

1 **Cutaneous infection by *Phaeoacremonium parasiticum***

2 Mariana J. Fernandez-Pittol<sup>1</sup>, Izaskun Alejo<sup>1</sup>, Elisa Rubio<sup>1</sup>, Miriam López<sup>1</sup>, Adriana  
3 García<sup>2</sup>, José Manuel Mascaró<sup>3</sup>, Francesc Marco<sup>1</sup>, Jordi Vila<sup>1</sup>, Jorge Puig<sup>1</sup>

4

5 **Infección Cutánea por *Phaeoacremonium parasiticum***

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 <sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, Hospital Clínic, Universitat de Barcelona, ISGLOBAL,  
13 Barcelona, Spain.

14 <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain.

15 <sup>3</sup>Department of Dermatology, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain

16

17 M.Fernandez-Pittol

18 Hospital Clínic de Barcelona

19 Villarroel, 170

20 08036 Barcelona - SPAIN

21 Tel. 34.654.966.069

22 Email [mjfernandez@clinic.cat](mailto:mjfernandez@clinic.cat)

23 **Abstract:**

24 **Background**

25 *Phaeoacremonium parasiticum* is considered a rare infectious agent that is part of a  
26 heterogeneous group of fungi causing phaeohyphomycosis. This organism is capable of  
27 producing subcutaneous infections, eumycetomas, osteomyelitis, arthritis, myositis and  
28 also disseminated diseases, such as fungemia and endocarditis.

29 **Aims**

30 The aim of this study is to report a case of cutaneous infection by *Phaeoacremonium*  
31 *parasiticum* diagnosed in our hospital.

32 **Materials and Methods**

33 We described a case of cutaneous infection by *Phaeoacremonium parasiticum* in a  
34 kidney transplant patient diagnosed in our hospital. The identification of this  
35 microorganism was performed by microbiological and histopathological studies and  
36 confirmed with the sequence of gene encoding  $\beta$ -tubulin and a real time panfungal PCR  
37 targeting 18S ribosomal RNA gene.

38 **Results**

39 The microorganism was correctly identified by phenotypic and molecular methods. The  
40 patient was treated with oral antifungal therapy and a debulking surgery; evolving without  
41 any complication.

42 **Conclusions**

43 The diagnosis of this infection is difficult and usually affects a kidney transplant patients,  
44 but this association is still unknown.

45

46 **Resumen:**

47 **Antecedentes**

48 *Phaeoacremonium parasiticum* es considerado un agente infeccioso poco común, que  
49 forma parte de un grupo heterogéneo de hongos causantes de feohifomicosis. Este

50 microorganismo es capaz de producir infección cutánea, eumicetoma, osteomielitis,  
51 artritis, miositis e incluso enfermedad diseminada como fungemia y endocarditis.

## 52 **Objetivo**

53 El objetivo del estudio es describir un caso de infección cutánea por *Phaeoacremonium*  
54 *parasiticum* diagnosticado en nuestro hospital.

## 55 **Materiales y Métodos**

56 Se describe un caso de infección cutánea por *Phaeoacremonium parasiticum* en un  
57 paciente trasplantado renal diagnosticado en nuestro hospital. Para la identificación del  
58 microorganismo se realizaron pruebas microbiológicas y histopatológicas y se confirmó  
59 la identificación con la secuenciación del gen de la  $\beta$ -tubulina y una PCR a tiempo real  
60 para la detección del gen 18S rRNA.

## 61 **Resultados**

62 El microorganismo fue identificado correctamente por métodos fenotípicos y  
63 moleculares. El paciente recibió tratamiento con antifúngicos orales y citorreducción  
64 quirúrgica, evolucionando sin ninguna complicación.

## 65 **Conclusiones**

66 El diagnóstico de esta infección usualmente aparece en pacientes trasplantados renales  
67 y su diagnóstico puede ser complicado. Sin embargo, la asociación de esta infección  
68 con este tipo de pacientes aun es desconocida.

