# **University of Southern Queensland**



# THE SOCIO-ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS OF STRUCTURAL CHANGES IN THE TRANSHUMANCE SYSTEM OF THE MOUNTAINOUS AREAS OF NEPAL

A Dissertation Submitted by

**Suman Aryal** 

MSc (Biodiversity and Environmental Management), MSc (Environmental Science), BSc (Environmental Science)

For the award of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY 2015

#### **Abstract**

Traditional social-ecological systems such as pastoralism can be subject to major and rapid changes, resulting in adverse social, economic, cultural and ecological impacts. Transhumance, a type of pastoralism based on seasonal and recurring movement of livestock has been undergoing unprecedented changes. In the high Himalayas, transhumance is a threatened system due to social-economic and cultural transformations brought by globalisation, shifts from subsistence agriculture (e.g. grazing) to multi-functional land use (e.g. tourism and biodiversity conservation), conservation policies and practices, and climate change. Understanding the nature, extent and impacts of these changes will inform both policy and practice. However, knowledge of the current status of the transhumance system and its socio-economic, cultural and ecological significances is very limited. This study on the transhumance system conducted in or near three mountainous protected areas of Nepal Himalayas addresses the knowledge gap.

The study integrated both social and ecological components of transhumance systems using a system thinking approach. The study was multi-disciplinary in nature and applied mixed methods using a range of tools and techniques for data collection and analysis. Socio-economic data were collected by household surveys, focus group discussion, informal interviews and key informants interviews. The ecological data were collected from the rangelands sites using horizontal transects of grazed areas to collect data on grazing intensity, species richness and other environmental variables.

The study revealed that the transhumance system is a major source of household income of herders and is also embedded with culture and traditions. The results did not support the notion that transhumance grazing is necessarily detrimental to biodiversity. Though the species richness ( $\alpha$ -diversity) was low and nitrophilous and grazing tolerant plants were abundant nearer to the *goths* (semi-permanent stopping and camping points), the highest species richness and occurrence of rare species at mid and further distances from *goths* within 800 m transects suggest that adverse impacts were confined to very limited areas near *goths*. In fact, the results indicate that light or medium grazing intensity promotes species richness and composition in other areas.

Globalisation, particularly tourism and labour migration, state conservation policies and practices and climate change were the major drivers of change to the transhumance system. However, the intensity of pressures from those drivers on the systems varied across sites. Tourism and labour migration created shortage of labour for transhumance systems and reduced local economic dependency on such systems. The conservation programs run by government agencies produced unintended outcomes in the transhumance system. It was found that the operational freedom and flexibility of transhumant herders were reduced by conservation policies and programs creating negative attitude and perceptions among herders towards different schemes of conservation. The trends of key climatic variables (temperature and precipitation) and

perceived changes in different biophysical indicators by herders indicated that the climate change has emerged as an additional threat and has the potential to impact different components of transhumance systems (rangelands, livestock and herders).

Herders perceived that fewer households were involved in the transhumance system, herd sizes had decreased, movement patterns have been changed, dependency on transhumance was reduced and the involvement of younger generations in transhumance systems has declined. These changes can decouple social and ecological subsystems that can induce adverse social-ecological impacts. The likely social impacts are decreased livelihood options, reduced agricultural production, loss of customary lifestyle and traditional knowledge and culture. The potential ecological impacts from the loss of transhumance systems can be on biodiversity, vegetation and land use, and ecosystem functions and services.

Complete collapse of the transhumance system could be detrimental, however, some level of transhumance could be desirable. How herders and transhumance systems respond to multiple change pressures will depend on how future policy decisions will support transhumance and whether transhumance systems appear beneficial and attractive compared to other available livelihood options. The incentives to motivate herders by creating a lucrative environment for doing transhumance such as by introducing value addition technologies, certifying and levelling transhumance products, and integrating with alternate livelihood options can encourage some families to continue transhumance.

