A Comparative Study of Australian and New Zealand Male and Female Nurses' Health: A Sex Comparison and Gender Analysis

American Journal of Men's Health 2016, Vol. 10(6) 450–458 © The Author(s) 2015 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/1557988314567222 ajmh.sagepub.com

Anthony Tuckett, PhD, MA, BN, RN^{1,2,3}, Tim Henwood, PhD, BSc-HMS, BSc-Sp&ExSc⁴, John L. Oliffe, PhD, MEd, RN^{5,6}, Tracy L. Kolbe-Alexander, PhD, MPH, BSc-Hons, BA-HMS⁷, and Jae Rin Kim⁸

Abstract

The aim of this research was to compare the health and lifestyle behaviors between male and female nursing professionals. Biological, workplace, and lifestyle factors as well as health behaviors and outcomes are reported as different between male and female nurses. Although male nurses show distinct health-related patterns and experience health disparities at work, few studies have investigated health differences by sex in a large cohort group of nursing professionals. This observation study of Australian and New Zealand nurses and midwives drew data from an eCohort survey. A cohort of 342 females was generated by SPSS randomization (total N=3625), to compare against 342 participating males. Measures for comparison include health markers and behaviors, cognitive well-being, workplace and leisure-time vitality, and functional capacity. Findings suggest that male nurses had a higher BMI, sat for longer, slept for less time, and were more likely to be a smoker than their female nurse counterparts. Men were more likely to report restrictions in bending, bathing, and dressing. In relation to disease, male nurses reported greater rates of respiratory disease and cardiovascular disease, including a three times greater incidence of myocardial infarction, and were more likely to have metabolic problems. In contrast, however, male nurses were more likely to report feeling calm and peaceful with less worries about their health. Important for nurse workforce administrators concerned about the well-being of their staff, the current study reveals significant sex differences and supports the need for gender-sensitive approaches to aid the well-being of male nurses.

Keywords

sex differences, gender and health, masculinity, nursing profession, health behaviors

Introduction

The importance of workplace health is ever present and, in the context of health care providers, there is strong momentum toward optimizing the health of workers who are responsible for patient care Chan and Perry (2012). The nursing workforce is unique both in terms of being the largest subgroup of health care providers and for its longstanding gender disparity wherein women account for approximately 90% of nurses (Auebach, Staiger, Muench, & Buerhaus, 2012). Recognizing the need to support the health of nurses, there is strong interest in better understanding sex differences and health behaviors as a means to informing gender-sensitive targeted workplace health promotion programs (Oliffe & Han, 2014). The current study by detailing health behavior and outcome sex differences among female and male nurses offers empirical weight to prompt thoughtful consideration about the need for targeted gender-sensitive workplace health promotion programs.

^ISchool of Nursing and Midwifery, University of Queensland, Herston, Queensland, Australia

²School of Nursing, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

³College of Nursing, Yonsei University Health System, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul, Korea

⁴School of Nursing and Midwifery, Blue Care Research and

Practice Development Centre, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

⁵School of Nursing, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

⁶School of Nursing and Midwifery, Herston, Queensland, Australia
⁷Centre for Research in Exercise, Physical Activity and Health, School of Human Movement Studies, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

⁸College of Nursing, Yonsei University Health System, Seoul, Korea

Corresponding Author:

Anthony Tuckett, School of Nursing and Midwifery, University of Queensland, Herston Campus, Herston Rd, Herston, Queensland 4006, Australia.

Email: a.tuckett@uq.edu.au

Background

Sex, Gender, and Health

Johnson and Repta (2012) eloquently differentiate sex and gender in health to distil the biological origins of sex (e.g., genitalia, hormones) and the diversity and range of socially informed gendered health behaviors. Although there is inherent value to comparing health behaviors and outcomes by sex, there is also increasing interest in combining sex and gender analyses to contextualize the differences and similarities within and between men and women's health (Galdas, Johnson, Percy, & Ratner, 2010). Most often cited are life expectancy differences between men and women wherein women live longer than men (Lipsky, Cannon, & Lutfiyya, 2014). This longstanding epidemiological profile in Western countries is often used to make the case for a focus on men's health, which in turn garner biological sex (Dogan et al., 2014) and/or behavioral gender explanations (Courtenay, 2000). Upstream risk factors (e.g., alcohol overuse, unprotected sex) are also routinely chronicled to explain men's poor health outcomes compared to women (Lyons, Emslie, & Hunt, 2014). For example, poor diets, sedentary lifestyles, and testosterone levels in males often foreground the fact that men are more likely than women to be overweight (two-thirds of adult males are obese, larger proportion of men are overweight compared to women based on BMI measures) (Saad & Gooren, 2011; Templeton, Sainsbury, & Thompson, 2011). In terms of gender, "toughness" is a prevailing masculine ideal, and competitiveness and avoidance of health care services are manly norms used to explain male heart disease and workplace injuries (Lipsky et al., 2014; Templeton et al., 2011). Inversely, feminine ideals are synonymous with care for oneself and looking after the health of others (vocationally as nurses and/or in caring for the health of the men in women lives) (Lee & Owens, 2002).

