## UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN QUEENSLAND



# **Measuring E-Learning Systems Success**

A Dissertation submitted by

# **Ahmed Younis AL-Sabawy**

For the award of

## **Doctor of Philosophy**

School of Information Systems

Faculty of Business and Law

August 2013

#### **ABSTRACT**

The education sector has been radically affected by developments in information technology. In the education arena, substantial funds have been invested in the systematic development of technology infrastructure. E-learning is believed to be the main platform for adopting and using new and more advanced IT in the education sector. However, measuring the success of e-learning systems is one of the key issues facing universities and educational institutions. Although considerable attention has been paid to the information systems success issue, there remain arguments about which factors are the most telling in measuring information system success. The issue of evaluation of the success of information systems generally, and e-learning systems in particular, has become more complicated due to the differing interests and needs of stakeholders. Different groups of stakeholders deal with e-learning systems in different ways - for instance, students, academic staff, ICT staff, management, and software developers. These stakeholders have substantially different objectives and often there are conflicts between their aims. This study proposes an evaluation methodology model to assess e-learning systems success.

The model proposed is one which includes eight constructs: IT infrastructure services; system quality; information quality; service delivery quality; perceived usefulness; user satisfaction; customer value; and organisational value. A range of stakeholders such as students, academic staff, and ICT staff are considered in this model. Three instruments were designed to measure the perceptions of three different stakeholders towards e-learning system success. A quantitative study was conducted at University of Southern Queensland (USQ), with survey responses from 720 students who use the e-learning system, 110 academic staff members, and 22 ICT staff. The results confirm that the study model is valid and reliable to measure the success of e-learning systems from different points of view. Some of the relationships among the constructs in the study model were supported and some were not. The study contributed to the body of knowledge by providing a valid and reliable model to measure the success of e-learning systems. Moreover, this study contributes to the practitioners, recommending universities and educational institutions that develop and support e-learning systems.

#### **Publications**

### Book Chapters

Alsabawy, Ahmed Younis, Cater-Steel, Aileen and Soar, Jeffrey (2012) *A model to measure e-learning systems success*. In: Belkhamza, Zakariya and Wafa, Syed Azizi, (eds.) Measuring organisational information systems success: new technologies and practices. Business Science Reference (IGI Global), Hershey, PA, USA, pp. 293-317.

Alsabawy, Ahmed Younis and Cater-Steel, Aileen and Soar, Jeffrey (2013) E-learning service delivery quality: a determinant of user satisfaction. In: Kats, Yefim (ed.) Learning Management Systems and Instructional Design: Metrics, Standards, and Applications. (IGI Global), USA.

#### • Conference Proceedings - Refereed

Alsabawy, Ahmed Younis, Cater-Steel, Aileen and Soar, Jeffrey (2011) *Measuring e-learning system success (Research in progress)*. In: PACIS 2011: Quality Research in Pacific Asia, 7-11 Jul 2011, Brisbane, Australia.

Alsabawy, Ahmed Younis, Cater-Steel, Aileen and Soar, Jeffrey (2012) *The effect of service delivery quality on customer value of e-learning systems*. In: Conferencia Ibérica de Sistemas y Tecnologías de la Información (CISTI 2012), 20-23 June 2012, Madrid, Spain.

Alsabawy, Ahmed Younis, Cater-Steel, Aileen and Soar, Jeffrey (2012) *IT infrastructure and its role in the success of e-learning systems: measurement and causal models.* In: EUNIS'12 A 360° perceptive on IT/IS in Higher Education, 20, 21 and 22 of June 2012, University of Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro, Vila Real, Portugal.

Alsabawy, Ahmed Younis, Cater-Steel, Aileen and Soar, Jeffrey (2012) *Identifying the determinants of e-learning service delivery quality*. In: ACIS 2012: 23rd Australasian Conference on Information Systems: Location, Location, Location, 3-5 Dec 2012, Geelong, Australia.

