43

Box-counting dimension of solution curves for a class of two-dimensional nonautonomous linear differential systems^{*}

Masakazu Onitsuka and Satoshi Tanaka †

Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Okayama University of Science, Ridaichou 1–1, Okayama 700–0005, Japan

Received March 14, 2017; accepted June 12, 2017

Abstract. The two-dimensional linear differential system

$$x' = y, \quad y' = -x - h(t)y$$

is considered on $[t_0, \infty)$, where $h \in C^1[t_0, \infty)$ and h(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_0$. The box-counting dimension of graphs of solution curves is calculated. Criteria to obtain the box-counting dimension of spirals are also established.

AMS subject classifications: 34A30, 37C45, 28A80 **Key words**: linear system, box-counting dimension, spiral

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following two-dimensional linear differential system

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= y, \\ y' &= -x - h(t)y \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

for $t \ge t_0$, where $h \in C^1[t_0, \infty)$ and h(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_0$. This system has the zero solution $(x(t), y(t)) \equiv (0, 0)$. Setting y = x', we can rewrite (1) as the damped linear oscillator

$$x'' + h(t)x' + x = 0, \quad t \ge t_0.$$

By a general theory (for example [1, 4]), there exists a unique solution of (1) on $[t_0, \infty)$ with the initial condition $x(t_1) = \alpha$ and $y(t_1) = \beta$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ and $t_1 \geq t_0$. Hence, we note that every nontrivial solution (x(t), y(t)) satisfies $(x(t), y(t)) \neq (0, 0)$ for $t \geq t_0$.

The zero solution $(x(t), y(t)) \equiv (0, 0)$ of (1) is said to be *attractive* if every solution (x(t), y(t)) of (1) satisfies $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$. There are a lot of studies of the attractivity to (1) (see, for example, [2, 11, 12, 20, 21]).

Now, we assume that the zero solution of (1) is attractive. Let (x(t), y(t)) be a solution of (1). We define the solution curve of (x(t), y(t)) on $[t_1, \infty)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 by

$$\Gamma_{(x,y;t_1)} = \{ (x(t), y(t)) : t \ge t_1 \}$$

http://www.mathos.hr/mc

©2018 Department of Mathematics, University of Osijek

^{*}This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 26400182.

[†]Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* onitsuka@xmath.ous.ac.jp (M. Onitsuka), tanaka@xmath.ous.ac.jp (S. Tanaka)

for each fixed $t_1 \ge t_0$. A curve $\Gamma_{(x,y;t_1)}$ is said to be *simple* if $(x(t), y(t)) \ne (x(s), y(s))$ for $t, s \in [t_1, \infty)$ with $t \ne s$. A simple solution curve $\Gamma_{(x,y;t_1)}$ is said to be *rectifiable* if the length of $\Gamma_{(x,y;t_1)}$ is finite, that is,

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} \sqrt{|x'(t)|^2 + |y'(t)|^2} dt < \infty.$$

Otherwise, it is said to be *non-rectifiable*, that is,

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} \sqrt{|x'(t)|^2 + |y'(t)|^2} dt = \infty.$$

The rectifiability of solutions to two-dimensional linear differential systems was studied by Miličić and Pašić [8] and Naito and Pašić [9]. Naito, Pašić and Tanaka [10] obtained rectifiable and non-rectifiable results of solutions to half-linear differential systems. Recently, the following Theorem A has been established in [13]. In what follows, the following notation will be used:

$$H(t) = \int_{t_0}^t h(s) ds.$$

Theorem A. Let $h \in C^1[t_0, \infty)$ satisfy h(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_0$. Assume that the following conditions (2) and (3) are satisfied:

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} h(t)dt = \infty; \tag{2}$$

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} |2h'(t) + |h(t)|^2 |dt < \infty.$$
(3)

Then, the zero solution of (1) is attractive and every nontrivial solution (x(t), y(t))of (1) is a spiral, rotating in a clockwise direction for all sufficiently large $t \ge t_0$, and its solution curve $\Gamma_{(x,y;t_0)}$ is simple. Moreover, the following properties (i) and (ii) hold:

(i) every nontrivial solution of (1) is rectifiable if

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} e^{-H(t)/2} dt < \infty;$$

(ii) every nontrivial solution of (1) is non-rectifiable if

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} e^{-H(t)/2} dt = \infty.$$

In the above theorem, we adopt the definition of a spiral, according to a celebrated book by Hartman [4, Chapters VII and VIII] as follows. For every nontrivial solution (x(t), y(t)) of (1), we introduce polar coordinates

$$x(t) = r(t)\cos\theta(t), \quad y(t) = r(t)\sin\theta(t),$$

where the amplitude r(t) > 0. A nontrivial solution (x(t), y(t)) of (1) is said to be a *spiral* if $|\theta(t)| \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$.

In this paper, we obtain the box-counting dimension of the solution curve $\Gamma_{(x,y;t_1)}$ for a nontrivial solution (x(t), y(t)) of (1). For a bounded subset Γ of \mathbf{R}^2 , we define the box-counting dimension (Minkowski-Bouligand dimension) of Γ by

$$\dim_{\mathrm{B}} \Gamma = 2 - \lim_{\varepsilon \to +0} \frac{\log |\Gamma_{\varepsilon}|}{\log \varepsilon},$$

where Γ_{ε} denotes the ε -neighborhood of Γ defined by

$$\Gamma_{\varepsilon} = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : d((x, y), \Gamma) \le \varepsilon \},$$
(4)

 $d((x, y), \Gamma)$ denotes the Euclidean distance from (x, y) to Γ , and $|\Gamma_{\varepsilon}|$ denotes the two-dimensional Lebesgue measure of Γ_{ε} . More details on the definition of the box-counting dimension can be found in Falconer [3] and Tricot [22]. If there exist $d \in [0, 2], c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$c_1 \varepsilon^{2-d} \le |\Gamma_{\varepsilon}| \le c_2 \varepsilon^{2-d}$$

for each sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, then dim_B $\Gamma = d$.

The following result has been established in Tricot [22, §9.1, Theorem].

Proposition 1. Let Γ be a simple curve of finite length. Then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to +0} \frac{|\Gamma_{\varepsilon}|}{2\varepsilon} = \operatorname{length}(\Gamma),$$

where length (Γ) denotes the length of Γ .

Therefore, if length(Γ) < ∞ , then dim_B $\Gamma = 1$.

