Turbulence simulations in diverted geometry

M. Giacomin, P. Ricci, C. Beadle, A. Coroado, P. Paruta

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Swiss Plasma Center (SPC), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland



The tokamak periphery and GBS code

- The **tokamak periphery** is dived in two parts: the plasma edge and the Scrape-Off Layer (SOL)
- The **edge** is the plasma region between the core and the last closed flux surface (LCFS)
- The **turbulence across the LCFS** determines the confinement
- The **SOL** is the plasma region **outside the LCFS**
- In the SOL, magnetic field lines intersect the walls of the fusion device
- Heat and particles flow along magnetic field \succ lines and **are exhausted to the vessel**
- Global Braginskii Solver (GBS) Code is a 3D, flux-driven, global turbulence code \succ in **limited** and **diverted X-point** configurations used to study plasma **turbulence in** the tokamak periphery [Halpern et al., JCP 2016], [Ricci et al. PPCF 2012]
- GBS solves 3D fluid equations for electrons and ions, Poisson's and Ampere's \succ



Drift-reduced fluid equations implemented in GBS

- GBS evolves the **drift-reduced Braginskii equations** with ordering $k_{\parallel} \ll k_{\perp}$ and $d/dt \ll \omega_{ci}$ \succ
- Plasma and heating outflowing from the wall is mimicked by localized plasma and heat sources S_n , $S_{T_{\rho}}$ and S_{T_i}

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} &= -\frac{1}{B} \frac{R_0}{\rho_{s0}} \left[\phi, n \right] + \frac{2}{B} \left[C(p_e) - nC(\phi) \right] - \nabla_{\parallel} (nv_{\parallel e}) + D_n \nabla_{\perp}^2 n + S_n \\ \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} &= -\frac{1}{B} \frac{R_0}{\rho_{s0}} \left[\phi, \omega \right] - v_{\parallel i} \nabla_{\parallel} \omega + \frac{B^2}{n} \nabla_{\parallel} j_{\parallel} + \frac{2B}{n} C(p_e + \tau p_i) + \frac{B}{3n} C(G_i) + D_\omega \nabla_{\perp}^2 \omega \\ \frac{\partial v_{\parallel e}}{\partial t} &= -\frac{1}{B} \frac{R_0}{\rho_{s0}} \left[\phi, v_{\parallel e} \right] - v_{\parallel e} \nabla_{\parallel} v_{\parallel e} + \frac{m_i}{m_e} \left(\nu j_{\parallel} + \nabla_{\parallel} \phi - \frac{1}{n} \nabla_{\parallel} p_e - 0.71 \nabla_{\parallel} T_e - \frac{2}{3n} \nabla_{\parallel} G_e \right) + D_{v_{\parallel} e} \nabla_{\perp}^2 v_{\parallel e} \\ \frac{\partial v_{\parallel i}}{\partial t} &= -\frac{1}{B} \frac{R_0}{\rho_{s0}} \left[\phi, v_{\parallel i} \right] - v_{\parallel i} \nabla_{\parallel} v_{\parallel i} - \frac{1}{n} \nabla_{\parallel} (p_e - \tau p_i) - \frac{2}{3n} \nabla_{\parallel} G_i + D_{v_{\parallel} i} \nabla_{\perp}^2 v_{\parallel i} \\ \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial t} &= -\frac{1}{B} \frac{R_0}{\rho_{s0}} \left[\phi, T_e \right] - v_{\parallel e} \nabla_{\parallel} T_e + \frac{4}{3} \frac{T_e}{B} \left[\frac{7}{2} C(T_e) + \frac{T_e}{n} C(n) - C(\phi) \right] + D_{T_e} \nabla_{\perp}^2 T_e + \chi_{\parallel e} \nabla_{\parallel}^2 T_e \\ &+ \frac{2}{3} T_e \left[0.71 \nabla_e v_e - 1.71 \nabla_e v_e + 0.71 (v_e - v_e) \right] \nabla_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} \right] + C \end{split}$$

equations, and a kinetic equation for neutral atoms

Some of the past GBS achievements

- Characterization of non-linear turbulent regimes in the SOL [Mosetto *et al.*, PoP 2013]
- SOL width scaling as a function of dimensionless/engineering plasma parameters [Halpern *et al.*, PPCF 2016]
- Origin and nature of intrinsic toroidal plasma rotation in the SOL [Loizu *et al.*, PoP 2014]
- Mechanisms regulating the SOL equilibrium electrostatic potential [Loizu *et al.*, PPCF 2013]



Poloidal domain in the

Last Closed

Flux Surface

(LCFS)

new version of GBS

 $\psi(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{y})$

800

600

200

°d/k

X-point

A new version of GBS for diverted geometry

Numerical implementation in GBS: [Paruta et al., submitted to PoP]

