

Archived at the Flinders Academic Commons: http://dspace.flinders.edu.au/dspace/

'This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Han, W., Zhang, H.-P., Xu, X., & Tang, Y. (2018). Hybrid enhancements by polydopamine and nanosilica on carbon fibre reinforced polymer laminates under marine environment. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing, 112, 283–289. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.compositesa.2018.06.019,

which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2018.06.019

© 2018 Elsevier. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Accepted Manuscript

Hybrid enhancements by polydopamine and nanosilica on carbon fibre reinforced polymer laminates under marine environment

Wei Han, Hong-Ping Zhang, Xin Xu, Youhong Tang

PII:	S1359-835X(18)30247-1
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2018.06.019
Reference:	JCOMA 5080
To appear in:	Composites: Part A
Received Date:	19 February 2018
Revised Date:	4 June 2018
Accepted Date:	12 June 2018



Please cite this article as: Han, W., Zhang, H-P., Xu, X., Tang, Y., Hybrid enhancements by polydopamine and nanosilica on carbon fibre reinforced polymer laminates under marine environment, *Composites: Part A* (2018), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2018.06.019

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Hybrid enhancements by polydopamine and nanosilica on carbon

fibre reinforced polymer laminates under marine environment

Wei Han¹, Hong-Ping Zhang^{1, 2}, Xin Xu¹, Youhong Tang¹*

A CEX

¹ College of Science and Engineering, Flinders University, South Australia 5042, Australia

CRIF

² School of Materials Science and Engineering, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Sichuan, 621010, China

* Corresponding author: Tel.: 61-8-82012138; e-mail: <u>youhong.tang@flinders.edu.au</u> (Y Tang)

Abstract:

In this study, two enhancement methods, i.e., toughen the epoxy matrix by commercially available nanosilica and enhance the interfaces of fibres and matrix by autoxidation of dopamine were applied together in carbon fibre reinforced polymer laminates with potential large-scale applicability. Significant enhancements were found for Mode I interlaminar fracture toughness and interlaminar shear strength with the combined addition of nanosilica and polydopamine in the laminates. The enhancement mechanism is proposed as well. Salt spray tests were applied in this study to simulate a marine environment for the laminates. Model I interlaminar fracture toughness and interlaminar shear strength both decreased under the simulated marine environment with an increase in immersion time, but the deterioration was significantly mitigated when nanosilica and polydopamine were added together with still much higher mechanical properties measured after 3 weeks of salt spray immersion than in neat laminate without salt spray immersion, providing promising evidence for maritime engineering applications of such laminates.

Keywords:

A. Laminates; B. Fracture toughness; B. Environmental degradation; B. Interface/interphase

1. Introduction

Growing demand exists for carbon fibre reinforced polymer (CFRP) composite materials with enhanced properties, which are essential for applications in engineering fields, especially in harsh environments. As CFRP is a combination of fibre and matrix, its properties are dominated by high strength and stiffness in fibres as well as low strength in the ductile polymer matrix and the interfaces between fibres and matrix. These poor polymer properties and weak interfaces between fibres and polymer significantly limit the applications of CFRP. Consequently, it is of interest to enhance the polymer resin as well as the interfaces between the resin and fibre of the CFRP composites to extend laminate applications in various fields.

Significant work has been done to enhance polymer matrix as well as interfaces in recently years, with some studies focusing on large-scale production and commercialization. The use of nanoparticles to toughen polymer matrix is one promising method, employing such particles as nanosilica, halloysite nanotubes, and carbonaceous nanoparticles such as graphene nanoplatelets and graphene oxide. Improvements in Young's modulus, fracture toughness and tensile strength have been reported [1-6]. However, one of the challenges in using nanoparticles is the achievement of homogeneous dispersion of high weight/volume ratio nanoparticles in matrix while maintaining comparatively low viscosity. The difficulty is that viscous resin systems cannot easily impregnate continuous fibres or fibre fabric during CFRP production. Meanwhile, based on a resin infusion process, the filtering of dense fibre bundles against agglomerated nanofillers can lead to severe segregation and depletion of nanofillers in matrices [7-8], also significantly offsetting the enhancement effects of nanoparticles in laminates. One of the solutions is the use of *in situ* synthesized

