

## SYSTEMIC THERAPY OF METASTATIC BREAST CANCER

ROBERT ŠEPAROVIĆ<sup>1</sup>, ROBERT ZORICA<sup>1</sup>, TAJANA SILOVSKI<sup>1</sup>,  
MIRJANA PAVLOVIĆ<sup>1</sup>, LJUBICA VAZDAR<sup>1</sup> and VESNA PAVLICA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, University Hospital for Tumors,  
University Hospital Center Sestre milosrdnice, Zagreb, Croatia;

<sup>2</sup>Hospital pharmacy, University Hospital for Tumors,  
University Hospital Center Sestre milosrdnice, Zagreb, Croatia

---

### Summary

Systemic therapy of metastatic breast cancer is not curative and its goal is life prolongation and improvement of quality of life. Treatment of metastatic breast cancer usually involves endocrine therapy and/or chemotherapy with or without targeted therapy. The use of the minimally toxic endocrine therapies is preferred to the use of cytotoxic therapy whenever reasonable.

KEY WORDS: *breast cancer, hormone therapy, cytotoxic agents, biological therapy, bisphosphonates*

---

### SUSTAVNO ANTINEOPLASTIČNO LIJEČENJE METASTATSKOG RAKA DOJKE

#### Sažetak

Sustavno liječenje metastatskog raka dojke nije kurativno već se provodi u svrhu produženja života i poboljšanja kvalitete života. Sustavno liječenje se sastoji od endokrine terapije i/ili kemoterapije uz ili bez primjene ciljane biološke terapije. U liječenju metastatskog raka dojke preferirani oblici liječenja su oni najmanje toksični te se endokrina terapija primjenjuje kad god je to moguće.

KLJUČNE RIJEČI: *karcinom dojke, hormonska terapija, citotoksični lijekovi, biološka terapija, bisfosfonati*

---

Metastatic breast cancer is an incurable disease so the goal of treatment is to prolong patients' life and improve its quality. Depending on a number of factors, such as immunohistological type of disease, location of metastases, patients' condition and previous treatment, different types of therapy listed hereafter could be used.

#### I. Endocrine therapy

Endocrine therapy should generally be considered as initial treatment for a breast cancer pa-

tient with metastatic disease: if the patient's tumor is estrogen receptor (ER)-positive, progesterone receptor (PR)-positive, or ER/PR-unknown; if the patient's disease involves only bones and soft tissue and if the patient either received no adjuvant antiestrogen therapy or if such therapy has not been applied for more than 1 year (1). Patients with lymphangitic pulmonary metastases, major liver involvement and/or central nervous system involvement should not receive endocrine therapy as a single treatment modality. Early failure

(e.g. <6 months) of endocrine therapy suggests that cytotoxic chemotherapy should be used as the next modality of treatment. Some premenopausal women should undergo oophorectomy (surgically, with external-beam radiation therapy or with a LHRH agonist) (2). Endocrine therapy may be also active in patients with negative ER and PR receptors, especially on primary tumor and in soft tissue disease and/or bone-dominant disease (3-5).

In premenopausal women, endocrine therapies include selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) (tamoxifen or toremifene), luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LHRH) agonists (goserelin and leuprolide), surgical or radiotherapeutic oophorectomy, progestin (megestrol acetate), androgens (flouxymesterone), and high-dose estrogen (ethinylestradiol). For most premenopausal patients, following therapy with tamoxifen, the use of ovarian suppression or ablation in combination with endocrine therapy for postmenopausal women is appropriate.

First-line endocrine therapy in postmenopausal women includes aromatase inhibitors (AI): nonsteroidal aromatase inhibitors (anastrozole, letrozole) and steroidal aromatase inhibitors (exemestane), selective ER modulators (tamoxifen, toremifene), ER down regulators (fulvestrant), progestin (megestrol acetate), androgens (flouxymesterone), and high-dose estrogens (ethinylestradiol) and some new recently approved combinations. While tamoxifen has been used in this setting for many years, several randomized trials suggest equivalent or superior response rate (RR) and progression free survival (PFS) for the AIs compared to tamoxifen as well as better tolerability (less thromboembolic events and vaginal bleeding). In comparison to megestrol acetate, all three currently available aromatase inhibitors have demonstrated, in prospective randomized trials, at least equal efficacy and better tolerability (10-20). In a meta-analysis that included randomized trials in patients who were receiving an AI as either their first or second line of endocrine therapy for metastatic disease, those who were randomly assigned to a selective AI lived longer (HR for death, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.82–0.93) than those who received standard therapy (tamoxifen or a progestational agent) (21). Several randomized but underpowered trials have tried to determine if combined endocrine therapy (LHRH agonists plus

