BMC Cancer

STUDY PROTOCOL





A partially supervised physical activity program for adult and adolescent survivors of childhood cancer (SURfit): study design of a randomized controlled trial [NCT02730767]

Corina S. Rueegg^{1,2}, Susi Kriemler³, Simeon J. Zuercher³, Christina Schindera^{4,5}, Andrea Renner⁶, Helge Hebestreit⁷, Christian Meier⁸, Prisca Eser⁹ and Nicolas X. von der Weid^{4*}

Abstract

Background: Beyond survival of nowadays >80%, modern childhood cancer treatment strives to preserve long-term health and quality of life. However, the majority of today's survivors suffer from short- and long-term adverse effects such as cardiovascular and pulmonary diseases, obesity, osteoporosis, fatigue, depression, and reduced physical fitness and quality of life. Regular exercise can play a major role to mitigate or prevent such late-effects. Despite this, there are no data on the effects of regular exercise in childhood cancer survivors from randomized controlled trials (RCTs). *Primary outcome* of the current RCT is therefore the effect of a 12-months exercise program on a composite cardiovascular disease risk score in childhood cancer survivors. *Secondary outcomes* are single cardiovascular disease risk factors, glycaemic control, bone health, body composition, physical fitness, physical activity, quality of life, mental health, fatigue and adverse events (safety).

Methods: A total of 150 childhood cancer survivors aged \geq 16 years and diagnosed \geq 5 years prior to the study are recruited from Swiss paediatric oncology clinics. Following the baseline assessments patients are randomized 1:1 into an intervention and control group. Thereafter, they are seen at month 3, 6 and 12 for follow-up assessments. The intervention group is asked to add \geq 2.5 h of intense physical activity/week, including 30 min of strength building and 2 h of aerobic exercises. In addition, they are told to reduce screen time by 25%. Regular consulting by physiotherapists, individual web-based activity diaries, and pedometer devices are used as motivational tools for the intervention group. The control group is asked to keep their physical activity levels constant.

Discussion: The results of this study will show whether a partially supervised exercise intervention can improve cardiovascular disease risk factors, bone health, body composition, physical activity and fitness, fatigue, mental health and quality of life in childhood cancer survivors. If the program will be effective, all relevant information of the SURfit physical activity intervention will be made available to interested clinics that treat and follow-up childhood cancer patients to promote exercise in their patients.

Trial registration: Prospectively registered in clinicaltrials.gov [NCT02730767], registration date: 10.12.2015.

Keywords: Randomized controlled trial, Physical activity, Exercise intervention, Childhood cancer survivors, Late-effects, Cardiovascular disease, Bone health, Body composition, Physical fitness, Quality of life

* Correspondence: nicolas.vonderweid@ukbb.ch

⁴Department of Pediatric Oncology and Hematology, University Children's Hospital Basel (UKBB), University of Basel, Spitalstrasse 33, 4056 Basel, Switzerland

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Background

Thanks to improvements in diagnosis, treatment and supportive care of childhood cancer patients, 5-year survival rates have increased drastically since the 1960s and reached 81% in the last decade in Europe [1, 2], including Switzerland [3]. However, childhood cancer survivors (CCS) are at risk to develop a series of physical or psychological late-effects, either directly as a result of the tumour and the aggressive treatments received, or secondary due to an unfavourable lifestyle [4–6]. The authors of a recent study estimated that 96% of CCS suffer from any chronic health condition and 81% from a serious or lifethreatening chronic disease by the age of 45 years [7]. These late-effects shift the focus of modern childhood cancer treatment and research from pure survival to longterm functionality, health and quality of life [8].

Based on evidence from the general population or adult cancer survivors, we can hypothesise that regular physical activity has the potential to decrease the survivors' risk for late-effects, such as cardiovascular diseases (CVD) [9–11], stroke [11, 12], second cancers [11, 13], obesity [11, 14], dyslipidae-mia [15, 16], insulin resistance and diabetes mellitus [11, 17], osteoporosis [18–21], depression [22, 23], and cognitive decline [24, 25]. Despite these encouraging findings on benefits of exercise in various populations, specific studies on physical activity interventions in adult or adolescent survivors of childhood cancer aiming to reduce late-effects and increase physical activity are scarce. Small controlled exercise interventions over 2–4 months have shown beneficial effects on fatigue [26], metabolic risk factors and fitness [27], but to date no randomized controlled studies have been published.

Furthermore, traditional physical activity interventions in any field usually focus on specific types of exercises (such as strength training, tai chi, etc.) and participants are invited several times per week to join exercise sessions [28]. The problem of such a supervised and standardized approach is that the increase in physical activity is often not maintained by individuals after the intervention has ended and does therefore not lead to a sustained change in their behaviour [28, 29]. Our study is novel in applying an individual and motivational feedback-based approach with a personalized exercise counselling and program embedded in each participant's daily life. Such an intervention may have a higher potential to result in a lasting behaviour change towards an active lifestyle and therefore ameliorate physical and psychological late-effects.

Methods/design

This study protocol is written in accordance with the SPIRIT guidelines [30] (see the SPIRIT Checklist in Additional file 1).

Study objectives

The primary objective of the proposed study is to evaluate the effect of a partially supervised and personalized physical activity program on the cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk of adolescent and adult survivors of childhood cancer in a randomized controlled trial. Secondary objectives are to assess the effect of the physical activity program on single CVD risk factors, glycaemic control, bone health, body composition, physical fitness, physical activity, quality of life, mental health, fatigue and adverse events (safety).

Primary outcome

The primary outcome of the randomized controlled trial (RCT) is defined as the change in a composite CVD risk score [31, 32] from baseline to 12 months in the participants of the intervention group compared to the participants of the control group. The composite score is based on the assumption that a physical activity intervention shall have overall beneficial effects on the cardio-metabolic risk, affecting most if not all components of the metabolic syndrome. We chose a composite CVD risk score because the prevalence of single components of the metabolic syndrome is low in adolescents and young adults. A longitudinal study showed that a clustered score in adolescents predicted metabolic syndrome in adulthood [33] and was sensitive to change by a physical activity intervention in youth [34]. The composite CVD risk score will be calculated by averaging the z-scores based on gender- and age-specific external references of all components of the metabolic syndrome, including waist circumference, blood pressure, homeostatic model assessment insulin resistance [HOMA-IR], inverted high density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides and cardiorespiratory fitness [32, 34-39].

Secondary outcomes

Secondary outcomes are differences in change between the intervention and control group from baseline to 6 months for the composite cardiovascular disease risk score, and from baseline to 6 and 12 months for the single CVD risk factors, glycaemic control, bone health, body composition, physical fitness, physical activity, quality of life, mental health, fatigue and adverse events (safety). Additional file 2: Table S1 lists all assessed outcome variables.

Study design

This study is a single-centre RCT including childhood cancer survivors from various paediatric oncology clinics of Switzerland. Control and intervention arms run parallel (Fig. 1). Assessments are performed at baseline (T0) and after three (T3), six (T6), and 12 (T12) months. The assessments at T0, T6 and T12 comprise of two visits (a and b, respectively) in the study centre, 14 days apart, and T3 of one visit. Randomization is performed after the first visit of T0 (T0a) (Additional file 2: Table S1, Fig. 1). A motivational interview for the intervention group is performed at the second visit of T0 (T0b). After the intervention period, controls are offered a similar personalized exercise programme



without long-term coaching (see paragraph on physical activity intervention). Eligible participants are contacted, informed and, if consenting, enrolled into the study (see paragraph on recruitment) until we reach the pre-defined number of participants.

Ethics

The study was approved by the Swiss Ethics Committee on research involving humans (Ethikkommision Nordwest- und Zentralschweiz [EKNZ]). Informed Consent as documented by signature is obtained from each survivor prior to participation in the study. Data protection is assured by pseudonymization and can only be decrypted by study personnel involved in this research.

Study population / inclusion criteria

Eligible participants are identified by the Swiss Childhood Cancer Registry (SCCR) [40, 41]. SURfit includes CCS aged <16 years at diagnosis, diagnosed with a cancer classifiable according to the International Classification of Childhood Cancer (ICCC-3) [42] or Langerhans Cell Histiocytosis, who were diagnosed and treated at a clinic of the Swiss Paediatric Oncology Group (SPOG), survived \geq 5 years since primary cancer diagnosis or any subsequent cancer event (relapse or 2nd tumour), and, are aged \geq 16 years at baseline (T0a) of the study. Participants have to agree that they will commit to the conditions of their study group allocation prior to the allocation and independent of the allocation.

The presence of any of the following criteria, assessed at baseline (T0a), leads to exclusion of the participant: participation in another clinical trial, inability to exercise or exercise potentially harmful, pregnant or breast feeding, cardiac arrhythmias under exercise, diagnosis of diabetes <3 months previously, detection or presence of a clinical condition that needs immediate treatment, planned surgeries within the subsequent 12 months that interfere with physical exercising, major musculoskeletal injuries/fractures <2 months previously, change in medication that interfere with the parameters of the CVD risk score < 1 month previously, >4 h of reported vigorous physical activities per week, or, inability to follow the procedures and understand the intervention and assessments of the study, e.g. due to cognitive impairment, language problems, or psychological disorders.

Recruitment

Eligible patients are contacted with an information letter from their former treating hospital including a short study information brochure. Interested survivors then receive the detailed patient information of the study and the informed consent. Survivors decide upon this information whether or not they want to participate in the study. A study hotline or the responsible investigator can be contacted to clarify remaining questions about the study. All survivors who do not react to the study invitation are followed-up by a phone call and asked about their interest in the study. Survivors who decide to participate are invited for the baseline assessment where final decision upon eligibility is made and the informed consent is obtained.

We record the reasons for non-participation of each contacted survivor who does not want to participate. In addition, basic information on demographics and clinical factors are available from the SCCR on non-participants. This will allow us to get information on the representativeness of the participants in the study.

