1	Title: Excess burden of a chronic disabling condition: life lost due to traumatic spinal cord
2	injury in a Swiss population-based cohort study
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	Authors: Jonviea D Chamberlain ^{1, 2, 3} , Anne Buzzell ^{1, 2} , Hans Peter Gmünder ⁴ , Kerstin Hug
8	⁵ , Xavier Jordan ⁶ , André Moser ⁷ , Martin Schubert ⁸ , Marcel Zwahlen ³ , Martin WG Brinkhof
9	^{1, 2} for the SwiSCI cohort study and the Swiss National Cohort
10	
11	
12	Affiliations:
13	¹ Swiss Paraplegic Research, Nottwil, Switzerland
14	² University of Lucerne, Health Sciences and Health Policy, Luzern, Switzerland
15	³ Institute of Social and Preventative Medicine, University of Bern, Switzerland
16	⁴ Swiss Paraplegic Center, Nottwil, Switzerland
17	⁵ REHAB Basel, Basel, Switzerland
18	⁶ Clinique Romande de Réadaptation, Sion, Switzerland
19	⁷ Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Prevention Institute, University of Zurich
20	⁸ Balgrist University Hospital, Zürich, Switzerland
21	
22	
23	Word count: 3,310
24	Abstract word count: 172
25	
26	Funding: This study was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant number:
27	324730_166603 / 1).
28	
29	
30	Corresponding author:
31	Jonviea D. Chamberlain, PhD
32	Swiss Paraplegic Research, Guido A. Zäch Strasse 4, CH-6207 Nottwil, Switzerland
33	E-mail: jonviea.chamberlain@paraplegie.ch
34	Phone number: +41 41 939 65 92

- 35 Abstract
- 36

37 Objective

To estimate excess mortality and life-years lost in a Swiss cohort of individuals with traumatic spinal
 cord injury (TSCI).

40 Methods

This study uses population-based data collected in the Swiss Spinal Cord Injury Cohort (SwiSCI) study, which covers all specialized rehabilitation centers. Flexible parametric survival models were used to model life years remaining (LYR), potential years life lost (PYLL), relative survival and excess hazard ratios.

45 Results

Men and women with TSCI and an attained age of 30, were estimated to have 42 LYR (95% CI=37.9-47 45.5) and 43 LYR (95% CI=40.1-45.5), respectively; this equates to a life expectancy (LE) of 80.6% 48 and 76.9% of that of the Swiss general population. With respect to lesion level and completeness, 49 persons with incomplete paraplegia had 45.1 LYR at an attained age of 30, whereas individuals with 50 complete tetraplegia only had 28.7 LYR. This pattern was similar for PYLL.

51 Conclusion

52 The extended LE following TSCI, even for the most severe lesions, underscores the need for 53 sustained follow-up to support functioning and health for individuals aging with SCI.

54 Introduction

55 The 2017 Global Burden of Disease (GBD) report identified non-communicable diseases (NCDs) as 56 the leading contributor to mortality as well as to disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), a 57 comprehensive measurement of disease burden that has globally seen a 40% increase between 1990 58 and 2017 (Cao et al. 2018; GBD 2017 DALYs and HALE Collaborators 2018). While previous GBD 59 reports have reported positive trends of improved health and life expectancy virtually universally, the 60 recent 2017 report presents a sobering picture with reductions in progress towards improved health, 61 and projected escalations in the burden of disease due to NCDs (Cao et al. 2018; GBD 2017 DALYs 62 and HALE Collaborators 2018). To curb this trend, it is necessary to quantify the burden of disease in 63 order to set priorities for resource management and targeting improvement. To this aim, estimates of 64 life expectancy or potential years of life lost are important parameters of individual and societal 65 burden. These indicators evaluate the integral impact of health conditions or health states on human 66 functioning insomuch as they serve as a censor, aid in planning of resources and service needs, and 67 contribute to the evaluation of DALYs (2018). Additionally, relative measures - including relative 68 survival or excess mortality - can be used to account for background mortality in the general 69 population in order to quantify the true impact of spinal cord injuries (SCIs) on risk of premature 70 mortality.

71 Traumatic spinal cord injuries (TSCIs) are a non-communicable, neurological condition with 72 lifelong implications including reduced well-being, increased morbidity and mortality, and a generally 73 high individual and societal economic burden (WHO 2013). Albeit rare, the individual impact of TSCIs 74 on mortality risk is similar to other chronic conditions (e.g., multiple sclerosis). For instance, persons 75 with a TSCI experience mortality rates more than double that of the general population (Standardized 76 mortality ratio [SMR]=2.32; 95% Cl=2.10-2.56), similar to that of multiple sclerosis (SMR=2.7; 95% 77 Cl=2.4-3) or traumatic brain injury (SMR=2.25; 95% Cl=2.1-2.4) (Lunde et al. 2017; Chamberlain et al. 78 2019; Harrison-Felix et al. 2012). Further contributing to the burden associated with TSCIs, in addition 79 to the burden attributed to premature mortality, TSCIs are associated with a high disability weight in 80 DALY calculations, thereby directly implicating a higher burden given the impact on years lived with 81 disability (Salomon et al. 2015). However, the available evidence of burden is limited; particularly 82 within the Swiss context. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to provide Swiss-specific estimates of 83 life expectancy, life years lost, excess mortality and relative survival.

84

85 Methods

86 Study population

87 This study uses data collected from the Swiss Spinal Cord Injury Cohort (SwiSCI) study (Post et al. 88 2011; Chamberlain et al. 2017). Data on vital status have been further enhanced through probabilistic 89 record linkage with the Swiss National Cohort (SNC) to obtain cause of death and additional 90 sociodemographic variables (e.g., marital status). This has been previously described in detail 91 (Chamberlain et al. 2019). The SwiSCI study includes all persons admitted to one of the five 92 specialized rehabilitation centers (currently four active) within Switzerland for first rehabilitation 93 following SCI. Importantly, individuals who died before admission to first rehabilitation are therefore not 94 included within the SwiSCI study. Individuals with cauda equina lesions, which are peripheral lesions 95 with a differential impact on prognosis and evolution of SCI-specific secondary health conditions, as 96 compared to non-peripheral lesions of the spinal cord, were excluded from all analyses (N=150). To 97 ascertain vital status, a comprehensive follow-up was recently undertaken for individuals injured 98 between 1990 and 2011; the present study is thus restricted to those individuals who sustained a 99 traumatic SCI, and were included within the vital status update. Individuals were considered lost to 100 follow-up (LTFU) if information on vital status at study end (administrative censoring date: September 101 30, 2011) was unavailable even after active follow-up through participating clinics and municipalities. 102 This has been described in further detail previously (Buzzell et al. 2018; Chamberlain et al. 2018). 103 Information on mortality in the Swiss general population (GP) was acquired through the Swiss Federal 104 Statistical Office (Neuchâtel), including information on the mortality rate, number of deaths and time-105 at-risk according to age, sex, and calendar year.

106

107 Statistical analysis

108 For this study, level and completeness of the spinal cord lesion were grouped together into a four-level 109 variable, including: paraplegia incomplete; paraplegia complete; tetraplegia incomplete; tetraplegia 110 complete. Age was included as a categorical variable according to ISCoS guidelines to ensure 111 comparability with previous research (DeVivo et al. 2011). For the estimation of excess mortality rate 112 ratios (eHRs) and relative survival we used splitting techniques to partition follow-up time of individuals 113 with SCI with respect to age class and year, thus facilitating proper benchmarking to the mortality data 114 of the GP by age, sex, and calendar year. Given the high mortality rate during the months immediately 115 following injury, estimates were restricted to individuals that survived at least six months post-injury. GP mortality rates – stratified by age, sex, and year of death – were merged with attained age, sex, and attained year of death of the SCI cohort. This was similarly performed for estimation of potential years life lost (PYLL), with the exception that age and year at injury were used in place of attained age and year.

120

121 Time-at-risk started with date of SCI, with study start on date of admission to first rehabilitation. 122 Individuals exited the study on date of death or end of study (September 30, 2011), whichever came 123 first. Individuals LTFU were censored on last date of known vital status. To prevent over-124 parameterization of models, confounders were identified using directed acyclic graphs (DAGs) 125 informed by theory, previous evidence, and data availability; included confounders were: age, sex, 126 level and completeness of lesion (Greenland et al. 1999). Excess mortality and relative survival were 127 modelled using a flexible parametric survival model (FPM) (Dickman and Coviello 2015). The 128 Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) value was used to identify best-fitting models given the degrees 129 of freedom (df); 3 df were determined for best fit. The proportional hazards assumption was assessed 130 using a likelihood ratio test comparing models with and without inclusion of time-dependent effects. A 131 FPM was used to predict life years remaining (LYR) using restricted mean survival time at attained 132 ages: 30; 40; 50; and 60 years of age. A maximum attained age of 90 years, which is close to the 133 oldest ages observed in the study population was used in modelling. A FPM was similarly used to 134 model the PYLL; level and completeness of injury, age at injury as a continuous variable using splines, 135 and sex were controlled for in the model. Pre-2000, information on the American Spinal Injury 136 Association (ASIA) Impairment Scale (AIS) score (Roberts et al. 2017) was not regularly collected, 137 estimates stratified by injury severity (a combination of AIS score and level of lesion) thereby exclude 138 individuals who incurred a TSCI pre-2000. Hazard ratios (HRs) and excess hazard ratios (eHRs) were 139 modelled using a FPM, and are presented with 95% confidence intervals. Excess hazard ratios and 140 standard HRs can be interpreted similarly, with the addition that eHRs account for variation in the 141 background mortality rates of the GP. For example, an eHR of 1.2 for males relative to females would 142 indicate that males have a 20% higher risk of mortality after controlling for the background variation in 143 GP mortality.

