# Stereoselective rearrangement of guaianolides to tricyclic $\delta$-valerolactones $\dagger$ 
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An unprecedented, highly stereoselective rearrangement of guaianolides, bearing a double bond at the C-6/C-6a position, to tricyclic $\delta$-valerolactones is described.

## Introduction

The 5,7,5-tricyclic guaianolide framework with a trans-annulated $\gamma$-butyrolactone moiety is widely occurring in nature. ${ }^{1}$ Due to their broad range of biological activities, being mainly manifested in the exo-methylene group on the lactone moiety ${ }^{2}$ as a potent acceptor for biological nucleophiles, guaianolides have attracted great interest as synthetic targets, and are also available from nature in considerable quantities. ${ }^{3}$
A common structural feature of the guaianolide family is the presence of a $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond between C-6 and C-6a within the seven membered ring. Typical examples include Kauniolide ${ }^{4}$ (1), Ixerin Y (2a) and Ixerin X (2b), ${ }^{5}$ which were isolated from the aerial parts of Kaunia arbuscularis and Ixeris denticulata f. pinnatipartita and Ixeris sonchifolia, respectively (Fig. 1). During our ongoing studies towards the total synthesis of biologically active guaianolides, ${ }^{6}$ we discovered an unprecedented, stereoselective rearrangement of the title compounds, giving access to highly functionalized tricyclic $\delta$-valerolactones that appear to be promising as novel scaffolds in organic synthesis as well as for biological studies.


Kauniolide (1)

$\mathrm{R}=\alpha-\mathrm{OH}$ : Ixerin $\mathrm{Y}(\mathbf{2 a})$
$R=\beta-O H$ : Ixerin $X(\mathbf{2 b})$

Fig. 1 Representative examples of the guaianolide family.
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## Results and discussion

The trans-annulated $\gamma$-butyrolactone in the guaianolide framework exhibits considerable ring strain, which causes its facile hydrolysis with concurrent ring opening under hydrolytic conditions (Scheme 1). ${ }^{7}$
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Scheme 1 Hydrolytic lactone opening and lactonisation.

We questioned if the inherent ring strain of the system would also be sufficient to provoke a reaction by catalysis with Lewis acids, which have been proven to initiate a great variety of skeletal transformations ${ }^{8}$ in organic synthesis, such as pinacol rearrangements, ${ }^{9}$ Claisen rearrangements, ${ }^{10}$ zip-like construction of annulated rings ${ }^{11}$ and rearrangements of O-glycoside to C-glycosides. ${ }^{12}$

We started our investigation by treating readily available $\mathbf{5}^{6}$ with a variety of Lewis acids. While no reaction was observed with $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{SbCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2}$, decomposition of the starting material occurred upon exposure of 5 to $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$. Gratifyingly, a number of other Lewis acids resulted in a smooth conversion of 5 to give rise to the tricyclic 6,6,6-valerolactone $\mathbf{6}$ (Scheme 2, Table 1).


Scheme 2 Lewis acid catalyzed rearrangement of 5 to $\delta$-lactone 6.

Table 1 Screening of different Lewis acids with $\mathbf{5}^{a}$

| Entry | Lewis acid | Yield (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ | Decomposition |
| 2 | $\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}$ | No reaction |
| 3 | $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2}$ | No reaction |
| 4 | $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ | No reaction |
| 5 | $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$ | 37 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ | 39 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ | 56 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ | 66 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$ | 70 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 80 |

${ }^{a}$ Lewis acid ( 0.25 equiv), 48 h , room temp, DCM. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yields.
$\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$, which has previously been applied successfully in other rearrangement reactions, ${ }^{13}$ was found to be especially suitable to give rise to $\mathbf{6}$ as a single stereoisomer in $80 \%$ yield.

Also, $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$ initiated the rearrangement with good, albeit with slightly lower yields.

To investigate the scope and limitation of this novel rearrangement and to elucidate the reaction mechanism, several other compounds containing the guaianolide framework were investigated (Table 2). Along with the 5,7,6-guaianolide analogue 15, the structures of $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 4}$, and $\mathbf{1 6}$ were unambiguously established by X-ray analysis, showing the formation of a 6,6,6- or 6,6,7-tricyclic skeleton in the most stable, all equatorial arrangement on the ring junctions.