## **Certification of dissertation**

I certify that the ideas, results, analyses and conclude are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise that the work is original and has not been previously award.	e acknowledged. It is also certified
Signature of Candidate Suman Aryal	Date
Endorsement	
Signature of Principal Supervisor Professor Geoffrey J Cockfield	Date
Signature of Co-supervisor Dr Tek Narayan Maraseni	 Date

#### **Acknowledgements**

First and foremost, I am overwhelmingly thankful to my principal supervisor, Professor Geoffrey Cockfield and co-supervisor Dr Tek Narayan Maraseni. I express my sincere gratitude for their academic excellence, continuous inspiration and incredible guidance throughout the PhD journey.

I am thankful to USQ for providing the opportunity to pursue a PhD and the USQ Postgraduate Scholarship for the Doctoral Degree. I would like to express my gratitude to all the faculty members of USQ for their direct and indirect help during my research. I am thankful to the Department of National Park and Wildlife Conservation (DNPWC), Government of Nepal, Sagarmatha National Park (SNP) and Khaptad National Park (KNP) for giving research permission to conduct this research in SNP and KNP. I am also thankful to the National Trust for Nature Conservation (NTNC), Lalitpur, Nepal and Gaurishanker Conservation Area (GCA) Project for providing me the research permission to conduct research in GCA.

I am deeply indebted to the respondents (herders) of Khumjung Village Development Committee (VDC) of Solukhumbu District, Kalinchok VDC of Dolakha District and Majhigaun VDC of Bajhang District of Nepal who were valuable sources of information for this study. My special thanks go to my friends Mr. Yub Raj Dhakal, Mr. Narayan Prasad Gaire, Ms. Kabita Karki and Mr. Narendra Joshi for accompanying me during field visits. I am also thankful to Mr. Tenjing Tamang (Sherpa), Mr Kuber Neupane and Ishwor Rawal who was there to guide in study sites, to carry logistics and assist me in data collection. I am also thankful to Mr. Ramchandra Kandel (Chief Warden SNP), Mr. Kishor Mehta (Chief Warden KNP) and Mr. Satya Narayan Shah (Project In-Charge, GCAP) for their kind cooperation during the field study.

I am thankful to Dr. Ganesh Raj Joshi (Secretary, Ministry of Forests and Soil Conservation), Dr. Megh Bahadur Pandey (Director General, DNPWC) and Dr. Maheshwor Dhakal (Senior Ecologist, DNPWC). Thanks also go to Mr. Bishwa Nath Oli, Director General and Dr. Anuja Raj Sharma, Community Forest Development Officer, Department of Forests, Kathmandu, Nepal. I am thankful to Dr. Nar Bahadur Rajwar, Director General, Department of Livestock Service, Nepal and Dr. Dinesh Prasad Parajuli, Program Director, Directorate of Livestock Production at Department of Livestock Service, Nepal. I also thank Dr. Amar Bahadur Shah, Project Director, High Mountain Agribusiness and Livestock Improvement (HIMALI) Project and Mr. Devendra Prasad Yadav (Chief Livestock Development Officer) of National Pasture and Animal Feed Centre at Department of Livestock Services. I express my gratitude to Mr. Dinesh Pariyar, former Executive Director at Nepal Agriculture Research Council (NARC), Mr. Kishor Kumar Shrestha (Senior Scientist and Chief, Pasture and Fodder Research Division, NARC) and Dr. Niranjan Prasad Adhikari (Director, NARC). I am thankful to Prof. Madan Koirala, Prof. Kedar Rijal, Prof. Rejina Maskey,

Prof. Ram Prasad Chaudhary, Prof. Krishna Kumar Shrestha and Associate Prof. Suresh Kumar Ghimire of Tribhuvan University. I would like to thank Prof. Vigdis Vandvik and Prof. Ole Reider Vetaas of University of Bergen, Norway. I am also thankful to Mr. Deepak Kumar Singh (Director, Administration), Mr. Ganga Jung Thapa (Executive Director) and Mr. Binod Basnet of NTNC for their help. Dr. Dinseh Raj Bhuju, Academician, Nepal Academy of Science and Technology (NAST), Dr. Eklabya Sharma, Director, International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD); Dr. Shanta Raj Gyawali and Mr. Santosh Nepal from WWF Nepal. I am also thankful to Mr. Ram Kumar Bhandari (District Forest Office, Dolakha), Mr. Ramesh Chand (District Forest Office, Bajhang), Dr. Ram Chandra Sapkota (Veterinary Officer, District Livestock Service Office, Dolakha), Mr. Pashupati Nath (District Livestock Service Office, Bajhang), Mr. Ram Lalan Yadav (Yak Development Farm, Namche, Solukhumbu), Jaya Bahadur Thapa (Assistant Officer, KNP), Mahendra Kathit (Headmaster, Khumjung Secondary School, Solukhumbu), Krishna Pakhrin (Secretary, Conservation Area Management Committee, Kalinchok, Dolakha).