144

All analyses were carried out using Stata version 14.2 (StataCorp 2015), and all figures were createdusing SigmaPlot (Systat Software, San Jose, CA).

147

148 Results

This study includes 2'492 individuals, of which 379 (15.2%) had a known date of death. Of those individuals that died, 149 (39.3%) died within the first two years post-injury, 87 (23.0%) between two and five years, 81 (21.4%) between five and ten years, and 62 (16.4%) between 10 and 21 years. Additionally, more than half were male (68.6%), nearly two thirds of the population were over the age of 60 years at time of death (60.1%), and roughly 40% had an incomplete tetraplegia (Table 1).

154

155 Life years remaining and potential life years lost

156 Estimated life years remaining (LYR) according to study characteristics are presented in Table 2. No 157 notable differences in residual life expectancy (LE) were identified between men and women. For 158 example, men with an attained age of 30 had an estimated 42 LYR (95% CI=37.9-45.5), while women 159 had an estimated 43 LYR (95% CI=40.1-45.5) (Table 2). However, in comparison to the GP, men and 160 women with an attained age of 30 years experienced a LE of roughly 80.6% and 76.9% compared to that of the Swiss GP (data not shown) (2017). The number of LYR was influenced by completeness 161 and level of lesion. For example, with an attained age of 30 years, persons with incomplete paraplegia 162 163 had 45.1 LYR, whereas individuals with complete tetraplegia only had 28.7 LYR, equating to 53.9% of 164 the LE of the GP (Table 2).

165 For individuals injured between 1990 and 2011, there is an estimated total of 8'486.5 PYLL 166 due to TSCI; of which 75% is attributable to TSCIs incurred between 16 and 45 years of age. Figure 1 167 provides a visualization of PYLL according to lesion characteristics across different ages at injury. 168 Individuals with incomplete and complete paraplegia as well as with incomplete tetraplegia exhibited 169 similar PYLLs, with an average estimated PYLL at 20 years of 4.4, 5.9, and 4.8, respectively (Figure 170 1). In comparison, a complete tetraplegia incurred at 20 years of age, reduced LE by nearly 14 years 171 (Figure 1). This gap in PYLL according to lesion level and completeness persisted across differing 172 ages at injury.

173

174 Relative survival and excess mortality

175 Estimated excess mortality per 1'000 persons-years is provided in Table 3. Across sociodemographic 176 characteristics, excess mortality is impacted by lesion level and completeness. For example, the

177 excess mortality rate for individuals aged between 16 and 30 years old with an incomplete paraplegia 178 was 0.6 (95% Cl=0.15-2.04) per 1'000 person-years, while for individuals with complete tetraplegia the 179 excess mortality was roughly four additional deaths per 1'000 person-years (95% Cl=1.07-11.98) 180 (Table 3). This divergence increased with age. A comparison of HRs and eHRs is presented in Figure 181 2. When accounting for background mortality in the GP, effect sizes for the 31-45 year old age group 182 increased slightly, while eHRs for the oldest age group were attenuated, accounting for the higher risk 183 of mortality with older ages experienced by the general population (Figure 2). Differences in risk of 184 mortality according to lesion level and completeness were similarly exaggerated when accounting for 185 background GP mortality rates, with an excess mortality rate nearly seven-fold higher for individuals 186 with complete tetraplegia in comparison to persons with incomplete paraplegia (eHR=6.78; 95% 187 Cl=3.29-13.93) (Figure 2). Comparisons of standard survival estimates and relative survival estimates 188 demonstrate the mortality attributable to TSCI by accounting for the expected mortality among persons 189 with SCI, estimated from age- and sex- stratified mortality rates in the GP (Figure 3). For example, 190 individuals with a complete tetraplegia who survived at least half a year post-injury had an estimated 191 10-year survival probability of roughly 75% (Figure 3). When accounting for background mortality rates 192 in the GP, relative survival estimates showed that excluding the possibility of mortality due to any other 193 disease or external factor, 20% of persons with complete tetraplegia will have died due to their 194 diagnosis 10 years post-injury (Figure 3).

195

196 Discussion

197 Summary

In Switzerland, persons with a traumatic SCI and an attained age of 30 years have an estimated 28.7 to 45.1 years of life remaining with higher, more severe injuries equated with the greatest reductions in residual life expectancy. Additionally, although older age is associated with a higher risk of mortality, this study found that individuals injured at a younger age lost substantially more life years. Finally, this study revealed that the risk of mortality following TSCI remains elevated across the life course of the spinal cord injured individual, never returning to that of the general population.

204

In the present study, the LE for individuals with a TSCI and an attained age of 30 years old varied between 53.1% and 88.0% of the LE of the Swiss general population (2017). Our results contribute to consistent evidence in high income countries. For example, a study using data from the Model 208 Systems in the United States, estimated the LE for 25 year old white males, with an elapsed time of 209 three years since incurring a TSCI, to be between 52% and 88% that of the general population LE, 210 depending on lesion level and severity (Shavelle et al. 2015). Similarly, in a United Kingdom-based 211 study by Savic et al, the estimated LE after TSCI was between 57.1% and 86.9% of that of the general population for men with an attained age of 25 years, who survived at least one-year post-injury 212 213 (excluding ventilator-dependent persons) (Savic et al. 2017). To note, both of these studies also 214 investigated trends in LE among individuals with TSCI and found either minimal or no improvement LE 215 across recent decades. This suggests that while the LE of the GP has been steadily improving across 216 high-income countries, improvements in long-term survival for individuals with SCI have remained 217 largely stagnant. Such reductions and lack of improvement in LE in comparison to the general 218 population are indicative of the large burden on the individual.

219 This study found that although older individuals are at a higher risk of mortality, individuals who 220 incur a TSCI at a younger age lose substantially more life years, particularly those who incur complete 221 tetraplegia. Reflecting the contracted LE of the Swiss SCI population, the measure of PYLL is an 222 additional, population-referenced indicator of the individual burden associated with SCI. The apparent 223 differential impact of lesion characteristics on PYLL in relation to age at injury could be in part due to 224 the consequences of aging with a SCI. Such differentials in survival that go beyond normal aging have 225 been evidenced in a previous study using data from the Swiss SCI population (Chamberlain et al. 226 2018). Allostasis adaptation - or the biochemical, physiologic, and psychological changes undergone 227 to maintain or restore homeostasis - in response to chronic disease may serve as a catalyst for 228 accelerated aging (Juster et al. 2016; Shiels et al. 2017). Allostatic load (AL) - or the accumulative 229 wear-and-tear on the body - has been liked to an increased risk of comorbidities as well as mortality, 230 and is evidenced to be impacted by events across the life course, including traumatic events (e.g., 231 child abuse), social (e.g., socioeconomic status), or even personality traits influencing stress response 232 (Juster et al. 2016; Castagné et al. 2018). It is therefore conceivable that the physiological 233 dysregulations associated with level and completeness of the spinal cord lesion contribute to the 234 accumulation of AL with increasing time since injury, and importantly to the differential accumulation 235 over time. In order to better understand the synergistic effect of time since injury on allostatic load, and 236 thereby identify targets for reducing AL and subsequent health outcome differentials, longitudinal 237 studies are needed that investigate trajectories of biomarkers instrumental to the AL hypothesis (e.g.,

telomere length, parameters of immune function/immune senescence) and risk factors for increasedAL across the life course of persons with SCI.

240 In comparison to individuals who incurred an incomplete paraplegia, complete tetraplegia was 241 associated with nearly seven times more excess deaths - or deaths beyond what is expected based 242 on GP mortality rates. This is considerably higher than the roughly four-fold increase in risk of mortality 243 estimated when using standard methods (i.e., methods not taking into account background GP 244 mortality rates). Additionally, if considering solely standard hazard ratios, it would appear that the 245 oldest age group (76 years and older) has by far the highest risk of mortality; however, when 246 accounting for background mortality in the GP, the excess hazard is attenuated and similar to that of 247 individuals between 61 and 75 years of age. Such information may change targets for interventions 248 as, in the example of age, this points towards the need to equally target these two age groups in 249 efforts to minimize or reduce premature mortality. When considering the elevated risk associated with 250 complete tetraplegia, the further accentuation when accounting for background mortality in the GP 251 points towards an influence of age and sex on lesion characteristics; i.e., younger individuals are more 252 affected by complete tetraplegia. This suggests the need to potentially reassess and invest more 253 resources towards reducing disparities in risk of premature mortality and to mitigate individual-level 254 burden associated with lesion characteristics, particularly targeting younger individuals who have 255 incurred a complete tetraplegia. Given that the burden associated with TSCIs is expected to augment 256 in the future due to the projected increase in the incidence rate of TSCIs among older individuals 257 primarily due to falls, refining and reevaluating high risk group definitions is essential to ensure the 258 intended prevention or reduction of premature mortality (Ahn et al. 2017).

