proportion of total DALYs increased from 2.4% (95% UI

The range of point prevalence (per 1000) among the EMR

countries was 28.2–136.0 for low back pain, 27.3–49.7

for neck pain, 9.7–37.3 for osteoarthritis (OA), 0.6–2.2

for rheumatoid arthritis and 0.1–0.8 for gout. Low back

pain and neck pain had the highest burden in EMR

**Conclusions** This study shows a high burden of

EMR compared with the rest of the world. The

health data, addressing risk factors, providing

musculoskeletal disorders, with a faster increase in

reasons for this faster increase need to be explored.

Our findings call for incorporating prevention and

control programmes that should include improving

evidence-based care and community programmes to

countries.

increase awareness.

1.7-3.0) in 1990 to 4.7% (95% UI 3.6-5.8) in 2013.

# 6

**EXTENDED REPORT** 

**OPEN ACCESS** 

## Burden of musculoskeletal disorders in the Eastern Mediterranean Region, 1990–2013: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013

Maziar Moradi-Lakeh,<sup>1,2</sup> Mohammad H Forouzanfar,<sup>1</sup> Stein Emil Vollset,<sup>1,3,4</sup> Charbel El Bcheraoui,<sup>1</sup> Farah Daoud,<sup>1</sup> Ashkan Afshin,<sup>1</sup> Raghid Charara, Ibrahim Khalil,<sup>1</sup> Hideki Higashi,<sup>5</sup> Mohamed Magdy Abd El Razek,<sup>6</sup> Aliasghar Ahmad Kiadaliri,<sup>7</sup> Khurshid Alam,<sup>8,9,10</sup> Nadia Akseer,<sup>11,12</sup> Nawal Al-Hamad, <sup>13</sup> Raghib Ali, <sup>14</sup> Mohammad AbdulAziz AlMazroa, <sup>15</sup> Mahmoud A Alomari, <sup>16</sup> Abdullah A Al-Rabeeah, <sup>15</sup> Ubai Alsharif, <sup>17</sup> Khalid A Altirkawi, <sup>18</sup> Suleman Atique, <sup>19</sup> Alaa Badawi, <sup>20</sup> Lope H Barrero, <sup>21</sup> Mohammed Basulaiman, <sup>15</sup> Shahrzad Bazargan-Hejazi, <sup>22,23</sup> Neeraj Bedi, <sup>24</sup> Isabela M Bensenor,<sup>25</sup> Rachelle Buchbinder,<sup>26,27</sup> Hadi Danawi,<sup>28</sup> Samath D Dharmaratne,<sup>29</sup> Faiez Zannad,<sup>30</sup> Maryam S Farvid,<sup>31,32</sup> Seyed-Mohammad Fereshtehnejad, <sup>33</sup> Farshad Farzadfar, <sup>34</sup> Florian Fischer, <sup>35</sup> Rahul Gupta, <sup>36</sup> Randah Ribhi Hamadeh, <sup>37</sup> Samer Hamidi, <sup>38</sup> Masako Horino, <sup>39,40</sup> Damian G Hoy,<sup>41</sup> Mohamed Hsairi,<sup>42</sup> Abdullatif Husseini,<sup>43</sup> Mehdi Javanbakht,<sup>44</sup> Jost B Jonas,<sup>45</sup> Amir Kasaeian,<sup>46,47</sup> Ejaz Ahmad Khan,<sup>48</sup> Jagdish Khubchandani,<sup>49</sup> Ann Kristin Knudsen, <sup>50</sup> Jacek A Kopec, <sup>51</sup> Raimundas Lunevicius, <sup>52,53</sup> Hassan Magdy Abd El Razek, <sup>54</sup> Azeem Majeed, <sup>55</sup> Reza Malekzadeh, <sup>56</sup> Kedar Mate, <sup>57</sup> Alem Mehari, <sup>58</sup> Michele Meltzer, <sup>59</sup> Ziad A Memish, <sup>15,60</sup> Mojde Mirarefin, <sup>61</sup> Shafiu Mohammed, <sup>62,63</sup> Aliya Naheed, <sup>64</sup> Carla Makhlouf Obermeyer, <sup>65</sup> In-Hwan Oh, <sup>66</sup> Eun-Kee Park, <sup>67</sup> Emmanuel Kwame Peprah,<sup>68</sup> Farshad Pourmalek,<sup>51</sup> Mostafa Qorbani,<sup>69</sup> Anwar Rafay, <sup>70,71</sup> Vafa Rahimi-Movaghar, <sup>72</sup> Rahman Shiri, <sup>73</sup> Sajjad Ur Rahman, <sup>74</sup> Rajesh Kumar Rai, <sup>75</sup> Saleem M Rana, <sup>70,71</sup> Sadaf G Sepanlou, <sup>76</sup> Masood Ali Shaikh, <sup>77</sup> Ivy Shiue, <sup>78,79</sup> Abla Mehio Sibai, <sup>80</sup> Diego Augusto Santos Silva, <sup>81</sup> Jasvinder A Singh, <sup>82</sup> Jens Christoffer Skogen, <sup>3,83</sup> Abdullah Sulieman Terkawi, <sup>84,85,86</sup> Kingsley N Ukwaja, <sup>87</sup> Ronny Westerman, <sup>88,89</sup> Naohiro Yonemoto, <sup>90</sup> Seok-Jun Yoon, <sup>91</sup> Mustafa Z Younis, <sup>92</sup> Zoubida Zaidi, <sup>93</sup> Maysaa El Sayed Zaki, <sup>54</sup> Stephen S Lim, <sup>1</sup> Haidong Wang, <sup>1</sup> Theo Vos, <sup>1</sup> Mohsen Naghavi,<sup>1</sup> Alan D Lopez,<sup>1,94</sup> Christopher J L Murray,<sup>1</sup> Ali H Mokdad<sup>1</sup>

