International Journal of Infectious Diseases 73 (2018) 30-42

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Infectious Diseases



INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR INFECTIOUS DISEASES

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijid

Reduced transmission of *Mycobacterium africanum* compared to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in urban West Africa



Prince Asare^{a,b,c}, Adwoa Asante-Poku^a, Diana Ahu Prah^a, Sonia Borrell^{d,e}, Stephen Osei-Wusu^a, Isaac Darko Otchere^a, Audrey Forson^f, Gloria Adjapong^g, Kwadwo Ansah Koram^a, Sebastien Gagneux^{d,e}, Dorothy Yeboah-Manu^{a,*}

^a Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research (NMIMR), University of Ghana, Legon, Ghana

^b West African Centre for Cell Biology of Infectious Pathogens, University of Ghana, Legon, Ghana

^c Department of Biochemistry, Cell and Molecular Biology, University of Ghana, Legon, Ghana

^d Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Basel, Switzerland

^e University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

^f Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital, Korle-Bu, Accra, Ghana

^g Centre for Plant Medicine Research, Mampong, Ghana

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 December 2017 Received in revised form 23 May 2018 Accepted 29 May 2018 **Corresponding Editor:** Eskild Petersen, Aarhus, Denmark

Keywords: Mycobacterium tuberculosis Mycobacterium africanum Transmission Molecular epidemiology MIRU-VNTR Spoligotyping

ABSTRACT

Objective: Understanding transmission dynamics is useful for tuberculosis (TB) control. A populationbased molecular epidemiological study was conducted to determine TB transmission in Ghana. *Methods: Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTBC) isolates obtained from prospectively sampled pulmonary TB patients between July 2012 and December 2015 were characterized using spoligotyping and standard 15-locus mycobacterial interspersed repetitive unit variable number tandem repeat (MIRU-VNTR) typing for transmission studies.

Results: Out of 2309 MTBC isolates, 1082 (46.9%) unique cases were identified, with 1227 (53.1%) isolates belonging to one of 276 clusters. The recent TB transmission rate was estimated to be 41.2%. Whereas TB strains of lineage 4 belonging to *M. tuberculosis* showed a high recent transmission rate (44.9%), reduced recent transmission rates were found for lineages of *Mycobacterium africanum* (lineage 5, 31.8%; lineage 6, 24.7%).

Conclusions: The study findings indicate high recent TB transmission, suggesting the occurrence of unsuspected outbreaks in Ghana. The observed reduced transmission rate of *M. africanum* suggests other factor(s) (host/environmental) may be responsible for its continuous presence in West Africa. © 2018 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases.

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is a global health emergency; in 2016 an estimated 10.4 million people got sick, while 1.7 million died of TB (WHO, 2017). In 1993, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared TB a global health emergency and called for more efforts and resources to fight TB. Due largely to the inefficacy of the bacillus Calmette–Guérin (BCG) vaccine against pulmonary TB in adults, the current TB control strategy relies on case detection and treatment under the directly observed therapy short course (DOTs) strategy. The conventional indicators used to assess national control programs under this strategy focus on the proportion of cases that are cured at the end of treatment or whose sputum

microscopy becomes negative after the first 2 months of treatment. Such indicators ignore equally important aspects of TB control, which include the duration of infectivity, the frequency of reactivation, and the risk of progression among the infected contacts, as well as the proportion of TB due to recent transmission.

Understanding transmission dynamics will contribute to knowledge on factors that enhance the spread of the disease, which is useful for developing preventive interventions. Molecular epidemiological studies have been very useful in a number of countries, identifying populations at risk and areas of high transmission, as well as providing much understanding on the prevalence of different *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTBC) strains with varied virulence and drug resistance rates

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2018.05.014

^{*} Corresponding author at: Bacteriology Department, Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research, University of Ghana, LG 581, Legon, Accra, Ghana. *E-mail address*: dyeboah-manu@noguchi.ug.edu.gh (D. Yeboah-Manu).

^{1201-9712/© 2018} The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

(Anderson et al., 2014; Malm et al., 2017; Seto et al., 2017; Varghese et al., 2013; Walker et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2016). These studies have shown that the dynamics of TB transmission vary greatly geographically. Even though Africa harbors a large proportion of the global TB cases, with a current incidence of 254 per 100 000 population (WHO, 2017), population-based molecular epidemio-logical studies needed to understand transmission patterns are rare. The few studies conducted have not been population-based and have lacked an in-depth analysis of the transmission dynamics of MTBC strains belonging to different lineages (Asante-Poku et al., 2016; Glynn et al., 2010; Mulenga et al., 2010).

The molecular typing tools – spacer oligonucleotide typing (spoligotyping) and mycobacterial interspersed repetitive unit variable number tandem repeat (MIRU-VNTR) typing – have been used successfully for strain differentiation in TB transmission studies due to their combined high discriminatory power and reproducibility; furthermore, in combination with epidemiological data, they have been used for the detection of recent TB transmission and outbreaks (Anderson et al., 2014; Barnes and Cave, 2003; Maguire et al., 2002; Surie et al., 2017; Varghese et al., 2013). Currently, the high cost and expertise needed for whole genome sequencing and analysis have precluded its use in population-based studies, and considering capacity building in a low-resource setting like Ghana, spoligotyping and MIRU-VNTR typing remain good alternatives.

TB in humans is caused mainly by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* sensu stricto (MTBss) and *Mycobacterium africanum* (MAF), which are further divided into seven lineages: MTBss lineages 1–4 and 7 (L1–L4 and L7); MAF lineages 5 and 6 (L5 and L6) (Blouin et al., 2012; de Jong et al., 2010). While MTBss is distributed globally, MAF is restricted to West Africa, where it is responsible for up to 50% of TB cases (Gagneux and Small, 2007). Nevertheless, reports mainly from the Gambia where L6 is prevalent, suggest MAF is attenuated compared to MTBss, hence could be outcompeted by MTBss

(de Jong et al., 2010, 2008; Kallenius et al., 1999). However, an 8-year study recently conducted in Ghana found the prevalence of MAF to be fairly constant at approximately 20%, indicating that MAF and MTBss may be transmitted equally (Yeboah-Manu et al., 2016). The objective of this study was to determine the transmission dynamics of TB caused by MTBss and MAF in Ghana.

Methods

Study design and population

This study was a population-based prospective study in which sputum samples were collected from consecutive clinically diagnosed pulmonary TB patients reporting to 12 selected health facilities within an urban setting (Accra Metropolitan Assembly (AMA)) and the rural setting of East Mamprusi District (MamE) (Supplementary material, Figure S1). The study was conducted from July 2012 to December 2015. A pulmonary TB case was defined as an individual with a case of TB that was confirmed both clinically and bacteriologically. Detailed demographic and epidemiological data were obtained from consented participants.

Mycobacterial isolation, species identification, and drug susceptibility testing

The sputum samples were decontaminated and cultured on Lowenstein–Jensen medium to obtain mycobacterial isolates. These isolates were confirmed as MTBC by detecting the MTBC-specific insertion sequence IS6110 using PCR (Yeboah-Manu et al., 2001). In vitro drug susceptibility to isoniazid and rifampicin were determined using either the microplate Alamar Blue cell viability assay, as described elsewhere (Otchere et al., 2016), and/or the GenoType MTBDR*plus* assay (Hain Lifescience), following the manufacturer's protocol (Barnard et al., 2008).



Figure 1. Pipeline for recruited participants and culture-positive TB cases included in the clustering analysis.

*Category described as untypeable for MIRU-VNTR includes isolates with ≥ 2 MIRU loci unamplified (n = 164, 71.3%) and isolates with a double allele at ≥ 2 MIRU loci (n = 66, 28.7%). These isolates were described as suspected mixed infection or laboratory contamination and hence were excluded from further analysis. #Frequency was expressed as the total number of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTBC) isolates obtained.

Geographical distribution and population structure of MTBC in Ghana by spoligotyping.

