



AUSTRALIA

**University of Southern Queensland
Faculty of Health, Engineering and Sciences**

**TRIBOLOGICAL BEHAVIOUR OF GRAPHITE/DATE PALM
FIBRES REINFORCED EPOXY COMPOSITES**

A dissertation submitted by

Abdullah Al-Ajmi 006

10 231 64

For the award of

Doctor of Philosophy

August 2013

Principal Supervisor: Dr. B.F. Yousif

Abstract

Natural fibres are becoming alternative candidates to synthetic fibres because of their environmental and economic advantages. In this study, the mechanical and the tribological performance of epoxy composites (ECs) based on date palm fibres (DPFs) was evaluated and compared with neat epoxy (NE). The work is divided into three stages: fibre optimisation, graphite optimisation and final composite selection.

Different fibre diameters (0.3–0.7 mm) and concentration of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) (zero to nine per cent) were used in preparing the fibre. For optimisation purposes, the interfacial adhesion between the DPFs and the epoxy matrix was studied using a new fragmentation technique that considers the influence of the NaOH treatment and the fibre diameter. At this stage, the results revealed that NaOH treatment significantly influences both the fibre strength and the fibre interfacial adhesion. Six per cent NaOH exhibited the optimum concentration to gain good mechanical properties for the EC, since it can maintain good interfacial adhesion, while maintaining good fibre strength.

In the second stage, the influence of the graphite weight presentation on ECs was evaluated from a mechanical and tribological perspective. Different weight percentages were used in the sample preparation (zero to seven per cent) for tensile, hardness and adhesive wear experiments. In the first part of this study, ultimate tensile strength and modulus of elasticity values and fracture morphology are determined. In the second part, specific wear rate, friction coefficient, interface temperature and surface morphology of the composites are determined. The results are discussed to gain the optimum mixing ratio of graphite with epoxy. The results revealed that there is a significant influence of the weight fraction of the graphite on both mechanical and tribological performance of the composites. Intermediate weight percentage of three weight per cent graphite in the EC was considered the optimum from both mechanical and tribological performance, since there is a slight reduction in the tensile properties and significant improvement to the hardness, wear and frictional characteristics. The modification on the wear track roughness significantly controlled the wear and

frictional behaviour of the composites. Micrographs of the worn surface showed different wear mechanisms, depending on the content of the graphite in the composites. Softening and fragmentation appeared with low content of graphite presence in the composite, since there was no sign of aggregation or detachments of fillers.

From the second stage on the graphite percentage in the composite, it was concluded that three weight per cent of graphite in the ECs represents the optimum content from mechanical and tribological perspectives. In the third stage, the mechanical and tribological performance of the ECs based on three weight per cent graphite, DPF and three weight per cent graphite plus DPF are discussed and compared with NE. Further, the tribological performance of the composites is discussed, considering two different adhesive wear techniques: block on ring (BOR) and block on disk (BOD). This stage revealed that DPF is able to improve the mechanical properties of the ECs with no signs of pull out or debonding of the fibres. The main fracture mechanism was breakage in the fibre, fracture in the resinous regions and micro-cracks with graphite presence in the composites. Further, the addition of the three weight per cent of the graphite into the date fibre/ECs contributed to the improvement of the ECs; the fibres assisted in strengthening the surface, while the graphite generated the lubricant film transfer. Tribological experimental configuration significantly controlled the wear behaviour of the composite; the wear performance worsened under BOD compared to BOR because of the high thermo-mechanical loading in the case of BOD compared to BOR.

List of publications

Shalwan, A** & Yousif, BF 2013, 'In state of art: mechanical and tribological behaviour of polymeric composites based on natural fibres', *Materials & Design*, vol. 48, June, pp 14–24.

Shalwan, A** & Yousif, BF 2014, 'Investigation on interfacial adhesion of date palm/epoxy using fragmentation technique', *Materials & Design. Volume 53*, Pages 928–937.

Shalwan, A** & Yousif, BF 2013 in press, 'Correlation between mechanical and tribological performance of polymer composite materials', *International Journal of Precision Technology*, August.

Shalwan, A** & Yousif, BF 2013, 'Influence of graphite content on mechanical and wear characteristics of epoxy composites', under review August 2013, *Wear*.