### published online only. To view please visit the journal online

Handling editor Tore K Kvien

► Additional material is

(http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/

annrheumdis-2016-210146). For numbered affiliations see end of article.

#### Correspondence to

Professor Ali Mokdad, Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, University of Washington, 2301 5th Avenue, Suite 600, Seattle, WA 98121, USA; mokdaa@uw.edu

ABSTRACT

Region (EMR).

**Objectives** We used findings from the Global Burden

musculoskeletal disorders in the Eastern Mediterranean

Methods The burden of musculoskeletal disorders was

calculated for the EMR's 22 countries between 1990 and 2013. A systematic analysis was performed on

mortality and morbidity data to estimate prevalence,

disability-adjusted life years (DALYs).

death, years of live lost, years lived with disability and

**Results** For musculoskeletal disorders, the crude DALYs

interval (UI) 924.3-1703.4) in 1990 to 1606.0 (95% UI

1141.2–2130.4) in 2013. During 1990–2013, the total

DALYs of musculoskeletal disorders increased by 105.2%

the world. The burden of musculoskeletal disorders as a

in the EMR compared with a 58.0% increase in the rest of

rate per 100 000 increased from 1297.1 (95% uncertainty

of Disease Study 2013 to report the burden of

Received 29 June 2016 Revised 28 September 2016 Accepted 19 January 2017 Published Online First 16 February 2017



To cite: Moradi-Lakeh M, Forouzanfar MH, Vollset SE, *et al. Ann Rheum Dis* 2017;**76**:1365–1373.



#### **INTRODUCTION**

Musculoskeletal disorders have been underestimated and even ignored for a long time, mainly due to their low fatality rate and being viewed as irreversible conditions or simply part of the ageing process.<sup>1</sup> The considerable contribution of musculoskeletal disorders is now more clear and several studies have quanti-

> en of musculoskeletal disorders.<sup>2</sup> They valent causes of absence from work and de.<sup>2</sup> High frequency, chronicity and sculoskeletal disorders impose a considon the communities. Population ageing lly increase the burden of musculoskelcoming decades.<sup>3</sup> Despite these facts, s have not been a focus of public health in low-income and middle-income

rranean Region (EMR), epidemiological disorders are sparse and not easily comata in this region come from baseline nity Oriented Program for Control of PCORD). The programme, designed by ernational League of Associations for 980s, is presumably the most eminent e to tackle the burden of musculoskelddle-income countries.<sup>5</sup> Some countries Egypt, Iran, Kuwait, Lebanon, Pakistan ed COPCORD projects in the past two D baseline surveys have shown a high keletal conditions in the region; for l complaints during the past seven days d 45% of people in Iran, based on four an areas. The most common anatomical knees (27%), dorsolumbar spine (24%), vical spine (14%).<sup>7</sup> Lifetime prevalence lems was reported by around 33% of a current point prevalence of approxi-7% of individuals in Kuwait reported d the most common sites of pain were rs.<sup>9</sup> In the northern part of Pakistan in e had rheumatic diseases with higher s (16.5%) compared with poor urban rban areas (10.7%).<sup>10</sup> Musculoskeletal or disorders) were generally more ompared with males.<sup>8-12</sup> The overall keletal conditions was higher in rural

areas compared with urban.<sup>10</sup> <sup>13</sup> Original data from other countries of the region are usually limited to specific diseases.<sup>14–17</sup> In the demographic and health survey of Palestine, 2% of the population reported a diagnosis of musculoskeletal diseases, with an increasing prevalence with age.<sup>18</sup> Some of the countries in the region have no accessible original data on the magnitude and intensity of musculoskeletal disorders.

There is not a comprehensive summary or comparable data on the burden of musculoskeletal disorders in the countries of this region. In this report, which is part of the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study 2013 (GBD 2013), we present the prevalence and burden of musculoskeletal disorders (low back pain, neck pain, osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, gout and other musculoskeletal disorders) at the regional and national levels in the EMR from 1990 to 2013, as well as the attributable burden from the known risk factors of musculoskeletal disorders.

#### **METHODS**

GBD 2013 covers 188 countries, 7 super-regions and 21 regions from 1990 to 2013. In total, 306 causes of diseases and injuries, 240 causes of death and 79 risk factors were systematically analysed. Details on the methodology of GBD studies and the main changes to the methods for GBD 2013 have been explained in previous publications.<sup>2</sup> <sup>19–21</sup>

There are 22 countries in the EMR by WHO designation with different levels of Gross National Income per capita. The low-income countries are Afghanistan, Djibouti, Somalia and Yemen; middle-income countries: Egypt, Iraq, Iran, Jordan, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Pakistan, Palestine, Sudan, Syria and Tunisia; and high-income countries: Bahrain, Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar and the United Arab Emirates.

