Revised: 10 August 2016

Accepted: 18 August 2016

#### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**

WILEY Ecology and Evolution

# Glacial refugia and postglacial expansion of the alpine-prealpine plant species *Polygala chamaebuxus*

Tobias Windmaißer<sup>1</sup> | Stefan Kattari<sup>2</sup> | Günther Heubl<sup>2</sup> | Christoph Reisch<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Systematic Botany and Mycology, Department Biology I, GeoBio-Center LMU, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany

#### Correspondence

Christoph Reisch, Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany. Email: christoph.reisch@biologie. uni-regensburg.de

Funding Information None declared.

#### Abstract

The shrubby milkwort (Polygala chamaebuxus L.) is widely distributed in the Alps, but occurs also in the lower mountain ranges of Central Europe such as the Franconian Jura or the Bohemian uplands. Populations in these regions may either originate from glacial survival or from postglacial recolonization. In this study, we analyzed 30 populations of P. chamaebuxus from the whole distribution range using AFLP (Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism) analysis to identify glacial refugia and to illuminate the origin of P. chamaebuxus in the lower mountain ranges of Central Europe. Genetic variation and the number of rare fragments within populations were highest in populations from the central part of the distribution range, especially in the Southern Alps (from the Tessin Alps and the Prealps of Lugano to the Triglav Massiv) and in the middle part of the northern Alps. These regions may have served, in accordance with previous studies, as long-term refugia for the glacial survival of the species. The geographic pattern of genetic variation, as revealed by analysis of molecular variance, Bayesian cluster analysis and a PopGraph genetic network was, however, only weak. Instead of postglacial recolonization from only few long-term refugia, which would have resulted in deeper genetic splits within the data set, broad waves of postglacial expansion from several short-term isolated populations in the center to the actual periphery of the distribution range seem to be the scenario explaining the observed pattern of genetic variation most likely. The populations from the lower mountain ranges in Central Europe were more closely related to the populations from the southwestern and northern than from the nearby eastern Alps. Although glacial survival in the Bohemian uplands cannot fully be excluded, P. chamaebuxus seems to have immigrated postglacially from the southwestern or central-northern parts of the Alps into these regions during the expansion of the pine forests in the early Holocene.

#### KEYWORDS

AFLP, genetic variation, glacial relict, phylogeography, Polygala chamaebuxus

# 1 | INTRODUCTION

The distribution ranges of many plant species were strongly shifted during Quaternary due to rapid and extensive changes in temperature and precipitation which caused multiple events of extinction, isolation, and recolonization (Habel, Drees, Schmitt, & Assmann, 2010). The impact of these climatic changes on the distribution ranges and the genetic structure of plant species can be detected even today

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

WILEY\_Ecology and Evolution \_

and stimulated phylogeographic research (Hewitt, 1996; Kadereit, Griebeler, & Comes, 2004).

The European Alps played an important role in the course of this process as its mountain ranges acted both as refugium throughout several glacial cycles and barriers for range shifts (Brochmann, Gabrielsen, Nordal, Landvik, & Elven, 2003; Taberlet, Fumagalli, Wust-Saucy, & Cosson, 1998; Tribsch & Schönswetter, 2003). The glacial and postglacial history of numerous high-alpine and arctic-alpine plant species has been extensively investigated during the last two decades (Eidesen et al., 2013; Paun, Schönswetter, Winkler, Consortium, & Tribsch. 2008: Ronikier. Schneeweis. & Schönswetter. 2012: Stehlik. Blattner, Holderegger, & Bachmann, 2002; Winkler et al., 2012). In many cases, the intraspecific genetic pattern indicated multiple refugia in certain areas throughout the Alps (Schönswetter, Paun, Tribsch, & Niklfeld, 2003; Schönswetter, Tribsch, Stehlik, & Niklfeld, 2004). Bringing together geographic, palaeo-environmental, and genetic data allowed the general identification of glacial refugia for high-alpine plant species (Comes & Kadereit, 2003; Mráz et al., 2007).

However, the ecological requirements of plant species have a strong impact on their glacial and postglacial history and different hypotheses about the migration and survival of plant species during Quaternary can therefore be proposed for species with different ecological preferences (Holderegger & Thiel-Egenter, 2009; Vargas, 2003). Many temperate species, originally occurring in Central Europe, became extinct during the Quaternary ice ages and retreated to southern refugia and survived glacial maxima. In contrast, high-alpine species even persisted in central refugia on ice-free mountain tops, so called "nunataks." Less cold resistant alpine species survived either in refugia at the periphery of the Alps or may have migrated to lowland areas.

Knowledge about the vegetation of these lowlands between the Scandinavian and Alpine ice sheet in Central Europe during glaciation is yet scarce. There are stratigraphic records of pollen and macrofossils for Salix herbacea, Betula nana, Dryas octopetala, or Koeningia islandica, whereas dwarf shrubs counting among Ericaceae played an unexpectedly subordinate role (Burga, Klötzli, & Grabherr, 2004; Lang, 1994). Clear evidence for the survival of alpine plant species in the prealpine region exists for Minuartia biflora (Schönswetter, Popp, & Brochmann, 2006), but several other species were also supposed to have survived in Central Europe (Bauert, Kälin, Baltisberger, & Edwards, 1998; Holderegger, Stehlik, & Abbott, 2002; Reisch, 2008; Reisch, Poschlod, & Wingender, 2003). Cryptic refugia in Central Europe have previously been postulated especially for forest herbs, grasses, or shrubs such as Cicerbita alpina (Michl et al., 2010), Polygonatum verticillatum (Kramp, Huck, Niketić, Tomović, & Schmitt, 2009), Cyclamen purpurascens (Slovák, Kučera, Turis, & Zozomová-Lihová, 2012), Melica nutans (Tyler, 2002), Hordelymus europaeus (Dvořáková, Fér, & Marhold, 2010), or Rosa pendulina (Fér, Vašák, Vojta, & Marhold, 2007). This must, however, not necessarily be the case, as postglacial recolonization of the Alps from peripheral refugia may also have included migration to the lower mountain ranges of Central Europe. Central European lowland populations of plant species being mainly distributed in the Alps may therefore be either the result of glacial survival or of postglacial immigration.

