

Radio frequency occupancy state control of a single nanowire quantum dot

Matthias Weiß^{1,3}, Florian J. R. Schüle^{1,3}, Jörg B. Kinzel^{1,3},
Michael Heigl¹, Daniel Rudolph^{2,3}, Max Bichler², Gerhard
Abstreiter^{2,3,4}, Jonathan J. Finley^{2,3}, Achim Wixforth^{1,3,5},
Gregor Koblmüller^{2,3}, Hubert J. Krenner^{1,3,5,*}

¹ Lehrstuhl für Experimentalphysik 1 and Augsburg Centre for Innovative Technologies *ACIT*, Universität Augsburg, Universitätsstraße 1, 86159 Augsburg, Germany

² Walter Schottky Institut and Physik Department, Technische Universität München, Am Coulombwall 4, 85748 Garching, Germany

³ Nanosystems Initiative Munich (NIM), Schellingstraße 4, 80339 München, Germany

⁴ Institute for Advanced Study (IAS), Technische Universität München, Lichtenbergstraße 2a, 85748 Garching, Germany

⁵ Center for NanoScience *CeNS*, Geschwister-Scholl-Platz 1, 80539 München, Germany

E-mail: * hubert.krenner@physik.uni-augsburg.de

Abstract.

The excitonic occupancy state of a single, nanowire-based, heterostructure quantum dot is dynamically programmed by a surface acoustic wave. The quantum dot is formed by an interface or thickness fluctuation of a GaAs QW embedded in a AlGaAs shell of a GaAs – AlGaAs core-shell nanowire. As we tune the time at which carriers are photogenerated during the acoustic cycle, we find pronounced intensity oscillations of neutral and negatively charged excitons. At high acoustic power levels these oscillations become anticorrelated which enables direct acoustic programming of the dot's charge configuration, emission intensity and emission wavelength. Numerical simulations confirm that the observed modulations arise from acoustically controlled modulations of the electron and electron-hole-pair concentrations at the position of the quantum dot.

PACS numbers: 62.23.Hj, 71.35.-y, 77.65.Dq, 78.67.Hc

Keywords: Nanowires, Heterostructures, Quantum dot, Surface acoustic waves, Excitons, Acousto-electric effect

Submitted to: *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*

1. Introduction

The dynamic control of spin and charge excitations in semiconductor nanosystems is of paramount importance for applications in quantum-optoelectronic devices. By confining the motion of carriers in one, two or all three spatial dimensions, quantum wells (QWs), quantum wires (QWRs) and Quantum Dots (QDs) have been realized on planar substrates and studied in great detail over the past decades. In the field of optically active QWs and QDs several key experiments have been performed, including for example the isolation of individual "natural", interface fluctuation QDs in a disordered QW [1], bright, electrically driven single photon emission [2] or the creation of "artificial" molecules with tunable bonds [3]. A central goal in the active field of fundamental and applied research are semiconductor nanowires (NW). Here, the transfer of these concepts on this one-dimensional platform using axial [4, 5] or radial approaches [6][7][8] are of crucial importance.

Radio frequency surface acoustic waves (SAWs) represent a particularly attractive and powerful tool to probe and dynamically control charge excitations in semiconductor heterostructure including Quantum Hall systems [9, 10, 11], charge transport in one- and two-dimensional electron channels [12, 13], transport of charges [14, 15, 16], spins [17] or dipolar excitons [18] and precisely timed carrier injection into QDs for low-jitter single photon emission [19, 20, 21, 22]. Recently, these concepts have been transferred to intrinsic nanowires (NWs) [23] and nanotubes [24] and NWs containing complex radial and axial heterostructures [25, 26, 27]

In this contribution we demonstrate that the excitonic occupancy state of single a NW-based heterostructure QD can be dynamically programmed by a SAW. The QD studied is formed by an interface or thickness fluctuation of a thin *radial* GaAs QW embedded in a AlGaAs shell of a GaAs NW. As we tune the time at which carriers are photogenerated over the SAW cycle we find pronounced intensity oscillations of neutral and negatively charged excitons confined in the QD. At high acoustic power levels these oscillations become anticorrelated which enables direct acoustic programming of the QD charge configuration, emission intensity and emission wavelength. We compare the observed emission characteristics of the QD to numerical calculations of the spatio-temporal carrier dynamics in the QW induced by the SAW and find that the observed modulations arise from enhancements of the electron (e) and electron-hole- (e - h -) pair concentrations at the position of the QD.

