

1 **Group leadership depends on energetic state in a nomadic collective foraging**
2 **caterpillar**

3

4 MELANIE McCLURE¹, MELISSA RALPH¹ AND EMMA DESPLAND^{1,*}

5 ¹*Biology Department, Concordia University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada*

6 **Corresponding author. E-mail: despland@alcor.concordia.ca; Tel.: 1 514 848-2424 ext 3426*

7

8

9 **Key words:** group behavior, foraging, forest tent caterpillar, sociality, *Malacosoma disstria*,
10 synchrony

11

12 **Abstract**

13 Group living is a common strategy among animals and has arisen independently in over
14 300 species of Lepidoptera. Yet activity synchrony between individuals is necessary to derive the
15 benefits that ensue from an aggregated lifestyle. Which individuals decide which activities to
16 perform and when to perform them is therefore a fundamental question. In some species of social
17 caterpillars and sawflies, the role of a potential behavioral polyethism between individuals has
18 been suggested, whereby certain individuals are consistently more likely to initiate and lead a
19 foraging event. However, in these cases, evidence in support of division of labor is lacking. This
20 study was undertaken to determine if certain individuals of *Malacosoma disstria* are more likely
21 to be consistent group leaders, or if transient leaders could be predicted by the differences in
22 energetic states between individuals. The results of this study indicate that unfed caterpillars
23 initiate foraging bouts and are more likely to lead locomotion. There was no size or sex-based
24 bias in those individuals that acted as temporary leaders. Consistent behavioural differences
25 between individuals, if they exist, are therefore not necessary to explain task allocation and
26 synchronisation during foraging in this species.

27 **Introduction**

28 Animal groups on the move often need to make collective decisions about the initiation,
29 speed and direction of travel in order to stay together and reap the benefits of group living.
30 However, individuals often differ in their requirements and hence have different preferences of
31 when and where to go. In these cases, consensus can be made by the entire group (Conradt and
32 Roper 2005). Consensus decisions can be taken in an equally shared (all group members
33 participate in the decision) or unshared (one individual decides for the whole group) manner, but
34 most often are partially shared among group members (Conradt and Roper 2005; Conradt and
35 List 2009; Sumpter and Pratt 2009). In heterogeneous groups making partially shared consensus
36 decisions, the question of who initiates locomotion and who occupies frontal positions during
37 travel is central to understanding group dynamics (Conradt and Roper 2005; Petit and Bon
38 2010). Leadership could depend on transient states such as energetic state or knowledge, or it
39 could be based on stable traits such as temperament or sex.

40 Rands *et al.* (2003) suggested the spontaneous emergence of temporary “leaders” and
41 “followers” in pairs of foragers, owing to the build-up of differences in energetic state. The
42 individual with the lowest energy reserves emerges as the “leader”, whom the other individual
43 imitates. Dostalkova and Spinka (2007) further demonstrated with a model that this was possible
44 if individuals chose to forage before their ideal time in order to avoid being separated from the
45 group. A higher probability to move as a result of low level energy reserves has been shown in
46 many animals (Barton Browne 1993), and in collective displacements hungry individuals often
47 initiate and lead movement (Petit and Bon 2010), as seen for example in meerkats and zebras
48 (Holekamp *et al.* 2000; Fischhoff *et al.* 2007). The initiation of collective foraging is often
49 preceded by increased restlessness associated with hunger in caterpillars (Long 1955; Fitzgerald

50 and Costa 1999; Ruf 2002) and other animals, such as gorillas (Stewart and Harcourt 1994) and
51 cattle (Ramseyer *et al.* 2009). In fish, the leadership position in a traveling school is often
52 occupied by individuals that have been deprived of food (Krause *et al.* 1992; Krause 1993;
53 Krause *et al.* 1998) and there appears to be a trade-off for these individuals between the benefit
54 of a higher food intake (Krause *et al.* 1992) and the cost of an increased predation risk in the
55 frontal position (Bumann *et al.* 1997). Similarly, Cornell *et al.* (1988) showed that leadership of
56 traveling caterpillar colonies was not consistent over larval development, and suggested that
57 temporary leaders emerge due to differences in individual digestive periods and hence energetic
58 state.

