VALUE SETS OF SPARSE POLYNOMIALS

IGOR E. SHPARLINSKI AND JOSÉ FELIPE VOLOCH

ABSTRACT. We obtain a new lower bound on the size of value set $\mathscr{V}(f) = f(\mathbb{F}_p)$ of a sparse polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ over a finite field of p elements when p is prime. This bound is uniform with respect of the degree and depends on some natural arithmetic properties of the degrees of the monomial terms of f and the number of these terms. Our result is stronger than those which canted be extracted from the bounds on multiplicities of individual values in $\mathscr{V}(f)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The value set of a polynomial $f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q of q elements, is the set $\mathscr{V}(f) = \{f(a) : a \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ and we define V(f) = $\# \mathscr{V}(f)$. A much studied problem is to estimate V(f) in terms of f. An easy lower bound is $V(f) \ge q/\deg f$ as f(x) = c has at most deg f solutions for any c. This is essentially best possible in general but, given conditions on f it can sometimes be improved. In this paper, we study the question of bounding from below V(f) as a function of the number of terms in f, rather than its degree. Specifically, if $f(X) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t a_i X^{n_i}$, we want to estimate V(f) in terms of t and q. When the degree of f is much higher than t, the polynomial f is said to be sparse. One can bound the number of roots of sparse polynomials (see [CFKLLS00, Lemma 7]) and convert this to a lower bound on V(f), as above. Oftentimes, as described in [BCR16, CGRW17] a sparse polynomial may have many roots. We prove, however, that for q = pprime one can give a nontrivial lower bound on V(f), for f sparse, even when equations of the form f(x) = a have many roots in \mathbb{F}_p . In addition, this bound is always better than the one obtained from the upper bound of [CFKLLS00, Lemma 7] on the number of roots, when it applies, for $t \ge 9$.

We obtain our results in three steps. First, using a monomial change of variables we reduce the degree of the polynomial, as in [CFKLLS00]. Second, we bound the number of irreducible components of f(X) –

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11T06, 14G15.

Key words and phrases. sparse polynomials, value set, rational points on curves.

f(Y) by adapting a result of Zannier [Z07]. Finally, we use the results of [V89] to get our bounds.

We also give a special treatment in the case of binomials, via different arguments and we obtain stronger results in that case.

We recall that the notations U = O(V), $U \ll V$ and $V \gg U$, are all equivalent to the statement that $|U| \leq cV$ for some constant c which throughout this work may depend on the positive integer parameter t (the number of terms of the polynomials involved), and is absolute otherwise.

2. Factors of differences of sparse Laurent Polynomials

We say a polynomial g(X, Y) is a factor of rational function f(X, Y) if it is a factor of its numerator (in its lowers terms).

The following result and its proof are motivated by a result in [Z07].

Theorem 2.1. Let K be a field of positive characteristic p and let

$$f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i X^{n_i} \in K(X)$$

be a nonconstant Laurent polynomial over K with $a_i \neq 0$ and nonzero integer exponents $n_1 < \ldots < n_t$ with $n_t \ge |n_i|$, $i = 1, \ldots, t$. If h(X, Y)is an irreducible polynomial factor of f(X) - f(Y) of degree d not of the form $X - \alpha Y$ or $XY - \alpha$, $\alpha \in K$. Then $d \gg \min\{p/n_t, \sqrt{n_t/t^2}\}$.

Proof. Let \mathscr{X} be a smooth model of the curve h = 0. The genus of \mathscr{X} is at most (d-1)(d-2)/2. On \mathscr{X} , the functions x and y have at most d zeros and d poles (on the line at infinity) so they are S-units for some set S of places of \mathscr{X} with $\#S \leq 3d$. Consider the functions $x^{n_i}, y^{n_i}, i = 1, \ldots, t$ which are also S-units. Let $u_1 = x^{n_t}, u_2 \ldots, u_m$ be a subset of these functions such that

$$u_1 = \sum_{i=2}^m c_i u_i, \qquad c_i \in K,$$

and *m* minimal. Note that $m \leq 2t$ as the equation f(x) - f(y) = 0yields a relation of this form with m = 2t but may not be minimal. Note also that m > 1. If m = 2, then u_2 is a power of y as, otherwise hwould be a polynomial in X which is clearly not possible. Let $u_2 = y^{n_j}$. As, on the curve h = 0, we have $x^{n_t} = c_2 y^{n_j}$ we must have $n_j \neq 0$ and $y = cx^{n_t/n_j}$ for some c (as algebraic functions) and plugging this into f(x) - f(y) = 0 and comparing powers of x yields $n_j = n_t$ or n_1 (the latter only if $n_1 = -n_t$) consequently, $h = X - \alpha Y$ or $h = XY - \alpha$, $\alpha \in K$, contrary to hypothesis, so $m \geq 3$.

