| 1                                | Title:   |  |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5                 | Characterization of soluble microbial products (SMPs) in a membrane bioreactor (MBR) treating municipal wastewater containing pharmaceutical compounds |  |
| 6                                | Author   | names and affiliation:   |
| 7                                | 1.   | Dong Qing Zhang  |
| 8<br>9                           |  | Address: Advanced Environmental Biotechnology Centre, Nanyang Environment and Water Research Institute, 1 Cleantech Loop, #06-10, Singapore 637141   |
| 10<br>11<br>12                   | 2.   | Antoine Prandota <u>Trzcinski</u> Address: School of Civil Engineering & Surveying, Faculty of Health, Engineering and Sciences, University of Southern Queensland, 4350 Australia   |
| 13<br>14<br>15                   | 3.   | Chinagarn <u>Kunacheva</u> Address: Advanced Environmental Biotechnology Centre, Nanyang Environment and Water Research Institute, 1 Cleantech Loop, #06-10, Singapore 637141  |
| 16<br>17<br>18                   | 4.   | David C <u>Stuckey</u> Address: Department of Chemical Engineering, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ UK   |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23       | 5.   | Yu <u>Liu</u> Address: Advanced Environmental Biotechnology Centre, Nanyang Environment and Water Research Institute, 1 Cleantech Loop, #06-10, Singapore 637141; School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, N1-01a-29, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798                  |
| 24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28       | 6.   | Soon Keat <u>Tan</u> Address: Advanced Environmental Biotechnology Centre, Nanyang Environment and Water Research Institute, 1 Cleantech Loop, #06-10, Singapore 637141; School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, N1-01a-29, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798           |
| 29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34 | 7.   | Wun Jern Ng Address: Advanced Environmental Biotechnology Centre, Nanyang Environment and Water Research Institute, 1 Cleantech Loop, #06-10, Singapore 637141; School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, N1-01a-29, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798                    |
| 35                               | Corresponding Author:  |  |
| 36                               | 8.   | Wun Jern Ng  |
| 37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41       | 0.   | Address: Advanced Environmental Biotechnology Centre, Nanyang Environment and Water Research Institute, 1 Cleantech Loop, #06-10, Singapore 637141; School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, N1-01a-29, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798 Email address: WJNg@ntu.edu.sg |
| 42                               | 0  | Dana Oina Thoma  |
| 43<br>44<br>45<br>46             | 9.   | Dong Qing Zhang Address: Advanced Environmental Biotechnology Centre, Nanyang Environment and Water Research Institute, 1 Cleantech Loop, #06-10, Singapore 637141 Email address: <a href="mailto:dqzhang@ntu.edu.sg">dqzhang@ntu.edu.sg</a>   |

# Characterization of soluble microbial products (SMPs) in a membrane bioreactor (MBR) treating synthetic wastewater containing pharmaceutical compounds

50 51 52

49

Dongqing Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Antoine Prandota Trzcinski<sup>d</sup>, Chinagarn Kunacheva<sup>a</sup> David C Stuckey<sup>c,b</sup>, Yu Liu<sup>a,b</sup>, Soon Keat Tan<sup>a,b</sup>, Wun Jern Ng<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

53 54 55

56 57

58 59 60 <sup>a</sup>Advanced Environmental Biotechnology Centre, Nanyang Environment & Water Research Institute, Nanyang Technological University, 1 Cleantech Loop, #06-10, Singapore 637141, Singapore

<sup>b</sup>School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, N1-01a-29, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798

<sup>c</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ, UK

<sup>d</sup>School of Civil Engineering & Surveying, Faculty of Health, Engineering and Sciences, University of Southern Queensland, 4350 Australia

61 62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

## Abstract

This study investigated the behaviour and characteristics of soluble microbial products (SMP) in two anoxic-aerobic membrane bioreactors (MBRs): MBR<sub>control</sub> and MBR<sub>pharma</sub>, for treating municipal wastewater. Both protein and polysaccharides measured exhibited higher concentrations in the MBR<sub>oharma</sub> than the MBR<sub>control</sub>. Molecular weight (MW) distribution analysis revealed that the presence of pharmaceuticals enhanced the accumulation of SMPs with macro- (13,091 kDa and 1,587 kDa) and intermediate-MW (189 kDa) compounds in the anoxic MBR<sub>pharma</sub>, while a substantial decrease was observed in both MBR effluents. Excitation emission matrix (EEM) fluorescence contours indicated that the exposure to pharmaceuticals seemed to stimulate the production of aromatic proteins containing tyrosine (10.1-32.6%) and tryptophan (14.7-43.1%), compared to MBR<sub>control</sub> (9.9-29.1% for tyrosine; 11.8-42.5% for tryptophan). Gas chromatography - mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis revealed aromatics, long-chain alkanes and esters were the predominant SMPs in the MBRs. More peaks were present in the aerobic MBR<sub>pharma</sub> (196) than anoxic MBR<sub>pharma</sub> (133). The SMPs identified exhibited both biodegradability and recalcitrance in the MBR treatment processes. Only 8 compounds in the MBR<sub>pharma</sub> were the same as in the MBR<sub>control</sub>. Alkanes were the most dominant SMPs (51%) in the MBR<sub>control</sub>, while aromatics were dominant (40%) in the MBR<sub>pharma</sub>. A significant decrease in aromatics (from 16 to 7) in the MBR<sub>pharma</sub> permeate was observed, compared to the aerobic MBR<sub>pharma</sub>. Approximately 21% of compounds in the aerobic MBR<sub>control</sub> were rejected by membrane filtration, while this increased to 28% in the MBR<sub>pharma</sub>.

**Keywords**: Soluble microbial products (SMP); pharmaceutical compounds; membrane bioreactor (MBR); anoxic-aerobic; wastewater treatment.