tamoxifen) is superior to any monotherapy in premenopausal women. Results have been inconsistent (22-25). Two randomized trials that enrolled patients who had progressed after receiving tamoxifen demonstrated that fulvestrant yielded similar results to anastrozole in terms of its impact on PFS (26,27). The updated follow-up results showed an improved time to progression (TTP) with fulvestrant 500 mg compared to anastrozole (median TTP 23.4 months for fulvestrant vs. 13.1 months for anastrozole; HR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.39–1.00;  $P=0.0496$ ). Fulvestrant appears to be at least as effective as anastrozole in patients whose disease progressed on previous tamoxifen, and a re-analysis of these studies suggested a longer duration of response favoring fulvestrant (28). The proper sequencing of these therapies is currently not known (29). Phase III randomized study (CONFIRM) compared fulvestrant 500 mg monthly versus fulvestrant 250 mg monthly. The PFS was superior with the fulvestrant 500 mg regimen (HR 0.80; 95% CI, 0.68–0.94;  $P=.006$ ) and the final analyses demonstrated an increase in median OS (4.1 months) and reduced risk of death (19%) with a dose of 500 mg compared to 250 mg. Median OS was 26.4 vs. 22.3 months (HR: 0.81; 95% CI: 0.69–0.96;  $P=.016$ ) (30). Two studies documented a PFS advantage when adding trastuzumab to anastrozole (TANDEM study) or lapatinib to letrozole in postmenopausal women with HR-positive, HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer. Overall survival showed no statistically significant difference and adverse effects (AEs) were more frequent with the combination (31,32).

However, patients inevitably develop resistance to endocrine therapy. The clinical benefit rates of exemestane and fulvestrant observed in a phase III trial of postmenopausal women with HR-positive advanced breast cancer who experienced disease progression on prior non-steroidal AI therapy were comparable (32.2% vs. 31.5%;  $P=0.853$ ) (33). While there is a biologic rationale for combining fulvestrant with a third-generation aromatase inhibitor for patients with non-steroidal AI resistant disease, the benefits of such combination therapy have not been established (SoFEA trial) (34). One mechanism of resistance to endocrine therapy is activation of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signal transduction pathway. BOLERO-2 is a randomized, phase III

trial, of randomly assigned patients with HR-positive metastatic breast cancer resistant to non-steroidal AI who received the mTOR inhibitor everolimus plus exemestane versus placebo plus exemestane. Median PFS was 6.9 months for everolimus plus exemestane and 2.8 months for placebo plus exemestane (HR, 0.43; 95% CI, 0.35–0.54;  $P < .001$ ). Final OS outcomes are awaited. The addition of everolimus was found to be more toxic with common grade 3 or 4 AE which included stomatitis, anemia, dyspnea, hyperglycemia, fatigue, and pneumonitis (35).

After second-line endocrine therapy, little high-level evidence exists to assist in selecting the optimal sequence of endocrine therapy.

## II. Cytotoxic chemotherapy

Candidates for cytotoxic chemotherapy are patients with hormone receptor-negative tumors, those with visceral metastases and patients whose tumors have progressed on endocrine therapy (36). Single agents that have shown activity in metastatic breast cancer are:

**Anthracyclines:** doxorubicin, epirubicin, liposomal doxorubicin (37-40), mitomycin.

**Microtubuleinhibitors:** taxanes [paclitaxel (41,42), nanoparticle albumin-bound paclitaxel (43,44), docetaxel], vinca alkaloids [vinorelbine (45), vinblastine], eribulin (46,47).

**Alkylating agents:** cyclophosphamide, carboplatin, cisplatin.

**Antimetabolites:** fluoropyrimidines [5-FU and capecitabine (48-50)], gemcitabine (51).

Combination regimens that have shown activity in metastatic breast cancer are:

Cyclophosphamide/doxorubicin (52), epirubicin/doxorubicin (53), cyclophosphamide/doxorubicin/5-fluorouracil (54), cyclophosphamide/epirubicin/5-fluorouracil (55), cyclophosphamide/methotrexate/5-fluorouracil (56), docetaxel/doxorubicin (57), paclitaxel/doxorubicin (58,59), docetaxel/capecitabine (60), vinorelbine/epirubicin (61), capecitabine/ixabepilone (62), gemcitabine/paclitaxel (63), gemcitabine/carboplatin (64).

It is unclear which of single-agent chemotherapy or combination chemotherapy is preferable for first-line treatment. An Eastern Cooperative Oncology Intergroup study randomly as-

signed patients to receive paclitaxel and doxorubicin given both as a combination and sequentially (65). Although RR and TTP were both better for the combination, OS was the same in both groups (66-68). Considering that there is no data on supporting the superiority of any particular regimen. The rate of disease progression, comorbid medical conditions, and physician/patient preference will influence the choice of therapy in individual patients.

Combinations of chemotherapy and endocrine therapy have not shown an OS advantage over the sequential use of these agents (1,69). The addition of one or more chemotherapy drugs to a chemotherapy regimen in the attempt to intensify the treatment improved RR but had no effect on OS (70). The optimal treatment duration for patients with responsive disease has been studied by several groups. Studies indicate that additional chemotherapy, immediately following patients best response to an induction chemotherapy regimen, does not improve OS (71-73).

Studies comparing high-dose (HD) chemotherapy with stem cell support to conventional chemotherapy in patients with metastatic disease indicate no OS or relapse free survival (RFS) benefit for patients receiving HD chemotherapy (74-77). The potential doxorubicin-induced cardiac toxic effects should be considered in the selection of chemotherapeutic regimens for an individual patient. Recognized risk factors for cardiac toxicity include advanced age, prior chest-wall radiation therapy, prior anthracycline exposure, hypertension, diabetes, and known underlying heart disease.

The cardioprotective drug, dexrazoxane, has been shown to decrease the risk of doxorubicin-induced cardiac toxicity, it permitted patients to receive greater cumulative doses of doxorubicin and allowed patients with cardiac risk factors to receive doxorubicin. Dexrazoxane has a similar protective effect in patients receiving epirubicin. The risks of cardiac toxicity may be reduced by administering doxorubicin as a continuous intravenous infusion (78-83).