My deep appreciation goes to Dr. Rohini Prasad Devkota and Dr. Uttam Babu Shrestha for their support and help during the study. I am thankful to Mr. Madan Krishna Suwal for his help to prepare map of the study areas and Mr. Graeme Wegner for English language editing in my thesis. I would like to remember my friends Mr. Hemanga Sharma, Dr. Shiva Shankar Pandey, Mr. Sanjib Tiwari, Dr. Arjun Neupane, Dr. Arun Dhakal and Ms Arbaiah Abdul Razak who were there to interact and discuss different issues. I would like to express my sincere thanks to all members of Nepalese Association of Toowoomba (NAT) for their direct and indirect help.

At this point, I wish to express my sincere thanks to my family members for their love and encouragement. I remember my parents, brothers and sisters, brother in law and sisters in law and nieces for their encouragement and support. Last but not the least, my beloved wife Bhagabati Gyawali (BG) deserves my heartfelt thanks for her love, care, understanding and patience during the study.

Suman Aryal

University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Queensland, Australia

Email: aaryalsuman@gmail.com

## **Table of contents**

Abstra	act	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	i
Certif	icati	on of dissertation	iii
Ackno	wle	dgements	iv
Table	of c	ontents	vi
List of	f tab	les	xi
List of	figu	ures	xii
Abbre	viat	ions	xiv
Glossa	ry (	of Nepalese words	xvi
Public	atio	ns during the PhD	xvii
Chapt	er 1	: Introduction	1
1.1.	Bac	ekground	1
1.2.	Sta	tement of the problems	2
1.3.	Goa	al, objectives and research questions	5
1.4.	Sco	ppe and significance of the study	6
1.5.	Strı	acture of the thesis	7
1.6.	Coı	nclusions	10
Chapt	er 2	: Ways of seeing transhumance	11
2.1.	Intr	oduction	11
2.2.	Ove	erview of transhumance	11
2.2	2.1.	Transhumance: A type of pastoral system	11
2.2	2.2.	Transhumance in mountains	12
2.2	2.3.	Transhumance system in Nepal	13
2.2	2.4.	Components of transhumance system	14
2.2	2.5.	Understanding mobility in transhumance	17
2.2	2.6.	Transhumance system, indigenous knowledge and culture	17
2.3.	Tra	nshumance system: Status and trends	19
2.3	3.1.	Transhumance system: A declining practice	19

2.3.2. Reasons for declining transhumance system	20
2.4. Livestock grazing and plant diversity	21
2.4.1. Grazing and plant diversity in rangelands: Theoretical underpinning	gs 21
2.4.2. Studying plant diversity in relation to grazing: Methods and finding	gs 23
2.5. Community forests, protected areas and transhumance system	24
2.5.1. Community forests and protected areas in global conservation movement	25
2.5.2. Implications of conservation to traditional land/resource users	26
2.5.3. Perceptions and attitude of land/resource users towards conservation	n 27
2.5.4. A brief scenario of Community forests (CFs), Conservation Areas (CAs) and National Parks (NPs) in Nepal	28
2.5.5. Previous studies on perceptions and attitude of local people toward CF, CAs and NPs in Nepal	
2.6. Climate change and the transhumance system	30
2.6.1. Climate change scenario for Himalayas and Nepal	30
2.6.2. Perceptions of local people towards climate change	31
2.6.3. Vulnerability to climate change: Concept, dimensions and analysis	32
2.6.4. Climate change adaptation: An overview	33
2.6.5. Adaptation strategies in grazing based livestock production	34
2.7. Key conceptual questions from the review	35
2.8. Human nature interaction: The theoretical perspective	36
2.8.1. Political ecology	36
2.8.2. Landscape ecology	37
2.8.3. Social-ecological system	38
2.9. Contextualisation of the study: Social-ecological system and system thinking	39
2.10. Conclusions	41
Chapter 3: Study areas, methods of data collection and analysis.	43
3.1. Introduction	43
3.2. Study areas	43
3.2.1. Selection of study areas	43
3.2.2. Description of the selected areas	
3.2.3. Description of the selected VDCs	
3.3. Methods of data collection	