Gender and Nursing

Typically, nursing as an occupation is acknowledged as a demanding job in accounting for the high burnout within the profession (Erickson & Grove, 2007). Excessive workload, exposure to hazardous environments, and limited skill and knowledge development due to the routine nature of the work have also been cited as contributing to nurse recruitment and retention challenges (O. Evans & Steptoe, 2002; Hsu, Chen, Yu, & Lou, 2010; Walters et al., 1996). In terms of sex differences, both student attrition and burnout rates among men in nursing have been linked to gender role conflict fueled by the female-dominated nature of nursing, prevalent stereotypes, and gender bias in nursing education (Hsu et al., 2010). This may go some way to explaining the higher rates and episodes of sick leave compared to female nurses found in a study of UK-based men in nursing (O. Evans & Steptoe, 2002). Coupled with this are claims that male nurses reflect the wider men's health literature wherein men have high self-perceived health despite engaging in risky behaviors including alcohol and tobacco overuse (Limiñana-Gras, Sánchez-López, Román, & Corbalán-Berná, 2013).

Discussions of gender in health have typically focused on women; yet conspicuously absent from the literature are sex comparisons and gender analyses detailing female nurse's work and/or self-health behaviors. The few articles addressing gender and male nurses have detailed the specificities of men's work-related experiences. For example, male nurses are often perceived as physically powerful, which situates them as having the strength to protect others (J. Evans, 2004). Mathews (2001) reported that when asked to describe the care they provide, male nurses tended to focus on technical aspects, including taking blood pressure and dispensing medications. Similarly, within acute care practice, many male nurses work in ICUs and emergency departments, and this highadrenaline clinical work, though challenging, is understood to demand autonomy and draw respect from others (Schoonover-Schoffner, 2006). Although these studies detailed connections between masculinities and male nurse's work, the health behaviors of male nurses, and for that matter female nurses, are poorly understood in sex and gender research.

Clearly, sex and gender analyses are vital to differentiating and developing nuanced understandings about the health practices of female and male nurses (O. Evans & Steptoe, 2002; Limiñana-Gras et al., 2013). The Australian and New Zealand Nurses and Midwifes e-Cohort study is a large, self-reported survey of practicing nurses across an array of workplaces. Using findings drawn from this data set, we compared the health and lifestyle behaviors between male and female nursing professionals in order to maximize thoughtful consideration to the need for developing targeted gender-sensitive workplace health promotion programs.

Methods

Setting

Data here were drawn from the Australian and New Zealand Nurses and Midwifes e-Cohort study (N = 3,968), a self-reported survey of practicing nurses and midwives across multiple working environments (Huntington et al., 2011; Tuckett et al., 2009). The e-cohort data set contains longitudinal, population-based information, making possible the examination of factors associated with the nurse and midwife workforce and health outcomes. In the primary round of delivery,

Australia and New Zealand nurses and midwifes were recruited during 2006–2008, with participants completing a large survey based on a range of instruments, focused on two broad content themes. The sample, recruitment, and survey structure have been described in detail elsewhere (Huntington et al., 2001; Tuckett et al., 2009). In brief, the two broad content themes questioned the following:

- *Work-life balance* to describe and quantify the factors associated with the retention of the existing workforce and patterns of employment; and
- *Staying healthy* to measure the prevalence, incidence, and associated risk factors of physical, mental, and health behaviors, including musculo-skeletal disorders and workplace injuries in the cohort.

The survey consisted of 108 questions based on validated tools (e.g., SF-36, International Physical Activity Questionnaire) and direct assessments of markers of workforce involvement and health (e.g., years of shift work, BMI, diagnosed disease) with participants requested to complete them all. Ethics approval was provided by the Human Research Ethics Committee, The University of Queensland, Australia. Of the 290,000 Australian and 44,400 New Zealand registered and enrolled nurses and midwives eligible for inclusion at the time, 8,247 participated in the survey (Turner et al., 2009) and 3,968 had data relevant to this analysis. Recruitment was by an online expression of interest and admittance to the survey web page following the submission of demographic data and contact details. On average, it took 40 min to complete the survey.

For the purpose of this research, total male participant numbers were aggregated and an equal number of female respondents were randomized from the remaining cohort for comparison. Specifically, of the eligible responders to the eCohort survey, 342 identified as male and 342 of the potential 3626 respondents who identified as female were randomized to the study through SPSS case randomization with unselected cases deleted and the male and female data sets merged.