## III. Targeted therapy

Targeted therapies are drugs that block the growth and spread of cancer by interfering with

specific molecules involved in tumor growth and progression. There are two main types of targeted therapy drugs which can be used in breast cancer:

**Monoclonal antibodies:** trastuzumab, bevacizumab, pertuzumab.

**Small molecules (tyrosine-kinase inhibitors):** lapatinib.

**Trastuzumab** - approximately 20% of patients with breast cancer have tumors that overexpress HER2/neu protein. Trastuzumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody that binds to the HER2 receptor (84). In patients previously treated with cytotoxic chemotherapy whose tumors overexpress HER2/neu protein, administration of trastuzumab as a single agent resulted in a response rate of 21% (85). In a prospective trial, patients with metastatic disease were randomly assigned to receive either chemotherapy alone (doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide or paclitaxel) or the same chemotherapy and trastuzumab. Patients treated with chemotherapy plus trastuzumab had an OS advantage compared to those receiving chemotherapy alone (25.1 months vs. 20.3 months,  $P=0.05$ ) (86). When combined with doxorubicin, trastuzumab is associated with significant cardiac toxicity (87). Consequently, patients with metastatic breast cancer with substantial overexpression of HER2/neu protein are candidates for treatment with the combination of trastuzumab and paclitaxel or for clinical studies including trastuzumab combined with taxanes and other chemotherapeutic agents (88). Clinical trials that compared multiagent chemotherapy plus trastuzumab to single-agent chemotherapy have yielded conflicting results (89,90). Outside of a clinical trial, standard first-line treatment for metastatic HER2-overexpressing breast cancer should consist of single-agent chemotherapy plus trastuzumab.

**Ado-Trastuzumab Emtansine (T-DM1)** - is an antibody-drug conjugate that incorporates the HER2-targeted antitumor properties of trastuzumab with the cytotoxic activity of the microtubule-inhibitory agent DM1. T-DM1 allows specific intracellular drug delivery to HER2-overexpressing cells, potentially improving the therapeutic index and minimizing exposure of normal tissue. The phase III randomized study (EMILIA) enrolled 991 patients with HER2-overexpressing, unresectable, locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer who were previously treated with trastu-

zumab and a taxane (91). Patients were randomly assigned to T-DM1 or lapatinib plus capecitabine. Median PFS was 9.6 months with T-DM1 versus 6.4 months with lapatinib plus capecitabine (HR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.55–0.77;  $P<0.001$ ). Median OS crossed the stopping boundary for efficacy (30.9 months vs. 25.1 months; HR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.55–0.85;  $P<0.001$ ). The incidences of thrombocytopenia and increased serum aminotransferase levels were higher in patients who received T-DM1, whereas the incidences of diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, and palmar-plantar syndrome were higher in patients who received lapatinib plus capecitabine.

**Pertuzumab** - is a humanized, monoclonal antibody that binds to a different epitope of the HER2 extracellular domain than trastuzumab does. The binding of pertuzumab to HER2 prevents dimerization with other ligand-activated HER receptors, most notably HER3. The phase III CLEOPATRA trial assessed the efficacy and safety of pertuzumab plus trastuzumab plus docetaxel versus placebo plus trastuzumab plus docetaxel, in the first-line HER2+ metastatic setting (92). The median PFS was 12.4 months in the control group versus 18.5 months in the pertuzumab group (HR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.51–0.75;  $P<0.001$ ). At the median follow-up of 30 months the results showed statistically significant improvement in OS in favour of pertuzumab containing regimen, with 34% reduction in the risk of death. At median follow-up of 50 months (range 0 to 70 months), the statistically significant improvement in OS in favour of pertuzumab/trastuzumab/docetaxel arm was maintained (HR = 0.68,  $P=0.0002$ ). Median OS was 40.8 months in the placebo arm and 56.5 months in the pertuzumab arm, with difference of 15.7 months. The toxicity profile was similar in both treatment groups with no increase in cardiac toxic effects seen in the pertuzumab combination arm.

**Bevacizumab** - is a humanized monoclonal antibody directed against all isoforms of vascular endothelial growth factor-A. Its role in the treatment of metastatic breast cancer remains controversial. The efficacy and safety of bevacizumab as a second- and third-line treatment for patients with metastatic breast cancer were studied in several randomized, phase III trials (e.g. ECOG-2100, AVADO, RIBBON 1, RIBBON 2) (93-97). Based on the consistent finding that bevacizumab only

modestly improved PFS but not OS, and given its considerable toxicity profile (e.g. hypertension, proteinuria), the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) revoked approval of bevacizumab for the treatment of metastatic breast cancer.

**Lapatinib** - is an orally administered tyrosine kinase inhibitor of both HER2/neu and the epidermal growth factor receptor. Lapatinib has shown activity in combination with capecitabine in patients who have HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer refractory to trastuzumab. A non-blinded, randomized trial compared the combination of capecitabine and lapatinib with capecitabine alone in 324 patients with locally advanced or metastatic disease that progressed to therapies that included anthracyclines, taxanes, and trastuzumab. Highly significant difference was found that favored the combination arm with respect to the primary study endpoint and time to progression (median time to progression 8.4 months vs. 4.4 months; HR, 0.49; 95% CI, 0.34–0.71;  $P < 0.001$ ). There was no difference in OS (HR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.58–1.46;  $P = 0.72$ ) (98). Patients randomized to combination therapy were more likely to develop diarrhea, rash, and dyspepsia.