Pharmaceutical and personal care products (PPCPs) are considered as "emerging contaminants"

85

83

84

#### 1. Introduction

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

86

and many of them are frequently detected in wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) effluents, and surface water and drinking water due to their hydrophilic character and persistence in the aquatic environment (Verlicchi and Zambello, 2015). Although the presence of these compounds in the environment corresponds to low concentration levels (from parts per trillion to parts per billion), their continuous release from WWTPs may pose a potential long-term threat to aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems (Carballa et al., 2004; Kimura et al., 2007). Soluble microbial products (SMP) are organic compounds biologically derived from wastewater treatment processes (Rosenberger et al., 2006; Drews et al., 2007; Liang et al., 2007). Previous studies have reported considerable variability in the production of SMPs in response to environmental stresses imposed on the microorganisms, such as the presence of toxic compounds (Avella et al., 2010; Han et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2015). Han (2013) investigated the effects of continuous Zn (II) exposure on SMP production, and found that the SMP content in the activated sludge increased slightly at below 400 mg/L of Zn (II), but rose sharply at 600 and 800 mg/L Zn. Wang and Zhang (2010) characterized SMPs under stressed conditions and revealed that microorganisms exposed to 50 ppm CrCl<sub>3</sub> increased their generation of low MW hydrophilic protein-like materials. In a recent study on the effect of continuous Ni(II) exposure on the organic degradation and SMP formation in anaerobic reactors, Wu et al. (2015) indicated that more protein than polysaccharide was produced, suggesting the prominent function of protein when reacting to the negative effect of toxic metals. Although a number of studies on the characteristics and fate of SMPs in different bioreactors for the treatment of

municipal and industrial wastewater and landfill leachate have been carried out (Trzcinski and

Stuckey, 2010; Wu and Zhou, 2010; Juang et al., 2013), little information is available with regards to the effects of pharmaceuticals on SMP formation and characterization.

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

To date, research on SMP production and characterisation has been limited to studies focused on several major components such as proteins, polysaccharides, humic substances, and fulvic acid (Barker and Stuckey, 1999; Dignac et al., 2000), and their precise composition remains unclear (Liang et al., 2007). Furthermore, SMPs have a broad spectrum of molecular weights (MW) ranging from greater than 100 kDa to less than 1 kDa (Shin and Kang, 2003; Jarusutthirak and Amy, 2006), and low-MW SMPs are commonly predominant in secondary wastewater effluents (Aquino and Stuckey, 2002). In a previous study on the identification of primary compounds using gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS), Aguino and Stuckey (2004) detected long-chain alkenes and alkanes, as well as some aromatic compounds in significant concentrations (low mg/L). More recently, Trzcinski and Stuckey (2009) demonstrated that a number of aliphatic molecules were degraded in the submerged anaerobic MBR, while some aromatic recalcitrants such as Bis (2-ethylhexy) phthalate were retained in the MBR permeate. Nevertheless, only a few researchers have focused on the chemical identification of low-MW SMPs using sophisticated instruments, e.g., GC-MS (Kunacheva and Stuckey, 2014). Therefore, in order to better understand the fundamental mechanisms of secretion, fate and biodegradability of individual SMPs in biological wastewater treatment processes, as well as how to reduce the levels of these compounds in the effluent, more work needs to be done to specifically identify SMP composition and characteristics.

Many reported studies indicated that membrane bioreactors (MBRs) are more effective than conventional activated sludge (CAS) for the removal of pharmaceuticals, due to long sludge retention times (SRTs), high mixed liquor concentrations, minimal sludge production, and high biomass diversity (Joss et al., 2005; Kümmerer, 2009). SMP/extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) which accumulate in MBR systems have been shown to be a consequence of high membrane rejection and low biodegradability. Their formation, composition and behaviour may become even more complex in MBR systems compared to conventional CAS due to the MBRs retaining biomass at high cell retention times (Wang and Waite, 2009; Shen et al., 2010). Furthermore, under environmental stress,

the cells may produce more EPS and SMPs as a result of metabolic changes in order to survive, possibly even resulting in cell rupture (Aquino and Stuckey, 2004). Therefore, changes in SMP quantity and composition may reveal the response and resistance of activated sludge in an MBR to the exposure to pharmaceuticals. However, changes in SMP concentration and composition have rarely been examined, and a greater understanding of the role SMPs plays in the resistance of CAS to pharmaceutical exposure is needed.

In this study, the occurrence and characteristics of SMPs in MBRs treating municipal wastewater containing pharmaceutical compounds was investigated. The main objectives were to i) characterize the SMP MW distribution using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) - size exclusion chromatography (SEC); ii) investigate the chemical composition of SMPs using three-dimensional fluorescence excitation emission matrix (EEM); and iii) identify low-MW SMPs in the biological treatment processes using GC-MS.

# 2. Materials and methodologies

#### 2.1. Pharmaceuticals

Eight pharmaceuticals (carbamazepine, ibuprofen, naproxen, diclofenac, caffeine, ketoprofen, salicylic acid, and clofibric acid) were selected because they are frequently detected in the aquatic environment (Verlicchi and Zambello, 2015). They were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Singapore) with purity > 99%, and their chemical structures and physicochemical properties are given in Supplementary Table 1.

# 2.2. Lab-scale MBR

Two identical lab-scale MBR systems, i.e., MBR<sub>control</sub> and MBR<sub>pharma</sub>, consisting of an anoxic compartment (3 L) and an aeration compartment (7 L), were operated in parallel (Figure 1). A hollow

fiber ultrafiltration (UF) membrane (ZeeWeed 500, GE Singapore), made of polyvinylidene fluoride, was submerged inside the aerobic compartment, and its effective membrane surface area was  $565~\text{cm}^2$  with a nominal pore size of  $0.04~\mu m$ . To control the MBR process, 3 min of filtration followed by 1 min of relaxation was achieved using fully automated SCADA software (IFIX).

