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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the class of fourth order Sturm-Liouville equation of the form $y^{(4)}(z)-2\left(q(z) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(q^{2}(z)-q^{\prime \prime}(z)\right) y(z)=\lambda^{2} y(z), 0<z<L$, with boundary conditions $y(z)=y^{\prime \prime}(z)=0$ at $z=0, L$. We prove that this class is equivalent to a second order Sturm-Liouville problem. Using Darboux lemma we obtain the closed form of fourth order Sturm-Liouville equations that is isospectral to a given one. We also obtain the Euler-Bernoulli beam equation equivalent to this class.


AMS subject classifications: 34B24, 58J53, 74K10
Key words: Isospectral, fourth order Sturm-Liouville equation, Euler-Bernoulli beam equation

## 1. Introduction

Free transversal motion of frequency $\omega$ of a thin straight beam of length $l$ is governed by the Euler-Bernoulli equation [5, 11]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E I(x) u^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)^{\prime \prime}=A(x) \rho \omega^{2} u(x), \quad 0<x<l \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E>0$ is Young's modulus, $\rho>0$ is volume mass density, $A(x)$ is the crosssectional area, and $I(x)$ is the second moment of this area about an axis through the centroid of the cross-section at abscissa $x$. If we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=l s, \quad u(x)=u(s), \quad r(s)=\frac{I(x)}{I\left(x_{c}\right)}, \quad a(s)=\frac{A(x)}{A\left(x_{c}\right)}, \quad \lambda^{2}=\frac{A\left(x_{c}\right) \rho \omega^{2} l^{4}}{E I\left(x_{c}\right)}, \quad x_{c} \in[0, l] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then equation (1) in dimensionless form becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(s) u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{\prime \prime}=\lambda^{2} a(s) u(s), \quad 0<s<1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r(s), a(s) \in C^{2}[0, l]$ are positive functions. Using transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(z)=\left(\frac{a(s)}{r(s)}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad c(z)=\left[r^{3}(s) a(s)\right]^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \frac{d z}{d s}=b(z) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]equation (3) becomes
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}(z)+\left(A(z) y^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}+B(z) y(z)=\lambda^{2} y(z), \quad 0<z<L \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where

$$
\begin{align*}
y(z)= & u(s) b(z) c(z) \\
A(z)= & 2 \frac{{c^{\prime}}^{2}}{c^{2}}-4 \frac{c^{\prime \prime}}{c}-3 \frac{b^{\prime \prime}}{b}+2 \frac{{b^{2}}^{2}}{b^{2}}-2 \frac{b^{\prime} c^{\prime}}{b c} \\
B(z)= & 4 \frac{b^{\prime}}{b^{4}}-\frac{c^{(4)}}{c}+4 \frac{c^{\prime 4}}{b^{4}}+4 \frac{c^{\prime \prime 2}}{c^{2}}-\frac{b^{(4)}}{b}+3 \frac{b^{\prime \prime 2}}{b^{2}}-10 \frac{c^{\prime 2} c^{\prime \prime}}{c^{3}}  \tag{6}\\
& +4 \frac{c^{\prime} c^{\prime \prime \prime}}{c^{2}}+3 \frac{b^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime \prime}}{b^{2}}-9 \frac{{b^{\prime 2} b^{\prime \prime}}_{b^{3}}^{c^{\prime \prime}}-2 \frac{{c^{3} b^{\prime}}_{b c^{3}}+3 \frac{b^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime} c^{\prime}}{b^{2} c}-\frac{c^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime \prime}}{b c}}{}}{} \begin{aligned}
\prime^{3} c^{\prime} \\
b^{3} c
\end{aligned}+4 \frac{b^{\prime} c^{\prime} c^{\prime \prime}}{b c^{2}}+\frac{{c^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}}_{b c}^{b}}{}
\end{align*}
$$