The combination of lapatinib and trastuzumab has been evaluated for patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer whose disease progressed while they were being treated with trastuzumab in a phase III trial (99). A total of 291 patients were randomly assigned to treatment with lapatinib alone or to combination with trastuzumab. Compared with lapatinib alone, the combination of lapatinib and trastuzumab significantly improved PFS (HR, 0.74; 95% CI, 0.58–0.94; median, 11 weeks vs. 8 weeks) and OS (HR, 0.74; 95% CI, 0.57–0.97; median, 14 months vs. 10 months). The control arm, lapatinib alone is a nonstandard treatment arm.

These data offer heavily pretreated metastatic HER2-positive breast cancer patients an alternative chemotherapy-free treatment regimen using dual HER2 blockade. Randomized phase III study compared paclitaxel and lapatinib with paclitaxel plus placebo as first-line therapy in patients with metastatic breast cancer, but no benefit was found with the combination treatment. Toxicities, specifically alopecia, diarrhea, and rash were higher in the HER2/neu-positive lapatinib group (100).

#### IV. Supportive therapy for bone metastases

The **bisphosphonates** and **denosumab** may be used as supportive therapy to reduce skeletal related events (SREs) in patients with bone metastases (101). Results of randomized trials of pamidronate and clodronate in patients with bone metastases have shown decreased skeletal morbidity (102–104). Zoledronate has been at least as effective as pamidronate (105). Denosumab has better activity compared to zoledronate. This is based upon the results of a single randomized trial where denosumab was shown to significantly delay time to first SRE (HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.71–0.95;  $P < 0.001$ ). No difference in TTP or OS was observed (106). Both, the bisphosphonates and denosumab, are associated with the occurrence of osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ). Poor baseline dental health or dental procedures during treatment are known risk factors for ONJ (107).

#### REFERENCES

1. Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z, Jemal A: Cancer statistics, 2014. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*. 2014;64 (1): 9-29.
2. Bajetta E, Zilembo N, Buzzoni R, et al. Goserelin in premenopausal advanced breast cancer: clinical and endocrine evaluation of responsive patients. *Oncology*. 1994;51(3): 262-9.
3. Buzdar A, Jonat W, Howell A, et al. Anastrozole, a potent and selective aromatase inhibitor, versus megestrol acetate in postmenopausal women with advanced breast cancer: results of overview analysis of two phase III trials. *Arimidex Study Group. J Clin Oncol*. 1996;14:2000-11.
4. Dombernowsky P, Smith I, Falkson G, et al. Letrozole, a new oral aromatase inhibitor for advanced breast cancer: double-blind randomized trial showing a dose effect and improved efficacy and tolerability compared with megestrol acetate. *J Clin Oncol*. 1998;16: 453-61.
5. Lonning PE, Bajetta E, Murray R, et al. Activity of emestane in metastatic breast cancer after failure of nonsteroidal aromatase inhibitors: a phase II trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2000;18:2234-44.
6. Buzdar AU, Jones SE, Vogel CL, et al. A phase III trial comparing anastrozole (1 and 10 milligrams), a potent and selective aromatase inhibitor, with megestrol acetate in postmenopausal women with advanced breast carcinoma. *Arimidex Study Group. Cancer*. 1997;79 (4):730-9.
7. Dombernowsky P, Smith I, Falkson G, et al. Letrozole, a new oral aromatase inhibitor for advanced breast cancer: double-blind randomized trial showing a dose