	Rural, <i>n</i> (%)	Urban, <i>n</i> (%)	Combined, n (%) ^a
MTBC isolates	204 (8.8)	2118 (91.2)	2322
Species distribution			
M. tuberculosis	172 (9.2)	1698 (90.8)	1870 (80.5)
M. africanum	29 (6.6)	410 (93.4)	439 (18.9)
Animal	3 (23.1)	10 (76.9)	13 (0.6)
Human adapted MTBC line	age distributior	1	
Lineage_1	4 (10.5)	34 (89.5)	38 (1.6)
Lineage_2	14 (21.5)	51 (78.5)	65 (2.8)
Lineage_3	1 (3.8)	25 (96.2)	26 (1.1)
Lineage_4	153 (8.8)	1588 (91.2)	1741 (75.4)
Lineage_5	15 (5.2)	274 (94.8)	289 (12.5)
Lineage_6	14 (9.3)	136 (90.7)	150 (6.5)
Lineage_4 sub-lineage dist	ribution		
Cameroon	77 (7.4)	969 (92.6)	1046 (60.1)
Ghana	50 (13.3)	326 (86.7)	376 (21.6)
Haarlem	12 (7.7)	144 (92.3)	156 (9.0)
LAM	7 (14.0)	43 (86.0)	50 (2.9)
Uganda	1 (2.5)	39 (97.5)	40 (2.3)
Other (S, U, X, NEW-1)	5 (9.8)	46 (90.2)	51 (2.9)
Not determined	1 (4.5)	21 (95.5)	22 (1.3)

MTBC, Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex.

^a Proportions stated here are column-wise distributions with respect to the categories of species, lineages or sub-lineages.

Lineage and strain classification

Lineage and strain classification of the MTBC was achieved in a stepwise manner using large sequence polymorphism typing identifying regions of difference 4, 9, 12, 702, and 711 (de Jong et al., 2010; Gagneux and Small, 2007), single nucleotide polymorphism typing, spoligotyping (Kamerbeek et al., 1997), and MIRU-VNTR typing (Supply et al., 2006). For MIRU-VNTR typing, a customized set of 8 MIRU loci was first used, as described by Asante-Poku et al. (2014), and clustered cases were resolved by analyzing the remaining 7 loci of the standard MIRU-15 loci set (Supply et al., 2006). All assays were well controlled with PCR amplifications and pre-PCR procedures conducted in physically separated compartments to avoid laboratory cross-contamination. The presence of more than one allelic repeat number (multiple allele) for any given locus is suggestive of laboratory cross-contamination, multiple strain infection, or microevolution of a single strain. To prevent

bias resulting from cross-contamination and multiple strain infection, isolates with multiple alleles at more than one MIRU locus (described as 'untypeable') were excluded from further analysis. Isolates with only one multiple allele at any given locus were, however, included due to the possibility of microevolution.

The spoligotyping patterns and assigned shared type numbers obtained were defined according to the SITVITWEB database (http://www.pasteur-guadeloupe.fr: 8081/SITVIT_ONLINE/), while sub-lineages were assigned based on the MIRU-VNTRplus database (http://www.miru-vntrplus.org) (Allix-Beguec et al., 2008). Strains with no lineage nomenclature data were further identified using the TB lineage database (Shabbeer et al., 2012) or otherwise regarded as orphan strains. A strain was defined as an MTBC isolate with a unique molecular signature, and thus a unique spoligotype pattern and/or a unique MIRU-VNTR allelic pattern for the number of investigated MIRU loci.

Clustering analysis and risk factor assessment

Clustering analysis was performed using the categorical parameter and the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) coefficient from a constructed phylogenetic tree using the online MIRU-VNTR tool. Clustering analysis was based on the assumption that strains with the same DNA fingerprint may be epidemiologically linked and associated with recent TB transmission (Hall, 1996). A cluster was defined as two or more isolates (same strain) that share an indistinguishable spoligotype and 15-locus MIRU-VNTR allelic pattern, but allowing for one missing allelic data at any one of the difficult-to-amplify MIRU loci (VNTR 2163, 3690, and 4156). The size of a cluster was also defined using the total number of isolates in the cluster classified into categories of small (2 isolates), medium (3–5 isolates), large (6–20 isolates), and very large (>20 isolates).

The recent transmission rate was estimated using the n-1 formula (Glynn et al., 1999): $\frac{(nc-c)}{n}$, where *nc* is the total number of clustered cases, *c* is the number of clusters, and *n* is the total number of cases in the sample.

Only one strain per participant was included in the analysis, and follow-up cases were excluded. The clustering analysis was stratified first by location and then by MTBC lineage. The spatial distribution and clustering among all of the observed Spoligo/MIRU strain types were studied by constructing a minimum spanning tree (MST) with Bionumerics software (Applied Maths, Sint-Marteen-Latem, Belgium).



Figure 2. Temporal distribution of 2309 Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTBC) isolates stratified by lineage. Lineages are color-coded with the universally accepted color codes for the main MTBC lineages.

Table 2a

Clustering analysis stratified by lineages and major sub-lineage populations of MTBC.

Lineage	Isolates (n)	Clustered cases (c)	Clustered strains (nc)	Single cases (s)	Total strain types (s+c)	Clustering rate ^a (%)
Lineage 1	38	3	7	31	34	10.5
Lineage 2	65	8	43	22	30	53.8
Lineage 3	26	2	4	22	24	7.7
Lineage 4	1741	201	982	759	960	44.9
Cameroon ^b	1046	123	614	432	555	46.9
Ghana ^b	376	36	206	170	206	45.2
Haarlem ^b	156	23	91	65	88	43.6
LAM ^b	50	6	25	25	31	38.0
Uganda ^b	40	5	16	24	29	27.5
Lineage 5	289	51	143	146	197	31.8
Lineage 6	150	11	48	102	113	24.7
Summary ^c	2309	276	1227	1082	1358	41.2

MTBC, Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex.

^a The clustering rate was used to estimate the recent transmission rate.

^b Major lineage 4 sub-population.

^c The summary was calculated using only the items in cells corresponding to the six main lineages.

Table 2b	
Clustering analysis stratified by study	setting and lineages/major sub-lineage populations of MTBC.

Lineage	Isolates (n)		Clustered	cases (c)	Clustered	strains (nc)	Single ca	ases (s)	Total strair	n types (s+c)	Clustering	g rate ^a (%)
	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural
Lineage 1	34	4	3	0	7	0	27	4	30	4	11.8	0
Lineage 2	51	14	5	1	33	4	18	10	23	11	54.9	21.4
Lineage 3	25	1	2	0	4	0	21	1	23	1	8	0
Lineage 4	1588	153	183	10	907	25	681	128	864	138	45.6	9.8
Cameroon ^b	969	77	112	5	575	10	394	67	506	72	47.8	6.5
Ghana ^b	326	50	32	4	182	12	144	38	176	42	46	16
Haarlem ^b	144	12	20	1	81	3	63	9	83	10	42.4	16.7
LAM ^b	43	7	6	0	25	0	18	7	24	7	44.2	0
Uganda ^b	39	1	5	0	16	0	23	1	28	1	28.2	0
Lineage 5	274	15	49	0	137	0	137	15	186	15	32.1	0
Lineage 6	136	14	10	0	43	0	93	14	103	14	24.3	0
Summary ^c	2108	201	252	11	1131	29	977	172	1229	183	41.7	9

MTBC, Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex.

^a The clustering rate was used to estimate the recent transmission rate.

^b Major lineage 4 sub-population.

^c The summary was calculated using only the items in cells corresponding to the six main lineages.

Data management and analysis

Both molecular and epidemiological data were analyzed. Epidemiological data retrieved from all participants with positive MTBC cultures were included in the analysis while excluding data from those with no growth, contaminated cultures, and isolated non-tuberculous mycobacterial species. All statistical analyses were conducted using the Stata statistical package version 14.2 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA). The association of specific lineages and/or sub-lineages of the MTBC with time and/or geographical locations were explored using the Chi-square test and a logistic regression model. For the determination of independent predictive factors for recent TB transmission, a multivariate analysis (forward stepwise approach with a probability entry of 0.1) was conducted using a logistic regression model while estimating the odds ratios (OR). *p*-Values of <0.05 were considered significant.

The study is reported according to the Strengthening the Reporting of Molecular Epidemiology for Infectious Diseases (STROME-ID) guidelines (Field et al., 2016).