The MBRs were inoculated with biomass obtained from Ulu Pandan Wastewater Reclamation Plant (WRP), Singapore. Synthetic wastewater was used in this study to simulate domestic sewage, and its chemical composition is given in Table 1. The influent for MBR<sub>control</sub> and MBR<sub>pharma</sub> was prepared in two 70-L glass tanks (maintained at 4°C). The selected pharmaceuticals were spiked into the influent of the MBR<sub>pharma</sub> resulting in a final concentration of 25 µg/L for each pharmaceutical. The concentration of mixed liquor suspended solid (MLSS) in the aeration tank was maintained at around 3-6 g/L with an average sludge retention time (SRT) of 25 d for each MBR. The hydraulic retention time (HRT) was approximately 10 h, and a permeate flux of 13 - 15 L/m<sup>2</sup> h (LMH) was maintained. Level sensors were installed in the two MBRs to control the feeding of influents and production of membrane permeates. Both MBRs were fitted with a gas diffuser located on the bottom of the aeration tank to maintain the dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration in the sludge at about 3-4 mg/L for biological oxidation and to achieve membrane scouring. The TMP was monitored automatically using a digital pressure gauge (Ashcroft). General parameters, such as membrane flux, pH, DO, and temperature were automatically recorded using a data logger. After 60 days of acclimatisation, the activated sludge in both MBRs reached a steady state; thereafter, the two MBRs were operated continuously for a period of 6 months.

183

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

## 2.3. Analytical methods

185

186

184

# 2.3.1 Detection of water quality parameters and pharmaceutical concentrations

187

188

189

Influents, anoxic mixed liquors, aerobic mixed liquors, and membrane effluents were collected twice a week from the two MBRs for measurement of conventional parameters and pharmaceutical

concentration. The measurement of MLSS, mixed liquor volatile suspended solids (MLVSS), chemical oxygen demand (COD), and ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N) was in accordance with Standard Methods (APHA, 2005).

Prior to the determination of pharmaceutical concentrations, solid phase extraction (SPE) was

conducted using Oasis HLB cartridges (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). The target pharmaceuticals were analyzed using an ultra performance liquid chromatography - tandem mass spectrometry system (LCMS - 8030, Shimadzu, Japan) in both negative and positive ion mode. Chromatographic separation was achieved with a Gemini-NX C18 column (110 Å, 75 x 2.0mm; 3 µm particle size) and a C18 guard column, both supplied by Merck (Singapore). Separation and detection of the analytes followed a procedure based on a modification of the methods described by Ternes et al. (2005).

## 2.3.2 SMP and EPS extraction

The extraction of SMPs and bound EPS followed the procedure described by Sponza (2002). Sludge was harvested following centrifugation at 12,000 g for 15 min; the resulting supernatant represented the SMPs. Next, the dewatered sludge pellet was washed with saline water (0.9% NaCl solution) twice prior to extraction. The mixed liquor was then subjected to sonication at 20k Hz for 2 min, and centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 min. The phenol-sulfuric acid method (Dubois et al., 1956) and the Lowry method (Lowry et al., 1951) were used for determination of the concentrations of polysaccharides and proteins, respectively.

## 2.3.3 SMP molecular weight (MW) distribution

A 10 mL sample was first centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min and then filtered with a 0.22 mm PTFE syringe filter (SLFG013NK, Millipore, Millex-FG). A high performance size exclusion chromatograph (HP-SEC) (Agilent Technologies, 1260 LC system) equipped with the PL Aquagel-OH 8 lm MIXED-M column was used for the MW distribution analysis; Milli-Q water was used as

the mobile phase at a flow rate of 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Polyethylene glycols (PEGs) and polyethylene oxide standards with molecular weights of 500 kDa, 70 kDa, 4 kDa, 600 Da and 106 Da were used for the calibration. MW was calculated according to the calibration curve and a linear relationship was derived between the log of MW (Da) and retention time (Rt: min) as shown in Eq. (1):

Eq. (1)

2.3.4 Three-dimensional fluorescence excitation emission matrix (EEM)

Log(MW) = 9.8823 - 0.6748 (Rt)

Three-dimensional EEM fluorescence spectra were measured using a luminescence spectrometry (Perkin Elmer LS55 Fluorescence Spectrometer). The spectrometer slits were set at 10 nm for both excitation and emission and excitation wavelengths were increased from 220 nm to 600 nm in 10 nm steps; for each excitation wavelength the emission was detected from 300 nm to 550 nm in 10 nm steps. The software FL Winlab Version 4.00.03 (Perkin Elmer) was employed for handling the EEM data, which were plotted as elliptically shaped contours.

2.3.5 Gas chromatography - mass spectrometry (GC-MS)

In the present study, identification of SMPs was carried out using GC-MS, which allows for the detection of non-polar, volatile and thermo-stable low-MW (< 500 Da) compounds. Prior to the GC-MS analysis, liquid-liquid extraction was performed on a 100 mL filtered supernatant (< 0.45  $\mu$ m) using 70 mL dichloromethane (GC-MS grade, Merck) (Wu and Zhou, 2010), this solvent was selected because it had been used by previous researchers for SMP analysis using GC-MS (Wu and Zhou, 2010). All glassware was washed with acetone prior to the procedure. Mixing was for 3 minutes by manually inverting the extraction funnel and separation of the 2 phases occurred over 5 minutes. Traces of water were removed by mixing the solvent phase with 2 spoons (5 mL) of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated was at 50°C under vacuum until 1 mL of solvent remained.

The samples were then analyzed using a gas chromatograph (5890 Series) equipped with a QP2010Ultra Mass Spectrometry Detector (Shimadzu, Japan). The analytes were separated using an Rtx-5MS column (30 m x 0.25 mm with a film thickness of 0.25 μm). The GC\_MS oven temperature program was: 50 °C, hold 7 min, rate 7 °C min<sup>-1</sup> and then thereafter increased to 325 °C and hold 14 min. Helium was the carrier gas at a flowrate of 1 mL/min. The injector temperature was set at 270 °C, and the MS was operated in the electron impact ionisation mode (70 eV). The transfer line and ion source temperatures were 290 and 220 °C, respectively. Scan runs were made with a range from *m/z* 30 to 580. The chromatograms were analysed using the NIST11 library (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, USA, <a href="http://www.nist.gov/srd/mslist.htm">http://www.nist.gov/srd/mslist.htm</a>), and a match percentage was obtained by comparing the mass spectrum of a peak with that of a known compound from the library. The retention indexes were calculated by the library according to alkanes standards retention times (Trzcinski and Stuckey, 2010). Quantification was done separately for each unknown compound using the alkane with the closest retention time.