Comparisons were made on items with an underlying theme of health and well-being that included health markers and behaviors, cognitive well-being, workplace and leisure time vitality, and functional capacity. Specifically, between-group differences for age, body mass index (BMI, kg/m²) and category (underweight: <18.5 kg/m², normal: 18.6–24.9 kg/m², overweight: 25.0–29.9 kg/m², and obese: \geq 30 kg/m²), MET min/week (total, sitting, walking, moderate and vigorous intensity), days off sick, years of shift work, and hours of sleep were compared. In addition, the presence of a diagnosed disease and capacity for daily activities (e.g., walking 1 km, bathing) were compared. Finally, a sex comparison was undertaken based on response to the SF-36 and used to inform physical and mental well-being over the previous 4 weeks.

Statistical Analysis

All data were processed in SPSS version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Prior to grouping, data were extensively reviewed and outliers eliminated by case. Descriptive and frequency analyses were undertaken dependent on the variables status. Between-group comparisons on categorical data were by Pearson's chi-squared test (χ^2) and where applicable logistic regressions were undertaken on disease diagnosis to identify gender-specific odds ratio (*r*) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). For continuous variables a between-group *t* test was conducted. An alpha of .05 was required for significance. All data are represented as mean ± standard deviation.

Results

Participant Demographic Characteristics

The cohort (n = 684) were 42.4 ± 10.3 years old, with 40% reporting shift work for 10 or more years. Shift work is defined as work performed primarily outside typical daytime hours and includes evening shifts, rotating shifts, irregular shifts, and flexitime (Greene-McKenzie & Scofer, 2006; Zhao, Bogossian, Song, & Turner, 2011). Women were more likely to be new to shift work (14.1% 1 year and 12.3% 2 years), but men more than twice as likely to have been doing shift work for 6 years (11.0%; $\chi^2 = 17.177$, df = 6, p = .009). Table 1 contains cohort and group characteristics for age, BMI, sitting and physical activity, and days off sick.

Clinical Measures

Male nurses had a significantly higher BMI than the women, $27.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$ versus $26.4 \pm 5.3 \text{ kg/m}^2$, respectively (p = .007; Table 1). Consequently, men were more likely to be overweight (BMI = $25.0-29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$) than their female nurse counterparts (47.9%; $\chi^2 = 23.923$, df = 3, p < .001; OR = 2.11, 95% CI = 1.53-2.91).

Men also reported greater presence of cardiovascular disease symptoms and risk factors. In brief, men were significantly more likely to have a diagnosis of Type 2 diabetes ($\chi^2 = 4.097$, df = 1, p = .043; OR = 2.18, 95% CI = 1.01–4.70), elevated serum triglyceride ($\chi^2 = 9.547$, df = 2, p = .002; OR = 2.31, 95% CI = 1.34–3.98), report having high blood pressure ($\chi^2 = 3.895$, df = 1, p = .048; OR = 1.58, 95% CI = 1.00–2.51), and have elevated serum cholesterol ($\chi^2 = 13.708$, df = 2, p < .001; OR = 2.14, 95% CI = 1.42–3.22) than women (Table 2 and Figure 1). Furthermore, men were significantly more likely to have

	Group	Men	Women	Þª	
Age (years)	42.4 ± 10.3	43.0 ± 9.7	41.8 ± 10.6	.116	
Number	684	342	342		
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.0 ± 5.2	27.5 ± 5.1	26.4 ± 5.3	.007	
Number	684	328	320		
Average sitting time (min/day)	181.6 ± 45.9	189.1 ± 175.2	174.3 ± 302.1	.466	
Number	601	297	304		
Total physical activity (MET min/week)	4691.6 ± 4338.2	5000.37 ± 4618.8	4378.5 ± 4020.0	.064	
Number	659	330	329		
Days off sick	8.73 ± 45.9	6.4 ± 13.9	11.0 ± 62.7	.411	
Number	267	130	137		

Table 1. Group and Between Group Differences for Age, BMI, Sitting Time, and Days Off Sick.

Note. Data are mean ± standard deviation. Groups = total cohort analyzed. BMI = body mass index. a. Between-gender t test.

Table 2. Gender differences in diagnosed disease by Pearson's χ^2 test.