Results

Characteristics of study participants

A total 3303 sputum smear-positive pulmonary TB cases were recruited, 382 (11.6%) from the rural setting and 2921 (88.4%) from the urban setting; 2604 (78.8%) MTBC isolates were obtained from

these cases (Supplementary material, Table S1). After excluding 13 *Mycobacterium bovis* and isolates that were untypeable (described in the Methods section), 2309 of 2604 isolates (88.7%) were included for clustering analysis. The participants comprised 1631 (71%) males and 663 (29%) females (there was no record of sex for 15 participants) with a median age of 39 years (range 3–91 years) and 33 years (range 4–90 years), respectively (Figure 1; Supplementary material, Table S1). The male-to-female ratio observed was comparable to the national average of approximately 2:1.

Of the 2309 participants with MTBC genotyping results, 201 (8.7%) were from the rural setting and 2108 (91.3%) from the urban setting. Among this study cohort, 7.4% (184/2482) of participants were previously treated cases including relapse, which is similar to the national value of 7.0% (WHO, 2015). Seventy-one percent (1561/2208) presented with a sputum smear microscopy bacterial burden result of at least 2+ and 33% (544/1665) admitted having contact with at least one TB patient. In a multivariate logistic regression analysis, it was found that male patients were less likely to be infected with a L5 strain (adjusted OR 0.7, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.5–0.9) and individuals living in villages were more likely to be infected with a L6 strain (OR 6.6, 95% CI 1.2–36.1) (Supplementary material, Table S2).

Population structure and recent transmission rate estimation

Among the 2309 MTBC isolates analyzed for clustering, 1870 (81.0%) were MTBss and 439 (19.0%) were MAF. Six of the seven



Figure 3. Cluster distribution and size stratified by lineage (panel A and C) and sub-lineage (panel B and D). *p < 0.001, #p = 0.118, p = 0.565.



Figure 4. Minimum spanning tree (MST) representation of the clustering of 2322 Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTBC) isolates from Accra Metropolitan Assembly and East Mamprusi District built with Bionumerics software. The color code reflects the main MTBC lineages 1 to 6 with the size depicting the number of clustered isolates with an identical strain type.

human-adapted MTBC lineages were found, with L4, L5, and L6 being most frequent: 1741 (75.4%), 289 (12.5%), and 150 (6.5%) isolates, respectively (Table 1). The relative proportions of the most frequent MTBC lineages remained constant over the entire 3.5-year study period (p_{trend} : L4 p=0.72, L5 p=0.84, L6 p=0.25; Figure 2).

Of the 2309 isolates included for clustering analysis, 1227 (53.1%) isolates clustered in 276 different clusters with a mean cluster size of 4 (range 2–35) and 1082 (46.9%) unique isolates were identified, giving a total of at least 1358 different MTBC strains circulating within the study population (Table 2a). Using the n - 1 method, the overall clustering rate (reflecting the recent transmission rate) was

Characteristics of large molecular clusters resulting from combined 15-MIRU and spoligotyping cluster analysis.

Number	Cluster code ^a	Number of cases in cluster	Sex, male: female	Median age (IQR)	Diagnosis lapse ^b (months)	Same residential district ^c	Known risk factor (number) ^d	Lineage (sub- lineage)	Drug resistance ^e
1	MSC4063.X	35	31:4	34 (26–44)	40	7/5/5/4/4/5	Smoking (6) Other (8)	L4 (Cameroon)	3
2	MSC4060.X	34	24:10	34 (25-45)	41	6/4/3/3/3/3	Smoking (6) Other (5)	L4 (Cameroon)	4
3	MSC4045.X	30	26:4	40 (29-48)	39	7/3/3/3/3	Smoking (5) HIV (4) Other (3)	L4 (Cameroon)	2
4	MSC2001	27	22:5	35 (27–48)	37	8/5	Smoking (8) HIV (4) Other (2)	L2 (Beijing)	1
5	MSC4031	26	19:7	41 (33–52)	36	6/4/3	Smoking (6) HIV (3) Other (1)	L4 (Ghana)	11
6	MSC4110	26	21:5	38 (28–51)	39	6/3	Smoking (5) HIV (1) Other (4)	L4 (Ghana)	ND
7	MSC4095	24	16:8	35 (24-45)	39	7/6	Smoking (5) Other (2)	L4 (Ghana)	9
8	MSC4027	21	16:5	27 (25-45)	40	6/3	Smoking (3)	L4 (Ghana)	3
9	MSC4063.3	19	18:1	28 (21-45)	41	5/5	Smoking (7)	L4 (Cameroon)	ND
10	MSC4063.18	18	10:7	35 (24–41)	36	6/3	Smoking (4) HIV (1)	L4 (Cameroon)	ND
11	MSC4013	15	13:2	42 (32–55)	32	4/3	Smoking (3) HIV (2)	L4 (Haarlem)	2
12	MSC4136	15	13:2	36 (28-44)	34	6	Other (2) Smoking (2) HIV (1)	L4 (Haarlem)	ND
13	MSC4040	14	8:6	31 (27–45)	33	3/3	HIV (1) Other (4)	L4 (Cameroon)	1
14	MSC4069.X	14	11:3	27 (23–38)	34	6/3	Smoking (2) HIV (2) Other (1)	L4 (Cameroon)	ND
15	MSC4073	14	9:5	40 (29-47)	24	5/4	Smoking (3)	L4 (Cameroon)	3
16	MSC5002.X	14	7:7	40 (38–53)	28	5	HIV (2) Smoking (1)	L5 (West African I)	2
17	MSC4063.2	13	8:4	37 (27–44)	38	4	Smoking (2) Other (3)	L4 (Cameroon)	ND
18	MSC4068.X	13	9:4	35 (30–44)	27	5/3	Smoking (6) HIV (2) Other (2)	L4 (Cameroon)	ND
19	MSC4024	12	6:5	28 (26-42)	37	3	Smoking (2) Other (1)	L4 (X3)	4
20	MSC4060.18	12	7:5	35 (32–40)	36	3/3	Smoking (2) HIV (2) Other (3)	L4 (Cameroon)	1
21	MSC4063.17	12	7:5	26 (24–51)	39	ND	Smoking (4) HIV (1) Other (3)	L4 (Cameroon)	2
22	MSC4138	11	7:4	41 (30-48)	28	4	Smoking (4)	L4 (LAM)	ND
23	MSC4069.3	10	5:5	32 (24–39)	31	3	Smoking (1) HIV (1)	L4 (Cameroon)	2
24	MSC4104	10	7:2	35 (25–54)	34	5	Smoking (3) Other (1)	L4 (Ghana)	6
25	MSC6006	10	4:6	41 (35–47)	33	5/3	Smoking (1) Other (2)	L6 (West African II)	ND
26	MSC4045.3	9	7:2	43 (32–50)	33	3	Smoking (1) Other (1)	L4 (Cameroon)	1
27	MSC4060.21	9	6:3	32 (26-43)	22	ND	HIV (1) Other (2)	L4 (Cameroon)	ND
28	MSC4060.3	9	5:4	32 (25–53)	34	3	Smoking (1) Other (2)	L4 (Cameroon)	ND
29	MSC4193	9	9:0	36 (30-41)	28	ND	Smoking (6) HIV (1) Other (2)	L4 (Cameroon)	ND
30	MSC4068.3	8	6:2	45 (34–54)	27	2	Smoking (2) HIV (1)	L4 (Cameroon)	ND
31	MSC4022	7	6:1	50 (46-62)	36	4	Smoking (1) Other (1)	L4 (Haarlem)	ND
32	MSC4060.4	7	3:4	34 (30-49)	22	5	Smoking (1)	L4 (Cameroon)	ND
33	MSC4080.13	7	4:3	24 (17-50)	20	3	Smoking (1)	L4 (Cameroon)	1
34	MSC4082	7	6:1	35 (28-40)	33	3	Smoking (1)	L4 (Ghana)	ND
35	MSC4107	7	7:0	38 (29–53)	28	3/3	Smoking (2) Other (1)	L4 (Ghana)	1

Table 3 (Continued)

Number	Cluster code ^a	Number of cases in cluster	Sex, male: female	Median age (IQR)	Diagnosis lapse ^b (months)	Same residential district ^c	Known risk factor (number) ^d	Lineage (sub- lineage)	Drug resistance ^e
36	MSC5003.2	7	4:3	35 (26–57)	33	ND	HIV (1)	L5 (West African I)	1
37	MSC5003.X	7	7:0	43 (26-66)	34	3	Smoking (2) Other (1)	L5 (West African I)	ND
38	MSC6004	7	5:2	44 (36–50)	31	ND	HIV (1) Other (3)	L6 (West African II)	3

MIRU, mycobacterial interspersed repetitive unit; L2, lineage 2; L4, lineage 4; L5, lineage 5; L6, lineage 6; ND, none determined; IQR, interquartile range.

