systems,<sup>[24,25]</sup> partial cubes,<sup>[26]</sup> and interconnection networks.<sup>[27]</sup>

#### PRELIMINARIES

A graph G is an ordered pair G = (V, E) of a set V of vertices (also called nodes or points) together with a set E of edges, which are 2-element subsets of V. For some basic concepts about graph theory see a book written by West.<sup>[28]</sup> Having a molecule, if we represent atoms by vertices and bonds by edges, we obtain a molecular graph. The graphs considered in this paper are always simple and finite. The distance  $d_G(x,y)$  between vertices x and y of a graph G is the length of a shortest path between vertices x and y in G. If there is no confusion, we also write d(x,y) for  $d_G(x,y)$ . Then the Wiener polarity index of a graph G, denoted by  $W_p(G)$ , is defined as

 $W_{p}(G) = |\{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G) \mid d_{G}(u,v) = 3\}|.$ 

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the hexagonal (graphite) lattice and let Z be a cycle on it. Then a *benzenoid system* G is induced by the vertices and edges of  $\mathcal{H}$ , lying on Z and in its interior, see Figure 1. In such a case, every hexagon lying in the interior of Z is called a *hexagon of benzenoid system* G. The number of all the hexagons of G will be denoted by h(G) and the number of vertices in cycle Z is denoted by |Z|. Moreover, a vertex of a benzenoid system G is called *internal* if it lies on exactly three hexagons of G.

An *elementary cut* of a benzenoid system G is a line segment that starts at the center of a peripheral (boundary) edge of a benzenoid system G, goes orthogonal to it and ends at the first next peripheral edge of G. In what follows, by an elementary cut we usually mean the set of all edges



Figure 1. Benzenoid system G with the boundary cycle Z.

that intersect the elementary cut. Note that all benzenoid system are also partial cubes, which are defined as isometric subgraphs of hypercubes (a subgraph *H* of *G* is *isometric* if for any two vertices  $x, y \in V(H)$  it holds  $d_H(x, y) = d_G(x, y)$ ) and represent a large class of graphs with a lot of applications. In particular, every elementary cut of a benzenoid system coincides with a O-class. Recall that two edges  $e_1 = u_1v_1$  and  $e_2 = u_2v_2$  of a connected graph *G* are in relation  $\Theta$ ,  $e_1\Theta e_2$ , if  $d_G(u_1, u_2) + d_G(v_1, v_2) \neq$  $d_G(u_1, v_2) + d_G(u_1, v_2)$  and that in a partial cube this relation is always transitive. For more details about  $\Theta$  relation and partial cubes see a book by Hammack et al.<sup>[29]</sup>

Next, we define open-ended carbon nanotubes, also called tubulenes,<sup>[30]</sup> which represent an important family of chemical graphs. Choose any lattice point in the infinite and regular hexagonal lattice as the origin *O*. Moreover, let *A* be a point in the hexagonal lattice such that the graph distance between *O* and *A* is an even number greater or equal to six. In addition, let  $\vec{a}_1$  and  $\vec{a}_2$  be the two basic lattice vectors (see Figure 2).

Obviously, there are integers n, m such that  $OA = n\vec{a}_1 + m\vec{a}_2$ . Draw two straight lines  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  passing through O and A perpendicular to OA, respectively. By rolling up the hexagonal strip between L<sub>1</sub> and L<sub>2</sub> and gluing  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  such that A and O superimpose, we can obtain a hexagonal tessellation  $\mathcal{HT}$  of the cylinder.  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ indicate the direction of the axis of the cylinder. Using the terminology of graph theory, a tubulene G is defined to be the finite graph induced by all the hexagons of  $\mathcal{HT}$  that lie between  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are two vertex-disjoint cycles of  $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{T}$  encircling the axis of the cylinder. Any such hexagon (between  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ ) is called a hexagon of a tubulene G and the number of these hexagons will be denoted by h(G). The vector OA is called a *chiral vector* of G and the cycles  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are the two open-ends of G. For any tubulene G, if its chiral vector is  $n\vec{a}_1 + m\vec{a}_2$ , G is called an (*n*,*m*)-type tubulene, see Figure 2.