In GBD 2013, the burden from six main categories of musculoskeletal disorders was calculated: rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, low back pain, neck pain, gout and other musculoskeletal disorders. We used the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, tenth revision (ICD-10) codes or their equivalent codes in the earlier versions of ICD and assumed different sequelae for each disorder (table 1). Each musculoskeletal disorder had a list of sequelae with potentially different levels of disability; for instance, low back pain had eight sequelae classified as mild, moderate, severe and most severe low back pain with or without leg pain. Range of disability weight for these sequelae was different from 0.02 (95% uncertainty interval (UI) 0.011–

disorders, equivalent ICD-10 codes and list of sequelae for each disorder in the Global Burden of Disease Study						
0 codes	Sequelae (number of sequelae)					
M06.9, M08.0-M08.89	Mild, moderate and severe rheumatoid arthritis (3)					
M13.9, M15-M19.079	Mild, moderate, and severe osteoarthritis of the hip; mild, moderate and severe osteoarthritis of the knee (6)					
, G54.3, G54.4, G57.0-G57.12, M43.2-M43.5, M43.8, M43.9, M45-M49, -M49.89, M51-M51.9, M53, M53.2-M54, M54.1-M54.18, M54.3-M54.9, M99.1-M99.9	Mild, moderate, severe and most severe low back pain without leg pain; mild, moderate, severe and most severe low back pain with leg pain (8)					
, M50-M50.93, M53.0, M53.1, M54.0-M54.09, M54.2	Mild, moderate, severe and most severe neck pain (4)					
M10.19, M10.3-M10.9	Asymptomatic gout, symptomatic episodes of gout and polyarticular gout (3)					
L93-L93.2, M00-M03.0, M03.2, M03.6, M07-M08, M08.9-M09.0, , M09.8, M11-M12, M12.2- M12.49, M12.8-M12.9, M14-M14.89, M25.879, M30-M32.9, M34-M36.8, M40-M43.19, M65-M68.8, M73, M73.8, M75-M77.9, M80-M83.4, M83.8-M87.09, M87.3-M89.59, -M95.9, M99.0-M99.09.	Asymptomatic other musculoskeletal disorders and other musculoskeletal disorders severity levels 1–6 (7)					

Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, tenth revision.

0.035) for mild low back pain without leg pain to 0.384 (95% UI 0.256–0.518) for most severe low back pain with leg pain. A complete list of health state descriptions and equivalent disability weights is available in the web appendix of a previous GBD publication.<sup>21</sup>

In this study, the burden is described as prevalence, deaths,

due to premature mortality, years lived d disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs). age-standardised rates to be able to disn population structure from the differsex-specific rates.

loskeletal disorder categories (except the category of 'other musculoskeletal d to be non-fatal with no mortality and ture mortality. To estimate the causemortality envelopes (total number of were estimated for each country during 3. All accessible data from vital registrastory surveys, sample registration data deaths were considered for preparing of death data was extracted from the s any available verbal autopsies.<sup>19</sup> We nsemble modelling <sup>22</sup> to estimate the heumatoid arthritis and 'other musculo-, sex, country and year.

, we updated the GBD 2010 systematic ical measures for each musculoskeletal ent strategies to avoid missing sources of ring of the results of systematic reviews ork of GBD collaborators.<sup>21</sup> A list of ns is available on the Global Health tp://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-2013-datavesian meta-regression analyses through sed for disease modelling. We used fixed and country-level covariates to adjust le of study-level covariates, we included OA disease definition as the reference extracted data from other studies that porting having had a diagnosis of OA', of OA regardless of symptoms' or 'OA adiographic confirmation'. More details le in the online appendix of a previous sed epidemiological estimates in comweights were used to calculate causeage, sex, location and calendar year.<sup>21</sup> hrough summation of YLLs and YLDs.

able burden of the following risk factors of musculoskeletal disorders: occupa-, high body mass index and low glomtails on definitions of these risk factors r musculoskeletal disorders are available previous publication.<sup>20</sup>

% UIs for each quantity in this analysis. king 1000 samples of posterior distribuand 975th values of the distribution.<sup>21</sup>

ue to musculoskeletal disorders in EMR 5% UI 1380–2090) in 1990 to 5084 9) in 2013, a 198% increase. rate was 0.89 per 100 000 (95% UI 1.39 per 100 000 (95% UI 1.07–1.58) of deaths in 2013 was equal to 0.83 0 (95% UI 0.62–0.95) and constitutes 0.14% (95% UI 0.10-0.16) of all deaths. YLLs of musculoskeletal disorders increased from 68 211 (95% UI 52 961-86 586) in 1990 to 183 659 (95% UI 131 166-219 907) in 2013, a 169% increase.