Shalwan, A** & Yousif, BF 2013, 'Mechanical, wear and frictional performance of epoxy composites based on date palm fibres and graphite filler', under consideration since July 2013 *Tribology Letter*.

Arhaim, YH, Shalwan, A** & Yousif, BF 2013, 'Correlation between frictional force, interface temperature and specific wear rate of fibre polymer composites', *Advanced Materials Research*, vol. 685, pp. 45–49.

**Note: the candidate used his Arabic surname (Shalwan, A) instead of the English (Al-Ajmi, A.)

Certification of thesis

I certify that the ideas, designs and experimental work, results, analyses and conclusions set out in this thesis are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise indicated and acknowledged.

I further certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for assessment in any other course or institution, except where specifically stated.

Abdullah Al-Ajmi

006 10 231 64

Signature of Candidate

Date

Endorsement

Signature of Principle Supervisor

Signature of Associate
Supervisor

Date

Date

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my most sincere appreciation to my PhD project supervisors Dr B. F. Yousif and Prof. Dr. Kin Tak Lau for their support, encouragement, valuable input and guidance provided at every stage of this thesis. I would also like to extend my gratitude towards the entire departmental and technical staff for their assistance and support in using the facilities and materials for conducting the experimental work.

In addition, I wish to express my deepest appreciation to my family members for their constant support throughout this study, especially my mother and my son Mohammed. I would like to extend my appreciation to my friends for their encouragement.

My particular appreciation is also extended to the University of Southern Queensland for financial support during this study.

Contents

List of tables	x
List of figures	xi
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Objectives	4
1.3 Project significance	5
1.4 Organisation of the thesis	5
Chapter 2: Literature review	8
2.1 Mechanical properties of natural fibres/polymer composites	8
2.1.1 Influence of natural fibres on mechanical behaviour of polymeric composites	8
2.1.2 Interfacial adhesion of natural fibres	11
2.1.3 Effect of fibre orientation on mechanical properties	16
2.1.4 Effect of volume fraction	16
2.1.5 Effect of fibre physical properties	18
2.2 Tribological performance of polymeric composites based on natural fibres ...	19
2.2.1 Influence of natural fibres on tribological behaviour of polymeric composites	19
2.2.2 Effect of treatments	26
2.2.3 Operating parameters	28
2.2.4 Frictional behaviour	29
2.3 Possible reduction of friction coefficient	31
2.3.1 Liquid lubricants	31
2.3.2 Solid lubricants	35
2.4 Chapter summary	37
Chapter 3: Methodology	39
3.1 Introduction	39
3.2 Materials selection and preparation	41
3.2.1 Date palm fibre preparation and treatment	41
3.3 Preparation of samples	43
3.3.1 Single fibre tensile test	43
3.3.2 Fragmentation test specimens	43
3.3.3 Composite specimens preparation	44
3.4 Experimental procedure	47
3.4.1 Mechanical properties	49
3.4.1.1 <i>Single fibre tensile test</i>	49
3.4.1.2 <i>Single fibre fragment test</i>	49
3.4.1.3 <i>Tensile experiments of the composites</i>	50
3.4.2 Tribological experiments	51