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78 *Phaeoacremonium* species have a wide distribution in the environment, It was thought  
79 that it only produced disease in plants (El-Herte et al., 2014)(Aroca, Raposo, & Lunello,  
80 2008), but in 1974 the first case of cutaneous infection in a kidney transplant patient was  
81 described. *P. parasiticum*, previously known as *Phialopora parasitica* is considered a  
82 rare infectious agent although in the last years new cases have been described (Aroca  
83 et al., 2008)(Mostert et al., 2005)(Mulcahy & Chew, 2011)(Crous PW, Gams W,  
84 Wingfield MJ, 1996)). *Phaeoacremonium parasiticum* is part of an heterogeneous group  
85 of fungi causing phaeohyphomycosis, a disease that includes a broad spectrum of  
86 infections caused by fungi that produce septate hyphae with melanin in the tissue  
87 (Alayeto Ortega et al., 2015)(Marques et al., 2006). This organism has been reported to  
88 produce subcutaneous infections, eumycetomas, osteomyelitis, arthritis, myositis and  
89 also disseminated diseases, such as fungemia and endocarditis (VP Baradkar, M  
90 Mathur, S Kumar. Department of Microbiology & Medical College and General Hospital,  
91 Sion, Mumbai- 400 022, 1974). We describe a case of cutaneous infection caused by *P.*  
92 *parasiticum* in an immunosuppressed kidney transplant patient.

93

#### 94 Case report

95 A 77-year-old man went to a local hospital with an injury in his right hand caused  
96 by plants of his garden. The wound was cleaned and a daily antiseptic cleaning was  
97 prescribed. However, after several weeks the wound didn't improve. He came back to  
98 the same center and at the time of admission the patient confirmed that he was under  
99 immunosuppressive treatment due to a kidney transplant in 2015.

100

101 In the sample taken from the lesion grows filamentous fungi identified as  
102 *Exophiala dermatitidis* by microscopic identification. He started treatment with

103 voriconazole but after four days the patient stopped the treatment because of intolerance  
104 symptoms.

105 The patient continued with the lesion and 10 months later went to the dermatology  
106 department of our hospital. Physical examination revealed a nodular lesion in the right  
107 hand without any systemic symptoms. Oral treatment with itraconazole was started and  
108 a debulking surgery was performed. During the surgery samples for culture and  
109 histopathological study were taken. The histopathological examination of the tissue from  
110 the debridement showed aggregations of neutrophils forming micro abscesses, a  
111 moderate lymphoid infiltrate and numerous granulomas. In the center of one granuloma  
112 a material of vegetal appearance with fungal structure compatible with non-septate  
113 hyphae was observed Fig.1

114

115 Samples were plated on potato dextrose agar and incubated at 30°C. After 7 days  
116 of incubation; colonies of spongy appearance with irregular borders and olivaceous- gray  
117 color were observed. Culture direct microscopy with lactophenol cotton blue staining  
118 showed hyaline hyphae, thin-walled phialides, tapering towards the tip often proliferating,  
119 with small funnel-shaped collarettes and hyaline conidia in balls. This appearance is  
120 consistent with *Phaeoacremonium* genus.

121

122 Identification of the isolate was confirmed by the analysis of the sequence of gene  
123 encoding  $\beta$ -tubulin and a real time panfungal PCR targeting 18S ribosomal RNA gene.  
124 DNA extraction was performed as described by Turenne (Turenne, Sanche, Hoban,  
125 Karlowsky, & Kabani, 1999) with some changes (Villanueva et al., 2017). For the  
126 extraction we used a vortexing with glass beads to improve the lysis of fungal cells wall.  
127 Amplification was performed in an automated PCR-System Smartcycler (Cepheid, USA)  
128 with cycles of 95°C for 120 seconds, 45 cycles at 95°C for 10 seconds, 52°C for 30

129 seconds and 72°C for 10 seconds, using Sybergreen to detect the amplification products  
130 (Sensifast SYBR Hi-Rox Kit, Bioline, UK). Melting curve analysis was performed in both  
131 methods.

