University of Texas Bulletin

No. 1849: September 1, 1918

On the Underground Position of the Ellenburger Formation in North Central Texas

With a Preliminary Contour Map

BY E. H. SELLARDS

Bureau of Economic Geology and Technology Division of Economic Geology J. A. Udden, Director of the Bureau and Head of the Division



PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AUSTIN

Publications of the University of Texas

Publications Committee:

F. W. GRAFF	R. H. GRIFFITH
G. C. BUTTE	J. L. HENDERSON
D. B. CASTEEL	E. J. MATHEWS
FREDERIC DUNCALF	C. E. Rowe

The University publishes bulletins six times a month, so numbered that the first two digits of the number show the year of issue; the last two the position in the yearly series. (For example, No.1701 is the first bulletin of the year 1917.) These comprise the official publications of the University, publications on humanistic and scientific subjects, bulletins prepared by the Department of Extension and by the Bureau of Government Research, and other bulletins of general educational interest. With the exception of special numbers, any bulletin will be sent to a citizen of Texas free on request. All communications about University publications should be addressed to the Chairman of the Publications Committee, Austin.

University of Texas Bulletin

No. 1849: September 1, 1918

On the Underground Position of the Ellenburger Formation in North Central Texas

With a Preliminary Contour Map

BY

E. H. SELLARDS

Bureau of Economic Geology and Technology Division of Economic Geology J. A. Udden, Director of the Bureau and Head of the Division



PUBLISHED BY THE UNIVERSITY SIX TIMES A MONTH, AND ENTERED AS SECOND-CLASS MATTER AT THE POSTOFFICE AT AUSTIN, TEXAS, UNDER THE ACT OF AUGUST 24, 1912 The benefits of education and of useful knowledge, generally diffused through a community, are essential to the preservation of a free government.

Sam Houston

Cultivated mind is the guardian genius of democracy. . . . It is the only dictator that freemen acknowledge and the only security that freemen desire.

Mirabeau B. Lamar

CONTENTS

PA	١GE
Introduction	5
Acknowledgments	6
Previous publications	7
Limitations on the data now available	7
Irregularities in the top surface of the Ellenburger produced by	
erosion.	9
Explanation of Map	9
Regional structure in North-Central Texas as indicated by the	
Ellenburger Formation	9
Disturbed Area between the Bend Arch and the Balcones Fault	-
Zone .	11
Conditions in North Texas.	$12^{}$
Available Data	13
List of Wells by counties	14
Summary by counties	23
Brown County	23
Callahan County	23
Coleman County	24 -
Comanche County	24
Corvell County	25
Eastland County	25
Erath County	26
Hood County	26
Lampasas County	27
McCulloch County	27
Mills County	28
Palo Pinto County	28
Runnels County	28
San Saba County	29
Stevens County	29
Young County	29
Index	31

Map

A Preliminary contour map of the Ellenburger formation...... 32

.

ON THE UNDERGROUND POSITION OF THE ELLEN-BURGER FORMATION IN NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS

WITH A PRELIMINARY CONTOUR MAP

BY E. H. SELLARDS¹

The Ellenburger limestone, approximating, when fully developed, a thousand feet in thickness, is exposed at the surface in the Central Mineral Region of Texas, and from this belt of surface exposures dips beneath later formations. To the north from the Central Mineral Region, the position of the formation is more or less well-known from drilling records as far as Young and Palo Pinto counties, beyond which it passes to depths not yet reached in drilling. However, approaching the north State line it is again brought sufficiently near to the surface to be reached by a few wells in the structurally high area adjacent to the Red River.

With the exception of the Vestal well in Callahan County. the formation itself is not known to be productive of either oil or gas in commercial quantities. However, its relation to the producing formations is such that the accurate or approximate determination of its position below the surface becomes a matter of much importance. As a rule, test wells for oil in north-central Texas are not drilled deeper than to the Ellenburger limestone. To have a knowledge of the approximate depth at which this formation will be encountered is, therefore, important in planning a test well. Tn addition, the structural conditions in the Ellenburger are, with little doubt, more or less reflected in the overlying petroleum-bearing formations. Hence the structures in the Ellenburger suggest the probability of similar structures in the later formations. This paper relates to the position of the Ellenburger limestone below the surface in north-central Texas as indicated by well records.

¹Paper read in abstract before the Dallas meeting of the American Association of Petroleum Geologists, March, 1920. Published by consent of the Association. Revised and submitted for publication April, 1920. Issued July, 1920.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

To the Director of the Bureau of Economic Geology of the University of Texas the writer is indebted not only for the opportunity of working on this problem, but also for access to the many data on this problem that have accumulated in the records and files of the Bureau. For data more recently obtained the writer is under obligation to all the large oilproducing companies operating in north-central Texas, and in particular, to the geological and scouting departments of these companies, and to drillers and others. The logs of wells have been obtained either directly or indirectly from the companies testing for oil. The surface elevations at the wells where known by instrumental surveys are to be credited entirely to the operating oil companies. Where elevations based on instrumental surveys are not available, the elevations of the wells have been estimated from the topographic maps of the United States Geological Survey. The samples of cuttings, like the logs, have accumulated in the Bureau collections at different times and from various sources. Many of them have come to the Bureau from geologists, scouts, drillers, and others interested in particular wells. A considerable number of samples recently received has been contributed from the geological departments of several of the operating companies.