	М	Men		Women		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Þ*
Basal cell skin cance	r (No)						
Yes	32	9.8	17	5.2	49	7.5	.026
Diabetes mellitus (N	lo)						
Yes	21	6.4	10	3.1	31	4.7	.043
Elevated triglyceride	es (No)						
Yes	45	13.8	21	6.5	66	10.1	.002
Elevated cholestero	l (No)						
Yes	80	24.5	43	13.1	123	18.8	< .001
Emphysema or chro	nic bronchitis (N	1o)					
Yes	10	3.1	3	0.9	13	2.0	.049
Gout (No)							
Yes	16	4.9	6	1.6	22	3.4	.031
High blood pressure	e (No)						
Yes	52	16.0	35	10.7	87	13.4	.048
Myocardial infarctio	n (No)						
Yes	9	2.8	2	0.6	11	1.7	.032

Note. Omitted responses are given in parentheses. n = number, % = percentage of total group.

a. Pearson's χ^2 test, two-sided significance.

been diagnosed with a basal cell skin cancer ($\chi^2 = 4.968$, df = 1, p = .026; OR = 1.98, 95% CI = 1.08–3.64) and to have experienced gout ($\chi^2 = 4.641$, df = 1, p = .031; OR = 2.74, 95% CI = 1.06–7.08) (Table 2 and Figure 1). In addition, the prevalence of myocardial infarction ($\chi^2 = 4.597$, df = 1, p = .032) and emphysema or chronic bronchitis ($\chi^2 = 3.868$, df = 1, p = .049) was higher in the men than the women.

Lifestyle Behaviors

Nurses reported 300.5 ± 214.1 min of at-work or leisuretime physical activity per day (range: 0–954 min/day) averaged across the week. Men were twice as likely to be categorized as having high sitting time (>490 min/day) (6.1%, $\chi^2 = 6.353$, df = 2, p = .042; Table 1) and report sleeping less than the 7–9 hours per night (41.2%, $\chi^2 = 6.317$, df = 2, p = .042). In addition, more men reported being a current smoker (21%) compared to women ($\chi^2 = 8.622$, df = 1, p = .003). Men were also more likely to report being restricted in bending, kneeling or stooping, and bathing and dressing activities "all of the time" (9.2% [$\chi^2 = 7.975$, df = 2, p = .019] and 5.2% [$\chi^2 = 7.214$, df = 2, p = .027], respectively).

Self-Reported Health Status and Mental Well-Being

Women were twice as likely to report they had accomplished less "some of the time" in the previous 4 weeks due to their physical health (17.8%) ($\chi^2 = 14.620$, df = 4,

Figure 1. The odds ratios (95% confidence interval) of male nurses experiencing diagnosed disease or poor clinical measures compared to female nurses. *Note.* Overweight: body mass index >24.9 kg/m².

p = .006). Men were 3 times more likely than female nurses to report feeling full of life and calm and peaceful "all of the time" (6.4% [$\chi^2 = 15.503$, df = 4, p = .003] and 3.6% [$\chi^2 = 13.918$, df = 4, p = .008], respectively). Women were more likely to report feeling worn out and tired "all of the time" (4.2% [$\chi^2 = 16.650$, df = 4, p = .002] and 8.2% [$\chi^2 = 18.786$, df = 4, p = .001], respectively) but also that their health would "definitely not" be getting worse (34.0%, $\chi^2 = 11.473$, df = 4, p = .022). These data are summarized in Table 3.

Discussion

To expand upon the current study's descriptive findings, and lobby for the transition of such insights toward interventions, three discussion points are shared in what follows: (a) the need to target male nurses, (b) supporting the health of female nurses, and (c) advancing nurse recruitment, retention, and patient care.

The findings drawn from the current study reveal male nurses' health practices and outcomes as relatively poor in comparison to their female counterparts. For example, as a cohort male nurses are significantly more likely to be overweight, a finding consistent with Australian men's health overall (Templeton et al., 2011). Male obesity is commonly linked to modifiable factors, including high sedentary time and poor diet, and directly implicated in male mortality and an array of morbidities (Ahn, Sharkey, Smith, Ory, & Phillips, 2010; Elwood et al., 2013). For example, adverse downstream outcomes were evident for the men in the current study

wherein they had significantly higher incidence of Type 2 diabetes (118%), high blood pressure (58%), and myocardial infarction (364%) compared to female nurses. Although these results reflect wider population health trends (Naqvi, Davis, & Mukamal, 2010), one might reasonably ask why these sex differences prevail among nurses who work directly in the provision of health care?

Previous gender research with male nurses can provide some insights to the disjuncture between men's willingness to provide quality health care amid neglecting their own health. For example, a study by Wu, Oliffe, Bungay, and Johnson (2015) suggested that male nurses distanced themselves from the more emotive aspects of care as a form of protection, objectively providing patient and family care. With this in mind, it may be that male nurses disregard within their own lives what they know and oftentimes teach others about health promotion and illness management. Although it is evidenced that male nurses both reject and rely on masculine norms (Dyck, Oliffe, Phinney, & Garrett, 2009; Wu et al., 2015), the current study findings may reflect how many male nurses' alignment to masculine ideals distance men from selfhealth and their own mortality (Courtenay, 2000; Sabo, 2004). By comparison to their female colleagues, the male nurses in the current study were more likely to smoke, sit, be less able bodied, and get less than the recommended amount of sleep. When these factors are combined with long-term shift work, males nurses may be at risk for impaired driving performance and motor vehicle accidents in transit to and from work, as previously suggested by Ftouni et al. (2013).