3.4.3 Calibration and measurement technique of friction coefficient	55
Chapter 4: Interfacial adhesion of date palm/epoxy using fragmentation technique	56
4.1 Introduction	56
4.2 Influence of fibre treatment on surface morphology	58
4.2.1 Optical microscope micrographs morphology	58
4.2.2 Scanning electron microscope morphology	60
4.3 Single fibre tensile test	63
4.4 Single fibre fragmentation tensile test	68
4.5 Comparison to other published works	79
4.6 Chapter summary	82
Chapter 5: Influence of graphite content on mechanical and wear characteristics of epoxy composites	83
5.1 Introduction	83
5.2 Tensile properties of graphite/epoxy composites	83
5.2.1 Stress strain diagram, ultimate TS and modulus of elasticity	83
5.2.2 Fracture behaviour of the epoxy composites	86
5.3 Tribological performance of the epoxy composites based on different graphite contents	93
5.3.1 Running in and steady state of the adhesive wear	93
5.3.2 Running in and steady state of the coefficient of friction	95
5.3.3 Frictional heat in the interface of graphite/epoxy composites	98
5.4 Surface observations	101
5.4.1 Roughness modifications of the wear track	101
5.4.2 Roughness of the composite surface	103
5.4.3 Scanning electron microscopy observation	106
5.4.3.1 <i>Micrographs of NE worn surface</i>	106
5.4.3.2 <i>Micrographs of one weight per cent graphite/epoxy worn surface</i>	108
5.4.3.3 <i>Micrographs of three weight per cent graphite/epoxy worn surface</i>	109
5.4.3.4 <i>Micrographs of five weight per cent graphite/epoxy worn surface</i>	110
5.4.3.5 <i>Micrographs of seven weight per cent graphite/epoxy worn surface</i>	112
5.5 Comparison with previous works	113
5.6 Chapter summary	116
Chapter 6: Mechanical and wear characteristics of DPF and graphite filler/ECS	117
6.1 Introduction	117
6.2 Tensile properties of date palm/graphite/epoxy composites	117
6.2.1 Stress strain diagram, ultimate tensile stress and modulus of elasticity of date palm/graphite/epoxy composites	117
6.2.2 Fracture behaviour date palm/graphite/epoxy composites	124
6.2.3 Shore D hardness of the selected composites	126

6.3 Tribological performance of date palm/graphite/epoxy composites under BOR technique	127
6.3.1 Wear behaviour of date palm/graphite/epoxy composites	127
6.3.2 Frictional behaviour of date palm/graphite/epoxy composites	129
6.3.3 Observation on the worn surfaces after BOR tests	133
6.3.3.1 <i>Roughness modifications of the wear track</i>	133
6.3.3.2 <i>Roughness of the composite surface</i>	134
6.3.3.3 <i>SEM observation</i>	135
6.4 Tribological performance of date palm/graphite/epoxy composites under BOD technique	138
6.4.1 Wear and frictional behaviour of date palm/graphite/epoxy composites	139
6.4.2 Observation on the worn surfaces of date palm/graphite/ECs after BOR testing	143
6.5 Discussion and arguments with previous works	147
6.6 Correlation between mechanical and tribological properties	150
6.7 Chapter summary	154
Chapter 7: Conclusions and recommendations	160
7.1 Conclusion.....	160
7.2 Recommendations	162
References	1635
Appendix A: Samples of the collected thermal image for graphite/ECs at different operating parameters and graphite contents	17582
Appendix B: Samples of the collected thermal image and roughness profile for graphite/date palm fibre/ECs at different operating parameters and test configurations	1793
Appendix C : Figures of the collection between mechanical and tribological properties	201
C.1 Completed figures of the correlation between mechanical properties and specific wear rate	201
C.2 Completed figures of the correlation between mechanical properties and friction coefficient	208
Glossary of Terms	213

List of tables

Table 2.1: Comparisons between various existing fibre-reinforced composites	17
Table 2.2: Adhesive wear and coefficient friction result of neat polymers and natural fibre composites under dry contact	22 Table
3.1: Specimen sets of the SFTT	43
Table 3.2: Technical specifications of the newly developed machine (Yousif 2012)	53
Table 4.1: Summary of the previous works on optimum diameter and chemical treatment concentration on mechanical behaviour of fibre/polymer composites	81
Table 5.1: Summary of the previous works on effect of adding filler tribological behaviour of polymer composite	115
Table 6.1: Published works on tensile properties of natural fibre reinforce epoxy or polyester composites	121
Table 6.3: Summary of previous works on effect of natural fibres and fillers on tribological behaviour of polymer composite	148
Table 6.4 correlation coefficient of individual mechanical properties with coefficient of friction and specific wear rate.	156
Table 6.5 Correlation coefficient of two mechanical properties combined with coefficient of friction and specific wear rate. .	157
Table 6.6 Correlation coefficient of two or more than two mechanical properties combined with coefficient of friction and specific wear rate.	158