## 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1. Treatment performance of MBR systems

Basic performance parameters including MLVSS, MLSS, DCOD, and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N are summarized in Table 2. The average MLSS concentrations ranged from 4.1-4.9 g/L, while the values for MLVSS were 3.8-4.5 g/L. The SCOD concentration in the effluent of the MBR<sub>control</sub> and MBR<sub>pharma</sub> was 10.9 and 14.3 mg/L, resulting in high removals of 97.8% and 97.1%, respectively, indicating the efficiency of MBRs in wastewater treatment. With respect to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N, average removal efficiencies of 95.8% in MBR<sub>pharma</sub> and 95.0% in MBR<sub>control</sub> were observed. The high rate of nitrification achieved may be due to the effective retention of slow growing nitrifying microorganisms by the membrane, which cannot be achieved by gravity clarification in CAS systems (Chang et al., 2002).

Figure 2 shows the removal efficiencies of selected pharmaceuticals in the MBR mixed liquor and effluent. As expected, no significant removal of recalcitrant carbamazepine was observed (5.4% and 9.6% for the aerobic stage and MBR permeate, respectively), implying its persistence in CAS and membrane filtration processes. In contrast, all the highly biodegradable compounds such as caffeine, ibuprofen and salicylic acid, exhibited high removal rates in both the aerobic stage (99%, 91.4% and 92.2%, respectively) and MBR permeate (99.5%, 98.1% and 94.5%, respectively). This finding is consistent with previous studies (Kim et al., 2007; Miège et al., 2009; Radjenović et al., 2009), implying that biodegradation was the main removal mechanism for hydrophilic pharmaceuticals. Although ketoprofen can serve as a sole substrate for microbial growth, and is considered biodegradable (Quintana et al., 2005), relatively low removal was obtained in the aerobic stage (55.7%), but this improved significantly after UF membrane filtration (78.4%). In particular, the removal of clofibric acid and diclofenac was significantly more efficient due to membrane filtration (61.8% and 75.2%, respectively) than biodegradation in the aerobic stage (33.4% and 43.1%, respectively).

#### 3.2. Profiles of proteins and carbohydrates

Proteins and carbohydrates are usually found to be the primary components of SMPs in activated sludge (Kunacheva and Stuckey, 2014; Sheng et al., 2010). The variations in protein and carbohydrate concentrations are shown Figure 3. The average protein and polysaccharide concentrations were 3.95±0.37 mg/L and 11.79 mg/L±2.44 mg/L [n = 24] in the aerobic MBR stage, while in the MBR effluent were1.13±0.18 mg/L and 4.09±0.38 mg/L, respectively. This finding agrees with Juang et al. (2013) who investigated the effects of SMP on MBR fouling potential, and reported that the average protein and carbohydrate concentrations were 2.32 and 12.07 mg/L in the MBR supernatant, while in the MBR effluent were 1.14 and 7.39 mg/L, respectively. Moreover, a higher average concentration (P < 0.05) of protein (1.46 mg/L) and polysaccharide (4.68 mg/L) was observed in the MBR<sub>pharma</sub> effluent compared to that in the MBR<sub>control</sub> (1.03 mg/L for protein; 4.34 mg/L for polysaccharides),

SMPs. Similarly, Aquino and Stuckey (2004) investigated SMP formation in anaerobic chemostats in the presence of toxic compounds, and reported that with chloroform the normalized accumulation of SMPs increased from 2% to 8%, whereas with Cr, the normalized ratio reached as high as 20%.

Production and consumption of soluble organics are dynamic processes, thus the concentrations measured at any point in time present a momentary equilibrium, which can easily be disturbed and shifted by changes in the environment (Rosenberger et al., 2006). Substrate utilization, biomass decay, and EPS hydrolysis are believed to be the major processes contributing to SMP formation (Fenu et al., 2010). It is assumed that the analysed organics analysed were part of the bacterial EPS that was transferred into the liquid phase of the activated sludge, and thus form the soluble EPS or SMP by a variety of different mechanisms. Considering the fact that proteins and carbohydrates are the dominant constituents of cell walls (Pérez Silva et al., 2009), introduction of pharmaceuticals may disturb cellular function and damage cell membranes, and inevitably lead to cell lysis and an increase in SMP concentration. In addition, EPS/SMPs play a key role in protecting the inner microorganisms against environmental stress (Sheng et al., 2010). In the presence of toxic substances, microbial cells in activated sludge and biofilms utilized the substrate to generate more EPS, which act as a diffusional

implying that the exposure of biomass to pharmaceutical compounds increased the production of

environment.

## 3.3. Molecular weight (MW) distribution of SMPs

The MW distribution of SMP was identified using LC-SEC. Five peaks representing different MW fractions were identified (Figure 4) in both the  $MBR_{control}$  and  $MBR_{pharma}$ . Peak 1 (13,091 kDa), and Peak 2 (1,587 kDa) demonstrates the presence of high-MW (> 500 kDa) SMPs. Peak 3 (189 kDa) and Peak 4 (53 kDa) indicate intermediate-MW fractions (500 kDa < MW <1 kDa), while Peak 5 (71 Da) indicates the low-MW fraction (MW < 1 kDa).

barrier between the cell wall and extreme environments to protect the cells from the harsh