Web appendix table S1 shows point prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders in the EMR countries. Low back pain was the most common condition in all countries in 2013, except Kuwait and Lebanon where neck pain was more prevalent: the range of point prevalence of low back pain was between 32.45 per 1000 in Kuwait and 159.23 in Egypt. The range of point prevalence of neck pain was between 34.31 per 1000 in Pakistan and >55 per 1000 in Somalia and Djibouti. Osteoarthritis ranged from 29.67 per 1000 in Pakistan to >46 per 1000 in Somalia and Djibouti. Point prevalence of gout had a range of 0.15 per 1000 in Pakistan to 1.00 per 1000 in Iran and Qatar. Point prevalence of rheumatoid arthritis was between 0.88 per 1000 in Saudi Arabia and >3 per 1000 in Somalia and Djibouti (web appendix table 1). YLDs of musculoskeletal disorders increased from 1279 per 100 000 (95% UI 907-1686) in 1990 to 1576 (95% UI 1111-2100) in 2013. Musculoskeletal disorders were the second leading cause of YLDs after 'mental and substance use disorders' and accounted for 15.7% of all YLDs (95% UI 13.8-17.7%) in 2013. Low back pain and neck pain had the highest YLDs among the disorders (web appendix 1).

As expected, YLDs were the main component of DALYs for musculoskeletal disorders (>98%, both in 1990 and 2013), and DALY estimates were very close to YLD estimates. The total burden of musculoskeletal disorders was 4 842 603 DALYs (95% UI 3 450 654-6 359 159) in 1990 and increased to 9 946 874 DALYs (95% UI 7 068 174-13 194 791) in 2013, a 105.4% increase in total DALYs of musculoskeletal disorders, compared with a 58.0% increase in the rest of the world. The crude DALYs rate per 100 000 increased from 1297.1 (95% UI 924.3-1703.4) in 1990 to 1606.0 (95% UI 1141.2-2130.4) in 2013, which shows a 23.8% increase. Age-standardised DALY rates were 2055.6 (95% UI 1478.3-2704.1) in 1990 and increased by 2.9% to 2115.9 (95% UI 1517.2-2799.7) in 2013. The burden of musculoskeletal disorders as a proportion of total DALYs has constantly increased since 1990; the proportion that was 2.4% (95% UI 1.7-3.0) in 1990 increased to 3.2% (95% UI 2.8-4.6) in 2000 and 4.7% (95% UI 3.6-5.8) in 2013. Figure 1 compares the burden of musculoskeletal disorders in the EMR to data for the world, low/middle-income countries and high-income countries. Table 2 summarises DALY rates for each musculoskeletal disorder. As shown, DALY rates have been increased during 1990-2013 for all musculoskeletal disorders, both in men and women.

Egypt had the highest and Lebanon had the lowest age-standardised musculoskeletal disorders DALY rates both for males and females. Ranges of age-standardised DALY rates had a considerable overlap between the low-income, middle-income and high-income countries of EMR (table 3).

DALY rates had a clear increasing pattern with age; however, those of middle age had the highest number of DALYs (figure 2). Among different musculoskeletal disorders, low back pain had the highest proportion of DALYs in all age groups. The proportion of osteoarthritis DALYs out of total DALYs of musculoskeletal disorders increased with age. In individuals aged  $\geq 65$  years, osteoarthritis was the second important cause of DALYs after low back pain.

The burden of musculoskeletal disorders was higher in females compared with males, except for low back pain and gout. The total burden was 5 415 756 DALYs (95% UI 3 877 474–7 150 503) in females and 4 531 118 DALYs (95% UI 3



uloskeletal disorders in the Eastern Mediterranean Region compared with the world, high-income countries and . DALYs, disability-adjusted life-years.

bility-adjusted life-years (per 100 000) for musculoskeletal disorders in the Eastern Mediterranean Region, 1990 and								
	Both		Male	Male				
Year	Rate	95% UI	Rate	95% UI	Rate	95% UI		
1990	733.6	497.0-1001.2	770.7	519.2-1059.0	694.6	475.0-959.1		
2013	870.6	583.9–1197.9	911.5	612.8–1268.1	827.3	561.2-1148.7		
1990	280.5	194.4–386.6	226.0	156.5–310.0	337.8	235.6-467.5		
2013	351.8	244.5-483.2	274.2	190.2-376.6	434.0	302.8-592.4		
1990	103.8	72.8–140.0	74.9	52.5-101.7	134.2	94.4-181.0		
2013	131.7	92.2-179.0	93.9	65.4–127.1	171.8	120.3–233.9		
1990	33.7	25.4–43.1	25.7	19.3–32.5	42.0	31.1–54.3		
2013	37.6	28.4-48.2	30.3	22.8–38.8	45.2	33.8–58.4		
1990	0.9	0.6–1.2	1.3	0.9–1.8	0.5	0.3–0.6		
2013	1.2	0.8–1.6	1.7	1.2–2.3	0.6	0.4–0.8		
1990	144.7	99.5–200.0	76.6	54.9–104.3	216.3	145.3–303.9		
2013	213.2	151.3–292.2	110.6	80.2-152.8	322.1	224.1-445.2		
1990	1297.2	924.3-1703.4	1175.3	821.4-1558.4	1425.4	1024.4–1.879.6		
2013	1606.0	1141.2–2130.4	1422.2	1004.5–1891.6	1800.9	1289.4–2377.7		

males in 2013. DALY rates were 1800.9 ) and 1422.2 (95% UI 1004.5–1891.6) spectively. Figure 3 shows the burden of order by sex in 2013. Gout had a small LYs per 100 000 in women and men, en has not been shown in the figure. The ratio of age-standardised female to male musculoskeletal DALY rates ranged between 1.02 in Morocco and 2.01 in Iran (table 3). The ratio of age-standardised female to male DALY rates was <1 for gout disease in all countries of the region. For low back pain, the ratio was <1 except for Sudan (1.02), Egypt (1.03), Saudi Arabia (1.15), Lebanon (1.43) and Iran