2. Sample and optical characterization

The NWs studied here were grown by solid-source molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) in a self-catalyzed growth process on a silicon substrate.[28] Under the selected growth conditions, these NWs exhibit predominant zincblende (ZB) phase but with twin defects and short segments of wurtzite (WZ) crystal structure. The average length of

the NWs was $l_{\text{NW}} = 10 \mu\text{m}$. In the radial direction the as-grown NWs consist of a $\sim 60 \text{ nm}$ diameter GaAs core capped by a 60 nm thick $\text{Al}_{0.3}\text{Ga}_{0.7}\text{As}$ shell. In the center region of this shell we included a 2 nm thick radial GaAs quantum well (QW). The chose shell thickness ensures efficient non-radiative depopulation of defect-related emission centers[28] within the AlGaAs shell [27]. Finally the NWs were passivated by a 5 nm GaAs layer to prevent oxidation. For SAW experiments we mechanically removed NWs from the Si substrate and transferred them from suspension onto a YZ-cut LiNbO_3 SAW-chip with lithographically defined interdigital transducers (IDTs). An RF signal applied to the IDT excites a Rayleigh-type SAW which propagates at the speed of sound, $c_{\text{SAW}} = 3488 \text{ m/s}$, along the Z-direction of the LiNbO_3 substrate. The design of the IDTs determines the SAWs wavelength to be $\lambda_{\text{SAW}} = 18 \mu\text{m}$, which corresponds to a resonance frequency of $f_{\text{SAW}} = 194 \text{ MHz}$ and SAW period of $T_{\text{SAW}} = 5.15 \text{ ns}$. After transfer, we identified NWs with their (111) growth axis aligned along the SAW's propagation direction.[23]. A schematic of our sample structure is presented as an inset of Fig. 1 (a). We study the optical emission of these NWs by low-temperature ($T = 10 \text{ K}$) micro-photoluminescence ($\mu\text{-PL}$). Electron-hole pairs are photogenerated by an externally triggered, pulsed diode laser ($E_{\text{laser}} = 1.88 \text{ eV}$) which was focused by a $50\times$ microscope objective to a $\sim 2 \mu\text{m}$ diameter spot. The NW emission was collected, dispersed by a 0.5 m grating monochromator and detected time integrated by a liquid N_2 cooled Si-charge coupled device (CCD) camera.




Figure 1. – **Optical characterization** – (a) Overview spectrum exhibiting signatures of pure ZB and mixed WZ/ZB phases. The emission of a single interface fluctuation QD is detected on the *low energy part* of the emission band of the 2 nm QW. Inset: Schematic of the experimental setup. (b) PL of a single interface fluctuation QD (schematic) as a function of excitation power showing the emergence of charged excitons ($1X^+$, $1X^-$ in addition to the neutral exciton $1X^0$). (c) Extracted emission intensities of $1X^0$ (blue triangles), $1X^+$ (red circles) and $1X^-$ (black squares) as a function of the optical pump power.

In Figure 1 (a) we present an overview PL spectrum recorded in the center of a typical NW for an intermediate optical pump power. In this spectrum we identify the

emission of the NW core at the lowest photon energies. It consists of a characteristic double peak structure.[29] The high energy peak at $E_{ZB} = 1.515$ eV arises from exciton recombination in ZB regions of the NW core. The low energy peak at $E_{ZB/WZ} = 1.488$ eV stems from recombination of spatially indirect excitons of reduced binding energy for which e 's and h 's are localized in ZB and WZ segments, respectively. The emission of the 2 nm QW extends over a ~ 100 meV wide energy band centered at $E_{QW} = 1.7$ eV. The large spread of the emission energy is from the (i) the small nominal thickness and (ii) the fact that growth occurs on the [110]-type facet of a NW. The QW emission peak consists of a series of sharp emission lines. These characteristics are indicative of a disordered QW with pronounced exciton localization which for planar QWs occurs due to local QW thickness fluctuations [30]. In addition to this established contribution, further localization can occur in our NW-based QWs due to a transfer of the ZB and WZ crystal phases from the core to the radial shell [31, 32] which in turn superimposes a type-II heterostructures. The such formed 'natural', interface fluctuation QDs have been studied over the past in type-I, direct bandgap GaAs/AlGaAs QWs [33, 34, 35, 36] as well as in type-II, indirect bandgap GaAs/AlAs QWs [37]. The superior optical quality of this type of QDs manifests itself in long exciton coherence times [38] and clean single photon emission [39].