**Remark 2.1.** Sometimes, the definition of a tubulene does not contain the requirement that the graph distance between O and A is at least six (but very often, some other condition on n and m is added). However, if this distance is





**Figure 2.** A tubulene of type (4,–3) with two basic lattice vectors.

four (or even less), it can happen that two distinct hexagons have two common edges, which is not usual for the considered molecules. Moreover, by including such a requirement, a tubulene can not contain a cycle of length four (or less), which is essentially used in Theorem 3.5.

# A CUT METHOD FOR BENZENOID SYSTEMS AND TUBULENES

To develop a cut method for the Wiener polarity index, some definitions and lemmas are first needed. Obviously, in the regular hexagonal lattice there are exactly three directions of edges. Let  $E_{1'}$ ,  $E_{2'}$ , and  $E_{3'}$  be the sets of edges of the same direction. Moreover, if *G* is a benzenoid system or a tubulene (drawn in the hexagonal lattice), we define  $E_i(G) = E_{i'} \cap E(G)$  and often use  $E_i$  instead of  $E_i(G)$ , where  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ . One can notice that for a benzenoid system the set  $E_i$  is the set of all elementary cuts in a given direction. For our consideration it is important to observe that for any benzenoid system or a tubulene *G* it holds:

- (i) any two hexagons of G have at most one edge in common (see Remark 2.1),
- (*ii*) on any hexagon of *G* there are exactly two edges from  $E_1$ , two edges from  $E_2$ , and two edges from  $E_3$ ,
- (iii) if  $e, e' \in E_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , are two distinct edges, then they have no vertex in common.

In the rest of the paper we will denote by  $G - E_i$ ,  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ , the graph obtained from G by deleting all the

edges from  $E_i$ . Also, for  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$  let  $C_i(G)$  (or simply  $C_i$ ) be the set of all connected components of the graph  $G - E_i$ . Furthermore, let  $C = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** If G is a benzenoid system, then every connected component of  $G - E_i$ ,  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ , is a path on at least three vertices.

**Proof.** It is obvious that every connected component is a path. Since every elementary cut goes through two opposite edges of a hexagon, every component must have at least three vertices.

However, in the case of tubulenes a connected component can be a cycle (see, for example, zig-zag tubulenes from Figure 4).

**Lemma 3.2.** If G is a tubulene, then every connected component of  $G - E_i$ ,  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ , is a path or a cycle.

**Proof.** All vertices of degree three in *G* have exactly one incident edge in  $E_j$  for every  $j \in \{1,2,3\}$ . Therefore, after deleting all the edges of  $E_i$  from *G*, every vertex of  $G - E_i$  has degree at most two. Therefore, every connected component of  $G - E_i$  is a path or a cycle.

A hexagon *H* of the hexagonal lattice  $\mathcal{H}$  is called *external* for a benzenoid system *G* if *H* is not a hexagon of *G* but  $E(h) \cap E(G) \neq \emptyset$ . Similarly, a hexagon *H* of the hexagonal tessellation  $\mathcal{HT}$  is called *external* for a tubulene *G* if *H* is not a hexagon of *G* but  $E(h) \cap E(G) \neq \emptyset$ .

If *H* is an external hexagon of a benzenoid system or a tubulene *G*, we notice that a largest connected component of the intersection  $h \cap G$  is always a path. Especially important are external hexagons for which such a component is a path on at least four vertices. Therefore, the set of all external hexagons *H* for which the largest connected component of  $h \cap G$  is a path  $P_4$  will be denoted as  $H_1(G)$ . Also, the set  $H_2(G)$  (or  $H_3(G)$ ) is defined as the set of all external hexagons of *G* for which the largest connected component of  $h \cap G$  is a path  $P_5$  (or  $P_6$ ).

Moreover, we denote the cardinality of  $H_k(G)$  by  $h_k(G)$ , i.e.,  $h_k(G) = |H_k(G)|$  for  $k \in \{1,2,3\}$ .

In Figure 3 we can see a benzenoid system G, where hexagons of G are coloured grey and external hexagons of G are white. Obviously,  $h \in H_1(G)$ ,  $h' \in H_2(G)$ , and  $h'' \in H_3(G)$ .

In order to develop a cut method for the Wiener polarity index, we next study the structure of shortest paths of length three.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let *G* be a benzenoid system or a tubulene and let *P* be a shortest path of length three in *G* such that  $E(P) \cap E_i \neq \emptyset$  for any  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ . Then all vertices and edges of *P* lie on a hexagon of *G* or on an external hexagon of *G*.