259

260 Strengths & limitations

261 Estimates of the contribution of pre-specified risk factors on all-cause mortality due to SCI can be 262 misleading given the influence of the background mortality experienced by the general population. 263 Therefore, a strength of this study is the provision of relative estimates of survival and mortality, which 264 provide unbiased indicators of mortality due to sustaining a TSCI through standardization to the GP by 265 age, sex, and decade. Although individuals within this study were included within the mortality rates for 266 the GP, given that TSCI is rare the impact on estimates is negligible. A potential limitation of this study, 267 however, is the limited follow-up time of roughly 20 years. At the end of the study period, the majority of individuals were still alive, therefore in order to model remaining life years, this study was forced to 268

rely on model assumptions for estimation, for example restricting the maximum age to 90 years. Extended follow-up in the context of the SwiSCI cohort study will facilitate validation. Additionally, it was not possible to adequately investigate trends in LE or other mortality-related outcomes. Given the paucity and conflicting evidence on trends in improvements of mortality-related outcomes, countryspecific analyses are needed.

274

275 Conclusion

276 Population health indicators such as residual life expectancy, life years lost, relative survival and 277 excess mortality can serve to inform health systems regarding burden and expected associated costs 278 across the life course of individuals with a SCI. This study provides the first Swiss-specific estimates of 279 PYLL and LYR after SCI; the two components needed for the calculation of disease-specific DALYs. 280 This study further provides evidence of an extended LE following a TSCI, even for the most severe 281 lesions, thereby justifying the provision of specialized care post-SCI to support improved long-term 282 functioning and health. Furthermore, the estimates of relative survival and excess hazard ratios can be 283 used for health policy and raising awareness of potential inadequacies in the continued care for 284 persons with chronic TSCI.

285

286 Statement of Ethics

The SwiSCI cohort study has been approved by local ethics committees (reference numbers: 1008 [Luzern]; 37/11 [Basel]; CCVEM 015/11 [Valais]; 2012-0049 [Zürich]). All authors confirm that they have no conflict of interest to declare.

290

291 Funding Sources

This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant no. 166603 http://p3.snf.ch/project-166603) to MWGB and MZ.

294

295 Author Contributions

JDC, MWGB and MZ were responsible for initial conceptual framing. AB and MWGB provided statistical support and critical feedback on manuscript content. HPG, KH, XJ, and SM provided clinical support and feedback of the present manuscript. MZ and AM provided statistical support for analyses, as well as critical evaluation of statistical methods implemented. JDC was responsible for all analyses,
drafting, and finalization of manuscript. All authors have supported and approved the final manuscript.

301

302 Acknowledgements

This study has been financed in the framework of the Swiss Spinal Cord Injury Cohort Study, supported by the Swiss Paraplegic Foundation and the SwiSCI Steering Committee (more information on SwiSCI and Steering Committee members can be found here: <u>www.swisci.ch</u>). We further thank the Swiss Federal Statistical Office for providing mortality and census data and for the support which made the SNC and this study possible (more information on the SNC and members of the SNC Study Group can be found here: <u>www.swissnationalcohort.ch</u>).

309

- 310 Tables & Figures
- 311
- 312 Table 1 Study characteristics according to vital status
- Those with a discharge destination of "Death" were discharged after study end (i.e., post-September 314 30 2011).
- 315

316 Table 2 Marginally adjusted estimates of life years remaining according to attained age

- Life years remaining (LYR) estimates stratified by injury severity exclude individuals who incurred a
 TSCI pre-2000.
- 319

320 Table 3 Excess mortality per 1'000 person-years, stratified by lesion characteristics

321 Excess mortality presented as the average excess mortality with 95% confidence intervals. 322

Fig. 1 Potential years of life lost (PYLL) according to age at injury, stratified by lesion characteristics

The solid black line indicates potential years of life lost (PYLL) for complete tetraplegic lesions, the dashed dark grey line indicates PYLL for incomplete tetraplegic lesions, the dashed light grey line that for complete paraplegic lesions, and finally the solid light grey line the PYLL for incomplete paraplegic lesions.

329

330 Fig. 2 Comparison of estimated hazard ratios and excess hazard ratios

The unfilled circle represents the reference category. The black-filled circle corresponds to estimated hazard ratios (HR), while the grey-filled circle corresponds to the estimated excess hazard ratio (eHR). The 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) are represented by the solid lines on either side of the circle representing the HR or eHR. All estimates are adjusted for lesion level and completeness, attained age, and sex. To note, the left-hand y-axis corresponds to the HR and eHRs estimated for attained age; the right-hand y-axis corresponds to the HR and eHRs for all other variables.

337

Fig. 3 Marginally adjusted survival and relative survival probabilities, stratified by lesion characteristics

- 340 The solid black line indicates the marginally adjusted survival and relative survival probability for
- incomplete paraplegia; the dashed line that for complete paraplegia; the light grey, dotted line that for
- 342 incomplete tetraplegia; and finally the dashed-dotted line for complete tetraplegia.

References

- 1 Cao B, Bray F, Ilbawi A, Soerjomataram I (2018) Effect on longevity of one-third reduction in premature mortality from non-communicable diseases by 2030: a global analysis of the Sustainable Development Goal health target. Lancet Glob Health 6:e1288-e1296. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2214-109x(18)30411-x
- 2 GBD 2017 DALYs and HALE Collaborators (2018) Global, regional, and national disabilityadjusted life-years (DALYs) for 359 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet 392:1859-1922. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(18)32335-3
- 3 WHO: International perspectives on spinal cord injury. Malta, World Health Organization, 2013.
- 4 Lunde HMB, Assmus J, Myhr KM, Bo L, Grytten N (2017) Survival and cause of death in multiple sclerosis: a 60-year longitudinal population study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 88:621-625. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2016-315238
- 5 Chamberlain JD, Buzzell A, Gmunder HP et al. (2019) Comparison of All-Cause and Cause-Specific Mortality of Persons with Traumatic Spinal Cord Injuries to the General Swiss Population: Results from a National Cohort Study. Neuroepidemiology 52:205-213. https://doi.org/10.1159/000496976
- 6 Harrison-Felix C, Kreider SE, Arango-Lasprilla JC et al. (2012) Life expectancy following rehabilitation: a NIDRR Traumatic Brain Injury Model Systems study. J Head Trauma Rehabil 27:E69-80. https://doi.org/10.1097/HTR.0b013e3182738010
- 7 Salomon JA, Haagsma JA, Davis A et al. (2015) Disability weights for the Global Burden of Disease 2013 study. The Lancet Global Health 3:e712-e723. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00069-8
- 8 Post MW, Brinkhof MW, von Elm E et al. (2011) Design of the Swiss spinal cord injury cohort study. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 90:S5-16. https://doi.org/10.1097/PHM.0b013e318230fd41
- 9 Chamberlain JD, Ronca E, Brinkhof MW (2017) Estimating the incidence of traumatic spinal cord injuries in Switzerland: Using administrative data to identify potential coverage error in a cohort study. Swiss Med Wkly 147:w14430. https://doi.org/smw.2017.14430
- 10 Buzzell A, Chamberlain JD, Gmunder HP et al. (2018) Survival after non-traumatic spinal cord injury: evidence from a population-based rehabilitation cohort in Switzerland. Spinal Cord https://doi.org/10.1038/s41393-018-0212-x
- 11 Chamberlain JD, Gmunder HP, Hug K et al. (2018) Differential survival after traumatic spinal cord injury: evidence from a multi-center longitudinal cohort study in Switzerland. Spinal Cord https://doi.org/10.1038/s41393-018-0163-2
- 12 DeVivo MJ, Biering-Sorensen F, New P, Chen Y (2011) Standardization of data analysis and reporting of results from the International Spinal Cord Injury Core Data Set. Spinal Cord 49:596-599. https://doi.org/10.1038/sc.2010.172
- 13 Greenland S, Pearl J, Robins JM (1999) Causal diagrams for epidemiologic research. Epidemiology 10:37-48.
- 14 Dickman PW, Coviello E (2015) Estimating and modeling relative survival. Stata Journal 15:186-215.
- 15 Roberts TT, Leonard GR, Cepela DJ (2017) Classifications In Brief: American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) Impairment Scale. Clin Orthop Relat Res 475:1499-1504. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-016-5133-4
- 16 StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 15. StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, 2015,
- 17 Swiss Federal Statistical Office (2017) Ésperence de vie. https://www.bfs.admin.ch/bfs/fr/home/statistiques/population/naissances-deces/esperancevie.html
- 18 Shavelle RM, DeVivo MJ, Brooks JC, Strauss DJ, Paculdo DR (2015) Improvements in longterm survival after spinal cord injury? Arch Phys Med Rehabil 96:645-651. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2014.11.003
- 19 Savic G, DeVivo MJ, Frankel HL et al. (2017) Long-term survival after traumatic spinal cord injury: a 70-year British study. Spinal Cord 55:651-658. https://doi.org/10.1038/sc.2017.23
- 20 Juster RP, Russell JJ, Almeida D, Picard M (2016) Allostatic load and comorbidities: A mitochondrial, epigenetic, and evolutionary perspective. Dev Psychopathol 28:1117-1146. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0954579416000730
- 21 Shiels PG, Stenvinkel P, Kooman JP, McGuinness D (2017) Circulating markers of ageing and allostatic load: A slow train coming. Pract Lab Med 7:49-54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plabm.2016.04.002

- 22 Castagné R, Garès V, Karimi M et al. (2018) Allostatic load and subsequent all-cause mortality: which biological markers drive the relationship? Findings from a UK birth cohort. European journal of epidemiology 33:441-458. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-018-0364-1
- 23 Ahn H, Lewis R, Santos A et al. (2017) Forecasting Financial Resources for Future Traumatic Spinal Cord Injury Care Using Simulation Modeling. J Neurotrauma 34:2917-2923. https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2016.4936

 Table 1. Study characteristics according to vital status

 Those with a discharge destination of "Death", but who are categorized as "Alive", were discharged after study end (i.e., post-Sept 30 2011). * AIS scores are only available post-2000.