List of figures

Figure 1.1: Number of synthetic and natural fibre-reinforced polymeric composite articles. Source: www.ScienceDirect.com. Keywords used: natural fibres, reinforcement, polymers and synthetic fibres	2
Figure 1.2: Layout of the thesis	7
Figure 2.1: Some mechanical properties of natural fibre/polymer composites	10
Figure 2.2: Scheme of reaction of fibre surface with NaOH treatment	12
Figure 2.3: Tensile strength of polymeric composites based on natural fibres with/without treatment	15
Figure 2. 4: Specific wear rate and friction coefficient of some polymeric composites under dry contact conditions	25
Figure 2.5: Schematic drawing showing the effect of treating the natural fibres on the wear behaviour of polymeric composites.....	28
Figure 2.6: Specific wear rate and friction coefficient of some polymeric composites under wet contact conditions	34
Figure 2.7: Influence of solid lubricants on the frictional behaviour of polymeric composites	36
Figure 3.1: Schematic drawing showing the procedure of experimental study	40
Figure 3.2: (a) date palm tree, (b) extracted fibres, (c) fibres classification	42
Figure 3.3: (a) SFFT specimen geometry, (b) SFFT mould and fibre position	44
Figure 3.4: (a) tensile specimen dimensions, (b) used tensile test mould	45
Figure 3.5: (a) tribological specimen geometry, (b) tribological mould and fibre position	46
Figure 3.6: a) tensile specimens, b) BOD-tribological specimen, c) BOR-tribological test	48
Figure 3.7: (a) single fibre specimen, (b) fixing single fibre specimen in tensile test machine	49
Figure 3.8: (a) some of SFFT specimens, (b) fixing specimen in tensile machine	50
Figure 3.9: The tribo-test machine.	52
Figure 3.10: Fibre orientations with respect to the sliding direction	54

Figure 3.11: Calibration chart for measuring friction force	55
Figure 4.1: Optical images of fibre surface (D = 0.3 mm)—(a) untreated, (b) at 3% NaOH, (c) at 6% NaOH (d) at 9% NaOH.....	59
Figure 4.2: (a) optical microscope micrographs for three different diameters of fibre with defects, (b) scheme of cross-section of fibre with lumen and defects	60
Figure 4.3: Micrographs of the untreated DPF	61
Figure 4.4: Treated DPF with different NaOH concentrations	62
Figure 4.5: Tensile behaviour of the single DPF (0.3 mm diameter) treated with different NaOH concentrations	63
Figure 4.6: Tensile behaviour of the single DPF (0.5 mm diameter) treated with different NaOH concentration	64
Figure 4.7: Tensile behaviour of the single DPF (0.7 mm diameter) treated with different NaOH concentrations	64
Figure 4.8: Effect of diameter of fibre and NaOH treatment on TS on single fibre ..	66
Figure 4.9: Effect of diameter of fibre and NaOH treatment on strain at fracture on single fibre	66
Figure 4.10: Effect of diameter of fibre and NaOH treatment on modulus of elasticity on single fibre	67
Figure 4.11: Tensile behaviour of the single DPF fragmentation test (0.3 mm diameter) treated with different NaOH concentrations	69
Figure 4.12: Tensile behaviour of the single DPF fragmentation test (0.5 mm diameter) treated with different NaOH concentrations	70
Figure 4.13: Tensile behaviour of the single DPF fragmentation test (0.7 mm diameter) treated with different NaOH concentrations and NE	71
Figure 4.14: Effect of diameter of fibre and NaOH treatment on tensile stress of date palm/EC	72
Figure 4.15: Effect of fibre diameter and NaOH treatment on shear stress on fibre of date palm/EC.....	73
Figure 4.17: Microscopy of fragmentation samples after testing the treated DPF (3% NaOH)/epoxy	75
Figure 4.18: Microscopy of fragmentation sample after testing the treated DPF	