59 In other cases, certain individuals are consistently more likely than others to assume the
60 leadership role (Petit and Bon 2010). More generally, a polyethism is observed when certain
61 individuals are more likely to lead group locomotion, as in sawfly larvae (Weinstein and Maelzer
62 1997) and in cattle (Ramseyer *et al.* 2009). This tendency to lead can be correlated with
63 personality characteristics such as boldness, as in fish (Leblond and Reeb 2006; Harcourt *et al.*
64 2009) and birds (Beauchamp 2000), or with dominance, as in primates (King and Cowlishaw
65 2009). This division of labour can also be based on size or sex, for instance in fish (Krause *et al.*
66 1998; Reeb 2001) and in caterpillars (Underwood and Shapiro 1999; Fitzgerald 2003).

67 The present study investigates which individuals initiate collective locomotion and
68 occupy frontal positions in travelling colonies of the nomadic foraging forest tent caterpillar
69 *Malacosoma disstria* (Lasiocampidae: Lepidoptera). Wellington (1957) suggested that consistent
70 individual differences in behaviour may play a role in group dynamics of *Malacosoma*
71 caterpillars, but subsequent studies have failed to substantiate this (Laux 1962; Greenblatt and
72 Witter 1976; Edgerly and Fitzgerald 1982). Edgerly & Fitzgerald (1982) found that activity of

73 first instar caterpillars of *Malacosoma americanum* was not consistent and could not be
74 generalized to subsequent instars. They observed only transient leaders of collective foraging and
75 suggested that the first larvae to initiate a foraging bout might have been the hungriest. Yet
76 Nemiroff and Despland (2007) found overall inter-individual differences in the activity of *M.*
77 *disstria* caterpillars over 4 trial days, but it is not clear whether this has any impact on leadership
78 of foraging bouts.

79 *Malacosoma disstria* is a nomadic collective forager: the 50-200 siblings from an egg
80 mass stay together for most of their larval development. They spin silk mats as temporary
81 bivouacs on their host tree and travel together en masse between bivouacs and feeding sites.
82 Pheromone trails are used to maintain cohesion during locomotion, and caterpillars, particularly
83 in the early larval stadia, are reluctant to advance without a trail. Locomotion becomes more
84 independent in the fifth and final stadium (Fitzgerald 1995). The foraging schedule is flexible:
85 foraging bouts can occur at different times of day and are highly synchronized all-or-nothing
86 events, with the entire colony traveling together and feeding together on the same leaf (Peters
87 and Despland 2006; McClure and Despland 2010). The present study examines which
88 individuals initiate foraging bouts and occupy frontal positions during travel. We test the
89 alternate hypotheses of energetic state vs. consistent individual differences in leadership via two
90 experiments. Experiment 1 examines whether certain individuals are consistently more likely to
91 lead collective locomotion over three days and if this depends on sex or size. Experiment 2
92 examines whether unfed caterpillars are more likely to lead and if the proportion of unfed
93 individuals in a group influence its locomotion.

94

95 **Material and Methods**

96 *M. disstria* caterpillars were reared in the laboratory from egg masses collected on aspen
97 trees in Northern Alberta, Canada (56°17.5N, 113°93.9W) and stored at 4°C with 80% R.H. until
98 use. To minimize mortality from pathogens, egg bands were sterilized by soaking in sodium
99 hypochlorite as described by Grisdale (1985). Caterpillars were kept in a growth chamber at
100 21°C, on a 16h light: 8 h dark photoperiod with 70% R.H. The caterpillars were fed *ad libitum* on
101 a nutritionally balanced, standard wheat germ-based meridic artificial diet (Addy 1969). All
102 experiments were conducted at temperatures ranging between 20-23°C and 50-60% R.H. and at
103 approximately the same time each day.