^a Cluster codes in bold font involved evidence of household transmission.

 $^{\rm b}\,$ Time lapse (in months) between first diagnosed case and last diagnosed case.

^c Number of participants with the same district of residence. Only >2 individuals in the same residential district are indicated. '/' is used to separate individuals from different districts.

^d 'Other' in this category refers to alcohol or substance abuse.

^e Number of participants carrying strains with drug resistance to either isoniazid or rifampicin.

estimated to be 41.2%. Lineages 2, 4, and 5 contributed high clustering rates of 53.8%, 44.9%, and 31.8%, respectively (Table 2a). The Cameroon, Ghana, and Haarlem sub-lineages of L4 were the most abundant sub-lineages and, compared to the LAM sub-lineage, contributed significantly to the observed high L4 clustering rate (p < 0.05) (Figure 3). There was no significant difference in the clustering rate between the Cameroon and Ghana sub-lineages (p=0.57) (Figure 3). While no significant difference in the recent transmission rates was seen between members of MAF (L5 and L6, p = 0.118), it was found that L4 was transmitted significantly more (p < 0.001), with seven of its clusters having very large cluster sizes (>20 isolates per cluster) made up of the Ghana sub-lineage (four very large clusters) and Cameroon sub-lineage (three very large clusters) (Figure 3; Supplementary material, Figure S2). Notwithstanding the lower transmissibility of L5 and L6 compared to L4, four large clusters were also observed for each of these lineages. The urban and rural settings had estimated recent transmission rates of 41.7% and 9.0%, respectively.

Exploring the diversity and clustering within the MTBC lineages

Very large molecular clusters (clusters with >20 isolates; defined in the Methods section) were observed for L4, in addition to one strikingly large cluster belonging to the Beijing family of lineage 2 (Figure 4; Supplementary material, Figure S3). Generally, only a few multidrug-resistant MTBC strains were observed across all the major lineages (Supplementary material, Figures S4–S6). There was no single large cluster with all isolates being multidrug-resistant (Supplementary material, Figure S4). The spatial distributions of the isolates constituting each cluster stratified by study setting are shown in the Supplementary material, Figures S7–S9.

Molecular epidemiology and factors associated with clustering: logistic regression modeling

Risk factors associated with recent TB transmission were sought. A total of 675 individuals belonging to either large (6–20 isolates) or very large (>20 isolates) molecular clusters were identified, with a combined median cluster size of 14 (range 6–35). The majority of the individuals belonging to very large clusters were male, with a male-to-female ratio of approximately 3:1, significantly higher than the 2:1 ratio observed in the general TB patient population (p=0.022). Three large clusters – cluster ID MSC4193, MSC5003.X, and MSC4107, with cluster sizes of 9, 7, and 7 respectively – involved only male subjects (Table 3).

Epidemiological investigations revealed both localized and dispersed recent transmission among the clustered cases, with suggested evidence of household transmission in at least six large clusters (MSC4063.X, MSC2001, MSC4095, MSC4063.18, MSC4069. X, and MSC4104). Specifically, the same L4 strain (part of cluster

MSC4069.X) was found among three individuals belonging to the same household, with the oldest person (age 49 years) reporting having contact with his son who had TB 4 months prior to his episode (suggestive of household transmission). The majority of the large clusters involved TB strains circulating over almost the entire study period (Supplementary material, Figure S10). Apart from three Ghana sub-lineage clusters (MSC4104, MSC4031, and MSC4095) and one L6 cluster (MSC6004), with respectively 60% (6/ 10), 42% (11/26), 38% (9/24), and 43% (3/7) of isolates showing resistance to rifampicin and/or isoniazid (Table 3), such high levels of drug resistance were not observed in the other large and very large clusters. Only 2% of the isolates belonging to large and very large clusters were multidrug-resistant TB strains and this was significantly lower than that for small (2 isolates) and medium (3–5 isolates) (4%) clusters (p=0.031).

For the determination of possible factors associated with recent TB transmission, a general logistic regression model including all MTBC lineages was first performed, using the event of belonging to a clustered case as the outcome variable and participant variables as possible predictors (Table 4). In a separate logistic regression model, risk factors associated with recent TB transmission were tested stratified independently by L4 and L5 (Table 5), excluding L6 due to the limited sample size. In the multivariable analysis for the general logistic regression model, it was found that harboring either an isoniazid- or rifampicin-resistant MTBC strain (adjusted OR 0.7, 95% CI 0.5–0.9) was associated with a lower odds of belonging to a clustered case (Table 4). All other factors such as education status, occupation, income level, ethnicity, religion, and HIV status had no association with recent TB transmission.

Finally, using adjusted predictions, it was found that the probability of belonging to a clustered case decreased with age and increased with the number of TB contacts (Figure 5). In a separate logistic regression analysis, including age as a continuous variable with belonging to a clustered case as the outcome variable, it was found that each year increase in age was significantly associated with an approximately 1% (95% Cl 0.13–2.00%) decrease in the odds of a TB patient being part of a recent transmission event (p = 0.007).

Discussion

The aims of this study were to conduct a population-based prospective molecular epidemiological study to analyze the transmission dynamics of MTBC strains circulating in Ghana and to identify risk factors associated with recent TB transmission.

A high MTBC isolate recovery rate of 78.8% was obtained, higher than that reported in similar studies (Hamblion et al., 2016; Mears et al., 2015) and this strengthens the power of the sample size to make assessments of the TB transmission rate in Ghana. This study identified a high TB clustering (recent TB transmission) rate of 41.2%, which is quite alarming, with the urban and rural areas

Logistic regression analysis of risk factors associated with TB clustering (recent TB transmission).