Even in light of self-reported symptoms and diagnosed disease, male nurses described feeling full of life, and being calm and peaceful-and these findings also emerged in stark contrast to female nurses. Although men are known to overestimate their health (Robertson, 2007), the discord between male nurses' illness profiles and selfreports in the current study may have been influenced by masculine norms around resilience and stoicism. Men are also characterized as less introspective, expressive, or inclined to admit to distress than women (O. Evans & Steptoe, 2002) and revered for embodying cultural norms synonymous with the easygoing and light-hearted Australian male (Templeton et al., 2011). Indeed, male depressive symptoms and anxiety are more often ineffectually self-medicated through drug overuse (Oliffe & Phillips, 2008) and the use of alcohol in this regard can be explained away as what men typically do despite heightening the risk for male suicide (Oliffe, Ogrodniczuk, Bottorff, Johnson, & Hoyak, 2012).

The move toward targeted workplace men's health promotion has been slow (Oliffe & Han, 2014) though principles including the avoidance of shame or blame, using other men's testimonials and providing explicit



	М	Men		Women		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Þ*
Role physical: Physically accompli	shed less (a little/noi	ne of the time)				
All of the time	6	1.8	7	2.1	13	2.0	.006
Most of the time	28	8.4	59	17.8	87	13.1	
Some of the time	99	29.6	77	23.3	176	26.4	
Vitality: Felt full of life (most/a litt	tle of the time)						
All of the time	21	6.4	6	2.4	29	4.4	.004
Some of the time	91	27.6	130	39.4	221	33.5	
None of the time	10	3.0	5	1.5	15	2.3	
Vitality: Felt worn out (some/a lit	tle of the time)						
All of the time	10	3.0	14	4.2	24	3.6	.002
Most of the time	59	17.9	83	25.2	142	21.5	
None of the time	38	11.5	14	4.2	52	7.9	
Vitality: Felt tired (all/some of the	e time)						
Most of the time	79	23.9	117	35.5	196	29.7	.001
A little of the time	76	23.0	57	17.3	133	20.2	
None of the time	10	3.0	I	0.3	11	1.7	
Mental health: Calm and peaceful	(some/none of the t	time)					
All of the time	12	3.6	3	0.9	15	2.3	.008
Most of the time	143	43.5	111	33.7	254	38.6	
A little of the time	51	15.5	67	20.4	118	17.9	
General health: Expect health to	get worse (don't kno	ow / false)					
Definitely true	15	4.5	8	2.5	23	3.5	.022
Mostly true	58	17.4	38	11.7	96	14.6	
Definitely false	81	24.3	111	34.0	192	29.1	

Table 3. Self-Reported Well-Being and General Health Markers by Pearson's Chi-Squared Test (χ^2).

Note. Omitted responses are given in parentheses. eCohort survey questions are based on/taken from validated tools. Measures presented here are from the SF-36 (Ware . . .) and ask the respondent to recall the 4-week period prior to survey participation. n = number, % = percentage of total group.

a. Pearson's χ^2 test, 2-sided significance.

permission for men to engage in health behaviors are important program considerations (Oliffe, Bottorff, & Sarbit, 2012). By providing men-centered programs, selfhealth can be delinked from femininities and women's health to more fully engage men. The workplace is known to be an acceptable place for men to engage in health promotion, especially under the guise of being fit to work wherein physicality and performance can bolster men's efforts for optimizing one's health. The use of male group based programs can also enable men to engage in friendly competition with one another in ways that may also reduce overestimations about one's health (Creighton & Oliffe, 2010).

The female nurses by comparison reported overall better health amid sharing that they were tired and worn out, and challenged to accomplish all they wanted to do. This current study finding is consistent with previous work reporting women as having better health than men (Oliffe et al., 2010), yet as revealed in the current study, within the workplace and at home women consistently report higher stress levels than men (O. Evans & Steptoe, 2002; Fuhrer, Stansfeld, Chemali, & Shipley, 1999; Peterson, 2004; Walters et al., 1996). A number of gender-informed explanations can be offered for unraveling this. As health care providers, the female nurses may have strongly aligned to feminine ideals that women care for the health of others (DiGiacomo, Davidson, Zecchin, Lamb, & Daly, 2011), and are naturally attuned to their own health needs (Anonymous, 2014). Also reflected may be feminine norms around taking on the bulk of the work in domestic and child care spheres (DiGiacomo et al., 2011) along with ideals about women's abilities to be introspective and the expression of emotions and feelings (Hass, 1979; Simon & Nath, 2004). The current study results might also reflect epidemiological data highlighting mood disorders and depressive symptoms as more prevalent among women (J. Evans, Heron, Francomb, Oke, & Golding, 2001).