#### • Journal Articles: Accepted

Alsabawy, Ahmed Younis, Cater-Steel, Aileen, and Soar, Jeffrey 'IT Infrastructure Services As a Requirement for E-learning System Success' paper submitted to the 'Computers and Education' Journal 'Accepted'.

Journal Articles: Under Review

Alsabawy, Ahmed Younis, Cater-Steel, Aileen, and Soar, Jeffrey 'Factors affecting e-learning service delivery quality: the student perspective' paper submitted to "The Internet and Higher Education' journal 'Under Review'.

Alsabawy, Ahmed Younis, Cater-Steel, Aileen, and Soar, Jeffrey 'The Effect of IT Infrastructure Services and Quality Aspects on Perceived Usefulness of E-Learning Systems' paper submitted to 'Computers in Human Behaviour' journal 'Under Review'.

Alsabawy, Ahmed Younis, Cater-Steel, Aileen, and Soar, Jeffrey 'Issues in Evaluating the Success of E-Learning Systems' paper submitted to 'Systems' journal 'Under Review'.

#### • Report

Alsabawy, Ahmed Younis, and Cater-Steel, Aileen "Measuring E-Learning System Success", Report to the Senior Management of University of Southern Queensland.

The researcher compiled a report with the results and recommendations of the thesis for the Faculty Deans at USQ, Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic Services) and Chief Information Officer, Executive Director of Australian Digital Futures Institute, and Executive Director, ICT Services. The results and recommendations of this report were adopted by the "Integrated StudyDesk" team which is updating the USQ StudyDesk to improve students' experience, and also to improve some inefficiencies for academic staff.



### **CERTIFICATION OF DISSERTATION**

I certify that the ideas, results, analyses and conclusions reported in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for any other award, except where otherwise acknowledged. Candidate signature Ahmed Younis AL-Sabawy Date **ENDORSEMENT** Principal Supervisor Associate Professor Aileen Cater-Steel Date Co-Supervisor Professor Jeffrey Soar Date

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to present my gratitude to Allah (God) for assisting me in the completion of this dissertation.

My deepest gratitude to Associate Professor Aileen Cater-Steel, the principal supervisor of the study. She has great knowledge and experience in the information systems field and she guided and motivated me immensely to achieve my research objectives effectively and in a timely manner. She gave me a lot of time and she was available for me always. I do not have sufficient words that could describe the efforts that Dr. Aileen put in towards my research.

I would like to thank Dr. Jeffery Soar, as my co-supervisor, for his enduring support, especially at the beginning of my study when Dr. Aileen was on leave. His advice and suggestions helped me in selecting the study topic. I wish to thank the Deans of Faculties at USQ who allowed me to conduct the study with academic staff and students: Professor Allan Layton (Faculty of Business and Law); Professor Peter Goodall (Faculty of Arts); Professor Janet Verbyla (Faculty of Sciences); Professor Frank Bullen (Faculty of Engineering and Surveying). I would like to thank Mr. David Bull (Director Open Access College) for permitting me to undertaken the study with academic staff members of Open Access College. Special thanks go to Mr. Scott Sorley (Executive Director ICT Services) who assisted me in collecting the data from ICT staff.

Also my thanks to Dr. Shahab Abdulla, Mr. Nick Erbacher and Dr. Belal Yousif for supporting me to gather the data. My great thanks to students, academic staff, and ICT staff at USQ who participated as respondents in my study. Without your assistance this study would not have been completed. Thanks are due to the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research of Iraq for giving me the opportunity to complete my PhD study.

Thanks also go to Dr. Talal Yusaf who assisted me in getting admission to study at USQ and for his support in the data collection.

I would like to acknowledge the people who assisted me during my study: Dr. Latif Al-Hakim; Dr. Abdul Hafeez-Baig; Dr. Michael Lane; Dr. Dave Roberts; Torben Marcussen; and Shelly Grist, and Samantha Davis (Office of Research Graduate Studies). I would like to appreciate the efforts of Philip Holmes-Smith (Australian Consortium for Social and Political Research Incorporated) and Mrs. Abeer Al-Azawi for their worthy suggestions about the statistical methods.