Table 3Age-standardised disability-adjusted life year rates (per 100 000) of musculoskeletal disorders by country and sex in the EasternMediterranean Region, 2013

Countries	Both	Both		Male		Female	
	Rate	95% UI	Rate	95% UI	Rate	95% UI	F/M ratio
Low-income countries							
	2125	1507–2800	1864	1293–2500	2362	1630–3189	1.27
	2075	1497–2743	1819	1280–2456	2312	1638–3130	1.27
	2020	1463–2621	1880	1350–2473	2151	1522–2835	1.14
	1998	1429–2643	1856	1307–2448	2122	1527–2826	1.14
	2848	1989–3863	2459	1692–3335	3201	2256-4309	1.3
	2370	1708–3153	2034	1442–2715	2683	1936–3529	1.32
	2352	1683–3108	2318	1650–3123	2370	1692–3114	1.02
	2322	1664–3056	1539	1084–2026	3095	2216-4090	2.01
	2274	1605–3003	2028	1418–2718	2507	1770–3348	1.24
	2195	1555–2972	1943	1318–2692	2450	1713–3340	1.26
	2177	1533–2925	1862	1209–2593	2480	1745–3285	1.33
	2040	1438–2728	1880	1289–2590	2165	1531–2905	1.15
	2007	1389–2732	1849	1240-2579	2161	1479–2990	1.17
	1992	1413–2654	1842	1307–2450	2125	1511-2803	1.15
	1636	1186-2158	1603	1156-2140	1670	1205–2197	1.04
	1287	937–1715	1093	792–1450	1500	1077–2004	1.37
	2205	1598–2914	1994	1404–2686	2505	1765–3376	1.26
	2161	1528–2852	1806	1247–2404	2650	1837–3558	1.47
	2080	1470–2782	1825	1222–2538	2436	1718–3259	1.33
	2078	1485–2764	1914	1318–2587	2499	1788–3323	1.31
	2040	1463–2688	1985	1400–2651	2151	1535–2840	1.08
	1361	983–1794	1126	809–1469	1741	1254–2298	1.55

ve-mentioned cases, for each country ge-standardised female to male DALY


mic factors and high body mass index t risk factors for musculoskeletal disor-(95% UI 1 023 600-2 148 137) DALYs were attributable to occupational ergo-66 DALYs (95% UI 283 614-626 896) tributable to high body mass index. ALYs (95% UI 313 110-642 108) of re attributable to high body mass index. c factors were the most important risk h body mass index was a more importhe attributable burden to occupational 3.2 times of the attributable burden to n men and 0.8 for women. This ratio 3 in the low-income countries of the iddle-income countries and 0.8-1.5 in es of the region.

evalence and burden of musculoskeletal low back pain, neck pain and osteoarthburden of these disorders has increased he rest of the world during 1990–2013. burden is mainly related to increase in ing, despite most of the other diseases, n the age-standardised rates of burden. The proportion of musculoskeletal disorders' burden over total burden of disease has even increased. Musculoskeletal disorders are the second leading cause of disability in the EMR. Although population ageing is a main reason for increasing burden of musculoskeletal disorders, a large proportion of the burden is imposed on people in their most active and productive years of life. We did not find a specific association between income level of the country and burden of musculoskeletal disorders; however, the relative importance of risk factors (occupational ergonomic factors compared with high body mass index) was different based on the income level of countries.

Our findings call for incorporating prevention and control programmes for musculoskeletal disorders in national health programmes. COPCORD could be used as a stepwise approach to address the high burden of musculoskeletal disorders; however, previous COPCORD programmes in EMR usually have not progressed beyond the early stages (such as baseline surveys) towards a focus on prevention and control activities.<sup>6 23</sup> Considering the important risk factors of musculoskeletal disorders, public education, occupational health and safety and ergonomics are among the most important components of any prevention and control programme. Medical interventions and rehabilitation to preserve functional status are essential to provide control of the situation.