The box-counting dimension of graphs of solutions to the nonautonomous differential equation was first obtained by Pašić [14]. Thereafter, it was obtained about the nonautonomous second order linear differential equations in [7, 15, 16, 17]. On the other hand, the box-counting dimensions of solution curves to autonomous twodimensional nonlinear differential systems were established in [18, 19, 23, 24]. Recently, Korkut, Vlah and Županović [6] have considered the equation

$$t^{2}x'' + t(2-\mu)x' + (t^{2}-\nu^{2})x = 0,$$
(5)

where $\mu, \nu \in \mathbf{R}$, and defined generalized Bessel functions $\widetilde{J}_{\nu,\mu}$ and $\widetilde{Y}_{\nu,\mu}$ by two linearly independent solutions of (5). When $\mu = 1$, equation (5) is known as Bessel's differential equation and Bessel functions J_{ν} and Y_{ν} are its two linearly independent solutions. In [6], the relation

$$\widetilde{J}_{\nu,\mu}(t) = t^{\frac{\mu-1}{2}} J_{\widetilde{\nu}}(t), \quad \widetilde{Y}_{\nu,\mu}(t) = t^{\frac{\mu-1}{2}} Y_{\widetilde{\nu}}(t), \quad \widetilde{\nu} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mu-1}{2}\right)^2 + \nu^2}$$

is found, and the following result is established.

Theorem B (see [6]). Let $\mu \in (0,2)$, $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$ and $t_0 > 0$. Let $x(t) = J_{\nu,\mu}(t)$ or $\widetilde{Y}_{\nu,\mu}(t)$. Then the planar curve $\Gamma = \{(x(t), x'(t)) : t \ge t_0\}$ satisfies dim_B $\Gamma = 4/(4-\mu)$.

It is worth noting that if $x(t) = \tilde{J}_{\nu,\mu}(t)$ or $\tilde{Y}_{\nu,\mu}(t)$, then (x(t), y(t)) := (x(t), x'(t)) is a solution of the linear differential system

$$x' = y, y' = -\left(1 - \frac{\nu^2}{t^2}\right)x - \frac{2 - \mu}{t}y.$$
(6)

The following two results are the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1. Let $h \in C^1[t_0, \infty)$ satisfy h(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_0$. Assume that (3) and the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} th(t) < \infty; \tag{7}$$

$$H(t) = 2\alpha \log t + O(1) \quad as \ t \to \infty \quad for \ some \ \alpha \in (0, 1).$$
(8)

Then, for every nontrivial solution (x(t), y(t)) of (1), there exists $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} \Gamma_{(x,y;t_1)} = 2/(1+\alpha)$.

Here and hereafter, f(t) = O(1) as $t \to \infty$ means that there exist M > 0 and t_1 such that $|f(t)| \le M$ for $t \ge t_1$.

Theorem 2. Let $h \in C^1[t_0, \infty)$ satisfy h(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_0$. Assume that (3) and the following condition are satisfied:

$$H(t) = 2\log t + O(1) \quad as \ t \to \infty.$$
(9)

Then, for every nontrivial solution (x(t), y(t)) of (1), there exists $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} \Gamma_{(x,y;t_1)} = 1$.

Example 1. We consider the case where $h(t) = \lambda t^{-\gamma}$, $\lambda > 0$, $1/2 < \gamma \leq 1$ and $t_0 = 1$. It is easy to check that (2) and (3) are satisfied, and

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda}{1-\gamma} (t^{1-\gamma} - 1), \frac{1}{2} < \gamma < 1, \\ \lambda \log t, \qquad \gamma = 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem A implies that the zero solution of (1) is attractive and every nontrivial solution (x(t), y(t)) of (1) is a spiral, rotating in a clockwise direction on $[t_1, \infty)$ for some $t_1 \geq t_0$, and its solution curve $\Gamma_{(x,y;t_0)}$ is simple and that every nontrivial solution of (1) is rectifiable when either $1/2 < \gamma < 1$ or $\gamma = 1$ and $\lambda > 2$, and every nontrivial solution of (1) is non-rectifiable when $\gamma = 1$ and $0 < \lambda \leq 2$. Let (x(t), y(t)) be a nontrivial solution of (1). Therefore, by Proposition 1, if either $1/2 < \gamma < 1$ or $\gamma = 1$ and $\lambda > 2$, then dim_B $\Gamma_{(x,y;t_1)} = 1$. Moreover, Theorem 2 implies that dim_B $\Gamma_{(x,y;t_2)} = 1$ for some $t_2 \geq t_1$ when $\gamma = 1$ and $\lambda = 2$. Applying

Theorem 1, we conclude that if $\gamma = 1$ and $0 < \lambda < 2$, then there exists $t_2 \ge t_1$ such that $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} \Gamma_{(x,y;t_2)} = 4/(2+\lambda)$.

Now, we set either

$$(x(t), y(t)) = (\tilde{J}_{0,2-\lambda}(t), \tilde{J}'_{0,2-\lambda}(t)) \quad or \quad (x(t), y(t)) = (\tilde{Y}_{0,2-\lambda}(t), \tilde{Y}'_{0,2-\lambda}(t)),$$

where $0 < \lambda < 2$. Recalling that $(\widetilde{J}_{\nu,\mu}(t), \widetilde{J}'_{\nu,\mu}(t))$ and $(\widetilde{Y}_{\nu,\mu}(t), \widetilde{Y}'_{\nu,\mu}(t))$ are solutions of system (6), we find that (x(t), y(t)) is a solution of (1) with $h(t) = \lambda t^{-1}$.

Here, we give numerical simulations of solution curves.





h(t) = (5/3)tdim_B $\Gamma_{(x,y;t_2)} = 12/11$, non-rectifiable



Figure 1: Solution curves for the case where $h(t) = \lambda t^{-\gamma}$

The box-counting dimension of the graph of the spiral $r = \varphi^{-\alpha}$, $\varphi \ge \varphi_1 > 0$ in polar coordinates is $2/(1 + \alpha)$ when $0 < \alpha < 1$ (see, for example, Tricot [22, §10.4]). Žubrinić and Županović [23, Theorem 5] generalized this fact to the function $r = f(\varphi), \varphi \ge \varphi_1$. Korkut, Vlah, Žubrinić and Županović [5, Therem 2] improved this result. See also Korkut, Vlah and Županović [6, Theorem 2]. In this paper, we give the following alternative criterion of the dimension of spirals.