Thanks for my PhD students colleagues Sara Saeed Al Mutawa, Moyassar Zuhair Al-Taie, Layla AL-Hameed, and Sophia Imran. My thanks also go to my friends for their support, especially, Raed Ahmed, Ahmed Naji, Khalid Hashim, Sinan Harjan and Houda Saeed.

I also acknowledge the work put in by the editor Libby Collett to edit and improve the English usage within the thesis.

I am particularly grateful to Zahraa Mohammed Daibes for her moral support and encouragement during my study. My faithful thanks to you Zahraa.

Special thanks to my family who supported me in my PhD journey. I am greatly indebted to all of you.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPT</b>	TER ONE: INTRODUCTION	2
1.1.	Chapter introduction	2
1.2.	Background to the study	2
1.3.	Motivation for the study	6
1.4.	Study problem	
1.5.	Study objectives	
1.6.	Significance of study	
1.7.	Dissertation outline	
1.8.	Chapter summary	
	ER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1.	Chapter introduction	
2.2.	Information technology infrastructure services	
	.1. Issue of investment in IT infrastructure and its impact on ensuring	
	anisational performance	
2.2		
	3. Issue of IT infrastructure impact on information systems success	
	Information system quality	
	.1. Software quality	
	.2. Information system quality	
	.3. Web-based system quality	
	Information quality	
	<u> </u>	
2.4	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
2.4		ms
2.5	39	42
2.5.	J 1 J	
	.1. Service delivery quality and traditional information systems	
	.2. Online service delivery quality	
2.5	$\mathcal{S}^{-1}$	
	Perceived usefulness	
	.1. System use	
	.2. Perceived usefulness	
	User satisfaction	
	.1. User satisfaction approaches	
2.7	$\mathcal{E}^{-1}$	
2.8.	Value of e-learning systems	
2.8		
2.8		
2.8	.3. Organisational Value	68
2.8		
2.9.	Information systems success approaches	
2.9	.1. Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) approach	74
2.9	.2. User satisfaction approach	84
2.9	.3. User involvement approach	84
2.9	.4. DeLone and McLean approach	92
2.10.	E-learning system success: definition, platforms, and approaches	
2.1	0.1. Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) approach	
2.1	0.2. User satisfaction approach	
2.1	0.3. E-learning quality approach	
2.1	0.4. DeLone and McLean approach	

2.11. Chapter summary	
CHAPTER THREE: STUDY MODEL, PHILOSOPHY, AND APPROACI	
3.1. Chapter introduction	
3.2. Study model	116
3.3. Research philosophy	120
3.4. Study approach	
3.5. Study hypotheses	
3.5.1. Hypotheses of direct effect	124
3.5.2. Hypotheses of mediator effect	132
3.6. Chapter summary	
CHAPTER FOUR: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	136
4.1. Chapter introduction	136
4.2. Research method	136
4.2.1. Case study	136
4.2.2. Experimental design	137
4.2.3. System development in information systems research	137
4.2.4. Action research	138
4.2.5. Ethnography	138
4.2.6. Historical research	138
4.2.7. Delphi method	139
4.2.8. Survey research	139
4.3. Research sampling	141
4.4. Data collection method	144
4.5. Questionnaire administration	146
4.5.1. Format of questionnaire	146
4.5.2. Scale of measurement	146
4.5.3. Pilot study	147
4.5.4. The final items of the questionnaires	149
4.6. Data Analysis	162
4.6.1. Structural Equation Modelling (SEM)	162
4.6.2. Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)	172
4.6.3. Mediating effect	
4.6.4. Content analysis	176
4.7. Validity and Reliability	
4.7.1. Validity	178
4.7.2. Reliability	179
4.8. Response rate	181
4.9. Response and Non-Response bias	182
4.10. Ethical considerations	
4.11. Chapter summary	184
CHAPTER FIVE: DATA ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS SAMPLE	188
5.1. Introduction	188
5.2. Descriptive statistics	188
5.2.1. IT infrastructure services	
5.2.2. E-learning system quality	190
5.2.3. Information quality	
5.2.4. Service delivery quality	
5.2.5. Perceived usefulness	
5.2.6. User satisfaction	
5.2.7 Customer value	192