In connection with the discussion of the well records, the writer has had occasion to refer to and utilize the data from cuttings from the numerous wells. These samples have been identified by Dr. J. A. Udden, to whom the writer is indebted for the opportunity of utilizing the data from them in this paper. Among those who have assisted from time to time in the identification of well samples in the Bureau of Economic Geology collections are V. V. Waite, E. B. Stiles, and A. H. Kemp. In the case of two of the wells used in this report, namely the Goss and Schoor wells of Comanche and Eastland counties, the samples contained in the Bureau collection have been supplemented by the description of samples from the same wells by S. G. Garrett under direction of Wallace E. Pratt of the Geological Department of the Humble Oil Company.

PREVIOUS PUBLICATIONS

The existence of a structurally high area extending slightly east of north from the Central Mineral Region has become well known in recent years. This arch was depicted in a general way in a map by M. G. Cheney, published in the May, 1918, issue of the Oil Trade Journal, page 75, and in a map by Dorsey Hager accompanying a paper published in Bulletin 138 of the American Institute of Mining Engineers, issued June, 1918. In these maps the Bend formations are used in contouring the regional structure, while the present paper relates entirely to regional structure as indicated by the Ellenburger formation. In a paper entitled "Recent Knowledge of Formations Below the Bend," Mr. William Kennedy has referred to a number of wells which enter the Ellenburger formation in north-central Texas and concludes that they indicate a ridge extending in a northeasterly direction from the Central Mineral Region.¹ A similar conclusion has been expressed by W. G. Matteson. The Oil and Gas Journal, issue of October 17, 1919, contains a paper by Lee Hager on regional structure on the Red River, while papers relating to structural conditions within the oil fields of north-central Texas have been published by a number of other geologists. Volume 3 of the Bulletin of the American Association of Petroleum Geologists, 1919, contains the following papers relating more or less directly to structural conditions in this area: Sub-surface Geology of the Oil Districts of North-Central Texas, by Jon A. Udden: Geologic Structures and Producing Areas in the North Texas Petroleum Fields, by Wallace E. Pratt; Observations on Two Deep Borings Near the Balcones Fault Zone, by J. A. Udden; A Review of the Development of the New Central Texas Oil Fields During 1918, by W. G. Matteson.

LIMITATION IN THE DATA NOW AVAILABLE

The present map, as indicated by the title, is preliminary. It is based on the data now available in the Bureau of Eco-

¹The Southwestern Oil Journal, Jan. 4, 1919, p. 1.

nomic Geology of the University and is expected to be revised as data accumulate. There are certain sources of possible error among which the following, in particular, should be mentioned: The elevation of the land surface at the wells is, in all instances, to be regarded as more or less of an approximation to actual levels. As already stated, in the absence of other data, some elevations, as noted in connection with the wells, have been estimated from topographic maps. These are recon a set noe all ps with contours at 50-foot intervals. There is also ordicated in placing these wells accurately on the top .: 4p3 There is thus introduced for these wells a power a station amounting to from a few feet to as a first start of as 100 or 150 feet in the case of some wells. For the media the elevation of which is based on instrumental wvels, there is likewise a considerable possible limit of error is elevation For many of these wells, two or more elevations have been recorded which seldom agree, and which vary among themselves from a few feet to as much in extreme cases as from 50 to 75 or 100 feet.

Not only in the matter of surface elevations, but in the records themselves, the data as to the Ellenburger formation are approximate rather than exact. A sample of cuttings which affords a positive identification of the Ellenburger may nevertheless be indeterminate as to whether it has been obtained from the top or from some distance down in that In such instances, the data from the sample formation. may be supplemented in an important way by the data from In the case of wells represented by merely a log. the log. the probability of error in determining the top of the Ellenburger is, of course, greater than when represented by both log and sample, and while most logs are so kept as to permit the determination of the dividing line between the Bend and Ellenburger formations, there are some that permit at best only of an approximate separation of these formations. Nevertheless, for regional structure, it is believed that the data now available are sufficiently exact to be of service in defining both the position and the structure of this formation in north-central Texas.

IRREGULARITIES IN THE TOP SURFACE OF THE ELLENBURGER PRODUCED BY EROSION

As has been stated, the purpose of a map contouring the Ellenburger is two-fold. First of all, the map and text combined afford the driller the data by which to make such an estimate as it is now possible to give of the depth to this formation at any place within this area. Secondly, the map indicates in a broad way the regional structure of the Ellenburger formation in north-central Texas. In applying the map to the interpretation of structure is an erosion surface and that some of the Ellenburger is an erosion surface and that some of the interpretation feature may account for relatively minor irregularities in the Ellenburger, the major features observed and mapped in that formation are structural.