The shrubby milkwort (*Polygala chamaebuxus*) is an endemic European species with a remarkably broad ecological niche and a wide distribution range including the Alps but also Central European mountain ranges like the Franconian Jura or the Bohemian uplands. There, it occurs mainly in pine forests and on rocky mountain slopes. In the study presented here, we tried to illuminate the origin of the species in these lower mountain regions. More specifically, our aim was (i) to identify glacial refugia of *P. chamaebuxus* and (ii) to analyze whether the populations of the species in the low mountain ranges can be attributed rather to glacial survival or to postglacial immigration.

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 | Species description

Polygala chamaebuxus L. belongs to the small subgen. Chamaebuxus (DC) Schb. which includes five perennial species of shrubs or dwarf shrubs, with alternate, subcoriaceous leaves, flowers with a crest on the keel, winged capsule, and carunculated seeds. Actually four species of this lineage are known from Europe: *P. chamaebuxus* L. (widespread throughout the Alps), *P. vayredae* Costa (endemic to Catalonia, Spain), *P. balansae* Coss., and *P. webbiana* Coss. (distributed in Morocco), both taxa recently reported from southern Spain (Calvo, Hantson, & Paiva, 2014; Lorite, Peňas, Benito, Caňadas, & Valle, 2010). In addition, the subgenus includes one species which is restricted to northwestern Africa: *P. munbyana* Boiss. & Reut.

Based on karyological and palynological studies (Merxmüller & Heubl, 1983), it was suggested that *P. munbyana* (2n = 14) belongs to the diploid level, *P. webbiana*, *P. balansae*, and *P. vayredae* are tetraploids with 2n = 28, whereas hyperhexaploidy (2n = 44) was found in *P. chamaebuxus*. Karyotype analysis revealed that *P. chamaebuxus* developed most probably by autopolyploidy from *P. vayredae* or the African *P. webbiana* or by allopolyploidy of these species. The evolution of the group concerned seems to have taken place in the southwestern Mediterranean and to have continued on the Iberian way as far as the Alps and Central Europe (Merxmüller & Heubl, 1983).

In contrast to the Iberian taxa which are narrow endemics, *P. chamaebuxus* L. has the largest and northernmost distribution range of all members. It occurs in the Alps, the northern Apennine, the northern parts of the Dinaric Mountains, and in parts of the prealpine moraine landscape as well as some in low mountain ranges like such as Jurassic mountains, the Bavarian Forest, the Fichtelgebirge, and the Bohemian uplands (Sebald, Seybold, Philippi, & Wörz, 1998). A white flowered form of *P. chamaebuxus* occurs, most probably, over the whole distribution range, whereas a red flowered form (var grandiflora Gaudin; var *rhodoptera* Ball) can only be found in the cantons of Graubünden and Tessin and down the Apennine (Meusel, Jäger, Rauschert, & Weinert, 1978).

Polygala chamaebuxus is a 5- to 30-cm-high dwarf shrub. Full flowering occurs in spring and early summer. The species is, like the closely related species *P. vayredae* (Castro, Loureiro, Ferrero, Silveira, & Navarro, 2013; Castro, Silveira, & Navarro, 2008), insectpollinated, allogamous, and self-incompatible (Hegi, 1986; Jauch,

WILEY

1917). Polygala chamaebuxus exhibits a broad ecological range. It grows in open forests, mainly pine woods, among rocks and mountain slopes. According to phytosociological classification, this taxon is together with *Erica carnea* a characteristic element of the order Erico-Pinetalia. In the Alps, it reaches up to 2,650 m above sea level in Graubünden (Braun-Blanquet & Rübel, 1932), and at Monte Baldo, it can be found from 80 m above sea level up to 2,100 m altitude (Prosser, Bertolli, & Festi, 2009). It grows predominantly on calcareous soil types but also some populations on more acidic soils have been reported. *Polygala chamaebuxus* is a medium shade plant and the light supply seems to be one of the most important factors, which is strongly influenced by the surrounding vegetation (Gauckler, 1938). Therefore, it occurs predominantly in sparse pine woods, dry oak forests, as well as on calcareous low-nutrient meadows (Sebald et al., 1998).

## 2.2 | Study design and sampling of plant material

For the study presented here, plant material was sampled from 30 populations (Table 1, Figure 1) covering continuously almost the entire range of *P. chamaebuxus*. When possible, within populations, ten samples were taken with a minimum distance of ten meters following a transect to avoid double sampling of the same individual.

# 2.3 | AFLP analysis

For AFLPs, the DNA was extracted from the dried sampling material following the CTAB protocol from Rogers and Bendich (1994) adapted by Reisch and Kellermeier (2007). After photometrical measurement of the concentration, solutions were diluted with water to 7.8 ng/ $\mu$ l and were subsequently used for AFLPs, which were conducted in

**TABLE 1** Geographic location of thestudied Polygala chamaebuxus populationswith number, population code, name of thelocation as well as geographic longitude(Long.), latitude (Lat.) and altitude.Populations were numbered across thedistribution range from west to east andnorth to south

| Nr. | Code | Location                        | Long. (E) | Lat. (N) | Altitude (m) |
|-----|------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|--------------|
| 01  | FG   | Fichtelgebirge                  | 11,97371  | 50,25392 | 524          |
| 02  | KW   | Slavkowsky les                  | 12,75008  | 50,06559 | 807          |
| 03  | BM   | Bohemian Massiv                 | 13,27324  | 49,55553 | 496          |
| 04  | FJ   | Fränkischer Jura                | 11,94680  | 49,12638 | 387          |
| 05  | AV   | Alpenvorland                    | 11,56941  | 48,06784 | 563          |
| 06  | CA   | Chiemgauer Alpen                | 12,65713  | 47,71825 | 711          |
| 07  | OV   | Oberösterreichische<br>Voralpen | 14,41594  | 47,71413 | 791          |
| 08  | SJ   | Schweizer Jura                  | 7,700333  | 47,30297 | 547          |
| 09  | AA   | Allgäuer Alpen                  | 10,50837  | 47,46366 | 1,186        |
| 10  | BA   | Berchtesgadener Alpen           | 13,18686  | 47,48139 | 641          |
| 11  | SM   | Steiermark                      | 15,55841  | 47,23277 | 575          |
| 12  | BL   | Burgenland                      | 16,27630  | 47,43672 | 774          |
| 13  | OE   | Oberengadin                     | 9,875055  | 46,54116 | 1,793        |
| 14  | ZA   | Zillertaler Alpen               | 11,64729  | 46,81123 | 1,120        |
| 15  | SV   | Savoyen/ Chablais               | 6,641444  | 46,28488 | 1,237        |
| 16  | ТА   | Tessiner Alpen                  | 8,858833  | 46,22941 | 919          |
| 17  | OA   | Ortler Alpen                    | 10,52377  | 46,25705 | 1,387        |
| 18  | KA   | Karnische Alpen                 | 12,79445  | 46,35128 | 1,304        |
| 19  | ТМ   | Triglav Massiv                  | 13,60812  | 46,41775 | 986          |
| 20  | JA   | Julische Alpen                  | 14,09105  | 46,36751 | 500          |
| 21  | PA   | Penninische Alpen               | 7,566597  | 45,78042 | 1,555        |
| 22  | LV   | Luganer Voralpen                | 9,24875   | 45,90025 | 1,282        |
| 23  | GB   | Gardasee Mountains              | 10,78505  | 45,71894 | 257          |
| 24  | VA   | Vizentiner Alpen                | 11,17294  | 45,76063 | 1,174        |
| 25  | MC   | Massif de la Chartreuse         | 5,940111  | 45,47738 | 831          |
| 26  | ME   | Massif des Écrins               | 6,493944  | 44,87375 | 1,438        |
| 27  | MO   | Massif dell'Oronaye             | 7,240055  | 44,48855 | 853          |
| 28  | AP   | Apennin                         | 10,22541  | 44,05240 | 1,353        |
| 29  | VE   | Velebit                         | 15,52575  | 44,35925 | 1,457        |
| 30  | AM   | Alpes maritimes                 | 6,836888  | 43,79827 | 1,193        |