5.3.	Treatment of missing data, outliers, and normality	.192
5.4.	Measurement model and testing study model and hypotheses	.193
5.4.	1. Stage One: One-factor congeneric measurement model	.194
5.4.		
5.4.	3. Stage three: Measurement model	.212
5.4.	4. Stage Four: Testing the validity and reliability	.215
5.4.	5. Testing the study model and hypotheses	.219
5.5.	Outcomes of hypotheses tests	
	Content Analysis of Students' Comments	
5.6.		
5.6.		
5.6.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5.6.	* · ·	
5.6.		
5.6.		
	Chapter summary	
	ER SIX: DATA ANALYSIS OF ACADEMIC STAFF SAMPLE	
	Introduction	
	Descriptive statistics	
6.2.	<u>*</u>	
6.2.		
6.2.		
6.2.	* · ·	
6.2.	J 1 J	
6.2.		
6.2.		
6.2.		
		.231
	Treatment of missing data, outliers, and normality for academic staff	250
-	Establish the management model and test study model and hymatheses	
	Establish the measurement model and test study model and hypotheses	
	nic staff sample	
6.4.	6	
	2. Second Stage: Structural model of academic staff sample	
6.4.		
6.4.	8	
	Outcomes of hypotheses tests	
	Content analysis of comments from academic staff	
6.6.	J 1 J	
6.6.		
6.6.	1 1	
6.6.		
6.6.		
6.6.	1 2	
6.6.		
	Chapter summary	
	ER SEVEN: DATA ANALYSIS OF ICT STAFF SAMPLE	
	Introduction	
7.2.	Descriptive statistics of ICT staff sample	
7.2.		
7.2.	2. System Quality	.295

	DICES	
	ENCES	
	Closing remarksClosing remarks	
	Limitations and future studies	
	Recommendations	
9.3.1		
9.3.	·	
	Study contributions	<u>4</u> 01
	ess 400	
9.2.3 9.2.4	<b>-</b>	<i>3</i> 90
9.2.2 9.2.3	T F	
9.2.1 9.2.2	$\mathcal{C}$	
	Conclusion	
	Chapter Introduction	
	ER NINE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
	Chapter summary	
	Discussion of content analysis results	
8.3.7	<b>7</b> 1	
8.3.6	J I	
8.3.5	<b>7</b> 1	
8.3.4	J 1 J J1	
8.3.3	1 3 31	
8.3.2	J J J I	
8.3.1	<b>71</b>	
	The structural model and hypotheses	
8.2.8	$\mathcal{C}$	
8.2.7		
8.2.6		
8.2.5		
8.2.4	J 1 J	
8.2.3	1 2	
8.2.2	1 3	
8.2.1		
	Measurement model	
	Introduction	
	ER EIGHT: DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	
	Chapter summary	
7.6.	Content Analysis of ICT Staff Comments	338
7.5.	Testing the structural model of ICT staff sample	309
	Testing the reliability and validity of the model	
7.3.	Measurement model of ICT staff sample	
7.2.8		
7.2.7	7. Customer value	296
7.2.6		
7.2.5		
7.2.4		
7.2.3	3. Information quality	295