Theorem 3. Let $\varphi_1 > 0$ and let $f \in C[\varphi_1, \infty)$ satisfy $\lim_{\varphi \to \infty} f(\varphi) = 0$. Assume that there exist positive constants $\underline{m}, \overline{a}, M$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $\varphi \ge \varphi_1$

$$\frac{\underline{m}\varphi^{-\alpha} \leq f(\varphi),}{0 < f(\varphi) - f(\varphi + 2\pi) \leq \overline{a}\varphi^{-\alpha - 1},}$$

length($\Gamma(\varphi_1, \varphi)$) $\leq M\varphi^{1-\alpha}.$

Let Γ be the graph of $r = f(\varphi)$ in polar coordinates, that is,

$$\Gamma = \{ (f(\varphi)\cos\varphi, f(\varphi)\sin\varphi) : \varphi \ge \varphi_1 \}.$$

Then, dim_B $\Gamma = 2/(1 + \alpha)$.

From Theorem 3, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 1. Let $\varphi_1 > 0$ and let $f \in C^1[\varphi_1, \infty)$ satisfy $\lim_{\varphi \to \infty} f(\varphi) = 0$. Assume that there exist positive constants \underline{m} , K and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $\varphi \geq \varphi_1$

$$\underline{m}\varphi^{-\alpha} \le f(\varphi), -K\varphi^{-\alpha-1} \le f'(\varphi) \le 0.$$

Assume, moreover, that $f'(\varphi) \neq 0$ on $[\varphi, \varphi + 2\pi)$ for each fixed $\varphi \geq \varphi_1$. Let $\Gamma = \{(f(\varphi) \cos \varphi, f(\varphi) \sin \varphi) : \varphi \geq \varphi_1\}$. Then, $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} \Gamma = 2/(1+\alpha)$.

The proof of Corollary 1 will be given in Section 2. Using Corollary 1, we prove Theorem 1 in Section 4. Corollary 1 is similar to the criterion by Korkut, Vlah, Žubrinić and Županović [5, Therem 2]. The proof of Theorem 2 in [5] is based on the proof of Theorem 5 in [23]. Žubrinić and Županović employed the radial box dimension to prove Theorem 5 in [23]. On the other hand, the proof of Theorem 3, which will be given in Section 2, is more direct.

The box-counting dimension of the graph of the spiral $r = \varphi^{-1}$, $\varphi \ge \varphi_1 > 0$ in polar coordinates is 1 (see Tricot [22, §10.4]). We generalize this fact as follows.

Theorem 4. Let $\varphi_1 > 1$ and let $f \in C[\varphi_1, \infty)$ satisfy $\lim_{\varphi \to \infty} f(\varphi) = 0$. Assume that there exist positive constants \overline{m} and M such that for all $\varphi \ge \varphi_1$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < f(\varphi) &\leq \overline{m}\varphi^{-1}, \\ 0 < f(\varphi) - f(\varphi + 2\pi), \\ \text{length}(\Gamma(\varphi_1, \varphi)) &\leq M \log \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Gamma = \{(f(\varphi)\cos\varphi, f(\varphi)\sin\varphi) : \varphi \ge \varphi_1\}$. Then, dim_B $\Gamma = 1$.

The following corollary follows from Theorem 4.

Corollary 2. Let $\varphi_1 > 1$ and let $f \in C[\varphi_1, \infty)$ satisfy $\lim_{\varphi \to \infty} f(\varphi) = 0$. Assume that there exist positive constants \overline{m} and K such that for all $\varphi \ge \varphi_1$

$$0 < f(\varphi) \le \overline{m}\varphi^{-1}$$
$$-K\varphi^{-1} \le f'(\varphi) \le 0.$$

Assume, moreover, that $f'(\varphi) \neq 0$ on $[\varphi, \varphi + 2\pi)$ for each fixed $\varphi \geq \varphi_1$. Let $\Gamma = \{(f(\varphi)\cos\varphi, f(\varphi)\sin\varphi) : \varphi \geq \varphi_1\}$. Then, $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} \Gamma = 1$.

The proofs of Theorem 4 and Corollary 2 will be given in Section 3.

2. Box-counting dimension of spirals

In this section, we prove Theorem 3 and Corollary 1. First, we give a lemma.

Lemma 1. Let $\varphi_1 > 0$ and let $f \in C[\varphi_1, \infty)$ satisfy $f(\varphi) > 0$ for $\varphi \ge \varphi_1$ and $\lim_{\varphi \to \infty} f(\varphi) = 0$. Assume that there exist positive constants \overline{a} and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$0 < f(\varphi) - f(\varphi + 2\pi) \le \overline{a}\varphi^{-\alpha - 1}, \quad \varphi \ge \varphi_1.$$

Then, there exists a positive constant \overline{m} such that $f(\varphi) \leq \overline{m} \varphi^{-\alpha}$ for $\varphi \geq \varphi_1$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \geq \varphi_1$. Then, there exist $N \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\varphi_0 \in [\varphi_1, \varphi_1 + 2\pi)$ such that $\varphi = \varphi_0 + 2N\pi$. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ with n > N. It follows that

$$f(\varphi) = f(\varphi_0 + 2N\pi)$$

= $f(\varphi_0 + 2(n+1)\pi) + \sum_{k=N}^n [f(\varphi_0 + 2k\pi) - f(\varphi_0 + 2(k+1)\pi)]$
 $\leq f(\varphi_0 + 2(n+1)\pi) + \sum_{k=N}^n \overline{a}(\varphi_0 + 2k\pi)^{-\alpha - 1}.$

Since

$$\frac{(\varphi_0 + 2k\pi)^{-\alpha - 1}}{(\varphi_0 + 2(k+1)\pi)^{-\alpha - 1}} = \left(\frac{\varphi_0 + 2(k+1)\pi}{\varphi_0 + 2k\pi}\right)^{\alpha + 1}$$
$$= \left(1 + \frac{2\pi}{\varphi_0 + 2k\pi}\right)^{\alpha + 1}$$
$$\leq \left(1 + \frac{2\pi}{\varphi_1}\right)^{\alpha + 1}, \quad k \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\},$$

we have

$$(\varphi_0 + 2k\pi)^{-\alpha - 1} \le M_1(\varphi_0 + 2(k+1)\pi)^{-\alpha - 1}, \quad k \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\},\$$