Advocacy is required to raise the attention of policy and decision makers to the disease burden caused by musculoskeletal disorders.<sup>3</sup> As a reflection on the previous round of the ongoing GBD study, some experts recommended extensive involvement 1,200,000

1,000,000



es and numbers of disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) of musculoskeletal disorders in the Eastern Mediterranean

to initiate any intervention for control ders and integrating services with existres.<sup>3</sup> Mody and Brooks suggested new egies to train community health workers providers to detect and initiate the manrlier stages.<sup>24</sup>


ients and the entire population, treatinterventions, prevention through idenof environmental and genetic risks are f interventions after primary epidemio-People with musculoskeletal conditions m of services including traditional, comve therapies of which efficacies may not nt biological medications and surgical the long-term outcomes of some musuch as rheumatoid arthritis or severe they can be too expensive to be affordimely access to healthcare providers is f the musculoskeletal disorders. For with inflammatory disorders such as rly assessment by a specialist improves ver, previous studies show that many oskeletal disorders do not receive treatin Lebanon, around a quarter of these ind of treatment.<sup>26</sup> On the other hand,

ind of treatment.<sup>20</sup> On the other hand, using unnecessary diagnostic or theraeople with musculoskeletal symptoms, er countries. This needs to be avoided for quaternary prevention.

le factors (such as maintaining physical , having a balanced diet, avoidance of lcohol consumption, and preventing injuries) is not only beneficial for musculoskeletal health but also for other non-communicable diseases that contribute to increasing mortality and morbidity.<sup>24</sup>

Low back pain and neck pain have the highest burden of musculoskeletal disorders in most of the EMR countries. In previous studies, the seven-day period prevalence of pain for dorsolumbar and cervical spine in Iran were 23.7% and 14.2%, respectively.<sup>7</sup> The estimates were higher in rural areas compared with urban areas, and also in people with specific jobs and pregnant women.<sup>29</sup> In Kuwait, the point prevalence of low back pain in schoolchildren aged 10-18 years old was 20.6% in males and 39.3% in females.<sup>30</sup> A cumulative prevalence of around 28% for low back pain was reported by children aged 11-19 years old in Tunisia.<sup>31</sup> Some of these estimates cannot be directly compared with our estimates due to different definitions and the time interval used for assessment. However, the available evidence collectively reflects the importance of the problem. There are several evidence-based public health and clinical guidelines for low back pain<sup>32-34</sup> and neck pain,<sup>35 36</sup> usually from high-income countries. Development of suitable guidelines for use in resource-poor settings is challenging. Most research evidence originates from high-income countries and may not be relevant or applicable to the needs of low-income countries. Moreover, the development of valid clinical guidelines needs resources and certain expertise that sometimes is not available. In the paucity of nationally developed guidelines, EMR countries can use the available guidelines through adaptation processes.<sup>3</sup>

Osteoarthritis is an important cause of disability, especially in elderly people. It is expected to be influenced by the population ageing process more than other musculoskeletal disorders. Some evidence suggests that intensive physical activity might increase



🔳 Male 🚿 Female

usculoskeletal disorders in the Eastern Mediterranean Region by sex, 2013. DALYs, disability-adjusted life-years.

large joints; however, this is not a dings on association of physical activity pecially confusing in the elderly; while at walking and physical exercise has a eoarthritis, there are some reviews that n elder individuals can help to reduce arthritis.<sup>39</sup> Light or moderate physical known to increase risk or complications l activity can also decrease risk of osteo-g body mass index.<sup>38 39</sup> The burden of disorders' was around threefold in men. Conditions such as fibromyalgia disorders are more prevalent among

1400

1200

mitations. Although we estimated a colculoskeletal disorders in this study, we e estimations for some of the disorders

and systemic connective tissue disornot separately assess the burden of hand sification of musculoskeletal disorders ion between symptoms, complaints and 10 codes clarify the components of each clude osteoporosis as a disease; instead, y was classified as a risk factor for frace burden has not been shown in this t provide separate estimates for diseases such as the Behçet disease, which have regional importance in EMR or individual (but not collective) high burden.

There were issues with availability and quality of data in some EMR countries; however, we used GBD modelling approaches to reduce this issue. Indeed, the lack of high-quality data in the region, especially from the 1990s, might have an influence on the estimated trend of musculoskeletal diseases. Although this issue exists for many of the causes of diseases, it might have an imbalanced effect on musculoskeletal diseases (the importance of which has been highlighted in the recent decades) compared with the other diseases. This factor might affect different regions of the world in different ways. However, we do not believe that it can purely explain the faster increase in burden of musculoskeletal disorders in EMR compared with the rest of the world.

#### CONCLUSION

Findings from this study show a high burden of musculoskeletal disorders, especially low back pain, neck pain and osteoarthritis in the region. The reasons for faster increase of musculoskeletal disorders' burden in EMR during 1990–2013 compared with the rest of the world need to be explored. Our findings call for integrating prevention and control programmes for musculoskeletal disorders with health system programmes. Plans should include improving health data to monitor trends, addressing known risk factors especially through health education and awareness, ergonomics and occupational health and safety, and

#### Clinical and epidemiological research

providing evidence-based early diagnosis and treatment, rehabilitative care and community programmes to increase knowledge of risk and protective factors.

#### Author affiliations

Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. University of Washington. Seattle.

icine. Preventive Medicine and Public Health of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ealth, Bergen, Norway alth and Primary Care, University of Bergen,

Agency, Lusaka, Zambia an University Hospital, Aswan, Egypt artment of Clinical Sciences Lund, Orthopedics,

stitute, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia elbourne, Victoria, Australia ey, New South Wales, Australia onto, Ontario, Canada Ontario, Canada tion, Ministry of Health, Safat, Kuwait Κ h, Saudi Arabia epartment of Rehabilitation Sciences, Faculty of n University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan erlin, Germany Saudi Arabia

al Informatics, Taipei Medical University, Taipei,

da. Toronto, Ontario, Canada eering, School of Engineering, Pontificia Universidad

Medicine and Science, Los Angeles, California, USA ne, University of California at Los Angeles (UCLA),

ropical Medicine, Jazan, Saudi Arabia aulo. Brazil

l Epidemiology, Cabrini Institute, Melbourne,

nd Preventive Medicine. School of Public Health and iversity, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia s. Minnesota, USA

dicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Peradeniya,

SERM (the National Institute for Health and Medical , Vandoeuvre les Nancy, France

ard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston,

mics, Vulnerable Populations, and Health Disparities, cy, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston,

Care Sciences and Society (NVS). Karolinska Institute.