methods such as the sol-gel manufacturing process, whereby particle size and excellent distribution are unaffected during any further processes. Several commercially produced nanosilica-modified epoxies are available, such as Nanopox F400, a concentration of 40 wt% nanosilica in diglycidyl ether of bisphenol A (DGEBA) epoxy resin [9], with an average particle size of 20 nm and a narrow range of particle size distribution. In our previous research, only 2 wt% nanosilica added in the epoxy improved the fracture toughness and corrosion rate under a marine environment [9]. Meanwhile Sprenger reported using nanoparticles no longer further improvement the delamination fracture value when the value reached to 500 J/m². Above that value, the dominated delamination fracture of laminate often changes from matrix failure to interfacial failure [10]. Therefore, the addition of a single type of nanoparticle did not further mitigate the occurrence of delamination fracture.

Sizing is a method of wetting out fibre surfaces to improve the poor interfacial adhesion of CFRP. Polydopamine (PDA) is a bionic material which has excellent adhesion to a range of solid surfaces, such as metals, oxides, polymers and ceramics by autoxidation of dopamine in basic aqueous solutions [11]. Recently, it has also been applied to modify nanoparticles such as carbon nanotubes [12], graphene [13] and clay [14], revealing excellent ability to improve the mechanical, thermal and electromagnetic interference shielding performance of polymer matrices. In addition, PDA has been used to modify short carbon fibres, revealing significant improvement in tensile strength and Young's modulus [12]. Furthermore, Yang et al. reported that the catechol groups in PDA were forming hydrogen bonds with polar groups in epoxy [15]. In addition, the interfacial covalent bonding was forming between PDA and epoxy because of the primary and

secondary amine groups in PDA may react with epoxy groups and the amine hardener may react with PDA [16, 17]. We reported using a simple method for surface modification to improve the load transfer between carbon fibre and epoxy matrix, increase the fractured interface friction and reduce unstable crack growth in CFRP composites [18].

The application of CFRP composites in maritime engineering was initially the demand of building lightweight, strong, corrosion-resistant durable naval vessels. CFRP can overcome corrosion problems experienced with steel or aluminium alloys and environmental degradation suffered by wood. As well, CFRP can significantly reduce the weight of a structure but still maintain the desired performance and structural integrity. However, under the marine environment, the mechanical properties of laminates degrade due to UV, moisture, temperature and ageing – creating the potential of accidentally creating fracture. Bastioli et al. reported water aging may strongly affect the matrix behaviour, by producing changes in its chemical and physical nature by itself or in conjunction with other chemical or physical agents such as heat and ultraviolet light [19, 20]. Moreover, the fibre/matrix interface can be degraded by a hydrolysis reaction of unsaturated groups within the resin under marine conditions [21-23]. A concern is the incomplete understanding and shortage database of using fibre reinforced composites as marine structures with long-term durability. Consequently, to efficiently enhance the properties of CFRP and extend its applications in the harsh marine environment, there is interest in improving the mechanical performance at least to offset the deterioration generated by that environment.

Current enhancements to interfacial adhesion rely on sizing wet-out fibre surfaces. However, the inherent poor properties of polymer matrix render these solutions inefficient. Furthermore, polymer matrix enhancement can only toughen the matrix itself, with less

enhancement of the interfaces. In this work, we demonstrate a feasible hybrid method to enhance the laminate, with potential large-scale application under marine environments. Commercially available nanosilica can provide the necessary polymer matrix toughness, while polydopamine on carbon fibre surfaces can provide significant interfacial adhesion among fibres and matrix. With those hybrid enhancements, laminates can offset deterioration under a simulated marine environment and still achieve superior mechanical performance to that displayed by neat laminate without salt water immersion.