The findings in the current study suggest the need to also support female nurses to advance their health and well-being in targeted ways. Programs focused on female nurses may be helpful in promoting mental health and work-shopping strategies for addressing the multiple and ever-increasing demands that come with combining career and domestic lives. In addition, women might especially benefit from employer provided or subsidized child care services, onsite female groups, or individualized mental health promotion programs and coaching services to guide their self-management strategies.

In lobbying for workplace gender-sensitive health promotion programs that target nurses, it is also important for future work to more fully integrate physiological sex– based analyses to apprehend cause–effect in thoughtfully considering prevention and remedies. Central to identifying and effectively targeting modifiable health risk factors is the exploration of physiological diversity and differences within and across men and women. For example, building on the evidence about how estrogen and testosterone influence women and men's disease outcomes is critical to optimizing the potential of biological and behavioral based health promotion efforts (Samad et al., 2014).

Collectively, the current study findings offer health administrators and employers valuable insights and impetus for developing gender-sensitive workplace health promotion programs. Nursing is a profession plagued by high fatigue- and burnout-induced staff turnover (Hsu et al., 2010). Previous work has argued for increased leisure-time activity as a precursor to better nurse health (Henwood, Tuckett, & Turner, 2012), which in turn can increase workers' health and workplace vitality and improve patient care (Tuckett & Henwood, 2014). Outcomes from the current study suggest that a genderspecific approach holds great potential for optimizing nurse health. Specifically, although some health practices and lifestyle issues might be shared, the specific content and mechanisms for engaging male and female nurses likely differs. For example, targeting men about heart and lung health might involve engaging them with activities and achievable goals along with some friendly competition and social connection as a means to self-managing their own health more fully. Female nurses might benefit from a mental health promotion focus to help them strategize the demands of career and family as well as overcome the emotional challenges inherent to providing nursing care.

Study Limitations

There are a number of limitations to be considered in the interpretation of this work. Primarily, the female cohort was generated by SPSS random sampling and might have been biased toward a better example of "healthier" nurses. However, randomized number generation is widely used and is arguably the most appropriate method in formulating a sample from such a large cohort (Rovai, Baker, &

Ponton, 2012). Moreover, demonstrating validity in the randomization was that both males and females had BMI that classified them as overweight, which is an established risk factor for metabolic disease. Also worth considering is that the eCohort survey is self-reported, and therefore participants can over- or underrepresent their actual health and well-being, and that, in contrast to the total available populations of Australian and New Zealand nurses, only a small percentage are represented in this work. However, data here and those found in other eCohort publications by our group (Henwood et al., 2012; Tuckett & Henwood, 2014) speaks equally to other local and international work, whether by gender or not, that nurse health is not optimal.

Conclusion

The current study identified significant sex differences in lifestyle, functional vitality, and health status between male and female nurses. In turn, the case was made that gender provides insights about these differences. Also addressed were the possibilities and potential for menand women-centered workplace health promotion programs. By investing in such gendered health promotion programs, administrators could meaningfully advance nurses' health and their collective capacity to provide optimal patient care.

Author Note

The research on which this paper is based was conducted as part of the Nurse and Midwives e-cohort study (http://e-cohort.net), at The University of Queensland.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This is project is supported by grants from the Australian Research Council (LP0562102), (SR0566924), Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (2005002108) and New Zealand Health Research Council (456163). Industry Partners providing additional funding include Queensland Health, the South Australian Department of Health, Injury Prevention and Control Australia (Pty Ltd), Nursing Council of New Zealand and the Macquarie Bank Foundation. Industry partners providing in-kind support to the project include the Queensland Nursing Council, Nurses and Midwives Board of New South Wales, Nurses Board of Tasmania, Nurses Board of Western Australia, Nurses Board of the Australian Capital Territory and the Nursing Council of New Zealand, Corporate sponsors include Virgin Blue, Virgin Atlantic, and MessageNet.