(6% NaOH)/epoxy	76
Figure 4.19: Microscopy of fragmentation sample after testing the treated DPF	
(9% NaOH)/epoxy	77
Figure 4.20: Schematic drawing showing the treatment effect of different concentration (0%, 3%, 6% and 9%) on surface and structure fibre	79
Figure 5.1: Stress strain diagrams of graphite/ECs	84
Figure 5.2: Ultimate TS and modulus of elasticity of graphite/ECs	86
Figure 5.3: Micrographs of the NE after tensile testing—st = stretching, de = detachment, fr = fracture	87
Figure 5.4: Micrographs of the 1% graphite/ECs after tensile testing—cr = cracks, sl = shear lips, rl = river-like pattern	88
Figure 5.5: Micrographs of 3% graphite/ECs after tensile testing—rl = river-like pattern, gp = graphite particle	89
Figure 5.6: Micrographs of 5% graphite/ECs after tensile testing—rl = river-like pattern, gp = graphite particle, de = debonding, ag = aggregation	90
Figure 5.7: Micrographs of 7% graphite/ECs after tensile testing—de = debonding, ag = aggregation, cr = cracks, fr = fracture	91
Figure 5.8: Shore D hardness of graphite/ECs	92
Figure 5.9: Specific wear rate v. sliding distance of graphite/ECs	94
Figure 5.10: Schematic drawing representing the running in and steady state	94
Figure 5.11: Specific wear rate at the steady state of the graphite/ECs after 7.5 km sliding distance	95
Figure 5.12: Coefficient of friction v. sliding distance of the composites	96
Figure 5.13: Coefficient of friction at the steady state of the composites after 7 km sliding distance	98
Figure 5.14: Heat distribution in the interface and both rubbed surfaces of the NE after 2.52, 5.04 and 7.56 km sliding distances at sliding velocity of 2.8 m/s and applied load of 50 N	99
Figure 5.15: Interface temperature of graphite/ECs surface at the end of the adhesive loadings	100
Figure 5.16: Samples of the roughness profile of the counterface	102

Figure 5.17: Ra roughness values of the counterface surface after adhesive loadings for 7.56 km sliding distance	103
Figure 5.18: Samples of the roughness profile of the composite surfaces after 7.56 km sliding distance at sliding velocity of 2.8 m/s and applied load of 50 N	105
Figure 5.19: Ra roughness values of graphite/ECs surface after adhesive loadings for 7.56 km sliding distance	106
Figure 5.20: Micrographs of NE after adhesive testing—fg = fragmentation, so = softening, fr = fracture	107
Figure 5.21: Micrographs of 1% graphite/ECs after adhesive testing—fg = fragmentation, so = softening, fr = fracture, gr = graphite, dt = detachment, cr = crack	109
Figure 5.22: Micrographs of 3% graphite/ECs after adhesive testing—so = softening, fr = fracture, pg = patch of graphite, df = deformation	110
Figure 5.23: Micrographs of 5% graphite/ECs after adhesive testing—so = softening, fr = fracture, fl = film transfer, df = deformation	111
Figure 6.1: Stress strain diagram of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPFs.	118
Figure 6.2: Ultimate TS and modulus of elasticity of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF. NE = neat epoxy, GE = 3% graphite/epoxy, FE = DPFE, GFE = 3 wt% graphite/date palm fibre/epoxy	119
Figure 6.3: Micrographs of DPFE composite after tensile test.	125
Bo = bonded, Ep = Epoxy, Br = breakage, Rl = river-like, Tr = Trichome	126
Figure 6.5: Shore D hardness of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF. NE = neat epoxy, GE = 3% graphite/epoxy, FE = DPFE, GFE = 3 wt% graphite/date palm fibre/ epoxy	127
Figure 6.6: Specific wear rate v. applied load of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF after 5.04 km sliding distance using BOR technique	128
Figure 6.7: Reduction in specific wear rate at the steady state of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF at 70 N applied load using BOR technique	129