Randomization

To ensure high quality randomization and even distribution of important prognostic factors among the intervention and the control group, a web-based minimization randomization approach (Randomizer: Institute for Medical Informatics, Statistics and Documentation, Medical University of Graz, Austria; available at www.randomizer.at) is used for the 1:1 allocation of participants into the two study arms [43]. We include gender and four categories according to the initial cancer diagnosis (leukaemia and lymphoma; CNS tumours; bone tumours and soft tissue sarcomas; other diagnoses) as grouping variables in the randomization. An external collaborator who is independent of the patient recruitment and enrolment process runs the randomization and treatment allocation (Additional file 2: Table S1).

Measurements

Additional file 2: Table S1 gives an overview of the measurements and procedures at each time point of the study; Additional file 3 summarizes the measurements and respective methods used. Standard operating procedures (SOP) were developed for each measurement prior to the beginning of the study to reach a high level of standardisation and reliability. All assessors were extensively trained for the specific methods including pilot assessments prior to the study. Regular internal inspections of the assessments are carried out to maintain high methodological quality.

Study data are collected and managed using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at the Clinical Trials Unit, University of Bern [44]. All self-reported questionnaires are filled in by the participants directly in the RED-Cap database with a personal login during the study visits. All data entries are double-checked for consistency, errors and completeness by a data monitor. The data monitor, a member of the study team but independent of all the assessments, notifies any problem encountered back to the assessor using the specified feature in REDCap.

Bloods

Fasted blood samples are taken in the morning after an overnight fast of at least 8 h. Glucose and glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) are analysed within a few hours after sampling at the laboratory of the University Hospital of Basel. The other parameters assessed in the blood serum and plasma are centrifuged, divided into 1.0 ml aliquots and stored at -70 °C to be analysed at a later time point when a test kit can be completed at a the certified laboratory of the Endonet and Bone Research Unit, Basel.

Cardiovascular disease risk Blood pressure

Systolic and diastolic blood pressure is measured in sitting position on the left upper arm after at least 5 min rest using an automated oscillography (DINAMAP[®] ProCare [GE Medical Systems, Tampa, Florida, USA]). Based on the recommendations of the American Heart Association, the mean of two readings with a one-minute interval between them are recorded [45]. If the difference between the two readings is >5 mmHg, another two readings are taken [45].

Anthropometry

Standing height and weight are taken by standard procedures, barefoot and in underwear. Height is determined to the nearest 0.5 cm, weight is determined to the nearest 0.1 kg. Waist circumference is measured with a medical measuring tape to the nearest 0.5 cm. It is measured at the narrowest part of the torso (the middle between lower rib arch and spina iliaca) in relaxed, standing position at the end of expiration [46, 47]. Skinfold (SF) thickness is measured in triplicate to the nearest 0.2 mm with a Harpenden calliper (Harpenden Skinfold Calliper [Baty International, West Sussex, United Kingdom]) on the right body site at sites over triceps, biceps, subscapular and suprailiacal based on standard procedures [48, 49]. The sum of the four SF (each averages of the three readings) is taken to calculate absolute [kg] and relative [%] body fat by a formula validated against underwater weighing [49]. With this formula, body fat can be estimated with 3-5% error [49]. Lean body mass is derived from total body mass and body fat. Furthermore, percent fat mass, absolute fat mass and lean body mass (total body and regional) are estimated by whole body dual x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) using a Hologic Discovery densitometer (Hologic, Bedford MA, USA) [50, 51]. Body composition estimation from DXA scan shows good precision with a 2-3% coefficient of variation (CV) [52]. Muscle cross-sectional area (CSA) [cm² and z-scores] at proximal tibia and radius is assessed using peripheral Quantitative Computed Tomography (pQCT, Stratec XCT 2000 scanner; Stratec Medical Pforzheim, Germany). Muscle CSA is obtained by subtracting fat CSA and bone CSAs from the CSA of the total limb. Precision error for muscle CSA determination of the calf by pQCT was found to be between 0.5%–4.1% [53–55].

Glycaemic control

Serum levels for insulin and C-peptide are determined by chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassays (ECLIA). The reproducibility based on the Elecsys 2010 Analyzer is 2.5– 2.8% for insulin and 1.8–5.0% for C-peptide, respectively. Glucose is measured by the hexokinase method (Modular), consisting of a control unit, a core unit and an analytic ISE unit (E-module). Insulin resistance (IR) is estimated by calculating homeostasis model assessment (HOMA-IR) index (fasting serum insulin [μ U/ml] × fasting plasma glucose [mmol/l)/22.5]) [56]. HbA1c is measured by a high efficiency fluid chromatography HPLC (VG8). In addition, 2 h 75 g oral glucose tolerance test (oGTT) after an overnight (≥8 h) fast is done to assess insulin resistance [57–59].

Blood lipids

Total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol and triglycerides are measured by standard method on an autoanalyser (COBAS Integra 800; Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). Intra-assay and inter-assay CVs are between 1.6–2.2% for total cholesterol, 2.4–3.6% for HDL, 0.7–2.1% for LDL, and 1.1–3.7% for triglycerides, respectively.

Bone health

Bone mineral content and density

Bone mineral content (BMC, g), bone area (BA, cm²) and areal bone mineral density (aBMD, g/cm²) are measured at the lumbar spine, femoral neck and hip by DXA using a Hologic Discovery densitometer (Hologic, Bedford MA, USA) according to existing guidelines [60]. For aBMD evaluation at the lumbar spine, mean aBMD data of vertebral bodies L1 to L4 are reported unless vertebral bodies showing artefacts need to be excluded. A single densitometer is used throughout the study. In a previous study, the CV of individual measurements was 1.1% for the spine, 1.4% for the femoral neck, 1.9% for the trochanteric region, and 1.1% for the total hip [61]. The total radiation exposure of a DXA scan is $3-6 \,\mu$ Sv.

Trabecular bone score (TBS)

Measurement is performed using spine DXA files with the TBS iNsight Software (version 1.8; Med-Imaps, Pessac). The software uses the antero-posterior spine raw image(s) from the densitometer, including the BMD region of interest and edge detection so that the TBS calculation is performed over exactly the same region of interest as the aBMD measurement. It assesses the heterogeneity of the areal density, with a higher heterogeneity implying poorer trabecular connectivity. Short-term reproducibility (CV) for TBS is reported to be 2.1% and 1.7% for spine aBMD in 92 individuals with repeat spine DXA scans performed within 28 days [62, 63].

Vertebral fracture assessment (VFA)

Is an established, low radiation method for detection of prevalent vertebral fractures using DXA [64]. Lateral spine scans are performed simultaneously with aBMD measurements using the Hologic Discovery densitometer. Spine fractures are classified using the standard semi quantitative scoring system of Genant and colleagues [65]. This scoring system differentiates three fracture grades based on the height reduction of the affected vertebral body (grade 1: 20–25%; grade 2: 25–40%, grade 3:>40%) [65].

Bone architecture & strength

Volumetric bone density (vBMD), bone mass, and bone geometry is measured using pQCT (Stratec XCT 2000 scanner; Stratec Medical Pforzheim, Germany) at the distal

epiphysis and diaphysis of the non-dominant lower leg and lower arm. We will assess bone total CSA in mm² at the epiphyseal and diaphyseal sites, cortical CSA (excluding the medullary CSA) in mm² at the diaphyseal sites, total and trabecular vBMD in mg/cm³ at the epiphyseal sites, and cortical BMD in mg/cm³ at the diaphyseal sites [66, 67]. Absolute values are transformed in z-scores based on reference values [68, 69].

Quantitative computed tomography measures attenuation of x-rays projected through the limb at one-degree steps covering 180 degrees, resulting in an image of the crosssection of the limb. The slice thickness of 2 mm allows a three-dimensional (volumetric) assessment of bone density. X-ray attenuation is linearly transformed into hydroxyapatite (HA) densities. Unlike some other pQCT scanners, the Stratec XCT 2000 is calibrated with respect to water which is set at 60 mg HA, so that fat results in 0 mg HA [70]. HA equivalent densities are automatically calculated from the attenuation coefficients by employing the manufacturer's phantom which itself is calibrated with respect to the European Forearm Phantom (EFP; QRM, Erlangen, Germany) [70]. The effective radiation dose is 0.2 μ Sv per scan and per scout view as indicated by the manufacturer.

Radius bone length is set equal to ulnar length, which is measured to the nearest 5 mm with a measuring tape by palpation of the olecranon and the ulnar styloid. Tibia length is measured from the medial knee joint cleft to the end of the medial malleolus. A scout view of the distal end of tibia and radius is performed and the automated detection algorithm provided by the manufacturer is used to place the reference line at the distal bone end. Two scans are performed for each of radius and tibia: one at 4% of total bone length measured from the reference line of the scout view in the distal epiphysis, and one at 66% of total bone length in the proximal part of the diaphysis. A reproducibility study based on 9 subjects with 4 repeated measurements defined the smallest detectable differences (1.96 × Standard Deviation [SD]) to be 4.74 and 3.92 mg/ cm³ for trabecular vBMD at the radius and tibia, respectively, and 11.68 and 5.39 mg/cm³ for total vBMD at the radius and tibia, respectively [71].

Bone metabolism and hormones

Biomarkers of bone metabolism provide a quantitative measure of the relationship between bone deposition and resorption. Measuring the balance between deposition and resorption in relation is the basis of explaining change in BMD over time and can be taken as determinant of BMD change. The following biochemical markers of bone turnover are assessed: a) bone formation markers: bone-specific alkaline phosphatase (BAP), osteocalcin (OC), N-terminal propeptide of type I procollagen (PINP); b) bone resorption markers: C-terminal telopeptide of type I collagen (CTX). Serum BAP (IDS-iSYS Ostase BAP) as well as 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 (IDS-iSYS 25-Hydroxy Vitamin D) will be determined using an enzyme-immunoassay (EIA) on the IDSiSYS (Immunodiagnostic Systems, Frankfurt/Germany). The intra- and inter-assay variations are <9% for BAP [72, 73], and 3.6% and 16.9% for 25-hydroxy-vitamin D3 [74, 75], respectively. The parameters beta-CrossLaps (CTX), N-MID-Osteocalcin, PINP and intact parathyroid hormone (iPTH) are measured in serum with ECLIA on the automated analyser Elecsys 2010 (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) [76, 77]. The intra- and inter-assay variations are 2.4-7.2% for CTX, 1.1-5.9% for OC, 1.7-4.0% for PINP, and 1.7-5.5% for iPTH, respectively [61]. Uncarboxylated OC (ucOC) is measured after previous incubation of serum samples with hydroxyapatite (5 mg/ml) to separate out carboxylated OC (cOC) from the ucOC as previously described [78]. The ucOC in the supernatant is measured using the same assay as for total osteocalcin and will be reported as a concentration and as a fraction of the total [79].