**Proof.** Denote the edges of *P* by  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ , and  $e_3$  such that  $e_1$  is incident with  $e_2$  and  $e_2$  is incident with  $e_3$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $e_i \in E_i$  for any  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ . Obviously, there is exactly one hexagon *H*, which is a hexagon of *G* or an external hexagon of *G*, such that  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  belong to *H*. Let *v* be an end-point of  $e_2$  such that *v* is not an end-point of  $e_1$  and let *e* be an edge of *H* such that *e* is incident with  $e_2$  and  $e \neq e_1$ . Since edges of any hexagon alternative-ly belong to  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ , and  $E_3$ , it follows that  $e \in E_3$ . Therefore, since no two edges from  $E_3$  are incident, it follows that  $e = e_3$  and the proof is complete.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let *G* be a benzenoid system or a tubulene and let *P* be a shortest path of length three in *G*. Then exactly one of the following two statements holds:

- (i) P belongs to exactly one hexagon, which is a hexagon of G or an external hexagon of G,
- (ii) there exists exactly one  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$  such that P belongs to exactly one connected component of  $G E_i$ .

Proof. If two edges e and e' are incident, they can not both belong to the set  $E_i$ ,  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ . Therefore, the edges of Pcan not all belong to one set  $E_i$ . Hence, we have two possibilities:

- If E(P) ∩ E<sub>i</sub> ≠ Ø for any i ∈ {1,2,3}, then by Lemma
  3.3. P belongs to (exactly) one hexagon of G or to one external hexagon of G.
- If there exists  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$  such that  $E(P) \cap E_i = \emptyset$ (note that such *i* is unique), then all vertices and edges of *P* belong to the graph  $G - E_i$ . Since *P* is connected, it belongs to exactly one component of  $G - E_i$ .

Therefore, the proof is complete.

Finally, we are able to prove the main result of this section, which enables us to compute the Wiener polarity

index of a benzenoid system or a tubulene.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let G be a benzenoid system or a tubulene. Then

$$W_p(G) = 3h(G) + h_1(G) + 2h_2(G) + 3h_3(G) + \sum_{C \in C} W_p(C)$$

**Proof.** Denote by V' the set of all unordered pairs of vertices  $\{u,v\}$  such that u,v lie on the same hexagon of G and d(u,v) = 3. Similarly, denote by V'' the set of all unordered pairs of vertices  $\{u,v\}$  such that u,v lie on the same external hexagon of G and d(u,v) = 3. Moreover, let V''' be the set of all unordered pairs of vertices  $\{u,v\}$  such that d(u,v) = 3 and u,v do not lie on the same hexagon. It follows

$$W_{n}(G) = |V'| + |V''| + |V'''|$$

Obviously, for any hexagon of *G*, there are three unordered pairs of vertices on *H* at distance three. On the other hand, if for two vertices *u*, *v* on the same hexagon it holds d(u,v) = 3, then by Lemma 3.4. this hexagon is unique. Therefore, |V'| = 3h(G).

If  $h \in H_1(G)$ , there is exactly one pair of vertices  $u, v \in V(h) \cap V(G)$  at distance three. If  $h \in H_2(G)$  we have two such pairs and for  $h \in H_3(G)$  there are exactly three such pairs. Hence,  $|V''| = h_1(G) + 2h_2(G) + 3h_3(G)$ .

If u, v are vertices at distance three that do not lie on the same hexagon, then by Lemma 3.4. they belong to exactly one connected component of  $G - E_i$ , where  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ . For the contrary, let u, v belong to exactly one connected component C of  $G - E_i$  for some  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ and  $d_c(u,v) = 3$ . We will show that  $d_G(u,v) = 3$ . Consider two cases:

- If G is a benzenoid system, it obviously follows d<sub>G</sub>(u,v) = 3 since there is only one shortest path between u and v in G.
- If G is a tubulene, it could happen that  $d_G(u,v) = 1$  (the distance must be odd since every tubulene is a bipartite graph).<sup>[31]</sup> In such a case, u and v are adjacent with an edge and we obtain a cycle of length four in G, which is a contradiction by Remark 2.1. Therefore,  $d_G(u,v) = 3$ .

Since  $d_G(u,v) = 3$  and u, v belong to one connected component of  $G - E_i$ , they can not both belong to one hexagon. Hence,

$$|V'''| = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} W_p(C)$$

and we are finished.

