Downloaded from http://jcm.asm.org/ on June 25, 2019 by guest

## Copyright © 2018 American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

1	Distribution of Kingella kingae capsular serotypes in France assessed by a multiplex					
2	PCR on osteoarticular samples					
3	Romain Basmaci <sup>a,b#</sup> , Philippe Bidet <sup>b,c</sup> , Cindy Mallet <sup>d</sup> ,					
4	Raphaël Vialle <sup>e</sup> , Stéphane Bonacorsi <sup>b,c</sup>					
5						
6	<sup>a</sup> Service de Pédiatrie-Urgences, Hôpital Louis-Mourier, AP-HP, F-92700 Colombes, France;					
7	<sup>b</sup> IAME, UMR 1137, INSERM, Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, F-75018 Paris, France;					
8	<sup>c</sup> Service de Microbiologie, Hôpital Robert-Debré, AP-HP, Centre National de Référence associé					
9	Escherichia coli, F-75019 Paris, France;					
10	<sup>d</sup> Service de Chirurgie Orthopédique Pédiatrique, Hôpital Robert-Debré, AP-HP, Paris, France;					
11	<sup>e</sup> Sorbonne Université, Service de Chirurgie Orthopédique et Réparatrice de l'Enfant, Hôpital Armand					
12	Trousseau, APHP, 26 avenue du Dr Arnold Netter, F-75571 Paris Cedex 12, France					
13						
14	#Corresponding author: Romain Basmaci, Service de Pédiatrie-Urgences, Hôpital Louis					
15	Mourier, 178 rue des Renouillers 92700 Colombes, France, romain.basmaci@aphp.fr, tel: +33					
16	(0)1.47.60.63.64, fax: +33(0)1.47.60.63.76					
17						
18	Key words: Kingella kingae, capsule, typing, epidemiology, pathophysiology					
19	Conflict of interest: authors have no conflict of interest to disclose					
20	Funding: no funding source					

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

Kingella kingae is the leading pathogen of osteoarticular infection (OAI) in <4-yearold children in different countries where improved culture methods and nucleic acid amplification assays are routinely employed (1). The oropharynx is recognized as the portal of entry for K. kingae, which can penetrate the bloodstream and invade distant organs, facilitated by several virulence factors such as a recently described polysaccharide capsule (2). On an international collection of 150 invasive and carriage isolates from 10 countries, Porsch et al. (2) have described four capsule types using a multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) approach. Over 95% of invasive isolates in the collection were type 'a' or type 'b', while capsule type 'c' and type 'd' were more commonly observed among carriage isolates (2, 3). This distribution was described on K. kingae isolates; however K. kingae is a fastidious bacterium and whether the strains harboring a capsule type 'a' or 'b' can be more easily cultivated from clinical samples is unknown. Such difference may lead to biased epidemiological results. Moreover, among this collection, 30 invasive isolates were from France, mainly isolated from 2010 to 2013 (n=24/30, range 1972-2013). To our knowledge, no more recent data are available yet, and whether the epidemiology has changed remains to be determined. We aimed to describe the K. kingae capsule serotypes using a multiplex PCR protocol, as recently described (4), on a fraction of our collection of osteoarticular samples, which were positive with the K. kingae specific real-time PCR, used as routine in our laboratory (5). From July 2013 to April 2018, we found 115 K. kingae-positive osteoarticular samples from 105 patients (1 to 3 per patients). Samples origins were: joint fluid (95/105; 90.5%), synovial biopsy (8/105; 7.6%), bone abscess (1/105; 0.9%), and sub-cutaneous collection (1/105; 0.9%). Samples origins were: joint fluid (95/105; 90.5%), synovial biopsy (8/105; 7.6%), bone abscess (1/105; 0.9%), and sub-cutaneous collection (1/105; 0.9%). Capsular PCR

allowed identifying unambiguously a capsule type in all of these 115 samples (Figure 1).

Downloaded from http://jcm.asm.org/ on June 25, 2019 by guest

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

culture was positive or negative (Table 1).