2

The u_i are functions on \mathscr{X} so

$$(u_1:\ldots:u_{m-1})$$

defines a morphism $\mathscr{X} \to \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$ of degree at most $3dn_t$, since each coordinate is a monomial in x or y or their inverses to a power at most n_t . If $3dn_t \ge p$, the desired result follows immediately. If $3dn_t < p$, then [V85, Theorem 4] holds with the same proof in characteristic p > 0 (as the morphism has classical orders by [SV86, Corollary 1.8]). Also deg $u_1 \ge n_t$ so we get

$$n_t \leq \deg u_1 \leq (m(m+1)/2)(d(d-3)+3d) \ll d^2m^2 \leq d^2t^2$$

proving the desired result.

Here we only concentrate on the case of a prime field \mathbb{F}_p , where p is a prime.

We start with the following simple application of the of the Dirichlet pigeonhole principle (see also the proof of [CFKLLS00, Lemma 6]).

Lemma 3.1. For an integer $S \ge 1$ and arbitrary integers n_1, \ldots, n_t , there exists a positive integer $s \le S$, such that

$$sn_i \equiv m_i \pmod{p-1}$$
 and $|m_i| \ll pS^{-1/t}$, $i = 1, \dots, t$.

Proof. We cover the cube $[0, p-1]^t$ by at most S cubes with the side length $pS^{-1/t}$. Therefore, at least two of the vectors formed by the residues of modulo p-1 of the S+1 vectors (sn_1, \ldots, sn_t) , $s = 0, \ldots, S$ fall in the same cube. Assume they correspond to $S \ge s_1 > s_2 \ge 0$. It is easy to see that $s = s_1 - s_2$ yields the desired result. \Box

Furthermore, by [CFKLLS00, Lemma 7] we have:

Lemma 3.2. For $r \ge 2$ given elements $b_1, \ldots, b_r \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ and integers k_1, \ldots, k_r in \mathbb{Z} let us denote by T the number of solutions of the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^r c_i x^{k_i} = 0, \qquad x \in \mathbb{F}_p^*.$$

Then

(3.1)
$$T \leq 2p^{1-1/(r-1)}D^{1/(r-1)} + O\left(p^{1-2/(r-1)}D^{2/(r-1)}\right),$$

where

$$D = \min_{1 \le i \le r} \max_{j \ne i} \gcd(k_j - k_i, p - 1).$$

We also use that by the Cauchy inequality

(3.2)
$$p^{2} = \left(\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p} : f(x) = a\}\right)^{2}$$
$$\leq V(f) \sum_{a \in \mathscr{V}(f)} (\#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p} : f(x) = a\})^{2}$$
$$= V(f) \#\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{2} : f(x) = f(y)\},$$

see also [V89, Lemma 1].

We are now ready to estimate V(f).

Theorem 3.3. For any $t \ge 2$ there is a constant c(t) > 0 such that for any primes p and integers $1 \le n_1, \ldots, n_t < p-1$ integers with

- (i) $\max_{1 \leq j < i \leq t} \gcd(n_j n_i, p 1) \leq c(t)p$,
- (ii) $gcd(n_1, \ldots, n_t, p-1) = 1$,

for any polynomial

$$f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i X^{n_i} \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \quad with \ a_i \neq 0, \ i = 1, \dots, t,$$

we have $V(f) \gg \min\{p^{2/3}, p^{4/(3t+4)}\}.$

Proof. We chose the integer parameter

$$(3.3) S = \left[p^{3t/(3t+4)} \right],$$

and define s and m_1, \ldots, m_t as in Lemma 3.1.

We see from Lemma 3.2 that for a sufficiently small c(t) the condition (i) guarantees that there is $c \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ such that

(3.4)
$$\sum_{i \in \mathscr{I}} a_i c^{n_i} \neq 0,$$

for all non-empty sets $\mathscr{I} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, t\}$.