Age at injury, years: mean; S.D. (IQR) 40.5; 17.2 (27) 62.6; 17.9 (26) 47.0; 20.2 (35.5) Length of stay, months: mean; S.D. 5.8; 7.8 (4.3) 4.9; 3.6 (5.1) 5.0; 4.2 (4.7) Sex [1] Ternale 486 (25.5) 119 (31.4) 54 (26.2) Age at injury 16:30 678 (35.8) 27 (7.2) 58 (28.6) 31-45 527 (27.8) 44 (11.7) 46 (22.7) 46-60 391 (20.6) 76 (20.2) 41 (20.2) 61-75 233 (12.3) 122 (32.4) 34 (16.7) 76+ 67 (3.5) 108 (28.6) 24 (11.8) Etiology [2] Ternaport 596 (31.3) 71 (18.8) 63 (30.6) Falls 602 (31.6) 209 (55.3) 92 (44.7) Other cause 207 (10.9) 57 (15.1) 31 (15.0) SCI Type [13] Tera 1076 (56.7) 158 (41.8) 105 (52.0) Paralegia, incomplete 583 (31.6) 126 (35.0) 54 (29.3) Lesion Level & Completeness [101] Complete 1264 (68.4) 234 (65.0) 130 (70.7) Complete 1264 (68.4)	Characteristics [missings]	Alive N=1,907	Dead N=379	Missing Vital Status N=206	
Length of stay, months: mean; S.D. 5.8; 7.8 (4.3) 4.9; 3.6 (5.1) 5.0; 4.2 (4.7) (IQR) Sex [1] Male 1420 (74.5) 260 (68.6) 152 (73.8) Female 486 (25.5) 119 (31.4) 54 (26.2) Age at injury 678 (35.8) 27 (7.2) 58 (28.6) 31-45 527 (27.8) 44 (11.7) 46 (22.7) 46-60 391 (20.6) 76 (20.2) 41 (20.2) 61-75 233 (12.3) 122 (32.4) 34 (16.7) 76+ 67 (3.5) 108 (28.6) 24 (11.8) Etiology [2] 57 (73.5) 108 (28.6) 24 (11.8) Etiology [2] 57 (73.5) 108 (28.6) 24 (11.8) Etiology [2] 57 (73.5) 108 (28.6) 24 (11.8) Etiology [2] 77 (10.9) 57 (15.1) 31 (15.0) SCI Type [13] 70 (10.9) 57 (15.1) 31 (15.0) SCI Type [13] 70 (10.9) 57 (15.1) 31 (15.0) Completences [101] 70 (66.7) 158 (41.8) 105 (52.0) Para 703 (37.0) 204 (54.0) 83 (41.1) Cauda equina 120 (6.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Completences [101] 70 (12.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Completences [101] 70 (12.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Completences [101] 70 (12.3) 133 (70.7) 158 (41.8) 105 (52.0) Para 703 (37.0) 204 (54.0) 83 (41.1) Cauda equina 120 (6.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Completences [101] 70 (12.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (2.3) Lesion Level & Completences [56] 70 (12.6) 54 (35.0) 54 (29.3) Lesion Level & Completences [56] 70 (13.0) 70 (13.0) (70.7) Incomplete 153 (30.6) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) Tetraplegia, complete 423 (24.1) 68 (19.7) 41 (23.4) Tetraplegia, complete 154 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) Tetraplegia, complete 157 (9.0) 58 (16.8) 13 (7.4) AIS S A 372 (29.4) 57 (33.1) 20 (23.3) AIS D/E 51 (43.5) 47 (27.3) 37 (43.0) Injury Severity" [1,324] 71 (12.8) 18 (20.9) AIS D/E 51 (43.5) 47 (27.3) 37 (43.0) Injury Severity" [1,324] 71 (77.1) 11 (12.8) AIS D/E 51 (43.5) 47 (27.3) 37 (43.0) Injury Severity" [1,324] 71 (77.1) 131 (68.6) 164 (45.1) 131 (68.6) Hospital 76 (4.2) 45 (12.4) 19 (9.9) Nursing home/assisted living 154 (8.3) 48 (2.0) 48 (42.5) (27.7) 4 (8.3) AIS D/E 51 (43.5) 47 (27.3) 37 (43.0) Hoppital 76 (4.2) 45 (12.4) 19 (9.9) Nursing home/assisted living 154 (8.3) 48 (2.0) 48 (14.2) 52 (2.5) No 1843 (98.0) 291 (85.8) 193 (97.5) Yes	Age at injury, years: mean; S.D. (IQR)	40.5; 17.2 (27)	62.6; 17.9 (26)	47.0; 20.2 (35.5)	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Length of stay, months: mean; S.D.	5.8; 7.8 (4.3)	4.9; 3.6 (5.1)	5.0; 4.2 (4.7)	
Sex [1] Male 1420 (74.5) 260 (68.6) 152 (73.8) Female 486 (25.5) 119 (31.4) 54 (26.2) Age at injury 16-30 678 (35.8) 27 (7.2) 58 (28.6) 31.45 527 (27.8) 44 (11.7) 46 (22.7) 46-60 391 (20.6) 76 (20.2) 41 (20.2) 61.75 233 (12.3) 122 (32.4) 34 (16.7) 76+ 67 (3.5) 108 (28.6) 24 (11.8) Etiology [2] 506 (31.3) 71 (18.8) 63 (30.6) Falls 602 (31.6) 209 (55.3) 92 (44.7) Other cause 207 (10.9) 57 (15.1) 31 (15.0) ScI Type [13] Tetra 1076 (56.7) 158 (41.8) 105 (52.0) Paraa 703 (37.0) 204 (54.0) 83 (41.1) Cauda equina 120 (6.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Complete 583 (31.6) 126 (35.0) 54 (29.3) Lesion Level & Complete 583 (31.6) 126 (35.0) 54 (29.3) E44.7) Canda equina incomplete 583 (31.6) 126 (35.0) 54 (29.3) Lesion Level &	(IQR)				
Maie 1420 (74.5) 260 (68.6) 152 (73.8) Female 486 (25.5) 119 (31.4) 54 (26.2) Age at injury	Sex [1]				
Female 486 (25.5) 119 (31.4) 54 (26.2) Age at injury	Male	1420 (74.5)	260 (68.6)	152 (73.8)	
Age at injury16.0016.0016.0016-30678 (35.8)27 (7.2)58 (28.6)31-45527 (27.8)44 (11.7)46 (22.7)46-60391 (20.6)76 (20.2)41 (20.2)61-75233 (12.3)122 (32.4)34 (16.7)76+67 (3.5)108 (28.6)24 (11.8)Etiology [2]77Transport596 (31.3)71 (18.8)63 (30.6)Falls602 (31.6)209 (55.3)92 (44.7)Other cause207 (10.9)57 (15.1)31 (15.0)SCI Type [13]Tetra1076 (56.7)158 (41.8)105 (52.0)Para703 (37.0)204 (54.0)83 (41.1)Cauda equina120 (6.3)16 (4.2)14 (6.9)Complete1264 (68.4)234 (65.0)130 (70.7)Incomplete583 (31.6)126 (35.0)54 (29.3)Lesion Level & Complete534 (30.5)135 (39.0)61 (34.9)Tetraplegia, incomplete534 (30.5)135 (39.0)61 (34.9)Tetraplegia, complete137 (9.0)58 (16.8)13 (7.4)AIS A372 (29.4)57 (33.1)20 (23.3)AIS B149 (11.8)27 (15.7)11 (12.8)AIS C194 (15.3)47 (27.3)37 (43.0)Injury Severity* [1,324]C143.3)C1-C4 ABC88 (8.6)16 (15.8)6 (12.5)C5-C8 ABC86 (8.4)11 (10.9)1 (2.1)T-53 ABC294 (28.9)27 (28.7)4 (8.3)AIS D/E551	Female	486 (25.5)	119 (31.4)	54 (26.2)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Age at injurv	()	- (-)	- (-)	
31-45527 (27.8)44 (11.7)46 (22.7)46-60391 (20.6)76 (20.2)41 (20.2)61-75233 (12.3)122 (32.4)34 (16.7)76+67 (3.5)108 (28.6)24 (11.8)Etiology [2]Sports and leisure501 (26.3)41 (10.8)20 (9.7)Transport596 (31.3)71 (18.8)63 (30.6)Falls602 (31.6)209 (55.3)92 (44.7)Other cause207 (10.9)57 (15.1)31 (15.0)SCI Type [13]Tetra1076 (56.7)158 (41.8)105 (52.0)Para703 (37.0)204 (54.0)83 (41.1)Caude equina120 (6.3)16 (4.2)14 (6.9)Complete1264 (68.4)234 (65.0)130 (70.7)Incomplete1264 (68.4)234 (65.0)130 (70.7)Incomplete583 (31.6)126 (35.0)54 (29.3)Lesion Level & Completeness [56]Paraplegia, complete423 (24.1)68 (19.7)41 (23.4)Tetraplegia, complete157 (9.0)58 (16.8)13 (7.4)AIS A372 (29.4)57 (33.1)20 (23.3)AIS B149 (11.8)27 (15.7)11 (12.8)AIS C194 (15.3)41 (23.8)18 (20.9)AIS A372 (29.4)57 (33.1)20 (23.3)AIS C194 (15.3)47 (27.3)37 (43.0)Injury Severity* [1,324]51 (43.5)47 (27.3)C1-C4 ABC294 (28.9)27 (26.	16-30	678 (35.8)	27 (7.2)	58 (28.6)	
46-60 391 (20.6) 76 (20.2) 41 (20.2) 61-75 233 (12.3) 122 (32.4) 34 (16.7) 76+ 67 (3.5) 108 (28.6) 24 (11.8) Etiology [2] Sports and leisure 501 (26.3) 41 (10.8) 20 (9.7) Transport 596 (31.3) 71 (18.8) 63 (30.6) Falls 602 (31.6) 209 (55.3) 92 (44.7) Other cause 207 (10.9) 57 (15.1) 31 (15.0) SCI Type [13] Tetra 1076 (56.7) 158 (41.8) 105 (52.0) Para 703 (37.0) 204 (54.0) 83 (41.1) Cauda equina 120 (6.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Completeness [101] Completeness [56] Paraplegia, incomplete 538 (36.4) 85 (24.6) 60 (34.3) Paraplegia, incomplete 537 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) Tetraplegia, incomplete 534 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) Als A 372 (29.4) 57 (31-45	527 (27.8)	44 (11 7)	46 (22 7)	
61-75 233 (12.3) 122 (32.4) 34 (16.7) 76+ 67 (3.5) 108 (28.6) 24 (11.8) Etiology [2] Sports and leisure 501 (26.3) 41 (10.8) 20 (9.7) Transport 596 (31.3) 71 (18.8) 63 (30.6) Falls 602 (31.6) 209 (55.3) 92 (44.7) Other cause 207 (10.9) 57 (15.1) 31 (15.0) SCI Type [13] Tetra 1076 (56.7) 158 (41.8) 105 (52.0) Para 703 (37.0) 204 (54.0) 83 (41.1) Cauda equina 120 (6.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Completeness [101] Complete 1264 (68.4) 234 (65.0) 130 (70.7) Incomplete 1264 (68.4) 234 (65.0) 130 (70.7) Incomplete 583 (31.6) 126 (35.0) 54 (29.3) Lesion Level & Completeness [56] Paraplegia, incomplete 533 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) Paraplegia, incomplete 534 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) 11 (12.8) Als S A 372 (29.4)	46-60	391 (20.6)	76 (20.2)	41(202)	
T6+ Eff (3.5) T02 (21.6) T12 (22.6) 24 (11.8) Etiology [2] 5 67 (3.5) 108 (28.6) 24 (11.8) Sports and leisure 501 (26.3) 41 (10.8) 20 (9.7) Transport 596 (31.3) 71 (18.8) 63 (30.6) Falls 602 (31.6) 209 (55.3) 92 (44.7) Other cause 207 (10.9) 57 (15.1) 31 (15.0) SCI Type [13] Tetra 1076 (56.7) 158 (41.8) 105 (52.0) Para 703 (37.0) 204 (54.0) 83 (41.1) Cauda equina 120 (6.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Completeness [101] T Completeness [101] T T T T Lesion Level & Complete 583 (31.6) 126 (35.0) 54 (29.3) Lesion Level & Complete 534 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) Tetraplegia, incomplete 534 (30.5) 135 (33.0) 61 (34.9) Tetraplegia, complete 157 (9.0) 58 (16.8) 13 (7.4) AlS S Score* [968] T T T 11 (12.8) A1 (23.8) 18 (20.9) A1 (23.8) 18 (20.9)	61-75	233 (12.3)	122 (32 4)	34 (16 7)	
Etiology [2] Etiology [2] Sports and leisure 501 (26.3) 41 (10.8) 20 (9.7) Transport 596 (31.3) 71 (18.8) 63 (30.6) Falls 602 (31.6) 209 (55.3) 92 (44.7) Other cause 207 (10.9) 57 (15.1) 31 (15.0) ScI Type [13] Tetra 1076 (56.7) 158 (41.8) 105 (52.0) Para 703 (37.0) 204 (54.0) 83 (41.1) Cauda equina 120 (6.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Complete 1264 (68.4) 234 (65.0) 130 (70.7) Incomplete 583 (31.6) 126 (35.0) 54 (29.3) Lesion Level & Completeness [56] Paraplegia, incomplete 638 (36.4) 85 (24.6) 60 (34.3) Paraplegia, complete 157 (9.0) 58 (16.8) 13 (7.4) AIS S A 372 (29.4) 57 (33.1) 20 (23.3) AIS B 149 (11.8) 27 (15.7) 11 (12.8) AIS C 194 (15.3) 41 (23.8) 18 (20.9) AIS D/E 551 (54.1) 47 (47.3) 37 (43.0) Injury Severity* [1,324] C	76+	67 (3 5)	108 (28.6)	24 (11.8)	