As shown in Figure 4, although the location of the major peaks was similar, the relative intensities of the major peaks in the two MBRs were different. Compared to MBRcontrol, a significant increase in the intensities of macro- (13,091 kDa and 1,587 kDa) and intermediate-MW (189 kDa) compounds in the anoxic MBR<sub>pharma</sub> was observed, implying that the presence of pharmaceuticals enhanced the accumulation of high- and intermediate fractions in the MBR<sub>pharma</sub> during start-up stage. A similar result was also found by Aquino and Stuckey (2004) who revealed the presence of toxic compounds (Cr and CHCl<sub>3</sub>) caused a higher accumulation of SMPs with high-MWs. Likewise, Avella et al. (2010) indicated that the presence of a cytostatic drug (cyclophosphamide) caused a significant increase in SMPs in MBR supernatants. The presence of toxic compounds (e.g., pharmaceuticals) tends to cause cell lysis and release intracellular high MW SMPs in response to environmental stress (Aquino and Stuckey, 2004). Hence, EPS in a non-hydrolysed form may also constitute part of the high MW SMP (Aquino and Stuckey, 2004). In addition, although many SMPs produced during biological treatment were degraded, high MW compounds exposed to a toxic environment were likely to be degraded more slowly and result in an increase in SMPs (Chen et al., 2014). In addition, a substantial decrease in the concentrations of macro- (13,091 kDa and 1,587 kDa) and intermediate-MW compounds (189 kDa and 53 kDa) was observed in the MBR effluent, regardless of the presence of pharmaceuticals. This finding clearly shows that membrane filtration rejected an important high MW fraction of the soluble macromolecules in the reactor's bulk solution. The

344

343

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

low- MW solutes.

## 3.4. Excitation emission matrix (EEM) fluorescence contours

346

347

348

345

Measurements of EEM fluorescence spectra were carried out to help analyse SMP composition, and the results are shown in Figure 5. In this present study, five peaks were readily identified in

intermediate-MW fraction of 53 kDa only appeared in the anoxic supernatant, and was not found in

observation suggests that the high- and intermediate- MW species might break down into simpler

MBR aerobic supernatant and effluent, regardless of the presence of pharmaceuticals. This

different treatment units of both MBRs. The first dominant peak was at the excitation/emission wavelengths (Ex/Em) of 220/320 nm (Peak A), and is related to aromatic proteins (tyrosine). The second main peak was at the Ex/Em of 225/362 nm (Peak B), and is associated with aromatic proteins (tryptophan). The other two peaks were located at Ex/Em of 230/426 nm (Peak C) and 285/394 (Peak D), are associated with fulvic acid-like and humic acid-like solutes. The last peak (Peak E) at the Ex/Em of 270/360 nm (Peak E) was described as a tryptophan protein-like solute.

In addition, the location of Peak A was red-shifted (15 nm) along the emission axis in the effluent, while Peak B demonstrated a blue-shift (5 nm) in the effluent, compared to those in the anoxic mixed liquor. A red shift is related to the presence of carbonyl containing substituents, hydroxyl, alkoxyl, amino groups and carboxyl constituents (Wang et al., 2009), while a blue shift is associated with the decomposition of condensed aromatic moieties, and the breakup of large molecules into smaller fragments, such as a decrease in the number of aromatic rings, a reduction of conjugated bonds in a chain structure, a conversion of a linear ring to a non-linear system, or an elimination of particular functional groups including carbonyl, hydroxyl and amine (Coble, 1996). As mentioned above, the shift in wavelength indicated that the oxidation stage and properties of organic matter were different during the biological treatment processes.

In order to further examine the compositional changes of SMPs with the exposure to pharmaceuticals, a fluorescence regional integration (FRI) analysis (Chen et al., 2003; Wang and Zhang, 2010; Chen et al., 2014) was also conducted and is shown in Figure 6. Regions I, II, III and IV represent the tyrosine, tyrosine-like protein, tryptophan and tryptophan-like proteins, respectively. Regions V and VI represent fulvic acid-like and humic acid-like substances. It can be seen that the SMPs were dominated by fluorescence in Regions I, III, V and VI. Regions I and III accounted for more than 45.1%, whereas Regions II and IV accounted for less than 15.5%, implying that the majority of proteins in the SMPs were both tyrosine and tryptophan over other types of proteins containing amino acids such as leucine, alanine, glycine, lysine, proline, serine, and threonine etc. Amino acid composition of proteins is often used to describe protein sequences and to design predictive algorithms (e.g., the tendency of proteins to crystallize), and the percentage of occurrence

of specific amino acids in proteins depends on the protein dimensions (Carugo, 2008). It is also worth noting that down the treatment process from influent to effluent, a significant increase in Regions I and III was observed, implying that these aromatic amino acids were the most difficult to break down. Furthermore, each region exhibited different trends to the exposure of pharmaceuticals, and seemed to stimulate the production of SMPs in Regions I and III, resulting in an increase in amino acids such as tyrosine (10.1-32.6%) and tryptophan (14.7-43.1%), compared to MBR<sub>control</sub> (9.9-29.1% for tyrosine; 11.8-42.5% for tryptophan). It has been well documented that protein plays a significant role in microorganisms' adaptation to the presence of toxic compounds, the mechanisms of which include sequestrating the metal through binding and mitigating the toxicity by enzymatic detoxification (Bruins et al., 2000). The protein production in the activated sludge was probably enhanced under pharmaceutical exposure, implying the important role proteins play in cell adaption to pharmaceutical toxicity. In contrast, Regions V and VI decreased under the exposure to pharmaceuticals, and the fulvic acid-like substances reduced from 19.7-35.0% to 17.8-29.5%, while the humic acid-like substances reduced from 11.7-12.9% to 9.9-11.0%.

3.5. Identification and characterization of SMPs using GC-MS

3.5.1 SMPs in the aerobic stage

Compared to SMPs generated in the anoxic MBR<sub>pharma</sub> (133) (Supplementary Figure 1), the number of compounds increased to 196 in the aerobic MBR<sub>pharma</sub>, and 40 compounds (20%) were identified with a match percentage greater than 80% (Figure 7a). Increasing SMP formation down the biological treatment processes might be due to the higher biomass concentrations in the aerobic stage (Table 2) and the greater growth rate of microorganism leading to higher substrate utilization. The predominant SMPs were aromatics accounting for 39%, followed by esters (17%), alkanes (14%) and alcohol (14%) (Figure 8a). This result was consistent with Zhou et al. (2009) who investigated SMPs in the effluent of a sequencing batch reactor treating distillery wastewater, and found that alkanes and

esters such as heneicosane (19.8%), hexadecanoic acid, butyl ester (18.4%) and tetratetracontane (10.4%) were a significant percentage of the total compounds present. In particular, these long-chain carbohydrates (or alkanes) and esters are frequently reported in biological treatment effluent, and are known to be the main components of low-MW SMPs in aerobic reactors (Janga et al., 2007; Liang et al., 2007).