2. Experiments

2.1. Polydopamine for CFRP interfacial enhancement

The as-received carbon fabric was submerged in acetone for 48 h to wash off the commercial sizing and impurity. For fabrication of the PDA sizing fibres, 4 g dopamine hydrochloride (Sigma, Australia) was dissolved in a mixed solution of deionized water (4000 mL) and aqueous solution of TRIS (3.6 g tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane, 1000 mL deionized water), with magnetic stirring for 30 min. 200 gm unidirectional carbon fibre fabrics (Hexcel, USA) were prepared into 8 layers with the size of 30 cm *30 cm then placed in a container and the mixed solution was transferred to the container. The container was shaken by a benchtop orbital shaker (Labec, Australia) at 100 rpm for 24 hrs at ambient temperature. Then, the modified carbon fibre fabrics were collected, washed with deionized water several times to remove the residual dopamine, and dried in a vacuum oven at 40 °C for 24 hrs. Finally, the thickness of PDA layer on the carbon fabric was 50-100 nm. From our previous report, approximately 3.2 wt% of polydopamine was coated on the carbon fabric [20].

2.2. PDA-SiO₂-CFRP composites preparation

A vacuum-assisted resin transfer moulding (VARTM) process was used to fabricate the CFRP composites, as shown in the schematic drawing in Fig. 1. In this procedure, a flat metal plate was first treated with a releasing agent. For the PDA-SiO₂-CFRP, the raw resin was prepared by using a diglycidyl ether of bisphenol A (DGEBA) epoxy resin, Araldite-F (Ciba-Geigy, Australia) to dilute the commercialized Nanopox F400 resin (40 wt%) nanosilica) to 2 wt% nanosilica epoxy resin. A piperidine (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia) hardener was added at the ratio of 100:5 by weight while being stirred slowly. A PDAmodified carbon fibre ply stack was next placed on the metal plate between two PTFE films, one above and one below the stack. A 10-um thick non-stick film was inserted in the middle layer of the stack to create the initial delamination. The peel films were placed between two infusion meshes (above and below the peel films). Then the mixture resin was placed in a vacuum oven to preheat to 80 °C and degassed as well. After that, the resin was pumped into the vacuum bag and placed in a hot press machine (Carver Inc. USA) with a pressure at 10 KN/m² and curing temperature at 120 °C for 24 hrs. Finally, the cured panel thickness was measured to be approximately 3 mm for all plates and the fibre volume was measured at nearly 32 vol.%. Test specimens were cut from the cured panels by means of bandsaw cutting and polisher polished. As controls for evaluation, pure CFRP and 2% SiO₂-CFRP were prepared separately. Figure 1 illustrates the hybrid enhancement strategy used in this study.

2.3. Characterizations

The Mode I interlaminar fracture toughness was measured using double cantilever beam tests carried out on a universal testing machine (Instron, US) fitted with a 500 N load cell in

accordance with the ASTM D 5528 standard. The dimensions of the test specimen were 125 mm×25 mm×3 mm. Test specimens were clamped in the jaws of the machine via the block hinges with a load rate of 1 mm/min while the load–displacement data was recorded. The fracture surfaces of test specimens were examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM; Inspect F50, FEI, US). The SEM samples were selected around the precrack tip area and coated with gold to form a thin 1 nm conductive layer. The interlaminar shear strength (ILSS) was measured using a 3-point short beam strength test following the ASTM D-2344 standard. The specimens were cut to the dimensions of 50 mm×12 mm×3 mm. A minimum of 8 specimens per batch were tested.

Specimens were placed in a salt spray test machine for periods of 1 and 3 weeks following the ASTM b117 standard. 5 wt% NaCl solvent was used to simulate marine water and the test temperature was 42 °C. Specimens were then washed in running water and oven dried at 60 °C for 48 hrs. Model I interlaminar fracture toughness and interlaminar shear strength were characterized after the immersion.