As a key structural element for the rearrangement of the guaianolide ring structure, the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond between C-6 and C-6a ${ }^{14}$ was identified (Scheme 4). The corresponding hydrogenated analogues $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 b}$ gave no conversion, while the epoxidized analogues 18a and 18b resulted in decomposition upon treatment with Lewis acids (Fig. 2). Protection of the C-4 hydroxyl group is advantageous in avoiding translactonisation as a side reaction along the lines reported for the basic hydrolysis of such compounds (cf. Scheme 1). ${ }^{7}$


17a


17b


18a


18b

Fig. 2 Guaianolides that do not undergo the title rearrangement.
Remarkably, the highly reactive exo-methylene group on the lactone ring, representing a key feature in many guaianolide natural products ( $c f$. Fig. 1), is also tolerated during the rearrangement, even with an unprotected hydroxyl group at C-4. Thus, 9 could be converted in the exo-methylene substituted $\delta$-lactone $\mathbf{1 0}$ with no observable side reactions such as conjugate addition or translactonisation (Scheme 3).

Table 2 Lewis acid catalyzed rearrangements of guaianolides ${ }^{a}$
Entry
${ }^{a} \mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ ( 0.25 equiv), 48 h , room temp, DCM. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yields. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ (5.0 equiv, $\left.24 \mathrm{~h}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{DCM}\right) .{ }^{d} \mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ ( 0.3 equiv), 72 h .


Scheme 3 Rearrangement of the exo-methylene substituted guaianolide 9 to $\delta$-lactone 10.

9 exhibits cytotoxicity against human breast cancer cell lines (MCF-7, see ESI $\dagger$ ) in the typical range of exo-methylene substituted guaianolides $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}: 19 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. However, the cytotoxicity of the $6,6,6$ membered $\delta$-valerolactone $\mathbf{1 0}$ is about four times lower


$\forall$


Scheme 4 Proposed reaction mechanism for the conversion of guaianolides to tricyclic $\delta$-valerolactones.
$\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}: 72 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, indicating a less pronounced acceptor quality against biological nucleophiles in exo-methylene substituted $\delta$ lactones.

Taking all these results into consideration, we propose the following mechanism encompassing two successive homoallylcyclopropymethyl carbocation rearrangements ${ }^{15}$ (Scheme 4): Lewis acid activation causes ring opening of the lactone. The resulting secondary carbocation I undergoes stereoselective attack by the homoallylic double bond to form the highly strained but electronically stabilized tertiary cyclopropyl substituted carbocation II. ${ }^{16}$ Opening of the cyclopropyl moiety between C-6a and C-9a to III followed by stereoselective addition of the lactone oxygen onto C-9a concludes the formation of 6 . It should be noted that the rearrangement occurs with an overall inversion on C-9a and C-9b. Hence, a concerted mechanism rather than postulated discrete intermediates I-III would also be in agreement with the products observed.

## Conclusions

In conclusion we discovered a rearrangement, converting the naturally occurring 5,7,5 tricyclic guaianolide ring system stereoselectively to a novel tricyclic $6,6,6 \delta$-valerolactone framework. This reaction can be catalyzed by various Lewis acids, with $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ being the most favorable.