During biological treatment, both biodegradable and refractory organic compounds are released into the system associated with the lysis of cells. In the present study, the majority of compounds, such as small organic acids (Heptanoic acid, Octanoic acid,), alcohols (n-Pentadecanol, 1-Decanol, 2-methyl-), short-chain alkanes (2-Dodecene, (Z)-), which were present in the anoxic liquor, could not be detected in the aerobic liquor (Supplementary Table 1 and Table 3). This finding indicated that these simple compounds might have been easily biodegraded in the aerobic processes. In contrast, nearly a quarter of SMPs, due to their chemical structure, e.g., substituted ring compounds, cross-linked cell wall fragments, were present in both the anoxic and aerobic liquors, implying that these refractory compounds were not easily biodegraded under any form of metabolism. Most of these compounds were aromatics, such as benzoic acid, 3-methyl-, hydrocinnamic acid, N-Methyl-1H-benzimidazol-2-amine, etc.

In the aerobic stage of the MBR<sub>control</sub>, 41 peaks (18%) were identified with a match percentage greater than 80%, while 165 peaks (72%) were unidentified (Figure 7b). Among the dominant compounds identified were alkanes (51%), aromatics (20%) and esters (17%) (Figure 8b). Only 8 compounds in the MBR<sub>pharma</sub> (e.g., benzoic acid, dodecanoic acid, 2-butenoic acid, 2-propenylidene ester, etc.,) were the same as in the MBR<sub>control</sub>, and this implies that the presence of pharmaceutical compounds resulted in a shift in SMP production and their properties (Table 3 and 4). Moreover, certain aromatics (e.g., 3(2H)-pyridazinone, 6-chloro-), esters (e.g., tricosyl pentafluoropropionate), alkanes (e.g., propane, 1,1,2,3-tetrachloro-) and ketones (e.g., 2-propanone, 1,1,3,3-tetrachloro-), could only be detected in the aerobic MBR<sub>pharma</sub> and not in the MBR<sub>control</sub>. This finding indicates that these compounds may possibly only be generated during the biological treatment of wastewater containing pharmaceuticals, although no references can be found on the formation and composition of

SMPs generated in the treatment of pharmaceutical wastewater. In addition, the presence of pharmaceuticals also influenced the dominant types of compounds present in wastewater. Alkanes were the most common SMP (51%) in the MBR<sub>control</sub>, while aromatics were the most dominant SMPs (40%) in the MBR<sub>pharma</sub>. This suggests that more refractory SMPs are produced in the presence of pharmaceuticals, because the aromatic compounds are generally more recalcitrant and therefore represent a major fraction of residual compounds in the MBR<sub>pharma</sub>.

# 3.5.2 SMPs in the MBR effluent

Fewer compounds with a match percentage greater than 80% (23) were detected in MBR<sub>pharma</sub> effluent than those (40) in the MBR<sub>pharma</sub> aerobic stage (Figure 7c). The dominant compounds were aromatics (30%), alkanes (22%) and esters (22%) (Figure 8c). The number of esters decreased (from 9 to 5) in the MBR<sub>pharma</sub> permeate compared to the MBR<sub>pharma</sub> aerobic stage, and a similar decreasing trend could also be found with alkanes (Table 3 and 5). Furthermore, all the compounds detected in the MBR<sub>pharma</sub> permeate were smaller than 394 Da, while this value was 578 Da in the aerobic MBR<sub>pharma</sub>. The rejection of these higher MW compounds may be due to the formation of a tighter gel layer on the membrane surface, as well as the interactions between microorganisms and the compounds (e.g., SMP and organic substances such as colloids) that contributed to the formation of the gel layer (Jarusutthirak and Amy, 2006; Rosenberger et al., 2006). Moreover, it can be seen that out of the 23 compounds identified in the MBR<sub>pharma</sub> permeate, 13 compounds were found in the aerobic liquor. This finding indicates that the SMPs in the aerobic stage and MBR permeate were more or less similar.

A significant decrease in aromatics (from 16 to 7) in the MBR<sub>pharma</sub> permeate was observed,

compared to the MBR<sub>pharma</sub> aerobic mixed liquor. Aromatic SMPs such as benzoic acid, dl-alanyl-leucine, glycyl-L-proline, formamide, (2-acetylphenyl)-, and 1h-1-benzazepine, 2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-, were only detected in the aerobic stage and disappeared in the permeate. This finding is different from Liang et al. (2007) who investigated SMPs in an MBR operated at different SRTs, and reported that

the percentage of aromatic compounds in the total SMPs increased after passing through the membrane. This discrepancy might be due to the different pore sizes of the membranes used in the two studies (MF with 0.4 µm versus UF with 0.04 µm in this study), and low pressure microfiltration (MF) MBRs may have lower SMP rejection rates (Juang et al., 2013). In contrast, other recalcitrant aromatics such as 3(2h)-pyridazinone, 6-chloro-, phenol, 2-chloro-5-methyl-, benzoic acid, hydrocinnamic acid, 1h-indol-4-ol, and n-methyl-1h-benzimidazol-2-amine, which were found in the aerobic stage, were still present in the MBR permeate and clearly difficult to remove through membrane rejection. Although these recalcitrant aromatic compounds shared the same characteristics as the selected pharmaceuticals, such as a 6 carbon ring fused to a 5 carbon ring, or rings containing nitrogen or oxygen with a double bond, there was no strong evidence from the literature to conclude that these compounds were the degradation by-products of the selected pharmaceuticals.