The previous result enables us to reduce the problem of computing the Wiener polarity index of *G* to the problem of computing the Wiener polarity indices of paths



or cycles, which is trivial. In particular, if  $P_n$  is a path on  $n \ge 1$  vertices, then

$$W_{p}(P_{n}) = \begin{cases} 0, & n = 1,2 \\ n-3, & n \ge 3 \end{cases}$$
(1)

and if  $C_n$  is a cycle on  $n \ge 3$  vertices, we have

$$W_{p}(C_{n}) = \begin{cases} 0, & n = 3, 4, 5 \\ 3, & n = 6 \\ n, & n \ge 7. \end{cases}$$
(2)

# A CLOSED FORMULA FOR BENZENOID SYSTEMS

In this section we focus on benzenoid system and use the developed cut method to obtain a closed formula for the Wiener polarity index of an arbitrary benzenoid system. Throughout the section we denote by  $\alpha_i(G)$ ,  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ , the number of elementary cuts of a benzenoid system *G* that contain only edges from  $E_i$  (the elementary cuts in a given direction). First, three auxiliary results are needed.

**Lemma 4.1.**<sup>[32]</sup> Let G be a benzenoid system with  $n_i(G)$ internal vertices. Then  $n(G) = 4h(G) + 2 - n_i(G)$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** Let *G* be a benzenoid system with the boundary cycle *Z*. Then  $|Z| = 2(\alpha_1(G) + \alpha_2(G) + \alpha_3(G))$ .

**Proof.** Obviously, every elementary cut of *G* intersects the boundary cycle *Z* exactly twice. Since the number of elementary cuts is  $\alpha_1(G) + \alpha_2(G) + \alpha_3(G)$ , we have that the number of edges in *Z* is  $2(\alpha_1(G) + \alpha_2(G) + \alpha_3(G))$ . Hence, the result follows.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let G be a benzenoid system. Then the graph  $G - E_i$ ,  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ , has exactly  $\alpha_i(G) + 1$  connected components.

**Proof.** When all the edges from one elementary cut are deleted, the obtained graph has exactly two connected components. Therefore, if we delete elementary cuts one by one, at every step we get one additional component. After deleting all the elementary cuts we have  $\alpha_i(G) + 1$  connected components.

Note that Lemma 4.3. also follows from the fact that the *quotient graph*  $T_i$ , which has connected components of  $G - E_i$  as vertices, two such components being adjacent whenever there is an edge in  $E_i$  connecting them, is always a tree.<sup>[33]</sup> In such a tree, every vertex represents a connected component of  $G - E_i$  and every edge represents an elementary cut in direction  $E_i$ .

Finally, we are able to show the main result of this section.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let G be a benzenoid system. Then  $W_p(G) =$  $9h(G) + h_1(G) + 2h_2(G) + 3h_3(G) - 6.$ 

**Proof.** Let  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ . Since by Lemma 4.3. the graph  $G - E_i$  has exactly  $\alpha_i(G) + 1$  connected components, we denote by  $n_1^i, \ldots, n_{\alpha_i(G)+1}^i$  the number of vertices in the connected components of  $G - E_i$ . Obviously

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\alpha_i(G)+1} n_j^i = n(G).$$
 (3)

By Theorem 3.5.,

$$\begin{split} W_{\rho}(G) &= 3h(G) + h_{1}(G) + 2h_{2}(G) + 3h_{3}(G) \\ &+ \sum_{P \in \mathcal{C}_{1}} W_{\rho}(P) + \sum_{P \in \mathcal{C}_{2}} W_{\rho}(P) + \sum_{P \in \mathcal{C}_{3}} W_{\rho}(P). \end{split}$$

Since any  $P \in C_i$ ,  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ , has at least three vertices (see Lemma 3.1.), by Equation (1). and Equation (3) we get

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{C}_i} W_p(P) = \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha_i(G)+1} (n_j^i - 3) = n(G) - 3(\alpha_i(G) + 1).$$

By inserting this into the previous equation we deduce  $\label{eq:bound}$ 

$$W_{p}(G) = 3h(G) + h_{1}(G) + 2h_{2}(G) + 3h_{3}(G) + 3n(G)$$
$$-3(\alpha_{1}(G) + \alpha_{2}(G) + \alpha_{3}(G)) - 9$$

and then, using Lemma 4.2., one can obtain

$$W_{p}(G) = 3h(G) + h_{1}(G) + 2h_{2}(G) + 3h_{3}(G) + 3n(G) - \frac{3 |Z|}{2} - 9.$$

Obviously, the number of internal vertices of *G* is exactly n(G) - |Z|. Hence, Lemma 4.1. implies |Z| = 2n(G) - 4h(G) - 2. Inserting this in the previous equation we finally get

$$W_{p}(G) = 9h(G) + h_{1}(G) + 2h_{2}(G) + 3h_{3}(G) - 6$$

which finishes the proof.