Further hormone analyses including thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH), free thyroxine (fT4), gonadotropins (luteinizing hormone [LH], follicle-stimulating hormone [FSH]), estradiol (E2), total testosterone (TT) and cortisol will be carried out using ECLIA on the automated analyser COBAS e411 (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland). The intra- and inter-assay variations are 1.5–8.7% for TSH, 1.8–7.6% for fT4, 0.8–5.2% for LH, 1.8–5.3% for FSH, 2.4–11.9% for E2, 1.2–8.4% for TT, and 1.1–1.6% for cortisol, respectively [80].

Insulin like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) and insulin like growth factor binding protein 3 (IGF-BP3) are determined using ECLIA on the IDS-iSYS (Immunodiagnostic Systems, Frankfurt/Germany). The intra- and inter-assay variations are 6.0% and 9.8% for IGF-1, and 7.9% and 15.5% for IGF-BP3, respectively [81]. All assays are performed in duplicate by using the same biomarker kit, and the mean value will be recorded.

Nutrition

To correctly interpret bone health of the subjects, the relevant parameters like calcium intake [mg/day], protein intake [g/day] and vitamin D (supplement intake, sun exposure and nutrition) are also assessed with standardized and validated self-reported questionnaires [82, 83].

Physical fitness

Aerobic fitness

The participants complete a continuous incremental cycling test to volitional exhaustion following the step protocol proposed by Godfrey and colleagues [84] in accordance to the international guidelines for exercise testing [85, 86]. Work rate is increased every minute by 20 W with an initial load of 20 W. At each visit, a 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG, Schiller CS-200, Schiller AG, Baar, Switzerland) at rest is performed to rule out relevant arrhythmias and other pathologies that may pose a risk to the patient during the

cycling test and/or intervention. All participants are tested using a calibrated cycle ergometer (Ergospirometrie-System CS-200 and SCHILLER ERG 911S Plus cycle ergometer [SCHILLER AG], Baar, Switzerland) and metabolic cart (LF8 PowerCube®-Ergo Gas-Analysator [Ganshorn Medizin Electronic], Niederlauer, Germany). The metabolic cart is calibrated before each exercise test with two gases of known concentrations. Peak oxygen uptake (VO2peak) is determined by the highest VO_2 averaged over 30s during the test. Peak performance (Wpeak) is defined as the power maintained over the final 1-min stage of the test plus 5 W for each fulfilled 15 s bout of the non-finished stage. Maximal aerobic power and VO2peak will be expressed in percent of predicted [87]. Electrocardiography using chest leads and oxygen saturation measured at the finger (Masimo SET-Monitor Radical-7, [Masimo Corporation], Irvine, USA) are used to monitor the participant throughout the test for safety reasons and to determine maximal heart rate and desaturation under exercise. The test is terminated according to existing guidelines [86]. Blood pressure and Borg Rating of Perceived Exertion (RPE) are assessed at the end of each stage [88, 89]. In addition, heart rate, blood pressure and Borg RPE are assessed 1, 2, and 3 min post exercise to assess recovery as a marker of physical fitness [90].

Muscular strength

To assess muscular strength of the lower body, the 1-min sit-to-stand (STS) test is performed [91, 92]. The participants perform one test trial at least 20 min before the final test. The number of repetitions of standing up and sitting down from a chair in the final test is recorded. In addition, Borg RPE is assessed at the end of the test [88, 89]. The test is performed on a height adjustable chair to ensure a 90° knee angle. The 1-min STS test showed high reliability and good criterion related validity with other exercise capacity tests such as the 6-min walk test or stair climbing [93–96]. Existing population-based reference values from Switzerland will help to identify subjects with decreased lower body muscular strength and endurance [91].

Upper body strength is assessed through a handgrip strength test using the JAMAR Hydraulic Hand Dynamometer (Lafayette Instrument^{*}, Lafayette, USA). The JAMAR dynamometer was validated in several studies and is regarded as the gold standard in measuring handgrip strength [97]. The dynamometer assesses force in kg (0– 90 kg) to the nearest 2 kg. Hand grip strength is measured according to the American Society of Hand Therapists (ASHT) recommendations in a sitting position on a height adjustable chair with the dynamometer resting on a table in front of the subject [98]. Each hand is measured 3 times, with alternating sides, starting with the right hand and one minute breaks between measurements. A 1-min break is described as sufficient in the literature in order to yield consistent values [99].

Physical activity

Pedometer

Participants wear a pedometer (Fitbug Air[®], Fitbug, London, United Kingdom) between the two visits of T0, T6 and T12, to count number of daily steps (divided into overall steps and aerobic steps) [100]. The steps per day of the preceding 14 days are stored in the device and entered into the REDCap database when the participant returns the device at the second visit.

In addition, participants of the intervention group will wear a pedometer and record their daily steps throughout the entire study period (see paragraph on physical activity intervention).

Accelerometer

Physical activity (PA) is assessed by accelerometer (ActiGraph, Pensacola, Florida, USA) which will be worn on the right hip during at least 7 days between the two visits of T0, T6 and T12. The device measures accelerations of ±6 G. The sample rate of the accelerometer will be set to measure raw signals at 100 Hz. These are then translated into either metabolic energy equivalents of no, light, moderate and vigorous physical activities to estimate the effect on the metabolic outcomes or in cumulative impacts per day for the bone outcomes. Data are included if at least four full days (including at least one weekend day) with a minimum of 10 h are measured [101]. Participants are asked to wear the accelerometer also at night to capture sleeping time. Validity of accelerometer is good with correlation coefficients of 0.65 between accelerometer assessed metabolic energy equivalents and indirect calorimetry [102], and 0.74 between accelerometer impact loading and ground reaction forces by force plates [103]. For metabolic outcomes, overall PA will be expressed as total PA (total counts), average PA in counts/min, as well as time (min/d) sedentary and in light, moderate and vigorous PAs according to proposed, previously published cut-off levels [101, 102, 104]. For bone outcomes, impact loading will be expressed as cumulative impacts per day (n/day) >2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 G, respectively. Less than 100 impact loadings >3.9 G per day were shown to be effective to increase bone mineral density in premenopausal women with higher effects in those with low baseline values [105]. Such a level is reached with jogging, fast running and jumping activities.

Questionnaire

The Seven-Day Physical Activity Recall questionnaire (PAR), the Exercise Motivations Inventory (EMI-2) and a self-constructed questionnaire including items of the Lipid Research Clinics questionnaire (LRC) are used to assess the time individuals engage in physical activity, their reasons for exercising, and type and time of sports. The PAR assesses the time an individual engaged in moderate, hard, very hard activities and sleep during the 7 days prior to the

assessment [106]. The PAR was validated in several studies against objective measures of physical activity and showed satisfying psychometric properties in different populations such as children and adults [106–109]. The EMI-2 is a validated scale to measure an individual's reason for exercising. It comprises of 44 items reflecting 12 dimensions including stress management, weight management, recreation, social recognition, enjoyment, appearance, personal development, affiliation, ill-health avoidance, competition, fitness and health pressures [110, 111]. The self-constructed questionnaire includes items on type and time of current sports and questions from the validated LRC [112].

Quality of life and mental health *Health related quality of life*

Is assessed using the Short Form-36 (SF-36) [113, 114]. This instrument is validated and has been successfully used in samples of long-term CCS [115–119]. It consists of 36 questions that can be summarized into eight scales: physical functioning, role limitation due to physical health (role limitation physical), bodily pain, general health perception, energy & vitality, social functioning, role limitation due to emotional problems (role limitation emotional), and mental health. The eight scales can be further aggregated into a Physical Component Summary (PCS) and a Mental Component Summary (MCS) [114]. We will convert raw scores into T-scores (mean = 50, SD = 10, range 0–100) according to age- and sex-stratified norm data from a public use-file of the German Federal Survey (N = 6964) because no Swiss data of the SF-36 are available [113].

Fatigue and actual well-being

Is assessed with a Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) and the Checklist Individual Strength (CIS). A VAS for fatigue and well-being is designed to measure these characteristics, which are believed to range across a continuum of values and cannot easily be measured directly. Operationally, the VAS is a horizontal line, 100 mm in length, anchored by two word descriptors at each end. In our study, the descriptors will range from 'not tired at all' to 'completely exhausted' for fatigue and from 'feeling absolutely miserable' to 'perfect well-being' for well-being. The CIS is a validated 20-item questionnaire, that is designed to measure four aspects of fatigue that may have been experienced during the previous 2 weeks, i.e. severity of fatigue (8 items), concentration (5 items), motivation (4 items) and physical activity (3 items) [26, 120, 121]. Each item is scored on a 7-point Likert scale. The total score is the sum of the scores 1–7 in the 20 items (range 20–140). Norm scores are available for different patient groups and healthy people. The CIS has also been successfully used in long-term survivors of childhood cancer [26]. The CIS showed to have good internal consistency and validity across studies and could successfully discriminate between non-fatigued and

fatigued groups and cut-off points for clinical levels of fatigue have been developed [26, 122, 123].

Mental health

Psychological distress will be assessed using the Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI) [124]. The BSI is a widely used and well-validated instrument to screen the following nine domains of distress: somatization, obsessive-compulsive tendencies, interpersonal sensitivity, depression, anxiety, aggression, phobic anxiety, paranoid ideation, and psychotic tendencies. Responses to all 53 items can be further summarized in the Global Severity Index (GSI). For each item, participants express how much they agree with a statement describing the previous 7 days on a 5-point Likert-scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 5 (very much). Scores from all scales will be transformed to T-scores (mean = 50, SD = 10, range 0-100) according to the German norm population [125]. A T-score of ≥ 63 on any scale corresponds to the 90th percentile of the norm population and indicates a risk for being at significant psychological distress in this area (case rule) [125].