and $L=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{a(s)}{r(s)}\right)^{1 / 4} d s$. For more details on the use of the beam model in vibration analysis, see [5]. Equation (5) is the fourth order Sturm-Liouville equation equivalent to beam equation (3). For equation (3), the most commonly used boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Free : } u^{\prime \prime}=\left(r u^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}=0 \\
& \text { Clamped : } u=u^{\prime}=0 \\
& \text { Pinned : } u=u^{\prime \prime}=0  \tag{7}\\
& \text { Sliding : } u^{\prime}=\left(r u^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Simple calculation shows that transformation (4) only preserves the boundary condition on the end to which the beam is clamped. It is well known that beam equation (3) with one of the boundary conditions (7) has an infinite sequence of eigenvalues $\left\{\lambda_{n}^{2}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \lambda_{1}^{2}<\lambda_{2}^{2}<\lambda_{3}^{2}<\ldots \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there is no finite accumulation in the spectrum. Note that for free-free, freesliding and sliding-sliding boundary conditions the beam equation has rigid body modes, see [5]. The eigenvalues of second order Sturm-Liouville problems are simple, but fourth order equation (5) may have eigenvalues with multiplicity two, see Theorem 1, part b. Isospectral problems, i.e. problems that have the same spectrum, for beams and fourth order Sturm-Liouville problems are studied in many papers $[1,2,4,6,8,12,13,14]$. Reference [2] appears to be a first study of isospectral sets for fourth order ordinary differential operators, on the finite interval $[0,1]$. By changing independent and dependent variables Gottlieb [8] found seven different classes of nonuniform beams that are isospectral to the uniform beam. Isospectral operators (second and fourth order) with discontinuous coefficients are found in [14]. For second order Sturm-Liouville problems, Turbowits et al. [12] found a complete characterization of isospectral potentials. Ghanbari [4] used the idea of [12], and factorized the beam operator as a product of the second order differential operator and
its adjoint. Note that the coefficients of the factors satisfy a nonlinear ordinary differential equation. He obtained one special solution of this equation. Soh [13] solved the resulting nonlinear differential equation in [4] by the Lie group method and obtained the isospectral fourth order Sturm-Liouville equations and corresponding beam equations. Morassi and et al. [6] consider the class of Euler-Bernoulli beams such that the product between the bending stiffness and the linear mass density is constant. They prove that this class is equivalent to a string, then reduce the string equation to the second order Sturm-Liouville problem and use Darboux's lemma for constructing isospectral beams. In [1], the authors construct families of EulerBernoulli Kirchhoff beams which have exactly the same buckling loads of a given beam.
In this paper, we consider a class of the fourth order Sturm-Liouville equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}(z)-2\left(q(z) y^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}+\left(q^{2}(z)-q^{\prime \prime}(z)\right) y(z)=\lambda^{2} y(z), \quad 0<z<L \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with pinned-pinned boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(0)=y^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad y(L)=y^{\prime \prime}(L)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (9) together with boundary conditions (10) is called the fourth order Sturm-Liouville problem (FSLP). The study of isospectral problems for this class of FSLP has not attracted that much attention so far. Note that the method of papers $[4,13]$ cannot be applied to this class of problems. This is due to the fact that the type of an FLSP cannot be changed by a simple reversal of the order of products. In Theorem 1, we prove that this problem is equivalent to a second order Sturm-Liouville problem. Using Darboux's lemma we find a family of isospectral FSLPs to a given one. Also, in Theorems 2 and 3 we obtain the equivalent beam equation for this class of FSLPs.

## 2. Isospectral fourth order Sturm-Liouville problems

Our analysis consists of three steps:
(i) In Theorem 1, we prove that $\operatorname{FSLP}(9),(10)$ is equivalent to a second order Sturm-Liouville problem,
(ii) We apply Darboux's lemma for constructing isospectral problems to the second order Sturm-Liouville problem in step ( $i$ ),
(iii) Using the equivalence in Theorem 1, we obtain an isospectral FSLP.