**FIGURE 1** Genetic variation within the studied populations, measured as AMOVA-derived SSWP/*n* – 1 values (SSWP) and rarity index (DW). Circle diameter and color indicate the degree of genetic variation. The dotted line marks the area with high levels of genetic variation and rarity within populations in the center of the distribution range

accordance with the protocol of Beckmann Coulter as described before (Bylebyl, Poschlod, & Reisch, 2008; Reisch, 2008).

After an initial screening of 30 primer combinations, three of them were chosen for the subsequent selective PCR reaction using labeled EcoRI primers (M-CAC/D2-E-AGC, M-CAA/D3-E-ACG, M-CTT/D4-E-ACT, Beckman Coulter). The resulting products were diluted twofold (D2) and fivefold (D4) with 1× TE<sub>0.1</sub> buffer for AFLP, while the D3 products remained undiluted. Subsequently, 5  $\mu$ l of each of the diluted PCR products of a given sample was pooled and added to a mixture of 2  $\mu$ l sodium acetate (3 mol/L, pH 5.2), 2  $\mu$ l Na 2 EDTA (100 mmol/L, pH 8), and 1  $\mu$ l glycogen (Roche). DNA was precipitated in a 1.5-ml tube by adding 60  $\mu$ l of 96% ethanol (-20°C) and 20-min centrifugation at 14,000 × g at 4°C. The supernatant was poured off, and the pellet was washed by adding 200  $\mu$ l 76% ethanol (-20°C) and centrifugation at the latter conditions.

The pelleted DNA was vacuum dried in a vacuum concentrator. Subsequently, the pellet was dissolved in a mixture of 24.8  $\mu I$  Sample

Loading Solution (SLS, Beckman Coulter) and 0.2 µl CEQ Size Standard 400 (Beckman Coulter) and subsequently selective PCR products were separated by capillary gel electrophoresis on an automated sequencer (CEQ 8000, Beckmann Coulter).

Results were examined using the CEQ 8000 software (Beckman Coulter) and analyzed using the software Bionumerics 6.6 (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). In order to assess the reproducibility of the scored fragments, about 10% (29 samples) of all analyzed samples were repeated and the genotyping error rate (Bonin et al., 2004) was estimated, which was 4.8%.

# 2.4 | Statistical analysis

Using the resulting binary matrix, genetic variation within populations was determined applying the program PopGene 1.32 (Yeh, Yang, Boyles, Ye, & Mao, 1997) as percentage of polymorphic bands

|     |      |                            | _Ecology and Evolution |      | WILEY       | 7813 |      |
|-----|------|----------------------------|------------------------|------|-------------|------|------|
|     |      |                            |                        |      | Open Access |      |      |
| Nr. | Code | Location                   | n                      | PB   | н           | SSWP | DW   |
| 01  | FG   | Fichtelgebirge             | 10                     | 51.2 | 0.20        | 16.2 | 5.14 |
| 02  | KW   | Slavkowsky les             | 10                     | 44.8 | 0.18        | 14.8 | 5.01 |
| 03  | BM   | Bohemian Massiv            | 10                     | 60.3 | 0.24        | 19.4 | 5.39 |
| 04  | FJ   | Franconian Jura            | 10                     | 56.3 | 0.22        | 18.8 | 5.16 |
| 05  | AV   | Prealps                    | 10                     | 55.8 | 0.22        | 18.4 | 5.29 |
| 06  | CA   | Chiemgauer Alps            | 10                     | 59.8 | 0.23        | 20.3 | 5.50 |
| 07  | OV   | Oberösterr. Prealps        | 10                     | 48.9 | 0.20        | 15.2 | 5.07 |
| 08  | SJ   | Swiss Jura                 | 10                     | 43.7 | 0.16        | 14.5 | 4.95 |
| 09  | AA   | Allgäuer Alps              | 10                     | 55.8 | 0.22        | 19.0 | 5.23 |
| 10  | BA   | Berchtesgadner<br>Alps     | 8                      | 44.3 | 0.17        | 15.0 | 5.31 |
| 11  | SM   | Steiermark                 | 10                     | 46.0 | 0.18        | 14.9 | 5.03 |
| 12  | BL   | Burgenland                 | 10                     | 49.4 | 0.19        | 16.0 | 5.26 |
| 13  | OE   | Oberengadin                | 10                     | 61.5 | 0.25        | 20.3 | 5.23 |
| 14  | ZA   | Zillertaler Alps           | 10                     | 58.1 | 0.23        | 19.3 | 5.37 |
| 15  | SV   | Savoyen/ Chablais          | 10                     | 51.2 | 0.20        | 17.1 | 5.24 |
| 16  | TA   | Tessin Alps                | 10                     | 67.2 | 0.26        | 22.0 | 5.51 |
| 17  | OA   | Ortler Alps                | 9                      | 46.6 | 0.19        | 16.3 | 5.30 |
| 18  | KA   | Carnic Alps                | 10                     | 58.1 | 0.23        | 19.1 | 5.46 |
| 19  | TM   | Triglav Massiv             | 10                     | 53.5 | 0.21        | 17.8 | 5.27 |
| 20  | JA   | Julic Alps                 | 10                     | 47.1 | 0.18        | 15.2 | 5.28 |
| 21  | PA   | Penninic Alps              | 10                     | 49.4 | 0.20        | 16.6 | 5.11 |
| 22  | LV   | Lugano Prealps             | 10                     | 62.1 | 0.25        | 21.6 | 5.47 |
| 23  | GB   | Gardasee Mountains         | 10                     | 56.9 | 0.23        | 19.3 | 5.37 |
| 24  | VA   | Vizentiner Alps            | 10                     | 62.6 | 0.26        | 20.2 | 5.24 |
| 25  | MC   | Massif de la<br>Chartreuse | 10                     | 48.3 | 0.19        | 15.2 | 5.29 |
| 26  | ME   | Massif des Écrins          | 10                     | 49.4 | 0.20        | 15.6 | 5.04 |
| 27  | MO   | Massif dell'Oronaye        | 10                     | 59.2 | 0.24        | 19.5 | 5.11 |
| 28  | AP   | Apennin                    | 9                      | 47.7 | 0.19        | 16.0 | 4.89 |
| 29  | VE   | Velebit                    | 10                     | 53.5 | 0.19        | 16.8 | 4.93 |
| 30  | AM   | Alpes maritimes            | 10                     | 46.0 | 0.18        | 15.4 | 4.77 |
|     | Mean |                            |                        | 53.1 | 0.21        | 17.5 | 5.2  |
|     | ±SE  |                            |                        | 6.4  | 0.03        | 2.2  | 0.2  |