Endogy [2] Sports and leisure 501 (26.3) 41 (10.8) 20 (9.7) Transport 596 (31.3) 71 (18.8) 63 (30.6) Falls 602 (31.6) 209 (55.3) 92 (44.7) Other cause 207 (10.9) 57 (15.1) 31 (15.0) SCI Type [13] Tetra 1076 (56.7) 158 (41.8) 105 (52.0) Para 703 (37.0) 204 (54.0) 83 (41.1) Cauda equina 120 (6.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Complete 583 (31.6) 126 (55.0) 54 (29.3) Esion Level & Completeness [56] Paraplegia, incomplete 583 (31.6) 126 (35.0) 54 (29.3) Lesion Level & Complete 638 (36.4) 85 (24.6) 60 (34.3) Paraplegia, incomplete 534 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) Tetraplegia, complete 157 (9.0) 58 (16.8) 13 (7.4) AIS S Core * [968] Tetraplegia, complete 157 (9.0) 58 (16.8) 18 (20.9) AIS D/E 551 (43.5) 47 (27.3) 37 (43.0) Injury Severity* [1,324] Ct-C4 ABC 86 (8.4) 11 (1	Etiology [2]	07 (0.0)	100 (20.0)	24 (11.0)	
Depote and result 506 (26.3) 41 (16.9) 20 (21.7) Transport 596 (31.3) 71 (18.8) 63 (30.6) Falls 602 (31.6) 209 (55.3) 92 (44.7) Other cause 207 (10.9) 57 (15.1) 31 (15.0) SCI Type [13] Tetra 1076 (56.7) 158 (41.8) 105 (52.0) Para 703 (37.0) 204 (54.0) 83 (41.1) Cauda equina 120 (6.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Completeness [101] Tomoplete 583 (31.6) 126 (35.0) 54 (29.3) Lesion Level & Completeness [56] Paraplegia, incomplete 638 (36.4) 85 (24.6) 60 (34.3) Paraplegia, incomplete 534 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) Tetraplegia, incomplete 534 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) Tetraplegia, incomplete 534 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) Tetraplegia, incomplete 534 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) AIS C 194 (15.3) 41 (23.8) 13 (7.4) AIS C 149 (11.8) 27 (15.7) 11 (12.8) AIS C 194 (15.3) 47 (27.3) 37 (43.0) <t< td=""><td>Sports and leisure</td><td>501 (26.3)</td><td>41 (10.8)</td><td>20 (9 7)</td><td></td></t<>	Sports and leisure	501 (26.3)	41 (10.8)	20 (9 7)	
Falls 595 (31.3) 11 (10.9) 50 (30.5) Falls 602 (31.6) 209 (55.3) 92 (44.7) Other cause 207 (10.9) 57 (15.1) 31 (15.0) SCI Type [13] Tetra 1076 (56.7) 158 (41.8) 105 (52.0) Para 703 (37.0) 204 (54.0) 83 (41.1) Cauda equina 120 (6.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Completeness [101] Tetra 1076 (56.7) 158 (31.6) 130 (70.7) Incomplete 1264 (68.4) 234 (65.0) 130 (70.7) Incomplete Complete 1264 (68.4) 234 (65.0) 130 (70.7) Incomplete Lesion Level & Completeness [56] Paraplegia, incomplete 638 (36.4) 85 (24.6) 60 (34.3) Paraplegia, incomplete 534 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) Tetraplegia, incomplete 157 (9.0) 58 (16.8) 13 (7.4) AIS S Score* [968] Tetraplegia, incomplete 157 (9.0) 58 (16.8) 13 (7.4) AIS C 194 (15.3) 41 (23.8) 18 (20.9) AIS D/E 551 (43.5) 47 (27.3) 37 (43.0) I	Transport	506 (21.3)	71 (18.8)	20 (3.1) 63 (30.6)	
Tails 002 (51.6) 209 (51.6) 52 (44.7) Other cause 207 (10.9) 57 (15.1) 31 (15.0) SCI Type [13] Tetra 1076 (56.7) 158 (41.8) 105 (52.0) Para 703 (37.0) 204 (54.0) 83 (41.1) Cauda equina 120 (6.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Completeness [101] Tetra 126 (68.4) 234 (65.0) 130 (70.7) Incomplete 583 (31.6) 126 (35.0) 54 (29.3) Lesion Level & Completeness [56] Paraplegia, incomplete 638 (36.4) 85 (24.6) 60 (34.3) Paraplegia, incomplete 423 (24.1) 68 (19.7) 41 (23.4) Tetraplegia, complete 137 (9.0) 58 (16.8) 13 (7.4) AIS Score* [968] Tetraplegia, incomplete 157 (9.0) 58 (16.8) 13 (7.4) AIS C 194 (11.8) 27 (15.7) 11 (12.8) AIS (20.9) AIS C 194 (15.3) 41 (23.8) 18 (20.9) AIS (20.9) AIS D/E 551 (43.5) 47 (27.3) 37 (43.0) Injury Severity* [1,324] Tetraplegia, complete 161 (2.86.6) 164	Falle	590 (31.3) 602 (31.6)	200 (55 3)	03(30.0)	
SCI Type [13] 37 (15.1) 37 (15.1) Tetra 1076 (56.7) 158 (41.8) 105 (52.0) Para 703 (37.0) 204 (54.0) 83 (41.1) Cauda equina 120 (6.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Completeness [101] 0 0 130 (70.7) Incomplete 1264 (68.4) 234 (65.0) 130 (70.7) Incomplete 583 (31.6) 126 (35.0) 54 (29.3) Lesion Level & Completeness [56] 9 9 9 Paraplegia, incomplete 638 (36.4) 85 (24.6) 60 (34.3) Paraplegia, incomplete 534 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) Tetraplegia, complete 157 (9.0) 58 (16.8) 13 (7.4) AlS Score* [968] 4149 (11.8) 27 (15.7) 11 (12.8) AlS D/E 194 (15.3) 41 (23.8) 18 (20.9) AlS D/E 551 (43.5) 47 (27.3) 37 (43.0) Injury Severity* [1,324] 62 294 (28.9) 27 (26.7) 4 (8.3) C1-C4 ABC 86 (8.4) 11 (10.9) 1 (2.1) 14 (5.1) 131 (68.6) Hospita	Calls	207 (10.0)	209 (33.3)	92 (44.7) 21 (15.0)	
Tetra 1076 (56.7) 158 (41.8) 105 (52.0) Para 703 (37.0) 204 (54.0) 83 (41.1) Cauda equina 120 (6.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Completeness [101]		207 (10.9)	57 (15.1)	51 (15.0)	
Tetra1076 (36.7)153 (41.6)105 (32.0)Para703 (37.0)204 (54.0)83 (41.1)Cauda equina120 (6.3)16 (4.2)14 (6.9)Completeness [101]Complete1264 (68.4)234 (65.0)130 (70.7)Incomplete583 (31.6)126 (35.0)54 (29.3)Lesion Level & Complete638 (36.4)85 (24.6)60 (34.3)Paraplegia, incomplete638 (36.4)85 (24.6)60 (34.3)Paraplegia, complete534 (30.5)135 (39.0)61 (34.9)Tetraplegia, incomplete157 (9.0)58 (16.8)13 (7.4)AIS Core" [968] </td <td>SCI Type [13]</td> <td>1076 (56 7)</td> <td>150 (11 0)</td> <td>105 (52 0)</td> <td></td>	SCI Type [13]	1076 (56 7)	150 (11 0)	105 (52 0)	
Para 703 (37.0) 204 (34.0) 83 (41.1) Cauda equina 120 (6.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Completeness [101] 120 (6.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Complete 1264 (68.4) 234 (65.0) 130 (70.7) Incomplete 583 (31.6) 126 (35.0) 54 (29.3) Lesion Level & Completeness [56] 9 9 9 Paraplegia, incomplete 638 (36.4) 85 (24.6) 60 (34.3) Paraplegia, incomplete 534 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) Tetraplegia, incomplete 157 (9.0) 58 (16.8) 13 (7.4) AIS Score" [968] 9 77 (15.7) 11 (12.8) AIS C 194 (15.3) 41 (23.8) 18 (20.9) AIS D/E 551 (43.5) 47 (27.3) 37 (43.0) Injury Severity* [1,324] 0 0 14 (68.3) C1-C4 ABC 88 (8.6) 16 (15.8) 6 (12.5) C5-C8 ABC 86 (8.4) 11 (10.9) 1 (2.1) T1-S3 ABC 294 (28.9) 27 (26.7) 4 (8.3) AIS D/E 551 (54.1) 47 (46.5) <	Tella Dere	1070 (00.7)	108 (41.8)	105 (52.0)	
Catda equina 120 (6.3) 16 (4.2) 14 (6.9) Completeness [101] Complete 1264 (68.4) 234 (65.0) 130 (70.7) Incomplete 583 (31.6) 126 (35.0) 54 (29.3) Lesion Level & Completeness [56] Paraplegia, incomplete 638 (36.4) 85 (24.6) 60 (34.3) Paraplegia, incomplete 423 (24.1) 68 (19.7) 41 (23.4) Tetraplegia, incomplete 534 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) Tetraplegia, complete 157 (9.0) 58 (16.8) 13 (7.4) AIS Score* [968]		703 (37.0)	204 (54.0)	83 (41.1)	
Completeness [101] T264 (68.4) 234 (65.0) 130 (70.7) Incomplete 583 (31.6) 126 (35.0) 54 (29.3) Lesion Level & Completeness [56] 9 9 126 (35.0) 54 (29.3) Paraplegia, incomplete 638 (36.4) 85 (24.6) 60 (34.3) 9 Paraplegia, complete 423 (24.1) 68 (19.7) 41 (23.4) 14 (23.4) Tetraplegia, incomplete 534 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) 13 (7.4) AlS Core* [968] 7 41 (23.8) 13 (7.4) 14 (23.8) AIS A 372 (29.4) 57 (33.1) 20 (23.3) AIS C 194 (15.3) 41 (23.8) 18 (20.9) AIS C 194 (15.3) 41 (23.8) 18 (20.9) AIS D/E 551 (43.5) 47 (27.3) 37 (43.0) Injury Severity* [1,324] 12.1 12.1 12.1 C1-C4 ABC 88 (8.6) 16 (15.8) 6 (12.5) C5-C8 ABC 294 (28.9) 27 (26.7) 4 (8.3) AIS D/E 551 (54.1) 47 (46.5)		120 (6.3)	16 (4.2)	14 (6.9)	
Complete1264 (68.4)234 (65.0)130 (70.7)Incomplete583 (31.6)126 (35.0)54 (29.3)Lesion Level & Completeness [56]Paraplegia, incomplete638 (36.4)85 (24.6)60 (34.3)Paraplegia, incomplete423 (24.1)68 (19.7)41 (23.4)Tetraplegia, incomplete534 (30.5)135 (39.0)61 (34.9)Tetraplegia, complete157 (9.0)58 (16.8)13 (7.4)AIS Score* [968]7130 (11.8)27 (15.7)11 (12.8)AIS C194 (15.3)41 (23.8)18 (20.9)AIS C194 (15.3)47 (27.3)37 (43.0)Injury Severity* [1,324]7130 (77.1)12 (2.1)C1-C4 ABC88 (8.6)16 (15.8)6 (12.5)C5-C8 ABC294 (28.9)27 (26.7)4 (8.3)AIS D/E551 (54.1)47 (46.5)37 (77.1)Destination after discharge [75]78 (4.2)45 (12.4)19 (9.9)Nursing home/assisted living154 (8.3)86 (23.6)39 (20.4)Other (e.g., hotel)15 (0.8)2 (0.5)2 (1.0)Death3 (0.2)67 (18.4)0 (0.0)Ventilator Assistance [74]78 (42.0)48 (14.2)5 (2.5)	Completeness [101]	4004 (00 4)	004 (05 0)	400 (70 7)	
Incomplete 583 (31.6) 126 (35.0) 54 (29.3) Lesion Level & Completeeness [56]	Complete	1264 (68.4)	234 (65.0)	130 (70.7)	