Lemma 1 (Darboux's Lemma [3,5]). Let $\mu$ be a real number, and suppose $g$ is a nontrivial solution of the Sturm-Liouville equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-g^{\prime \prime}+\hat{q} g=\mu g \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with potential $\widehat{q}=\widehat{q}(z)$. If $f$ is a nontrivial solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-f^{\prime \prime}+\hat{q} f=\lambda f \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\lambda \neq \mu$, then $y=\frac{1}{g}[g, f]=\frac{1}{g}\left[g f^{\prime}-f g^{\prime}\right]$ is a nontrivial solution of the SturmLiouville equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-y^{\prime \prime}+\check{q} y=\lambda y \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\check{q}=\hat{q}-2(\ln g)^{\prime \prime}$.
Indeed, equations (12) and (13) are isospectral. In [7], Darboux's lemma is applied twice and obtain the closed form of potential $q$ isospectral to $\hat{q}$, and the corresponding rod equation with cross-sectional area $a(z)$ as follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
q(z)=\hat{q}-2 \frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}}\left(\ln \left(1+\alpha \int_{0}^{x} g_{m}^{2}(t)\right)\right), \quad m=1,2, \ldots  \tag{14}\\
a(z)=\hat{a}(z)-\frac{\alpha g_{m}(z)\left[g_{m}, \hat{a}\right]}{\lambda_{m}\left(1+\alpha \int_{0}^{z} g_{m}^{2}(t)\right)}, \quad m=1,2, \ldots \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\left(\lambda_{m}, g_{m}\right)$ is the $m$ th eigenpair of equation (11) such that $\int_{0}^{L} g_{m}{ }^{2}(t) d t=1$, $\hat{a}(z)$ is the cross-sectional area of rod equation corresponding to potential $\hat{q}$, and $\alpha>-1$ is a constant real number.

Theorem 1. a) Let $\left(\lambda^{2}, y(z)\right), \lambda>0$, be a simple eigenpair of FSLP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{(4)}-2\left(q(z) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(q^{2}(z)-q^{\prime \prime}(z)\right) y=\lambda^{2} y, \quad 0<z<L  \tag{16}\\
y(0)=y^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad y(L)=y^{\prime \prime}(L)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then only one of the pairs $(\lambda, y(z))$ or $(-\lambda, y(z))$ is an eigenpair of the second order Sturm-Liouville problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime}(z)+(\lambda-q(z)) y=0, \quad 0<z<L  \tag{17}\\
y(0)=0, \quad y(L)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $q \in C^{2}[0, L]$. Conversely, if $(\lambda, y(z))$ is an eigenpair of (17), then $\left(\lambda^{2}, y(z)\right)$ is an eigenvalue of (16) with real number $\lambda$.
b) If $\left(\lambda^{2}, y(z)\right), \lambda>0$, is an eigenpair of FSLP (16) with multiplicity two, then both $\lambda$ and $-\lambda$ are the eigenvalues of problem (17).

Proof. Let $(\lambda, y)$ be an eigenpair of (17). Clearly, $\lambda$ is a real number since problem (17) is selfadjoint. By assumption $q \in C^{2}[0,1]$ and from equation (17) we conclude that $y \in C^{4}[0, L]$. By differentiating twice, equation (17) implies that

$$
y^{(4)}(z)-q^{\prime \prime} y-2 q^{\prime} y^{\prime}+(\lambda-q) y^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

Using equation (17) and replacing $y^{\prime \prime}$ with $(q-\lambda) y$ we have

$$
y^{(4)}(z)-q^{\prime \prime} y-2 q^{\prime} y^{\prime}+2 \lambda q y-q^{2} y-\lambda^{2} y=0
$$

Using equation (17) again and replacing $\lambda y$ with $q y-y^{\prime \prime}$ we obtain

$$
y^{(4)}(z)-q^{\prime \prime} y-2 q^{\prime} y^{\prime}+q^{2} y-2 q y^{\prime \prime}-\lambda^{2} y=0
$$