3. Results and discussion

3. 1. Hybrid enhancement on CRPF laminates

Figure 2(a) shows load-crack opening displacement (COD) curves obtained from Mode 1 interlaminar fracture toughness tests for different laminates. The neat CFRP shows a saw-tooth shaped curve which forms as the load increases and decreases alternately, demonstrating unstable energy release during crack propagation. In comparison, for the SiO₂-CFRP sample, the COD curve shows a saw-tooth shaped curve similar to that of the neat CFRP but with higher load capacity than that of the neat CFRP. For the PDA-SiO₂-

CFRP specimen, however, the force regularly increases to the peak point and then drops in a relatively smooth movement, while the load capacity increases further, as shown in Figure 2(a). These COD curves indicate that the addition of nanosilica alone can increase the load capacity but may not improve the interface adhesion. With PDA added in the SiO₂-CFRP specimen, however, the interfacial adhesion also improves [24]. Figure 2(b) shows typical Mode I delamination crack growth resistance curves (R-curves) calculated from the COD curves. Generally, the R-curves grow to a plateau with crack propagation.

The gradual formation of a fibre-bridging zone behind the crack front is the main toughening process in these CFRP composites [25]. The average value of G_{IC} during propagation is 540 J/m² for CFRP and 630 J/m² for SiO₂-CFRP, with about 17% improvement which is attributed to the deflection of the cracking paths because of the presence of the rigid nanosilica particles consuming more energy [9]. The average propagation G_{IC} value for PDA-SiO₂-CFRP is 750 J/m², which is a 39% increment compared to that of CFRP, as the PDA can further provide strong adhesions between carbon fibre and epoxy resin [11]. Table 1 shows a summary of the G_{IC} values for the nanosilica enhanced CFRP and its improvement ratios. As shown in the Table, Tsai et al. reported that compared with neat laminate, G_{IC} values increased by 8.4% and 14.5% when 10 wt% and 20 wt% silica nanoparticles respectively were added in the laminate [26]. Obviously, the 2 wt% sol-gel form of nanosilica provided a greater increment of the G_{IC} than the 20 wt% unmodified nanosilica. Similarly, Zeng et al. reported that in laminates modified with 4 wt% and 6 wt% silica nanoparticles, the G_{IC} values increased by 15% and 19%, respectively [27]. However, further increases in the concentration of nanosilica did not further increased the G_{IC} value. Meanwhile, Carolan et al. reported the use of a hybrid

of nanosilica and polysiloxane core-shell rubber (CSR) to toughen the G_{IC} . They found that the use of 8 wt% SiO₂ with 8 wt% CSR significantly improved the G_{IC} value by 41% compared to that of neat CFRP [28]. In comparison, the hybrid enhancement in the PDA-SiO₂-CFRP of the current study used only 3 wt% PDA and 2 wt% nanosilica to achieve a 39% increment in the G_{IC} value. This result demonstrates a marked advantage over the use of enhancement of simple matrices by nanoparticles.

Table 1 also shows that the average ILSS value of the neat CFRP laminate is 55.7 MPa; this value increases to 59.9 MPa for SiO₂-CFRP and 67.8 MPa for PDA-SiO₂-CFRP with increments of 8% and 22%, respectively. In the report of Zhang et al. [29], the ILSS values were 46 MPa and 50.7 MPa for laminates with 2.5 wt% and 10 wt% GO nanoparticles, the values increasing by 1% and 12% respectively compared with those of the neat laminate. Srivastava et al. found that, with 3% carbon blacks (CBs), 3% multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) and 3% graphene nanoplatelets (GnPs) added in the laminate, the ILSS values increased by -29%, 5% and 7%, respectively [30]. Thus a significant advantage has been demonstrated of hybrid enhancement as a feasible way to enhance the interlaminar properties of laminates, compared with single nanoparticle enhancement.