Research Center, Endocrine and Metabolic Research edical Sciences, Tehran, Iran Germany

c Health, Charleston, West Virginia, USA ma. Bahrain

- rt University, Dubai, United Arab Emirates
- nd Public Health, Carson City, Nevada, USA
- h, University of California, Los Angeles, Los

riat of the Pacific Community, Noumea, New

#### nisia

blic Health, Birzeit University, Birzeit, Palestine it. University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK

, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Ruprecht-Karls-, Germany

m Cell Transplantation Research Center, Tehran ehran, Iran

Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism hran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran abad, Pakistan

Indiana USA

s, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Bergen,

#### Norway

<sup>51</sup>University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada <sup>52</sup>Aintree University Hospital National Health Service Foundation Trust, Liverpool, UK <sup>53</sup>School of Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK <sup>54</sup>Mansoura Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura, Egypt <sup>55</sup>Imperial College London, London, UK <sup>56</sup>Digestive Disease Research Institute, Tehran Universities of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran <sup>57</sup>McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada <sup>58</sup>College of Medicine, Howard University, Washington DC, USA <sup>59</sup>Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA <sup>60</sup>College of Medicine, Alfaisal University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia <sup>61</sup>Hunger Action Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA <sup>62</sup>Health Systems and Policy Research Unit, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria <sup>63</sup>Institute of Public Health, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany <sup>64</sup>International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Dhaka, Bangladesh <sup>65</sup>Faculty of Health Sciences, Center for Research on Population and Health, American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon <sup>66</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine, School of Medicine, Kyung Hee University, Seoul. South Korea <sup>67</sup>Department of Medical Humanities and Social Medicine, College of Medicine, Kosin University, Busan, South Korea <sup>68</sup>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Bethesda, Maryland, USA <sup>69</sup>Noncommunicable Diseases Research Center, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran <sup>70</sup>Contech International Health Consultants, Lahore, Pakistan <sup>71</sup>Contech School of Public Health, Lahore, Pakistan <sup>72</sup>Sina Trauma and Surgery Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran <sup>73</sup>Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Helsinki, Finland <sup>74</sup>Sweidi Hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia 75 Society for Health and Demographic Surveillance, Suri, India <sup>76</sup>Digestive Diseases Research Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran <sup>77</sup>Independent Consultant, Karachi, Pakistan <sup>78</sup>Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, ПK <sup>79</sup>Alzheimer Scotland Dementia Research Centre, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, ЦK <sup>80</sup>Department of Epidemiology & Population Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon <sup>81</sup>Federal University of Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil <sup>82</sup>University of Alabama at Birmingham, and Birmingham Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Birmingham, Alabama, USA <sup>83</sup>Alcohol and Drug Research Western Norway, Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway <sup>4</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA <sup>85</sup>Outcomes Research Consortium, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, USA <sup>86</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, King Fahad Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia <sup>87</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Federal Teaching Hospital, Abakaliki, Nigeria <sup>88</sup>Federal Institute for Population Research, Wiesbaden, Germany <sup>89</sup>German National Cohort Consortium, Heidelberg, Germany <sup>90</sup>Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan <sup>91</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine, College of Medicine, Korea University, Seoul, South Korea <sup>92</sup>Jackson State University, Jackson, Mississippi, USA 93University Hospital, Setif, Algeria <sup>94</sup>Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge Pauline Kim at the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, Seattle, WA, for editing this paper. Contributors MM-L and AHM prepared the first draft. All other authors provided data, developed models, analysed data, reviewed results, provided guidance on methodology and/or reviewed the manuscript. MM-L and AHM finalised the draft based on comments from other authors' feedback. MM-L, AHM, AA and MHF responded the comments of reviewers. AHM and CJLM accept full responsibility for the work, have access to the data and controlled the decision to publish. Funding The global burden of disease study was funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

Competing interests None declared.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{N}}$ 

#### REFERENCES

1 Woolf AD, Akesson K. Understanding the burden of musculoskeletal conditions. The burden is huge and not reflected in national health priorities. *BMJ* 

man KJ, *et al.*, with GBD 2013 DALYs and HALE al, and national disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for d healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 188 countries, epidemiological transition. *Lancet* 2015;386:2145–91. *et al.* Reflecting on the global burden of essons learnt from the global burden of disease 2010 ward. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:4–7. , *et al.* A time for action: opportunities for preventing ability from musculoskeletal conditions in low- and *st Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2014;28:377–93. Epidemiology of rheumatic musculoskeletal disorders in *ract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2008;22:583–604. International League of Associations for Rheumatology. pcord.org/information.asp (accessed 4 Apr 2016).