We now fix some $c \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ satisfying (3.4) and for the above s, we consider the polynomial $f(cX^s)$, then the values of $f(cX^s)$ in \mathbb{F}_p^* coincide with those of

$$g(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} b_i X^{m_i}$$
 with $b_i = a_i c^{n_i}, \ i = 1, \dots, t$,

and, after collecting like powers of X, we consider two situations: when g(X) is a constant functions and when g(X) is of positive degree.

We observe that due to the condition (3.4) the number of terms of g(X) is exactly the same as the number of distinct values among m_1, \ldots, m_t .

If g(X) is a constant then $m_1 = \ldots = m_t = 0$ and thus using that $sn_i \equiv m_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}, i = 1, \ldots, t$, we also see that

$$s \operatorname{gcd}(n_1, \ldots, n_t, p-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}.$$

This implies that

$$S \ge s \ge \frac{p-1}{\gcd(n_1, \dots, n_t, p-1)} = p-1$$

which is impossible for the above choice of S, due to the condition (ii).

So we can now assume that g(X) is a nontrivial Laurent polynomial. Furthermore, making, if necessary, the change of variable $X \to X^{-1}$, without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$m_t = \max\{|m_1|, \dots, |m_t|\} > 0.$$

We now derive a bound on

$$N = \# \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 : g(x) = g(y) \},\$$

which is based on Theorem 2.1.

If $\sqrt{m_t} \leq p/m_t$ then $m_t \leq p^{2/3}$ and the result is trivial. We immediately obtain

(3.5)
$$N \ll m_t p \ll p^{5/3}.$$

Hence we now assume that

(3.6)
$$\sqrt{m_t} > p/m_t.$$

First, in order to apply Theorem 2.1, we need to investigate the factors of g(X) - g(Y) of the form $X - \alpha Y$ or of the form $XY - \alpha$ with α in the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p .

In fact for an application to N only factors of these forms with $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$ are relevant.

Let $\mathscr{G}_s \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^*$ be the multiplicative subgroup of elements $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$ with $\alpha^s = 1$. Note that \mathscr{G}_s is a subgroup of elements of multiplicative order $\gcd(s, p-1)$, and thus

$$#\mathscr{G}_s = \gcd(s, p-1).$$

We show that for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p$ factors of g(X) - g(Y) of the form $X - \alpha Y$ and $XY - \alpha$ we have $\alpha \in \mathscr{G}_s$ and $\alpha \in \gamma \mathscr{G}_s$, respectively.

Clearly, if g(X) - g(Y) has a factor of the form $X - \alpha Y$ then $g(X) - g(\alpha X)$ is identical to zero. Since g(X) is not constant, we see that $\alpha \neq 0$. Hence, denoting by m the multiplicative order of α in \mathbb{F}_p^* we see that by the condition (ii) we have

 $m \mid \operatorname{gcd}(m_1, \ldots, m_t, p-1) = \operatorname{gcd}(sn_1, \ldots, sn_t, p-1) = \operatorname{gcd}(s, p-1).$ Hence $\alpha \in \mathscr{G}_s$. The factors of g(X) - g(Y) of the form $XY - \alpha$, $\alpha \in K$ imply that $g(X) - g(\alpha/X)$ is identical to zero. This may occur only if for every $i = 1, \ldots, t$ there exists $j = 1, \ldots, t$ with $m_i = -m_j$ and $\alpha^{m_i} = b_i/b_j$. In particular, there is some $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ (which may depend on m_1, \ldots, m_t) such that

$$\alpha^{\gcd(m_1,\dots,m_t,p-1)} = \beta$$

which puts α in some fixed coset \mathscr{G}_s . Hence there are at most $s \leq S$ such values of α which contribute at most

$$(3.7) N_0 \ll pS$$

to N.

We proceed to get an upper estimate on N and notice that any further contribution to N may only come from factors of g(X) - g(Y) not of the form $X - \alpha Y$ or $XY - \alpha$.

As $m_t \ll pS^{-1/t}$, all such factors h_1, \ldots, h_k of g(X) - g(Y) have degree $d_j = \deg h_j$ for which, then, by Theorem 2.1 and also using (3.6) we derive

$$d_j \gg \min\{p/m_t, \sqrt{m_t/t^2}\} = p/m_t \ge S^{1/t}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

and there are

$$k \leqslant \frac{2m_t}{\min\{d_1, \dots, d_k\}} \ll pS^{-2/t}$$

such factors.