Figure 3 shows a schematic drawing of the proposed toughening mechanisms for different laminates, with SEM images of fracture surfaces. Figure 3(a) shows a clean and smooth surface of the fibres in a CFRP fracture surface, indicating that the fracture mechanism is primarily interfacial debonding. The epoxy resin detaches completely from the carbon fibre surfaces because of weak bonding in the interfaces. This finding indicates that fibre/epoxy debonding is the dominant failure mechanism, and the most likely failure site in the laminates is still the interface. Although the SiO₂-CFRP fracture toughness

surface is also clean and smooth, as observed in Figure 3(b), the fractured resin surface is rougher than that of the neat epoxy Figure 3(a), because the rigid nanosilica particles can deflect cracking paths, resulting in a higher G_{IC} and a rougher fracture surface, as shown in Figure 3(b). From our previous publication [18] regarding the PDA-CFRP laminate, with the enhancement on the interfaces, a higher G_{IC} value has been reported with rough fracture surfaces been observed, as shown in Figure 3(c). In contrast, in the PDA-SiO₂-CFRP fractured surface, a significantly different interface microstructure is shown in Figure 3(d). A large amount of epoxy adhering to the PDA treated carbon fibre surfaces and the rough fractured epoxy surface indicate that the failure mechanism is a combination of epoxy resin fracture and interface debonding. The development of these microstructures is related to both PDA-enhanced interactions between carbon fibre and epoxy and nanosilica toughened epoxy matrix. Therefore, the overall G_{IC} value is significantly increased.

3.2 Marine environment effects on the hybrid enhancement of laminates

Figure 4(a) shows the Mode I interlaminar fracture toughness G_{IC} values after 0, 1 and 3 weeks' salt spray testing to simulate marine environment effects on laminate mechanical properties. Generally speaking, the marine environment will deteriorate Mode I interlaminar fracture toughness. As shown in the figure, after a week's salt spray test, the G_{IC} values decrease to 0.61 KJ/m² for SiO₂-CFRP and 0.72 KJ/m² for PDA-SiO₂-CFRP, respectively. Moreover, the G_{IC} values continue to decrease, reaching 0.58 KJ/m² for SiO₂-CFRP and 0.68 KJ/m² for PDA-SiO₂-CFRP after 3 weeks' salt spray testing. Such trends of deterioration effects have also been reported previously [9, 31]. After a period of salt water permeation, it is found that laminates began to swell, and the moisture sorption caused by the volume swelling gradually increased. Meanwhile, physical or chemical degradation,

such as hydrolysis of the polymer, chain breakage, creation of small molecules and extraction of these molecules from the composite began, and mass loss of composite occurred [32]. However, the deterioration of the laminate G_{Ic} values was diminished by the addition of nanosilica, as reported by us previously [9], with further mitigation when PDA and nanosilica were added together. As a result, the G_{Ic} values of SiO₂-CFRP and PDA-SiO₂-CFRP after 3 weeks' salt spray testing were still 7% and 26% higher respectively than those of the neat CFRP (0.54 KJ/m²) without any salt spray test. This finding shows that the hybrid enhancement significantly improved the Mode I interlaminar fracture toughness under the marine environment.

Figure 4(b) shows that the ILSS values of all laminates decreases after the salt spray test. The highest ILSS result after the salt spray test still comes from the PDA-SiO₂-CFRP laminate, with reduction rates of 5% in 7 days and 9% in 21 days compared with that in 0 day as shown in Table 2. For the SiO₂-CFRP laminate, it has the similar reduction rates of 5% in 7 days and 9% in 21 days, respectively. However, CFRP has a reduction rate of 9% in 7 days observed indicates that SiO₂ or PDA-SiO₂ are applied in CFRP can efficiently reduce the degradation of mechanical properties under marine environment. Interestingly, the ILSS value of the SiO₂-CFRP laminate after the 3-week salt spray test decreases to a value which is even 2.3% lower than that of the neat CFRP without the salt spray test. However, the ILSS value of the PDA-SiO₂-CFRP laminate is still 11% higher than that of the unmodified CFRP. Hence, it can be concluded that in terms of mechanical properties, PDA-SiO₂-CFRP laminate is superior to SiO₂-CFRP laminate under marine environment.