132

133 The PCR products of both targets were sequenced (BigDye Applied Biosystem)  
134 and the sequences obtained were compared with those available from GenBank using  
135 a BLAST search. A *Phaeoacremonium parasiticum* was identified; the sequence ID of  
136 both results matched two sequences with accession number KU375504 and KX268647  
137 with 100% and 99% of similarity respectively.

138

139 Antifungal susceptibility testing was performed on Sensititre YeastOne panel  
140 (Thermo scientific diagnostic systems, UK) following EUCAST rules for inoculated the  
141 sample(Chairman1 et al., n.d.). The following MIC values were obtained: voriconazole  
142 0.06 µg/ml, itraconazole 0.12 µg/ml, posaconazole 0.06 µg/ml and amphotericin B 8  
143 µg/ml. The isolate was considered as susceptible to azoles and reduced susceptibility  
144 to amphotericin B. The patient continued with oral itraconazole and progressed  
145 favorably.

146

147 *P. parasiticum* is an infrequent microorganism that causes infection especially in  
148 transplant patients receiving immunosuppressive treatment (Colombier et al., 2015)(El-  
149 Herte et al., 2014)(Mazzurco, Ramirez, & Fivenson, 2012)(Mulcahy & Chew, 2011).  
150 There are few reports of infections caused by this microorganism, but some reviews  
151 suggest an association with kidney transplantation. Up to 36% of infections occurred in  
152 kidney transplanted patients (Baddley, Mostert, Summerbell, & Moser, 2006)(Alayeto  
153 Ortega et al., 2015)(Marques et al., 2006), as in our patient and in some cases an-  
154 invasive infection was observed (Colombier et al., 2015)(Alayeto Ortega et al., 2015).  
155 However, the cause of this association is not yet clear.

156

157 Patients with several immunocompromising hematological diseases, stem cell  
158 transplantation, rheumatoid arthritis treated with infliximab have also been recognized  
159 as a risk group for infections by *P. parasiticum*(Mazzurco et al., 2012)(El-Herte et al.,  
160 2014)<sup>1,2</sup>. Disseminated infection is rare and in some cases can be fatal. No death related  
161 to localized infection has been reported(Mazzurco et al., 2012).

162

163 Traumatic implantation is the main infective route, taking into account that  
164 species of *Phaeoacremonium* are ubiquitous in nature (Mazzurco et al., 2012), once the  
165 lesion occurs the dermis is mainly affected and in some cases, can be recurrent  
166 (Mazzurco et al., 2012). Injuries caused by plants could be the origin of the infection.

167

168 The etiology of phaeohyphomycosis involves a broad spectrum of  
169 microorganisms such as *Acrophialophora*, *Alternaria*, *Cladosporium*, *Exophiala*, among  
170 other species that include *Phaeoacremonium*, being this last one a very rare cause  
171 (Alayeto Ortega et al., 2015)(Baddley et al., 2006). Due to the similar morphology of  
172 these microorganisms it is challenging to obtain a reliable identification only by  
173 microscopic methods (Aroca et al., 2008)(Ellis., 2017)(Colombier et al., 2015)(Mostert  
174 et al., 2005). Therefore, sequenciation of selected regions of the  $\beta$ -tubulin gene and 18S  
175 ribosomal RNA gene are recommended to reach definitive diagnosis(Aroca et al.,  
176 2008)(Colombier et al., 2015). The performance of only microscopic identification may  
177 lead to mistakes in diagnosis as happened in this case which was firstly identified as  
178 *Exophiala dermatitidis*. The combination of molecular and morphological diagnosis is  
179 the most appropriate way for the identification (Colombier et al., 2015).