Personal history and clinical examination

A thorough personal history, study of the medical record and clinical examination is performed in each participant, with special emphasis on the cancer history, signs and symptoms of cardio-metabolic, pulmonary or neurological diseases, health behaviour, medical doctor visits, hospitalizations, and medications [126-128]. Symptoms, medical doctor visits, hospitalizations and medications are updated after 6 and 12 months. Physical examination of the lungs, heart, abdomen, joints, extremities, ears, mouth, lymph nodes and a detailed neurological status are performed at baseline and after 6 and 12 months. Vital parameters including heart rate and blood pressure are taken at every visit. Tanner stadium is assessed once at baseline and only repeated after 6 and 12 months if the participant is not fully mature at baseline [129]. Socio-demographic characteristics are assessed by questionnaire at baseline, health behaviours at baseline and after 12 months, using standardized questions from the Swiss Health Survey and the Swiss Census [126-128].

Adverse events and exercise related complications

Every adverse event including exercise related complications [130] whether or not causally related with the exercise training will be monitored based on standardized procedures and followed until resolved [131]. Each adverse event is recorded in the REDCap database and classified based on The Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) [131]. Serious adverse events are reported to the sponsor and the responsible independent ethics committee. An independent safety auditor of the University Children's Hospital Basel (UKBB) is monitoring patients' safety throughout the study period. Participants who show an elevated blood pressure or a pathological oral glucose tolerance test at baseline or during a study visits, can enter/stay in the study, but are referred to the family physician or a specialist to get the appropriate treatment. In case of diabetes, the participant will enter the study once a stable condition is reached but earliest after 3 months.

Participants at risk for cardiac late-effects due to cardiotoxic childhood cancer therapy (anthracyclines and/or chest radiation) can enter the study normally, but are recommended to get a cardiac assessment with an adult cardiologist according to recent recommendations [132].

Physical activity intervention (intervention group)

Survivors in the intervention group are asked to add at least 2.5 h of intense physical activities per week. These should include 30 min of strength building exercises and 2 h of aerobic exercises per week. Exercise bouts lasting 20 min or longer are counted towards the total weekly training time. This "dose" of physical activity is based on the international recommendations of healthy physical activities from the Centre of Disease Control and Prevention (CDC; www.cdc.gov) [133].

Based on the initial exercise test, general health status and participant's preferences and motivation, subjects of the intervention group receive a counselling at the second visit of the baseline assessment (T0b; Fig. 1, Additional file 2: Table S1). A standardized approach is used to assess survivors' preferences with respect to physical activities, identify possible barriers and determine the individual motivation to start specific activities. Based on this assessment, individualized physical activities are defined and implemented into the participant's daily life. Survivors of the intervention group are also motivated to incorporate activities of moderate intensities into daily life such as walking instead of driving or climbing stairs instead of taking the escalator. Participants are also advised to reduce inactive behaviour such as television viewing, computer games, etc. with the aim of reducing 25% of their actual media time. The motivational interview is performed by one of the project physiotherapists who have been trained prior to the study.

For motivational reasons each survivor of the intervention group is equipped with a step counter (pedometer, Model Fitbug Air) and asked to document daily steps. Participants keep a daily training log using a web-based platform with individual anonymous logins. Data on 1) strenuous exercise performed that day (type of exercise, duration), 2) step counts (overall and aerobic steps), 3) media-related sedentary time and sleeping hours, and 4) mood and well-being are entered and graphically displayed to give the participants an immediate feedback about their progress. The participants receive a "reminder" message on his or her mobile phone or via email if no entries are made for three consecutive days. If there are no entries for a whole week, the survivor is contacted by phone by his "personal coach" (physiotherapist). There are also scheduled phone contacts after 1, 2, 4, 5, 8 and 10 months of the intervention to discuss compliance, motivation, and progress and to re-counsel the survivors on their training plan. Training logs and physical activity behaviour are checked and discussed during the clinic visits (at months 3 and 6), and exercise counselling is repeated.

After the 1-year assessment, participants of the intervention group can keep their step counters and will still have access to the web-based training log to report and view their activity data, but no further support is given from the research team. A follow-up after 1–2 years off trial is planned but this is not subject of the current study protocol.

Control group

The control population of this study is asked to keep its activity level constant over the one-year study period. With this study, we will be able to test the effect of *additional* physical activity compared to a "normal" activity level. After the oneyear study period, participants of the control population have the opportunity to receive the same personalized physical activity counselling and motivational interview, but without personal follow-up coaching. They also receive a step counter and access to the same web-diary, which they can use to follow their physical activity plan.

Compliance

Compliance of the participants is assessed by different means. This will allow us to validate whether the aims of the intervention and control arm have been maintained and to make dose-response analyses for physical activity in this population.

Participants of the intervention group daily report the pedometer steps and sports performed in the web-diary, they are contacted immediately in case of non-compliance, they have monthly telephone contacts with the physiotherapists, and three-to-six-monthly assessment visits with the physicians, physiotherapists and other staff. After the one-year intervention, a structured interview is performed with the participants of the intervention group to assess their opinion on the intervention, their compliance, reasons for compliance or non-compliance and readiness to continue the physical activity programme. Furthermore, at each study visit (3, 6, and 12 months), the retained steps of the pedometers over 2 weeks prior to the visits are (unknown to the participants) downloaded and entered into the study database to assess how accurate participants of the intervention group report their daily steps in the web-diary.

Participants of the intervention and control group report their actual activities at each visit (T3, T6, and T12) and we objectively measure the activity levels at T6 and T12.

Blinding

With our physical activity intervention, it will not be possible to blind the study participants themselves, the project physiotherapists, the project physicians and some of the assessors. But wherever possible all other members of the project team will be blinded for group allocation of the participants, i.e. those who perform the DXA measurement, the physical performance test, the blood analysis, the quality check of the data in the database and the statistical analysis.

Sample size

A study by Kriemler and colleagues using the same CVD risk score showed a reduction in the z-score by 14% after a 1-year physical activity intervention in children and adolescents [34]. Our population is older with a history of cancer, intensive treatments and long hospitalisations. We therefore expect higher CVD risk in this population at baseline and even greater changes after an intervention. To be conservative, this study is powered to detect a difference between the intervention and control group of 15% (no change in the control group and 15% change in the intervention group) after the 1-year intervention. For a power of 0.80 and a two-sided type 1 error probability of 0.05, 60 survivors with complete data are required in each study arm. Assuming that 20% of participants will dropout or have missing data, 75 survivors have to be recruited in each arm. From the Swiss Childhood Cancer Registry we identified 4241 eligible 5-year survivors of whom about 1500 were diagnosed and treated in one of the three Swiss Paediatric Oncology Group (SPOG) clinics of initial recruitment (Basel, Lucerne, and Zurich) [3]. Therefore, with an expected participation rate of 20% of the survivors contacted and invited, we will reach a sufficient sample size.

Data analysis

Descriptive statistics will be used for clinical, sociodemographic and prognostic variables measured at baseline (stratified by intervention and control group). We will use frequencies and proportions with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for categorical variables and mean with \pm SD or 95% CI (or median and range) for continuous variables. Descriptive analysis of baseline characteristics will be performed as soon as the baseline assessments are completed for all participants. This cross-sectional analysis will inform about the comparability of the treatment groups and the need for adjustment of between treatment group comparisons. Furthermore, baseline assessments will provide important results about characteristics, health behaviours and health status of long-term CCS in Switzerland. We will also compare the distribution of baseline sociodemographic characteristics to the Swiss Childhood Cancer Registry, to estimate the representativeness of our sample.

The primary analysis will be conducted as intention-totreat analysis (ITT) for the primary outcome (change in composite CVD score from T0 to T12). All participants will be analysed in the group where they were originally allocated and missing data will be imputed by means of last observation carried forward (LOCF) [134]. LOCF is an appropriate procedure in this setting because it will lead to more conservative effect estimates (i.e. towards the null), because lost to follow-up is more likely to occur in the intervention group (because of an intensive and timeconsuming programme) which is the group expected to change (improve). Attempt will be done to follow up all randomised participants, even if they withdraw from the allocated treatment. If the parameters of the composite CVD risk score are skewed, they will be transformed to reach normal distribution for calculating the z-scores.

The secondary analyses include several steps: *First*, we will do the same ITT analysis with appropriate means of imputation of missing data for all the secondary outcomes. *Second*, we will perform sensitivity analysis on the primary and all secondary outcomes, including, a) complete data (complete case analysis), i.e. observations with missing information on relevant variables will be dismissed; b) analysis of intermediate effects after 3 and 6 months of intervention; c) dose-response analysis based on the actual physical activities (min of vigorous physical activities per week) or physical performance (VO₂peak) during the period of interest, independent of the group allocation; and, d) per protocol analysis.

Per protocol analysis will include several analyses based on the actual "treatment" that the participants adhered to (independent of group allocation), first based on the reported compliance and second based on the assumed compliance. In the first analysis based on the reported compliance, the following participants will be analysed as being in the "intervention group": participants randomized into the intervention group who reported in the web-diary to have reached at least 2/3 of the target physical activity (addition of 100 min of moderate to vigorous physical activities [MVPA]); and, participants who were randomized to the control group but reported in the physical activity questionnaires to have increased their physical activity level by more than 30 min of extra MVPA per week during the period of interest. The rest of the participants will be analysed as being a "control". The second analysis of reported compliance will include only the participants sufficiently compliant with the protocol that they were allocated to. It will include those randomized into the intervention group who completed at least 2/3 of training volume (e.g. the addition of 100 min per week of vigorous PA) and compare them to those randomized into the control group with no more than 30 min of extra vigorous PA per week than at baseline during the period of interest.

The analysis on the *assumed compliance* will be done according to the analyses of the reported compliance but with participants being defined as compliant if they reach an increase of $\geq 5\%$ in VO2peak and/or $\geq 10\%$ in the ventilatory anaerobic threshold from baseline [135].