We can write the last equation as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}-2\left(q(z) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(q^{2}(z)-q^{\prime \prime}(z)\right) y=\lambda^{2} y \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking the limit of equation (17) as $z \rightarrow 0^{+}$and using boundary conditions, we have $y^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$. Similarly, $y^{\prime \prime}(L)=0$. Thus $\left(\lambda^{2}, y\right)$ is an eigenpair of (16). Conversely, let $\left(\lambda^{2}, y\right)$ be a simple eigenpair of (16). We can factorize the equation (16) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{2}-q-\lambda\right)\left(D^{2}-q+\lambda\right) y=0, \quad \lambda>0 . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\chi(z)=\left(D^{2}-q+\lambda\right) y$. We consider the two cases:
Case I: $\chi(z) \equiv 0$. By the lemma in the Appendix, this case is satisfied for eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}$ with sufficiently large indices $n$. Thus $y^{\prime \prime}(z)+(\lambda-q(z)) y=0$; therefore $(\lambda, y)$ is an eigenpair of (17).
Case II: $\chi(z) \neq 0$, (this case may occur for lower indices of eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}$ ). By definition of $\chi(z)$ and boundary conditions (16) we have $\chi(0)=\chi(L)=0$. Using (19) we have

$$
\chi^{\prime \prime}+(-\lambda-q) \chi=0
$$

thus $\chi^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \chi^{\prime \prime}(L)=0$, and $(-\lambda, \chi)$ is an eigenpair of (17). Multiplying equation (19) from the left by $\left(D^{2}-q+\lambda\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(D^{2}-q+\lambda\right)\left(D^{2}-q-\lambda\right) \chi & =0 \\
\chi^{(4)}-2\left(q(z) \chi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(q^{2}(z)-q^{\prime \prime}(z)\right) \chi & =\lambda^{2} \chi
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\left(\lambda^{2}, \chi\right)$ and $\left(\lambda^{2}, y\right)$ are eigenpairs of problem (16). By assuming $\left(\lambda^{2}, y\right)$ is a simple eigenpair of (16), there exists a real number $\beta \neq 0$ such that $\chi=\beta y$. Thus we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\beta y=y^{\prime \prime}+(\lambda-q) y,  \tag{20}\\
\beta y^{\prime \prime}+\beta(-\lambda-q) y=0,
\end{array} \Longrightarrow(\beta-2 \lambda) y=0\right.
$$

Since $y \neq 0$, then $\beta=2 \lambda$ and using equation $y^{\prime \prime}+(-\lambda-q) y=0$ we imply that in case II $(-\lambda, y)$ is an eigenpair of problem (17).
Now we prove part b. Since $\lambda^{2}$ is of multiplicity two, there are two independent eigenfunctions $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ corresponding to $\lambda^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}^{(4)}-2\left(q(z) y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(q^{2}(z)-q^{\prime \prime}(z)\right) y_{1}=\lambda^{2} y_{1} \\
& y_{2}^{(4)}-2\left(q(z) y_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(q^{2}(z)-q^{\prime \prime}(z)\right) y_{2}=\lambda^{2} y_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By factorization of the above equation we have

$$
\left(D^{2}-q-\lambda\right)\left(D^{2}-q+\lambda\right) y_{1}=0, \quad\left(D^{2}-q-\lambda\right)\left(D^{2}-q+\lambda\right) y_{2}=0
$$

Define $\psi(z)=\left(D^{2}-q+\lambda\right) y_{1}$ and $\varphi(z)=\left(D^{2}-q+\lambda\right) y_{2}$. If $\psi(z) \equiv 0$ and $\varphi(z) \equiv 0$, then $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of problem (17) with multiplicity two, this is a contradiction since the eigenvalues of problem (17) are simple. If $\psi(z) \neq 0$ and $\varphi(z) \neq 0$, then $-\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of problem (17) with multiplicity two; this is a contradictioin. Thus only one of the functions $\psi(z)$ and $\varphi(z)$ must be zero. We suppose that $\psi(z) \equiv 0$ and $\varphi(z) \neq 0$. From $\psi(z) \equiv 0$, we conclude that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of problem (17). From $\varphi(z) \neq 0$, we conclude that $-\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of problem (17).

