197

Factorial-like values in the balancing sequence

NURETTIN IRMAK^{1,*}, KÁLMÁN LIPTAI² AND LÁSZLÓ SZALAY^{3,4}

 1 Art and Science Faculty, Mathematics Department, Ömer Halisdemir University, Niğde TR-51 240, Turkey

² Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Eger, H-3 300, Eger, Hungary

³ Department of Mathematics and Informatics, J. Selye University, SK-501 026 Komarno, Slovakia

⁴ Institute of Mathematics, University of West Hungary, H-9401 Sopron, Hungary

Received May 11,2017; accepted October 24, 2017

Abstract. In this paper, we solve a few Diophantine equations linked to balancing numbers and factorials. The basic problem consists of solving the equation $B_y = x!$ in positive integers x, y, which has only one nontrivial solution $B_2 = 6 = 3!$, as a direct consequence of the theorem of F. Luca [5]. A more difficult problem is to solve $B_y = x_2!/x_1!$, but we were able to handle it under some conditions. Two related problems are also studied.

AMS subject classifications: 11B39, 11D72

Key words: Factorials, balancing numbers, diophantine equation

1. Introduction

The balancing sequence $\{B_n\}$ is given by $B_0 = 0$ and $B_1 = 1$, and by the recursive rule $B_n = 6B_{n-1} - B_{n-2}$ for $n \ge 2$. The n^{th} element of the associate sequence of $\{B_n\}$ is denoted by C_n , which satisfies the recurrence relation $C_n = 6C_{n-1} - C_{n-2}$ $(n \ge 2)$, where the initial values are $C_0 = 2$ and $C_1 = 6$. The elements of the sequence $\{C_n\}$ are often called Lucas-balancing numbers. Note that

$$B_{2n} = B_n C_n, (1)$$

and

$$C_n^2 - 32B_n^2 = 4. (2)$$

These two identities can be obtained similarly to those for Fibonacci and Lucas numbers. Observe that

$$B_1 = 1 = 1!, \quad C_0 = 2 = 2!, \quad B_2 = C_1 = 6 = 3!,$$
 (3)

so the question arises naturally whether there are other factorial values in $\{B_n\}$ or in $\{C_n\}$. More generally, one may claim the solutions to the Diophantine equations

$$B_y = x_1! \cdot x_2! \cdots x_r!, \qquad C_y = x_1! \cdot x_2! \cdots x_r!,$$
 (4)

^{*}Corresponding author. Email addresses: nirmak@ohu.edu.tr (N.Irmak), liptaik@gemini.ektf.hu (K.Liptai), szalay.laszlo@uni-sopron.hu (L.Szalay)

in the positive integers x_1, \ldots, x_r , and y. Today it is an easy question since Luca ([5], Theorem 4) proved the following theorem. A Lucas sequence $\{u_n\}$ is a non-degenerate binary recurrence with the initial values $u_0 = 0$, $u_1 = 1$. Let \mathcal{PF} be the set of all positive integers which can be written as a product of factorials.

Theorem 1 (see [5], Theorem 4). Let $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a Lucas sequence. Let α and β denote the two roots of the characteristic equations. Suppose that $|\alpha| \geq |\beta|$. If $|u_n| \in \mathcal{PF}$, then

$$y \le \max\{12, 2e|\alpha| + 1\}. \tag{5}$$

The same upper bound is true for the associate sequence of $\{u_n\}$. Luca used deep algebraic number theoretical considerations and the Baker method.

In the case of balancing a sequence and its associate sequence, the zeros of the characteristic polynomial $x^2 - 6x + 1$ are $\alpha = 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$ and $\beta = 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$. Thus, by (5) we obtain $y \leq 32$. Using a brute force algorithm, computer search provides only (3) as all the solutions to (4). (All of them are single factorial terms.)

For the Fibonacci sequence given by $F_0 = 0$, $F_1 = 1$, and $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$, Luca's bound is only 53, and $u_v = x_1! \cdot x_2! \cdots x_r!$ is fulfilled in the cases

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1!$$
, $F_3 = 2!$, $F_6 = (2!)^3$, $F_{12} = (2!)^2(3!)^2 = 3! \cdot 4!$.

Consider now the Tribonacci sequence defined by $T_0 = 0$, $T_1 = T_2 = 1$ and by $T_n = T_{n-1} + T_{n-2} + T_{n-3}$. The equation

$$T_u = x!$$

was solved by Marques and Lengyel [4], and it showed that the only solutions are (y,x)=(1,1), (2,1), (3,2), (7,4). Their proof is based on the determination of the 2-adic order of Tribonacci numbers. The *p*-adic order of the non-zero integer n denoted by $\nu_p(n)$ is defined by the exponent of the highest power of prime p dividing n.