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 ISO/IEC 9126-1 External and Internal Quality Attributes	29
satisfaction approaches	61
Figure 2.2 The customer value framework	67
Figure 2.3 Theory of Reasoned Action	74
Figure 2.4 Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)	75
Figure 2.5 Technology Acceptance Model (TAM2)	
Figure 2.6 Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT)	
Figure 2.7 Integrated model of user satisfaction and technology acceptance	
Figure 2. 8 Technology Acceptance Model 3 (TAM3)	81
Figure 2.9 Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT 2)	
Figure 2.10 DeLone and McLean model of information system success	
Figure 2.11 Updated DeLone & McLean's model of information system success	
Figure 3.1 Study Model	
Figure 4. 1 Mediating Effect	
Figure 5.1One-factor congeneric measurement model of IT infrastructure (First	
iteration)	195
Figure 5.2 One-factor congeneric measurement model of IT infrastructure (Final	1
iteration)	
Figure 5.3 One-factor congeneric measurement model of system quality (First	
iteration)	198
Figure 5.4 One-factor congeneric measurement model of system quality (Final	
iteration)	198
Figure 5.5 One-factor congeneric measurement model of information quality (Figure 5.5 One-factor congeneric measurement model of information quality (Figure 5.5 One-factor congeneric measurement model of information quality (Figure 5.5 One-factor congeneric measurement model of information quality (Figure 5.5 One-factor congeneric measurement model of information quality (Figure 5.5 One-factor congeneric measurement model of information quality (Figure 5.5 One-factor congeneric measurement model of information quality (Figure 5.5 One-factor congeneric measurement model of information quality (Figure 5.5 One-factor congeneric measurement model of information quality (Figure 5.5 One-factor congeneric measurement model of information quality (Figure 5.5 One-factor congeneric measurement model of information quality (Figure 5.5 One-factor congeneric measurement measurement model of information quality (Figure 5.5 One-factor congeneric measurement model of information measurement	irst
iteration)	
Figure 5.6 One-factor congeneric measurement model of information quality (F	inal
iteration)	199
Figure 5.7 CFA measurement model of SDQ (First iteration)	
Figure 5.8 CFA measurement model of SDQ (Final iteration)	
Figure 5.9 One-factor congeneric measurement model of perceived usefulness (	
iteration)	
Figure 5.10 CFA One-factor congeneric measurement model of perceived useful	
(Final iteration)	
Figure 5.11 One-factor congeneric measurement model of user satisfaction (First	
iteration)	
Figure 5.12 CFA One-factor congeneric measurement model of user satisfaction	
(Final iteration)	204
Figure 5.13 CFA One-factor congeneric measurement model of customer value	
(First iteration)	
Figure 5.14 One-factor congeneric measurement model of customer value (Final	
iteration)	
Figure 5.15 Results of CFA of exogenous constructs	
Figure 5.16 Results of CFA of endogenous constructs (Initial model)	
Figure 5.17 Results of CFA of endogenous constructs (Final iteration)	
Figure 5.18 Measurement model of student sample	
Figure 5.19 Test of the study model for Student Sample	
Figure 5.20 Testing study model with mediation role of SDQ between predictor	
factor and perceived usefulness	228