- effect and improved efficacy and tolerability compared with megestrol acetate. *J Clin Oncol.* 1998;16(2):453-61.
8. Jonat W, Howell A, Blomqvist C, et al. A randomised trial comparing two doses of the new selective aromatase inhibitor anastrozole (Arimidex) with megestrol acetate in postmenopausal patients with advanced breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer.* 1996;32A(3):404-12.
  9. Gershanovich M, Chaudri HA, Campos D, et al. Letrozole, a new oral aromatase inhibitor: randomised trial comparing 2.5 mg daily, 0.5 mg daily and aminoglutethimide in postmenopausal women with advanced breast cancer. Letrozole International Trial Group (AR/BC3). *Ann Oncol.* 1998;9(6):639-45.
  10. Peethambaram PP, Ingle JN, Suman VJ, et al. Randomized trial of diethylstilbestrol vs. tamoxifen in postmenopausal women with metastatic breast cancer. An updated analysis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 1999;4(2):117-22.
  11. Kaufmann M, Bajetta E, Dirix LY, et al. Exemestane is superior to megestrol acetate after tamoxifen failure in postmenopausal women with advanced breast cancer: results of a phase III randomized double-blind trial. The Exemestane Study Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 2000;18(7):1399-411.
  12. Kvinnsland S, Anker G, Dirix LY, et al. High activity and tolerability demonstrated for exemestane in postmenopausal women with metastatic breast cancer who had previously failed on tamoxifen treatment. *Eur J Cancer.* 2000; [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list\\_uids=10885600&dopt=Abstract36\(8\):976-82](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=10885600&dopt=Abstract36(8):976-82).
  13. Buzdar A, Douma J, Davidson N, et al. Phase III, multicenter, double-blind, randomized study of letrozole, an aromatase inhibitor, for advanced breast cancer versus megestrol acetate. *J Clin Oncol.* 2001;19(14):3357-66.
  14. Gibson LJ, Dawson CK, Lawrence DH, et al. Aromatase inhibitors for treatment of advanced breast cancer in postmenopausal women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2007;(1): CD003370.
  15. Howell A, Robertson JF, Abram P, et al. Comparison of fulvestrant versus tamoxifen for the treatment of advanced breast cancer in postmenopausal women previously untreated with endocrine therapy: a multinational, double-blind, randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004; 22(9):1605-13.
  16. Perey L, Paridaens R, Hawle H, et al. Clinical benefit of fulvestrant in postmenopausal women with advanced breast cancer and primary or acquired resistance to aromatase inhibitors: final results of phase II Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research Trial (SAKK 21/00). *Ann Oncol.* 2007;18(1):64-9.
  17. Bonnetterre J, Thürlimann B, Robertson JF, et al.: Anastrozole versus tamoxifen as first-line therapy for advanced breast cancer in 668 postmenopausal women: results of the Tamoxifen or Arimidex Randomized Group Efficacy and Tolerability study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2000;18(22):3748-57.
  18. Nabholz JM, Buzdar A, Pollak M, et al. Anastrozole is superior to tamoxifen as first-line therapy for advanced breast cancer in postmenopausal women: results of a North American multicenter randomized trial. Arimidex Study Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 2000;18(22):3758-67.
  19. Mouridsen H, Gershanovich M, Sun Y, et al. Phase III study of letrozole versus tamoxifen as first-line therapy of advanced breast cancer in postmenopausal women: analysis of survival and update of efficacy from the International Letrozole Breast Cancer Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 2003;21(11):2101-9.
  20. Henderson IC: A rose is no longer a rose. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20(16):3365-8.
  21. Mauri D, Pavlidis N, Polyzos NP, et al. Survival with aromatase inhibitors and inactivators versus standard hormonal therapy in advanced breast cancer: meta-analysis. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2006;98(18):1285-91.
  22. Boccardo F, Rubagotti A, Perrotta A, et al. Ovarian ablation versus goserelin with or without tamoxifen in pre-perimenopausal patients with advanced breast cancer: results of a multicentric Italian study. *Ann Oncol.* 1994;5(4):337-42.
  23. Jonat W, Kaufmann M, Blamey RW, et al. A randomised study to compare the effect of the luteinising hormone releasing hormone (LHRH) analogue goserelin with or without tamoxifen in pre- and perimenopausal patients with advanced breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer.* 1995;31A(2):137-42. [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list\\_uids=7718316&dopt=Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=7718316&dopt=Abstract)
  24. Klijn JG, Blamey RW, Boccardo F, et al. Combined tamoxifen and luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LHRH) agonist versus LHRH agonist alone in premenopausal advanced breast cancer: a meta-analysis of four randomized trials. *J Clin Oncol.* 2001;19(2):343-53.
  25. Klijn JG, Beex LV, Mauriac L, et al.: Combined treatment with buserelin and tamoxifen in premenopausal metastatic breast cancer: a randomized study. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2000;92(11):903-11.
  26. Osborne CK, Pippin J, Jones SE, et al. Double-blind, randomized trial comparing the efficacy and tolerability of fulvestrant versus anastrozole in postmenopausal women with advanced breast cancer progressing on prior endocrine therapy: results of a North American trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20(16):3386-95. [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list\\_uids=12177098&dopt=Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=12177098&dopt=Abstract)
  27. Howell A, Robertson JF, Quaresma Albano J, et al. Fulvestrant, formerly ICI 182,780, is as effective as anastrozole in postmenopausal women with advanced breast cancer progressing after prior endocrine treatment. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20(16):3396-403.