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the solvability of three Diophantine equations linked to factorials and balancing numbers:

$$B_y = \frac{x_2!}{x_1!}, \qquad B_y = \frac{x_2!}{x_1}, \qquad B_y = x_1 x_2!,$$

in positive integers x_1 , x_2 and y, under some conditions on x_1 and x_2 .

One important argument, which will be used later, is the characterization of the 2-adic order of balancing numbers as it has been already obtained for Fibonacci numbers by Lengyel [3].

Theorem 2. For $n \ge 1$, we have

$$\nu_2(B_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 1, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ \nu_2(n), & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \end{cases}.$$

Precise results are formulated in the next three theorems. We note that in Theorem 3 the value $\delta=0.98$ and in Theorem 5 the value $K=10^6$ were choosen to carry out precise calculations. Our method, at least in theory, works for arbitrary $0 < \delta < 1$ and $K \ge 1$.

Theorem 3. Unless $(x_1, x_2, y) = (1, 3, 2)$, the Diophantine equation

$$B_y = \frac{x_2!}{x_1!},$$

in positive integers y, x_1 , x_2 with $x_1 + 2 \le x_2$ has no solution in the following cases:

- $x_1 \leq 0.98x_2$, or
- $x_1 = x_2 \eta$, where $\eta \in \{2, 3, 4\}$.

Theorem 4. The only solutions of the Diophantine equation

$$B_y = \frac{x_2!}{x_1}$$

in positive integers x_1 , x_2 and y with $x_1 \leq x_2$ are

$$(x_1, x_2, y) = (1, 1, 1), (2, 2, 1), (1, 3, 2), (4, 4, 2).$$

Theorem 5. The only solutions of the Diophantine equation

$$B_{y} = x_{1}x_{2}!$$

in positive integers x_1 , x_2 and y with the condition $x_1 \leq 10^6 x_2$ are

$$(x_1, x_2, y) = (1, 1, 1), (6, 1, 2), (3, 2, 2), (1, 3, 2), (35, 1, 3),$$

$$(204, 1, 4), (102, 2, 4), (34, 3, 4), (1189, 1, 5), (6930, 1, 6),$$

$$(3465, 2, 6), (1155, 3, 6), (40391, 1, 7), (235416, 1, 8),$$

$$(117708, 2, 8), (39236, 3, 8), (9809, 4, 8), (1332869, 3, 10).$$

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present several lemmas which help us to prove the theorems.

Lemma 1. If $n \geq 2$, then

$$\alpha^{n-1} < B_n$$

where α is the larger zero in absolute value of the characteristic polynomial of the sequence $\{B_n\}$.

Proof. See Lemma 4 in
$$[1]$$
.

Theorem 2 is an immediate consequence of the following lemma; it states a bit more when 4 does not divide n.

Lemma 2. Let n be a positive integer.

- 1. $B_n \equiv n \pmod{4}$.
- 2. Let $n = 2^s r$ for some integers $s \ge 2$ and odd r. Then $B_{2^s r} \equiv 2^s r \pmod{2^{s+1}}$.

Proof. (1) It is an easy consequence of considering the sequence of balancing numbers B_n modulo 4.

(2) We use induction on s. Assume s=2. The balancing sequence modulo 8 begins with

$$0, 1, 6, 3, 4, 5, 2, 7, 0, 1, \dots$$

Clearly, the length of the period is 8 and $B_{4r} \equiv 4 \equiv 4r \pmod{8}$ (note that here r is odd).

Suppose that the statement is true for some $s \geq 2$ and r odd, i.e. $B_{2^s r} = 2^s r + 2^{s+1} k$ holds for some positive integer k. It is easy to see that $C_n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Thus $C_n = 4u_n + 2$ for some positive integer sequence $\{u_n\}$. Applying identity (1), we have

$$B_{2^{s+1}r} = C_{2^{s}r}B_{2^{s}r} = (4u_{2^{s}r} + 2)\left(2^{s}r + 2^{s+1}k\right)$$
$$= 2^{s+1}r + 2^{s+2}\left(k + u_{2^{s}r}r + 2u_{2^{s}r}k\right)$$
$$\equiv 2^{s+1}r \pmod{2^{s+2}},$$

and the proof of the lemma is complete (and Theorem 2 follows). \Box

Let $s_p(k)$ denote the sum of the base-p digits of the positive integer k.