Figure 5.21 Testing the final model	234
Figure 6. 1 Results of testing the measurement model of Academic staff sample.	262
Figure 6.2 Test the study model of Acadmic staff Sample	
Figure 6. 3 Results of regression analysis of the initial model without mediation	
paths for Acadmic staff sample	275
Figure 6.4 Results of conducting the model after testing the mediation role of SD	
	2/8
Figure 6. 5 Results of regression analysis of the initial model without mediation	
paths of user satisfaction for Academic staff sample	
Figure 7. 1 Measurement Model of ICT staff sample (First iteration)	298
Figure 7.2 Measurement Model of ICT staff sample (Final iteration)	303
Figure 7.3 PLS analysis of impact of IT infrastructure services on System quali	
Figure 7.4 PLS analysis of impact of IT infrastructure services on information	511
	211
quality	
Figure 7.5 PLS analysis of impact of IT infrastructure services on SDQ	312
Figure 7.6 PLS analysis of impact of IT infrastructure services on perceived	
usefulness	
Figure 7.7 PLS analysis of impact of IT infrastructure services on user satisfaction	on
	313
Figure 7.8 PLS analysis of impact of system quality on information quality	314
Figure 7.9 PLS analysis of impact of system quality on service delivery quality	
Figure 7.10 PLS analysis of impact of system quality on perceived usefulness	
Figure 7.11 PLS analysis of impact of system quality on user satisfaction	
Figure 7.12 PLS analysis of impact of information quality on service delivery qu	
Figure 7.13 PLS analysis of impact of information quality on perceived usefulne	ess
	318
Figure 7.14 PLS analysis of impact of information quality on user satisfaction	318
Figure 7.15 PLS analysis of impact of service delivery quality on perceived	
usefulness	319
Figure 7.16 PLS analysis of impact of service delivery quality on user satisfaction	
rigate 7.10 1 25 unarysis of impact of softree defivery quanty on user satisfaction	320
Figure 7.17 PLS analysis of impact of service delivery quality on customer value	
	5 321
Figure 7.18 PLS analysis of impact of service delivery quality on organisational	
value	
Figure 7.19 PLS analysis of impact of perceived usefulness on user satisfaction	
Figure 7.20 PLS analysis of impact of perceived usefulness on customer value	323
Figure 7.21 PLS analysis of impact of perceived usefulness on organisational va	lue
Figure 7.22 PLS analysis of impact of user satisfaction on customer value	
Figure 7.23 PLS analysis of impact of user satisfaction on organisational value	
Figure 7.24 Testing the model of system quality impact on perceived usefulness	
mediation	
Figure 7.25 Testing the final model of system quality impact on perceived useful	
with full mediation by service delivery quality	330
Figure 7.26 Testing the model of IT infrastructure services impact on user	
satisfaction with mediation	331
Figure 7.27 Testing the final model of IT infrastructure services impact on user	
satisfaction with full mediation by service delivery quality	333
g	

Figure 7.28 Testing the model of information quality impact on user satisfaction	with
mediation	334
Figure 7.29 Testing the final model of information quality impact on user	
satisfaction with full mediation by service delivery quality	335
Figure 7. 30 PLS model of ICT staff sample	337



# LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Terms used to search the relevant literature	16
Table 2.2 Definitions of software quality factors	28
Table 2.3 Selected research on website quality	33
Table 2.4 Summary of studies dealing with e-learning system quality	34
Table 2.5 Research measuring information quality	35
Table 2.6 Information Quality Product-Service Model	37
Table 2.7 Summary list of studies related to online quality service	45
Table 2.8 Perceived usefulness determinants	
Table 2.9 Selected studies with shared aspects among the user	61
Table 2.10 Selected studies conducted to identify factors affecting user satisfaction	on
	63
Table 2.11 Categories of IT benefits	69
Table 2.12 Dimensions of organisational effectiveness in higher education	
institutions	72
Table 2.13 Studies investigating the role of user involvement in the success of	
information systems	87
Table 2.14 Studies that investigated the role of user involvement in electronic	
systems	91
Table 2.15 Some Studies That Adopted TAM in the E-Learning System Arena	.103
Table 2.16 Quality Fields and Their Dimensions	
Table 2.17 Some Studies That Dealt With E-Learning Quality	.109
Table 3.1 List of Studies Supportive of Proposed Model	.116
Table 4.1 Some indicators about USQ's market	.142
Table 4.2 Summary of pilot study	.148
Table 4.3 Items of IT Infrastructure services	.149
Table 4.4 Items of system quality	.152
Table 4.5 Items of information quality	
Table 4.6 Measurement of SDQ for students	
Table 4.7 Measurement of SDQ for Academic staff	
Table 4.8 Measurement of SDQ for ICT staff	
Table 4.9 Items of perceived usefulness	.157
Table 4.10 Items of user satisfaction	.159
Table 4.11 Items of customer value	.160
Table 4.12 Items of organisational value	.161
Table 4.13 Model fit indicess used in this study	
Table 4.14 Response rate of the three samples	
Table 5.1 Results of one-factor congeneric measurement model of system quality	
Table 5.2 CFA Goodness-of-fit indicators of the SDQ	
Table 5.3 Summary of conducting one-factor congeneric measurement model	
(Student Sample)	.206
Table 5.4 Results of CFA measurement model	
Table 5.5 Reliability indicators	
Table 5.6 Results of the one-factor congeneric measurement model	
Table 5.7 Analysis of discriminant validity	
Table 5.8 Results of regression analysis of the model	
Table 5.9 Conditions to identify the type of mediation	