Note the the number of hexagons of a benzenoid system can be obtained from its boundary edges code.<sup>[34]</sup> Therefore, by Theorem 4.4. the Wiener polarity index of a benzenoid system can be determined by the shape of its boundary. To show how Theorem 4.4. can be used, we demonstrate it on one simple example. Let *G* be a benzenoid system from Figure 3. We can immediately see that h(G) = 8 and  $h_1(G) = h_2(G) = h_3(G) = 1$ . Therefore, by Theorem 4.4. we obtain

$$W_{p}(G) = 9 \cdot 8 + 1 + 2 \cdot 1 + 3 \cdot 1 - 6 = 72.$$



# THE WIENER POLARITY INDEX OF ZIG-ZAG AND ARMCHAIR TUBULENES

The aim of this section is to use the obtained cut method to calculate closed formulas for the Wiener polarity index of zig-zag and armchair tubulenes.

#### Zig-zag Tubulenes

If G is a (n,m)-type tubulene where n = 0 or m = 0, we call it a *zig-zag tubulene*. Let G be a zig-zag tubulene such that  $c_1, c_2$  are the shortest possible cycles encircling the axis of the cylinder (see Figure 4). If G has r layers of hexagons, each containing exactly h hexagons, then we denote it by ZT(r,h), i.e., G = ZT(r,h). We always assume  $r \ge 1$  and  $h \ge 3$  (see Remark 2.1.).

Obviously, the graph  $G - E_1$  has r + 1 connected components, each isomorphic to a cycle on 2h vertices. Moreover, the graph  $G - E_2$  has h connected components, each isomorphic to a path on 2r + 2 vertices, and the same holds for the graph  $G - E_3$ . Also, we notice that  $h_1(G) = h_2(G) = h_3(G) = 0$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.5. we obtain

$$W_{p}(ZT(r,h)) = 3rh + (r+1)W_{p}(C_{2h}) + 2hW_{p}(P_{2r+2}).$$

Using Equation (1) and Equation (2) we conclude

$$W_{p}(ZT(r,h)) = \begin{cases} 24r-3, & h=3\\ 9rh, & h \ge 4. \end{cases}$$



**Figure 4.** Zig-zag tubulene ZT(3,4) with three directions of edges ( $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ , and  $E_3$ ).

#### Armchair Tubulenes

If G is a (n,m)-type tubulene where n = m, we call it an *armchair tubulene*. Let G be an armchair tubulene such that  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are the shortest possible cycles encircling the axis of the cylinder and such that there is the same number of hexagons in every column of hexagons (see Figure 5). If G has r vertical columns of hexagons, each containing exactly h hexagons, then we denote it by AT(r,h), i.e., G = AT(r,h). Obviously, r must be an even number. Note that AT(r,h) is a  $(\frac{r}{2}, \frac{r}{2})$ -type tubulene. Furthermore, we always assume  $h \ge 1$  and  $r \ge 4$  (see Remark 2.1.).

First, we observe  $h_1(G) = r$  and  $h_2(G) = h_3(G) = 0$ . Also, it is not difficult to see that the graph  $AT(r,h) - E_1$  has exactly  $\frac{r}{2}$  connected components, each isomorphic to a path on at least three vertices, see Figure 6.

Denote the number of vertices in these components by  $n_1, ..., n_L$ . Therefore, by Equation (1) we get

$$\sum_{P \in C_1} W_p(P) = (n_1 + \dots + n_{\frac{r}{2}}) - \frac{3r}{2} = |V(AT(r,h))| - \frac{3r}{2}$$
$$= r(2h+2) - \frac{3r}{2}$$

and the same result holds for  $AT(r,h) - E_3$ . On the other hand, the graph  $G - E_2$  has exactly r connected components, each isomorphic to a path on 2h + 2 vertices. Therefore, by Equation (1)

$$\sum_{P\in \mathcal{C}_2} W_p(P) = r(2h-1).$$

Finally, using Theorem 3.5. we conclude

$$W_{p}(AT(r,h)) = 9rh + r.$$



**Figure 5.** Armchair tubulene AT(6,4) with three directions of edges ( $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ , and  $E_3$ ). Curves  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are joined together.