Lemma 3 (Legendre). For any integer $k \geq 1$ and p prime, we have

$$\nu_p(k!) = \frac{k - s_p(k)}{p - 1}.$$

Proof. See [2].

The result of Legendre has the following consequence.

Corollary 1. For any integer $k \geq 2$ and prime p the inequalities

$$\frac{k}{p-1} - \frac{\log k}{\log p} - 1 \le \nu_p(k!) \le \frac{k-1}{p-1}$$

hold.

Proof. Consider the maximal and minimal values of $s_p(k)$, respectively.

3. General approach to the proofs

This approach does not affect the problem $B_y = x_2!/x_1!$ with $x_2 - x_1 = c$, $c \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

For a given positive integer r and the integer valued function $f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_r)$ we would like to solve the Diophantine equation

$$B_y = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) \tag{6}$$

in the positive integers y, x_1, \ldots, x_r . Recall Theorem 2 to remind us that the value $\nu_2(B_n)$ is rather small. If we are able to give a "good" lower bound for the "sufficiently large" $\nu_2(f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r))$, meanwhile we can provide a "good" upper bound for $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r)$, then there is a chance to bound the variables. More precisely, Lemma 1 leads to

$$y < 1 + \frac{\log f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)}{\log \alpha}$$

starting from (6). Theorem 2 implies

$$\nu_2(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)) = \nu_2(B_y) \le \nu_2(y) \le \frac{\log y}{\log 2}$$

Combining the last two formulas, we obtain

$$\nu_2(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)) < \frac{1}{\log 2} \log \left(1 + \frac{\log f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)}{\log \alpha} \right).$$
 (7)

We succeed if the comparison of the two sides bounds the variables. This will happen in the following cases:

- 1. $f(x_1, x_2) = x_2!/x_1!$, with the condition $x_1 \le \delta x_2$ for some $0 < \delta < 1$,
- 2. $f(x_1, x_2) = x_2!/x_1$ with $x_1 \le x_2$,
- 3. $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2!$ with the restriction $x_1 \leq K x_2$ for some positive integer $1 \leq K$.

4. Proof of the theorems

4.1. Proof of Theorem 3

Case 1. $x_1 + 2 < x_2$ and $x_1 \le \delta x_2$ with a fixed $0 < \delta < 1$.

Assume that the positive integer solutions x_1 , x_2 and y satisfy $x_1 + 2 < x_2$ and $x_1 \le \delta x_2$ with a fixed $0 < \delta < 1$.

Corollary 1 provides

$$\nu_2\left(\frac{x_2!}{x_1!}\right) = \nu_2(x_2!) - \nu_2(x_1!) \ge x_2 - \frac{\log x_2}{\log 2} - 1 - (x_1 - 1)$$

$$\ge (1 - \delta)x_2 - \frac{\log x_2}{\log 2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{x_2!}{x_1!} \le x_2! \le \left(\frac{x_2}{2}\right)^{x_2}$$

follows where we applied the well-known identity $k! \leq (k/2)^k$. The preparation till now enables us to apply (7). It leads to

$$(1 - \delta)x_2 - \frac{\log x_2}{\log 2} < \frac{1}{\log 2} \log \left(1 + \frac{x_2 \log(x_2/2)}{\log \alpha}\right).$$
 (8)

For fixed δ , it provides an upper bound for x_2 . Indeed, if x_2 is large enough, the left-hand side of (8) is positive, further the leading term is linear, while the right-hand side is approximately logarithmic in x_2 . For instance, if $\delta = 49/50$, then $x_2 \le 1102$. Making a simple computer verification in the range $3 < x_2 \le 1102$, $1 \le x_1 \le x_2 - 2$, $x_1 \le 49/50x_2$, according to (2), we find a balancing number if

$$\sqrt{8\left(\frac{x_2!}{x_1!}\right)^2 + 1}$$

is an integer. It occurs only in the case $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 3)$, which gives $B_y = 6$, and then y = 2. Taking another example, say $\delta = 1 - 10^{-6}$, we obtain $x_2 < 5.5 \cdot 10^7$. This bound is too large, even to check possible cases by a computer!

Case 2. $x_1 = x_2 - 2$.

We have to solve $B_y = x_2(x_2 - 1)$. Put $z = C_n$. Then $z^2 = 32x_2^2(x_2 - 1)^2 + 4$ via $z_1 = z/2$ leads to the equation

$$z_1^2 = 8x_2^4 - 16x_2^3 + 8x_2^2 + 1.$$

To this equation, the Magma procedure

determines the solutions

$$(x_2, z_1) = (-2, \pm 17), (0, \pm 1), (1, \pm 1), (3, \pm 17).$$

Only the last one provides solution to $B_y = x_2(x_2 - 1)$, namely $B_2 = 6 = 3 \cdot 2$, i.e. $(x_2, y) = (3, 2)$.