180

181 Antifungal susceptibility testing for *Phaeoacremonium* is not standardized yet,  
182 thus caution must be taken when interpreting the results. Although a specific treatment

183 against this microorganism is still unknown, it is believed that azoles can give optimum  
184 results. A study of *in vitro* activity against *P. parasiticum* showed a MIC range of  
185 voriconazole 0.125– 2 µg/ml, mean 0.55 µg/ml, amphotericin B range 1–16 µg/ml, mean  
186 3.08 µg/ml and itraconazole range 0.25–32 µg/ml, mean 6.17 µg/ml (El-Herte et al.,  
187 2014). Some reports indicate a lower activity of itraconazole(El-Herte et al.,  
188 2014)(Baddley et al., 2006)(Alayeto Ortega et al., 2015)(Chowdhary et al.,  
189 2014)(Chowdhary et al., 2014). However, in our susceptibility study the result was  
190 interpreted as susceptible and this azole was used as part of the patient treatment.

191

192 In conclusion the diagnosis of this infection is difficult. However, there is a need  
193 to continue investigating, especially on kidney transplanted patients. The combined  
194 treatment of surgery and antifungal therapy seems to be the best option to treat this  
195 infection.

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209



- 212 Alayeto Ortega, J., Alier Fabregó, A., Puig Verdie, L., Sorli Redo, M. L., Horcajada  
 213 Gallego, J. P., & Portillo Bordonabe, M. E. (2015). Feohifomicosis subcutánea  
 214 causada por *Phaeoacremonium parasiticum*. *Revista Iberoamericana de*  
 215 *Micología*, 32(4), 265–268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.riam.2014.10.004>
- 216 Aroca, A., Raposo, R., & Lunello, P. (2008). A biomarker for the identification of four  
 217 *Phaeoacremonium* species using the  $\beta$ -tubulin gene as the target sequence.  
 218 *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 80(6), 1131–1140.  
 219 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-008-1647-3>
- 220 Baddley, J. W., Mostert, L., Summerbell, R. C., & Moser, S. A. (2006).  
 221 *Phaeoacremonium parasiticum* infections confirmed by ??-tubulin sequence  
 222 analysis of case isolates. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 44(6), 2207–2211.  
 223 <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00205-06>
- 224 Chairman1, J. L. R.-T., Arendrup2, M. C., Arikan3, S., Barchiesi4, F., J., Bille5, ...  
 225 Schmalreck14, A. Velegraki15, P. V. (n.d.). EUCAST DOCUMENTO DEFINITIVO  
 226 9.1 Método de dilución en caldo para la determinación de las concentraciones  
 227 mínimas inhibitorias de antifúngicos para hongos filamentosos formadores de  
 228 conidias.
- 229 Chowdhary, A., Meis, J. F., Guarro, J., Hoog, G. S. De, Kathuria, S., Arendrup, M. C.,  
 230 & Akova, M. (2014). ESCMID and ECMM joint clinical guidelines for the diagnosis  
 231 and management of systemic phaeohyphomycosis : diseases caused by black  
 232 fungi. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12515>
- 233 Colombier, M. A., Alanio, A., Denis, B., Melica, G., Garcia-Hermoso, D., Levy, B., ...  
 234 Galliena, S. (2015). Dual invasive infection with *Phaeoacremonium parasiticum*  
 235 and *Paraconiothyrium cyclothyrioides* in a renal transplant recipient: Case report  
 236 and comprehensive review of the literature of *Phaeoacremonium*  
 237 *phaeohyphomycosis*. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 53(7), 2084–2094.  
 238 <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00295-15>
- 239 Crous PW, Gams W, Wingfield MJ, van W. P. (1996). *Phaeoacremonium* gen. nov.  
 240 associated with wilt and decline diseases of woody hosts and human infections.  
 241 *Mycologia.*, 88, 786–96.
- 242 El-Herte, R. I., Schouweiler, K. E., Farah, R. S., Arbulu, R., Diekema, D., Wanat, K. A.,  
 243 & Ford, B. A. (2014). *Phaeoacremonium parasiticum* phaeohyphomycosis in a  
 244 patient with systemic lupus erythematosus treated successfully with surgical  
 245 debridement and voriconazole: A case report and review of the literature.  
 246 *IDCases*, 1(4), 84–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idcr.2014.10.004>
- 247 Ellis., D. T. university of A. S. 5005 A. (2017). Mycology Online *Phaeoacremonium*  
 248 *parasiticum*.  
 249 [Http://www.mycology.adelaide.edu.au/descriptions/hyphomycetes/phaeoacremoni](Http://www.mycology.adelaide.edu.au/descriptions/hyphomycetes/phaeoacremonium/)  
 250 <um/>, 2–3.
- 251 Marques, S. A., Camargo, R. M. P., Summerbell, R. C., De Hoog, G. S., Ishioka, P.,  
 252 Chambô-Cordaro, L. M., & Marques, M. E. A. (2006). Subcutaneous  
 253 phaeohyphomycosis caused by *Phaeoacremonium parasiticum* in a renal  
 254 transplant patient. *Medical Mycology*, 44(7), 671–676.  
 255 <https://doi.org/10.1080/13693780600895181>
- 256 Mazzurco, J. D., Ramirez, J., & Fivenson, D. P. (2012). Phaeohyphomycosis caused  
 257 by *Phaeoacremonium* species in a patient taking infliximab. *Journal of the*  
 258 *American Academy of Dermatology*, 66(2), 333–335.  
 259 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2010.04.015>
- 260 Mostert, L., Groenewald, J. Z., Summerbell, R. C., Robert, V., Sutton, D. A., Padhye, A.  
 261 A., & Crous, P. W. (2005). Species of *Phaeoacremonium* associated with