104

105 Experiment 1: Consistency in leaders

106 Fifteen groups each of second and fourth instar caterpillars were used on the second day
107 after molting to ensure that none of the caterpillars molted before the end of the trials. Both
108 second and fourth instar caterpillars were studied during these experiments, as they exhibit
109 differences in group behavior. Trials were repeated at 24 h intervals for three consecutive days
110 for each group. Caterpillars were food deprived for three hours prior to the experiment to control
111 for energetic state. Groups consisted of all caterpillars arising from a single egg mass (with the
112 number of individuals varying between 37 to 64 caterpillars) and were placed on plastic bridges
113 covered in brown paper and elevated by rubber stoppers over a tray of water to prevent
114 caterpillars from leaving the arena (see Dussutour *et al.* 2007 for a schematic description of a
115 similar set-up). The bridges were replaced after each trial to ensure that pheromone trails were
116 not present. Bridges measured 36.5 cm x 3 cm for second instar caterpillars and twice that length
117 for fourth instar caterpillars. This increase in arena size was necessary due to an increase in

118 larval size and activity. The width of the bridge, however, was kept constant as there was plenty
119 of space for there to be more than one caterpillar side by side.

120 Once the caterpillars were on the bridge, an empty glass beaker acting as a barrier was
121 removed to commence the experiment. A caterpillar moving towards the end of the bridge at the
122 front of the group was identified as a leader and any other individual whose head was more than
123 one body length behind was considered a follower. If a second individual was less than one body
124 length behind the first, however, then it was also considered a leader. This means that there were
125 occasionally simultaneous leaders. Occasionally, individuals in the lead turned back and were
126 replaced by other leaders, who were then also marked as leaders. Thus several individuals could
127 act as leaders in each trip. All individuals that acted as leaders during a given trial were marked
128 with a spot of nontoxic washable paint on the abdominal setae. The experiment was terminated
129 when at least one caterpillar reached the end of the arena. Each group of caterpillars was tested
130 on three consecutive days and three different paint colors were used, therefore enabling easy
131 identification of leaders and followers for each day. If foraging did not begin after one hour of
132 being placed on the bridge, the trial was discarded and the data was not used in the analysis. All
133 fourth instar caterpillars used were individually weighed after the last trial. Second instar
134 caterpillars were not weighed as they are too small to accurately weigh individually. For five of
135 the fourth instar groups, caterpillars observed to be leaders at least once were separated from
136 those who were always followers and were reared to maturity separately. Pupae of both leaders
137 and followers were sexed when metamorphosis was complete (N=206 caterpillars; 99 males and
138 107 females).

139

140 *Statistical Analysis*

141 Observed frequencies were the number of times during the three days of observation that
142 an individual was a leader, identified by the number of colored paint dots. A Poisson distribution
143 was used to calculate expected frequencies based on the Napierian logarithm (Sokal and Rohlf
144 1981) and a Chi-square test was used to determine, for each group independently, if there was
145 significant departure from the expected frequencies. The effect size (Chi-square values) was
146 plotted as a function of group size for each larval instar and analysed using a linear regression
147 analysis. One overall Chi-square test per instar was also used to determine if there was
148 significant departure from the expected frequencies for pooled groups. The larval weight of
149 leaders and followers were compared using a t-test. The sex ratio of both leaders and followers
150 were compared to the frequencies of both sexes measured in our combined groups (48% males
151 and 52% females) using a Chi-square test.

152

153 Experiment 2: Leadership and energetic state

154 Groups of 40 caterpillars with different ratios of fed to unfed individuals (35:5; 30:10;
155 20:20; 10:30; 5:35) were prepared for this experiment. On the day after they moulted to second
156 instar, caterpillars were individually marked with dots of non-toxic washable paint on the
157 abdominal setae using two different colors to indicate fed and unfed individuals. Caterpillars
158 were fed *ad libitum* on artificial diet, but for the unfed group, the food was removed three hours
159 before the experiment, a normal intermeal interval for this species (Peters and Despland 2006;
160 McClure and Despland 2010). A wooden craft stick measuring 113 mm x 6 mm was placed
161 between two overturned Petri dishes 90 mm in diameter. At the beginning of a trial, all marked
162 individuals were placed on one of the overturned Petri dishes and a small square of fresh
163 artificial diet was placed on the second Petri dish at the opposite end. The test area was arranged

164 so that all arenas received comparable amounts of light, arenas were replaced after each trial to
165 ensure that pheromone trails were not present and caterpillars were used only once. During each
166 trial, interval scans were performed every 60 seconds, and the paint mark (indicating if it was fed
167 or unfed) of the individual in the front of the group was recorded. A total of 12 replicates were
168 done for every ratio of unfed individuals (87.5%; 75%; 50%; 25%; 12.5%). Trials were
169 terminated when the group reached the food or after 200 minutes.