Combining Theorem 1 and Darboux's lemma, we come to the following corollary:
Corollary 1. For any given $q(z) \in C^{2}[0, L]$, FSLP (16) is isospectral to the family of the following FSLPs

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{(4)}-2\left(q_{m, \alpha}(z) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(q_{m, \alpha}^{2}(z)-q_{m, \alpha}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right) y=\lambda^{2} y, \quad 0<z<L  \tag{21}\\
y(0)=y^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad y(L)=y^{\prime \prime}(L)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $q_{m, \alpha}$ is given by (14).
Up to now, we find the family of isospectral FSLPs (21) analytically. For applying analytical results we require the $m$-th eigenfunction of problem (17). With this we can determine the function $q_{m, \alpha}$. However, this can only be done analytically for constant potentials $q$. In our example, we will use a numerical approximation of $g_{m}$ instead. This numerical approximation will then be smoothed by the polynomial least square approximation. We use the Matslise package [10] to solve the Sturm-Liouville problem numerically and we use Maple for polynomial least square smoothing and subsequent symbolic computation. We present this numerical experiment as Example 2.
Example 1. We apply the obtained results, and construct a family of isospectral FSLPs. If we choose $q=0$, then we have the following FSLP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{(4)}=\lambda^{2} y, \quad 0<z<1  \tag{22}\\
y(0)=y^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad y(1)=y^{\prime \prime}(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Theorem 1 this problem is equivalent to the problem

$$
\begin{cases}y^{\prime \prime}=\lambda y, & 0<z<1  \tag{23}\\ y(0)=0, & y(1)=0\end{cases}
$$

Using Darboux's lemma problem (23) is isospectral to the following two parameters problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w^{\prime \prime}+\left(\lambda-q_{m, \alpha}(z)\right) w=0, \quad 0<z<1  \tag{24}\\
w(0)=0, \quad w(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{m, \alpha} & =-2\left(\ln \left(1+2 \alpha \int_{0}^{z} \sin ^{2}(m \pi t) d t\right)\right)^{\prime \prime} \\
& =-4 \alpha \frac{-\alpha+\alpha \cos (2 m \pi z)+m \pi(1+\alpha z) \sin (2 m \pi z)}{\left(1+\alpha z-\frac{\alpha}{2 m \pi} \sin (2 m \pi z)\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 1, problem (22) is isospectral to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w^{(4)}-2\left(q_{m, \alpha}(z) w^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(q_{m, \alpha}^{2}(z)-q_{m, \alpha}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right) w=\lambda^{2} w  \tag{25}\\
w(0)=w^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad w(1)=w^{\prime \prime}(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

In Tables 1 and 2, for different values of $\alpha$ and $m$, the first four eigenvalues of problems (22) and (25) are listed (Note that $\alpha=0$ denotes problem (22)). By comparing the eigenvalues it is obvious that problems (22) and (25) are isospectral. We compute the eigenvalues by the method presented in [9].

| $n$ | $\alpha=0$ | $\alpha=-0.5$ | $\alpha=0.5$ | $\alpha=0.9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 97.4091 | 97.4091 | 97.4091 | 97.4091 |
| 2 | 1558.5454 | 1558.5455 | 1558.5455 | 1558.5455 |
| 3 | 7890.1364 | 7890.1364 | 7890.1364 | 7890.1364 |
| 4 | 24936.7273 | 24936.7273 | 24936.7273 | 24936.7273 |

Table 1: Eigenvalues of problem (22) and reconstructed isospectral problem (25) for $m=1$

| $n$ | $\alpha=-0.5$ | $\alpha=0.5$ | $\alpha=0.9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 97.4091 | 97.4091 | 97.4091 |
| 2 | 1558.5455 | 1558.5455 | 1558.5455 |
| 3 | 7890.1364 | 7890.1364 | 7890.1364 |
| 4 | 24936.7275 | 24936.7274 | 24936.7275 |

Table 2: Eigenvalues of reconstructed isospectral problem (25) for $m=2$

Example 2. Consider the following FSLP on interval [0,5],

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{(4)}-\left(0.02 z^{2} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(0.0001 z^{4}-0.02\right) y=\lambda^{2} y, \quad 0 \leq z \leq 5  \tag{26}\\
y(0)=y^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad y(5)=y^{\prime \prime}(5)=0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

By Theorem 1 this problem is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime}+\left(\lambda-0.01 z^{2}\right) y=0, \quad 0<z<5  \tag{27}\\
y(0)=0, \quad y(5)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

By using Darboux's lemma and Theorem 1, we find the following problems isospectral to problem (26).