Let N_1 and N_2 be contributions to N from the factors h_j of degree $d_j < p^{1/4}$ and $d_j \ge p^{1/4}$, respectively.

If a factor h has degree $d < p^{1/4}$ the number of rational points on h = 0 is O(p) by the Weil bound (see [L96]), so those factors all together contribute

(3.8)
$$N_1 \ll \sum_{\substack{j=1\\d_j < p^{1/4}}}^k p \leqslant kp \ll p^2 S^{-2/t}.$$

The factors with degree $d \ge p^{1/4}$ contribute $O(d^{4/3}p^{2/3})$ by [V89, Theorem (i)] and, in total they contribute

$$N_2 = \sum_{\substack{j=1\\d_j \ge p^{1/4}}}^k d_j^{4/3} p^{2/3}.$$

Using the convexity of the function $z \mapsto z^{4/3}$ and then extending the range of summation to polynomials of all degrees, we obtain

(3.9)
$$N_2 \leqslant p^{2/3} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k d_j\right)^{4/3} \leqslant m_t^{4/3} p^{2/3} \ll p^2 S^{-4/(3t)}$$

Combining (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) we obtain

$$N \ll pS + p^2 S^{-4/(3t)}$$

which with the choice S as in (3.3), becomes

(3.10) $N \ll p^{(6t+4)/(3t+4)}.$

Combining (3.5) and (3.10) with (3.2) we derive the result.

We now consider the case of binomials in more detail.

Theorem 3.4. If $f(X) = X + aX^n \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ and

$$d = \gcd(n, p-1) \qquad and \qquad e = \gcd(n-1, p-1),$$

then

 $V(f) \gg \max\{d, p/d, e, p/e\}.$

Proof. Assume that $d \leq p^{1/2}$. There exists a positive $r \leq (p-1)/d$ with $rn/d \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)/d}$ so that $rn \equiv d \pmod{p-1}$. Hence, if $x = u^r$, then f(x) = g(u) where $g(u) = u^r + au^d$.

The equation g(u) = g(v) has degree $\max\{r, d\}$ in v so at most

 $p\max\{r,d\} \leqslant p\max\{(p-1)/d,d\} \leqslant p^2/d$

solutions, as $d \leq p^{1/2}$. By (3.2), we have $V(f) \gg p^2/pd = p/d$. If $d > p^{1/2}$, note that d > p/d.

Now, regardless of the size of d, notice that for every u with $u^d = 1$ the values f(u) = u + a are pairwise distinct. Thus $V(f) \ge d$.

Similarly, there exists s with $s(n-1)/e \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)/e}$ so that $sn \equiv e+s \pmod{p-1}$. Hence, if $x = u^s$, then f(x) = h(u) where $h(u) = u^s + au^{e+s}$. The equation h(u) = h(v) becomes, with v = tu the same as $u^s + au^{e+s} = t^s u^s + au^{e+s}t^{e+s}$ and we get that either u = 0 or $1 + au^e = t^s + au^e t^{e+s}$, which has at most pe solutions. By (3.2), we have $V(f) \gg p^2/pe = p/e$.

Furthermore, notice that for every u with $u^e = c$, where c is a fixed non-zero e-th power with $1 + ac \neq 0$, the values f(u) = u(1 + ac) are pairwise distinct, and we can also add f(0) = 0. Thus $V(f) \ge e$.

The result now follows.

We now immediately obtain:

Corollary 3.5. If $f(X) = X + aX^n \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ then $V(f) \ge p^{1/2}$.

4. Comments

Theorem 3.4 extends, with the same proof, for arbitrary finite fields. On the other hand, Theorem 3.3 is false as stated for arbitrary finite fields. Indeed, the trace polynomial $T(X) = X + X^p + \cdots + X^{p^{t-1}}$ has $T(\mathbb{F}_{p^t}) = \mathbb{F}_p$, so $V(T) = q^{1/t}$ if $q = p^t$. The trace polynomial can be combined with a monomial $X^{(q-1)/d}$ for some divisor d to break the linearity of T(X). Clearly, for $f(X) = X^{(q-1)/d} + T(X)$ we have $V(f) \leq (d+1)p$.