170

171 *Statistical Analysis*

172 To determine if unfed individuals were more likely to take the lead than expected, a Chi-
173 square test for goodness of fit compared across group ratios was used to compare the proportion
174 of observations in which an unfed individual was the leader with the proportion of unfed
175 individuals in the group.

176 Cox survival analyses were used to compare proportion of unfed individuals (as a
177 continuous variable) with the latency to start a foraging bout and the time to reach the food once
178 they had started.

179

180 **Results**

181 Experiment 1: Consistency in leaders

182 On average (mean \pm SEM) 56.07 \pm 3.50% or 25.87 \pm 2.35 second instar caterpillars and
183 41.99 \pm 4.30% or 16.60 \pm 1.83 fourth instar caterpillars per group led at least once. Chi-square tests
184 done for each group individually, both of second and fourth instar caterpillars, were all non-
185 significant ($p > 0.05$; $df = 3$), indicating that the number of times an individual led did not differ
186 from that expected if all individuals had an equal tendency to lead. The effect size necessary to

187 obtain statistical significance at $\alpha = 0.05$ is $\chi^2_3 = 7.815$ (Sokal and Rohlf 1981); the effect sizes in
188 our tests are all well below this critical value (see Fig. 1). The effect size (chi-square values) was
189 also not significantly affected by group size in both larval instars (second instar: $R_2=0.039$;
190 $F=0.521$; $df=1, 13$; $p=0.483$); fourth instar: $R_2=0.154$; $F=2.361$; $df=1, 13$; $p=0.148$; Fig. 1). Chi-
191 square tests of pooled groups within larval instars was also not significant (second instar:
192 $\chi^2=0.78$; $df=3$; $p=0.854$; fourth instar: $\chi^2=3.37$; $df=3$; $p=0.338$).

193 There was no significant difference in larval weight (mean \pm SEM) between caterpillars
194 who led the group at least once and those that never did (36.97 ± 20.46 mg vs. 39.50 ± 22.02 mg;
195 equal variances $t=-1.185$; $df=475$; $p=0.237$; Levene's test: $F=0.072$; $p=0.789$; Shapiro-Wilk test:
196 $W=0.912$; $df=477$; $p=0.120$). Caterpillars that had led the group at least once were just as likely
197 to be males or females ($\chi^2=0.004$; $df=1$; $p=0.95$), as were the followers ($\chi^2=0.03$; $df=1$; $p=0.86$).
198 Thus, caterpillars do not appear to exhibit consistent individual differences in their tendency to
199 lead.

200

201 Experiment 2: Leadership and energetic state

202 Individuals in the front of the group were more likely to be unfed than expected from the
203 ratio of fed to unfed individuals in the group ($\chi^2=42.68$; $df=4$; $p<0.001$, Fig. 2). Overall, 82% of
204 all forays ($N=50$) were led by unfed individuals.

205 The majority (93%; $N=60$) of groups with 25-87.5% unfed individuals started a foraging
206 bout within 40 minutes; however, more than half of the groups with 12.5% unfed individuals did
207 not initiate a foraging bout in the 200 minutes of the trial (Fig. 2 & 3). Cox survival analysis
208 showed a significant effect of the proportion of unfed individuals within a group on the rate of
209 initiation of foraging (Wald=3.964; $df=1$; $p=0.046$).

210 Most groups reached the food within 60 min after departure (total N=50, including only
211 those groups which did initiate foraging) except for two groups with 87.5% unfed individuals
212 which took more than 2 hours (Fig. 4). Also, none of the groups with 12.5% unfed individuals
213 reached the food in less than 15 minutes, whereas in all the other treatments, some groups
214 reached the food in less than 5 min (Fig. 4). Cox survival analysis showed no significant effect of
215 the proportion of unfed individuals within a group on the duration of travel time (Wald=0.046;
216 df=1; p=0.831).