 
 TABLE 2
 Genetic variation of the
studied Polygala chamaebuxus populations with number, population code, and name of the location. For each population, the percentage of polymorphic loci (PB). Nei's gene diversity (H), the AMOVA-derived SSWP/n - 1 (SSWP), and the rarity index (DW) are listed. Populations were numbered across the distribution range from west to east and north to south

PB and Nei's gene diversity  $H = 1 - \Sigma(p_i)^2$ . Additionally, we calculated rarity as frequency down weighted markers (DW) for each population (Schönswetter & Tribsch, 2005) with AFLPdat in R (Ehrich, 2006). Therefore, we randomly chose eight individuals per population in five iterations.

A Bayesian cluster analysis using 10,000 Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulations was computed with 20 iterations per K = 1-31 and a burning period of 10,000 with the software Structure 2.3.3 (Pritchard, Stephens, & Donelly, 2000). The most probable number of classes was calculated (Evanno, Regnaut, & Goudet, 2005), and the mean probability of the individuals of each population to be assigned to the respective classes was calculated over all 20 repeats for the most probable number of classes.

Furthermore, a nonhierarchical AMOVA was carried out with GenAlEx 6.41 (Peakall & Smouse, 2006) based on pairwise Euclidian distances to assess the variation within and among populations. This also yielded pairwise PhiPT values as well as the SSWP value (sum of squares within population) for each population. Dividing the latter value through the number of individuals reduced by one, provided the sample size-independent measure of variation SSWP/(n - 1).

A Mantel test was performed to analyze whether the genetic distances and the geographic distances between populations were correlated (Mantel, 1967).

Finally, we used PopGraph (Dyer & Nason, 2004) to calculate the conditional graph distance derived from population networks (Dyer, Nason, & Garrick, 2010). Analyses were performed with Genetic





**FIGURE 2** Assignment of the studied individuals to the three groups (white, bright gray, or black) detected in the Bayesian cluster analysis as cumulated percentages from the STRUCTURE analysis. Arrows indicate possible postglacial migration routes

| WINDMAIßER et al.  |                                  |     | Ecology and Evolution |       |       | -WILEY / 7815 |  |
|--|----------------------------------|-----|-----------------------|-------|-------|---------------|--|
| <b>TABLE 3</b> Results of the conducted analyses of molecular variance (AMOVA).      | Level of variation               | df  | SS                    | MS    | VC    | VC%           |  |
| We calculated variation between all  | (1) All populations              |     |                       |       |       |               |  |
| populations (1), between the three groups  | Among populations                | 29  | 1,498.2               | 51.7  | 3.46  | 16.5          |  |
| derived from the Bayesian cluster analysis<br>(2) between the porthern group and the | Within populations               | 266 | 4,668.4               | 17.6  | 17.55 | 83.5          |  |
| western (3) and eastern group (4)  | (2): [SW]–[E]–[N]                |     |                       |       |       |               |  |
|  | Among regions                    | 2   | 204.6                 | 102.3 | 0.6   | 3.0           |  |
|  | Among populations within regions | 27  | 1,293.6               | 47.9  | 3.1   | 15.0          |  |
|  | Within populations               | 266 | 4,668.4               | 17.6  | 17.1  | 83.0          |  |
|  | (3): [SW]–[N]                    |     |                       |       |       |               |  |
|  | Among regions                    | 1   | 114.7                 | 114.7 | 0.9   | 4.0           |  |
|  | Among populations within regions | 18  | 852.2                 | 47.3  | 3.0   | 14.0          |  |
|  | Within populations               | 178 | 3,151.6               | 17.7  | 17.7  | 82.0          |  |
|  | (4): [E]–[N]                     |     |                       |       |       |               |  |
|  | Among regions                    | 1   | 95.7                  | 95.7  | 0.8   | 4.0           |  |
|  | Among populations within regions | 13  | 584.8                 | 44.9  | 2.8   | 13.0          |  |
|  | Within populations               | 133 | 2,305.5               | 17.3  | 17.3  | 83.0          |  |

SW, southwestern group; E, eastern group; N, northern group; df, degrees of freedom; SS, sum of squares; MS, means squares; VC, variance components; VC, proportion of variance in %. All calculations were significant at p < .001.

Studio (http://dyerlab.bio.vcu.edu/software.html). PopGraph is free of a priori assumptions about population geographic arrangements and uses a graph theoretical approach to determine the minimum set of edges (connections) that sufficiently explain the total amongpopulation covariance structure of all of the populations (Dyer & Nason, 2004).