Figure 5 shows SEM images of interlaminar fracture toughness surfaces after different salt spray periods. The morphologies of the fracture surfaces changed significantly with the

salt spray duration. Figures 5(a)-(c) shows SEM images of the fracture surfaces of SiO₂-CFRP without and after 1 and 3 weeks of salt spray tests. The fracture surfaces become smoother as the salt spray duration increases. Especially in Figure 5(c), the fracture surfaces of SiO₂-CFRP after 3 weeks' salt spray were very similar to that of the neat CFRP fracture surface shown in the SEM image of Figure 3(a). This result indicates that, due to salt water permeation, the nanosilica/resin interfaces have been attacked by salt water, weakening the ability of rigid silica particles to deflect cracking paths. Therefore, the fracture surface of SiO₂-CFRP becomes smoother. For PDA-SiO₂-CFRP, as shown in Figure 5(d)-(f), significant amount of resin still adheres to the PDA treated carbon fibre surfaces. Although the resin surfaces are less rough, the PDA layers do not show significant damage. Therefore, most of deboning comes from the epoxy resin fracture, indicating that the salt water on the PDA layer may serve as an effective layer to slow the deterioration effects of swelling on the interfaces.

4. Conclusion

In this study, with the use of hybrid enhancements of CFRP laminates by nanosilica and polydopamine, CFRP shows significant improvement of mechanical properties. Increments of 39% in Mode I interlaminar fracture toughness and 26% in interlaminar shear strength were obtained for the PDA-SiO₂-CFRP compared with those of the neat CFRP. The enhanced mechanism was mainly the result of the enhancement of interfacial bonding among epoxy and carbon fibres by the PDA and the toughening of rigid nanosilica particles by the epoxy matrix. In the marine environment, the mechanical properties deteriorated with an increase in time. However, that deterioration could be effectively offset by the

combined enhancements from nanosilica and PDA, an outcome that successfully demonstrates a promising use of CFRP laminates under marine environments.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the use of South Australian nodes of the Australian Microscopy & Microanalysis Research Facility (AMMRF) and Australian National Fabrication Facility (ANFF) at Flinders University. W Han is grateful for the research training program scholarship during his PhD study.

References

[1] Maugis D. Subcritical crack growth, surface energy, fracture toughness, stick-slip and embrittlement. J Mater Sci 1985; 20 (9): 3041-3073.

[2] Ghasemnejad H, Hadavinia H, Aboutorabi A. Effect of delamination failure in crashworthiness analysis of hybrid composite box structures. Mater Des 2010; 31(3): 1105-1116.

[3] Maksimov IL. Thermomechanical fracture instability and stick-slip crack propagation.Appl Phys Lett 1989; 55 (1): 42-44.

[4] Kinloch AJ, Williams JG. Crack blunting mechanisms in polymers. J Mater Sci 1980;15: 987-996.

[5] Lee C, Wei X, Kysar JW, Hone J. Measurement of the elastic properties and intrinsic strength of monolayer graphene. Science 2008; 321 (5887): 385-388.

[6] Qin W, Vautard F, Drzal LT, Yu J. Mechanical and electrical properties of carbon fiber composites with incorporation of graphene nanoplatelets at the fiber-matrix interphase.Compos Part B 2015; 69: 335-341.

[7] Wicks SS, Villoria RG, Wardle BL, Interlaminar and intralaminar reinforcement of composite laminates with aligned carbon nanotubes. Compos Sci Technol 2010; 70 (1): 20-28.

[8] Chi Y, Chu J, Chen M, Li C, Mao W, et al. Directly deposited graphene nanowalls on carbon fiber for improving the interface strength in composites. Appl Phys Lett 2016; 108 (21): 211601.

[9] Han W, Chen S, Campbell J, Zhang XJ, Tang Y. Nanosilica enhanced fracture toughness and wear properties of epoxy under marine environment. Mater Chem Phys 2016; 177: 147-155.

[10] Sprenger S. Fiber-reinforced composites based on epoxy resins modified with elastomers and surface-modified silica nanoparticles. J Mater Sci 2014; 49: 2391-2402
[11] Irshidat MR, Al-Saleh MH, Almashagbeh H. Effect of carbon nanotubes on strengthening of RC beams retrofitted with carbon fiber/epoxy composites. Mater Des 2016; 89: 225-234.