217

218 **Discussion**

219 Synchrony of group activities can result from social facilitation when individuals match
220 their behaviour to that of other animals in the group (Gautrais *et al.* 2007; Sumpter and Pratt
221 2009). In caterpillars, the initiation of collective foraging is often preceded by increased
222 restlessness associated with hunger. Tactile cues are thought to transmit the signal to begin
223 locomotion as group members imitate the movement of their neighbors (Long 1955; Fitzgerald
224 and Costa 1999; Ruf 2002). Hence, cohesion in group locomotion emerges from local
225 interactions between individuals. Our findings suggest that these interactions are not initiated
226 consistently by the same individuals, but rather by those that are hungriest.

227 Nemiroff and Despland (2007) found that some caterpillars of *M. disstria* were
228 consistently more active than others and showed a lower latency to reach a novel food source,
229 indicating greater propensity to independent movement. However their study tested caterpillars
230 individually, and may not be indicative of what occurs in a group. The present study
231 demonstrates that consistent individual differences in behavior, if they exist, do not significantly
232 contribute to determining leadership of foraging in *M. disstria*. A similar conclusion was reached

233 in other species of *Malacosoma* (Laux 1962; Greenblatt and Witter 1976; Edgerly and Fitzgerald
234 1982) and other (Cornell *et al.* 1988) caterpillars (but see also Wellington (1957)).

235 Instead, it appears that transient differences in energetic state determine who leads
236 caterpillar collective foraging, as suggested by Edgerly and Fitzgerald (1982) and Cornell *et al.*
237 (1988). Indeed, we show that hungry and therefore temporarily active individuals take frontal
238 positions during travel (Fig. 2) and that groups containing hungry individuals initiate foraging
239 sooner (Fig. 3). Our results indicate that in colonies of *M. disstria*, collective dynamics are not
240 based on the actions of a few highly active leaders but rather depend on fluctuations in energetic
241 state of group members. A recent study with locusts shows how allomimetism of hungry
242 neighbors not only synchronizes group feeding activity but also leads to entrainment of internal
243 physiological rhythms (Despland and Simpson 2006) and hence decreases conflicts between
244 individuals and further facilitates synchronization.

245 This experiment thus provides an empirical demonstration of the mechanism for the
246 model proposed by Rands *et al.* (2003), where individuals with low energy reserves initiate
247 locomotion. It would therefore be interesting to test, in a model, the mechanism described in
248 Rands *et al.* (2003) with larger groups and compare it to the results of this study. In both Rands
249 *et al.* (2003) and this study, movement is driven not by individuals with particular personality
250 traits, status or knowledge, but by those with the highest need. Other group members follow
251 because there is a cost to being separated (Rands *et al.* 2003). In *M. disstria*, the selection
252 pressure to remain with the group is strong because caterpillars in groups have higher survival
253 rates, develop faster and reach larger sizes than isolated individuals (Despland and Le Huu
254 2007), possibly due to improved thermoregulation and group defence (McClure and Despland
255 2010). Indeed, in the field, young *M. disstria* caterpillars demonstrate very high levels of

256 cohesion, and fragmentation of colonies is rare (Fitzgerald and Costa 1986; McClure and
257 Despland 2010).

258 For planktivorous fish, occupying a frontal position provides the highest food intake
259 (Krause *et al.* 1992). However, this is unlikely to be the motivation for leading in *M. disstria*
260 caterpillars, since a single leaf generally provides more than enough for a meal for an entire
261 colony of young caterpillars. Instead, increased hunger likely makes caterpillars more likely to
262 accept the risks associated with leading a moving group. Young *M. disstria* caterpillars are
263 reluctant to advance at the head of a group (Despland and Hamzeh 2004; Colasurdo and
264 Despland 2005), possibly due to an increase in predation risk in the frontal position (McClure
265 and Despland 2010). Caterpillars with lower energetic states may be more likely to take that risk
266 (Werner and Anholt 1993). In our experiment, leaders often turned back to be replaced by other
267 leaders, suggesting that even hungry individuals are reluctant to remain in the leadership position
268 for very long.