Lesion Level & Completeness [56]Paraplegia, incomplete $638 (36.4)$ $85 (24.6)$ $60 (34.3)$ Paraplegia, complete $423 (24.1)$ $68 (19.7)$ $41 (23.4)$ Tetraplegia, complete $534 (30.5)$ $135 (39.0)$ $61 (34.9)$ Tetraplegia, complete $157 (9.0)$ $58 (16.8)$ $13 (7.4)$ AIS Score*[968] $149 (11.8)$ $27 (15.7)$ $11 (12.8)$ AIS B $149 (11.8)$ $27 (15.7)$ $11 (12.8)$ AIS C $194 (15.3)$ $41 (23.8)$ $18 (20.9)$ AIS D/E $551 (43.5)$ $47 (27.3)$ $37 (43.0)$ Injury Severity*[1,324] $11 (10.9)$ $1 (2.1)$ C1-C4 ABC $86 (8.4)$ $11 (10.9)$ $1 (2.1)$ T1-S3 ABC $294 (28.9)$ $27 (26.7)$ $4 (8.3)$ AIS D/E $551 (54.1)$ $47 (46.5)$ $37 (77.1)$ Destination after discharge [75] $Private$ residence $1612 (86.6)$ $164 (45.1)$ $131 (68.6)$ Hospital $78 (4.2)$ $45 (12.4)$ $19 (9.9)$ Nursing home/assisted living $154 (8.3)$ $86 (23.6)$ $39 (20.4)$ Other (e.g., hotel) $15 (0.8)$ $2 (0.5)$ $2 (1.0)$ Death $3 (0.2)$ $67 (18.4)$ $0 (0.0)$ Ventilator Assistance [74] No $1843 (98.0)$ $291 (85.8)$ $193 (97.5)$ Yes $38 (2.0)$ $48 (14.2)$ $5 (2.5)$	Incomplete	583 (31.6)	126 (35.0)	54 (29.3)	
Paraplegia, incomplete 638 (36.4) 85 (24.6) 60 (34.3) Paraplegia, complete 423 (24.1) 68 (19.7) 41 (23.4) Tetraplegia, incomplete 534 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) Tetraplegia, complete 157 (9.0) 58 (16.8) 13 (7.4) AIS Score* [968] 71 (11.8) 27 (15.7) 11 (12.8) AIS A 372 (29.4) 57 (33.1) 20 (23.3) AIS B 149 (11.8) 27 (15.7) 11 (12.8) AIS C 194 (15.3) 41 (23.8) 18 (20.9) AIS D/E 551 (43.5) 47 (27.3) 37 (43.0) Injury Severity* [1,324] 71 (27.3) 37 (43.0) 11 (10.9) C1-C4 ABC 88 (8.6) 16 (15.8) 6 (12.5) C5-C8 ABC 86 (8.4) 11 (10.9) 1 (2.1) T1-S3 ABC 294 (28.9) 27 (26.7) 4 (8.3) AIS D/E 551 (54.1) 47 (46.5) 37 (77.1) Destination after discharge [75] 78 (4.2) 45 (12.4) 19 (9.9) Nursing home/assisted living 154 (8.3) 86 (23.6) 39 (20.4) Other (e.g.,	Lesion Level & Completeness [56]				
Paraplegia, complete423 (24.1)68 (19.7)41 (23.4)Tetraplegia, incomplete534 (30.5)135 (39.0)61 (34.9)Tetraplegia, complete157 (9.0)58 (16.8)13 (7.4)AIS Score* [968]AIS A372 (29.4)57 (33.1)20 (23.3)AIS B149 (11.8)27 (15.7)11 (12.8)AIS C194 (15.3)41 (23.8)18 (20.9)AIS D/E551 (43.5)47 (27.3)37 (43.0)Injury Severity* [1,324]C1-C4 ABC88 (8.6)16 (15.8)6 (12.5)C5-C8 ABC86 (8.4)11 (10.9)1 (2.1)T1-S3 ABC294 (28.9)27 (26.7)4 (8.3)AIS D/E551 (54.1)47 (46.5)37 (77.1)Destination after discharge [75]Private residence1612 (86.6)164 (45.1)131 (68.6)Hospital78 (4.2)45 (12.4)19 (9.9)Nursing home/assisted living154 (8.3)86 (23.6)39 (20.4)Other (e.g., hotel)15 (0.8)2 (0.5)2 (1.0)Death3 (0.2)67 (18.4)0 (0.0)Ventilator Assistance [74]1843 (98.0)291 (85.8)No1843 (98.0)291 (85.8)193 (97.5)Yes38 (2.0)48 (14.2)5 (2.5)	Paraplegia, incomplete	638 (36.4)	85 (24.6)	60 (34.3)	
Tetraplegia, incomplete 534 (30.5) 135 (39.0) 61 (34.9) Tetraplegia, complete 157 (9.0) 58 (16.8) 13 (7.4) AIS Score* [968]	Paraplegia, complete	423 (24.1)	68 (19.7)	41 (23.4)	
Tetraplegia, complete 157 (9.0) 58 (16.8) 13 (7.4) AIS Score* [968] 372 (29.4) 57 (33.1) 20 (23.3) AIS B 149 (11.8) 27 (15.7) 11 (12.8) AIS C 194 (15.3) 41 (23.8) 18 (20.9) AIS D/E 551 (43.5) 47 (27.3) 37 (43.0) Injury Severity* [1,324]	Tetraplegia, incomplete	534 (30.5)	135 (39.0)	61 (34.9)	
AIS Score* [968]AIS A $372 (29.4)$ $57 (33.1)$ $20 (23.3)$ AIS B $149 (11.8)$ $27 (15.7)$ $11 (12.8)$ AIS C $194 (15.3)$ $41 (23.8)$ $18 (20.9)$ AIS D/E $551 (43.5)$ $47 (27.3)$ $37 (43.0)$ Injury Severity* [1,324] $11 (10.9)$ $1 (2.1)$ C1-C4 ABC $88 (8.6)$ $16 (15.8)$ $6 (12.5)$ C5-C8 ABC $86 (8.4)$ $11 (10.9)$ $1 (2.1)$ T1-S3 ABC $294 (28.9)$ $27 (26.7)$ $4 (8.3)$ AIS D/E $551 (54.1)$ $47 (46.5)$ $37 (77.1)$ Destination after discharge [75] $78 (4.2)$ $45 (12.4)$ $19 (9.9)$ Nursing home/assisted living $154 (8.3)$ $86 (23.6)$ $39 (20.4)$ Other (e.g., hotel) $15 (0.8)$ $2 (0.5)$ $2 (1.0)$ Death $3 (0.2)$ $67 (18.4)$ $0 (0.0)$ Ventilator Assistance [74] No $1843 (98.0)$ $291 (85.8)$ $193 (97.5)$ Yes $38 (2.0)$ $48 (14.2)$ $5 (2.5)$	Tetraplegia, complete	157 (9.0)	58 (16.8)	13 (7.4)	
AIS A 372 (29.4) 57 (33.1) 20 (23.3) AIS B 149 (11.8) 27 (15.7) 11 (12.8) AIS C 194 (15.3) 41 (23.8) 18 (20.9) AIS D/E 551 (43.5) 47 (27.3) 37 (43.0) Injury Severity* [1,324]	AIS Score* [968]				
AIS B 149 (11.8) 27 (15.7) 11 (12.8) AIS C 194 (15.3) 41 (23.8) 18 (20.9) AIS D/E 551 (43.5) 47 (27.3) 37 (43.0) Injury Severity* [1,324]	AIS A	372 (29.4)	57 (33.1)	20 (23.3)	
AIS C194 (15.3)41 (23.8)18 (20.9)AIS D/E551 (43.5)47 (27.3)37 (43.0)Injury Severity* [1,324] (1.324) (1.324) (1.324) C1-C4 ABC88 (8.6)16 (15.8)6 (12.5)C5-C8 ABC86 (8.4)11 (10.9)1 (2.1)T1-S3 ABC294 (28.9)27 (26.7)4 (8.3)AIS D/E551 (54.1)47 (46.5)37 (77.1)Destination after discharge [75] $(1612 (86.6))$ 164 (45.1)131 (68.6)Hospital78 (4.2)45 (12.4)19 (9.9)Nursing home/assisted living154 (8.3)86 (23.6)39 (20.4)Other (e.g., hotel)15 (0.8)2 (0.5)2 (1.0)Death3 (0.2)67 (18.4)0 (0.0)Ventilator Assistance [74] $(843 (98.0))$ 291 (85.8)193 (97.5)Yes38 (2.0)48 (14.2)5 (2.5)	AIS B	149 (11.8)	27 (15.7)	11 (12.8)	
AIS D/E 551 (43.5) 47 (27.3) 37 (43.0) Injury Severity* [1,324]	AIS C	194 (15.3)	41 (23.8)	18 (20.9)	
Injury Severity* [1,324] C1-C4 ABC 88 (8.6) 16 (15.8) 6 (12.5) C5-C8 ABC 86 (8.4) 11 (10.9) 1 (2.1) T1-S3 ABC 294 (28.9) 27 (26.7) 4 (8.3) AIS D/E 551 (54.1) 47 (46.5) 37 (77.1) Destination after discharge [75] 111 (10.9) 131 (68.6) Hospital 78 (4.2) 45 (12.4) 19 (9.9) 131 (68.6) Hospital 78 (4.2) 45 (12.4) 19 (9.9) Nursing home/assisted living 154 (8.3) 86 (23.6) 39 (20.4) Other (e.g., hotel) 15 (0.8) 2 (0.5) 2 (1.0) Death 3 (0.2) 67 (18.4) 0 (0.0) Ventilator Assistance [74] No 1843 (98.0) 291 (85.8) 193 (97.5) Yes 38 (2.0) 48 (14.2) 5 (2.5)	AIS D/E	551 (43.5)	47 (27.3)	37 (43.0)	
C1-C4 ABC88 (8.6)16 (15.8)6 (12.5)C5-C8 ABC86 (8.4)11 (10.9)1 (2.1)T1-S3 ABC294 (28.9)27 (26.7)4 (8.3)AIS D/E551 (54.1)47 (46.5)37 (77.1)Destination after discharge [75]78 (4.2)45 (12.4)19 (9.9)Nursing home/assisted living154 (8.3)86 (23.6)39 (20.4)Other (e.g., hotel)15 (0.8)2 (0.5)2 (1.0)Death3 (0.2)67 (18.4)0 (0.0)Ventilator Assistance [74]1843 (98.0)291 (85.8)193 (97.5)Yes38 (2.0)48 (14.2)5 (2.5)	Injury Severity* [1,324]				
C5-C8 ABC86 (8.4)11 (10.9)1 (2.1)T1-S3 ABC294 (28.9)27 (26.7)4 (8.3)AIS D/E551 (54.1)47 (46.5)37 (77.1)Destination after discharge [75]Private residence1612 (86.6)164 (45.1)131 (68.6)Hospital78 (4.2)45 (12.4)19 (9.9)Nursing home/assisted living154 (8.3)86 (23.6)39 (20.4)Other (e.g., hotel)15 (0.8)2 (0.5)2 (1.0)Death3 (0.2)67 (18.4)0 (0.0)Ventilator Assistance [74]1843 (98.0)291 (85.8)193 (97.5)Yes38 (2.0)48 (14.2)5 (2.5)	C1-C4 ABC	88 (8.6)	16 (15.8)	6 (12.5)	
T1-S3 ABC294 (28.9)27 (26.7)4 (8.3)AIS D/E551 (54.1)47 (46.5)37 (77.1)Destination after discharge [75]Private residence1612 (86.6)164 (45.1)131 (68.6)Hospital78 (4.2)45 (12.4)19 (9.9)Nursing home/assisted living154 (8.3)86 (23.6)39 (20.4)Other (e.g., hotel)15 (0.8)2 (0.5)2 (1.0)Death3 (0.2)67 (18.4)0 (0.0)Ventilator Assistance [74]1843 (98.0)291 (85.8)193 (97.5)Yes38 (2.0)48 (14.2)5 (2.5)	C5-C8 ABC	86 (8.4)	11 (10.9)	1 (2.1)	
AIS D/E 551 (54.1) 47 (46.5) 37 (77.1) Destination after discharge [75] - - - Private residence 1612 (86.6) 164 (45.1) 131 (68.6) Hospital 78 (4.2) 45 (12.4) 19 (9.9) Nursing home/assisted living 154 (8.3) 86 (23.6) 39 (20.4) Other (e.g., hotel) 15 (0.8) 2 (0.5) 2 (1.0) Death 3 (0.2) 67 (18.4) 0 (0.0) Ventilator Assistance [74] - - - No 1843 (98.0) 291 (85.8) 193 (97.5) Yes 38 (2.0) 48 (14.2) 5 (2.5)	T1-S3 ABC	294 (28.9)	27 (26.7)	4 (8.3)	
Destination after discharge [75] Private residence 1612 (86.6) 164 (45.1) 131 (68.6) Hospital 78 (4.2) 45 (12.4) 19 (9.9) Nursing home/assisted living 154 (8.3) 86 (23.6) 39 (20.4) Other (e.g., hotel) 15 (0.8) 2 (0.5) 2 (1.0) Death 3 (0.2) 67 (18.4) 0 (0.0) Ventilator Assistance [74] No 1843 (98.0) 291 (85.8) 193 (97.5) Yes 38 (2.0) 48 (14.2) 5 (2.5)	AIS D/E	551 (54.1)	47 (46.5)	37 (77.1)	
Private residence 1612 (86.6) 164 (45.1) 131 (68.6) Hospital 78 (4.2) 45 (12.4) 19 (9.9) Nursing home/assisted living 154 (8.3) 86 (23.6) 39 (20.4) Other (e.g., hotel) 15 (0.8) 2 (0.5) 2 (1.0) Death 3 (0.2) 67 (18.4) 0 (0.0) Ventilator Assistance [74] No 1843 (98.0) 291 (85.8) 193 (97.5) Yes 38 (2.0) 48 (14.2) 5 (2.5)	Destination after discharge [75]				
Hospital78 (4.2)45 (12.4)19 (9.9)Nursing home/assisted living154 (8.3)86 (23.6)39 (20.4)Other (e.g., hotel)15 (0.8)2 (0.5)2 (1.0)Death3 (0.2)67 (18.4)0 (0.0)Ventilator Assistance [74]1843 (98.0)291 (85.8)193 (97.5)Yes38 (2.0)48 (14.2)5 (2.5)	Private residence	1612 (86.6)	164 (45.1)	131 (68.6)	
Nursing home/assisted living 154 (8.3) 86 (23.6) 39 (20.4) Other (e.g., hotel) 15 (0.8) 2 (0.5) 2 (1.0) Death 3 (0.2) 67 (18.4) 0 (0.0) Ventilator Assistance [74] 1843 (98.0) 291 (85.8) 193 (97.5) Yes 38 (2.0) 48 (14.2) 5 (2.5)	Hospital	78 (4.2)	45 (12.4)	19 (9.9)	
Other (e.g., hotel) 15 (0.8) 2 (0.5) 2 (1.0) Death 3 (0.2) 67 (18.4) 0 (0.0) Ventilator Assistance [74] No 1843 (98.0) 291 (85.8) 193 (97.5) Yes 38 (2.0) 48 (14.2) 5 (2.5)	Nursing home/assisted living	154 (8.3)	86 (23.6)	39 (20.4)	
Death 3 (0.2) 67 (18.4) 0 (0.0) Ventilator Assistance [74] 1843 (98.0) 291 (85.8) 193 (97.5) Yes 38 (2.0) 48 (14.2) 5 (2.5)	Other (e.g., hotel)	15 (0.8)	2 (0.5)	2 (1.0)	
Ventilator Assistance [74] 1843 (98.0) 291 (85.8) 193 (97.5) Yes 38 (2.0) 48 (14.2) 5 (2.5)	Death	3 (0.2)	67 (18.4)	0 (0.0)	
No1843 (98.0)291 (85.8)193 (97.5)Yes38 (2.0)48 (14.2)5 (2.5)	Ventilator Assistance [74]	- (•)			
Yes 38 (2.0) 48 (14.2) 5 (2.5)	No	1843 (98.0)	291 (85.8)	193 (97.5)	
$\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot$	Yes	38 (2.0)	48 (14.2)	5 (2.5)	