where $M_1 = [1 + (2\pi/\varphi_1)]^{\alpha+1}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} f(\varphi) &\leq f(\varphi_0 + 2(n+1)\pi) + \sum_{k=N}^n \overline{a}M_1(\varphi_0 + 2(k+1)\pi)^{-\alpha - 1} \\ &= f(\varphi_0 + 2(n+1)\pi) + \overline{a}M_1 \sum_{k=N}^n \int_k^{k+1} (\varphi_0 + 2(k+1)\pi)^{-\alpha - 1} dt \\ &\leq f(\varphi_0 + 2(n+1)\pi) + \overline{a}M_1 \sum_{k=N}^n \int_k^{k+1} (\varphi_0 + 2\pi t)^{-\alpha - 1} dt \\ &= f(\varphi_0 + 2(n+1)\pi) + \overline{a}M_1 \int_N^{n+1} (\varphi_0 + 2\pi t)^{-\alpha - 1} dt \\ &= f(\varphi_0 + 2(n+1)\pi) + \frac{\overline{a}M_1}{2\pi\alpha} \left[(\varphi_0 + 2N\pi)^{-\alpha} - (\varphi_0 + 2(n+1)\pi)^{-\alpha} \right]. \end{split}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$f(\varphi) \leq \frac{\overline{a}M_1}{2\pi\alpha} (\varphi_0 + 2N\pi)^{-\alpha} = \frac{\overline{a}M_1}{2\pi\alpha} \varphi^{-\alpha}.$$

Hereafter, in this section, we assume all assumptions of Theorem 3. Then, by Lemma 1, there exists a positive constant \overline{m} such that $f(\varphi) \leq \overline{m}\varphi^{-\alpha}$ for $\varphi \geq \varphi_1$.

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ be sufficiently small. We use the following notation:

$$\varphi_{2}(\varepsilon) = \left(\frac{2\overline{a}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}};$$

$$\Gamma(\psi_{1},\psi_{2}) = \{(f(\varphi)\cos\varphi, f(\varphi)\sin\varphi) : \psi_{1} \leq \varphi < \psi_{2}\};$$

$$T(\Gamma,\varepsilon) = \Gamma(\varphi_{1},\varphi_{2}(\varepsilon))_{\varepsilon};$$

$$N(\Gamma,\varepsilon) = \Gamma(\varphi_{2}(\varepsilon),\infty)_{\varepsilon},$$

where Γ_{ε} denotes the ε -neighborhood of Γ defined by (4). Then, $\Gamma_{\varepsilon} = T(\Gamma, \varepsilon) \cup N(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$.

Lemma 2.

$$\{(r\cos\varphi, r\sin\varphi): 0 \le r \le f(\varphi), \ \varphi \in [\varphi_2(\varepsilon), \varphi_2(\varepsilon) + 2\pi)\} \subset N(\Gamma, \varepsilon).$$

$\mathbf{Proof.}\ \mathrm{Let}$

$$(x_0, y_0) \in \{ (r \cos \varphi, r \sin \varphi) : 0 \le r \le f(\varphi), \ \varphi \in [\varphi_2(\varepsilon), \varphi_2(\varepsilon) + 2\pi) \}.$$

Set $r_0 = \sqrt{x_0^2 + y_0^2}$. Then, there exists $\varphi_0 \ge \varphi_2(\varepsilon)$ such that

 $(x_0, y_0) = (r_0 \cos \varphi_0, r_0 \sin \varphi_0)$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$f(\varphi_0 + 2\pi) \le r_0 \le f(\varphi_0).$$

We have

$$0 \le f(\varphi_0) - r_0 \le f(\varphi_0) - f(\varphi_0 + 2\pi) \le \overline{a}\varphi_0^{-\alpha - 1} \le \overline{a}(\varphi_2(\varepsilon))^{-\alpha - 1} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$d((x_0, y_0), (f(\varphi_0) \cos \varphi_0, f(\varphi_0) \sin \varphi_0)) = f(\varphi_0) - r_0 < \varepsilon,$$

which means that $(x_0, y_0) \in N(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$.

Lemma 3.

$$\pi \underline{m}^2 \left[(2\overline{a})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} + 2\pi \right]^{-2\alpha} \varepsilon^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \le |N(\Gamma,\varepsilon)| \le \pi \left[\overline{m}(2\overline{a})^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} + 1 \right]^2 \varepsilon^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}}.$$

Proof. Set

$$r_*(\varepsilon) = \min_{\psi \in [\varphi_2(\varepsilon), \varphi_2(\varepsilon) + 2\pi]} f(\psi), \quad r^*(\varepsilon) = \max_{\psi \in [\varphi_2(\varepsilon), \varphi_2(\varepsilon) + 2\pi]} f(\psi),$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$A = \{ (r \cos \varphi, r \sin \varphi) : 0 \le r \le f(\varphi), \ \varphi \in [\varphi_2(\varepsilon), \varphi_2(\varepsilon) + 2\pi) \}.$$

Then, we easily find that

$$\{(r\cos\varphi, r\sin\varphi): 0 \le r \le r_*(\varepsilon), \ \varphi \in \mathbf{R}\} \subset A.$$

Therefore, Lemma 2 implies that

$$\begin{split} |N(\Gamma,\varepsilon)| &\geq |A| \\ &\geq \pi (r_*(\varepsilon))^2 \\ &\geq \pi \left(\min_{\psi \in [\varphi_2(\varepsilon),\varphi_2(\varepsilon)+2\pi]} \underline{m} \psi^{-\alpha} \right)^2 \\ &= \pi \underline{m}^2 (\varphi_2(\varepsilon)+2\pi)^{-2\alpha} \\ &= \pi \underline{m}^2 \left[(2\overline{a})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} + 2\pi \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right]^{-2\alpha} \varepsilon^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \\ &\geq \pi \underline{m}^2 \left[(2\overline{a})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} + 2\pi \right]^{-2\alpha} \varepsilon^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}}, \end{split}$$

since $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

Let $(x, y) \in N(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$. Then, there exists $(x_0, y_0) \in \Gamma(\varphi_2(\varepsilon), \infty)$ and

 $d((x,y),(x_0,y_0)) < \varepsilon.$

Hence,

$$d((x,y),(0,0)) \le d((x,y),(x_0,y_0)) + d((x_0,y_0),(0,0)) < \varepsilon + r^*(\varepsilon).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} |N(\Gamma,\varepsilon)| &\leq \pi(\varepsilon + r^*(\varepsilon))^2 \\ &\leq \pi \left(\varepsilon + \max_{\psi \in [\varphi_2(\varepsilon), \varphi_2(\varepsilon) + 2\pi]} \overline{m} \psi^{-\alpha}\right)^2 \\ &= \pi \left[\varepsilon + \overline{m}(\varphi_2(\varepsilon))^{-\alpha}\right]^2 \\ &= \pi \left[\varepsilon + \overline{m}(\varphi_2(\varepsilon))^{-\alpha}\right]^2 \\ &= \pi \left[\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} + \overline{m}(2\overline{a})^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}\right]^2 \varepsilon^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \\ &\leq \pi \left[1 + \overline{m}(2\overline{a})^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}\right]^2 \varepsilon^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}}. \end{split}$$