The total number of peaks found in the MBR<sub>control</sub> effluent was 181(Figure 7d), and alkane was the dominant compound in the MBR<sub>control</sub> effluent (Figure 8d). Table 6 shows the compounds detected in the MBR<sub>control</sub> effluent. Approximately 21% of the compounds in the aerobic MBR<sub>control</sub> were rejected by the membrane, while this number was lower than the MBR<sub>pharma</sub> (28%). Avella et al. (2010) reported that membranes could reject up to 95% of proteins and up to 68% of polysaccharides in the MBR<sub>pharma</sub>, while the values were 98% and 92% in the MBR<sub>control</sub>, respectively. Previous studies have identified the EPS/SMP as one of the most significant factors responsible for membrane fouling (Jarusutthirak and Amy, 2006; Janga et al., 2007), and cake resistance was found to be strongly related to SMP content in the supernatant (Meng et al., 2009). Indeed, the accumulation of EPS in the MBR mix liquor would have facilitated the formation of an EPS fouling gel layer on the membrane surface and eventually lead to pore blocking. Therefore, an increase in the EPS/SMP when cultures are exposed to pharmaceuticals would inevitably result in increasing membrane fouling.

## 4. Conclusions

In the present study, the accumulation, composition, and characteristics of SMPs was examined in an MBR treating wastewater containing pharmaceutical compounds. The exposure of biomass to pharmaceutical compounds increased the production of SMPs, and a higher average concentration (P > 0.05) of protein (1.46 mg/L) and polysaccharides (4.68 mg/L) was observed in the MBR<sub>pharma</sub> effluent compared to that in the MBR<sub>control</sub> (1.03 mg/L for protein; 4.34 mg/L for polysaccharides). HPLC-SEC analysis revealed that the presence of pharmaceuticals enhanced the accumulation of high- and intermediate MW fractions in the MBR<sub>pharma</sub>. Measurements of EEM fluorescence spectra indicated that exposure to pharmaceuticals seemed to stimulate the production of tyrosine and tryptophan containing solutes. GC-MS analysis revealed that there were clear differences in the SMPs between the MBR<sub>control</sub> and MBR<sub>pharma</sub> in terms of the number of compounds, predominant types of organics, their concentration and molecular weight, biodegradability and recalcitrance, implying that the presence of pharmaceutical compounds have caused a radical shift in the SMPs produced.

#### References

510

543

544

545546

547

- Aquino, S.F., Stuckey, D.C., 2002. Characterization of soluble microbial products (SMP) in effluents from anaerobic reactors. Water Sci. Technol. 45, 127-132.
- Aquino, S.F., Stuckey, D.C., 2004. Soluble microbial products formation in anaerobic chemostats in the presence of toxic compounds. Water Res. 38, 255-266.
- Avella, A.C., Delgado, L.F., Görner, T., Albasi, C., Galmiche, M., de Donato, P., 2010. Effect of cytostatic drug presence on extracellular polymeric substances formation in municipal wastewater treated by membrane bioreactor. Bioresour. Technol. 101, 518-526.
- Barker, D.J., Stuckey, D.C., 1999. A review of soluble microbial products (SMP) in wastewater treatment systems. Water Res. 33, 3063-3082.
- Bruins, M.R., Kapil, S., Oehme, F.W., 2000. Microbial resistance to metals in the environment. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 45, 198-207.
- Carballa, M., Omil, F., Lema, J.M., Llompart, M., García-Jares, C., Rodríguez, I., Gómez, M., Ternes, T.,
   2004. Behavior of pharmaceuticals, cosmetics and hormones in a sewage treatment plant. Water
   Res. 38, 2918-2926.
- 525 Carugo, O., 2008. Amino acid composition and protein dimension. Protein Science 17, 2187-2191.
- 526 Chang, I.S., Clech, P.L., Jefferson, B., Judd, S., 2002. Membrane fouling in membrane bioreactors for wastewater treatment. J. Environ. Eng. 128, 1018-1029.
- 528 Chen, L., Gu, Y., Cao, C., Zhang, J., Ng, J.W., Tang, C., 2014. Performance of a submerged anaerobic 529 membrane bioreactor with forward osmosis membrane for low-strength wastewater treatment. 530 Water Res. 50, 114-123.
- 531 Chen, W., Westerhoff, P., Leenheer, J.A., Booksh, K., 2003. Fluorescence Excitation-Emission Matrix 532 Regional Integration to Quantify Spectra for Dissolved Organic Matter. Environ. Sci. Technol. 37, 533 5701-5710.
- Coble, P.G., 1996. Characterization of marine and terrestrial DOM in seawater using excitationemission matrix spectroscopy. Mar. Chem. 51, 325-346.
- Dignac, M.F., Ginestet, P., Rybacki, D., Bruchet, A., Urbain, V., Scribe, P., 2000. Fate of wastewater organic pollution during activated sludge treatment: Nature of residual organic matter. Water Res. 34, 4185-4194.
- Drews, A., Mante, J., Iversen, V., Vocks, M., Lesjean, B., Kraume, M., 2007. Impact of ambient conditions on SMP elimination and rejection in MBRs. Water Res. 41, 3850-3858.
- Dubois, M., Gilles, K.A., Hamilton, J.K., Rebers, P.A., Smith, F., 1956. Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal. Chem. 28, 350-356.
  - Fenu, A., Guglielmi, G., Jimenez, J., Spèrandio, M., Saroj, D., Lesjean, B., Brepols, C., Thoeye, C., Nopens, I., 2010. Activated sludge model (ASM) based modelling of membrane bioreactor (MBR) processes: A critical review with special regard to MBR specificities. Water Res. 44, 4272-4294.
  - Han, J.C., Liu, Y., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Yan, Y.W., Dai, R.H., Zha, X.S., Wang, C.S., 2013. The effect of continuous Zn (II) exposure on the organic degradation capability and soluble microbial products (SMP) of activated sludge. J. Hazard. Mater. 244-245, 489-494.
- Janga, N., Ren, X., Kim, G., Ahn, C., Cho, J., Kim, I.S., 2007. Characteristics of soluble microbial products and extracellular polymeric substances in the membrane bioreactor for water reuse.