Figure 6.8: Coefficient of friction v. sliding load of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF using BOR technique	130
Figure 6.9: Reduction in COF at the steady state of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF at 70 N sliding load using BOR technique	131
Figure 6.10: Interface temperature of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF at different applied loads after 5.04 km sliding distance using BOR technique	132
Figure 6.11: Roughness values of the counterface surface after adhesive loadings of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF at 50 N applied load using BOR technique	134
Figure 6.12: Roughness values of the specimen surface of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF after adhesive loadings at 50 N using BOR technique for 5 km sliding distance	135
Figure 6.13: Micrographs of DPF/ECs after testing under 50 N applied load using BOR technique	136
Bo = bonded, So =Softening, Db =debonding	136
Figure 6.14: Micrographs of date palm/3 wt% graphite/ECs after testing at 50 N using BOR technique	138
Cr= cracks, Db =debonding	138
Figure 6.15: Specific wear rate v. sliding distance of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF using BOD technique	140
Figure 6.16: Reduction in specific wear rate at the steady state of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF at 70 N km sliding load using BOD technique	140
Figure 6.17: Specific wear rate of the selected composites using BOR and BOD techniques after applied load 50 N.	141
Figure 6.18: Coefficient of friction v. sliding load of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF using BOD technique	142
Figure 6.19: Interface temperature of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF at different applied loads using BOD technique	142

Figure 6.20: Roughness values of the counterface surface after adhesive of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF loadings at 50 N using BOD technique	143
Figure 6.21: Micrographs of the date palm/3 wt% graphite/ECs after the experiments using BOD under low applied loads. Fr = fragmentation, Ab = abrasive, Pg = ploughing, Cr = crack, Bo = bonded, Po = pull out, Fl = film transfer	144
Figure 6.22: Micrographs of the date palm/3 wt% graphite/ECs after the experiments using BOD under high applied loads. Pl = pull out fibre, Pg = ploughing, Fl = film transfer, Db = debonding.....	146
Figure 6.23: Correlation between the individual mechanical properties and specific wear rate of the studied materials	152
Figure 6.24: Correlation between selective combined mechanical properties and specific wear rate of the studied materials	153
Figure A.3: Heat distribution in the interface and both rubbed surfaces of the 5%Gr-EC after 2.52, 5.04 and 7.56 km sliding distances at sliding velocity of 2.8 m/s and applied load of 50 N	177
Figure A.4: Heat distribution in the interface and both rubbed surfaces of the 7%Gr-EC after 2.52, 5.04 and 7.56 km sliding distances at sliding velocity of 2.8 m/s and applied load of 50 N	178
Figure B.1: Heat distribution in the interface and both rubbed surfaces of the NE at 20, 30, 40, 50 N sliding loads at sliding velocity of 2.8 m/s and sliding distance 5.04 km (BOR)	180
Figure B.2: Heat distribution in the interface and both rubbed surfaces of the GE at 20, 30, 40, 50 N sliding loads at sliding velocity of 2.8 m/s and sliding distance 5.04 km (BOR)	182
Figure B.3: Heat distribution in the interface and both rubbed surfaces of the FE at 20, 30, 40, 50 N sliding loads at sliding velocity of 2.8 m/s and sliding distance 5.04 km (BOR)	184
Figure B.4: Heat distribution in the interface and both rubbed surfaces of the GFE at 20, 30, 40, 50 N sliding loads at sliding velocity of 2.8 m/s and sliding distance 5.04 km (BOR)	186

Figure B.5: Heat distribution in the interface and both rubbed surfaces of the NE at 20, 30, 40, 50 N sliding loads at sliding velocity of 2.8 m/s and sliding distance 2.52 km (BOD)	188
Figure B.6: Heat distribution in the interface and both rubbed surfaces of the GE at 20, 30, 40, 50 N sliding loads at sliding velocity of 2.8 m/s and sliding distance 2.52 km (BOD)	190
Figure B.7: Heat distribution in the interface and both rubbed surfaces of the FE at 20, 30, 40, 50 N sliding loads at sliding velocity of 2.8 m/s and sliding distance 2.52 km (BOD)	192
Figure B.8: Heat distribution in the interface and both rubbed surface of the GFE at 20, 30, 40, 50 N sliding loads at sliding velocity of 2.8 m/s and sliding distance 2.52 km (BOD)	194
Figure B.9: Roughness values of the counterface surface after adhesive loadings of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF at 50 N applied load using BOR technique	195
Figure B.10: Roughness values of the specimen surface of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF before adhesive loadings at 50 N using BOR technique	196
Figure B.11: Roughness values of the specimen surface of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF after adhesive loadings at 50 N using BOR technique	197
Figure B.12: Roughness values of the counterface surface after adhesive of different ECs based on graphite and/or DPF loadings at 50 N using BOD technique	198
Figure C. 1 correlation between specific wear rate and modulus of elasticity	199
Figure C. 2 correlation between specific wear rate and elongation at break	199
Figure C. 3 correlation between specific wear rate and hardness	200
Figure C. 4 correlation between specific wear rate and tensile strength	200
Figure C. 5 correlation between specific wear rate and the combination of modulus of elasticity and tensile strength	201