## Experimental

Anhydrous dichloromethane was taken from the MB-SPS solvent purification system. Ethyl acetate and hexanes $\left(40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ were purified by distillation before use. All reagents were of p.a. quality. Reactions were performed in oven dried and in vacuo heated reaction flasks under a predried inert gas (nitrogen or argon) atmosphere. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 300, and a Bruker Avance 600 Kryo, with a H/C/P/F QNP gradient probe. The chemical shift $\delta$ is given in ppm. Calibration was set on chloroform $-\mathrm{d}_{1}$ as the internal standard ( 7.26 ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 77.00 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ). The spectra were evaluated in 1st order and the coupling constants are given in hertz (Hz). ${ }^{17}$ Melting points were measured on a Büchi SMP 20 in a silicon oil bath. The melting points are uncorrected. Infrared-spectra were recorded on a Biorad FT-IR Excalibur FTS
3000. Masspectrometry was performed on Varian MAT 311A, Finnigan MAT 95, Thermoquest Finnigan TSQ 7000, Nermag quadrupoles, VG ZAB high-resolution double-focusing and VG Autospec-Q tandem hybrid with EBEqQ configuration. Optical rotation was measured on a 241 MC Perkin-Elmer polarimeter at a wavelength of $589 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{D})$ in a 10 cm cell and the $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ values are given in $10^{-1} \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$. X-ray analysis was performed by the crystallography laboratory of the University of Regensburg (STOE-IPDS, Stoe \& Cie GmbH).

## (3aS,4S,9aS,9bS)-6-methyl-2-oxo-2,3,3a,4,5,7,8,9,9a,9b-decahydrobenzo[de]chromen-4-yl acetate (6)

5 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.378 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was dissolved under a nitrogen atmosphere in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ in a flame dried Schlenk flask. Bismuth triflate ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25$ equiv) was added in one portion at room temperature and stirred for 48 h . After completion, the reaction mixture was quenched with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Chromatography on flash silica gel (hexanes:ethyl acetate $3: 1)$ yielded $6(80 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%)$ as a white solid. $\mathbf{6}$ gave upon crystallization in a $n$-pentane- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ mixture at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ crystals, which were suitable for X-ray analysis.
$R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.43$ (hexanes: ethyl acetate $2: 1$, Mostain); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+227.8(c$ 1.00 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.22-1.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H})$, $1.53(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 3.7,12.7$ and $16.0,9-\mathrm{H}), 1.64\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 1.96-2.02$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 2.02-2.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 2.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OAc})$, 2.07-2.12 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 2.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J 11.8$ and $18.3,3-\mathrm{H}), 2.41(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 5.6$ and $16.7,5-\mathrm{H}), 2.66$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 14.9,7-\mathrm{H}), 2.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.1$ and 18.3, $3-\mathrm{H}), 3.91$ ( 1 H , ddd, $J 4.3,10.0$ and $11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 4.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J 5.8,10.2$ and $10.4,4-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 18.87\left(+\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.02(+$, $\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ ), 22.73 (-, C-8), 27.06 (-, C-7), 31.73 (-, C-9), 34.51 (-, C-3), 37.39 (-, C-5), 38.97 (+, C-3a), 45.49 (+, C-9b), 72.10 (+, C-4), 83.77 (+, C-9a), $125.49\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{C}-6\right), 126.12\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{a}\right), 169.95$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{C}-2\right), 170.70\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOC}-4\right) ; v_{\max }($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2970,2922$, 2862, 1723, 1452, 1363, 1240, 1033; m/z (EI) 205.1 (15\%) [ $\mathrm{M}^{+}-$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right], 204.1$ (100) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{HAc}\right], 162.1$ (66), 132.1 (77), 118.1 (74); $m / z$ (LSIMS): $265.1435\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+} \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right.$ requires 265.1440].

## (3aS,4R,9aS,9bS)-6-methyl-2-oxo-2,3,3a,4,5,7,8,9,9a,9bdecahydrobenzo[ $d e]$ chromen-4-yl acetate (8)

$R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.32$ (hexanes: ethyl acetate $2: 1$, Mostain); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$; $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.20-1.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 1.63$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.68-1.83 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H}$ ), 1.83-1.94 (1 H, m, 8-H), 1.95-2.10 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}$ and $2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $2.04\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.10-2.16$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 3.2$ and $18.9,5-\mathrm{H}), 2.46(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 11.9$ and $18.3,3-\mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.74(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 2.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.4$ and $18.3,3-\mathrm{H}), 3.91(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J$ $4.3,10.0$ and $11.5,9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 4.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J 1.7$ and $4.0,4-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $18.92\left(+, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.12\left(+, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 22.84(-$, C-8), 27.06 (-, C-7), 31.78 (-, C-9), 33.63 (-, C-3), 36.84 (-, C-5), 36.99 (+, C-3a), 40.47 (+, C-9b), 67.85 (+, C-4), 84.08 (+, C-9a), $124.32\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{C}-6\right), 126.08\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{a}\right), 170.25\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{C}-2\right), 170.94\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right.$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOC}-4$ ).