3.3	3.1.	Primary data	54
3.3	3.2.	Secondary data and information	60
3.4.	Me	thods of data analysis	61
3.4	1.1.	Document/content analysis	61
3.4	1.2.	Statistical analysis	61
3.4	1.3.	Vulnerability analysis	64
3.5.	Coı	nclusions	68
_		: Contemporary transhumance systems, socio-econo	-
4.1.		oduction	
4.2.	Sta	tus of transhumance systems	69
4.2	2.1.	Livestock holding and composition	69
4.2	2.2.	Spatio-temporal pattern of grazing	74
4.2	2.3.	Deciding date for movement	77
4.2	2.4.	Reason for seasonal movement	78
4.3.	Soc	cio-economic and cultural significances of transhumance	79
4.3	3.1.	Livestock production and herders household economy	79
4.3	3.2.	Crop production and transhumance	80
4.3	3.3.	Indigenous knowledge, culture and transhumance	80
4.4.	Coı	nclusions	83
_		: Ecological role of transhumance grazing in the n rangelands	85
5.1.	Intr	oduction	85
5.2.	Gra	zing gradient	85
5.3.	Spe	ecies richness across sites	87
5.4.	Pat	tern of species richness with distance from goth	88
5.5.	Spe	ecies composition	90
	5.1. mpos	Results of Canonical Correspondence Analysis and species ition	90
		Factors affecting species composition	
5.6.		nclusions	
_	er 6	: Major drivers of, and responses to changes in the	95
iranst	mm	ance systems	Y.

6.1. Int	troduction9	5
6.2. Gl	obalisation9	5
6.2.1.	Tourism	5
6.2.2.	Labour migration9	8
6.3. Co	onservation policies and practices	0
6.3.1. (CA) a	State policies related to Community Forest (CF), Conservation Area and National Park (NP) towards transhumance system	0
	Local practices in Community Forest (CF), Conservation Area (CA) ational Park (NP)	3
6.3.3. Forest	Perception and attitude of transhumant herders toward Community (CF), Conservation Area (CA) and National Park (NP)	5
6.3.4. and NI	1 1 1	
6.4. Cl	imate change	8
6.4.1	Trends of temperature and rainfall	8
6.4.2	Perceived changes in biophysical indicators	1
6.4.3	Vulnerability of transhumant herders to climate change	2
6.5. M	ajor changes in the transhumance systems11	8
6.5.1.	Number of families involved in the transhumance systems	8
6.5.2.	Herd size and composition	0
6.5.3.	Grazing areas and movement pattern	3
6.5.4.	Dependency on transhumance and social prestige of transhumance . 12	3
6.5.5.	Involvement of young generation in the transhumance systems 12-	4
6.6. Ac	daptation strategies of transhumant herders	5
6.7. Co	onclusions	7
Chapter '	7 : Discussions of findings	9
7.1. Int	troduction	9
7.2. Tr 12	anshumance systems and their socio-economic and cultural significance	
7.2.1.	Livestock holding and herd diversification	9
7.2.2. advant	Seasonal movement of livestock, reason for movement and its ages	
7.2.3.	Importance of transhumant livestock production to the households my	
7.2.4.	Mutual benefits between transhumance and crop production	