[12] Zhang RL, Gao B, Du WT, Zhang J, Cui HZ, et al. Enhanced mechanical properties of multiscale carbon fiber/epoxy composites by fiber surface treatment with graphene oxide/polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxane. Compos Part A 2016; 84: 455-463.
[13] Zhang P, Ma L, Fan F, Zeng Z, Peng C, et al. Fracture toughness of graphene. Nat Commun 2014; 5: 3782.

[14] Zhang X, Fan X, Yan C, Li H, Zhu Y, Li X, Yu L. Interfacial microstructure and properties of carbon fiber composites modified with graphene oxide. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2012; 4 (3): 1543-1552.

[15] Yang L, Phua SL, Teo JKH, Toh CL, Lau SK, Ma J, et al. A biomimetic approach to enhancing interfacial interactions: polydopamine-coated clay as reinforcement for epoxy resin. ACS Appl Mater. Interfaces 2011; 3: 3026-3032.

[16] Hu X, Qi R, Zhu J, Lu J, Luo Y, Jin J, et al. Preparation and properties of dopamine reduced graphene oxide and its composites of epoxy. J Appl Polym Sci 2014; 131: 39754.

[17] Chen S, Cao Y, Feng J. Polydopamine as an efficient and robust platform to functionalize carbon fiber for high-performance polymer composites. ACS Appl Mater. Interfaces 2014; 6: 349-356.

[18] Han W, Zhang H, Tavakoli J, Campbell J, Tang Y. Polydopamine as sizing on carbonfiber surfaces for enhancement of epoxy laminated composites. Compos Part A 2018, doi:10.1016/j.compositesa.2018.02.003.

[19] Bastioli C, Casciola M and Romano G. Role of the interface in composite materials during water ageing. In: Proceedings of the third international conference oncomposite interfaces, Cleveland, OH, 21–24 May 1990, pp. 569–581.

[20] Blaga A. Water sorption characteristics of GRP composite: effect of outdoor weathering. Polym Compos 1981; 2(1): 13–17.

[21] Alvarez VA and Analia V. Effect of water sorption on the flexural properties of fully biodegradable composites. J Compos Mater 2004; 38: 1165–1181.

[22] Csaba S, Hiroyuki H and Kiyohito K. Blister appearance in thermoplastic composites.Adv Compos Mater 2001; 10: 63–75.

[23] Kootsooks A and Maritz AP. Seawater durability of glass- and carbon-polymer composites. Compos Sci Technol 2004; 64: 1503–1511.

[24] Qu KG, Zheng Y, Jiao, Y, Zhang XX, Dai S, Qiao SZ. Polydopamine-inspired, dual heteroatom-doped carbon nanotubes for highly efficient overall water splitting. Adv Energy Mater 2017; 1602068.

[25] Mouritz AP, Baini C, Herszberg I. Mode I interlaminar fracture toughness properties of advanced textile fiberglass composites. Compos Part A 1999; 30: 859-870.
[26] Tsai JL, Huang BH, Cheng YL. Enhancing fracture toughness of glass/epoxy composites by using rubber particles together with silica nanoparticles. J Compos Mater 2009; 43 (25): 3107-3123

[27] Zeng Y, Liu HY, Mai YW, Du XS. Improving interlaminar fracture toughness of carbon fibre/epoxy laminates by incorporation of nano-particles. Compos Part B 2012; 43: 90-94.

[28] Carolan D, Kinloch AJ, Ivankovic A, Sprenger S, Taylor AC. Mechanical and fracture performance of carbon fibre reinforced composites with nanoparticle modified matrices. Procedia Structural Integrity 2016; 1: 96-103.

[29] Zhang XQ, Fan XY, Yan C, Li HZ, Zhu YD, Li XT, and Yu LP. Interfacial microstructure and properties of carbon fiber composites modified with graphene oxide. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2012; 4: 1543-1552.

[30] Srivastava VK., Gries T, Veit D, Quadflieg T, Mohr B, Kolloch M. Effect of nanomaterial on mode I and mode II interlaminar fracture toughness of woven carbon fabric reinforced polymer composites. Eng Fract Mech 2017; 180: 73-86.