In the spectrum shown in Fig. 1 (a) the emission signal of an individual QD is well isolated on the low energy side of the QW emission band. Its energy levels are confined ~ 20 meV below the two-dimensional QW band in which the SAW can induce spatio-temporal carrier dynamics. We want to note at this point, that this confinement energy is significantly smaller than that for self-assembled QDs and their two-dimensional wetting layer. The close examination in Fig. 1 (b) shows that it consists of three sharp emission lines. We attribute the dominant emission line at $E_{1X^0} = 1.6349$ eV to recombination of the neutral exciton, $1X^0 = 1e + 1h$ and the two weaker signatures at $E_{1X^+} = 1.6326$ eV and $E_{1X^-} = 1.6302$ eV to the positive, $1X^+ = 1e + 2h$, and negative trion, $1X^- = 2e + 1h$, respectively. At this point our assignment is based on (i) the observed renormalization energies consistent with the values reported for IFQDs in planar QWs [40] and (ii) the optical excitation power dependence of the emission intensities.[33] The latter is plotted in double-logarithmic representation in Fig. 1 (c) to identify the underlying power law dependencies. Clearly, the line assigned to $1X^0$ and $1X^+$ exhibits the smallest slope of 0.8 and 1.0, consistent with a single excitonic nature. In contrast, $1X^-$ exhibits a larger slope of 1.45 which could be indicative for a charged exciton or the biexciton. Our SAW experiments presented later will provide clear evidence for our attribution of this emission line to $1X^-$.

3. Dynamic spectral modulation and SAW phase calibration

We now turn to the manipulation of the QD's emission by the dynamic strain and electric fields of a SAW. To assess the dynamic SAW-driven modulation of the optical emission




Figure 2. – Spectral modulation, phase calibration and temporal evolution of the band edge modulation – (a) Stroboscopic PL spectra at low acoustic amplitudes ($P_{\text{RF}} = -10$ dBm) as a function of ϕ and photon energy with the normalized intensity encoded in false color (blue: low intensity, red: maximum intensity). b) Extracted spectral shifts of $1X^0$ (diamonds) and $1X^-$ (squares). The solid lines are fits to the experimental data to calibrate ϕ . (c) Schematic of the temporal evolution of the SAW-induced bandedge modulation. The grey shaded region indicates the range of ϕ corresponding to the NW length in our experiment.

we employ an established stroboscopic excitation scheme combined with time-integrated multichannel detection [41, 42]. In Fig. 2 (a) we show stroboscopic PL data of the above characterized QD controlled by a SAW excited by applying a radio frequency signal of power $P_{\text{RF}} = -10$ dBm to the IDT. The emission intensity is color coded and plotted as a function of the photon energy (vertical axis) and stroboscopic phase ϕ (horizontal axis). Both emission lines observed in the data, $1X^0$ and $1X^-$, exhibit a clear sinusoidal spectral modulations due to the dynamic strain field of the SAW. This strain field and its corresponding hydrostatic pressure (p) tunes the QD emission due to deformation potential coupling [43]. At such low acoustic amplitudes the contribution of SAW-induced lateral electric fields weak [44] and the observed spectral shift is dominated by the strain contribution. [45, 27] The extracted relative spectral tuning ΔE_{strain} of $1X^0$ and $1X^-$ are plotted as a function of ϕ in Fig. 2 (b). Clearly, the modulations of both