Variable	MTBC (N=2309)		Univariate		Multivariate ^a		
	Total TB cases, n (%)	Clustered cases ^b , n (%)	OR (95% CI)	p-Value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	p-Value	
Vear diagnosed	2309 (100)	1229 (53.2)					
2012	244 (10.6)	147(60.3)	1.4 (1.0-1.8)	0.043	1.3(0.9-1.7)	0.113	
2013	776 (33.6)	410 (52.8)	Reference	0 0 10	10(0017)	0 110	
2014	707 (30.6)	365 (51.6)	1.0(0.8-1.2)	0.642	0.9(0.7-1.1)	0.203	
2015	582 (25.2)	307(52.8)	1.0(0.8-1.2)	0.975	1.0(0.8-1.2)	0.703	
2010	002 (20 2)	567(82 8)	10(0012)	0070	10(0012)	0,00	
Sex	2294 (99.4)						
Male	1631 (71.1)	863 (52.9)	1.0 (0.8–1.2)	0.685			
Female	663 (28.9)	357 (53.8)	Reference				
Age (years) ^c	2224 (96.3)						
<15	37 (1.7)	25 (67.6)	1.6(0.8-3.3)	0.183	1.6 (0.8–3.2)	0.221	
15–29	639 (28.7)	360 (56·3)	Reference				
30–39	570 (25.6)	307 (53.9)	0.9 (0.7–1.1)	0.387	0.9(0.7-1.2)	0.688	
40–59	778 (35.0)	398 (51.2)	0.8(0.7-1.0)	0.052	0.9(0.7-1.1)	0.241	
>59	200 (9.0)	97 (48.5)	0.7(0.5-1.0)	0.053	0.9(0.6-1.1)	0.211	
Nationality	1701 (77.1)						
Nationality	1/81(7/1) 1714(06.2)	022 (54.4)	Poforonco				
Othor	1714(90.2)	932 (34·4) 28 (56·7)	11(0.7, 1.9)	0.706			
Locality	2200 (100)	1220 (52.2)	1.1 (0.7-1.8)	0.700			
Rural	201 (8.7)	74 (36.8)	Reference				
Urban	201 (877)	1155 (54.8)	2.1(1.5-2.8)	<0.001			
Ofball	2108 (91.5)	1155 (54-8)	2.1 (1.3-2.8)	<0.001			
Residence classification	1642 (71.1)						
Village	$69(4\cdot 2)$	27 (39.1)	0.5(0.3-0.8)	0.007			
Town	182 (11.1)	96 (52.7)	0.9(0.6-1.2)	0.415			
City residential area	52 (3.2)	27 (51.9)	0.8 (0.5-1.5)	0.564			
City suburb	1136 (69.2)	636 (56.0)	Reference				
City slum	203 (12.4)	112 (55.2)	1.0 (0.7-1.3)	0.83			
Residential district	1538 (66.6)						
Ablekuma	545 (35.4)	298 (54.7)	Reference				
Ashiedu Keteke	170 (11.1)	100 (58.8)	1.2 (0.8–1.7)	0.343			
Ayawaso	220 (14·3)	124 (56·4)	1·1 (0·8 to 1·5)	0.672			
Kpeshie	224(14.6)	121 (54.0)	1.0 (0.7–1.3)	0.867			
Mamprusi East	70 (4.6)	22 (31.4)	0.4 (0.2-0.6)	<0.001			
Okaikoi	176 (11.4)	98 (55.7)	1.0 (0.7 to 1.5)	0.816			
Osu Klottey	133 (8.6)	78 (58.7)	1.2 (0.8–1.7)	0.409			
Household type	1624 (70-3)	221 (52.6)	10(0010)	0 707			
Self-contained	412 (25.4)	221 (53.6)	1.0(0.8-1.2)	0.797			
Compound house	1212 (74.6)	659 (54-4)	Reference				
Education	1748 (75.7)						
Drimary	222 (12.7)	125 (56.3)	1.1(0.8-1.5)	0.637			
Middle/IHS	637 (36.4)	347 (54.5)	Reference	0 057			
Secondary	429 (24.5)	232 (54-1)	1.0(0.8-1.3)	0.899			
Tertiary	190 (10.9)	110 (57.9)	1.1(0.8-1.6)	0.405			
No education	270 (15.4)	141(52.2)	0.9(0.7-1.2)	0.534			
no culculon	270 (10 1)	111 (02 2)	00(0712)	0001			
Occupation	1722 (74.6)						
Unemployed	390 (22.6)	208 (53.3)	0.9 (0.7-1.1)	0.423			
Unskilled	951 (55-2)	530 (55.7)	Reference				
Skilled	381 (22.1)	198 (52.0)	0.9 (0.7-1.1)	0.213			
Monthly income (GH¢)	1622 (70.2)						
None	371 (22.9)	213 (57.4)	Reference				
<301	807 (49.7)	438 (54·3)	0.9 (0.7–1.1)	0.315			
301-1000	407 (25.1)	218 (53.6)	0.8 (0.6-1.1)	0.281			
>1000	37 (2·3)	15 (40.5)	0.5 (0.3–1.0)	0.052			
Policion	1771 (70 7)						
Christian	1//1 (/b·/)	720 (64.2)	Deference				
Ullistidii	1001 (17.0)	/ 39 (34·3) 161 (52.3)	Kelerence	0.755			
ISIdIII Other	302 (17·0) 26 (15)	101 (33·3) 14 (53.0)	1.0(0.7 - 1.2) 1.0(0.7 - 2.1)	0.062			
Not religious	20 (1·5) 82 (4.6)	49 (59.7)	1.2 (0.8-2.0	0.366			
HOU ICHEIOUS	02 (4.0)		1.2 (0.0-2.0	0.200			
Ethnicity	1760 (76.4)						
Akan	570 (32.3)	309 (54.2)	Reference				
Ewe	259 (14.7)	143 (55.2)	1.0(0.8-1.4)	0.788			
Ga/Adangbe	544 (30.8)	310 (57.0)	1.1 (0.9–1.4)	0.352			
Other	392 (22.2)	196 (50.0)	0.8 (0.6-1.1)	0.199			
	· · ·						

Table 4 (Continued)

MiBC (N = 2309) Univariate Multivariat Total TB cases, n (%) Clustered cases ^b , n (%) OR (95% Cl) p -Value Adjusted O Marital status 1758 (76·1) 1758 (76·1) Single 766 (43·6) 431 (56·3) Reference Married 742 (42·2) 395 (53·2) 0·9 (0·7-1·1) 0·237 Divorced 167 (9·5) 99 (59·3) 1·1 (0·8-1·6) 0·476 Widowed 83 (4·7) 35 (42·2) 0·6 (0·3-0·9) 0·015	e" R (95% Cl) p-Value
Total TB cases, n (%) Clustered cases ^b , n (%) OR (95% CI) p-Value Adjusted O Marital status 1758 (76·1)	R (95% Cl) p-Value
Marital status1758 (76·1)Single766 (43·6)431 (56·3)ReferenceMarried742 (42·2)395 (53·2)0·9 (0·7-1·1)0·237Divorced167 (9·5)99 (59·3)1·1 (0·8-1·6)0·476Widowed83 (4·7)35 (42·2)0·6 (0·3-0·9)0·015	
Marital status 1758 (76·1) Reference Single 766 (43·6) 431 (56·3) Reference Married 742 (42·2) 395 (53·2) 0·9 (0·7–1·1) 0·237 Divorced 167 (9·5) 99 (59·3) 1·1 (0·8–1·6) 0·476 Widowed 83 (4·7) 35 (42·2) 0·6 (0·3–0·9) 0·015	
Single 766 (43·6) 431 (56·3) Reference Married 742 (42·2) 395 (53·2) 0·9 (0·7-1·1) 0·237 Divorced 167 (9·5) 99 (59·3) 1·1 (0·8-1·6) 0·476 Widowed 83 (4·7) 35 (42·2) 0·6 (0·3-0·9) 0·015	
Married742 (42·2)395 (53·2)0·9 (0·7-1·1)0·237Divorced167 (9·5)99 (59·3)1·1 (0·8-1·6)0·476Widowed83 (4·7)35 (42·2)0·6 (0·3-0·9)0·015	
Divorced167 (9.5)99 (59.3)1.1 (0.8–1.6)0.476Widowed83 (4.7)35 (42.2)0.6 (0.3–0.9)0.015	
Widowed83 (4·7)35 (42·2)0·6 (0·3-0·9)0·015	
Smear positivity 2208 (95-6)	
Scanty 1-9 $1/3(7.8)$ 96 (55.5) $1.1(0.8-1.5)$ 0.714	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
2^+ $545(24^+)$ $294(53.9)$ $1.0(0.8-1.2)$ 0.957	
3+ 1015 (46-0) 548 (54-0) Reference	
Previous TB treatment 1727 (75.2)	
Vec 291 (16.8) 153 (52.6) 0.9 (0.7-1.2) 0.535	
No 1446 (3.2) 789 (54.6) Reference	
NO 1446 (05.2) 105 (04.0) Reference	
Risk of TB contact	
Close friend/household 1665 (72-1)	
No contact 1121 (67-3) 594 (53-0) Reference	
1 contact 212 (12-7) 118 (55-7) 1-1 (0-8-1-5) 0-475	
2-5 contacts 309 (18.6) 179 (57.9) 1.2 (0.9-1.6) 0.123	
6-10 contacts 23 (14) 15 (65.2) 1.7 (0.7-4.0) 0.249	
Imprisonment 1660 (71-9)	
Yes 97 (5.8) 56 (57.7) 1.1 (0.8–1.7) 0.513	
No 1563 (94·2) 849 (54·3) Reference	
Health/laboratory worker 1661 (71.9)	
Yes 47 (2·8) 25 (53·2) 0·9 (0·5–1·7) 0·85	
No 1614 (97-2) 881 (54-6) Reference	
Immunosuppressive condition 1695 (73·4)	
Any 893 (52·7) 488 (54·6) 1·0 (0·9-1·2) 0·747	
None 802 (47·3) 432 (53·9) Reference	
Diabetes mellitus 534 (23·1)	
Yes 104 (19·5) 54 (51·9) 1·0 (0·7–1·5) 0·957	
No 430 (80·5) 222 (51·6) Reference	
HIV status 1166 (50·5)	
Positive 144 (12·3) 82 (56·9) 1·1 (0·8–1·6) 0·481	
Negative 1022 (87·7) 550 (53·8) Reference	
Smoking 1518 (65-7)	
Yes $434(28.6)$ $237(54.6)$ $1.0(0.8-1.2)$ 0.949	
No 1084 (71-4) 590 (54-4) Reference	
Substance shure (analysis starket) 1401 (CO 7)	
Substance abuse (excluding alconol) $1401(60.7)$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
No 1201 (90-0) 080 (33-9) Reference	
Substance shuse (including sloopel) 1474 (63.8)	
$V_{ec} = 1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	
No 1014 (68.8) 546 (53.8) Reference	
Lineage 2309 (100)	
Lineare 1 $38(1.7)$ 7 (18.4) $0.2(0.08-0.4)$ < 0.001 0.13(0.05-	-0.36) <0.001
Lineage 2 $65(2.8)$ $43(66.2)$ $1.5(0.9-2.5)$ 0.126 $1.5(0.9-2.5)$	5) 0.155
Lineage 3 $26(11)$ $4(15.4)$ $0.1(0.05-0.4)$ < 0.001 $0.15(0.05-0.4)$	-0.45) 0.001
Lineage 4 1741 (75.4) 984 (56.5) Reference	0 13) 0 001
Lineage 5 $289(12.5)$ $143(49.5)$ $0.8(0.6-1.0)$ 0.026 $0.7(0.6-0.5)$	9) 0.032
Lineage 6 $150(12.5)$ $48(32.0)$ $0.4(0.3-0.5)$ <0.001 $0.3(0.2-0.5)$	5) <0.001
	-, (0.001
Lineage 4 sub-lineage	
Cameroon 1046 (60·1) 616 (58·9) Reference	
Ghana 376 (21-6) 206 (54-8) 0.8 (0-7-1-1) 0.167	
Harlem $156(9.0)$ $91(58.3)$ $1.0(0.7-1.4)$ 0.895	
LAM 50 (2-9) 25 (50-0) 0.7 (0-4-1-2) 0.215	
Uganda $40(2\cdot3)$ $16(40\cdot0)$ $0.5(0\cdot2-0.9)$ 0.02	
Other $51(2.9)$ $26(51.0)$ $0.7(0.4-1.3)$ 0.265	
Not determined 22 (1.3) 4 (18-2) 0.2 (0.1–0.5) 0.001	
Drug resistance 2300 (99-6)	
Any 313 (13·6) 138 (44·1) 0·6 (0·5–0·8) <0·001 0·7 (0·5–0·	9) 0.002