Model selection Depending on the type of endpoint, mixed linear, logistic, Cox or Poisson regression will be used. All models will include the variables used in the adaptive randomization (age and former cancer diagnosis) and we will test the model assumptions and model fit. The models might be further adjusted for baseline values and important prognostic factors such as sex, socioeconomic status, health-behaviours, treatments received, or former treating hospital if we observe an unequal distribution of important confounders between the treatment and control group.

The analysis will be done with Stata Statistical Software version 14 or newer (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, USA) and R Statistics (R Core Team, Vienna, Austria, www.R-project.org). A *p*-value <0.05 will be considered as statistically significant. Primary and secondary analyses will be performed when the data collection is finished for all participants.

Publication policy

Because of the large variety of outcomes assessed, we intend to publish the effect of the intervention on the different outcomes in more than one paper. We intend to publish the effect of the intervention on our primary outcome (CVD risk score) and related single cardiovascular disease risk factors, glycaemic control and body composition in a single paper (main paper). This publication will also include the changes in physical fitness and physical activity as well as the clinical status and safety endpoints. Beside this, we intend to publish the effect of the intervention in different papers of the following topics:

- Effect on bone health

- Effect on quality of life, mental health and well being

Results of the baseline assessments only and further in depth research questions will be published in additional papers of meaningful topics.

Discussion

It's estimated that 1 in 800 young adults under the age of 35 years living in developed countries is a survivor of paediatric cancer [2]. Unfortunately, studies have shown that the vast majority of these survivors present with chronic medical conditions such as cardiovascular and pulmonary diseases, 2nd cancers, overweight, osteoporosis, or psychological distress, directly impacting their late mortality, morbidity and quality of life [4, 7, 117, 136].

Physical activity and exercise have become a cornerstone in the prevention and treatment of chronic diseases including cancer, cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, diabetes, osteoporosis, fatigue or increasing psychological well-being [11, 16, 137–147] Even in palliative care, physical activity seems to reduce the burden of cancer- or therapyrelated side effects [148]. Despite these striking evidences, there is a lack of randomized, controlled exercise trials aiming to reduce cancer- and therapy-related sequelae in paediatric cancer survivors, which may ultimately increase life expectancy, decrease morbidity and lead to an improvement in quality of life. This is especially important for childhood cancer survivors as these patients are young with a long life in front of them.

Besides contributing to the body of scientific knowledge, this randomized controlled trial will also use a novel approach with a personalized and partially supervised exercise intervention. The intervention is supported by multiple tools such as web-based feedback, pedometers and individually tailored counselling. With this approach, we try to overcome barriers and low compliance that is a major problem in the sustainability of intervention studies. Moreover, the measurement of most major cardiometabolic risk factors and bone health and the inclusion of patient important outcomes like quality of life and psychological distress provide a complete picture.

Another new aspect is the precise measurement of bone architecture including a broad battery of possible determinants of osteoporosis or decreased bone mass in CCS. This may shed more light on the single and combined risk factors of increased bone fracture risk or osteoporosis in this population and on the potential preventive approaches.

The planned physical activity intervention has the potential to become an important pillar in the support of the whole CCS population. The project will evaluate whether a 1-year partially supervised program including exercise counselling with motivational feedback in CCS (aged 16 years and above) is able to reduce long-term sequelae and/or lifestyle-related diseases including but not limited to cardiovascular and metabolic risks as well as bone health, physical fitness, mental health, and quality of life. If the program shall prove effective, the SURfit material will become available to interested national and international clinics that treat and/or follow-up childhood cancer patients to promote exercise in their CCS.

Additional files

Additional file 1: SPIRIT 2013 Checklist: Recommended items to address in a clinical trial protocol and related documents. Contains the SPIRIT Checklist in its original template. The table lists all the recommended items that should be addressed in a clinical trial study protocol and indicates the page numbers where the respective item is described. (DOCX 65 kb)

Additional file 2: Table S1. Schedule of enrolment, interventions and assessments within SURfit (DOCX 138 kb)

Additional file 3: Measures and methods within SURfit at different time points. The table in Additional file 3 describes in detail the methods used for each measurement in SURfit. It is an extension of the (Additional file 2: Table S1) in the paper. (DOCX 81 kb)

Abbreviations

ASHT: American Society of Hand Therapists; BAP: Bone-specific Alkaline Phosphatase; BMC: Bone Mineral Content; BMD: Bone Mineral Density;

BSI: Brief Symptom Inventory; CCS: Childhood Cancer Survivor; CDC: Centre of Disease Control and Prevention; CI: Confidence Interval; CIS: Checklist Individual Strength; CNS: Central Nervous System; cOC: Carboxylated Osteocalcin: CSA: Cross-sectional Area: CTCAF: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; CTX: C-terminal Telopeptide of Type I Collagen; CV: Coefficient of Variation; CVD: Cardiovascular Disease; DXA: Dual X-Ray Absorptiometry; E2: Estradiol; ECG: Electrocardiogram; EFP: European Forearm Phantom; EIA: Enzyme-Immunoassay; EKNZ: Ehtikkommision Nordwest und Zentralschweiz; EMI-2: Exercise Motivations Inventory; FSH: Folliclestimulating Hormone; fT4: free Thyroxine; GSI: Global Severity Index; HA: Hydroxyapatite; HbA1c: Glycated Haemoglobin; HDL: High Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol; HOMA-IR: Homeostasis Model Assessment - Insulin Resistance; ICCC-3: International Classification of Childhood Cancer - 3rd Edition; IGF-1: Insulin Like Growth Factor 1; IGF-BP3: Insulin Like Growth Factor Binding Protein 3; iPTH: Intact Parathyroid Hormone; IR: Insulin Resistance; ITT: Intention to Treat Analysis; LDL: Low Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol; LH: Luteinizing Hormone; LOCF: Last Observation Carried Forward; LRC: Lipid Research Clinics guestionnaire; MCS: Mental Component Summary; OC: Osteocalcin; oGTT: oral Glucose Tolerance Test; PA: Physical Activity; PAR: Seven-Day Physical Activity Recall Questionnaire; PCS: Physical Component Summary; PINP: N-terminal Propeptide of Type I Procollagen; p-QCT: Peripheral Quantitative Computed Tomography; RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial; RPE: Rating of Perceived Exertion; SD: Standard Deviation; SF: Skinfold; SF-36: Short Form-36; SOP: Standard Operational Procedure; SPOG: Swiss Paediatric Oncology Group; STS: 1-min sit-to-stand; SURfit: Survivor Fitness Study; T0, T3, T6, T12: Time point 0, Time point 4 months, Time point 6 months, Time point 12 months; TBS: Trabecular Bone Score; TSH: Thyroid-stimulating Hormone; TT: Total Testosterone; ucOC: Uncarboxylated Osteocalcin; UKBB: University Children's Hospital Basel; VAS: Visual Analogue Scale; VFA: Vertebral Fracture Assessment; VO2peak: Peak Oxygen Uptake; Wpeak: Peak Performance in Watt

Acknowledgements

We thank all the survivors for participating in our study! We thank the study nurses, assistants, master students and physiotherapists for their great work within the study!

Funding

This study was supported by the Swiss Cancer League (KLS-3175-02-2013), "Stiftung für krebskranke Kinder, Regio Basiliensis" (Basel), FORCE – "Fondation Recherche sur le Cancer de l'Enfant" (Lausanne), "Gedächtnis-Stiftung Susy Rückert zur Krebsbekämpfung" (Basel), and the foundation Henriette & Hans-Rudolf Dubach-Bucher. CSR has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7-PEOPLE-2013-COFUND) under grant agreement n° 609,020 – Scientia Fellows. The funding bodies had no role in the design of the study, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, and in the writing of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The data that will be generated and analysed during the current study is not publicly available due to the sensitivity of the collected data. The data or parts of the data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

SK, HH, NvdW and CSR have designed the study, participated in the coordination of the study and drafted the article. SK, CSR, CS, AR, HH, PE, CM, NvdW and SZ developed the study material, established methods and questionnaires. CSR and SZ managed the study and developed the project database. SZ, NvdW, AR, SK, CSR, CS and CM participate in conducting the study. All authors participated in writing the article and have read and approved the final version.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Swiss Ethics Committees on research involving humans (Ehtikkommision Nordwest- und Zentralschweiz [EKNZ]; reference number: EKNZ-2015-169). Informed Consent as documented by signature is obtained from each survivor prior to participation in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable (this manuscript does not contain data from any individual person).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Oslo Centre for Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Oslo University Hospital and Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Oslo, Sognsvannsveien 9, 0372 Oslo, Norway. ²Department of Health Sciences and Health Policy, University of Lucerne, Frohburgstrasse 3, 6002 Lucerne, Switzerland. ³Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Prevention Institute, University of Zürich, Hirschengraben 84, 8001 Zürich, Switzerland. ⁴Department of Pediatric Oncology and Hematology, University Children's Hospital Basel (UKBB), University of Basel, Spitalstrasse 33, 4056 Basel, Switzerland. ⁵Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern, Finkenhubelweg 11, 3012 Bern, Switzerland. ⁶Paediatric Endocrinology, Pediatric Endocrinology Centre Zurich AG (PEZZ), Möhrlistrasse 69, 8006 Zürich, Switzerland. ⁷Children's Hospital, University Hospital Würzburg, Josef-Schneider-Str. 2, 97080 Würzburg, Germany. ⁸Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes, Metabolism and Bone Research, University Hospital Basel, Missionsstrasse 24, 4055 Basel, Switzerland. ⁹University Clinic of Cardiology, Preventive Cardiology and Sports Medicine, Inselspital, University Hospital Bern, 3010 Bern, Switzerland.