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w^{(4)}-2\left(q_{m, \alpha}(z) w^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(q_{m, \alpha}^{2}(z)-q_{m, \alpha}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right) w=\lambda^{2} w  \tag{28}\\
w(0)=w^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad w(5)=w^{\prime \prime}(5)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
q_{m, \alpha}=0.01 z^{2}-2\left(\ln \left(1+\alpha \int_{0}^{z} g_{m}^{2}(t) d t\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}, \quad \alpha>-1 .
$$

For constructing isospectral problems by Darboux's lemma we require the $m-$ th eigenfunction of problem (27). We use the Matslise package to solve Sturm-Liouville problem (27) numerically and we use Maple for a polynomial least square approximation of eigenfunctions $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1} \simeq \frac{17}{16582}+\frac{199}{482} z+\frac{253}{9662} z^{2}-\frac{527}{9578} z^{3}+\frac{95}{11186} z^{4}-\frac{22}{59813} z^{5} \\
& g_{2} \simeq \frac{1069}{1330} z-\frac{2809}{13694} z^{3}-\frac{115}{3687} z^{4}+\frac{149}{3584} z^{5}-\frac{131}{16138} z^{6}+\frac{21}{43135} z^{7}
\end{aligned}
$$

For different values of $\alpha$ and $m$, the eigenvalues of isospectral problems (26) and (28) are listed in Table 3. The eigenvalues computed by the method presented in [9]. By comparing the eigenvalues it is obvious that problems (26) and (28) are isospectral, and the results in Table 3 confirm the analytical results.

|  | Eigenvalues for $m=1$ |  |  | Eigenvalues for $m=2$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $\alpha=0$ | $\alpha=-0.5$ | $\alpha=0.5$ | $\alpha=0.9$ |  | $\alpha=-0.5$ | $\alpha=0.5$ | $\alpha=0.9$ |
| 1 | 0.2150 | 0.2152 | 0.2151 | 0.2152 |  | 0.2150 | 0.2150 | 0.2150 |
| 2 | 2.7548 | 2.7540 | 2.7545 | 2.7541 |  | 2.7538 | 2.7551 | 2.7550 |
| 3 | 13.2153 | 13.2156 | 13.2146 | 13.2139 |  | 13.2154 | 13.2153 | 13.2151 |
| 4 | 40.9508 | 40.9514 | 40.9508 | 40.9509 |  | 40.9600 | 40.9464 | 40.9441 |
| 5 | 99.0535 | 99.0540 | 99.0539 | 99.0544 |  | 99.0592 | 99.0518 | 99.0514 |

Table 3: Eigenvalues of problem (26) and reconstructed isospectral problem (28)

## 3. Beam equation equivalent to FSLP (16)

In Section 1, for Euler-Bernoulli beam equation (1) we find the equivalent fourth order Sturm-Liouville equation (5). In Theorems 2 and 3, we find the Euler-Bernoulli beam equation equivalent to the FSLP of the form (16).
Theorem 2. The Euler-Bernoulli beam equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I(s) u^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\lambda^{2} \gamma(s) u(s), \quad 0<s<l, \quad I(s) \gamma(s)=\text { constant } \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

with pinned-pinned boundary conditions can be reduced to an FSLP of the form (16).
Proof. Using transformation (4) for equation (29) we have

$$
b(z)=\sqrt{\gamma(s)}, \quad c^{2}(z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma(s)}}
$$

Thus, $b(z)=\frac{1}{c^{2}(z)}, \frac{d z}{d s}=b(z)$, and equation (29) is equivalent to the fourth order Sturm-Liouville equation as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}(z)+\left[\left(2 \frac{c^{\prime \prime}}{c}-4 \frac{c^{\prime 2}}{c^{2}}\right) y^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+\left[-8 \frac{c^{4}}{c^{4}}+\frac{c^{(4)}}{c}-4 \frac{c^{\prime \prime 2}}{c^{2}}-6 \frac{c^{\prime} c^{\prime \prime}}{c^{2}}+18 \frac{c^{\prime 2} c^{\prime \prime}}{c^{3}}\right] y=\lambda^{2} y \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simple calculation shows that equation (30) is of the form (16) with $q=-\frac{c^{\prime \prime}}{c}+$ $2 \frac{c^{\prime 2}}{c^{2}}$. In general, transformation (4) only preserves the clamped-clamped boundary conditions, but for equations of the form (29) this transformation preserves the pinned-pinned boundary conditions, too. We have $u(s)=c(z) y(z)$; thus