emission lines are in phase and exhibit similar amplitudes. This is expected because the underlying deformation potential coupling modulates the effective bandgap with a weaker contribution arising from a perturbation of the single particle wavefunctions. [46] The observed spectral shifts correspond to $0.5 < p < 0.8$ MPa [43]. Taken together, the maximum (minimum) emission energies are observed for the value of ϕ at which p is maximum positive (negative). For the crystal cut of our LiNbO₃ substrate we can derive the ϕ -dependence of the SAW-induced modulation of the conduction (CB) and valence band (VB) within the GaAs NW using finite element modeling (FEM) [27] which is shown in Fig. 2(c) for times $t = 0$ (i.e. time of photoexcitation) and $t = T_{\text{SAW}}/2$ at which the band modulation is translated spatially due to the propagation of the SAW. Using this calibration reveals that the weak suppression (enhancement) of the $1X^0$ ($1X^-$) emission occurs for stroboscopic excitation in between the stable ($\phi = -90^\circ$) and unstable ($\phi = +90^\circ$) points for e 's in the CB and for which the e -drift is anti-parallel to the SAW propagation and wavevector k_{SAW} . Thus, as the SAW propagates, e 's are effectively *transported back* to the position of the QD and increase the net e -density which in turn *reduces* the probability of the QD being occupied by $1X^0$. Therefore, the SAW effectively induces a anti-correlated rocking motion for e 's and h 's which is weak at the applied small band edge modulation. This picture does not take into account the *finite* length of the NW. Before presenting detailed experimental and numerical results of the SAW control of the QD's occupancy state, we want to point out, that we have to take into account the fact that $l_{\text{NW}} \simeq \lambda_{\text{SAW}}/2$. This boundary condition limits the spatio-temporal carrier dynamics to a sub- λ_{SAW} lengthscale, which is in strong contrast to previous experiments performed on planar self-assembled QDs and Quantum Posts [47, 41, 48]. The two ends of the NW effectively represent efficient recombination sites for carriers and non-radiatively remove these from the system. We convert the spatial coordinate along the NW axis x to ϕ and take into account (i) QD position in the center of the NW ($x = 0$) and (ii) $l_{\text{NW}} \simeq \lambda_{\text{SAW}}/2$. The latter condition implies that, for a fixed value of $\phi = phi_0$, carrier can be transfer without loss by $\Delta x \sim \lambda_{\text{SAW}}/2$ away from the point of photogeneration. i.e. the position of the QD in the center of the NW. This restriction is indicated by the grey shaded area in Figure 2 (c).

4. Acoustic control of QD occupancy state

In this section we investigate the SAW-regulated occupancy state control in detailed stroboscopic PL experiments and numerical simulations of the SAW-driven spatio-temporal carrier dynamics.

We begin by presenting stroboscopic PL data recorded from the same QD for $P_{\text{RF}} = -2$ dBm, $+3$ dBm, $+8$ dBm in Fig. 3(a-c). The data are plotted in the same representation as in Fig. 2 (a) and the corresponding CB and VB modulations are sketched schematically in the upper parts of each panel. As we increase P_{RF} , the intensity modulations of the dominant $1X^0$ and $1X^-$ emissions changes dramatically. Most




Figure 3. – SAW control of QD occupancy state – Stroboscopic PL spectra in falsecolor representation for (a) $P_{\text{RF}} = -2$ dBm (c) $+8$ dBm and the corresponding bandedge modulations as schematics in the upper part of each panel: Maximum $1X^-$ intensity is detected for $\phi \leq 0^\circ$, schematics in (a+c). The additional maximum of $1X^0$ develops for $\phi \simeq 90^\circ$, schematics in (b).

notably, the $P_{\text{RF}} = +5$ dBm, the ϕ -dependence of the $1X^0$ and $1X^-$ emission intensities are clearly anticorrelated. This anticorrelation provides a direct route to effectively program the occupancy state of the QD simply by tuning ϕ at the RF signal generator used to excite the SAW.

The first striking difference between this data and the data in Fig. 1 is the pronounced increase of the $1X^-$ emission, which is more intense than that of $1X^0$. The higher intensity of $1X^-$ provides direct evidence that this emission line does not arise from $2X^0$ recombination which should exhibit at most the same intensity as $1X^0$ under the applied pulsed optical excitation scheme. We continue by addressing a second particularly peculiar feature when comparing the data at these three acoustic amplitudes. It is the development of an global minimum of the QD emission at $\phi = \pm 180^\circ$. At this local stroboscopic phase the drift of electrons in the SAW-induced electric field is aligned with the SAW propagation. Thus, both effects add up and result in an efficient depletion of the e -concentration at the position of the QD and subsequent loss of this carrier species. Taking into account that e 's exhibit a larger transport mobility than h 's, the global minimum of the QD occupancy and emission is indeed expected at this local phase confirming our ϕ -calibration. As we increase P_{RF} , two prominent effects are observed: (i) The $1X^0$ emission develops two pronounced maxima at $\phi = -45^\circ$ and $\phi = +90^\circ$. (ii) The range of ϕ over which $1X^-$ is observed focuses to a $\Delta\phi = 90^\circ$ wide range centered around $\phi = 0$. The increase of $1X^-$ can be qualitatively understood by an acoustically regulated return of e 's to the position of the QD as described in the previous section and shown in the schematics of Figures 2 (c) and 3 (a). Furthermore, at