Table 5.10 Results of regression analysis of the initial model without mediation	
paths (Perceived Usefulness)	225
Table 5.11 Comparison between the initial model without mediation and initial	
model with mediation for students' sample (Perceived Usefulness)	226
Table 5.12 Study model with mediation role of SDQ between predictor factors and	
perceived usefulness for student sample	
Table 5.13 Results of testing mediation role of SDQ between the predictor factors	
and user satisfaction	
Table 5.14 Comparison between the initial model without mediation and initial	22)
model with mediation for students' sample (User Satisfaction)	230
Table 5.15 Results of regression analysis of the final model	
Table 5. 16 Themes and sub-themes of content analysis of students' comments	
Table 6.1 Loading and cross loading of the constructs of the Academic staff samp	
Table 6.2 Reliability indicators of academic staff sample	
Table 6.3 Discriminant validity of Academic staff sample	
Table 6.4 Indicators of validation of measurement and structural models	
Table 6.5 The results of regression analysis of testing the academic staff model	
Table $6.6 R^2$ values in the model of academic staff	271
Table 6.7 Results of testing mediation role of SDQ between the predictor factors	
and perceived usefulness for academic staff sample	274
Table 6.8 Regression results of testing the initial model of SDQ mediation for	
Academic staff sample (Perceived Usefulness)	276
Table 6.9 Comparison between the initial model without mediation and initial model	del
with mediation (SDQ) for academic staff sample	
Table 6.10 Results of conducting the model after testing the mediation role of SD	Q
for academic staff sample (Perceived Usefulness)	277
Table 6.11 Results of testing mediation role of SDQ between the predictor factors	
and user satisfaction	
Table 6.12 Results of regression analysis of the initial model without mediation	
(User Satisfaction)	281
Table 6.13 Comparison between the initial model without mediation and initial	
1	281
Table 6.14 Indicators of evaluation the final structral model of academic staff	282
Table 6.15 Themes and sub-themes of content analysis of academic staff commen	
There exists and the transfer of contents mining on the contents of the conten	
Table 7.1 Factors loading and t-value for ICT staff measurement model	
Table 7.2 Indicators of ICT measurement model quality at the first iteration	
Table 7.3 Eliminated items from the measurement model of ICT staff sample	
Table 7.4 Cross loading of ICT staff model	
Table 7.5 Reliability indicators of ICT staff sample after eliminted the weak items	
Table 7.6 Discriminant validity of ICT staff sample	
•	308
Table 7.7 the results of calculating R2, GoF, and Q2 for each PLS model for ICT	225
staff	
Table 7.8 Results of testing mediation role of SDQ between the predictor factors a	
perceived usefulness	
Table 7.9 Comparison between the initial model without mediation and initial model without mediation and initial model.	
with mediation	329

Table 7.10 Results of testing mediation role of SDQ between the predictor face	ctors
and user satisfaction	331
Table 7.11 Comparison between the initial model without mediation and initia	ıl
model with mediation for IT infrastructure services	332
Table 7.12 Comparison between the initial model without mediation and initia	ıl
model with mediation for information quality	334
Table 7.13 Indicators of evaluation the validation of full mediation models of	ICT
staff	336
Table 8.1 Summary of the significant aspects in measuring e-learning system s	success
based on different points of view	349
Table 8.3 Results of quantitative and content analysis	388
Table 9.1 Proposed and significant determinants of endogenous constructs	399

### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Ethics Approval of USQ	461
Appendix B: Pilot study responses from three types of e-learning systems	463
stakeholders	
Appendix C: Student questionnaire	491
Appendix D: Academic staff questionnaire	497
Appendix E: ICT staff questionnaire	503
Appendix F: Statistical descriptives and normality test of student sample	509
Appendix G: Statistical descriptives and normality test of Academic staff sample	515
Appendix H: Statistical descriptives and normality test of Academic staff	521
sample	