#### 3 RESULTS

AFLP fingerprinting of 296 individuals resulted in 174 fragments of which 94.6% were polymorphic. The percentage of polymorphic loci within populations (PB) ranged from 43.7 to 67.2 with a mean of 53.1 (Table 2). Nei's gene diversity (H) within the studied populations varied between 0.16 and 0.26 with an average of 0.21, whereas the AMOVA-derived diversity measurement SSWP/(n - 1)ranged from 14.5 to 22.0 with a mean of 17.5. The rarity index (DW) showed only little differences between populations and ranged from 4.77 to 5.51 with an average of 5.21. However, rarity was highest in populations with high levels of Nei's gene diversity as revealed by correlation analysis using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (r = .61, p < .001). Genetic variation within populations and the rarity index were highest in populations from the central part of the distribution range (Figure 1), especially in the Southern Alps from the Tessin Alps (population TA) to the Triglav Massiv (population TM). This applies particularly to the populations in the Tessin Alps and the Prealps of Lugano (population LV). Another center of genetic variation was located in the middle part of the northern Alps (population CA). Genetic variation generally decreased toward the periphery of the distribution range. Except for two populations from the Southern Alps in France (Population MO) and the Bohemian

Massif (population BM), most populations in the eastern Alps, western Alps, the Apennines, or the lower mountain ranges in the northern part of the distribution area showed values of genetic variation and rarity below average.

The Bayesian cluster analysis revealed only a comparatively weak geographic pattern of genetic variation. Following the analysis, the data set consisted most likely of three groups (Figure 2a,b), although none of populations was completely assigned to only one group. However, populations from the northeastern part of the distribution range were mainly assigned to one group, while the populations from the southwest and the southeast were more frequently classified in two other groups.

In a nonhierarchical analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA), only 16.5% of the total genetic variation was found among all populations while 83.5% were detected within populations (Table 3). The overall  $\Phi_{PT}$  was therefore 0.17. Variation between the groups detected in the Bayesian cluster analysis was significant but with only 3% very low. Similarly, molecular variance between the northeastern group on the one hand and the southeastern and southwestern group on the other hand was only 4% and, therefore, also very low. A Mantel test showed a significant correlation of the genetic variation between populations obtained from the AMOVA ( $\Phi_{PT}$ ) and the respective geographic distance between populations (r = .570, p < .001).

In the PopGraph genetic, network populations were highly interconnected (Figure 3). However, the populations from the northern group detected in the Bayesian cluster analysis were more closely related to the populations from the southwestern than to the populations from the southeastern group. One of the most variable populations also containing a higher number of rare fragments (population LV) was completely separated from the network.



**FIGURE 3** PopGraph genetic network for all studied populations. Circle size reflects the levels of genetic variation within populations. Lines show component of genetic variation between populations due to connecting nodes. Letters within circles indicate the populations following Table 1. Populations from the lower mountain ranges in Central Europe are displayed in white, populations from the western part of the distribution range in light gray, and populations from the eastern part in dark gray

#### 4 | DISCUSSION

# 4.1 | Genetic variation of *Polygala chamaebuxus* in the context of life history traits

It has already been demonstrated that life history traits have a strong impact on genetic variation within and between populations. In particular, life span, frequency, and mating system are of outstanding importance for genetic variation (Nybom, 2004; Reisch & Bernhardt-Römermann, 2014). The genetic variation within populations of *P. chamaebuxus* observed in our study (H = 0.21) was comparable to the variation recently reported for other long-lived, common, and outcrossing plant species (H = 0.20) using AFLPs (Reisch & Bernhardt-Römermann, 2014). The results of our study match, from this point of view, the findings of the preceding reviews.

In contrast to our expectations, we observed, however, only a low level of genetic variation between populations of *P. chamaebuxus*. Previously, for long-lived, common, and outcrossing plant species, a mean  $\Phi_{PT}$  of 0.20–0.34 was reported (Reisch & Bernhardt-Römermann, 2014). As genetic variation depends on life history traits, the comparison of single species with differing traits is always delicate. Nevertheless, many alpine species exhibited even higher levels of genetic differentiation (Schönswetter et al., 2004; Vogler & Reisch, 2013). With a  $\Phi_{PT}$  of only 0.17 between all populations across the whole distribution range, *P. chamaebuxus* exhibited only a weak geographic pattern of genetic variation. This suggests a comparatively short period of isolation during the glaciations and rather broad waves of postglacial recolonization as discussed more detailed below.

#### 4.2 | Glacial refugia and postglacial recolonization

Following our data, especially the high level of rarity, suggests long-term survival of *P. chamaebuxus* in the Southern Alps between Switzerland and Italy. This area has already been identified as refugium for other calcicolous, subalpine to lower alpine plant species in previous studies (Tribsch & Schönswetter, 2003). Another putative refugium of *P. chamaebuxus* has probably been located in the middle part of the northern Alps, where we also observed a higher number of rare fragments. The occurrence of *P. chamaebuxus* along the northern margin of the Alps at least during the last interglacial (Eemian) has been proved by fossil evidence (Murr, 1926; Wettstein, 1892) and previous studies have already postulated glacial refugia at the northern edge of the Alps (Schönswetter, Stehlik, Holderegger, & Tribsch, 2005; Stehlik, 2003), which supports the assumption that *P. chamaebuxus* could have survived glaciations also in this region.

However, our results indicate rather a genetic continuum than deep genetic splits between populations of *P. chamaebuxus*, which may be a sign of a comparatively short period of isolation during the LGM. It is known that the strong glaciations of the Würm glaciation were limited to few periods of extreme cold climate with culmination during the LGM (Veit, 2002). During the climatically warmer interstadial periods, the species might indeed have been distributed widely throughout the Alps. *Polygala chamaebuxus* exhibits a broad ecological range, which allows the species to grow under various climatic conditions and is even considered as cold germinator (Jäger, 2011). Polygala chamaebuxus may, for this reason, have been affected not that strongly by the glaciations like other highly specialized species. It is possible that the refugia described above were locations where the species survived most time of the Pleistocene. However, based on the results of the Bayesian cluster analysis, it appears likely that further locally surviving populations in other regions also contributed to the postglacial recolonization after the LGM. The geographic pattern of genetic variation revealed by the Bayesian cluster analysis may therefore reflect not only postglacial recolonization but also gene flow and range expansion from the periods before the LGM, which is also supported by the positive relationship of genetic and geographic distance in the Mantel test. Instead of postglacial recolonization from only few longterm refugia, which would have resulted in deeper genetic splits within the data set, broad waves of postglacial expansion from multiple populations in the center to the actual periphery of the distribution range seem to be the scenario explaining the observed pattern of genetic variation most likely.