## (3aR,4S,9aS,9bS)-4-hydroxy-6-methyl-3-methylidene3a, 4,5,7,8,9,9a,9b-octahydrobenzo[de]chromen-2(3H)-one (10)

$R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.65$ (ethyl acetate, Mostain); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+333.0\left(c 1.00\right.$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.20-1.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 1.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J$ 3.6, 12.7 and $15.9,9-\mathrm{H}), 1.64\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{OH})$, $1.78-1.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H}$ and $9 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}), 2.11-$ $2.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9-\mathrm{H}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-\mathrm{H}$ and $3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H})$, 2.65 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 14.7,7-\mathrm{H}), 3.96-4.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 3.91(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 4.3,10.0$ and 11.5, 9a-H), $6.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 6.49(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m},=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 18.79\left(+, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.68(-, \mathrm{C}-8)$, 27.13 (-, C-7), 32.10 (-, C-9), 42.19 (-, C-5), 46.13 (+, C-3a), 46.54 (+, C-9b), 68.03 (+, C-4), $83.46\left(+\right.$, C-9a), $125.92\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{C}-6\right), 126.09$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{a}\right), 127.46\left(-,=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 137.40\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{C}-3\right), 165.94\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{C}-2\right)$; $v_{\max }$ (neat) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3407,2912,2864,2833,1692,1612,1447,1372$, $1275,1241,1189,1147,1026,973,814,653,599,571,445,362$; $m / z(\mathrm{EI}) 234.12537\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right.$ requires 234.1256), $234.1\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, $100 \%$ ), 216.1 ( $61, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 201.1 ( $4, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 190.1 (76, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$ $-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ), 134.1 (59).
(3aS, $8 S, 9 R, 9 \mathrm{a}, 9 \mathrm{~b} S$ )-8-hydroxy-6,9-dimethyl-3a, 4,5,7,8,9,9a,9boctahydrobenzo $[d e]$ chromen-2(3H)-one (12)

Compound 11 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was dissolved under a nitrogen atmosphere in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ in a flame dried Schlenk flask. Anhydrous $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}(29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added in one portion. After 14 and 18 h reaction time, more portions of $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ were added ( 1.0 and 3.0 equiv). After 24 h hours total reaction time $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) were poured into the reaction mixture and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted again with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $\left(1 \times 4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}\right)$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Chromatography on silica gel (hexanes: ethylacetate $1: 1$ ) afforded $\mathbf{1 2 ( 3 1 \mathrm { mg } , 7 1 \% ) . { } ^ { 1 8 }}$
$R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.31$ (hexanes : ethyl acetate $1: 1$, Mostain); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+98.5$ ( $c$ 0.540 in $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.4,9-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.66\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 6-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.68-1.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.79-1.90(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), 1.91-2.17 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $2.20\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 18.3$ and $\left.12.2,7-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, $2.73\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 18.3\right.$ and $\left.5.2,7-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, J 14.0$ and 4.7 , $3-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ), $3.11(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 10.8,10.4$ and $4.6,8-\mathrm{H}), 3.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ 10.4 and $10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 13.5\left(+, 9-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.2\left(+, 6-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.6(-), 31.6(-), 34.9(+), 36.9(-), 37.8(-), 44.7$ (+), 44.9 (+), 72.5 (+, 8-C), 86.6 (+, 9a-C), $122.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, 6-\mathrm{C}\right), 130.7$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, 6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{C}\right)$ and $170.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, 2-\mathrm{C}\right) ; v_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3408,3323,2925$, 1732, 1690, 1233, 1055, 799, 645; m/z(EI) $236.1408\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right.$ requires 236.1412 ).