7.2 Sy:	2.5. stems	1 raditional knowledge and culture associated with transhumance s 134	
7.3.	Eco	ological roles of transhumance grazing	135
7.3	3.1	Grazing gradient along the distance from <i>goth</i>	. 136
7.3	3.2	Variation in species richness across sites	
7.3	3.3	Species richness pattern with distance from <i>goth</i>	
7.3	3.4	Vegetation environment relationship and species composition	. 138
7.3	3.5	Management implications	. 139
7.3	3.6	Limitations	. 140
7.4.	Dri	vers of change to the transhumance systems	. 140
7.4	4.1	Global and regional level drivers	. 140
7.4	4.2	National level drivers	. 150
7.4	4.3.	Local level drivers	. 155
7.5.	Ma	jor changes in the transhumance systems	. 156
7.6.	Soc	cial-ecological impacts from the change in the transhumance systems	157
7.6	5.1.	Social impacts	. 157
7.6	5.2.	Ecological impacts	. 159
7.7.	Loc	cal adaptation strategies	. 162
7.8.	Pos	sible responses and future scenarios	. 163
7.9.	Ke	y conceptual questions and findings of the study	. 164
_		: Key findings, research contributions and policy	175
recom	ımer	ndations	167
8.1	Intr	oduction	. 167
8.2	Ke	y findings	.167
	2.1 gnific	Status of transhumance systems and their socio-economic and cultuances	
8.2	2.2	Ecological role of transhumance systems	. 168
8.2	2.3	Major drivers of changes to the transhumance systems	. 168
8.2	2.4	Major changes in the systems and social-ecological impacts	. 169
8.3	Res	search contributions	170
8.3	3.1	Contributions to literature	. 170
8.3	3.2	Contributions to policy and practice	. 171
84	Pol	icy recommendations	172

8.5	Scopes for future research	173
Refere	nces	175
Appen	dices	227
	List of tables	
Table 2-	1: Livestock types and their number in Nepal	16
Table 3-	1: General overview of the selected protected areas (PAs)	46
Table 3-	2: General overview of the selected VDCs	50
Table 3-	3: Total number of HHs practicing transhumance	55
Table 3-	4: Major change in Nepal after 1970	57
Table 3-	5: Components and indicators used in vulnerability analysis	66
Table 4-	1: Livestock holding pattern in study areas	69
Table 4-	2: Types of livestock by household	71
Table 4-	3: Purpose of rearing different types of livestock in the study areas	73
Table 4-	4: Major grazing areas at different elevation	76
Table 4-	5: Weighted scores and ranks for different reasons of transhumance	78
Table 4-	6: Number of households (HHs) by income sources;	79
Table 4-	7: Average household (HH) income from different income sources	80
	1: Total number of species encountered, mean species richness, and the f the gradient	88
Table 5-	2: Mean number of species per plot and cumulative number of species	90
Table 5-	3: Results of Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA)	90
Table 5-	4: Variance explained by different environmental variables	94
Table 6-	1: Act and rules relevant to CF, CA and NP relevant to the study	101
	2: Percentages of herders agreeing by statements related to positive on and attitude (PPA) and negative perception and attitude (NPA)	105
	3: Percentages of herders agreeing by statements related to expectation nd participation (PAR)	107
Table 6-	4. Temperature trends (1977-2007) in the study areas	108

Table 6-5: Level of agreements to the changes in bio-phisical indicators111
Table 6-6: Indexed values for climatic vulnerability
Table 6-7: Indexed values for different dimensions of vulnerability
Table 6-8: Major changes in transhumance in the study areas
Table 6-9: Gender, age and education of herders in the study areas
Table 6-10: Adaptation type, strategies and the pathways for better adaptation 125
List of figures
Figure 1-1: Components of transhumance system and scope of the study
Figure 1-2: Flow diagram of chapters9
Figure 2-1: Different components of transhumance system
Figure 2-2: Trends of different livestock types in Nepal
Figure 2-3: Plant diversity in relation to grazing intensity along moisture gradient and evolutionary history of grazing
Figure 2-4: Gross anatomy of adaptation to climate change and variability
Figure 2-5: Human-nature-development interactions
Figure 2-6: Driver-Pressure-State-Impact-Response (DPSIR) framework
Figure 3-1: Map showing selected protected areas (PAs), Village Development Committees (VDCs), and location of selected rangelands
Figure 3-2: Sampling design for vegetation study along the transect from <i>goth</i> 60
Figure 4-1: Total number of households (HHs), number of HHs having livestock and HHs practicing transhumance
Figure 4-2: Composition of livestock in the study areas
Figure 4-3: Composition of livestock in the study areas
Figure 4-4: Spatio-temporal pattern of livestock movement in the study areas
Figure 5-1: Level of dung and trampling at different distances from <i>goths</i>
Figure 5-2: Line graph showing principal component analysis (PCA) score 87
Figure 5-3: Mean number of species per plot (α-diversity) across sites