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AGFI Adjusted Goodness-of-Fit Index AHP Analytic hierarchy process AST Adaptive Structuration Theory

AVA\* Availability

AVE Average variance extracted BELS Blended E-Learning Systems

C.R. Critical Ratio

CAS Computerized Accounting System

CEO Chief executive officer
CFA Confirmatory Factor Analysis
CFI Comparative Fit Index

chi-square

CIO Chief information officer

CIS Customer Information Satisfaction

**CONT\*** Contact

CPQ Consumer Products Questionnaire

CSE Computer Self-Efficacy CSF Critical Success Factors

CUSV\* Customer value

DDLM Demand-Driven Learning Model

DP Data Processing

DSS Decision Support Systems

EASE Electronic Assignment Submission Environment

ECM Expectation-Confirmation Model

EDMS Electronic document management system EDT Expectancy Disconfirmation Theory

EFFI\* Efficiency

eLSE e-Learning Systematic Evaluation
ERP Enterprise resource planning
e-SELFQUAL Online self-service quality
e-SQ electronic Service Quality
ESS Enterprise System Success

eTailQ eTail Quality

ETM Educational Technology Model eTransQual Electronic transaction quality

FULF\* Fulfilment

GFI Chi-Square, Goodness-of-Fit Index

GoF Goodness-of-Fit

H<sup>2</sup> Cross-validated communality

HELAM Hexagonal E-learning Assessment Model

ICE Integrated Content Environment

ICT Information and communication technology

IIT Image Interactivity Technology

IQ\* Information Quality
IS Information system

ISSDOs Information System Service Delivery Organisations

IT Information technology

ITIS Information technology infrastructure services

KMS Knowledge Management System LMSs Learning management systems

ML Maximum likelihood

MOOC Massive Open Online Course

NFI Normed Fit Index NNFI Non-normed Fit Index

OER Open Education Resource (OER)

OLS Online Learning System

OMIS Organisational memory information system

ORGV\* Organisational value

PCLOSE P of Close Fit

PeSQ Perceived e-service quality
PGFI Parsimony Goodness of Fit Index
PIQ Perceived Information Quality
PIRQ Perceived Internet Retailing Quality

PLS Partial Least Squares

PLS-SEM Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling

PNFI Parsimony Normed Fit Index

PRATAM The Perceived Resources and Technology Acceptance Model

PRIV\* Privacy

PSP/IQ Product and Service Performance Model for Information Quality

PWQ Perceived web quality Q<sup>2</sup> predictive relevance

QES Quality of Electronic Service QMS Quality Management System

QUIS Questionnaire for User Interface Satisfaction

R&D Research and development  $R^2$  Coefficient of determination

**RESP\*** Responsiveness

RFID Radio Frequency Identification RMR Root Mean-square Residual

RMSEA Root Mean Square Error of Approximation

S.R.W. \* Standardized Regression Weight

SATF\*
User satisfaction
SCT Social Cognitive Theory
SDQ Service delivery quality
SEM Structural Equation Modelling
SMC Squared Multiple Correlation
SOLE Soft Library Evolution

SQ\* System Quality

SQM Software Quality Metrics

SQMAT Software Quality Measurement and Assurance Technology

SRMR Standardise Root Mean-square Residual

TAM Technology Acceptance Model

TLI Tucker-Lewis Index

TPB Theory of Planned Behaviour
TQM Total Quality Management
TRA Theory of Reasoned Action
TRA Theory of Reasoned Action
TTF Task-Technology Fit

UDA User Development computer Applications

UIS User information satisfaction

USEF\* Perceived usefulness

UTAUT Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology

VET Vocational Education and Training VLE Virtual Learning Environments

WBL Web-Based Learning WEBCT Web Course Tools

WebCT CCMS WebCT course content management system

WebQual Web site quality WWW World Wide Web ZOT Zone of Tolerance  $(\chi^2/df)$  Normed Chi-square

<sup>\*</sup>This abbreviations created by the researchers to use in the statistical analysis