References

- Ahn, S., Sharkey, J., Smith, M., Ory, M., & Phillips, C. (2010). Variations in Body Mass Index among older Americans: The roles of social and lifestyle factors. *Journal of Ageing* and Health, 23, 347-366.
- Anonymous. (2014). Men die earlier but women's health gets four times more funding. news.com.au. Retrieved from http://www.news.com.au/lifestyle/health/men-die-earlierbut-womens-health-gets-four-times-more-funding/storyfneuzlbd-1226794504245
- Auebach, D., Staiger, D., Muench, U., & Buerhaus, P. (2012). The nursing workforce: A comparison of three national surveys. *Nursing Economics*, 30, 253-261.
- Chan, C., & Perry, L. (2012). Lifestyle health promotion interventions for the nursing workforce: A systematic review. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 21, 2247-2261.
- Courtenay, W. (2000). Constructions of masculinity and their nuances on men's well-being: A theory of gender and health. *Social Science and Medicine*, 50, 1385-1401.
- Creighton, G., & Oliffe, J. (2010). Theorising masculinities and men's health: A brief history with a view to practice. *Health Sociologcal Review*, 19, 409-418.
- DiGiacomo, M., Davidson, P., Zecchin, R., Lamb, K., & Daly, J. (2011). Caring for others, but not themselves: Implications for healthcare interventions in women with cardiovascular disease. *Nursing Research and Practice*, 5. doi:dx.doi. org/10.1155/2011/376020
- Dogan, B., Karakilic, E., Tuna, M., Arduc, A., Berker, D., & Guler, S. (2014). Effect of androgen replacement therapy on atherosclerotic risk markers in young to middle aged men with idiopathic hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism. *Clinical Endocrinology*, 0, 1-7. doi: 10.1111/cen.12617
- Dyck, J., Oliffe, J., Phinney, A., & Garrett, B. (2009). Nursing instructors' and male nursing students' perceptions of undergraduate classroom nursing education. *Nursing Education Today*, 29, 649-653.
- Elwood, P., Galante, J., Pickering, J., Palmer, S., Bayer, A., Ben-Shlomo, Y.,... Gallacher, J. (2013). Healthy lifestyles reduce the incidence of chronic diseases and dementia: Evidence from the Caerphilly cohort study. *PLoS One*, *8*, e81877.
- Erickson, R., & Grove, W. (2007). Why emotions matter: Age, agitation, and burnout among registered nurses. *Online Journal of Issues in Nursing*, 13, 1-13.
- Evans, J. (2004). Men nurses: A historical and feminist perspective. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 47, 321-328.
- Evans, J., Heron, J., Francomb, H., Oke, S., & Golding, J. (2001). Cohort study of depressed mood during pregnancy and after childbirth. *British Medical Journal*, 323, 257-260.
- Evans, O., & Steptoe, A. (2002). The contribution of genderrole orientation, work factors and home stressors to psychological well-being and sickness absence in male- and female-dominated occupational groups. *Social Science & Medicine*, 54, 481-492.
- Ftouni, S., Sletten, T., Howard, M., Anderson, C., Lenne, M., Lockley, S., & Rajaratnam, S. (2013). Objective and subjective measures of sleepiness, and their associations with on-road driving events in shift workers. *Journal of Sleep Research*, 22, 58-69.

- Fuhrer, R., Stansfeld, S., Chemali, J., & Shipley, M. (1999). Gender, social relations and mental health: Prospective findings from an occupational cohort (Whitehall II study). *Social Science & Medicine*, 48, 77-87.
- Galdas, P., Johnson, J., Percy, M., & Ratner, P. (2010). Help seeking for cardiac symptoms: Beyond the masculine-feminine binary. *Social Science & Medicine*, 71, 18-24.
- Greene-McKenzie, J., & Scofer, F. (2006). Duration of time on shift before accidental blood or body fluid exposure for housestaff, nurses and technicians. *Infectional Control and Hospital Epidemiology*, 28, 5-9.
- Hass, A. (1979). Male and female spoken language differences: Stereotype and evidence. *Psychological Bulletin*, *86*, 616-626.
- Henwood, T., Tuckett, A., & Turner, C. (2012). What makes a healthier nurse, workplace or leisure physical activity? Informed by the Australian and New Zealand eCohort Study *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 21, 1746-1754.
- Hsu, H.-Y., Chen, S.-H., Yu, H.-Y., & Lou, J.-H. (2010). Job stress, achievement motivation and occupational burnout among male nurses. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 66, 1592-1601.
- Huntington, A., Gilmour, J., Tuckett, A., Neville, S., Wilson, D., & Turner, C. (2011). Is anybody listening? A qualitative study of nurses' reflection on practice. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 20, 1413-1422.
- Johnson, J., & Repta, R. (2012). Gender and Sex in Health Research. In J. Oliffe & L. Greaves (Eds.), *Designing and* conducting gender, sex and health research (pp. 30-50). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Lee, C., & Owens, R. (2002). *The psychology of men's health series*. Philadelphia, PA: Open University Press.
- Limiñana-Gras, R. M., Sánchez-López, M. P., Román, A. I. S.-S., & Corbalán-Berná, F. J. (2013). Health and gender in female-dominated occupations: The case of male nurses. *Journal of Men's Studies*, 21, 135-148.
- Lipsky, M., Cannon, M., & Lutfiyya, M. (2014). Gender and health disparities: The case of male gender. *Disease-A-Month*, 60, 138-144.
- Lyons, A., Emslie, C., & Hunt, K. (2014). Staying "in the zone" but not passing the "point of no return": embodiment, gender and drinking in mid-life. *Sociology of Health and Illness*, 36, 264-277.
- Mathews, S. (2001). Registered male: A discussion on men in the nursing profession. *Contemporary Nurse*, 11, 231-235.
- Naqvi, A., Davis, R., & Mukamal, K. (2010). Dietary fatty acids and peripheral artery disease in adults. *Atherosclerosis*, 222, 545-550.
- Oliffe, J., Bottorff, J., & Sarbit, G. (2012). Supporting fathers' efforts to be smoke-free: Program principles. *Canadian Journal of Nursing Research*, 44(3), 64-82.
- Oliffe, J., & Han, C. (2014). Beyond workers' compensation: Men's mental health in and out of work *American Journal* of Men's Health, 8, 45-53.
- Oliffe, J., Ogrodniczuk, J., Bottorff, J., Johnson, J., & Hoyak, K. (2012). "You feel like you can't live anymore": Suicide from the perspective of Canadian men who experience depression. *Social Science & Medicine*, 74, 505-514.