Figure 5-4: Mean number of species ( $\alpha$ -diversity) per plot with distance from <i>goth</i> 89
Figure 5-5: Total number of species at each distance from <i>goth</i>
Figure 5-6: Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) diagram (species and environment) for SNP (Khumjung)
Figure 5-7: Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) diagram (species and environment) for GCA (Kalinchok)
Figure 5-8: Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) diagram (species and environment) for KNP (Majhigaun)
Figure 6-1: Trend of foreign visitors in SNP
Figure 6-2: Mean livestock unit (LU) in the HHs not involved in tourism and involved in tourism
Figure 6-3: Percentage of herders agreeing (strongly agree + agree) statements 98
Figure 6-4: Percentage of HHs having member in foreign country
Figure 6-5: Mean livestock unit (LU) in migrants and non-migrants HHs99
Figure 6-6: Positive perceptions and attitudes (a), Negative perceptions and attitudes (b) towards CF, CA and NP
Figure 6-7: Expectations (a) of transhumant herders towards CF, CA and NP and participations (b) of transhumant herders toward CF, CA and NP
Figure 6-8: Temperature trends for the study areas
Figure 6-9: Rainfall trends of study areas
Figure 6-10: Vulnerability triangle diagram of the dimensions of CVI; 118
Figure 6-11: Total number of <i>goths</i> inside KNP
Figure 6-12: Trend of livestock in Khumjung VDC
Figure 6-13: Trend of each livestock type in Khumjung VDC
Figure 6-14: Trend of livestock in KNP
Figure 6-15: Trend of each livestock type in KNP
Figure 7-1: Average percentage shrub cover at different distance from <i>goth</i> 138
Figure 7-2: Driver-Pressure-State-Impact-Response (DPSIR) framework for transhumance systems

#### **Abbreviations**

°C Degree Celsius

ANOVA Analysis of variance

BZ Buffer Zone

CA Conservation Area

CAMC Conservation Area Management Committee

CAMR Conservation Area Management Rules (1996)

CBS Central Bureau of Statistics

CCA Canonical Correspondence Analysis

CF Community Forest

CFUG Community Forests Users Group

CVI Climate vulnerability index

DCA Detrended Correspondence Analysis

DHM Department of Hydrology and Meteorology

DNPWC Department of National Parks and Wildlife Conservation

DoF Department of Forests

EXP Expectations

FGD Focus group discussion

GCA Gaurishankar Conservation Area

GDP Gross domestic product

GoN Government of Nepal

HH Household

HKH Hindu Kush-Himalaya

ICIMOD International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development

IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

IUCN International Union for Conservation of Nature

km kilometre

KNP Khaptad National Park

LU Livestock unit

LVI Livelihood vulnerability index

m asl metre above sea level

m metre

MoAC Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives

n.a. not applicable

NARC Nepal Agricultural Research Council

NAST Nepal Academy of Science and Technology

NPA Negative perception and attitude

NP National Park

NPWCA National Parks and Wildlife Conservation Act (1973)

NTNC National Trust for Nature Conservation

PA Protected area

PAR Participation

PCA Principle Component Analysis

PPA Positive perception and attitude

SES Social-ecological system

SNP Sagarmatha (Mt. Everest) National Park

sq km square kilometre

TSES Traditional social-ecological system

UNESCO United Nation Educational, Scientific & Cultural Organization

VDC Village Development Committee

WWF World Wide Fund

yr year

## Glossary of Nepalese words

aul-chana a local term for winter grazing areas in KNP

bari upslope rain fed agricultural land

chauri a female crossbreed of yak/nak with cow/bull and vice-versa

churpi traditional cheese made from buttermilk

dasara Mela a festival celebrated in far-Western Nepal

dashain the biggest festival for Hindu in Nepal

deuda a famous cultural song and dance in far-Western Nepal

dhami-jhakri traditional witch doctor

ghunsa downslope winter settlements (local Sherpa term used in SNP)