269 In consensus decision-making, action can often be driven by a minority of highly
270 motivated individuals (Huse *et al.* 2002; Couzin *et al.* 2005), as for instance in cockroaches
271 (Halloy *et al.* 2007) and humans (Dyer *et al.* 2009). Petit and Bon (2010) showed that the
272 strength of the initiation signal (either as an absolute number or a proportion of individuals) may
273 represent a quorum at the individual level triggering the subsequent movement. Figure 3 suggests
274 that the initiation signal is fully present even in groups where fed individuals outnumber the
275 unfed ones 3 to 1, as groups with only 25% unfed individuals initiated foraging as rapidly as
276 groups with a higher proportion of unfed individuals. However, those groups with only 12.5%
277 unfed caterpillars were less likely to initiate foraging within the duration of the trial. These

278 results suggest that, as seen in cattle (Ramseyer *et al.* 2009) and humans (Dyer *et al.* 2009), a
279 minimum number of motivated individuals is necessary for action to begin.

280 At the other extreme, groups with 87.5% unfed individuals initiated locomotion rapidly,
281 but showed more variation in the amount of time required to reach the food than more balanced
282 groups (Fig. 4). Their movement appeared scattered to the observer with many individuals
283 leading in different directions, suggesting that Wellington (1957) may have been right in
284 speculating that following caterpillars play an important role in keeping the group cohesive. It's
285 possible that groups with many individuals forming separate pheromone trails independently of
286 one another are less effective at advancing forward than groups where a smaller number of
287 individuals take turns progressing a single trail. This raises the possibility that, at least in
288 caterpillar colonies, there could be an optimal balance between leaders and followers for
289 effective collective locomotion.

290

291 **Acknowledgements**

292 Thanks go to Dr. Barry Cooke for providing the egg masses and to Stéphane Daigle of Centre
293 d'Étude de la Forêt (CEF) for assistance with statistical analysis. Financial support was provided
294 by the Canadian Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council as a Discovery Grant to
295 E.D., and by le Fonds Québécois de la Recherche sur la Nature et les Technologies as a Graduate
296 Student Research Award to M.M. The experiments comply with the current laws of the country
297 in which they were performed.

298

299 **Conflict of interest**

300 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

301

302 **References**

- 303 Addy ND (1969) Rearing the forest tent caterpillar on an artificial diet. *J Econ Entomol* 62:270-
304 271
- 305 Barton Browne L (1993) Physiologically induced changes in resource-oriented behavior. *Annu*
306 *Rev Entomol* 38:1-25
- 307 Beauchamp G (2000) Individual differences in activity and exploration influence leadership in
308 pairs of foraging zebra finches. *Behaviour* 137:301-314
- 309 Bumann D, Krause J and Rubenstein DI (1997) Mortality risk of spatial positions in animal
310 groups: the danger of being in the front. *Behavior* 134:1063-1076
- 311 Colasurdo N and Despland E (2005) Social cues and following behavior in the forest tent
312 caterpillar. *J Insect Behav* 18:77-87
- 313 Conradt L and List C (2009) Group decisions in humans and animals: a survey. *Philos Trans R*
314 *Soc Lond, Ser B: Biol Sci* 364:719-742
- 315 Conradt L and Roper TJ (2005) Consensus decision making in animals. *Trends Ecol Evol*
316 20:449-456
- 317 Cornell JC, Stamp NE and Bowers MD (1988) Variation and developmental change in activity
318 of gregarious caterpillars, *Hemileuca lucina* (Saturniidae). *Psyche* 95:45-58
- 319 Couzin ID, Krause J, Franks NR and Levin SA (2005) Effective leadership and decision-making
320 in animal groups on the move. *Nature* 433:513-516
- 321 Despland E and Hamzeh S (2004) Ontogenetic changes in social behaviour in the forest tent
322 caterpillar, *Malacosoma disstria*. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 56:177-184
- 323 Despland E and Le Huu A (2007) Pros and cons of group-living in the forest tent caterpillar:
324 separating the roles of silk and of grouping. *Entomol Exp Appl*

325 Despland E and Simpson SJ (2006) Resource distribution mediates synchronization of
326 physiological rhythms in locust groups. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond, Ser B: Biol Sci*
327 273:1517-1522