high acoustic amplitudes, the first step of this process, the field-driven transfer of e 's to their stable CB minimum dominates. When ϕ is tuned to positive values, this minimum shifts outside of the NW. Thus, non-radiative loss of e 's is enhanced for $45^\circ < \phi < 90^\circ$. The upper limit of $\phi = 90^\circ$ (cf. schematic in Fig. 3 (b)) corresponds to photogeneration of e 's at an instable point, the maximum of the CB modulation, while h 's are effectively confined at the stable VB maximum. The combination of these effects enhance the e - h -pair, exciton density at this stroboscopic phase and in turn favours pair-wise capture. Similar reasoning can be applied for $\phi = -90^\circ$ at which the exciton formation is expected to be enhanced further since the transfer of h 's toward their stable point in the VB is comparably slow due to their reduced transport mobility. This effect leads to the observed formation of $1X^0$ in the dot. For $-90^\circ < \phi < 45^\circ$ the removal of h 's along the SAW propagation direction breaks down (cf. schematic in Figure 3 (c)) giving rise to a net increase of the h -density and in turn a reduced probability for forming $1X^-$. Based on these so far qualitative arguments we attribute the preferential formation of $1X^0$ to an enhanced (neutral) exciton concentration at the position of the QD and the preferential formation of $1X^-$ to that of e 's. Before presenting numerical simulations of the underlying SAW-driven carrier dynamics we want to point out that the observed intensity modulations and their dependence on P_{RF} is similar to that observed for planar self-assembled QDs [48]. In contrast, it strongly differs to that observed for defect-related emission centers [27]: (i) For the defect-related emissions and quantum tunneling as the underlying mechanism [27], the overall ϕ -dependence of the remains approximately constant as P_{RF} is tuned. The stroboscopic phase of maxima remains constant and no pronounced shifts are observed in contrast do the data presented here and similar experiments performed for planar QD and quantum post systems [41]. (ii) This fixed ϕ -dependence follows the oscillation of the vertical electric field component of the SAW which dynamically modulates the tunneling probability. In contrast, the data reported here clearly reproduces the expected ϕ -dependence of the *horizontal* electric field component which is aligned with the NW axis as it is also the case for planar QDs and quantum posts. The combination of these observations provides final evidence for our assignment of the observed emission lines as arising from exciton recombination in an interface fluctuation QD.

To confirm that this qualitative picture indeed agrees with the underlying spatio-temporal carrier dynamics, we performed numerical solutions of the semi-classical drift and diffusion processes in the SAW-modulated bandstructure. In contrast to the infinite QW assumed in previous reports [16, 49], we take into account the geometry of the NW studied in our experiments. We account for its finite length ($l_{\text{NW}} \simeq \lambda_{\text{SAW}}/2$) and the non-radiative loss of carriers at the open facets at the NW ends by removing carriers arriving at position $|x| > l_{\text{NW}}/2 = \lambda_{\text{SAW}}/4$ from the center of the NW ($x = 0$). In particular we integrated the calculated e and exciton (e - h -pair) densities at the QD position over time as a function of ϕ . The ϕ -dependence of these time-integrated densities are plotted in Figure 4 for low (a), intermediate (b) and high acoustic powers (c), as black and orange




Figure 4. – **Simulation of SAW-controlled electron and exciton densities** – Calculated time-integrated exciton (black) and electron (orange) densities (in arbitrary units) at the position of the QD as a function of ϕ for low (a), intermediate (b) and high (c) acoustic amplitudes.

lines, respectively. For low acoustic powers (P_{RF}) the exciton density remains high over the entire range of ϕ which is consistent with the overall modulation observed for $1X^0$ and also $1X^-$. In contrast, the calculated e density exhibits a clear and broad maximum centered at $\phi \sim -15^\circ$. This maximum nicely overlaps with that of $1X^-$ observed in the experimental data in Figure 3 (a). As we increase the acoustic amplitude in our simulations (cf. Figure 4 (b+c)) the exciton density develops a clear double peak structure in good agreement with the experimentally observed maxima of the $1X^0$ modulation. Furthermore, also the calculated e -density modulation sharpens in ϕ which reproduces the modulations of $1X^0$ in the experimental data. In addition, an increase of the e density with respect to that of excitons with increasing acoustic power is clearly resolved in this simulation data. This calculated behavior is in good agreement with the experimentally observed increase of the $1X^-$ intensity with respect to that of $1X^0$ (cf. Figures 2 and 3).