- Spatial accuracy: 4th order centered finite differences scheme with the grid \blacktriangleright staggered in the toroidal and vertical direction
- An extension to the 4th order of the Arakawa algorithm is used for the Poisson \succ bracket operator [ϕ , f]
- Time evolution: 4th order Runge-Kutta time stepping method Non-field-aligned coordinate system is used to treat diverted configurations

 $+\frac{1}{3}T_{e}\left[0.71V_{\parallel}v_{\parallel i}-1.71V_{\parallel}v_{\parallel e}+0.71(v_{\parallel i}-v_{\parallel e})\frac{1}{n}\right]+S_{T_{e}}$ $\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{B} \frac{R_0}{\rho_{e0}} \left[\phi, T_i\right] - v_{\parallel i} \nabla_{\parallel} T_i + \frac{4}{3} \frac{T_i}{B} \left[C(T_e) + \frac{T_e}{n} C(n) - C(\phi)\right] + \frac{2}{3} T_i (v_{\parallel i} - v_{\parallel e}) \frac{\nabla_{\parallel} n}{n}$ $-\frac{2}{3}T_i \nabla_{\parallel} v_{\parallel e} - \frac{10}{3} \frac{T_i}{B} C(T_i) + D_{T_i} \nabla_{\perp}^2 T_i + S_{T_i}$

$\omega = \nabla_{\perp}^2 \phi , \quad \left[\phi, f\right] = \vec{b} \cdot \left(\nabla \phi \times \nabla f\right) , \quad C(f) = \frac{B}{2} \left(\nabla \times \frac{\vec{b}}{B}\right) \cdot \nabla f , \quad \vec{b} = \frac{\vec{B}}{B}$

- System completed with **first-principles boundary conditions** applicable at the magnetic pre-sheath entrance [Loizu et al., PoP 2012]
- Normalized units used throughout: $L_{\perp} \rightarrow \rho_{s0}$, $L_{\parallel} \rightarrow R_0$, $t \rightarrow R_0/c_{s0}$, $\nu = n_0 R_0 e^2/(m_i c_{s0} \sigma_{\parallel})$ \succ



Preliminary results

The new main features of GBS:

- Full-tokamak simulations to investigate the \succ interaction between core and edge: **boundary** conditions at the core are no longer needed
- Rectangular poloidal domain guarantees \succ much more flexibility on the geometry size (it reduces computational domain in SOL)
- More flexibility in choosing the magnetic \succ **poloidal flux** as a function of x and y Divertor plate cartesian coordinates
- Proper boundary conditions are applied to the divertor plates. A Robin boundary condition for the **electric potential** is used



Toroidal-averaged potential along r direction

Electric field

almost zero

Core

Strong electric

 $\widehat{\Phi}$

-200

LCFS

Edge

 $\sim \Lambda T$

SOL

—— with T

- w/o T

- In the SOL, the electric potential follows the electron temperature and it does not depend on the cold ion approximation.
- ► In the **edge** and **core**, the electric potential strongly depends on the ion temperature: in the cold ion approximation no electric field is generated
- The electric field leads to an $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ shear that improves the plasma confinement
- The analysis points out the importance of **ion heating** to \succ reach a better confinement (**H-mode**). This result has been seen experimentally [F. Ryter *et al.*, NC 2014]
- The $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ velocity is self-generated by the plasma when the ions are heated up: the ion \succ pressure gradient increases as well as the diamagnetic ion velocity which is balanced by an increase of the $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ velocity By retaining only the dominant terms, the time and toroidal averaged vorticity equation \succ (figures below) is $-\frac{R_0}{\rho_{s0}}n[\phi,\omega] + C(p_e + \tau p_i) + \nabla_{\parallel}j_{\parallel} \approx 0$ By combining this equation with the time and toroidal averaged density equation, the \succ continuity equation for the ions is retrieved, where \vec{v}_{pol} is the polarization velocity and it comes from the non-negligible term $-\frac{R_0}{\rho_{c0}}n[\phi,\omega]$

Conclusion and future plans

Conclusion

- **Full-tokamak** simulations used to investigate **the core-edge turbulence transport** \succ
- Analysis of the **electric potential** in the tokamak (**SOL**, **edge and core**) \succ
- Formation of $\vec{v}_{E \times B}$ shear and preliminary study of the L-H mode transition. \succ

Next steps

- Expand the **analysis** with the simplified **time and toroidal averaged equation** \succ
- Determination of the **heat flux** impacting on the **divertor plates** \succ
- Effect of core-edge **turbulence** transport on **SOL** width \succ



maurizio.giacomin@epfl.ch

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

Joint Annual Meeting of SPS - Lausanne (Switzerland), 28-31 August 2018