28. Robertson JF, Llombart-Cussac A, Rolski J, et al. A comparison of fulvestrant 500 mg with anastrozole as first-line treatment for advanced breast cancer: Follow-up analysis from the FIRST study. San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium 2010; S1-3.
29. Flemming J, Madarnas Y, Franek JA: Fulvestrant for systemic therapy of locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer in postmenopausal women: a systematic review. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2009;115(2):255-68.
30. Leo AD, Jerusalem G, Petruzella L, et al. Final analysis of overall survival for the Phase III CONFIRM trial: fulvestrant 500 mg versus 250 mg. *Cancer Res.* 2012; 72(Suppl24): S1-4.
31. Johnston S, Pippen J, Pivot X, et al. Lapatinib combined with letrozole versus letrozole and placebo as first-line therapy for postmenopausal hormone receptor-positive metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27:5538-46.
32. Kaufman B, Mackey JR, Clemens MR, et al. Trastuzumab plus anastrozole versus anastrozole alone for the treatment of postmenopausal women with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive, hormone receptor-positive metastatic breast cancer: results from the randomized phase III TAnDEM study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27:5529-37.
33. Chia S, Gradishar W, Mauriac L, et al. Double-blind, randomized placebo controlled trial of fulvestrant compared with exemestane after prior nonsteroidal aromatase inhibitor therapy in postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive, advanced breast cancer: results from EFECT. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26: 1664-70.
34. Buzdar AU: Combination endocrine treatments unproven in breast cancer. *Lancet Oncol.* 2013;14(10): 917-8.
35. Baselga J, Campone M, Piccart M, et al. Everolimus in postmenopausal hormone-receptor-positive advanced breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366(6):520-9.
36. Wilcken N, Dear R. Chemotherapy in metastatic breast cancer: A summary of all randomised trials reported 2000-2007. *Eur J Cancer.* 2008;44(15):2218-25.
37. Ranson MR, Carmichael J, O'Byrne K, et al. Treatment of advanced breast cancer with sterically stabilized liposomal doxorubicin: results of a multicenter phase II trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 1997;15(10):3185-91.
38. Harris L, Batist G, Belt R, et al. Liposome-encapsulated doxorubicin compared with conventional doxorubicin in a randomized multicenter trial as first-line therapy of metastatic breast carcinoma. *Cancer.* 2002; 94(1):25-36.
39. Keller AM, Mennel RG, Georgoulas VA, et al. Randomized phase III trial of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin versus vinorelbine or mitomycin C plus vinblastine in women with taxane-refractory advanced breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004;22(19):3893-901.
40. Sparano JA, Makhson AN, Semiglazov VF, et al.: Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin plus docetaxel significantly improves time to progression without additive cardiotoxicity compared with docetaxel monotherapy in patients with advanced breast cancer previously treated with neoadjuvant-adjuvant anthracycline therapy: results from a randomized phase III study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(27):4522-9.
41. Seidman AD, Berry D, Cirincione C, et al. Randomized phase III trial of weekly compared with every-3-weeks paclitaxel for metastatic breast cancer, with trastuzumab for all HER-2 overexpressors and random assignment to trastuzumab or not in HER-2 nonoverexpressors: final results of Cancer and Leukemia Group B protocol 9840. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26 (10):1642-9.
42. Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Hortobagyi GN: Optimal schedule of paclitaxel: weekly is better. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26(10):1585-7.
43. Gradishar WJ, Tjulandin S, Davidson N, et al. Phase III trial of nanoparticle albumin-bound paclitaxel compared with polyethylated castor oil-based paclitaxel in women with breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23(31): 7794-803.
44. Ibrahim NK, Samuels B, Page R, et al. Multicenter phase II trial of ABI-007, an albumin-bound paclitaxel, in women with metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23(25):6019-26,
45. Degardin M, Bonnetterre J, Hecquet B, et al. Vinorelbine (navelbine) as a salvage treatment for advanced breast cancer. *Ann Oncol.* 1994;5(5):423-6.
46. Vahdat LT, Pruitt B, Fabian CJ, et al. Phase II study of eribulin mesylate, a halichondrin B analog, in patients with metastatic breast cancer previously treated with an anthracycline and a taxane. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27 (18):2954-61.
47. Cortes J, O'Shaughnessy J, Loesch D, et al. Eribulin monotherapy versus treatment of physician's choice in patients with metastatic breast cancer (EMBRACE): a phase 3 open-label randomised study. *Lancet.* 2011;377(9769):914-23.
48. Blum JL, Jones SE, Buzdar AU, et al. Multicenter phase II study of capecitabine in paclitaxel-refractory metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 1999;17(2): 485-93.
49. Blum JL, Dieras V, Lo Russo PM, et al. Multicenter, Phase II study of capecitabine in taxane-pretreated metastatic breast carcinoma patients. *Cancer.* 2001;92 (7):1759-68.
50. Venturini M, Paridaens R, Rossner D, et al. An open-label, multicenter study of outpatient capecitabine monotherapy in 631 patients with pretreated advanced breast cancer. *Oncology.* 2007;72(1-2):51-7.
51. Carmichael J, Walling J. Advanced breast cancer: investigational role of gemcitabine. *Eur J Cancer.* 1997; 33(Suppl 1):S27-30.
52. Tranum BL, McDonald B, Thigpen T, et al. Adriamycin combinations in advanced breast cancer. A Southwest Oncology Group Study. *Cancer.* 1982;49(5):835-9.