5. Conclusions and outlook

In summary, we have demonstrated that the occupancy state of a NW-based heterostructure QD can be programmed using SAW-regulated carrier injection under strictly stroboscopic optical excitation. In our experiments we observe characteristic intensity oscillation of $1X^0$ and $1X^-$ as we tune the stroboscopic excitation phase, ϕ . The modulation show a characteristic dependence on the acoustic amplitude. These arise from a modifications of the time-integrated exciton and e densities at the position of the QD as confirmed by numerical simulations of the SAW-driven spatio-temporal carrier dynamics. Our results clearly demonstrate that concepts developed for planar semiconductor heterostructures can be readily transferred to a NW-platform. Our experiments have been performed on *radial* heterostructure and could be directly applied

to radial heterostructures. For this type of architecture carrier extraction schemes, as recently demonstrated for NW-based defect-related emission centers [27] could be combined with the here reported injection principle to realize SAW-mediated single carrier transfer between distant *optically active* QDs on a single NW [50, 51].

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) via Sonderforschungsbereich SFB631 (Projects B1 and B5) and the Emmy Noether Program (KR 3790/2-1) and by the European Union via SOLID and the FP7 Marie-Curie Reintegration Grant.

References

- [1] Brunner K, Bockelmann U, Abstreiter G, Walther M, Böhm G, Tränkle G and Weimann G 1992 *Physical Review Letters* **69** 3216–3219 URL <http://link.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v69/p3216>
- [2] Yuan Z, Kardynal B E, Stevenson R M, Shields A J, Lobo C J, Cooper K, Beattie N S, Ritchie D A and Pepper M 2002 *Science* **295** 102–105 URL <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/abstract/295/5552/102>
- [3] Krenner H J, Clark E C, Nakaoka T, Bichler M, Scheurer C, Abstreiter G and Finley J J 2006 *Physical Review Letters* **97** 76403 ISSN 0031-9007 URL <http://link.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v97/e076403> <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.076403>
- [4] Tribu A, Sallen G, Aichele T, André R, Poizat J P, Bougerol C, Tatarenko S and Kheng K 2008 *Nano letters* **8** 4326–9 ISSN 1530-6984 URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19367967>
- [5] Reimer M E, Bulgarini G, Akopian N, Hocevar M, Bavinck M B, Verheijen M A, Bakkers E P A M, Kouwenhoven L P and Zwiller V 2012 *Nature communications* **3** 737 ISSN 2041-1723 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1746>
- [6] Qian F, Gradecak S, Li Y, Wen C Y and Lieber C M 2005 *Nano letters* **5** 2287–91 ISSN 1530-6984 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl051689e>
- [7] Fontcuberta i Morral A, Spirkoska D, Arbiol J, Heigoldt M, Ramon Morante J and Abstreiter G 2008 *Small* **4** 899–903 ISSN 1613-6829 URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18504720>
- [8] Fickenscher M, Shi T, Jackson H E, Smith L M, Yarrison-Rice J M, Zheng C, Miller P, Etheridge J, Wong B M, Gao Q, Deshpande S, Tan H H and Jagadish C 2013 *Nano letters* **13** 1016–22 ISSN 1530-6992 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl304182j>
- [9] Wixforth A, Kotthaus J and Weimann G 1986 *Physical Review Letters* **56** 2104–2106 ISSN 0031-9007 URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.2104>
- [10] Willett R, Paalanen M, Ruel R, West K, Pfeiffer L and Bishop D 1990 *Physical Review Letters* **65** 112–115 ISSN 0031-9007 URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.65.112>
- [11] Kukushkin I V, Smet J H, Scarola V W, Umansky V and von Klitzing K 2009 *Science* **324** 1044–1047
- [12] Talyanskii V, Shilton J, Pepper M, Smith C, Ford C, Linfield E, Ritchie D and Jones G 1997 *Physical Review B* **56** 15180–15184 ISSN 0163-1829 URL http://prb.aps.org/abstract/PRB/v56/i23/p15180_1
- [13] Rotter M, Kalameitsev A V, Govorov A O, Ruile W and Wixforth A 1999 *Physical Review Letters* **82** 2171–2174
- [14] Rocke C, Zimmermann S, Wixforth A, Kotthaus J P, Böhm G and Weimann G 1997 *Physical Review Letters* **78** 4099–4102