goth semi-permanent shelter used by herders

jestha Purnima full moon day in May

jokpyo a male cross breed of yaks/nak with cows/bulls and vice-versa

*jyaladari* a system where labour are paid in cash or kind on a daily basis

karmakanda ritual activities after the death of people

kharka rangelands far from the settlement areas

lekh-chana a local term for summer grazing areas in KNP

nawa pratha traditional system of electing nawa

nawa elected member from the village meeting to regulate livestock

patans flat grazing areas(rangelands) in the mountains

purji written permission to graze livestock

samudayik ban community forest

tatha bata local elites

vakal garne advance promising with goddess to offer something if the wish

comes true

yersa upslope summer settlements (local Sherpa term used in one

site)

### **Publications during the PhD**

#### 1. List of journal papers

- **Aryal S.**, Cockfield G., Maraseni T.N. (2015). Perceived changes in climatic variables and impacts on the transhumance system in the Himalayas. *Climate and Development*. (published online and awaiting assigning volume and issue). http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2015.1040718
- Panthi J., **Aryal S.**, Dahal P., Bhandari P., Krakauer N.Y., Pandey V.P. (2015). Livelihood Vulnerability Approach to Assess Climate Change Impacts to Mixed Agro-Livestock Smallholders around the Gandaki River Basin in Nepal. *Regional Environmental Change*. (published online and awaiting assigning volume and issue) <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10113-015-0833-y">http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10113-015-0833-y</a>
- **Aryal S.**, Cockfield G., Maraseni T.N. (2015). Effect of summer livestock grazing on plant species richness and composition in the Himalayan rangelands. *The Rangeland Journal* **37**(3), 309-321.
- Panthi J., Dahal P., Shrestha M.L., **Aryal S.**, Krakauer N.Y., Pradhanang S.M., Lakhankar T., Jha A.K., Sharma M., Karki R. (2015). Spatial and Temporal Variability of Rainfall in the Gandaki River Basin of Nepal Himalaya. *Climate* **3**(1): 210-226.
- **Aryal S.**, Cockfield G., Maraseni T.N. (2014). Vulnerability of Himalayan transhumant communities to climate change. *Climatic Change* **125**(2), 193-208.
- **Aryal S.**, Maraseni T.N., Cockfield G. (2014). Sustainability of transhumance grazing systems under socio-economic threats in Langtang, Nepal. *Journal of Mountain Science* **11**(4), 1023-1034.
- **Aryal S.**, Maraseni T.N., Cockfield G. (2014). Climate change and indigenous people: perceptions of transhumant herders and implications to the transhumance system in the Himalayas. *Journal of Geology and Geosciences* **3**(4).
- **Aryal S.**, Bhattarai D.R. and Devkota R.P. (2013). Comparison of carbon stocks between mixed and pine-dominated forest stands within the Gwalinidaha Community Forest in Lalitpur District, Nepal. *Small-scale Forestry* **12**(4), 659-666.
- KC A., Bhandari G., Joshi G.R. and **Aryal S.** (2013). Climate change mitigation potential from carbon sequestration of Community Forest in mid-Hill region of Nepal. *International Journal of Environmental Protection* **13**(7), 33-40.

**Aryal S.** (2012). Rainfall and water requirement of rice during growing period. *Journal of Agriculture and Environment* **13**, 1-4.

#### 2. List of conference and workshop papers

**Aryal S.,** Cockfield G., Maraseni T.N. (2014). *Climate Change and Transhumance System in the Himalayas*. Network for Indigenous Experiences of Changing Environments (NIECE), 8-9 December, 2014, Southbank, Brisbane, Australia.

**Aryal S.**, Cockfield G., Maraseni T.N. (2014). *Impacts of Climate Change to the Transhumance System and Local Adaptation Strategies in the Himalayas*. Climate Adaptation 2014 National Conference, 30 September to 2 October, 2014, Gold Coast Australia. Organised by NCCARF and CSIRO