# 4.3 | Glacial survival in the lower mountain ranges or not?

The populations of *P. chamaebuxus* in the lower mountains of Central Europe, such as the Jurassic mountains, the Bavarian Forest, the Fichtelgebirge, and the Bohemian uplands, may originate from glacial survival or postglacial immigration. Interestingly, our results provide evidence for both the survival and immigration hypotheses. The number of rare fragments was not conspicuously increased, except for the population from the Bohemian massif, which could in fact indicate long-term survival in this region. It can therefore not fully be excluded that the species survived glaciations in the Bohemian uplands.

This assumption is supported by previous studies reporting glacial survival of forest-related plant species in cryptic refugia located in the lower Central European mountain ranges (Kramp et al., 2009; Michl et al., 2010; Slovák et al., 2012; Tyler, 2002), although some studies also revealed ambiguous results (Dvořáková et al., 2010; Fér et al., 2007). Kramp et al. (2009) for example suggested the survival of Polygonatum verticillatum in the Tatra and Sudety Mountains. Similarly, it is assumed that the boreo-montane tall forb Cicerbita alpina survived glaciations in sheltered pockets with a humid climate in some parts of Central Europe (Michl et al., 2010) and that Cyclamen purpurascens may also have survived glaciations in prealpine northern refugia (Slovák et al., 2012). For the woodland grass Melica nutans, several independent "strongly restricted and isolated" refugia in Central Europe have been detected (Tyler, 2002). It is therefore quite possible that P. chamaebuxus survived glaciations in the Bohemian massif.

However, we observed no deep genetic split between the Central European populations and populations from other regions. From this point of view, it seems to be likely that most populations spread postglacially to the range periphery and the lower mountains of Central Ecology and Evolution

-WILEY

Europe. Founder effects and long-distance dispersal associated with this expansion may have resulted in the lower levels of genetic variation observed in the more peripheral populations. The probably remnant lineage of the Bohemian massif might have been genetically merged in the expanding wave from the northern Alps.

In the PopGraph genetic network, the populations from the lower mountain regions were more closely related to the populations from the western part than to the populations from the eastern part of the distribution range. This suggests that P. chamaebuxus may have immigrated postglacially from the southwestern or central-northern part of the Alps to the lower mountains of Central Europe. This migration process of P. chamaebuxus to the lower mountain regions may be associated with the expansion of pine forests after the last LGM. It is assumed that Pinus sylvestris survived glaciations on the Iberian and the Balkan Peninsula (Sinclair, Morman, & Ennos, 1999; Soranzo, Alia, Provan, & Powell, 2000; Wójkiewicz & Wachiowak, 2016). However, cryptic northern refugia have also been postulated for Scots pine (Kinloch, Westfall, & Forrest, 1986; Stewart & Lister, 2001), similar to the herbaceous forest species mentioned above. Whereas the Iberian populations are considered as relicts, Central Europe and Scandinavia were recolonized postglacially from the Balkan (Wójkiewicz & Wachiowak, 2016). From there, pine forests spread in the early postglacial phases and covered large parts of the alpine forelands and Central Europe (Lang, 1994). Polygala chamaebuxus is considered as a species typically for these early pine forests (Hardtke & Ihl, 2000) and still occurs today in this type of habitat (Gauckler, 1938). The widely distributed postglacial pine forests seem to have provided well conditions for a broad and continuous co-migration of P. chamaebuxus together with Scots pine toward the north. Migration could already have been started in the Late Glacial from 15,000 BP to 10,000 BP as pine and birch were already present in the Alps and the alpine forelands until about 8,000 BP when the continuous distribution of pine forests ended (Lang, 1994; Veit. 2002).

Similarly, the species seems to have migrated from the center of the distribution range to the eastern and western Alps. In this context, it is a remarkable finding of our study that the population from the Velebit in Croatia was more closely related to the population from the Apennine and westernward populations than to the populations from the nearby southeastern Alps. This observation was also made for *Saxifraga paniculata* in a previous study (Reisch, 2008) and seems to be linked to the desiccation of the Adriatic during glaciation, which seems to have alleviated migration processes.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special thanks go to Sabine Fischer for the design of the maps, Daniela Listl for assistance with PopGraph, Petra Schitko for help in the laboratory, Franziska Kaulfuß and Josef Simmel for fruitful discussions, and Peter Poschlod for his generous support.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

#### REFERENCES

- Bauert, M. R., Kälin, M., Baltisberger, M., & Edwards, P. J. (1998). No genetic variation within isolated relict populations of Saxifraga cernua in the Alps using RAPD markers. *Molecular Ecology*, 7, 1519-1527.
- Bonin, A., Belleman, E., Eidesen, P. B., Pompanon, F., Brochmann, C., & Taberlet, P. (2004). How to track and assess genotyping errors in population genetic studies. *Molecular Ecology*, 13, 3261–3273.
- Braun-Blanquet, J., & Rübel, E. (1932). Flora von Graubünden. Bern, Berlin: Hans Huber.
- Brochmann, C., Gabrielsen, T. M., Nordal, I., Landvik, J. Y., & Elven, R. (2003). Glacial survival or *tabula rasa*? The history of North Atlantic biota revisited. *Taxon*, 52, 417–450.
- Burga, C. A., Klötzli, F., & Grabherr, G. (2004). Gebirge der Erde: Landschaft, Klima. Ulmer, Stuttgart: Pflanzenwelt.
- Bylebyl, K., Poschlod, P., & Reisch, C. (2008). Genetic variation of *Eryngium campestre* L. (Apiaceae) in Central Europe. *Molecular Ecology*, 17, 3379–3388.
- Calvo, J., Hantson, S., & Paiva, J. (2014). *Polygala webbiana* (Polygalaceae), the first record for Europe and a synopsis of *Polygala* subgen. Chamaebuxus.. *Nordic Journal of Botany*, 32, 38–41.
- Castro, S., Loureiro, J., Ferrero, V., Silveira, P., & Navarro, C. (2013). So many visitors and so few pollinators: Variation in insect frequency and effectiveness governs the reproductive success of an endemic milkwort. *Plant Ecology*, 214, 1233–1245.
- Castro, S., Silveira, P., & Navarro, L. (2008). How flower biology and breeding system affect the reproductive success of the narrow endemic *Polygala vayredae* Costa (Polygalaceae). *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, 157, 67–81.
- Comes, H. P., & Kadereit, J. W. (2003). Spatial and temporal patterns in the evolution of the flora of the European Alpine System. *Taxon*, *52*, 451–462.
- Dvořáková, H., Fér, T., & Marhold, K. (2010). Phylogeographic pattern of the European forest grass species *Hordelymus europaeus*: cpDNA evidence. *Flora-Morphology*, *Distribution*, *Functional Ecology of Plants*, 205, 418–423.
- Dyer, R. J., & Nason, J. (2004). Population graphs: The graph-theoretic shape of genetic structure. *Molecular Ecology*, 13, 1713–1728.
- Dyer, R. J., Nason, J., & Garrick, R. C. (2010). Landscape modelling of gene flow: Improved power using conditional genetic distance derived from the topology of population networks. *Molecular Ecology*, 19, 3746–3759.
- Ehrich, D. (2006). AFLPdat: A collection of r functions for convenient handling of AFLP data. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 6, 603–604.
- Eidesen, P. B., Ehrich, D., Bakkestuen, V., Alsos, I. G., Gilg, O., Taberlet, P., & Brochmann, C. (2013). Genetic roadmap of the Arctic: Plant dispersal highways, traffic barriers and capitals of diversity. *New Phytologist*, 200, 898–910.
- Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., & Goudet, J. (2005). Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software structure. *Molecular Ecology*, 14, 2611–2620.
- Fér, T., Vašák, P., Vojta, J., & Marhold, K. (2007). Out of the Alps or Carpathians? Origin of Central European populations of *Rosa pendulina*. *Preslia*, 79, 367–376.
- Gauckler, K. (1938). Steppenheide und Steppenheidewald der Fränkischen Alb in pflanzensoziologischer, ökologischer und geographischer Sicht. Berichte der Bayerischen Botanischen Gesellschaft zur Erforschung der heimischen Flora, 23, 1–134.
- Habel, J. C., Drees, C., Schmitt, T., & Assmann, T. (2010). Refugial areas and postglacial colonizations in the Western Palearctic. In J. C. Habel, & T. Assmann (Eds.), *Relict species—Phylogeography and conservation biology* (pp. 189–198). Heidelberg: Springer.
- Hardtke, H.-J., & Ihl, A. (2000). Atlas der Farn- und Samenpflanzen Sachsens. Dresden: Sächsisches Landesamt für Umwelt und Geologie.