Moghimi N, *et al*. Epidemiology of rheumatic diseases r COPCORD studies. *Int J Rheum Dis* 2016;19:

R, et al. High burden of rheumatic diseases in . Int J Rheum Dis 2012;15:136–43. oussa M, et al. Musculoskeletal pain, disability in adult Kuwaitis using a validated Arabic version Core Questionnaire. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2004;22:

nce of the major rheumatic disorders in the adult . *Br J Rheumatol* 1998;37:491–5.

rani Banihashemi A, *et al*. Effect of ethnic origin the prevalence of rheumatic diseases: a WHO-ILAR n. *Clin Rheumatol* 2009;28:1275–82.

nihashemi AT. WHO-ILAR COPCORD pilot study in 06;33:1714.

emi A, Gholami J, et al. The prevalence of

n a rural area in Iran: a WHO-ILAR COPCORD study *Clin Rheumatol* 2009;28:1267–74.

*al*. Prevalence of arthritis in India and Pakistan: 1;31:849–55.

. Rheumatoid arthritis in the United Arab Emirates. 9–42.

H, Al-Mulhim AS. Osteoarthritis of knees and obesity in *Med J* 2006;27:1742–4.

of rheumatoid arthritis in the Sultanate of Oman. -8.

f Statistics. *Press conference on the initial survey results:* vey. Ramallah-Palestine, 2004. http://www.pcbs.gov.ps/ hsurvey\_04e.pdf (accessed 8 Feb 2017).

uses of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and cause and cause-specific mortality for 240 causes of atic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study -71.

L, Anderson HR, *et al.*, with GBD 2013 Risk Factors al, and national comparative risk assessment of 79

nd occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks

in 188 countries, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* 2015;386:2287–323.

- 21 Global Burden of Disease Study 2013 Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* 2015;386:743–800.
- 22 Foreman KJ, Lozano R, Lopez AD, *et al*. Modeling causes of death: an integrated approach using CODEm. *Popul Health Metr* 2012;10:1.
- 23 Darmawan J, World Health Organization-International League of Associations for Rheumatology Community Oriented Program for Control of Rheumatic Disease. Recommendations from the Community Oriented Program for Control of Rheumatic Disease for data collection for the measurement and monitoring of health in developing countries. *Clin Rheumatol* 2007;26:853–7.
- 24 Mody GM, Brooks PM. Improving musculoskeletal health: global issues. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2012;26:237–49.
- 25 Woolf AD, Brooks P, Akesson K, *et al*. Prevention of musculoskeletal conditions in the developing world. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2008;22:759–72.
- 26 Slim ZN, Chaaya M, Habib RR, et al. High burden of musculoskeletal conditions: a problem that has only recently come to recognition. Chronic Illn 2011;7:311–20.
- 27 Guillemin F, Carruthers E, Li LC. Determinants of MSK health and disability—social determinants of inequities in MSK health. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2014;28:411–33.
- 28 Rudan I, Sidhu S, Papana A, *et al.*, Global Health Epidemiology Reference Group (GHERG). Prevalence of rheumatoid arthritis in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review and analysis. *J Glob Health* 2015;5:010409.
- 29 Mousavi SJ, Akbari ME, Mehdian H, et al. Low back pain in Iran: a growing need to adapt and implement evidence-based practice in developing countries. Spine 2011;36:E638–46.
- 30 Shehab D, Al-Jarallah K, Al-Ghareeb F, et al. Is low-back pain prevalent among Kuwaiti children and adolescents? A governorate-based study. *Med Princ Pract* 2004;13:142–6.
- 31 Bejia I, Abid N, Ben Salem K, et al. Low back pain in a cohort of 622 Tunisian schoolchildren and adolescents: an epidemiological study. Eur Spine J 2005;14:331–6.
- 32 Guidance on the prevention and management of musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) in the workplace. *Health Saf Exec Northen Irel* 2015. https://www.hseni.gov.uk/ publications/guidance-prevention-and-management-musculoskeletal-disorders-msdsworkplace (accessed 5 Apr 2016).
- 33 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Low back pain in adults: early management, NICE guidelines [CG88]. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg88 (accessed 5 Apr 2016).
- 34 Delitto A, George SZ, Van Dillen LR, et al., Orthopaedic section of the American Physical Therapy Association. Low back pain. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2012;42: A1–57.
- 35 Work Loss Data Institute. National Guideline Clearinghouse | Neck and upper back (acute & chronic). 2013. https://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=47589 (accessed 7 Apr 2016).
- 36 Hegmann K. National Guideline Clearinghouse | Cervical and thoracic spine disorders. 2011. https://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=35207 (accessed 7 Apr 2016).
- 37 Rashidian A. Adapting valid clinical guidelines for use in primary care in low and middle income countries. *Prim Care Respir J* 2008;17:136–7.
- 38 Fransen M, Simic M, Harmer AR. Determinants of MSK health and disability: lifestyle determinants of symptomatic osteoarthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2014;28:435–60.
- 39 Jones G, Winzenberg TM, Callisaya ML, et al. Lifestyle modifications to improve musculoskeletal and bone health and reduce disability—a life-course approach. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2014;28:461–78.