Figure C. 6 correlation between specific wear rate and the combination of modulus of elasticity, tensile strength and elongation at break	201
Figure C. 7 correlation between specific wear rate and the combination of modulus of elasticity, tensile strength, hardness and elongation at break	202
Figure C. 8 correlation between specific wear rate and the combination of tensile strength and elongation at break	202
Figure C. 9 correlation between specific wear rate and the combination tensile strength and hardness	203
Figure C. 10 correlation between specific wear rate and the combination of modulus of elasticity and elongation at break	203
Figure C. 11 correlation between specific wear rate and the combination of modulus of elasticity and hardness	204
Figure C. 12 correlation between specific wear rate and the combination of hardness and elongation at break	204
Figure C. 13 correlation between specific wear rate and the combination of modulus of elasticity, hardness and elongation at break	205
Figure C. 14 correlation between friction coefficient and tensile strength	206
Figure C. 15 correlation between friction coefficient and modulus of elasticity	206
3 Figure C. 16 correlation between friction coefficient and tensile elongation at break	207
Figure C. 17 correlation between friction coefficient and hardness	207
Figure C. 18 correlation between friction coefficient and the combination of modulus of elasticity and tensile strength	208
Figure C. 19 correlation between friction coefficient and the combination of modulus of elasticity, tensile strength and elongation at break	208
Figure C. 20 correlation between friction coefficient and the combination of modulus of elasticity, tensile strength, hardness and elongation at break	209
Figure C. 21 correlation between friction coefficient and the combination of tensile strength and elongation at break	209

Figure C. 22 correlation between friction coefficient and the combination of tensile strength and hardness	210
Figure C. 23 correlation between friction coefficient and the combination of modulus of elasticity and elongation at break	210
Figure C. 24 correlation between friction coefficient and the combination of modulus of elasticity and hardness	211
Figure C. 25 correlation between friction coefficient and the combination of hardness and elongation at break	211
Figure C. 26 correlation between friction coefficient and the combination of modulus of elasticity, hardness and elongation at break	212

List of abbreviations

ABS	Acrylonitrile butadiene styrene
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
BFRP	Betelnut fibres reinforced in polyester
BOD	Block on disk
BOR	Block on ring
CFRP	Coir fibre-reinforced polyester
CPC	Cotton-polyester composite
Df	Fibre diameter
DPF	Date palm fibre
DPFE	Date palm fibre-reinforced epoxy
EC	Epoxy composite
GJ	Gigajoule
GR	Graphite powder
HDPE	High-density polyethylene
ICMF	Incomplete maturation fibres
KFRE	Kenaf fibre-reinforced epoxy
MoS ₂	Molybdenum disulfide
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
NE	Neat epoxy
PA	Polyamides
PEEK	Polyarylethe-retherketone
PLA	Polylactic acid
PMMA	polymethyl methacrylate
PP	Polypropylene
PPESK	Polyphatalazinone ether sulfone ketone

PPE	Polyphthalazinone ether
PPS	Polyphenylene sulfide
PTFE	Poly-tetrafluoroethylene

Ra	Roughness average
RNFPC	Reinforced natural fibre polymer composite
SCRP	Sugarcane fibre/polyester composite
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
SiC	Silicon carbide
SFFT	Single fibre fragmentation test
SFTT	Single fibre tensile test
SP	Sisal fibres/polyester composites
T-OPRP	Treated oil palm fibre-reinforced polyester
TS	Tensile strength
T-SP	Treated sisal fibres/polyester composites
UHMWPE	Ultra-High Molecular Weight Polyethylene
US	United States
UT-OPRP	Untreated oil palm fibre-reinforced polyester
UT-SP	Untreated sisal fibres/polyester composites
Vf	Volume fraction
Ws	Specific wear rates
Wt	Weight