Received: 9 August 2017 Accepted: 20 November 2017 Published online: 05 December 2017

References

- Gatta G, Corazziari I, Magnani C, Peris-Bonet R, Roazzi P, Stiller C. Childhood cancer survival in Europe. Ann Onc. 2003;14(5):119–27.
- Gatta G, Zigon G, Capocaccia R, Coebergh JW, Desandes E, Kaatsch P, Pastore G, Peris-Bonet R, Stiller CA. Survival of European children and young adults with cancer diagnosed 1995-2002. Eur J Cancer. 2009;45(6):992–1005.
- Mitter V, Michel G, Strippoli M-PF, Rueegg CS, Rebholz CE, Feller M, Hau E, Reck M, Niggli F, Hengartner H, et al. The Swiss childhood cancer registry. Annual report 2009/2010. Bern: Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern; 2011.
- Alvarez JA, Scully RE, Miller TL, Armstrong FD, Constine LS, Friedman DL, Lipshultz SE. Long-term effects of treatments for childhood cancers. Curr Opin Pediatr. 2007;19(1):23–31.
- Berkman AM, Lakoski SG. A Review of cardiorespiratory fitness in adolescent and young adult survivors of childhood cancer: factors that affect its decline and opportunities for intervention. J Adolesc Young Adult Oncol. 2016;5(1): 8–15.
- Ness KK, Armstrong GT, Kundu M, Wilson CL, Tchkonia T, Kirkland JL. Frailty in childhood cancer survivors. Cancer. 2015;121(10):1540–7.
- Hudson MM, Ness KK, Gurney JG, et al. CLinical ascertainment of health outcomes among adults treated for childhood cancer. JAMA. 2013;309(22): 2371–81.
- Meadows AT. Pediatric cancer survivorship: research and clinical care. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24(32):5160–5.
- Leon AS, Connett J, Jacobs DRJ, Rauramaa R. Leisure-time physical activity levels and the risk of coronary heart disease and death. The multiple risk factor intervention trial. JAMA. 1987;258:2388–95.
- Paffenbarger RS, Hyde RT, Wing AL, Lee I-M, Jung DL, Kampert JB. The Association of Changes in physical-activity level and other lifestyle characteristics with mortality among men. N Engl J Med. 1993;328(8):538–45.
- Warburton D, Charlesworth S, Ivey A, Nettlefold L, Bredin S. A systematic review of the evidence for Canada's physical activity guidelines for adults. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2010;7(1):39.
- Reimers CD, Knapp G, Reimers AK. Exercise as stroke prophylaxis. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2009;106(44):715–21.
- Kushi LH, Doyle C, McCullough M, Rock CL, Demark-Wahnefried W, Bandera EV, Gapstur S, Patel AV, Andrews K, Gansler T, et al. American Cancer Society guidelines on nutrition and physical activity for cancer prevention. CA Cancer J Clin. 2012;62(1):30–67.
- 14. Deusinger SS. Exercise intervention for management of obesity. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2012;58(1):135–9.

- Kelley GA, Kelley KS. Aerobic exercise and lipids and lipoproteins in men: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Mens Health Gend. 2006; 3(1):61–70.
- 16. Pedersen BK, Saltin B. Evidence for prescribing exercise as therapy in chronic disease. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2006;1:3–63.
- 17. Walker KZ, O'Dea K, Gomez M, Girgis S, Colagiuri R. Diet and exercise in the prevention of diabetes. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2010;23(4):344–52.
- Wolff I, van Croonenborg JJ, Kemper HCG, Kostense PJ, Twisk JW. The effect of exercise training programs on bone mass: a meta-analysis of published controlled trials in pre- and postmenopausal women. Osteoporos Int. 1999;9:1–12.
- Gomez-Cabello A, Ara I, Gonzalez-Aguero A, Casajus JA, Vicente-Rodriguez G. Effects of training on bone mass in older adults: a systematic review. Sports Med. 2012;42(4):301–25.
- Nikander R, Sievanen H, Heinonen A, Daly RM, Uusi-Rasi K, Kannus P. Targeted exercise against osteoporosis: a systematic review and metaanalysis for optimising bone strength throughout life. BMC Med. 2010;8:47.
- Specker B, Thiex NW, Sudhagoni RG. Does exercise influence pediatric bone? A systematic review. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2015;473(11):3658–72.
- Burton C, McKinstry B, Szentagotai Tatar A, Serrano-Blanco A, Pagliari C, Wolters M. Activity monitoring in patients with depression: a systematic review. J Affect Disord. 2012;4:4.
- Rimer J, Dwan K, Lawlor DA, Greig CA, McMurdo M, Morley W, Mead GE. Exercise for depression. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;11:7.
- 24. Jedrziewski MK, Lee VM, Trojanowski JQ. Physical activity and cognitive health. Alzheimers Dement. 2007;3(2):98–108.
- Larson EB, Wang L, Bowen JD, McCormick WC, Teri L, Crane P, Kukull W. Exercise is associated with reduced risk for incident dementia among persons 65 years of age and older. Ann Intern Med. 2006;144(2):73–81.
- Blaauwbroek R, Bouma M, Tuinier W, Groenier K, de Greef M, Meyboom- de Jong B, Kamps W, Postma A. The effect of exercise counselling with feedback from a pedometer on fatigue in adult survivors of childhood cancer: a pilot study. Support Care Cancer. 2009;17(8):1041–8.
- Järvelä LS, Kemppainen J, Niinikoski H, Hannukainen JC, Lähteenmäki PM, Kapanen J, Arola M, Heinonen OJ. Effects of a home-based exercise program on metabolic risk factors and fitness in long-term survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2012;59(1):155–60.
- Miller WR, Rollnick S. The effectiveness and ineffectiveness of complex behavioral interventions: impact of treatment fidelity. Contemp Clin Trials. 2014;37(2):234–41.
- Morgan F, Battersby A, Weightman AL, Searchfield L, Turley R, Morgan H, Jagroo J, Ellis S. Adherence to exercise referral schemes by participants what do providers and commissioners need to know? A systematic review of barriers and facilitators. BMC Public Health. 2016;16:227.
- Chan A, Tetzlaff JM, Altman DG, et al. Spirit 2013 statement: defining standard protocol items for clinical trials. Ann Intern Med. 2013;158(3):200–7.
- Klakk H, Andersen LB, Heidemann M, Moller NC, Wedderkopp N. Six physical education lessons a week can reduce cardiovascular risk in school children aged 6-13 years: a longitudinal study. Scand J Public Health. 2014;42(2):128–36.
- Andersen LB, Lauersen JB, Brond JC, Anderssen SA, Sardinha LB, Steene-Johannessen J, McMurray RG, Barros MV, Kriemler S, Moller NC, et al. A new approach to define and diagnose cardiometabolic disorder in children. J Diabetes Res. 2015;2015:539835.
- Kelly AS, Steinberger J, Jacobs DR, Hong CP, Moran A, Sinaiko AR. Predicting cardiovascular risk in young adulthood from the metabolic syndrome, its component risk factors, and a cluster score in childhood. Int J Pediatr Obes. 2011;6(2–2):e283–9.
- Kriemler S, Zahner L, Schindler C, Meyer U, Hartmann T, Hebestreit H, Brunner-La Rocca HP, van Mechelen W, Puder JJ. Effect of school based physical activity programme (KISS) on fitness and adiposity in primary schoolchildren: cluster randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2010;340:c785.
- 35. Alberti KG, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ, Cleeman JI, Donato KA, Fruchart JC, James WP, Loria CM, Smith SC Jr. Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the international diabetes federation task force on epidemiology and prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; world heart federation; international atherosclerosis society; and International Association for the Study of obesity. Circulation. 2009;120(16):1640–5.
- Eckel RH, Alberti KG, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ. The metabolic syndrome. Lancet. 2010;375(9710):181–3.
- 37. Eisenmann JC. On the use of a continuous metabolic syndrome score in pediatric research. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2008;7:17.

- Eisenmann JC, Laurson KR, DuBose KD, Smith BK, Donnelly JE. Construct validity of a continuous metabolic syndrome score in children. Diabetol Metab Syndr. 2010;2:8.
- Gurka MJ, Ice CL, Sun SS, Deboer MD. A confirmatory factor analysis of the metabolic syndrome in adolescents: an examination of sex and racial/ethnic differences. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2012;11:128.
- Michel G, von der Weid N, Zwahlen M, Redmond S, Strippoli MP, Kuehni C. Incidence of childhood cancer in Switzerland: the Swiss childhood cancer registry. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2008;50(1):46–51.
- Michel G, von der Weid NX, Zwahlen M, Adam M, Rebholz CE, Kuehni CE. The Swiss childhood cancer registry: rationale, organisation and results for the years 2001–2005. Swiss Med Wkly. 2007;137:502–9.
- 42. Steliarova-Foucher E, Stiller C, Lacour B, Kaatsch P. International classification of childhood cancer, third edition. Cancer. 2005;103(7):1457–67.
- Pocock SJ, Simon R. Sequential treatment assignment with balancing for prognostic factors in the controlled clinical trial. Biometrics. 1975;31(1):103–15.
- Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)–a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform. 2009;42(2):377–81.
- 45. Pickering TG, Hall JE, Appel LJ, Falkner BE, Graves JW, Hill MN, Jones DH, Kurtz T, Sheps SG, Roccella EJ. Recommendations for blood pressure measurement in humans: an AHA scientific statement from the Council on High Blood Pressure Research Professional and Public Education Subcommittee. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2005;7(2):102–9.
- 46. Seidell JC, Perusse L, Despres JP, Bouchard C. Waist and hip circumferences have independent and opposite effects on cardiovascular disease risk factors: the Quebec family study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2001;74(3):315–21.
- ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription. In. Edited by Medicine ACoS, 6 edn. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2000.
- Durnin JV, Rahaman MM: The assessment of the amount of fat in the human body from measurements of skinfold thickness. 1967. Br J Nutr 2003, 89(1):147–155.
- Durnin JV, Womersley J. Body fat assessed from total body density and its estimation from skinfold thickness: measurements on 481 men and women aged from 16 to 72 years. Br J Nutr. 1974;32(1):77–97.
- 50. Plank LD. Dual-energy X- Ray absorptiometry and body composition. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2005;8(3):305–9.
- Seabolt LA, Welch EB, Silver HJ. Imaging methods for analyzing body composition in human obesity and cardiometabolic disease. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2015;1353:41–59.
- Tothill P, Avenell A, Love J, Reid DM. Comparisons between Hologic, lunar and Norland dual-energy X-ray absorptiometers and other techniques used for whole-body soft tissue measurements. Eur J Clin Nutr. 1994;48(11):781–94.
- Frank-Wilson AW, Johnston JD, Olszynski WP, Kontulainen SA. Measurement of muscle and fat in postmenopausal women: precision of previously reported pQCT imaging methods. Bone. 2015;75:49–54.
- Wong AK, Hummel K, Moore C, Beattie KA, Shaker S, Craven BC, Adachi JD, Papaioannou A, Giangregorio L. Improving reliability of pQCT-derived muscle area and density measures using a watershed algorithm for muscle and fat segmentation. J Clin Densitom. 2015;18(1):93–101.
- Swinford RR, Warden SJ. Factors affecting short-term precision of musculoskeletal measures using peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT). Osteoporos Int. 2010;21(11):1863–70.
- Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and β-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia. 1985;28(7):412–9.
- 57. Bergman RN, Ider YZ, Bowden CR, Cobelli C. Quantitative estimation of insulin sensitivity. Am J Phys. 1979;236(6):E667–77.
- Borai A, Livingstone C, Kaddam I, Ferns G. Selection of the appropriate method for the assessment of insulin resistance. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2011;11:158.
- Yeckel CW, Weiss R, Dziura J, Taksali SE, Dufour S, Burgert TS, Tamborlane WV, Caprio S: Validation of insulin sensitivity indices from oral glucose tolerance test parameters in obese children and adolescents 2004, 89(3):1096–1101.
- Schousboe JT, Shepherd JA, Bilezikian JP, Baim S. Executive summary of the 2013 International Society for Clinical Densitometry Position Development Conference on bone densitometry. J Clin Densitom. 2013;16(4):455–66.
- Keel C, Kraenzlin ME, Kraenzlin CA, Muller B, Meier C. Impact of bisphosphonate wash-out prior to teriparatide therapy in clinical practice. J Bone Miner Metab. 2010;28(1):68–76.