Table 4 (Continued)

Variable	MTBC (N=2309)	MTBC (<i>N</i> = 2309)			Multivariate ^a		
	Total TB cases, n (%)	Clustered cases ^b , n (%)	OR (95% CI)	p-Value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	p-Value	
None	1987 (86-4)	1090 (54.9)	Reference				
Isoniazid mono-resistant	2300 (99.6)						
Yes	295 (12.8)	129 (43.7)	0.6 (0.5-0.8)	<0.001			
No	2005 (87.2)	1099 (54.8)	Reference				
Multidrug resistant (MDR)	2300 (99.6)						
Yes	81 (3.5)	35 (43·2)	0.7 (0.4-1.0)	0.063			
No	2219 (96.5)	1193 (53.8)	Reference				
Cluster size (<i>n</i>)	1227 (53.1)						
Small (2)	290 (23.6)						
Medium (3–5)	262 (21.4)						
Large (6–20)	452 (36.8)						
Very large (>20)	223 (18·2)						

MTBC, Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex; TB, tuberculosis; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; JHS, junior high school; GH¢, Ghanaian cedi.

^a For the multivariate model, only variables with p < 0.1 and with at least 90% of available data were included. However 'locality' was excluded due to the small sample size from the rural setting. Residence classification, marital status, isoniazid mono-resistance, and MDR were excluded due to collinearity with other variables in the model. ^b A cluster was defined as two or more isolates (same strain) that share an indistinguishable spoligotype and 15-locus MIRU-VNTR allelic pattern, but allowing for one missing allelic data at any one of the difficult-to-amplify MIRU loci.

^c A significant decreasing trend in the probability of belonging to a clustered case was found with increasing age category (*p* = 0.004).

having estimated rates of 41.7% and 9.0%, respectively (Table 2b). These findings call for intensifying community outreach programs to encourage early case reporting and infection control. Moreover, the analysis predicted the probability of clustering to generally increase with the increase in the number of TB contacts (Figure 5). This means that a susceptible individual is likely to have TB and be involved in a recently transmitted event as the number of TB contacts increases.

Within the study population, no association of recent TB transmission was found with education status, occupation, income level, ethnicity, religion, or HIV status. However, it was observed that individuals below the age of 30 years were associated with recent TB transmission, and this is similar to observations made elsewhere (Hamblion et al., 2016; Vluggen et al., 2017). Also in this

study, it was observed that each year increase in age was associated with an approximately 1% (95% CI 0.13–2.00; p = 0.007) decrease in the odds of a TB patient being part of a recent transmission event, implying that compared to younger individuals, older individuals are more likely to get active TB disease by reactivation of latent TB infection rather than through a recent transmission event (Hamblion et al., 2016). This finding puts age as a risk factor for recent TB transmission in Ghana. However, this finding was largely driven by L4 and L5, since separate analysis was not valid for L6 due to the small sample size. Furthermore, it was found that the male-to-female ratio among very large clusters was significantly higher than that observed in the general TB patient population (p = 0.022). This finding, together with the observation that some large clusters involved only male subjects, also indicates that males have a higher



Figure 5. Adjusted predictions of the probability of belonging to a clustered case with 95% confidence interval: (A) at the year of diagnosis, (B) while ageing, (C) considering the number of close TB contact(s), and (D) considering the number of circulating *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTBC) lineages.

Risk factors associated with TB clustering: logistic regression analysis stratified by lineage.^a