## (3aS,4S,8S,9R,9aR,9bS)-8-hydroxy-6,9-dimethyl-2-oxo-2,3,3a,4, $5,7,8,9,9 \mathrm{a}, 9 \mathrm{~b}$-decahydrobenzo [de]chromen-4-yl acetate (14)

In a flame dried Schlenk flask under a nitrogen atmosphere 13 ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(2.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and the solution was cooled down to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anhydrous bismuth triflate was added in one portion and the solution was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 72 h . After complete conversion of the starting material, water $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography on flash silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$
$100: 1)$ yielded $\mathbf{1 4}(6 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%)$ as a white solid. $\mathbf{1 4}$ could be crystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hexanes (bp. $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give crystals which were suitable for X-ray analysis.
$R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.36$ (hexanes: ethyl acetate $1: 2$, phosphomolybdic acid); $\mathrm{mp} 179-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp., from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hexanes); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+97.4$ ( $c$ 0.195 in $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.23\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.9,9-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.64-1.71\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9-\mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 4.8, \mathrm{OH}), 1.78-1.89$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 1.98(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 5.2,11.1$ and $22.8,3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 2.07$ (3 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OAc}), 2.03-2.16\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}, 9 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 12.2$ and $\left.18.3,3-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.41-2.48\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.3$ and $\left.18.3,3-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.98\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 4.7\right.$ and $\left.14.1,7-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.15-3.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $8-\mathrm{H}), 3.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 10.4,9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}), 4.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J 5.8$ and $10.4,4-\mathrm{H})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(150 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 13.28\left(+, 9-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.88\left(+, 6-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.95$ $\left(+, \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 34.06(-, 3-\mathrm{C}), 36.56(-, 7-\mathrm{C}), 37.37$ (-, 5-C), 38.89 (+, 3a-C), 44.35 (+, 9b-C), 44.81 (+, 9-C), 71.95 (+, 4-C), 72.24 $(+, 8-\mathrm{C}), 85.66(+, 9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{C}), 122.08\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\right), 128.34\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\right)$, $169.51\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, 2-\mathrm{C}\right), 170.63\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOC}-4\right) ; v_{\text {max }}($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3460$, 2971, 2839, 1730, 1438, 1377, 1235, 1190, 1070, 1039, 1015, 916; $m / z$ (LSIMS) $295.1545\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+} \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right.$ requires 295.1552).

## (3aS,4S,10aS,10bS)-6-methyl-2-oxo-3,3a,4,5,7,8,9,10,10a,10b-decahydro- $2 H$-cyclohepta[ij]isochromen-4-yl acetate (16)

$R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.40$ (hexanes: ethyl acetate $2: 1$, Mostain); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+184.0$ ( $c$ 1.00 in $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.35-1.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 8-\mathrm{H}$, $9-\mathrm{H}), 1.63\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.75-2.04(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 10-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}, 9-$ H), $2.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.07-2.32(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H}$, $10-\mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 2.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 3.5$ and $17.1,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.15(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 2.5,10.5$ and $10.7,10 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H})$, $4.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J 5.8,9.9$ and $10.0,4-\mathrm{H})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $19.66\left(+, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.04\left(+, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 24.44(-, \mathrm{C}-9), 24.44(-, \mathrm{C}-$ 8), 29.60 (-, C-7), 33.58 ( - , C-10), 36.40 (-, C-3), 37.76 (-, C-5), 39.34 (+, C-3a), 47.95 (+, C-10b), 72.21 (+, C-4), 86.29 (+, C10a), $127.43\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{C}-6\right), 127.59\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{a}\right), 170.15\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{C}-2\right), 170.69$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOC}-4\right) ; v_{\max }$ (neat)/ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2926,2858,1725,1448,1367$, 1326, 1234, 1203, 1134, 1013, 972, 908, 823, 801, 766, 691, 659, 613, 573, 520, 498; m/z (EI) $279.1597\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+} \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right.$ requires 279.1596), $279.1\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 2 \%\right), 218.1(92)\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{HAc}\right], 204.1$ (100), 190.1 (60), 172.1 (50), 158.1 (45), 143.1 (49), 119.0 (70), 105.0 (100), 91.0 (42), 42.9 (66).
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