- 62. Patsch JM, Burghardt AJ, Yap SP, Baum T, Schwartz AV, Joseph GB, Link TM. Increased cortical porosity in type 2 diabetic postmenopausal women with fragility fractures. J Bone Miner Res. 2013;28(2):313–24.
- 63. Leslie WD, Aubry-Rozier B, Lamy O, Hans D. Manitoba bone density P: TBS (trabecular bone score) and diabetes-related fracture risk. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2013;98(2):602–9.
- Schousboe JT, Vokes T, Broy SB, Ferrar L, McKiernan F, Roux C, Binkley N. Vertebral fracture assessment: the 2007 ISCD official positions. J Clin Densitom. 2008;11(1):92–108.
- Genant HK, CY W, van Kuijk C, Nevitt MC. Vertebral fracture assessment using a semiguantitative technique. J Bone Miner Res. 1993;8(9):1137–48.
- 66. Daly RM, Ducher G, Hill B, Telford RM, Eser P, Naughton G, Seibel MJ, Telford RD. Effects of a specialist-led, school physical education program on bone mass, structure, and strength in primary school children: a 4-year cluster randomized controlled trial. J Bone Miner Res. 2016;31(2):289–98.
- Eser P, Hill B, Ducher G, Bass S. Skeletal benefits after long-term retirement in former elite female gymnasts. J Bone Miner Res. 2009;24(12):1981–8.
- Fonseca A, Gordon CL, Barr RD. Peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT) to assess bone health in children, adolescents, and young adults: a review of normative data. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2013; 35(8):581–9.
- Rauch F, Schoenau E. Peripheral quantitative computed tomography of the proximal radius in young subjects–new reference data and interpretation of results. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact. 2008;8(3):217–26.
- Augat P, Gordon C, Lang T, lida H, Genant H. Accuracy of cortical and trabecular bone measurements with peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT). Phys Med Biol. 1998;43(10):2873–83.
- Winterhalder L, Eser P, Widmer J, Villiger PM, Aeberli D. Changes in volumetric BMD of radius and tibia upon antidepressant drug administration in young depressive patients. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact. 2012;12(4):224–9.
- 72. Michelsen J, Wallaschofski H, Friedrich N, Spielhagen C, Rettig R, Ittermann T, Nauck M, Hannemann A. Reference intervals for serum concentrations of three bone turnover markers for men and women. Bone. 2013;57(2):399–404.
- Cavalier E, Souberbielle JC, Gadisseur R, Dubois B, Krzesinski JM, Delanaye P. Intermethod variability in bone alkaline phosphatase measurement: clinical impact on the management of dialysis patients. Clin Biochem. 2014;47(13–14):1227–30.
- Janssen MJ, Wielders JP, Bekker CC, Boesten LS, Buijs MM, Heijboer AC, van der Horst FA, Loupatty FJ, van den Ouweland JM. Multicenter comparison study of current methods to measure 25-hydroxyvitamin D in serum. Steroids. 2012;77(13):1366–72.
- 75. Koivula MK, Turpeinen U, Laitinen P, Risteli J. Comparison of automated 25-OH vitamin D immunoassays with liquid chromatography isotope dilution tandem mass spectrometry. Clin Lab. 2012;58(11–12):1253–61.
- Garnero P, Borel O, Delmas PD. Evaluation of a fully automated serum assay for C-terminal cross- linking telopeptide of type I collagen in osteoporosis. Clin Chem. 2001;47(4):694–702.
- Schmidt-Gayk H, Spanuth E, Kotting J, Bartl R, Felsenberg D, Pfeilschifter J, Raue F, Roth HJ. Performance evaluation of automated assays for beta-CrossLaps, N-MID-osteocalcin and intact parathyroid hormone (BIOROSE multicenter study). Clin Chem Lab Med. 2004;42(1):90–5.
- Gundberg CM, Nieman SD, Abrams S, Rosen H. Vitamin K Status and bone health: an analysis of methods for determination of undercarboxylated osteocalcin. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1998;83(9):3258–66.
- Yeap BB, Alfonso H, Chubb SA, Gauci R, Byrnes E, Beilby JP, Ebeling PR, Handelsman DJ, Allan CA, Grossmann M, et al. Higher serum undercarboxylated osteocalcin and other bone turnover markers are associated with reduced diabetes risk and lower estradiol concentrations in older men. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2015;100(1):63–71.
- Beastall GH, Ferguson KM, O'Reilly DS, Seth J, Sheridan B. Assays for follicle stimulating hormone and luteinising hormone: guidelines for the provision of a clinical biochemistry service. Ann Clin Biochem. 1987;24(Pt 3):246–62.
- Muller C, Wallaschofski H, Brabant G, Wahnschaffe U, Samietz S, Nauck M, Friedrich N. The association between IGF-I/IGFBP-3 and subclinical end points: epidemiology faces the limits. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2014;99(8):2804–12.
- Morin P, Herrmann F, Ammann P, Uebelhart B, Rizzoli R. A rapid selfadministered food frequency questionnaire for the evaluation of dietary protein intake. Clin Nutr. 2005;24(5):768–74.
- Bolek-Berquist J, Elliott ME, Gangnon RE, Gemar D, Engelke J, Lawrence SJ, Hansen KE. Use of a questionnaire to assess vitamin D status in young adults. Public Health Nutr. 2009;12(2):236–43.

- 84. Godfrey S. Exercise tests in assessing children with lung or heart disease. Thorax. 1970;25:258.
- 85. Paridon SM, Alpert BS, Boas SR, Cabrera ME, Caldarera LL, Daniels SR, Kimball TR, Knilans TK, Nixon PA, Rhodes J, et al. Clinical stress testing in the pediatric age group: a statement from the American Heart Association Council on cardiovascular disease in the young, committee on atherosclerosis, hypertension, and obesity in youth. Circulation. 2006;113(15):1905–20.
- Weisman IM, Marciniuk D, Martinez FJ, Sciurba F, Sue D, Myers J. ATS/ACCP Statement on cardiopulmonary exercise testing. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2003;167(2):211–77.
- Balady GJ, Berra K, Golding L, Gordon N, Mahler D, Myers J, Sheldahl L: American College of Sports Medicine's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription, Sixth edn. Baltimore: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2000.
- Grant S, Aitchison T, Henderson E, Christie J, Zare S, McMurray J, Dargie H. A comparison of the reproducibility and the sensitivity to change of visual analogue scales, borg scales, and likert scales in normal subjects during submaximal exercise*. Chest. 1999;116(5):1208–17.
- Borg G. Perceived exertion as an indicator of somatic stress. Scand J Rehabil Med. 1970;2(2):92–8.
- Daanen HA, Lamberts RP, Kallen VL, Jin A, Van Meeteren NL. A systematic review on heart-rate recovery to monitor changes in training status in athletes. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 2012;7(3):251–60.
- Strassmann A, Steurer-Stey C, Dalla Lana K, Zoller M, Turk A, Suter P, Puhan M. Population-based reference values for the 1-min sit-to-stand test. Int J Public Health. 2013;58:949–53.
- 92. Puhan MA, Siebeling L, Zoller M, Muggensturm P, ter Riet G. Simple functional performance tests and mortality in COPD. Eur Respir J. 2013;42(4):956–63.
- Bohannon RW, Magasi SR, Bubela DJ, Wang Y-C, Gershon RC. Grip and knee extension muscle strength reflect a common construct among adults. Muscle Nerve. 2012;46(4):555–8.
- Jones CJ, Rikli RE, Beam WC. A 30-s chair-stand test as a measure of lower body strength in community-residing older adults. Res Q Exerc Sport. 1999; 70(2):113–9.
- Ozalevli S, Ozden A, Itil O, Akkoclu A. Comparison of the sit-to-stand test with 6 min walk test in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Respir Med. 2007;101(2):286–93.
- Bohannon RW, Bubela DJ, Magasi SR, Wang Y-C, Gershon RC. Sit-to-stand test: performance and determinants across the age-span. Isokinet Exerc Sci. 2010;18(4):235–40.
- Roberts HC, Denison HJ, Martin HJ, Patel HP, Syddall H, Cooper C, Sayer AA. A review of the measurement of grip strength in clinical and epidemiological studies: towards a standardised approach. Age Ageing. 2011;40(4):423–9.
- 98. Fess EE. Grip strength. 2nd ed. Chicago: American Society of Hand Therapists; 1992.
- Watanabe T, Owashi K, Kanauchi Y, Mura N, Takahara M, Ogino T. The shortterm reliability of grip strength measurement and the effects of posture and grip span. J Hand Surg. 2005;30(3):603–9.
- Steeves JA, Tyo BM, Connolly CP, Gregory DA, Stark NA, Bassett DR. Validity and reliability of the Omron HJ-303 tri-axial accelerometer-based pedometer. J Phys Act Health. 2011;8(7):1014–20.
- Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, Masse LC, Tilert T, McDowell M. Physical Activity in the United States Measured by Accelerometer. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2008;40(1):181–8.
- Kozey SL, Lyden K, Howe CA, Staudenmayer JW, Freedson PS. Accelerometer output and MET values of common physical activities. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2010;42(9):1776–84.
- 103. Rowlands AV, Stiles VH. Accelerometer counts and raw acceleration output in relation to mechanical loading. J Biomech. 2012;45(3):448–54.
- Metzger JS, Catellier DJ, Evenson KR, Treuth MS, Rosamond WD, Siega-Riz AM. Patterns of objectively measured physical activity in the United States. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2008;40(4):630–8.
- Vainionpaa A, Korpelainen R, Vihriala E, Rinta-Paavola A, Leppaluoto J, Jamsa T. Intensity of exercise is associated with bone density change in premenopausal women. Osteoporos Int. 2006;17(3):455–63.
- Sallis JF, Haskell WL, Wood PD, Fortmann SP, Rogers T, Blair SN, Paffenbarger RS Jr. Physical activity assessment methodology in the Five-City project. Am J Epidemiol. 1985;121(1):91–106.
- Ainsworth BE, Jacobs DR, Leon AS. Validity and reliability of self-reported physical activity status: the lipid research clinics questionnaire. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1993;25:92–8.