- Hegi, G. (1986). Ilustrierte Flora von Mitteleuropa. Pteridophyta-Spermatophyta. Berlin: Blackwell.
- Hewitt, G. M. (1996). Some genetic consequences of ice ages, and their role in divergence and speciation. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 58, 247–276.
- Holderegger, R., Stehlik, I., & Abbott, R. J. (2002). Molecular analysis of the Pleistocene history of *Saxifraga oppositifolia* in the Alps. *Molecular Ecology*, 11, 1409–1418.
- Holderegger, R., & Thiel-Egenter, C. (2009). A discussion of different types of glacial refugia used in mountain biogeography and phylogeography. *Journal of Biogeography*, 36, 476–480.
- Jäger, E. (Ed.) (2011). Rothmaler–Exkursionsflora von Deutschland. Heidelberg: Spektrum- Akademischer Verlag.
- Jauch, B. (1917). Quelques points de l'anatomie et de la biologie des Ploygalacées. Bulletin de la Société Botanique de Genève, 10, 47-84.
- Kadereit, J. W., Griebeler, E. M., & Comes, H. P. (2004). Quaternary diversification in European alpine plants: Pattern and process. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society*, 359, 265–274.
- Kinloch, B. B., Westfall, R. D., & Forrest, G. I. (1986). Caledonian Scots pine: Origins and genetic structure. New Phytologist, 104, 703–729.
- Kramp, K., Huck, S., Niketić, M., Tomović, G., & Schmitt, T. (2009). Multiple glacial refugia and complex postglacial range shifts of the obligatory woodland plant *Polygonatum verticillatum* (Convallariaceae). *Plant Biology*, 11, 392–404.
- Lang, G. (1994). Quartäre Vegetationsgeschichte Mitteleuropas. Jena: Fischer.
- Lorite, J., Peňas, J., Benito, B., Caňadas, E., & Valle, F. (2010). Conservation status of the first known population of *Polygala balansae* in Europe. *Annales Botanici Fennici*, 47, 45–50.
- Mantel, N. (1967). The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. *Cancer Research*, 27, 209–220.
- Merxmüller, H., & Heubl, G. (1983). Karyologische und palynologische Studien zur Verwandtschaft der Polygala chamaebuxus L. Ber Schweiz bot Ges, 93, 133–144.
- Meusel, H., Jäger, E., Rauschert, S., & Weinert, E. (1978). Vergleichende Chorologie der zentraleuropäischen Flora–Band II. Jena: Gustav Fischer Verlag.
- Michl, T., Huck, S., Schmitt, T., Liebrich, A., Haase, P., & Büdel, B. (2010). The molecular population structure of the tall forb *Cicerbita alpina* (Asteraceae) supports the idea of cryptic glacial refugia in central Europe. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, 164, 142–154.
- Mráz, P., Gaudeul, M., Rioux, D., Gielly, L., Choler, P., Taberlet, P., & Consortium, I (2007). Genetic structure of *Hypochaeris uniflora* (Asteraceae) suggests vicariance in the Carpathians and rapid postglacial colonization of the Alps from an eastern Alpine refugium. *Journal* of *Biogeography*, 34, 2100–2114.
- Murr, J. (1926). Neue Übersicht über die fossile Flora der Höttinger Breccie. Jahrbuch der Geologischen Bundesanstalt, 76, 153–173.
- Nybom, H. (2004). Comparison of different nuclear DNA markers for estimating intraspecific genetic diversity in plants. *Molecular Ecology*, 13, 1143–1155.
- Paun, O., Schönswetter, P., Winkler, M., Consortium, I., Tribsch, A. (2008). Historical divergence vs. contemporary gene flow: Evolutionary history of the calcicole *Ranunculus alpestris* group (Ranunculaceae) in the European Alps and the Carpathians. *Molecular Ecology*, 17, 4263–4275.
- Peakall, R., & Smouse, P. E. (2006). GENALEX 6: Genetic analyses in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and reseach. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 6, 288–295.
- Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M., & Donelly, P. (2000). Inferring of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*, 155, 945–959.
- Prosser, F., Bertolli, A., & Festi, F. (2009). Flora illustrata del Monte Baldo. Rovereto: Osiride.
- Reisch, C. (2008). Glacial history of Saxifraga paniculata (Saxifragaceae)— Molecular biogeography of a disjunct arctic-alpine species in Europe and North America. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 93, 385–398.