328 Dostalkova I and Spinka M (2007) Synchronization of behaviour in pairs: the role of
329 communication and consequences in timing. *Anim Behav* 74:1735-1742

330 Dussutour A, Simpson SJ, Despland E and Colasurdo N (2007) When the group denies
331 individual nutritional wisdom. *Animal Behavior* 74:931-939

332 Dyer JRG, Johansson A, Helbing D, Couzin IA and Krause J (2009) Leadership, consensus
333 decision making and collective behaviour in humans. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond, Ser B:*
334 *Biol Sci* 364:781-789

335 Edgerly JS and Fitzgerald TD (1982) An investigation of behavioral variability within colonies
336 of eastern tent caterpillar *Malacosoma americanum* (Lepidoptera:Lasiocampidae). *J Kans*
337 *Entomol Soc* 55:145-155

338 Fischhoff IR, Sundaresan S, Cordingley J, Larkin HM, Sellier MJ and Rubenstein D (2007)
339 Social relationships and reproductive state influence leadership roles in movements of
340 plains zebra, *Equus burchellii*. *Anim Behav* 73:825-831

341 Fitzgerald TD (1995) *The Tent Caterpillars*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press

342 Fitzgerald TD (2003) Role of trail pheromone in foraging and processionary behavior of pine
343 processionary caterpillars *Thaumetopoea pityocampa*. *J Chem Ecol* 29:513-532

344 Fitzgerald TD and Costa JT (1986) Trail-based communication and foraging behavior of young
345 colonies of forest tent caterpillars (Lepidoptera: Lasiocampidae). *Ann Entomol Soc Am*
346 79:999-1007

347 Fitzgerald TD and Costa JT (1999) Collective behavior in social caterpillars. In: Detrain C,
348 Deneubourg JL, Pasteels JM (eds) Information processing in social insects. Birkhauser,
349 Basel

350 Gautrais J, Michelena P, Sibbald A, Bon R and Deneubourg JL (2007) Allelomimetic
351 synchronization in Merino sheep. *Anim Behav* 74:1443-1454

352 Greenblatt JA and Witter JA (1976) Behavioral studies on *Malacosoma disstria*
353 (Lepidoptera:Lasiocampidae). *Can Entomol* 108:1225-1228

354 Grisdale D (1985) *Malacosoma disstria*. In: Singh P, Moore RF (eds) Handbook of insect
355 rearing. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 369-379

356 Halloy J, Sempo G, Caprari G, Rivault C, Asadpour M, Tâche F, Saïd I, Durier V, Canonge S,
357 Amé JM, Detrain C, Correll N, Martinoli A, Mondada F, Siegwart R and Deneubourg JL
358 (2007) Social integration of robots into groups of cockroaches to control self-organized
359 choices. *Science* 318:1155-1158

360 Harcourt JL, Ang TZ, Sweetman G, Johnstone RA and Manica A (2009) Social feedback and the
361 emergence of leaders and followers. *Curr Biol* 19:248-252

362 Holekamp KE, Boydston EE and Smale L (2000) Group travel in social carnivores. In: Boinski
363 S, Garber PA (eds) On the move. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp 587-627

364 Huse G, Railsback S and Fernö A (2002) Modelling changes in migration pattern of herring:
365 collective behaviour and numerical domination. *Journal of Fish Biology* 60:571-582

366 King AJ and Cowlshaw G (2009) All together now: behavioural synchrony in baboons. *Anim*
367 *Behav* 78:1381-1387

368 Krause J (1993) The relationship between foraging and shoal position in a mixed shoal of roach
369 (*Rutilus rutilus*) and chub (*Leuciscus cephalus*): a field study. *Oecologia* 93:356-359

370 Krause J, Bumann D and Todt D (1992) Relationship between the position preference and
371 nutritional state of individuals in schools of juvenile roach (*Rutilus rutilus*). Behav Ecol
372 Sociobiol 30:177-180

373 Krause J, Reeves P and Hoare D (1998) Positioning behaviour in roach shoals: the role of body
374 length and nutritional state. Behaviour 135:1031-1039

375 Laux W (1962) Individuelle unterschiede in verhalten und leistung des ringelspinners,
376 *Malacosoma neustria* (L.). Z. angew Zool. 49:465-524