- Sallis JF, Buono MJ, Roby JJ, Micale FG, Nelson JA. Seven-day recall and other physical activity self-reports in children and adolescents. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1993;25:99–108.
- 109. Ruf K, Fehn S, Bachmann M, Moeller A, Roth K, Kriemler S, Hebestreit H. Validation of activity questionnaires in patients with cystic fibrosis by Accelerometry and cycle Ergometry. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2012;12:43. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-12-43.
- Markland D, Hardy L. The exercise motivations inventory: preliminary development and validity of a measure of individuals' reasons for participation in regular physical exercise. Personal Individ Differ. 1993;15(3): 289–96.
- Markland D, Ingledew DK. The measurement of exercise motives: factorial validity and invariance across gender of a revised exercise motivations inventory. Br J Health Psychol. 1997;2(4):361–76.
- Ainsworth BE, Jacobs DR Jr, Leon AS. Validity and reliability of self-reported physical activity status: the lipid research clinics questionnaire. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1993;25(1):92–8.
- 113. Ellert U, Ballach B-M. The SF-36 in the Federal Health Survey-description of a current normal sample. *Gesundheitswesen*. 1999;61 Spec No.:S184–90.
- 114. Ware J, Kosinski M, Dewey J. How to score version 2 of the SF-36 health survey. Lincoln: QualyMetric Incorporated; 2000.
- 115. Reulen RC, Zeegers MP, Jenkinson C, Lancashire ER, Winter DL, Jenney ME, Hawkins MM. The use of the SF-36 questionnaire in adult survivors of childhood cancer: evaluation of data quality, score reliability, and scaling assumptions. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2006;4:77.
- 116. Reulen RC, Winter DL, Lancashire ER, Zeegers MP, Jenney ME, Walters SJ, Jenkinson C, Hawkins MM. Health-status of adult survivors of childhood cancer: a large-scale population-based study from the British childhood cancer survivor study. Int J Cancer. 2007;121(3):633–40.
- 117. Hudson MM, Mertens AC, Yasui Y, Hobbie W, Chen H, Gurney JG, Yeazel M, Recklitis CJ, Marina N, Robison LR, et al. Health status of adult long-term survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the childhood cancer survivor study. JAMA. 2003;290(12):1583–92.
- 118. Essig S, von der Weid NX, M-PF S, Rebholz CE, Michel G, Rueegg CS, Niggli FK, Kuehni CE, for the Swiss pediatric oncology G. Health-related quality of life in long-term survivors of relapsed childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. PLoS One. 2012;7(5):e38015.
- 119. Rueegg CS, Gianinazzi ME, Rischewski J, Beck Popovic M, Von der Weid NX, Michel G, Kuehni CE. Health-related quality of life in survivors of childhood cancer: the role of chronic health problems. J Cancer Surviv. 2013;7(4):511–22.
- Makowiec-Dabrowska T, Koszada-Wlodarczyk W. The CIS20R questionnaire and its suitability for prolonged fatigue studies. Med Pr. 2006;57(4):335–45.
- Vercoulen JH, Swanink CM, Fennis JF, Galama JM, van der Meer JW, Bleijenberg G. Dimensional assessment of chronic fatigue syndrome. J Psychosom Res. 1994;38(5):383–92.
- Beurskens A, Bultmann U, Kant I, Vercoulen J, Bleijenberg G, Swaen G. Fatigue among working people: validity of a questionnaire measure. Occup Environ Med. 2000;57(5):353–7.
- 123. Bultmann U, de Vries M, Beurskens AJ, Bleijenberg G, Vercoulen JH, Kant I. Measurement of prolonged fatigue in the working population: determination of a cutoff point for the checklist individual strength. J Occup Health Psychol. 2000;5(4):411–6.
- Derogatis L: Brief symptom inventory (BSI), administraion, scoring, and procedure manual (third ed.). In. Minneapolis: Minneapolis National Computer Services; 1993.
- 125. Franke G. BSI, brief symptom inventory, von L.R. Derogatis Deutsches manual. Göttingen: Beltz Test Gesellschaft; 2000.
- 126. Schweizerische Gesundheitsbefragung. In: Statistik Bf, editor. Erste Ergebnisse [Swiss health survey 2007, first results], vol. 2008. Neuchâtel: Bundesamt für Statistik; 2007.
- 127. Germann U. Abschlussbericht zur Volkszählung 2000. Neuchâtel: Swiss Federal Statistical Office (SFSO); 2005.
- Bopp M, Spoerri A, Zwahlen M, Gutzwiller F, Paccaud F, Braun-Fahrlander C, Rougemont A, Egger M. Cohort profile: the Swiss National Cohort–a longitudinal study of 6.8 million people. Int J Epidemiol. 2009;38(2):379–84.
- 129. Tanner JM (ed.). Growth at adolescence, 2 edn. Oxford: Blackwell; 1962.
- Ruf K, Winkler B, Hebestreit A, Gruber W, Hebestreit H. Risks associated with exercise testing and sports participation in cystic fibrosis. J Cyst Fibros. 2010; 9(5):339–45.
- 131. CTCAE: The Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE). https:// ctep.cancer.gov/protocoldevelopment/electronic_applications/ctc.htm.

- 132. Armenian SH, Hudson MM, Mulder RL, Chen MH, Constine LS, Dwyer M, Nathan PC, Tissing WJE, Shankar S, Sieswerda E, et al. Recommendations for cardiomyopathy surveillance for survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the international late effects of childhood cancer guideline harmonization group. Lancet Oncol. 2015;16(3):e123–36.
- Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. In. Edited by Services UDoHaH. Washington: Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (ODPHP); 2008.
- 134. Engels JM, Diehr P. Imputation of missing longitudinal data: a comparison of methods. J Clin Epidemiol. 2003;56(10):968–76.
- 135. Faude O, Kindermann W, Meyer T. Lactate threshold concepts: how valid are they? Sports Med. 2009;39(6):469–90.
- Oeffinger KC, Mertens AC, Sklar CA, Kawashima T, Hudson MM, Meadows AT, Friedman DL, Marina N, Hobbie W, Kadan-Lottick NS, et al. Chronic health conditions in adult survivors of childhood cancer. N Engl J Med. 2006;355(15):1572–82.
- Boyle T, Keegel T, Bull F, Heyworth J, Fritschi L. Physical activity and risks of proximal and distal colon cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2012;
- Cerhan JR, Chiu BC, Wallace RB, Lemke JH, Lynch CF, Torner JC, Rubenstein LM. Physical activity, physical function, and the risk of breast cancer in a prospective study among elderly women. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 1998;53(4):M251–6.
- Samad AK, Taylor RS, Marshall T, Chapman MA. A meta-analysis of the association of physical activity with reduced risk of colorectal cancer. Color Dis. 2005;7(3):204–13.
- Tardon A, Lee WJ, Delgado-Rodriguez M, Dosemeci M, Albanes D, Hoover R, Blair A. Leisure-time physical activity and lung cancer: a meta-analysis. Cancer Causes Control. 2005;16(4):389–97.
- Mishra SI, Scherer RW, Geigle PM, Berlanstein DR, Topaloglu O, Gotay CC, Snyder C. Exercise interventions on health-related quality of life for cancer survivors. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;15:8.
- 142. Giovannucci EL. Physical activity as a standard cancer treatment. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2012;104(11):797–9.
- 143. Brown JC, Huedo-Medina TB, Pescatello LS, Ryan SM, Pescatello SM, Moker E, LaCroix JM, Ferrer RA, Johnson BT. The efficacy of exercise in reducing depressive symptoms among cancer survivors: a meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2012;7(1):27.
- McMillan EM, Newhouse IJ. Exercise is an effective treatment modality for reducing cancer-related fatigue and improving physical capacity in cancer patients and survivors: a meta-analysis. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. 2011;36(6): 892–903.
- 145. Speck R, Courneya K, Mâsse L, Duval S, Schmitz K. An update of controlled physical activity trials in cancer survivors: a systematic review and metaanalysis. J Cancer Surviv. 2010;4:87–100.
- Hamer M, Stamatakis E, Saxton J. The impact of physical activity on all-cause mortality in men and women after a cancer diagnosis. Cancer Causes Control. 2009;20(2):225–31.
- 147. Courneya KS. Physical activity in cancer survivors: a field in motion. Psycho-Oncology. 2009;18(4):337–42.
- Albrecht TA, Taylor AG. Physical activity in patients with advanced-stage cancer: a systematic review of the literature. Clin J Oncol Nurs. 2012;16(3): 293–300.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