Variables	Lineage 4 (n	= 1741)	Univariate	Multivariate ^b		Lineage 5 (<i>n</i> = 289)		Univariate	
	TB cases, n (%)	Clustered cases ^c , <i>n</i> (%)	OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	p- Value	TB cases, n (%)	Clustered cases ^c , <i>n</i> (%)	OR (95% CI)	p-Value
Year diagnosed	1741 (100)					289 (100)			
2012	183 (10.5)	120 (65.6)	1·5 (1·1–2·1) *	1.4 (1.0-2.1)	0.062	26 (9.0)	14 (53.8)	1.2 (0.5–2.9)	0.659
2013	568 (32.6)	318 (56.0)	Reference			98 (33·9)	48 (49.0)	Reference	
2014	548 (31.5)	300 (54.7)	1.0 (0.8–1.2)	1.0 (0.7–1.3)	0.847	92 (31.8)	43 (46.7)	0.9 (0.5-1.6)	0.757
2015	442 (25.4)	244 (55·2)	1.0 (0.8–1.2)	1.0 (0.7–1.3)	0.955	73 (25·3)	38 (52.1)	1.2 (0.6–2.1)	0.691
Age (years)	1672					283			
<15	27 (1.6)	20 (74.1)	2.1 (0.9-5.0)			5 (1.8)	3 (60.0)		
15-29	497 (29.7)	289 (58-2)	Reference			78 (27·6)	42 (53.8)		
30-39	432 (25·8)	$252(58\cdot3)$	1.0(0.8-1.3)			68(24.0)	31(45.6)		
40-59	580(34.7)	313 (34·3) 71 (52 2)	0.9(0.7-1.1)			$94(33\cdot 2)$	48(51.1) 16(42.1)		
>59	130 (8.1)	71 (52-2)	0.8 (0.2-1.2)			38 (13-4)	16 (42-1)		
Locality	1741 (100)					289 (100)			
Rural	153 (8.8)	59 (38.6)	Reference			15 (5.2)	4 (26.7)	Reference	
Urban	1588 (91.2)	923 (58.1)	2·2 (1·6–3·1) **			274 (94.8)	139 (50.7)	2.8 (0.9-9.1)	0.081
Deside said disaster	1165					100			
Residential district	1105		D - 6			189	20 (50 7)	Defense	
Adlekuma Ashis da Katala	412 (35.4)	237(57.5)	Reference			77 (40·7) 12 (C.O.)	39 (50.7)	Reference	0.410
Ashledu Keteke	132 (11-3)	81 (61.4)	1.2(0.8-1.8)			13(6.9)	5 (38.5)	0.6(0.2-2.0)	0.419
Ayawaso	1/8 (15-3)	111 (62.4)	1.2(0.8-1.8)			21 (11.1)	7 (33-3)	0.5(0.2-1.4)	0.163
Kpeshie	166 (14-2)	88 (53.0)	0.8(0.6-1.2)			37 (19.6)	25 (67.6)	2.0 (0.9-4.6)	0.091
Mamprusi East	56 (4.8)	19 (33-9)	0·4 (0·2–0·7) *			4 (2.1)	1 (25.0)	0·32 (0·03– 3·26)	0.339
Okaikoi	134 (11.5)	80 (59.7)	1.1 (0.7–1.6)			24 (12.7)	12 (50.0)	1.0 (0.4-2.4)	0.956
Osu Klottey	87 (7.5)	58 (66.7)	1.5 (0.9–2.4)			13 (6.9)	5 (38.5)	0.6 (0.2-2.0)	0.419
Monthly income (GH¢)	1222								
None	275 (22.5)	167 (60.7)	Reference						
<301	605 (49.5)	351 (58.0)	0.9 (0.7-1.2)						
301-1000	314 (25.7)	184 (58.6)	0.9 (0.7-1.3)						
>1000	28 (2·3)	11 (39·3)	0·4 (0·2–0·9) *						
	1000								
Marital status	1322	255 (00.4)	D (
Single	591 (44.7)	355 (60-1)	Reference	0.0 (0.7.4.0)	0.500				
Married	549 (41.5)	312 (56-8)	0.9(0.7-1.1)	0.9(0.7-1.2)	0.589				
Divorced	124 (9.4)	78 (62·9)	$1 \cdot 1 (0 \cdot 8 - 1 \cdot 7)$	$1 \cdot 1 (0 \cdot 7 - 1 \cdot 7)$	0.543				
widowed	58 (4·4)	24 (41-4)	0·5 (0·3–0·8) *	0.2 (0.3-0.8)	0.011				
Lineage 4 sub-lineag	e								
Cameroon	1046 (60.1)	614 (58.7)							
Ghana	376 (21.6)	206 (54.8)	0.9(0.7-1.1)	0.9(0.7-1.2)	0.403				
Haarlem	156 (9.0)	91 (58.3)	1.0(0.7-1.4)	1.0(0.7-1.5)	0.87				
LAM	50 (2.9)	25 (50.0)	0.7(0.4-1.2)	0.7(0.4-1.4)	0.354				
Uganda	$40(2\cdot3)$	16(40.0)	0.5(0.2-0.9)	0.4(0.2-0.8)	0.013				
Other		20 (51.0)	*		0.550				
Other Not determined	51(2.9) 22(1.3)	26 (51·0) 4 (18·2)	0.7 (0.4 - 1.3) 0.2 (0.1 - 0.5)	0.8 (0.4 - 1.6) 0.10 (0.03 - 0.35)	0.558				
Not determined	22 (1.5)		*	0.10 (0.03-0.33)	<0.001				
Drug resistance	1736								
Any	241 (13.9)	114 (47.3)	0·7 (0·5–0·9) *	0.7 (0.5–1.0)	0.059				
None	1495 (86.1)	867 (58.0)	Reference						

TB, tuberculosis; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; GH¢, Ghanaian cedi.

^a Only variables with p < 0.1 from the general logistic regression model in Table 4 were included in this analysis. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.001.

^b For the multivariate model, only variables with p < 0.1 and with at least 90% of available data were included.

^c A cluster was defined as two or more isolates (same strain) that share an indistinguishable spoligotype and 15-locus MIRU-VNTR allelic pattern, but allowing for one missing allelic data at any one of the difficult-to-amplify MIRU loci.

risk of recent TB transmission compared to females, suggesting that males may engage in certain social activities that predispose them to belonging to a recent transmission event.

A lower rate of multidrug-resistant TB was seen among large clustered cases compared to the general population (2% vs. 4%, p = 0.031), indicating a low multidrug-resistant TB transmissibility

within the study population. This finding further suggests that the majority of drug-resistant TB cases in Ghana acquired the drug resistance during treatment, which indicates poor patient compliance (Danso et al., 2015). Moreover, it was also found that compared to drug (isoniazid and/or rifampicin)-sensitive MTBC strains, it was unlikely to find MTBC strains with isoniazid and/or

rifampicin resistance involved in a recent transmission event (adjusted OR 0.7, 95% CI 0.5–0.9).

Conflict of interest

We declare that we have no competing interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the administrative support of Frank Bonsu, National Tuberculosis Control Program, Ghana, and to the laboratory heads and nurses at various facilities who recruited cases and all study participants. We thank the national service personnel for providing great help in completing the questionnaires and making sputum samples available for laboratory investigations. We thank Vida Yirenkyiwaa Adjei and Portia Abena Morgan of Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research for their assistance with some of the laboratory procedures.

Prince Asare was supported by a West African Centre for Cell Biology of Infectious Pathogens (WACCBIP)–World Bank ACE PhD Studentship.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2018.05.014.

References

- Allix-Beguec C, Harmsen D, Weniger T, Supply P, Niemann S. Evaluation and strategy for use of MIRU-VNTRplus, a multifunctional database for online analysis of genotyping data and phylogenetic identification of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex isolates. J Clin Microbiol 2008;46(8):2692–9.
- Anderson LF, Tamne S, Brown T, Watson JP, Mullarkey C, Zenner D, et al. Transmission of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in the UK: a cross-sectional molecular and epidemiological study of clustering and contact tracing. Lancet Infect Dis 2014;14(5):406–15.
- Asante-Poku A, Nyaho MS, Borrell S, Comas I, Gagneux S, Yeboah-Manu D. Evaluation of customised lineage-specific sets of MIRU-VNTR loci for genotyping Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex isolates in Ghana. PLoS One 2014;9(3)e92675.
- Asante-Poku A, Otchere ID, Osei-Wusu S, Sarpong E, Baddoo A, Forson A, et al. Molecular epidemiology of *Mycobacterium africanum* in Ghana. BMC Infect Dis 2016;16:385.
- Barnard M, Albert H, Coetzee G, O'Brien R, Bosman ME. Rapid molecular screening for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in a high-volume public health laboratory in South Africa. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2008;177(7):787–92.
- Barnes PF, Cave MD. Molecular epidemiology of tuberculosis. N Engl J Med 2003;349 (12):1149–56.
- Blouin Y, Hauck Y, Soler C, Fabre M, Vong R, Dehan C, et al. Significance of the identification in the Horn of Africa of an exceptionally deep branching *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* clade. PLoS One 2012;7(12)e52841.
- Danso E, Addo IY, Ampomah IG. Patients' compliance with tuberculosis medication in Ghana: evidence from a Periurban community. Adv Public Health 2015;2015:6.
- de Jong BC, Antonio M, Gagneux S. *Mycobacterium africanum*-review of an important cause of human tuberculosis in West Africa. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2010;4(9):e744.
- de Jong BC, Hill PC, Aiken A, Awine T, Antonio M, Adetifa IM, et al. Progression to active tuberculosis, but not transmission, varies by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* lineage in The Gambia. J Infect Dis 2008;198(7):1037–43.
- Field N, Cohen T, Struelens MJ, Palm D, Cookson B, Glynn JR, et al. Strengthening the Reporting of Molecular Epidemiology for Infectious Diseases (STROME-ID): an extension of the STROBE statement. Lancet Infect Dis 2016;14(4):341–52.
- Gagneux S, Small PM. Global phylogeography of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and implications for tuberculosis product development. Lancet Infect Dis 2007;7 (5):328–37.
- Glynn JR, Alghamdi S, Mallard K, McNerney R, Ndlovu R, Munthali L, et al. Changes in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* genotype families over 20 years in a populationbased study in Northern Malawi. PLoS One 2010;5(8)e12259.
- Glynn JR, Vynnycky E, Fine PE. Influence of sampling on estimates of clustering and recent transmission of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* derived from DNA fingerprinting techniques. Am J Epidemiol 1999;149(4):366–71.
- Hall A. What is molecular epidemiology?. Trop Med Int Health 1996;1(4):407–8. Hamblion EL, Le Menach A, Anderson LF, Lalor MK, Brown T, Abubakar I, et al. Recent TB transmission, clustering and predictors of large clusters in London, 2010-2012: results from first 3 years of universal MIRU-VNTR strain typing. Thorax 2016;71(8):749–56.
- Kallenius G, Koivula T, Ghebremichael S, Hoffner SE, Norberg R, Svensson E, et al. Evolution and clonal traits of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex in Guinea-Bissau. J Clin Microbiol 1999;37(12):3872–8.