Table 2. Marginally adjusted estimates of life years remaining according to attained age					
Estimates adjusted for attained age, sex, level and lesion of spinal cord injury. Estimates according to					
AIS score are restricted to injuries that were incurred post-2000.					

Als scole are restricted to injunes that were incurred post-2000.					
	Attained age				
	30	40	50	60	
Sex					
Male	41.7 (37.9-45.5)	33.6 (30.0-37.2)	24.4 (22.2-26.6)	17.4 (14.8-19.9)	
Female	42.8 (40.1-45.5)	34.8 (32.3-37.2)	26.1 (23.5-28.6)	18.8 (16.4-21.1)	
SCI Type					
Para incomplete	45.1 (42.1-48.2)	36.4 (32.1-40.7)	27.3 (24.8-29.8)	20.6 (18.0-23.3)	
Para complete	40.4 (36.0-44.7)	32.5 (28.3-36.7)	23.5 (19.7-27.3)	16.3 (13.6-18.9)	
Tetra incomplete	42.8 (38.4-47.2)	34.6 (31.8-37.5)	25.4 (22.7-28.0)	17.6 (14.6-20.6)	
Tetra complete	28.7 (25.4-31.9)	21.7 (17.4-26.1)	13.6 (9.3-17.9)	9.8 (8.3-11.2)	
AIS					
All D/E	48.3 (43.0-53.6)	38.4 (34.4-42.4)	29.2 (26.1-32.3)	21.1 (17.1-25.1)	
C1-C4 ABC	37.0 (23.4-50.7)	26.8 (19.1-34.5)	18.7 (13.2-24.3)	13.4 (6.7-20.0)	
C5-C8 ABC	34.3 (26.2-42.4)	24.5 (17.7-31.3)	17.3 (10.9-23.7)	11.0 (7.7-14.3)	
T1-S5 ABC	41.4 (36.2-46.5)	31.6 (24.7-38.4)	23.9 (18.9-28.8)	16.8 (11.3-22.3)	