53. Langley RE, Carmichel J, Jones AL, et al. Phase III trial of epirubicin plus paclitaxel compared with epirubicin plus cyclophosphamide as first-line chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer: United Kingdom Cancer Research Institute. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23:8322-30.
54. Buzdar AU, Kau SW, Smith TL, et al. Ten-year results of FAC adjuvant chemotherapy trial in breast cancer. *Am J Clin Oncol.* 1989;12(2):123-8.
55. Ackland SP, Anton A, Breitbach GP, et al. Dose-intensive epirubicin-based chemotherapy is superior to an intensive intravenous cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and fluorouracil regimen in metastatic breast cancer: a randomized multinational study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2001;19:943-53.
56. Tormey DC, Gelman R, Band PR, et al. Comparison of induction chemotherapies for metastatic breast cancer. An Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Trial. *Cancer.* 1982;50(7):1235-44.
57. Misset JL, Dieras V, Gruia G, et al.: Dose-finding study of docetaxel and doxorubicin in first-line treatment of patients with metastatic breast cancer. *Ann Oncol.* 1999;10(5):553-60.
58. Jassem J, Pieńkowski T, Płużańska A, et al.: Doxorubicin and paclitaxel versus fluorouracil, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide as first-line therapy for women with metastatic breast cancer: final results of a randomized phase III multicenter trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2001;19(6):1707-15.
59. Biganzoli L, Cufer T, Bruning P, et al.: Doxorubicin and paclitaxel versus doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide as first-line chemotherapy in metastatic breast cancer: The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer 10961 Multicenter Phase III Trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20(14):3114-21.
60. O'Shaughnessy J, Miles D, Vukelja S, et al. Superior survival with capecitabine plus docetaxel combination therapy in anthracycline-pretreated patients with advanced breast cancer: phase III trial results. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20(12):2812-23.
61. Serin D, Verrill M, Jones A, et al. Vinorelbine alternating oral and intravenous plus epirubicin in first-line therapy of metastatic breast cancer: results of a multicentre phase II study. *Br J Cancer.* 2005;92(11):1989-96.
62. Thomas ES, Gomez HL, Li RK, et al. Ixabepilone plus capecitabine for metastatic breast cancer progressing after anthracycline and taxane treatment. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007;25(33):5210-7.
63. Albain KS, Nag S, Calderillo-Ruiz G, et al. Gemcitabine plus paclitaxel versus paclitaxel monotherapy in patients with metastatic breast cancer and prior anthracycline treatment. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26(24):3950-7.
64. O'Shaughnessy J, Schwartzberg LS, Danso MA, et al. A randomized phase III study of iniparib (BSI-201) in combination with gemcitabine/carboplatin (G/C) in metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC). *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(Suppl15):1007.
65. Sledge GW, Neuberg D, Bernardo P, et al. Phase III trial of doxorubicin, paclitaxel, and the combination of doxorubicin and paclitaxel as front-line chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer: an intergroup trial (E1193). *J Clin Oncol.* 2003;21(4):588-92.
66. Seidman AD. Sequential single-agent chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer: therapeutic nihilism or realism? *J Clin Oncol.* 2003;21(4):577-9.
67. Overmoyer B. Combination chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer: reaching for the cure. *J Clin Oncol.* 2003;21(4):580-2.
68. Perez EA. Current management of metastatic breast cancer. *Semin Oncol.* 1999; 26(Suppl 12):1-10.
69. Jones D, Ghersi D, Wilcken N. Addition of drug/s to a chemotherapy regimen for metastatic breast cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2006;3:CD003368.
70. Falkson G, Gelman RS, Pandya KJ, et al. Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group randomized trials of observation versus maintenance therapy for patients with metastatic breast cancer in complete remission following induction treatment. *J Clin Oncol.* 1998;16(5):1669-76.
71. Peters WP, Jones RB, Vrendenburgh J, et al. A large, prospective, randomized trial of high-dose combination alkylating agents (CPB) with autologous cellular support (ABMS) as consolidation for patients with metastatic breast cancer achieving complete remission after intensive doxorubicin-based induction therapy (AFM). *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 1996;15:A-149, 121.
72. Muss HB, Case LD, Richards F 2nd, et al. Interrupted versus continuous chemotherapy in patients with metastatic breast cancer. The Piedmont Oncology Association. *N Engl J Med.* 1991;325(19):1342-8.
73. Falkson G, Gelman RS, Glick J, et al. Metastatic breast cancer: higher versus low dose maintenance treatment when only a partial response or a no change status is obtained following doxorubicin induction treatment. An Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group study. *Ann Oncol.* 1992;3(9):768-70.
74. Stadtmauer EA, O'Neill A, Goldstein LJ, et al. Conventional-dose chemotherapy compared with high-dose chemotherapy plus autologous hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation for metastatic breast cancer. Philadelphia Bone Marrow Transplant Group. *N Engl J Med.* 2000;342(15):1069-76.
75. Schmid P, Schippinger W, Nitsch T, et al. Up-front tandem high-dose chemotherapy compared with standard chemotherapy with doxorubicin and paclitaxel in metastatic breast cancer: results of a randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23(3):432-40.
76. Berry DA, Broadwater G, Klein JP, et al. High-dose versus standard chemotherapy in metastatic breast cancer: comparison of Cancer and Leukemia Group B trials with data from the Autologous Blood and Marrow Transplant Registry. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20(3):743-50,