Lemma 4. Let $x, y \in C[a, b]$ and let

$$G = \{(x(s), y(s)) : a \le s \le b\}.$$

Assume that $(x(s), y(s)) \neq (x(t), y(t))$ for $a \leq s < t \leq b$. Then,

$$|G_{\varepsilon}| \le 4\pi\varepsilon \operatorname{length}(G) + 4\pi\varepsilon^2.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 26 in [17]. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Set $s_1 = a$ and

$$s_{i+1} = \max\{s \in [s_i, b] : d((x(t), y(t)), (x(s_i), y(s_i))) \le \varepsilon, \ t \in [s_i, s]\}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then, there exists $n \ge 2$ such that $s_n = b$. Set $N = \max\{i \in \mathbb{N} : s_i < b\}$. We find that $N \ge 1$,

$$a = s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_i < s_{i+1} < \dots < s_N < s_{N+1} = b,$$

and if $N \geq 2$, then

$$d((x(s_i), y(s_i)), (x(s_{i+1}), y(s_{i+1}))) = \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, N-1.$$

We will prove that

$$G_{\varepsilon} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} B_{2\varepsilon}(x(s_i), y(s_i)), \tag{10}$$

where

$$B_{2\varepsilon}(x_0, y_0) = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : d((x_0, y_0), (x, y)) \le 2\varepsilon \}.$$

Let $(x_1, y_1) \in G_{\varepsilon}$. Then, there exists $\sigma \in [a, b]$ such that

$$d((x_1, y_1), (x(\sigma), y(\sigma))) \le \varepsilon.$$

Because of the definition of s_i , we find that $\sigma \in [s_k, s_{k+1}]$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, which implies that

$$d((x(\sigma), y(\sigma)), (x(s_k), y(s_k))) \le \varepsilon.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$d((x_1, y_1), (x(s_k), y(s_k))) \\ \leq d((x_1, y_1), (x(\sigma), y(\sigma))) + d((x(\sigma), y(\sigma)), (x(s_k), y(s_k))) \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

which means that $(x_1, y_1) \in B_{2\varepsilon}(x(s_k), y(s_k))$. Therefore, we obtain (10). By (10), we conclude that

$$|G_{\varepsilon}| \le \sum_{i=1}^{N} |B_{2\varepsilon}(x(s_i), y(s_i))| = 4N\pi\varepsilon^2.$$
(11)

When N = 1, from (11) it follows that

$$|G_{\varepsilon}| \le 4\pi\varepsilon^2 \le 4\pi\varepsilon \operatorname{length}(G) + 4\pi\varepsilon^2.$$

Now, we assume that $N \ge 2$. We observe that

$$length(G) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{N} d((x(s_i), y(s_i)), (x(s_{i+1}), y(s_{i+1})))$$
$$\ge \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} d((x(s_i), y(s_i)), (x(s_{i+1}), y(s_{i+1})))$$
$$= (N-1)\varepsilon,$$

that is,

$$N\varepsilon \le \text{length}(G) + \varepsilon.$$
 (12)

Combining (11) with (12), we obtain $|G_{\varepsilon}| \leq 4\pi\varepsilon \operatorname{length}(G) + 4\pi\varepsilon^2$.

Lemma 5.

$$|T(\Gamma,\varepsilon)| \le 4\pi \left[M(2\overline{a})^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha+1}} + 1 \right] \varepsilon^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}}.$$

Proof. From Lemma 4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |T(\Gamma,\varepsilon)| &\leq 4\pi\varepsilon \operatorname{length}(\Gamma(\varphi_1,\varphi_2(\varepsilon))) + 4\pi\varepsilon^2 \\ &\leq 4\pi\varepsilon M(\varphi_2(\varepsilon))^{1-\alpha} + 4\pi\varepsilon^2 \\ &= 4\pi M(2\overline{a})^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha+1}}\varepsilon^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}} + 4\pi\varepsilon^2 \\ &= 4\pi \left[M(2\overline{a})^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha+1}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{\alpha+1}}\right]\varepsilon^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \\ &\leq 4\pi \left[M(2\overline{a})^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha+1}} + 1\right]\varepsilon^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Since

 $|\Gamma_{\varepsilon}| \ge |N(\Gamma, \varepsilon)|$

and

$$|\Gamma_{\varepsilon}| \leq |T(\Gamma, \varepsilon)| + |N(\Gamma, \varepsilon)|,$$

Lemmas 3 and 5 imply that there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$C_1 \varepsilon^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \le |\Gamma_{\varepsilon}| \le C_2 \varepsilon^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}}$$

for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Consequently, $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} \Gamma = 2/(1 + \alpha)$.

Proof of Corollary 1. Let $\varphi \geq \varphi_1$ be fixed. Since $f'(\varphi) \leq 0$ and $f'(\varphi) \not\equiv 0$ on $[\varphi, \varphi + 2\pi)$, we have

$$0 > \int_{\varphi}^{\varphi+2\pi} f'(\psi)d\psi = f(\varphi+2\pi) - f(\varphi).$$

By the mean value theorem, there exists $c\in (\varphi,\varphi+2\pi)$ such that

$$\frac{f(\varphi + 2\pi) - f(\varphi)}{2\pi} = f'(c),$$

which implies that

$$f(\varphi) - f(\varphi + 2\pi) = -2\pi f'(c) \le 2\pi K c^{-\alpha - 1} \le 2\pi K \varphi^{-\alpha - 1}.$$

