

Poster Sessions – Abstract P268

Use of maraviroc in patients with undetectable viral load: efficacy, tolerance and predictors of viral response in MARAVIROC-cohort study

Jesús Pérez Elías, María¹; Arroyo, David²; Diaz, Alberto¹; Herrero, Cristina³; Martínez-Dueñas, Loreto⁴; Moreno, Ana¹; Hernández-Quero, Jose⁵; Podzamcer, Daniel⁶; Gómez-Ayerbe, Cristina¹; Luis Casado, Jose¹; Zamora, Javier²; Rivero, Antonio⁷; Moreno, Santiago¹ and María Llibre, Josep⁸

¹Infectious Diseases, Hospital Ramón y Cajal, IRYCIS, Madrid, Spain. ²Statistics Department, Hospital Ramón y Cajal, IRYCIS, Madrid, Spain. ³FLS-Research Support, Hospital Germans Trias i Pujol, Barcelona, Spain. ⁴Infectious Diseases, Hospital Universitario Reina Sofía, Córdoba, Spain. ⁵Infectious Diseases, Hospital San Cecilio, Madrid, Spain. ⁶Infectious Diseases, Hospital de Bellvitge, Barcelona, Spain. ⁷Infectious Diseases, Hospital Universitario Reina Sofía, Córdoba, Spain. ⁸Infectious Diseases, Hospital Germans Trias i Pujol, Barcelona, Spain.

Introduction: No controlled clinical trials had studied the role of maraviroc (MRV) in fully suppressed patients [1].

Methods and Materials: MRV-cohort is an observational, retrospective, multicentric (27 sites) large cohort study of patients starting MRV in clinical practice under different circumstances, with at least 48 weeks of follow-up. For the present analysis we selected all those patients starting with an HIV-RNA <50 copies/mL. Demographics, baseline CD4 cell count, past history of antiretroviral treatment (ART), tropism, reasons for MRV use, MRV based therapy and change/end of MRV use were assessed. Paired analysis of lipid, hepatic and kidney profile changes and univariate and multivariate analyses of HIV-RNA <50 copies/mL at 48 weeks were explored.

Results: We included 247 out of 667 subjects from the entire cohort. At study entry, their median age was 47 years, 23% were women, 31% MSM, 49% had CDC category C, median CD4+ counts were 468 cells/mm³, 46% were HCV+ and 4.5% AgHBs+. Tropism information was available in 197 (94% R5). Median length of prior ARTV was 10.7 years, with exposure to a median of three drug families. Main reasons for prescribing MRV were: toxicity 38%, immunodiscordance 23%, simplification 19% and admission in a clinical trial 10.4%. MRV based therapies used were MRV + 2NRTIs 9%, MRV + PI 46%, MRV + PI + other 40% and MRV + other 5%. At 48 weeks, 23% of patients had changed or finished MRV therapy due to toxicity 2.4%, virological failure 2%, immunological failure 1.2%, simplification 3.2%, trial requirement 9.7%, medical decision 2.8%, treatment suspension 1.2% and unknown 0.4%. At 48 weeks, no significant changes were observed in lipid, hepatic or kidney profiles, and 85% of patients remained with HIV-RNA <50 copies/mL. Focusing on viral response univariate and multivariate models did not show any significant baseline variable explaining viral failure.

Conclusions: In clinical practice MRV was used, mostly in R5 positive patients, with adequate efficacy and tolerance, but important number of patients changed due to non-clinical reasons. In this scenario neither reason for use of MRV nor MRV-based therapy explained viral failure.

Reference

1. Perry CM. Drugs. Maraviroc: a review of its use in the management of CCR5-tropic HIV-1 infection. 2010;70(9):1189–213.