- [15] Alsina F, Santos P V, Hey R, García-Cristóbal A and Cantarero A 2001 *Physical Review B* **64** 041304(R)
- [16] García-Cristóbal A, Cantarero A, Alsina F and Santos P 2004 *Physical Review B* **69** 205301 ISSN 1098-0121 URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.205301>
- [17] Sogawa T, Santos P V, Zhang S K, Eshlaghi S, Wieck A D and Ploog K H 2001 *Physical Review Letters* **87** 276601
- [18] Rudolph J, Hey R and Santos P V 2007 *Physical Review Letters* **99** 47602
- [19] Wiele C, Haake F, Roche C and Wixforth A 1998 *Physical Review A* **58** R2680–R2683 ISSN 1050-2947 URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.58.R2680>
- [20] Bödefeld C, Ebbecke J, Toivonen J, Sopanen M, Lipsanen H and Wixforth A 2006 *Physical Review B* **74** 35407 ISSN 1098-0121 URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.035407>
- [21] Couto O D D, Lazić S, Iikawa F, Stotz J A H, Jahn U, Hey R and Santos P V 2009 *Nature Photonics* **3** 645–648 ISSN 1749-4885 URL <http://www.nature.com/doi/10.1038/nphoton.2009.191>
- [22] Völk S, Knall F, Schülein F J R, Truong T A, Kim H, Petroff P M, Wixforth A and Krenner H J 2012 *Nanotechnology* **23** 285201 ISSN 1361-6528 URL <http://stacks.iop.org/0957-4484/23/i=28/a=285201>
- [23] Kinzel J B, Rudolph D, Bichler M, Abstreiter G, Finley J J, Koblmüller G, Wixforth A and Krenner H J 2011 *Nano Letters* **11** 1512–1517 ISSN 1530-6992 URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21355606>
- [24] Regler M E, Krenner H J, Green A A, Hersam M C, Wixforth A and Hartschuh A 2013 *Chemical Physics* **413** 39–44 ISSN 03010104 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphys.2012.10.014> <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0301010412004077>
- [25] Hernández-Mínguez A, Möller M, Breuer S, Pfüller C, Somaschini C, Lazić S, Brandt O, García-Cristóbal A, de Lima M M, Cantarero A, Geelhaar L, Riechert H and Santos P V 2012 *Nano letters* **12** 252–8 ISSN 1530-6992 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl203461m>
- [26] Büyükköse S, Hernández-Mínguez A, Vratzov B, Somaschini C, Geelhaar L, Riechert H, van der Wiel W G and Santos P V 2014 *Nanotechnology* **25** 135204 ISSN 0957-4484 URL <http://iopscience.iop.org/0957-4484/25/13/135204/article/>
- [27] Weiss M, Kinzel J B, Schülein F J R, Heigl M, Rudolph D, Morkötter S, Döblinger M, Bichler M, Abstreiter G, Finley J J, Koblmüller G, Wixforth A and Krenner H J 2014 *Nano letters* ISSN 1530-6992 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl4040434> <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24678960>
- [28] Rudolph D, Funk S, Döblinger M, Morkötter S, Hertenberger S, Schweickert L, Becker J, Matich S, Bichler M, Spirkoska D, Zardo I, Finley J J, Abstreiter G and Koblmüller G 2013 *Nano letters* **13** 1522–7 ISSN 1530-6992 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl3046816> <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23517063>
- [29] Spirkoska D, Arbiol J, Gustafsson A, Conesa-Boj S, Glas F, Zardo I, Heigoldt M, Gass M H, Bleloch A L, Estrade S, Kaniber M, Rossler J, Peiro F, Morante J R, Abstreiter G, Samuelson L and Fontcuberta i Morral A 2009 *Physical Review B* **80** 245325 ISSN 1098-0121 URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.245325>
- [30] Hess H F, Betzig E, Harris T D, Pfeiffer L N and West K W 1994 *Science* **264** 1740–1745 URL <http://www.sciencemag.org/content/264/5166/1740.abstract>
- [31] Algra R E, Hocevar M, Verheijen M A, Zardo I, Immink G G W, van Enckevort W J P, Abstreiter G, Kouwenhoven L P, Vlieg E and Bakkers E P A M 2011 *Nano letters* **11** 1690–4 ISSN 1530-6992 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl200208q>
- [32] Rudolph D, Schweickert L, Morkötter S, Hanschke L, Hertenberger S, Bichler M, Koblmüller G, Abstreiter G and Finley J J 2013 *New Journal of Physics* **15** 113032 ISSN 1367-2630 URL <http://stacks.iop.org/1367-2630/15/i=11/a=113032>
- [33] Brunner K, Abstreiter G, Böhm G, Tränkle G and Weimann G 1994 *Physical Review Letters* **73**