Then, by Lemma 1, there exists a positive constant \overline{m} such that $f(\psi) \leq \overline{m}\psi^{-\alpha}$ for $\psi \geq \varphi_1$. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{length}(\Gamma(\varphi_{1},\varphi)) = \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi} \sqrt{(f(\psi))^{2} + (f'(\psi))^{2}} d\psi$$
$$\leq \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi} \sqrt{(\overline{m}\psi^{-\alpha})^{2} + (K\psi^{-\alpha-1})^{2}} d\psi$$
$$= \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi} \psi^{-\alpha} \sqrt{\overline{m}^{2} + K^{2}\psi^{-2}} d\psi$$
$$\leq \sqrt{\overline{m}^{2} + K^{2}\varphi_{1}^{-2}} \int_{\varphi_{1}}^{\varphi} \psi^{-\alpha} d\psi$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{\overline{m}^{2} + K^{2}\varphi_{1}^{-2}}}{1 - \alpha} (\varphi^{1-\alpha} - \varphi_{1}^{1-\alpha})$$
$$\leq \frac{\sqrt{\overline{m}^{2} + K^{2}\varphi_{1}^{-2}}}{1 - \alpha} \varphi^{1-\alpha}.$$

Theorem 3 implies that $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} \Gamma = 2/(1+\alpha).$

54

3. Spiral with the box-counting dimension one

In this section, we prove Theorem 4 and assume all assumptions of Theorem 4. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \varphi_1^{-2})$ be sufficiently small. We use the following notation:

$$T_1(\Gamma,\varepsilon) = \Gamma(\varphi_1,\varepsilon^{-1/2})_{\varepsilon};$$
$$N_1(\Gamma,\varepsilon) = \Gamma(\varepsilon^{-1/2},\infty)_{\varepsilon},$$

where $\Gamma(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \{(f(\varphi) \cos \varphi, f(\varphi) \sin \varphi) : \psi_1 \leq \varphi < \psi_2\}$. In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3, we have the following result.

Lemma 6. $|N_1(\Gamma, \varepsilon)| \leq \pi (\overline{m} + 1)^2 \varepsilon$.

Lemma 7. $|T_1(\Gamma, \varepsilon)| \leq -2\pi M \varepsilon \log \varepsilon + 4\pi \varepsilon^2$.

Proof. By Lemma 4, we find that

$$|T_1(\Gamma, \varepsilon)| \le 4\pi\varepsilon \operatorname{length}(\Gamma(\varphi_1, \varepsilon^{-1/2})) + 4\pi\varepsilon^2$$
$$\le 4\pi M\varepsilon \log \varepsilon^{-1/2} + 4\pi\varepsilon^2$$
$$= -2\pi M\varepsilon \log \varepsilon + 4\pi\varepsilon^2.$$

The following inequality has been obtained in Tricot [22, §9.1].

Lemma 8. Let G be a curve in \mathbb{R}^2 and let diam(G) be the largest distance between each two points in G, that is,

$$\operatorname{diam}(G) = \sup_{z,w \in G} d(z,w).$$

Assume that $\operatorname{diam}(G) < \infty$. Then,

$$|G_{\varepsilon}| \ge 2\varepsilon \operatorname{diam}(G) + \pi \varepsilon^2.$$

Now, we give the proof of Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. Since the distance between two points

$$(f(\varphi_1)\cos\varphi_1, f(\varphi_1)\sin\varphi_1)$$

and

$$(f(\varphi_1 + \pi)\cos(\varphi_1 + \pi), f(\varphi_1 + \pi)\sin(\varphi_1 + \pi))$$

is equal to $f(\varphi_1) + f(\varphi_1 + \pi)$, we have

diam(
$$\Gamma$$
) $\geq f(\varphi_1) + f(\varphi_1 + \pi)$.

Hence, from Lemma 8, it follows that

$$|\Gamma_{\varepsilon}| \ge 2\varepsilon \operatorname{diam}(\Gamma) + \pi \varepsilon^2 \ge 2(f(\varphi_1) + f(\varphi_1 + \pi))\varepsilon,$$

which implies that

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to +0} \frac{\log |\Gamma_{\varepsilon}|}{\log \varepsilon} \ge \liminf_{\varepsilon \to +0} \frac{\log(f(\varphi_1) + f(\varphi_1 + \pi))\varepsilon}{\log \varepsilon}$$
$$= \liminf_{\varepsilon \to +0} \left(\frac{\log(f(\varphi_1) + f(\varphi_1 + \pi))}{\log \varepsilon} + 1 \right) = 1.$$

By Lemmas 6 and 7, we conclude that

$$\begin{split} |\Gamma_{\varepsilon}| &\leq |T_1(\Gamma,\varepsilon)| + |N_1(\Gamma,\varepsilon)| \\ &\leq -2\pi M\varepsilon \log \varepsilon + 4\pi\varepsilon^2 + \pi(\overline{m}+1)^2\varepsilon \\ &= [-2\pi M\log \varepsilon + 4\pi\varepsilon + \pi(\overline{m}+1)^2]\varepsilon \\ &\leq [-2\pi M\log \varepsilon + 4\pi + \pi(\overline{m}+1)^2]\varepsilon, \end{split}$$

since $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Therefore,

$$|\Gamma_{\varepsilon}| \le (-c_1 \log \varepsilon + c_2)\varepsilon$$

for some $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$, which implies that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to +0} \frac{\log |\Gamma_{\varepsilon}|}{\log \varepsilon} \le \limsup_{\varepsilon \to +0} \frac{\log(-c_1 \log \varepsilon + c_2)\varepsilon}{\log \varepsilon}$$
$$= \limsup_{\varepsilon \to +0} \left(\frac{\log(-c_1 \log \varepsilon + c_2)}{\log \varepsilon} + 1 \right) = 1.$$

Consequently, $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} \Gamma = 1.$

Proof of Corollary 2. Let $\varphi \ge \varphi_1$ be fixed. By the same argument as in the proof of Corollary 1, we find that $0 < f(\varphi) - f(\varphi + 2\pi)$. We observe that

$$\operatorname{length}(\Gamma(\varphi_1,\varphi)) = \int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi} \sqrt{(f(\psi))^2 + (f'(\psi))^2} d\psi$$
$$\leq \int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi} \sqrt{(\overline{m}\psi^{-1})^2 + (K\psi^{-1})^2} d\psi$$
$$= \sqrt{\overline{m}^2 + K^2} \int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi} \psi^{-1} d\psi$$
$$= \sqrt{\overline{m}^2 + K^2} (\log \varphi - \log \varphi_1)$$
$$\leq \sqrt{\overline{m}^2 + K^2} \log \varphi,$$

since $\varphi_1 > 1$. Applying Theorem 4, we conclude that $\dim_B \Gamma = 1$.

4. Box-counting dimension of solution curves

In this section, we give proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

For each solution (x(t), y(t)) of (1), we use the following notation:

$$r(t) = \sqrt{|x(t)|^2 + |y(t)|^2}.$$

The following Lemmas 9, 10 and 11 have been obtained in [13, Lemmas 2.2, 3.1 and 4.2].