$$
u(0)=y(0)=0, \quad u(l)=y(L)=0, \quad u^{\prime \prime}(s)=\frac{1}{c^{3}}\left(-2 \frac{{c^{\prime}}^{2}}{c^{2}} y+\frac{c^{\prime \prime}}{c} y+y^{\prime \prime}\right) .
$$

Therefore, $u^{\prime \prime}(0)=y^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, u^{\prime \prime}(l)=y^{\prime \prime}(L)=0$. Thus problem (29) with pinnedpinned boundary conditions is equivalent to FSLP of the form (16).

Now, there are two fundamental questions: Is there any beam equation equivalent to FSLP (16)? If there is, how can we find this Euler Bernoulli beam equation? In order to answer these questions we state the following theorem.
Theorem 3. If FSLP (16) is equivalent to the second order Sturm-Liouville problem $y^{\prime \prime}+(\lambda-q) y=0, \lambda>0$, then there exists a beam equation equivalent to FSLP (16) which is of the form (29).

Proof. We suppose that FSLP (16) is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime}+(\lambda-q) y=0, \quad \lambda>0  \tag{31}\\
y(0)=0, \quad y(L)=0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Problem (31) is equivalent to rod equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(A(z) w^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda A(z) w(z)=0  \tag{32}\\
w(0)=0, \quad w(L)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A(z)=a^{2}(z)$ and $q=\frac{a^{\prime \prime}(z)}{a(z)}, \quad w(z)=\frac{y(z)}{a(z)}$, see [5]. Rod equation (32) is equivalent to the string problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime \prime}(s)+\lambda \rho(s) v(s)=0, \quad 0<s<l, \quad v(0)=0, \quad v(l)=0 . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equivalence can be obtained by changing variable $s(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{d t}{A(t)}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(s)=a^{4}(z), \quad l=\int_{0}^{L} \frac{1}{A(t)} d t . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 1 in [6], string problem (33) is equivalent to the beam problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(I(s) v^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\lambda^{2} \gamma(s) v(s), \quad 0<s<l, \quad \gamma(s)=\rho(s)=\frac{1}{I(s)},  \tag{35}\\
v(0)=v^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad v(l)=v^{\prime \prime}(l)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus, there exists a beam equation equivalent to FSLP (16).
In practice, for finding the beam equation equivalent to a given FSLP of the form (16) first we solve the ordinary differential equation $a^{\prime \prime}-q a=0$, and construct rod equation (32). Second, we find the corresponding string equation (33), and then construct the equivalent beam equation. We have done this in the following example.

Example 3. In this example, we find the beam equation equivalent to the following FSLP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{(4)}-\left(0.02 z^{2} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(0.0001 z^{4}-0.02\right) y=\lambda^{2} y, \quad 0<z<5  \tag{36}\\
y(0)=y^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad y(5)=y^{\prime \prime}(5)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$



Figure 1: Linear mass density of isospectral beams corresponding to $g_{1}$

By Theorem 1, this problem is equivalent to the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime}+\left(\lambda-0.01 z^{2}\right) y=0, \quad 0<z<5  \tag{37}\\
y(0)=0, \quad y(5)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 3 states that if the eigenvalues of problem (37) are positive, then we can find a beam equation equivalent to FSLP (36). By the Matslise package the eigenvalues of problem (37) are as follows

$$
\lambda_{1}=0.463736, \quad \lambda_{2}=1.659762, \quad \lambda_{3}=3.635292, \ldots
$$