[31] Han W, Dehbari N, Chen S, Jung T, Sammut K, Tang Y. Synergistic effects of coupling agent and nanoparticle on enhancing glass fiber/ polystyrene laminates for harsh

environment applications. Appl Compos Mater (Under review)

[32] Yu Y, Yang X, Wang L, Liu H. Hygrothermal aging on pultruded carbon fiber/vinyl ester resin composite for sucker rod application. J Reinf Plast Compos 2006; 25:149-160.

Acceleration



Figure 1. Schematic drawing demonstrating the hybrid enhancement strategy by toughening epoxy matrix with nanosilica and enhancing the interface by applying polydopamine on carbon fibre surfaces.



Figure 2. (a) Typical load-crack opening displacement curves obtained from Mode 1 interlaminar fracture toughness tests and (b) typical Mode I delamination crack growth resistance curves (R-curves) for different laminates.



Figure 3. Schematic drawings of failure mechanism for (a) neat CFRP; (b) SiO₂-CFRP; (c) PDA-CFRP and (d) PDA-SiO₂-CFRP, with corresponding SEM images of the fractured surfaces after model I interlaminar fracture toughness characterizations.



Figure 4. (a) Model I interlaminar fracture toughness and (b) interlaminar shear strength of neat CFRP, SiO₂-CFRP and PDA-SiO₂-CFRP laminates with different salt spray durations used to simulate marine environment effects on laminate performance.



Figure 5. SEM images of fracture surfaces of (a)-(c) SiO₂-CFRP and (d)-(f) PDA-SiO₂-CFRP laminates (a) and (d) before salt spray testing and (b) and (e) after 1 week and (c) and (f) 3 weeks of salt spray testing.

Table	1.	Selected	interla	minar	fracture	toughness	and	interlaminar	shear	strength	values

	G_{IC} (KJ/m ²)	Improvement (%)	Ref.	
CFRP	0.54 ± 0.02	-		
2% SiO ₂ -CFRP	0.63 ± 0.02	17		
3%PDA-2% SiO ₂ -CFRP	0.75 ± 0.01	39		
GFRP	0.83	-	26	
10% SiO ₂ -GFRP	0.90	8		
20% SiO ₂ GFRP	0.95	15		
CFRP	0.54	-	27	
4% SiO ₂ -CFRP	0.62	15		
6% SiO ₂ -CFRP	0.64	19		
8% SiO ₂ -CFRP	0.62	15		
10% SiO ₂ -CFRP	0.62	15		
12% SiO ₂ -CFRP	0.61	13		
CFRP	1.25	-	28	
4% SiO ₂ -CFRP	1.17	-6		
8% SiO ₂ -CFRP	1.31	5		
8% SiO ₂ -4%CSR-CFRP	1.52	22		
8% SiO ₂ -8%CSR-CFRP	1.76	41		
	ILSS (MPa)	Improvement (%)		
CFRP	55.7 ± 1.4	-		
2% SiO ₂ -CFRP	59.9 ± 1.6	8		
PDA-2% SiO ₂ -CFRP	67.8 ± 1.6	22		
CFRP	45.5	-	29	
2.5% GO-CFRP	46.0	1		
10% GO-CFRP	50.7	11		
CFRP	44.6	-	30	
3% CB-CFRP	31.8	-29		
3% MWCNTs-CFRP	46.7	5		
	175	7		

with simple nanosilica enhancement on matrix or with current hybrid enhancement.

C	CEDD		
GIC		NA	NA
	SiO ₂ -CFRP	-4%	-9%
	PDA-SiO ₂ -CFRP	-4	-9%
ILSS	CFRP	-9%	NA
	SiO ₂ -CFRP	-5%	-9%
	PDA-SiO2-CFRP	-5%	-9%
	5		
	2		
	N		
	0 1		
	- CD N		
0			
Ŕ			
R			
ß			

Table 2. The reduction rate of salt spray test compared to properties at 0 day of each specimen