We note that one can use Lemma 3.2 directly in a combination with (3.2). However, in the best possible scenario this approach can only give a lower bound of order $p^{1/(t-1)}$, which is always weaker than that of Theorem 3.3 for $t \ge 9$.

If p is a prime such that (p-1)/2 is also prime, then it follows from Theorem 3.4 that, for $f(X) = X + aX^n$, $a \neq 0, 2 \leq n \leq p-1$, we have $V(f) \geq (p-1)/2$. It can be proved that equality is attained if n = p-2 and a is a non-square. In this case the pre-image of non-zero elements of \mathbb{F}_p have zero or two elements and the pre-image of zero has three elements. A different example is $f(X) = X - X^{(p+1)/2}$, which has V(f) = (p+1)/2 and the pre-image of 0 has (p+1)/2 elements and other pre-images zero or one elements.

For arbitrary primes, we have the following. Assume that $d \mid (p-1)$ and consider $f(X) = X + aX^{1+(p-1)/d}$. Choose a, if possible, such that $((1+a)/(1+\zeta a))^{(p-1)/d} = \zeta$ for all ζ with $\zeta^d = 1$. Then, if $x_1^{(p-1)/d} = 1$ and $x_{\zeta} = (1+a)x_1/(1+\zeta a)$, then $x_{\zeta}^{(p-1)/d} = \zeta$ and $f(x_{\zeta}) = f(x_1)$ and it follows that V(f) = 1 + (p-1)/d.

To see when we can find such a, let c_{ζ} be such that $c_{\zeta}^{(p-1)/d} = \zeta$ with $\zeta^d = 1$. Consider the curve given by the system of equations $(1+u)/(1+\zeta u) = c_{\zeta}v_{\zeta}^d$ in variables u and v_{ζ} , indexed by $\zeta \neq 1$ with $\zeta^d = 1$. A rational point with $u = a \neq 0$ provides the necessary a. The genus of this curve is at most $d^d/2$ so by the Weil bound on the number of \mathbb{F}_p -rational points on curves (see [L96]), there is such a point if $p > d^{2d}$. This construction succeeds if $d \ll \log p/(\log \log p)$.

We also conclude with posing an question about estimating the image size of polynomials of the form

$$F(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \left(X^{n_i} + a_i \right)$$

Although most of our technique applies in this case as well, investigating linear factors of $F(cX^s) - F(cY^s)$ seems to be more complicated.

Acknowledgements

The authors like to thank Domingo Gómez-Pérez for several useful comments.

During the preparation of this work the first author was supported by the ARC Grants DP170100786 and DP180100201

References

- [BCR16] J. Bi, Q. Cheng and J. M. Rojas, Sub-linear root detection, and new hardness results, for sparse polynomials over finite fields, SIAM J. Comput. 45 (2016), 1433–1447. (p. 1)
- [CGRW17] Q. Cheng, S. Gao, J. M. Rojas and D. Wan, Sparse univariate polynomials with many roots over finite fields, *Finite Fields Appl.* 46 (2017), 235–246. (p. 1)
- [CFKLLS00] R. Canetti, J. B. Friedlander, S. V. Konyagin, M. Larsen, D. Lieman and I. E. Shparlinski, On the statistical properties of Diffie-Hellman distributions, *Israel J. Math.*, **120** (2000), 23–46. (pp. 1 and 3)
- [L96] D. Lorenzini, An invitation to arithmetic geometry, Amer. Math. Soc., 1996. (pp. 6 and 8)
- [SV86] K. O. Stöhr and J. F. Voloch, Weierstrass points and curves over finite fields. Proc. London Math. Soc., 52 (1986), 1–19. (p. 3)
- [V85] J. F. Voloch, Diagonal equations over function fields, Boletim da Sociedade Brasileira de Matematica, 16 (1985), 29–39. (p. 3)
- [V89] J. F. Voloch, On the number of values taken by a polynomial over a finite field. Acta Arith., 52 (1989), 197–201. (pp. 1, 4, and 6)
- [Z07] U. Zannier, On the number of terms of a composite polynomial Acta Arith., 127 (2007), 157–168. (pp. 1 and 2)

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Sydney NSW 2052, Australia

E-mail address: igor.shparlinski@unsw.edu.au

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Canterbury, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand

E-mail address: felipe.voloch@canterbury.ac.nz