Thus the eigenvalues are positive and by Theorem 3, problem (36) is equivalent to a beam equation. Using the Runge-Kutta method and then applying the least squares method we obtain a polynomial least squares approximation for the problem $a^{\prime \prime}-0.01 z^{2} a=0, \quad a(0)=1, a^{\prime}(0)=0$, as follows
$a_{0}(z) \simeq \frac{16921}{16920}-\frac{23}{26805} z+\frac{73}{30503} z^{2}-\frac{83}{32139} z^{3}+\frac{125}{58146} z^{4}-\frac{17}{51916} z^{5}+\frac{5}{147791} z^{6}$.
From (34) we obtain linear mass density $\gamma_{0}(s)$ for an equivalent beam equation. By substituting $g_{1}, g_{2}$ and $a_{0}(z)$ in (15) we find new cross section $a_{1}(z)$ and $a_{2}(z)$.

Having $a_{1}(z)$ and $a_{2}(z)$ from (34) we obtain new isospectral beam equations with linear mass density, $\gamma_{1}(s)$ and $\gamma_{2}(s)$. In Figures 1 and 2, $\gamma_{1}(s)$ and $\gamma_{2}(s)$ are plotted for different values of $\alpha$. Note that for $\alpha=0$, we have $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=\gamma_{0}$. In Table 4, we compute the eigenvalues of constructed beams. Numerical results confirm the analytical results and isospectrality of the constructed beams.

| Eigenvalues for $m=1$ |  |  |  | Eigenvalues for $m=2$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $\alpha=0$ | $\alpha=-0.5$ | $\alpha=0.5$ | $\alpha=0.9$ |  | $\alpha=-0.5$ | $\alpha=0.5$ | $\alpha=0.9$ |
| 1 | 0.2150 | 0.2157 | 0.2151 | 0.2151 |  | 0.2150 | 0.2150 | 0.2150 |
| 2 | 2.7545 | 2.7589 | 2.7532 | 2.7540 |  | 2.7565 | 2.7548 | 2.7553 |
| 3 | 13.2141 | 13.2660 | 13.2117 | 13.2209 |  | 13.2245 | 13.2153 | 13.2194 |
| 4 | 40.9467 | 41.1181 | 40.9485 | 40.9768 |  | 41.0118 | 40.9364 | 40.9415 |
| 5 | 99.0315 | 99.0413 | 99.0594 | 99.0924 |  | 98.9849 | 99.0153 | 98.9765 |

Table 4: Eigenvalues of reconstructed beams isospectral to problem (25)


Figure 2: Linear mass density of isospectral beams corresponding to $g_{2}$

## 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have shown that a class of fourth order Sturm-Liouville problem is equivalent to the second order Sturm-Liouville problem. Moreover, by using Darboux's lemma we construct an isospectral FSLP to a given one. Finally, we obtain the beam equation equivalent to FSLP (16), and construct beam equations having the same spectrum.

## 5. Appendix

Lemma 2. Let $\left(\lambda_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ be an eigenpair of FSLPs (9) and (10). Then for a sufficiently large number $n, \chi_{n}(z)=\left(D^{2}-q+\lambda_{n}\right) y_{n}$ is zero.

Proof. By assumption $\left(\lambda_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ is an eigenpair of FSLP (9) and (10). Thus

$$
y_{n}^{(4)}(z)-2\left(q(z) y_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}+\left(q^{2}(z)-q^{\prime \prime}(z)\right) y_{n}(z)=\lambda_{n}^{2} y_{n}(z)
$$

We can factorize this equation as follows

$$
\left(D^{2}-q-\lambda_{n}\right)\left(D^{2}-q+\lambda_{n}\right) y_{n}=0
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n}^{\prime \prime}-\left(q+\lambda_{n}\right) \chi_{n}=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

by boundary conditions (10), $\chi_{n}(0)=\chi_{n}(L)=0$. Multiplying equation (38) by $\chi_{n}$ and integrating by parts in $[0, L]$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{L} \chi_{n}^{\prime 2} d z+\int_{0}^{L}\left(\lambda_{n}+q\right) \chi_{n}^{2} d z=0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (8), for a sufficiently large number $n, \lambda_{n}+q>0$. Thus equation (39) is satisfied only if $\chi_{n}=0$.
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