**Figure 6.** The bold edges in G = AT(6,4) represent a connected component of the graph  $G - E_1$ .

## CONCLUSION

In the paper we developed a new method for computing the Wiener polarity index for two classes of molecular graphs – benzenoid systems and nanotubes. Using this method, the Wiener polarity index can be obtained from the Wiener polarity indices of much simpler graphs and from some other parameters that are easy to calculate (the numbers of different types of hexagons). It is also shown how this method can be applied to calculate the closed formulas for the Wiener polarity index of benzenoid systems and special families of nanotubes.

**Acknowledgment.** The author was financially supported by the Slovenian Research Agency (research core funding No. P1-0297).

### REFERENCES

- I. Gutman, S. J. Cyvin, Introduction to the Theory of Benzenoid Hydrocarbons, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [2] S. lijima, Nature **1991**, 354, 56.
- [3] R. Todeschini, V. Consonni, Handbook of Molecular Descriptors, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000.
- [4] R. B. Mallion, P. Pollak, P. J. Skrzyński, Croat. Chem. Acta 2017, 90, 493.
- [5] C. L. Nagy, M. Stefu, M. V. Diudea, A. Dress, A. Müller, *Croat. Chem. Acta* **2004**, *77*, 457.
- [6] H. Wiener, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1947, 69, 17.
- [7] L. I. Stiel, G. Thodos, *AIChE J.* **1962**, *8*, 527.
- [8] D. H. Rouvray, B. C. Crafford, S. Afr. J. Sci. 1976, 72, 47.

- [9] I. Lukovits, W. Linert, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1998, 38, 715.
- H. Hosoya, Y. Gao in *Topology in Chemistry Discrete* Mathematics of Molecules (Eds.: D. H. Rouvray, R. B. King), Horwood, Chichester, **2002**, pp. 38–57.
- [11] H. Hosoya, Discrete Appl. Math. 1988, 19, 239.
- [12] A. R. Ashrafi, A. Ghalavand, Appl. Math. Comput. 2017, 313, 301.
- [13] H. Lei, T. Li, Y. Shi, H. Wang, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 2017, 78, 199.
- [14] H. Hou, B. Liu, Y. Huang, Appl. Math. Comput. 2012, 218, 10149.
- [15] H. Hua, K. C. Das, Appl. Math. Comput. 2016, 280, 162.
- [16] Y. Zhang, Y. Hu, Appl. Math. Comput. 2016, 273, 880.
- [17] H. Hua, M. Faghani, A. R. Ashrafi, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 2014, 71, 361.
- [18] A. Ilić, M. Ilić, Graphs Combin. 2013, 29, 1403.
- [19] A. Ilić, M. Ilić, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 2017, 78, 665.
- [20] A. Behmaram, H. Yousefi-Azari, A. R. Ashrafi, Appl. Math. Lett. 2012, 25, 1510.
- [21] I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1972, 17, 535.
- [22] I. Gutman, Croat. Chem. Acta 2013, 86, 351.
- [23] S. Klavžar, M. J. Nadjafi-Arani, Curr. Org. Chem. 2015, 19, 348.
- [24] M. Črepnjak, N. Tratnik, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 2017, 78, 675.
- [25] N. Tratnik, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 2017, 77, 393.
- [26] M. Črepnjak, N. Tratnik, Appl. Math. Comput. 2017, 309, 324.
- [27] S. Klavžar, P. Manuel, M. J. Nadjafi-Arani, R. Sundara Rajan, C. Grigorious, S. Stephen, *Comput. J.* 2016, 59, 1900.
- [28] D. B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1996.
- [29] R. Hammack, W. Imrich, S. Klavžar, Handbook of Product Graphs, Second Edition, RC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, 2011.
- [30] H. Sachs, P. Hansen, M. Zheng, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 1996, 33, 169.
- [31] N. Tratnik, P. Žigert Pleteršek, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **2015**, 74, 175.
- [32] I. Gutman, Teach. Math. 2007, 10, 1.
- [33] V. Chepoi, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1996, 36, 1169.
- [34] J. Kovič, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 2014, 72, 27.