262 infections in humans and environmental reservoirs in infected woody plants.  
263 *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 43(4), 1752–1767.  
264 <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.43.4.1752-1767.2005>  
265 Mulcahy, H., & Chew, F. S. (2011). Phaeoacremonium parasiticum myositis: A case  
266 report with imaging findings. *Radiology Case Reports*, 6(2), 1–6.  
267 <https://doi.org/10.2484/rcr.v6i2.485>  
268 Shah, S. K., Parto, P., Lombard, G. A., James, M. A., Beckles, D. L., Lick, S., &  
269 Valentine, V. G. (2013). Probable Phaeoacremonium parasiticum as a cause of  
270 cavitary native lung nodules after single lung transplantation. *Transplant Infectious*  
271 *Disease*, 15(1), 9–13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tid.12040>  
272 Turenne, C. Y., Sanche, S. E., Hoban, D. J., Karlowsky, J. A., & Kabani, A. M. (1999).  
273 Rapid Identification of Fungi by Using the ITS2 Genetic Region and an Automated  
274 Fluorescent Capillary Electrophoresis System Rapid Identification of Fungi by  
275 Using the ITS2 Genetic Region and an Automated Fluorescent Capillary  
276 Electrophoresis System, 37(6), 1846–1851.  
277 Villanueva, L., Bonany, P., García, R., Redondo, E., De, P., & Brugada, B. (2017).  
278 Utilidad de la PCR panfúngica en el diagnóstico de infecciones por hongos.  
279 *Científicass*, 5, 8–11.  
280 VP Baradkar, M Mathur, S Kumar. Department of Microbiology, L. T. M., & Medical  
281 College and General Hospital, Sion, Mumbai- 400 022, I. (1974).  
282 Phaeohyphomycosis of Subcutaneous Tissue Caused. *Www.ijmm.org*, 27(1), 66–  
283 69.  
284  
285

286

287

288

289

Fig.1. Hematoxylin eosin stain at 40x showed a material of vegetal appearance with fungal structure compatible with non-septate hyphae in the center of one of the granulomas.

Fig.2. Lactophenol cotton blue stain at 40x following growth in culture at 7 days reveals hyaline hyphae, thin-walled phialides with small funnel-shaped collarettes and hyaline conidia in balls.