Lemma 9. Let (x(t), y(t)) be a nontrivial solution of (1). Assume that (3) is satisfied. Then, there exist a constant C > 0 and a function $\delta \in C[t_0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \delta(t) = 0$ and

$$[r(t)]^2 = e^{-H(t)}[C + \delta(t)], \quad t \ge t_0.$$

Lemma 10. Let (x(t), y(t)) be a nontrivial solution of (1). If $x(t) = r(t) \cos \theta(t)$ and $y(t) = r(t) \sin \theta(t)$, then

$$\begin{cases} r'(t) = -h(t)r(t)\sin^2\theta(t), \\ \theta'(t) = -1 - \frac{1}{2}h(t)\sin 2\theta(t). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 11. If (3) is satisfied, then $\lim_{t\to\infty} h(t) = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let (x(t), y(t)) be a nontrivial solution of (1). We note that (2) holds, by (8). From Theorem A, it follows that $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$, (x(t), y(t)) is a spiral rotating in a clockwise direction on $[t_1, \infty)$ for some $t_1 \ge t_0$ and $\Gamma_{(x,y;t_0)}$ is simple. By l'Hopital's rule and Lemmas 10 and 11, we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\theta(t)}{t} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \theta'(t) = -1.$$
(13)

Since

$$t^{\alpha}r(t) = t^{\alpha}e^{-H(t)/2}\sqrt{e^{H(t)}[r(t)]^2} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(H(t)-2\alpha\log t)}\sqrt{e^{H(t)}[r(t)]^2},$$

Lemma 9 and (8) imply that

$$0 < \liminf_{t \to \infty} t^{\alpha} r(t) \le \limsup_{t \to \infty} t^{\alpha} r(t) < \infty.$$
(14)

By (13), (14) and (7), there exist $t_2 \ge \max\{t_1, 1\}, C_1 > 0, C_2 > 0$ and $C_3 > 0$ such that for $t \ge t_2$

$$-\frac{3}{2}t \le \theta(t) \le -\frac{1}{2}t,\tag{15}$$

$$-\frac{3}{2} \le \theta'(t) \le -\frac{1}{2},\tag{16}$$

$$C_1 \le t^{\alpha} r(t) \le C_2, \tag{17}$$

$$th(t) \le C_3. \tag{18}$$

In view of (15), we note that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \theta(t) = -\infty$. Set $\eta(t) = -\theta(t)$. Then η is positive and strictly increasing on $[t_2, \infty)$. Hence, η has the inverse function η^{-1} . Set $\varphi_2 = \eta(t_2) > 0$ and $f(\varphi) = r(\eta^{-1}(\varphi))$ on $[\varphi_2, \infty)$. Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$, we have $\lim_{t\to\infty} r(t) = 0$, and hence, $\lim_{\varphi\to\infty} f(\varphi) = 0$. From (15) and (17), it follows that

$$\varphi^{\alpha}f(\varphi) = \varphi^{\alpha}r(\eta^{-1}(\varphi)) = (\eta(t))^{\alpha}r(t) = \left(\frac{-\theta(t)}{t}\right)^{\alpha}t^{\alpha}r(t) \ge \frac{C_1}{2^{\alpha}}, \quad \varphi \ge \varphi_2,$$

where $t = \eta^{-1}(\varphi)$. By (16) and Lemma 10, we find that

$$f'(\varphi) = r'(\eta^{-1}(\varphi)) \frac{1}{\eta'(\eta^{-1}(\varphi))} = -\frac{r'(t)}{\theta'(t)} = \frac{h(t)r(t)\sin^2\theta(t)}{\theta'(t)} \le 0, \quad \varphi \ge \varphi_2, \quad (19)$$

where $t = \eta^{-1}(\varphi)$. We conclude that $f'(\varphi) \neq 0$ on $[\varphi, \varphi + 2\pi)$ for each fixed $\varphi \geq \varphi_2$. Indeed, if $f'(\varphi) \equiv 0$ on $[\varphi, \varphi + 2\pi)$ for some $\varphi \geq \varphi_2$, then, by (19), $\sin^2 \theta(t) \equiv 0$ on $I := [\eta^{-1}(\varphi), \eta^{-1}(\varphi + 2\pi))$, that is, $\theta'(t) \equiv 0$ on I. This contradicts (16). Combining (15), (17), (18) with (19), we find that

$$-\varphi^{\alpha+1}f'(\varphi) = (\eta(t))^{\alpha+1}\frac{h(t)r(t)\sin^2\theta(t)}{-\theta'(t)}$$
$$= \left(\frac{-\theta(t)}{t}\right)^{\alpha+1}\frac{t^{\alpha+1}h(t)r(t)\sin^2\theta(t)}{-\theta'(t)}$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\alpha+1}2C_2C_3, \quad \varphi \ge \varphi_2,$$

where $t = \eta^{-1}(\varphi)$. Set

$$\Gamma = \{ (f(\varphi)\cos\varphi, f(\varphi)\sin\varphi) : \varphi \ge \varphi_2 \}.$$

Corollary 1 implies that $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} \Gamma = 2/(1+\alpha)$. Since

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{(x,-y;t_2)} &= \{ (x(t),-y(t)) : t \ge t_2 \} \\ &= \{ (r(t)\cos\theta(t),-r(t)\sin\theta(t)) : t \ge t_2 \} \\ &= \{ (r(\eta^{-1}(\varphi))\cos\theta(\eta^{-1}(\varphi)),-r(\eta^{-1}(\varphi))\sin\theta(\eta^{-1}(\varphi))) : \varphi \ge \varphi_2 \} \\ &= \{ (f(\varphi)\cos(-\varphi),-f(\varphi)\sin(-\varphi)) : \varphi \ge \varphi_2 \} \\ &= \{ (f(\varphi)\cos\varphi,f(\varphi)\sin\varphi) : \varphi \ge \varphi_2 \} \\ &= \Gamma, \end{split}$$

we have dim_B $\Gamma_{(x,-y;t_2)} = 2/(1+\alpha)$. Since $\Gamma_{(x,y;t_2)}$ and $\Gamma_{(x,-y;t_2)}$ are symmetric, we conclude that