II F

- Reisch, C., & Bernhardt-Römermann, M. (2014). The impact of study design and life history traits on genetic variation of plants determined with AFLPs. *Plant Ecology*, 215, 1493–1511.
- Reisch, C., & Kellermeier, J. (2007). Microscale variation in alpine grasslands: AFLPs reveal a high level of genotypic diversity in *Primula minima* (Primulaceae). *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, 155, 549-556.
- Reisch, C., Poschlod, P., & Wingender, R. (2003). Genetic variation of Saxifraga paniculata Mill. (Saxifragaceae): Molecular evidence for glacial relict endemism in central Europe. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 80, 11–21.
- Rogers, S. O., & Bendich, A. J. (1994). Extraction of total cellular DNA from plants, algae and fungi. In S. B. Gelvin, & R. A. Schilperoort (Eds.), *Plant molecular biology manual* (pp. 1–8). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Press.
- Ronikier, M., Schneeweis, G. M., & Schönswetter, P. (2012). The extreme disjunction between Beringia and Europe in *Ranunculus glacialis* sl. (Ranunculaceae) does not coincide with the deepest genetic split—A story of the importance of temperate mountain ranges in arctic-alpine phylogeography. *Molecular Ecology*, 21, 5561–5578.
- Schönswetter, P., Paun, O., Tribsch, A., & Niklfeld, H. (2003). Out of the Alps: Colonization of Northern Europe by East Alpine populations of the Glacier Buttercup *Ranunculus glacialis* L. (Ranunculaceae). *Molecular Ecology*, 12, 3373–3381.
- Schönswetter, P., Popp, M., & Brochmann, C. (2006). Rare arctic-alpine plants of the European Alps have different immigration histories: The snow bed species *Minuartia biflora* and *Ranunculus pygmaeus*. *Molecular Ecology*, 15, 709–720.
- Schönswetter, P., Stehlik, I., Holderegger, R., & Tribsch, A. (2005). Molecular evidence for glacial refugia of mountain plants in the European Alps. *Molecular Ecology*, 14, 3547–3555.
- Schönswetter, P., & Tribsch, A. (2005). Vicariance and dispersal in the alpine perennial *Bupleurum stellatum* L. (Apiaceae). *Taxon*, 54(3), 725–732.
- Schönswetter, P., Tribsch, A., Stehlik, I., & Niklfeld, H. (2004). Glacial history of high alpine *Ranunculus glacialis* (Ranunculaceae) in the European Alps in a comparative phylogeographical context. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 81, 183–195.
- Sebald, O., Seybold, S., Philippi, G., & Wörz, A. (1998). Farn- und Blütenpflanzen Baden-Württembergs. Stuttgart: Ulmer.
- Sinclair, W. T., Morman, J. D., & Ennos, R. A. (1999). The postglacial history of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) in western Europe: evidence from mitochondrial DNA variation. *Molecular Ecology*, 8, 83–88.
- Slovák, M., Kučera, J., Turis, P., & Zozomová-Lihová, J. (2012). Multiple glacial refugia and postglacial colonization routes inferred for a woodland geophyte, *Cyclamen purpurascens*: patterns concordant with the pleistocene history of broadleaved and coniferous tree species. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 105, 741–760.

- Soranzo, N., Alia, R., Provan, J., & Powell, W. (2000). Patterns of variation at a mitochondrial sequence-tagged-site locus provides new insights into the postglacial history of European *Pinus sylvestris* populations. *Molecular Ecology*, 9, 1205–1211.
- Stehlik, I. (2003). Resistance or emigration? Response of alpine plants to the ice ages. *Taxon*, *52*, 499–510.
- Stehlik, I., Blattner, F. R., Holderegger, R., & Bachmann, K. (2002). Nunatak survival of the high Alpine plant *Eritrichium nanum* (L.) Gaudin in the central Alps during the ice ages. *Molecular Ecology*, 11, 2027–2036.
- Stewart, J. R., & Lister, A. M. (2001). Cryptic northern refugia and the origins of the modern biota. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 16(11), 608–613.
- Taberlet, P., Fumagalli, L., Wust-Saucy, A.-G., & Cosson, J.-F. (1998). Comparative phylogeography and postglacial colonization routes in Europe. *Molecular Ecology*, 7, 453–464.
- Tribsch, A., & Schönswetter, P. (2003). Patterns of endemism and comparative phylogeography confirm palaeoenvironmental evidence for Pleistocene refugia in the eastern Alps. *Taxon*, 52, 477–497.
- Tyler, T. (2002). Large-scale geographic patterns of genetic variation in Melica nutans, a widespread Eurasian woodland grass. Plant Systematics and Evolution, 236, 73–87.
- Vargas, P. (2003). Molecular evidence for multiple diversification patterns of alpine plants in Mediterranean Europe. *Taxon*, 52, 463–476.
- Veit, H. (2002). Die Alpen–Geoökologie und Landschaftsentwicklung. Stuttgart: Ulmer.
- Vogler, F., & Reisch, C. (2013). Vital survivors: Low genetic variation but high germination in glacial relict populations of the typical rock plant *Draba aizoides. Biodiversity and Conservation*, 22, 1301–1316.
- Wettstein, R. V. (1892). Die fossile Flora der Höttinger Breccie. Denkschriften der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften Wien. Mathematisch-naturwissenschaftliche Classe, 54, 479–537.
- Winkler, M., Tribsch, A., Schneeweis, G. M., Brodbeck, S., Gugerli, F., Holderegger, R., ... Schönswetter, P. (2012). Tales of the unexpected: Phylogeography of the arctic-alpine model plant *Saxifraga oppositifolia* (Saxifragaceae) revisited. *Molecular Ecology*, 21, 4618–4630.
- Wójkiewicz, B., & Wachiowak, W. (2016). Substructuring of Scots pine in Europe based on polymorphism at chloroplast microsatellite loci. *Flora-Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants*, 220, 142–149.
- Yeh, F. C., Yang, R. C., Boyles, T. B. J., Ye, Z. H., & Mao, J. X. (1997). POPGENE, the user-friendly shareware for population genetic analysis. Alberta: Molecular Biology and Biotechnology Centre.

How to cite this article: Windmaißer, T., Kattari, S., Heubl, G. and Reisch, C. (2016), Glacial refugia and postglacial expansion of the alpine-prealpine plant species *Polygala chamaebuxus*. Ecology and Evolution, 6: 7809–7819. doi: 10.1002/ece3.2515