377 Leblond C and Reeb SG (2006) Individual leadership and boldness in shoals of golden shiners
378 (*Notemigonus crysoleucas*). Behaviour 143:1263-1280

379 Long DB (1955) Observations on subsocial behaviour in two species of lepidopterous larvae,
380 *Pieris brassicae* L. & *Plusia gamma* L. Trans R Ent Soc Lond 106:421-437

381 McClure M and Despland E (2010) Collective foraging patterns of field colonies of *Malacosoma*
382 *disstria* caterpillars. Can Entomol 142:1-8

383 Nemiroff L and Despland E (2007) Consistent individual differences in the foraging behaviour
384 of forest tent caterpillars (*Malacosoma disstria*). Can J Zool 85:1117-1124

385 Peters MI and Despland E (2006) Plasticity in forest tent caterpillar self-organized collective
386 foraging. Ethology 112:521-528

387 Petit O and Bon R (2010) Decision-making processes: The case of collective movements. Behav
388 Processes 84:635-647

389 Ramseyer A, Thierry B, Boissy A and Dumont B (2009) Decision-making processes in group
390 departures of cattle. Ethology 115:948-957

391 Rands SA, Cowlshaw G, Pettifor RA, Rowcliffe JM and Johnstone RA (2003) Spontaneous
392 emergence of leaders and followers in foraging pairs. Nature 423:432-434

393 Reeb SG (2001) Influence of body size on leadership in shoals of golden shiners, *Notemigonus*
394 *crysoleucas*. Behaviour 138:797-809

395 Ruf C (2002) Social life-styles in caterpillars: behavioral mechanisms and ecological
396 consequences. Dissertation, Universität Bayreuth

397 Sokal RR and Rohlf FJ (1981) Biometry: The principles and practices of statistics in biological
398 research, Second edn. W.H. Freeman and Company, New York

399 Stewart KJ and Harcourt AH (1994) Gorillas' vocalizations during rest periods: signals of
400 impending departure? Behaviour 130:1-2

401 Sumpter DJT and Pratt SC (2009) Quorum responses and consensus decision making. Philos
402 Trans R Soc Lond, Ser B: Biol Sci 364:743-753

403 Underwood DLA and Shapiro AM (1999) Evidence for division of labor in the social caterpillar
404 *Eucheira socialis* (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). Behav Ecol Sociobiol 46:228-236

405 Weinstein P and Maelzer DA (1997) Leadership behaviour in sawfly larvae *Perga dorsalis*
406 (Hymenoptera: Pergidae). Oikos 79:450-455

407 Wellington WG (1957) Individual differences as a factor in population dynamics: the
408 development of a problem. Can J Zool 35:293-323

409 Werner EE and Anholt BR (1993) Ecological consequences of the tradeoff between growth and
410 mortality rates mediated by foraging activity. Am Nat 142:242-272

411

412

413 **List of figures**

414 **Fig. 1:** The effect size (chi-square values) as a function of group size for both second and fourth
415 instar *Malacosoma disstria* caterpillars. The dashed line represents the critical chi-square value
416 (7.81) necessary to obtain $p=0.05$ with three degrees of freedom.

417

418 **Fig. 2:** The proportion of observations in which unfed *Malacosoma disstria* caterpillars are in the
419 front of the group as a function of the percentage of unfed caterpillars in the group. The sample
420 size (N) indicates the number of groups in each case which initiated foraging, out of a total of 12
421 tested.

422


423 **Fig. 3:** Survival curves showing the latency of *Malacosoma disstria* groups to start a foraging
424 bout under the different fed: unfed ratios. The y-axis indicates the proportion of the groups that
425 haven't started foraging by the time indicated on the x-axis (in minutes). Curves that terminate
426 above zero show the proportion of groups that did not initiate a foraging bout within 200
427 minutes.

428

429 **Fig. 4:** Survival curves showing, for all fed: unfed ratios, the time groups took to reach the food
430 once they had started. The y-axis indicates the proportion of the groups that haven't reached the
431 food by the time indicated on the x-axis (in minutes).

432


1



2


3 Fig. 1


4



5

6 **Fig. 2**





12

13

14 Fig. 4