- 1138–1141 URL <http://link.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v73/p1138>
- [34] Gammon D, Snow E, Shanabrook B, Katzer D and Park D 1996 *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **273** 87–90 ISSN 1095-9203 URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8688056>
- [35] Brunner K, Abstreiter G, Böhm G, Tränkle G and Weimann G 1994 *Applied Physics Letters* **64** 3320–3322 URL <http://link.aip.org/link/?APL/64/3320/1>
- [36] Bracker A S, Stinaff E A, Gammon D, Ware M E, Tischler J G, Shabaev A, Efros A L, Park D, Gershoni D, Korenev V L and Merkulov I A 2005 *Physical Review Letters* **94** 47402 URL <http://link.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v94/e047402>
- [37] Zrenner A, Butov L V, Hagn M, Abstreiter G, Böhm G and Weimann G 1994 *Physical Review Letters* **72** 3382–3385 URL <http://link.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v72/p3382>
- [38] Li X, Wu Y, Steel D, Gammon D, Stievater T H, Katzer D S, Park D, Piermarocchi C and Sham L J 2003 *Science* **301** 809–811 URL <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/abstract/301/5634/809>
- [39] Hours J, Varoutsis S, Gallart M, Bloch J, Robert-Philip I, Cavanna A, Abram I, Laruelle F and Gerard J M 2003 *Applied Physics Letters* **82** 2206 ISSN 00036951 URL <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/apl/82/14/10.1063/1.1563050>
- [40] Bracker A, Stinaff E, Gammon D, Ware M, Tischler J, Park D, Gershoni D, Filinov A, Bonitz M, Peeters F and Riva C 2005 *Physical Review B* **72** 035332 ISSN 1098-0121 URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.035332>
- [41] Völkl S, Knall F, Schülein F J R, Truong T A, Kim H, Petroff P M, Wixforth A and Krenner H J 2011 *Applied Physics Letters* **98** 23109 URL <http://link.aip.org/link/?APL/98/023109/1>
- [42] Fuhrmann D A, Thon S M, Kim H, Bouwmeester D, Petroff P M, Wixforth A and Krenner H J 2011 *Nature Photonics* **5** 605–609 ISSN 1749-4885 URL <http://www.nature.com/doi/10.1038/nphoton.2011.208>
- [43] Pollak F and Cardona M 1968 *Physical Review* **172** 816–837 ISSN 0031-899X URL <http://prola.aps.org/abstract/PR/v172/i3/p816-1>
- [44] Kaniber M, Huck M F, Müller K, Clark E C, Troiani F, Bichler M, Krenner H J and Finley J J 2011 *Nanotechnology* **22** 325202 URL <http://stacks.iop.org/0957-4484/22/i=32/a=325202>
- [45] Gell J R, Ward M B, Young R J, Stevenson R M, Atkinson P, Anderson D, Jones G A C, Ritchie D A and Shields A J 2008 *Applied Physics Letters* **93** 81115 URL <http://link.aip.org/link/?APL/93/081115/1>
- [46] Jöns K, Hafenbrak R, Singh R, Ding F, Plumhof J, Rastelli A, Schmidt O, Bester G and Michler P 2011 *Physical Review Letters* **107** ISSN 0031-9007 URL <http://prl.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v107/i21/e217402> <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.217402>
- [47] Völkl S, Schülein F J R, Knall F, Reuter D, Wieck A D, Truong T A, Kim H, Petroff P M, Wixforth A and Krenner H J 2010 *Nano Letters* **10** 3399–3407 ISSN 1530-6992 URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20722408>
- [48] Schülein F J R, Müller K, Bichler M, Koblmüller G, Finley J J, Wixforth A and Krenner H J 2013 *Physical Review B* **88** 085307 ISSN 1098-0121 (*Preprint* 1306.5954) URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.085307>
- [49] Schülein F J R, Pustowski J, Müller K, Bichler M, Koblmüller G, Finley J J, Wixforth A and Krenner H J 2012 *JETP Letters* **95** 575–580 (*Preprint* 1205.0924) URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.0924>
- [50] Hermelin S, Takada S, Yamamoto M, Tarucha S, Wieck A D, Saminadayar L, Bäuerle C and Meunier T 2011 *Nature* **477** 435–8 ISSN 1476-4687 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10416>
- [51] McNeil R P G, Kataoka M, Ford C J B, Barnes C H W, Anderson D, Jones G A C, Farrer I and Ritchie D A 2011 *Nature* **477** 439–42 ISSN 1476-4687 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10444>