- Oliffe, J., & Phillips, M. (2008). Depression and masculinities: A review and recommendations. *Journal of Men's Health*, 5, 194-202.
- Oliffe, J., Robertson, S., Frank, B., McCreary, D., Tremblay, G., & Goldenberg, L. (2010). Men's health in Canada: A 2010 update. *Journal of Men's Health*, 7, 189-192.
- Peterson, M. (2004). What men and women value at work: Implications for workplace health. *Gender Medicine*, *1*, 106-122.
- Robertson, S. (2007). Understanding men and health: Masculinities, identity and well-being. Berkshire, England: Open University Press.
- Rovai, A., Baker, J., & Ponton, M. (2012). Social science research design and statistics: A practitioner's guide to research methods and SPSS analysis. Chesapeake, VA: Watertree Press.
- Saad, F., & Gooren, J. (2011). The role of testosterone in the etiology and treatment of obesity, the metabolic syndrome and Diabetes Mellitus Type 2. *Journal of Obesity*, 1-10. doi:10.1155/2011/471584
- Sabo, D. (2004). The study of masculinities and men's health: An overview. In M. Kimmel, J. Hearn, & R. Connell (Eds.), *Handbook of studies on men and masculinities* (pp. 326-352). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Samad, Z., Boyle, S., Ersboll, M., Vora, A., Zhang, Y., Becker, R., . . . Jiang, W. (2014). Sex differences in platelet reactivity and cardiovascular and physiological response to mental stress in patients with stable ischemic heart disease: Insights from the REMIT Study. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 64, 1669-1678.
- Schoonover-Schoffner, K. (2006). Why aren't men choosing nursing? Journal of Christian Nursing, 23, 16-17.
- Simon, R., & Nath, L. (2004). Gender and emotion in the United States: Do men and women differ in self-reports of

feelings and expressive behaviours? *American Journal of Sociology*, *109*, 1137-1176.

- Templeton, M., Sainsbury, L., & Thompson, S. (2011). *The health of Australia's males*. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW).
- Tuckett, A., & Henwood, T. (2014). The impact of five lifestyle factors on Nurses' and Midwives' health: The Australian and New Zealand Nurses and Midwives eCohort Study. *International Journal of Health Promotion and Education*. doi: 10.1080/14635240.2014.978949
- Tuckett, A., Hughes, K., Gilmour, J., Hegney, D., Huntington, A., & Turner, C. (2009). Caring in residential aged-care. Qualitative findings from an e-cohort sub-study. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 18, 2604-2612.
- Turner, C., Bain, C., Schluter, J., Yorkstone, E., Bogossian, F., & McClure, R., . . . the Nurses and Midwives e-Cohort Group. (2009). Cohort profile: The Nurses and Midwives e-Cohort Study—A novel electronic longitudinal study. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 38, 53-60. doi: 10.1093/ije/dym294
- Walters, V., Lenton, R., French, S., Eyles, J., Mayr, J., & Newbold, B. (1996). Paid work, unpaid work and social support: A study of the health of male and female nurses. *Social Science & Medicine*, 43, 1627-1636.
- Wu, T., Oliffe, J., Bungay, V., & Johnson, J. (2015). Male ICU nurses experiences of taking care of dying patients and their families: A gender analysis. *American Journal of Men's Health*, 9, 44-52.
- Zhao, I., Bogossian, F., Song, S., & Turner, C. (2011). The association between shift-work and unhealthy weight: A crosssectional analysis from the Nurses and Midwives' e-Cohort study. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 53, 153-158.