Within the study setting, a reduced transmission of MAF (L5: 31.8%, L6: 24.7%) compared to MTBss L4 (44.9%) was observed. The high recent transmission rate observed for L4 was driven by both the Cameroon and Ghana sub-lineages, with no difference in their transmissibility, hence identifying these sub-lineages as very important pathogens. The high recent transmission of the Ghana sub-lineage coupled with recently reported association with drug resistance (Otchere et al., 2016) is of public health importance and hence calls for the national tuberculosis control program to support peripheral diagnostic laboratories with facilities to accurately detect and help control the spread of the Ghana sub-lineage.

The higher recent transmission rate for L4 compared to L5 and L6 may not necessarily imply the outcompeting of L5 and L6 by L4, as their relative proportions remained constant over the entire study period (Figure 2) and also based on previous reports (Yeboah-Manu et al., 2016). Despite the low transmissibility of MAF, the observed stable relative proportion over the entire study period may be because the pathogen has adapted to infecting specific host populations (possibly due to unidentified host genetic or environmental factors peculiar to some West African inhabitants), hence enabling the maintenance of a stable prevalence over time. Using adjusted predictions for the probability of clustering, it was found that MAF L5 may still have the propensity to transmit equally to lineage 4 (Figure 5), not forgetting the confounding effect of a higher diversity in spoligotype pattern of L5 compared to L4 and hence reduced clustering of the former (Asante-Poku et al., 2016). Compared to L4, a significant association of L6 with individuals living in villages was found (OR 6.6, p < 0.05; Supplementary material, Table S2). The low recent TB transmission in the villages coupled with an association of L6 could be the reason why low frequencies of L6 strains were observed within the study setting.

This report could be limited by the possibility of an underestimation of the recent transmission rate resulting from the misclassification of strains as unique if they were actually clustered outside of the restricted geographic sampling site and sampling period. However, measures were taken to address the underestimation of recent TB transmission by recruiting up to 90% of the diagnosed TB cases spanning a 3.5-year period. In addition, the possibility of overestimating recent TB transmission rates is also possible considering that the basis of the clustering analysis was done using combined 15-locus MIRU-VNTR typing and spoligotyping, whereas whole genome sequencing could have offered a better resolution of strains.

Overall, the findings indicate high recent TB transmission, suggesting the occurrence of unsuspected outbreaks. The intensification of community education is recommended to improve early case reporting and infection control.

Funding

This research was funded by a Wellcome Trust Intermediate Fellowship Grant (097134/Z/11/Z) to Dorothy Yeboah-Manu. The funding source had no role in the study design, collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data, in the writing of the report, or in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Ethical approval

The Scientific and Technical Committee and then the Institutional Review Board at NMIMR, University of Ghana (FWA00001824) reviewed and approved the study.

- Kamerbeek J, Schouls L, Kolk A, van Agterveld M, van Soolingen D, Kuijper S, et al. Simultaneous detection and strain differentiation of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* for diagnosis and epidemiology. J Clin Microbiol 1997;35(4):907–14.
- Maguire H, Dale JW, McHugh TD, Butcher PD, Gillespie SH, Costetsos A, et al. Molecular epidemiology of tuberculosis in London 1995-7 showing low rate of active transmission. Thorax 2002;57(7):617–22.
- Malm S, Linguissi LS, Tekwu EM, Vouvoungui JC, Kohl TA, Beckert P, et al. New Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex sublineage, Brazzaville, Congo. Emerg Infect Dis 2017;23(3):423–9.
- Mears J, Abubakar I, Cohen T, McHugh TD, Sonnenberg P. Effect of study design and setting on tuberculosis clustering estimates using Mycobacterial Interspersed Repetitive Units-Variable Number Tandem Repeats (MIRU-VNTR): a systematic review. BMJ Open 2015;5(1)e005636.
- Mulenga C, Shamputa IC, Mwakazanga D, Kapata N, Portaels F, Rigouts L. Diversity of Mycobacterium tuberculosis genotypes circulating in Ndola, Zambia. BMC Infect Dis 2010;10:177.
- Otchere ID, Asante-Poku A, Osei-Wusu S, Baddoo A, Sarpong E, Ganiyu AH, et al. Detection and characterization of drug-resistant conferring genes in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex strains: a prospective study in two distant regions of Ghana. Tuberculosis 2016;99:147–54.
- Seto J, Wada T, Suzuki Y, Ikeda T, Mizuta K, Yamamoto T, et al. Mycobacterium tuberculosis transmission among elderly persons, Yamagata Prefecture, Japan, 2009-2015. Emerg Infect Dis 2017;23(3):448–55.
- Shabbeer A, Cowan LS, Ozcaglar C, Rastogi N, Vandenberg SL, Yener B, et al. TB-Lineage: an online tool for classification and analysis of strains of Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex. Infect Genet Evol 2012;12(4):789–97.
- Supply P, Allix C, Lesjean S, Cardoso-Oelemann M, Rusch-Gerdes S, Willery E, et al. Proposal for standardization of optimized mycobacterial interspersed repetitive

unit-variable-number tandem repeat typing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J Clin Microbiol 2006;44(12):4498–510.

- Surie D, Fane O, Finlay A, Ogopotse M, Tobias JL, Click ES, et al. Molecular, spatial, and field epidemiology suggesting TB transmission in community, not hospital, Gaborone, Botswana. Emerg Infect Dis 2017;23(3):487–90.
- Varghese B, Al-Omari R, Grimshaw C, Al-Hajoj S. Endogenous reactivation followed by exogenous re-infection with drug resistant strains, a new challenge for tuberculosis control in Saudi Arabia. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2013;93(2):246–9.
- Vluggen C, Soetaert K, Groenen G, Wanlin M, Spitaels M, Arrazola de Onate W, et al. Molecular epidemiology of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex in Brussels, 2010-2013. PLoS One 2017;12(2)e0172554.
- Walker TM, Lalor MK, Broda A, Saldana Ortega L, Morgan M, Parker L, et al. Assessment of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* transmission in Oxfordshire, UK, 2007-12, with whole pathogen genome sequences: an observational study. Lancet Respir Med 2014;2(4):285–92.
- WHO. Global tuberculosis report 2015. 20 ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015.
- WHO. Global tuberculosis report. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017.
- Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M, Xu P, et al. Transmission of multidrug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis in Shanghai, China: a retrospective observational study using whole-genome sequencing and epidemiological investigation. Lancet Infect Dis 2016;17(3):275–84.
- Yeboah-Manu D, Asare P, Asante-Poku A, Otchere ID, Osei-Wusu S, Danso E, et al. Spatio-temporal distribution of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex strains in Ghana. PLoS One 2016;11(8)e0161892.
- Yeboah-Manu D, Yates MD, Wilson SM. Application of a simple multiplex PCR to aid in routine work of the mycobacterium reference laboratory. J Clin Microbiol 2001;39(11):4166–8.