Case 3. $x_1 = x_2 - 3$.

Now, our task is to solve $B_y = x_2(x_2 - 1)(x_2 - 2)$. Let $z = C_n$ and $t = x_2 - 1$. Then we have

$$z^{2} = 32(t-1)^{2}t^{2}(t+1)^{2} + 4 = 32(t^{2}-1)^{2}t^{2} + 4.$$

Applying $z = 2z_1$ and $t_1 = t^2$, and multiplying the equation by 3^6 , together with $t_1 = (T-4)/6$, we arrive at the elliptic equation

$$(27z_1)^2 = T^3 - 108T + 1161. (9)$$

We used Magma (E:=EllipticCurve([-108,1161]); IntegralPoints(E);) to solve (9), and we got

$$(T, 27z_1) = (-12, \pm 27), (-2, \pm 37), (6, \pm 27), (15, \pm 54), (60, \pm 459).$$

None of them gives a solution to $B_y = x_2(x_2 - 1)(x_2 - 2)$ with the given conditions.

Case 4. $x_1 = x_2 - 4$.

The corresponding equation is $B_y = x_2(x_2 - 1)(x_2 - 2)(x_2 - 3)$. Put $z = C_n$. Then $z^2 = 32x_2^2(x_2 - 1)^2(x_2 - 2)^2(x_2 - 3)^2 + 4$ via $z_1 = z/2$ and $t = x_2^2 - 3x_2 + 1$ leads to

$$z_1^2 = 8t^4 - 16t^2 + 9.$$

IntegralQuarticPoints([8,0,-16,0,9]); returns with

$$(t, z_1) = (\pm 6, \pm 99), (\pm 1, \pm 1), (0, \pm 3).$$

Clearly, none of them leads to a solution of $B_y = x_2(x_2 - 1)(x_2 - 2)(x_2 - 3)$.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 4

Here $f(x_1, x_2) = x_2!/x_1$ assuming $x_1 \le x_2$. Thus

$$\nu_2(B_y) = \nu_2(x_2!/x_1) = \nu_2(x_2!) - \nu_2(x_1) \ge x_2 - 1 - 2\frac{\log x_2}{\log 2}.$$

Further

$$\frac{x_2!}{x_1} \le x_2! \le \left(\frac{x_2}{2}\right)^{x_2}$$

follows. Putting them together to apply (7), we obtain

$$x_2 - 1 - 2\frac{\log x_2}{\log 2} < \frac{1}{\log 2} \log \left(1 + \frac{x_2 \log(x_2/2)}{\log \alpha}\right).$$

It provides $2 \le x_2 \le 11$. Lastly, we checked the possible values of x_1 and x_2 , and found three solutions.

4.3. Proof of Theorem 5

Now we study the function $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2!$ with the restriction $x_1 \leq K x_2$, where $K = 10^6$.

$$\nu_2(B_y) = \nu_2(x_1x_2!) = \nu_2(x_1) + \nu_2(x_2!) \ge x_2 - 1 - \frac{\log x_2}{\log 2}$$

follows by Corollary 1. Also,

$$x_1 x_2! \le x_1 \left(\frac{x_2}{2}\right)^{x_2}$$

holds, so together with (7) we have

$$x_2 - 1 - \frac{\log x_2}{\log 2} < \frac{1}{\log 2} \log \left(1 + \frac{\log x_1 + x_2 \log(x_2/2)}{\log \alpha} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\log 2} \log \left(1 + \frac{\log K + \log x_2 + x_2 \log(x_2/2)}{\log \alpha} \right).$$

The solution of the inequality above for $K = 10^6$ is $x_2 \le 8$. A computer verification for $B_y = x_1 x_2!$ returns 18 solutions described in the theorem.

References

- [1] M. Alp, N. Irmak, L. Szalay, *Balancing Diophantine Triples*, Acta Univ. Sapientiae 4(2012), 11–19.
- [2] A. M. LEGENDRE, Theorie des Nombres. Firmin Didot Freres, Paris, 1830.
- [3] T. Lengyel, The order of the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, Fibonacci Quart. 33(1995), 234–239.
- [4] T. LENGYEL AND D. MARQUES, The 2-adic order of the Tribonacci numbers and the equation $T_n = m!$, J. Integer Seq. 17(2014), Article 14.10.1.
- [5] F. Luca, Products of factorials in binary recurrence sequences, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 29(1999), 1387–1411.