77. Farquhar C, Marjoribanks J, Bassler R, et al. High dose chemotherapy and autologous bone marrow or stem cell transplantation versus conventional chemotherapy for women with metastatic breast cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2005;(3):CD003142.
78. Swain SM, Whaley FS, Gerber MC, et al. Delayed administration of dexrazoxane provides cardioprotection for patients with advanced breast cancer treated with doxorubicin-containing therapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 1997;15(4):1333-40.
79. Swain SM, Whaley FS, Gerber MC, et al. Cardioprotection with dexrazoxane for doxorubicin-containing therapy in advanced breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 1997;15(4):1318-32.
80. Hensley ML, Schuchter LM, Lindley C, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guidelines for the use of chemotherapy and radiotherapy protectants. *J Clin Oncol.* 1999;17(10):3333-55.
81. Marty M, Espié M, Llombart A, et al. Multicenter randomized phase III study of the cardioprotective effect of dexrazoxane (Cardioxane) in advanced/metastatic breast cancer patients treated with anthracycline-based chemotherapy. *Ann Oncol.* 2006; 17(4):614-22.
82. Venturini M, Michelotti A, Del Mastro L, et al. Multicenter randomized controlled clinical trial to evaluate cardioprotection of dexrazoxane versus no cardioprotection in women receiving epirubicin chemotherapy for advanced breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 1996;14(12):3112-20.
83. Hortobagyi GN, Frye D, Buzdar AU, et al. Decreased cardiac toxicity of doxorubicin administered by continuous intravenous infusion in combination chemotherapy for metastatic breast carcinoma. *Cancer.* 1989; 63(1):37-45.
84. Pegram MD, Pauletti G, Slamon DJ. HER-2/neu as a predictive marker of response to breast cancer therapy. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 1998;52(1-3):65-77.
85. Cobleigh MA, Vogel CL, Tripathy D, et al. Multinational study of the efficacy and safety of humanized anti-HER2 monoclonal antibody in women who have HER2-overexpressing metastatic breast cancer that has progressed after chemotherapy for metastatic disease. *J Clin Oncol.* 1999;17(9):2639-48.
86. Slamon DJ, Leyland-Jones B, Shak S, et al. Use of chemotherapy plus a monoclonal antibody against HER2 for metastatic breast cancer that overexpresses HER2. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;344(11):783-92.
87. Seidman A, Hudis C, Pierri MK, et al. Cardiac dysfunction in the trastuzumab clinical trials experience. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20(5):1215-21.
88. Burstein HJ, Kuter I, Campos SM, et al. Clinical activity of trastuzumab and vinorelbine in women with HER2-overexpressing metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2001;19(10):2722-30.
89. Robert N, Leyland-Jones B, Asmar L, et al. Randomized phase III study of trastuzumab, paclitaxel, and carboplatin compared with trastuzumab and paclitaxel in women with HER-2-overexpressing metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006; 24(18):2786-92.
90. Valero V, Forbes J, Pegram MD, et al. Multicenter phase III randomized trial comparing docetaxel and trastuzumab with docetaxel, carboplatin, and trastuzumab as first-line chemotherapy for patients with HER2-gene-amplified metastatic breast cancer (BCIRG 007 study): two highly active therapeutic regimens. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(2):149-56.
91. Verma S, Miles D, Gianni L, et al. Trastuzumabemtansine for HER2-positive advanced breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367(19):1783-91.
92. Baselga J, Cortés J, Kim SB, et al. Pertuzumab plus trastuzumab plus docetaxel for metastatic breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366(2):109-19.
93. Miller KD, Chap LI, Holmes FA, et al. Randomized phase III trial of capecitabine compared with bevacizumab plus capecitabine in patients with previously treated metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005; 23(4):792-9.
94. Miller K, Wang M, Gralow J, et al. Paclitaxel plus bevacizumab versus paclitaxel alone for metastatic breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2007;357(26):2666-76.
95. Miles DW, Chan A, Dirix LY, et al. Phase III study of bevacizumab plus docetaxel compared with placebo plus docetaxel for the first-line treatment of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(20):3239-47.
96. Robert NJ, Diéras V, Glaspy J, et al. RIBBON-1: randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial of chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab for first-line treatment of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative, locally recurrent or metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(10):1252-60.
97. Brufsky AM, Hurvitz S, Perez E, et al. RIBBON-2: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial evaluating the efficacy and safety of bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy for second-line treatment of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(32):4286-93.
98. Geyer CE, Forster J, Lindquist D, et al. Lapatinib plus capecitabine for HER2-positive advanced breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;355(26):2733-43.
99. Blackwell KL, Burstein HJ, Storniolo AM, et al. Overall survival benefit with lapatinib in combination with trastuzumab for patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive metastatic breast cancer: final results from the EGF104900 Study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012;30(21):2585-92.
100. Di Leo A, Gomez HL, Aziz Z, et al. Phase III, double-blind, randomized study comparing lapatinib plus paclitaxel with placebo plus paclitaxel as first-line treatment for metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26(34):5544-52.
101. Hillner BE, Ingle JN, Chlebowski RT, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology 2003 update on the role of bisphosphonates and bone health issues in women with breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2003;21(21):4042-57.

102. Paterson AH, Powles TJ, Kanis JA, et al. Double-blind controlled trial of oral clodronate in patients with bone metastases from breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 1993;11(1):59-65.
103. Hortobagyi GN, Theriault RL, Lipton A, et al. Long-term prevention of skeletal complications of metastatic breast cancer with pamidronate. Protocol 19 Aredia Breast Cancer Study Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 1998;16(6):2038-44.
104. Powles T, Paterson A, McCloskey E, et al. Reduction in bone relapse and improved survival with oral clodronate for adjuvant treatment of operable breast cancer [ISRCTN83688026]. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2006; 8(2):R13.
105. Rosen LS, Gordon D, Kaminski M, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of zoledronic acid compared with pamidronate disodium in the treatment of skeletal complications in patients with advanced multiple myeloma or breast carcinoma: a randomized, double-blind, multicenter, comparative trial. *Cancer.* 2003;98(8):1735-44.
106. Stopceck AT, Lipton A, Body JJ et al. Denosumab compared with zoledronic acid for the treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced breast cancer: a randomized, double-blind study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010; 28(35):5132-39.
107. Woo S-B, Hellstein JW, Kalmar JR. Systematic Review: Bisphosphonates and Osteonecrosis of the Jaws. *Ann Intern Med.* 2006;144(10):753-761.

*Author's address: Robert Šeparović, Department of Medical Oncology, University Hospital for Tumors, University Hospital Center Sestre milosrdnice, Ilica 197, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia; e-mail: rseparov@gmail.com*