	Paraple	egia	Tetraplegia		
	Incomplete	Complete	Incomplete	Complete	
Sex					
Female	5.06 (2.01-12.93)	8.09 (3.39-19.68)	9.51 (3.76-24.51)	24.62 (11.00-56.12)	
Male	3.45 (1.43-8.53)	6.49 (2.98-14.54)	6.53 (2.90-15.08)	15.95 (7.55-34.61)	
Age					
16-30	0.55 (0.15-2.04)	1.33 (0.38-4.65)	0.71 (0.19-2.59)	3.59 (1.07-11.98)	
31-45	1.91 (0.79-4.61)	4.46 (2.14-9.33)	2.56 (1.07-6.15)	11.68 (5.64-24.30)	
46-60	3.19 (1.27-8.08)	7.53 (3.44-16.61)	4.62 (2.01-10.69)	20.86 (9.71-45.08)	
61-75	10.77 (4.83-24.16)	22.69 (10.85-47.78)	14.39 (6.94-30.00)	78.66 (39.56-157.24)	
76+	13.02 (4.36-39.06)	29.12 (9.83-86.60)	19.06 (6.69-54.49)	102.34 (35.26-297.82)	

Table 3. Excess mortality per 1'000 person-years, stratified by lesion characteristicsExcess mortality presented as the average excess mortality with 95% confidence intervals.

Figure 1. Potential years of life lost (PYLL) according to age at injury, stratified by lesion characteristics

The solid black line indicates potential years of life lost (PYLL) for complete tetraplegic lesions, the dashed dark grey line indicates PYLL for incomplete tetraplegic lesions, the dashed light grey line that for complete paraplegic lesions, and finally the solid light grey line the PYLL for incomplete paraplegic lesions.



Figure 2. Comparison of estimated hazard ratios and excess hazard ratios

The unfilled circle represents the reference category. The black-filled circle corresponds to estimated hazard ratios (HR), while the grey-filled circle corresponds to the estimated excess hazard ratio (eHR). The 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) are represented by the solid lines on either side of the circle representing the HR or eHR. All estimates are adjusted for lesion level and completeness, attained age, and sex. To note, the left-hand y-axis corresponds to the HR and eHRs for all other variables.



Figure 3. Marginally adjusted survival and relative survival probabilities, stratified by lesion characteristics

The solid black line indicates the marginally adjusted survival and relative survival probability for incomplete paraplegia; the dashed line that for complete paraplegia; the light grey, dotted line that for incomplete tetraplegia; and finally the dashed-dotted line for complete tetraplegia.