Among the 105 patients, the PCR results showed 71 (67.6%) capsules 'a', 33 (31.4%) 46 capsules 'b', 1 (0.9%) capsule 'c', and no capsule 'd'. When multiple samples were available 47 48 for one patient, the results were identical for each sample. There was no significant variation between distribution of capsules 'a' and 'b' along the study period or by age or whether the 49

In a large collection of K. kingae-positive osteoarticular samples in France, we demonstrated that our capsular PCR is able to identify the capsular serotypes of invasive K. kingae in skeletal system specimens. Knowing that rtxA/B and cpn60 PCRs cannot discriminate K. kingae from K. negevensis and Simonsiella muelleri, respectively, it may be suggested that adding the capsular PCR to the molecular diagnosis may increase its specificity (4). We observed that 99% of K. kingae OAI involved a strain harboring a capsule type 'a' or 'b', which is similar to that observed in the Israeli and the international collections of invasive isolates (2, 3). Our results strengthen the hypothesis that the type 'a' and type 'b' capsules may have enhanced pathogenic properties. Polysaccharide capsules are a common target for development of vaccine, such as pneumococcal vaccine; the implication of capsules 'a' and 'b' in K. kingae invasive infections could be of high interest for the development of a K. kingae vaccine. On the other hand, very few data on the distribution of capsule serotypes among carriage isolates are available yet. In a recent study it was described that types 'a' and 'b' were predominant in a small population of healthy children carrying K. kingae in France (4). A better characterization of the distribution of K. kingae capsular serotypes among healthy carriers would lead to better understand the role played by the capsule in the potential of the organism to cause invasive infection.

## References

Yagupsky P. 2015. Kingella kingae: carriage, transmission, and disease. Clin 69 1. 70 Microbiol Rev 28:54-79.

Downloaded from http://jcm.asm.org/ on June 25, 2019 by guest

Downloaded from http://jcm.asm.org/ on June 25, 2019 by guest

2. Porsch EA, Starr KF, Yagupsky P, St Geme JW, 3rd. 2017. The Type a and Type b 71 72 Polysaccharide Capsules Predominate in an International Collection of Invasive 73 Kingella kingae Isolates. mSphere 2: e00060-17 3. Starr KF, Porsch EA, Seed PC, Heiss C, Naran R, Forsberg LS, Amit U, Yagupsky P, 74 75 Azadi P, St Geme JW, 3rd. 2016. Kingella kingae Expresses Four Structurally Distinct Polysaccharide Capsules That Differ in Their Correlation with Invasive Disease. PLoS 76 Pathog 12:e1005944. 77 Basmaci R, Deschamps K, Levy C, Mathy V, Corrard F, Thollot F, Bechet S, Sobral 78 E, Bidet P, Cohen R, Bonacorsi S. 2018. Prevalence of Kingella kingae oropharyngeal 79 80 carriage and predominance of type a and type b polysaccharide capsules among French young children. Clin Microbiol Infect in press. 81 Ilharreborde B, Bidet P, Lorrot M, Even J, Mariani-Kurkdjian P, Liguori S, Vitoux C, 5. 82 Lefevre Y, Doit C, Fitoussi F, Pennecot G, Bingen E, Mazda K, Bonacorsi S. 2009. 83 New Real-Time PCR-Based Method for Kingella kingae DNA Detection: Application 84 to Samples Collected from 89 Children with Acute Arthritis. J Clin Microbiol 85 47:1837-1841. 86

Legend to Figure 88

87

- 89 Figure 1. Gel electrophoresis of the Kingella kingae multiplex capsular PCR in
- osteoarticular samples. The molecular weight is related to the capsule serotype: 'a', 'b', 'c' 90
- and 'd' types are identified from the highest to the lowest molecular weight, respectively (lane 91
- 4). Capsule type 'a' was identified in 4 samples (lanes 1, 6, 7 and 8), capsule type 'b' was 92
- identified in 2 samples (lanes 3 and 5), and capsule type 'c' was identified in 1 sample (lane 93
- 94 2). Lane 9, sterile water; Lane 10, DNA ladder.

## Table 1. Distribution of capsule types among 105 patients with K. kingae osteoarticular

## 2 infection

	All	Capsule 'a'	Capsule 'b'	Capsule 'c'
	(n=105)	(n=71)	(n=33)	(n=1)
Year				
2013	24	19 (79.2)	5 (20.8)	0
2014	13	8 (61.5)	5 (38.5)	0
2015	0	-	-	-
2016	19	10 (52.6)	9 (47.4)	0
2017	39	26 (66.7)	12 (30.8)	1 (2.6)
2018	10	8 (80.0)	2 (20.0)	0
Age				
<1 year	30	20 (66.7)	10 (33.3)	0
1 year	60	41 (68.3)	18 (30.0)	1 (1.7)
2 years	12	7 (58.3)	5 (41.7)	0
3 years	2	2 (100.0)	0	0
4 years	1	1 (100.0)	0	0
Culture				
Positive	11	7 (63.6)	4 (33.4)	0
Negative	94	64 (68.1)	29 (30.9)	1 (1.0)