$$\dim_{\mathcal{B}} \Gamma_{(x,y;t_2)} = \dim_{\mathcal{B}} \Gamma_{(x,-y;t_2)} = \dim_{\mathcal{B}} \Gamma = \frac{2}{1+\alpha}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let (x(t), y(t)) be a nontrivial solution of (1). Using (9), we have (2). Hence, from Theorem A, it follows that $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$, (x(t), y(t)) is a spiral rotating in a clockwise direction on $[t_1, \infty)$ for some $t_1 \ge t_0$ and $\Gamma_{(x,y;t_0)}$ is simple. By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 and noting Lemma 11, there exist $t_2 \ge \max\{t_1, 1\}, C_1 > 0, C_2 > 0$ and $C_3 > 0$ such that (15), (16) and the following (20) and (21) hold for $t \ge t_2$

$$C_1 \le tr(t) \le C_2,\tag{20}$$

$$h(t) \le C_3. \tag{21}$$

Set $\eta(t) = -\theta(t)$. Then, η has the inverse function η^{-1} . Set $\varphi_2 = \eta(t_2) > 0$ and $f(\varphi) = r(\eta^{-1}(\varphi))$ on $[\varphi_2, \infty)$. Then, $\lim_{\varphi \to \infty} f(\varphi) = 0$. We observe that

$$\varphi f(\varphi) = \varphi r(\eta^{-1}(\varphi)) = \left(\frac{-\theta(t)}{t}\right) tr(t) \le \frac{3C_2}{2}, \quad \varphi \ge \varphi_2,$$

where $t = \eta^{-1}(\varphi)$. In the same way as in the pool of Theorem 1, using (15), (16), (19), (20) and (21), we conclude that $f'(\varphi) \leq 0$ for $\varphi \geq \varphi_2$, $f'(\varphi) \not\equiv 0$ on $[\varphi, \varphi + 2\pi)$ for each fixed $\varphi \geq \varphi_2$, and that

$$-\varphi f'(\varphi) = \left(\frac{-\theta(t)}{t}\right) \frac{h(t)tr(t)\sin^2\theta(t)}{-\theta'(t)} \le 3C_2C_3, \quad \varphi \ge \varphi_2,$$

where $t = \eta^{-1}(\varphi)$. Corollary 2 implies that $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} \Gamma = 1$. Hence, $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} \Gamma_{(x,y;t_2)} = 1$.

References

- [1] W. A. COPPEL, Stability and asymptotic behavior of differential equations, D. C. Heath and Co., Boston, 1965.
- [2] L. H. DUC, A. ILCHMANN, S. SIEGMUND, P. TARABA, On stability of linear timevarying second-order differential equations, Quart. Appl. Math. 64(2006), 137–151.
- [3] K. FALCONER, Fractal Geometry. Mathematical Fondations and Applications, John Willey-Sons, 1999.
- [4] P. HARTMAN, Ordinary Differential Equations, Classics in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 38, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2002. Corrected reprint of the second (1982) edition. With a foreword by Peter Bates.
- [5] L. KORKUT, D. VLAH, D. ŽUBRINIĆ, V. ŽUPANOVIĆ, Wavy spirals and their fractal connection with chirps, Math. Commun. 21(2016), 251–271.
- [6] L. KORKUT, D. VLAH, V. ŽUPANOVIĆ, Fractal properties of Bessel functions, Appl. Math. Comput. 283(2016), 55–69.
- [7] M. K. KWONG, M. PAŠIĆ, J. S. W. WONG, Rectifiable oscillations in second order linear differential equations, J. Differential Equations 245(2008), 2333–2351.
- [8] S. MILIČIĆ, M. PAŠIĆ, Nonautonomous differential equations in Banach space and nonrectifiable attractivity in two-dimensional linear differential systems, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2013(2013), Art. ID 935089, 10 pp.
- Y. NAITO, M. PAŠIĆ, Characterization for rectifiable and nonrectifiable attractivity of nonautonomous systems of linear differential equations, Int. J. Differ. Equ. 2013(2013), Art. ID 740980, 11 pp.

- [10] Y. NAITO, M. PAŠIĆ, S. TANAKA, Rectifiable and nonrectifiable solution curves of halflinear differential systems, Math. Slovaca, to appear.
- [11] M. ONITSUKA, Non-uniform asymptotic stability for the damped linear oscillator, Nonlinear Anal. 72(2010), 1266–1274.
- [12] M. ONITSUKA, Uniform asymptotic stability for damped linear oscillators with variable parameters, Appl. Math. Comput. 218(2011), 1436–1442.
- [13] M. ONITSUKA, S. TANAKA, Rectifiability of solutions for a class of two-dimensional linear differential systems, Mediterr. J. Math. 14(2017), Art. 51, 11 pp.
- [14] M. PAŠIĆ, Minkowski-Bouligand dimension of solutions of the one-dimensional p-Laplacian, J. Differential Equations 190(2003), 268–305.
- [15] M. PAŠIĆ, Fractal oscillations for a class of second-order linear differential equations of Euler type, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341(2008), 211–223.
- [16] M. PAŠIĆ, S. TANAKA, Fractal oscillations of self-adjoint and damped linear differential equations of second-order, Appl. Math. Comput. 218(2011), 2281–2293.
- [17] M. PAŠIĆ, S. TANAKA, Fractal oscillations of chirp functions and applications to second-order linear differential equations, Int. J. Differ. Equ. 2013(2013), Art. ID 857410, 11 pp.
- [18] M. PAŠIĆ, D. ŽUBRINIĆ, V. ŽUPANOVIĆ, Oscillatory and phase dimensions of solutions of some second-order differential equations, Bull. Sci. Math. 133(2009), 859–874.
- [19] G. RADUNOVIĆ, D. ŽUBRINIĆ, V. ŽUPANOVIĆ, Fractal analysis of Hopf bifurcation at infinity, Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg. 22(2012), 1230043, 15 pp.
- [20] R. A. SMITH, Asymptotic stability of x'' + a(t)x' + x = 0, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. **12**(1961), 123–126.
- [21] J. SUGIE, M. ONITSUKA, Integral conditions on the uniform asymptotic stability for two-dimensional linear systems with time-varying coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138(2010), 2493–2503.
- [22] C. TRICOT, Curves and Fractal Dimension, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [23] D. ŽUBRINIĆ, V. ŽUPANOVIĆ, Fractal analysis of spiral trajectories of some planar vector fields, Bull. Sci. Math. 129(2005), 457–485.
- [24] D. ŽUBRINIĆ, V. ŽUPANOVIĆ, Poincaré map in fractal analysis of spiral trajectories of planar vector fields, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 15(2008), 947–960.