  Desalination 202, 90-98.
- Jarusutthirak, C., Amy, G., 2006. Role of soluble microbial products (SMP) in membrane fouling and flux decline. Environ. Sci. Technol. 40, 969-974.
- Joss, A., Keller, E., Alder, A.C., Göbel, A., McArdell, C.S., Ternes, T., Siegrist, H., 2005. Removal of pharmaceuticals and fragrances in biological wastewater treatment. Water Res. 39, 3139-3152.
- Juang, L.C., Tseng, D.H., Chen, Y.M., Semblante, G.U., You, S.J., 2013. The effect soluble microbial products (SMP) on the quality and fouling potential of MBR effluent. Desalination 326, 96-102.

- Kim, S.D., Cho, J., Kim, I.S., Vanderford, B.J., Snyder, S.A., 2007. Occurrence and removal of pharmaceuticals and endocrine disruptors in South Korean surface, drinking, and waste waters. Water Res. 41, 1013-1021.
- Kimura, K., Hara, H., Watanabe, Y., 2007. Elimination of selected acidic pharmaceuticals from municipal wastewater by an activated sludge system and membrane bioreactors. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41, 3708-3714.

- Kümmerer, K., 2009. The presence of pharmaceuticals in the environment due to human use present knowledge and future challenges. J. Environ. Manage. 90, 2354-2366.
- Kunacheva, C., Stuckey, D.C., 2014. Analytical methods for soluble microbial products (SMP) and extracellular polymers (ECP) in wastewater treatment systems: A review. Water Res. 61, 1-18.
  - Liang, S., Liu, C., Song, L., 2007. Soluble microbial products in membrane bioreactor operation: Behaviors, characteristics, and fouling potential. Water Res. 41, 95-101.
  - Lowry, O.H., Rosebrough, N.J., Farr, A.L., Randall, R.J., 1951. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 193, 265-275.
- 572 Meng, F., Chae, S.R., Drews, A., Kraume, M., Shin, H.S., Yang, F., 2009. Recent advances in membrane bioreactors (MBRs): Membrane fouling and membrane material. Water Res. 43, 1489-1512.
  - Miège, C., Choubert, J.M., Ribeiro, L., Eusèbe, M., Coquery, M., 2009. Fate of pharmaceuticals and personal care products in wastewater treatment plants Conception of a database and first results. Environ. Pollut. 157, 1721-1726.
  - Pérez Silva, R.M., Ábalos Rodríguez, A., Gómez Montes De Oca, J.M., Cantero Moreno, D., 2009. Biosorption of chromium, copper, manganese and zinc by Pseudomonas aeruginosa AT18 isolated from a site contaminated with petroleum. Bioresour. Technol. 100, 1533-1538.
  - Quintana, J.B., Weiss, S., Reemtsma, T., 2005. Pathways and metabolites of microbial degradation of selected acidic pharmaceutical and their occurrence in municipal wastewater treated by a membrane bioreactor. Water Res. 39, 2654-2664.
  - Radjenović, J., Petrović, M., Barceló, D., 2009. Fate and distribution of pharmaceuticals in wastewater and sewage sludge of the conventional activated sludge (CAS) and advanced membrane bioreactor (MBR) treatment. Water Res. 43, 831-841.
  - Rosenberger, S., Laabs, C., Lesjean, B., Gnirss, R., Amy, G., Jekel, M., Schrotter, J.C., 2006. Impact of colloidal and soluble organic material on membrane performance in membrane bioreactors for municipal wastewater treatment. Water Res. 40, 710-720.
  - Shen, Y., Zhao, W., Xiao, K., Huang, X., 2010. A systematic insight into fouling propensity of soluble microbial products in membrane bioreactors based on hydrophobic interaction and size exclusion. J. Membr. Sci. 346, 187-193.
- 592 Sheng, G.P., Yu, H.Q., Li, X.Y., 2010. Extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) of microbial aggregates 593 in biological wastewater treatment systems: A review. Biotechnol. Adv. 28, 882-894.
  - Shin, H.S., Kang, S.T., 2003. Characteristics and fates of soluble microbial products in ceramic membrane bioreactor at various sludge retention times. Water Res. 37, 121-127.
  - Sponza, D.T., 2002. Extracellular polymer substances and physicochemical properties of flocs in steady- and unsteady-state activated sludge systems. Process Biochem. 37, 983-998.
  - Ternes, T.A., Bonerz, M., Herrmann, N., Löffler, D., Keller, E., Lacida, B.B., Alder, A.C., 2005. Determination of pharmaceuticals, iodinated contrast media and musk fragrances in sludge by LC tandem MS and GC/MS. J. Chromatogr. A 1067, 213-223.
- Trzcinski, A.P., Stuckey, D.C., 2009. Continuous treatment of the organic fraction of municipal solid waste in an anaerobic two-stage membrane process with liquid recycle. Water Res. 43, 2449-2462.
- Trzcinski, A.P., Stuckey, D.C., 2010. Treatment of municipal solid waste leachate using a submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactor at mesophilic and psychrophilic temperatures: Analysis of recalcitrants in the permeate using GC-MS. Water Res. 44, 671-680.

- Verlicchi, P., Zambello, E., 2015. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in untreated and treated sewage sludge: Occurrence and environmental risk in the case of application on soil - A critical review. Sci. Total Environ. 538, 750-767.
- Wang, X.M., Waite, T.D., 2009. Role of gelling soluble and colloidal microbial products in membrane fouling. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 9341-9347.
- Wang, Z., Wu, Z., Tang, S., 2009. Characterization of dissolved organic matter in a submerged membrane bioreactor by using three-dimensional excitation and emission matrix fluorescence spectroscopy. Water Res. 43, 1533-1540.
- Wang, Z.P., Zhang, T., 2010. Characterization of soluble microbial products (SMP) under stressful conditions. Water Res. 44, 5499-5509.
- Wu, B., Zhou, W., 2010. Investigation of soluble microbial products in anaerobic wastewater treatment effluents. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 85, 1597-1603.
- 619 Wu, W., Duan, T., Song, H., Li, Y., Yu, A., Zhang, L., Li, A., 2015. The effect of continuous Ni(II) 620 exposure on the organic degradation and soluble microbial product (SMP) formation in two-621 phase anaerobic reactor. J. Environ. Sci. (China) 33, 78-87.

622

623