EXPLANATION OF THE MAP

The location of each well used in determining the position of the Ellenburger limestone is indicated to such degree of accuracy as is practicable on a map of this scale. In the case of those wells believed to have entered the Ellenburger formation, the approximate actual level of the top of the formation above or below sea level, as nearly as can be determined, is shown by the map entry for that well, levels below sea being indicated by a minus sign preceding the number. In addition to wells entering the Ellenburger a few have been used which, although not known to have entered the Ellenburger, are useful in mapping as showing that the formation lies below a given depth. In the map entry such wells are indicated by a plus sign following the entry. Dotted contours include those farther removed from known wells and hence less definitely placed than the contours shown in solid lines.

REGIONAL STRUCTURE IN NORTH-CENTRAL TEXAS AS INDI-CATED BY THE ELLENBURGER FORMATION

Contours on the Ellenburger, as on the Bend, indicate a pronounced arch extending slightly east of north from the Central Mineral Region. From near the western part of San Saba County the axis, or line of maximum elevations on this arch, passes somewhat east of the center of Brown County, crosses the northwestern part of Comanche County, and lies probably somewhat east of the center of Eastland County. North of Eastland County the available data are as yet too limited to locate the ill-defined axis of the fold in the Ellenburger, which probably lies near the Stephens-Palo Pinto county line.

This fold plunges to the north, the rate of plunge varying from place to place. In San Saba and McCulloch counties, the Ellenburger is found at the surface at elevations of from 1500 to 1700 feet or more above sea level. In Young County, 150 miles to the north, the formation lies 3500 feet or more below the sea level. The plunge is probably most rapid in Brown County where it may amount to as much as an average of 50 feet per mile. Farther to the north the plunge in the axis of the fold is less rapid, and over considerable distance may not exceed 25 or 30 feet per mile.

A conspicuous feature of this large fold is the lack of symmetry between the west and east sides of the arch. The west limb of the arch has an approximately uniform slope, decreasing in rate of dip perhaps with the increased distance from the Central Mineral Region. On the east limb of the fold, on the other hand, the rate of slope is notably irregular, and is in general more rapid than on the west limb. In contouring, this lack of symmetry in the fold is expressed by the abrupt turn of the contours to the south after crossing the axis of the fold. On the west side of the fold the contours, when drawn to express regional structure, and disregarding such local structures as may exist in this formation, maintain an approximately regular course, varying in direction from north-northeast, west of the mineral region, to east-northeast and in places almost east-west as they approach the axis of the fold. After crossing the axis, these contours turn shortly to the south and in some instances turn southwest, thus almost doubling back on themselves.

The arch, as developed in the Ellenburger, is not a simple fold. Masses or "noses" of this formation project to the northeast. One of the best delineated of these noses is that at Desdemona. Here the contours on the Ellenburger, after making the turn at Desdemona, run west of south until again deflected to the east by another northeastward projecting mass of the Ellenburger. The 2000-foot contour below sea level, after turning south near Desdemona, runs west of south for as much as 15 miles to the Tate and Fisher wells where it turns at right angles to a direction slightly south of east. This contour again turns south after passing the Sturkie well northeast of Comanche. Its position at the Mills County line is defined in part by the Luckie well which indicates that its course from the Sturkie well may be about due south as drawn on the map, or very possibly when more fully known the course of the contour after making the turn northeast of Comanche may be found to be west of south into Mills County. Other contours, crossing the axis of the fold, follow a more or less similar course, at least to the extent of bending very shortly to the south.

DISTURBED AREA BETWEEN THE BEND ARCH AND THE BAL-CONES FAULT ZONE

Not only is the east slope of the major arch one of rapid and irregular dip, but observation will show that the whole area from the Bend Arch to the Balcones Fault Zone, at least that part of it in which the Ellenburger formation can now be delineated, is an area of much greater disturbance than is a similar area to the west of the Bend Arch. The northeastward projecting masses of the Ellenburger at Desdemona and northeast of Comanche have been referred to. the syncline separating these being located by the Tate and Fisher wells and expressed on the map by the deep reentrant in the contours. A very deep reentrant in the contours, in the eastern part of Mills County, indicates the location of another structurally low area in the Ellenburger. This low area in Mills County contrasts strongly with the broad high Ellenburger mass extending into the northwestern part of Lampasas County. The broad high area of Lampasas County is interpreted in contouring as continuing to the northeast to the Clark well in Coryell County. However, as there are at present no deep wells in northeastern Lampasas and northwestern Coryell counties, this mapping of the Ellenburger lacks confirmation and may ultimately require alteration. Another low is indicated, the writer believes, by the wells of eastern Lampasas and southwestern Corvell counties, including the Grove well of Lampasas County and the Tienert The Gotcher well and Strickland wells of Corvell County. near the Coryell-Bell county line with little doubt indicates the approach of the relatively high area of the Balcones fault zone lying next to the east of the low area referred to. The number of wells reaching the Ellenburger is as yet limited as compared to the large area to which this map relates. and more complications in the structure of the Ellenburger are to be expected than can now be indicated in contouring. The map should, therefore, be regarded as preliminary and drawn for the purpose of expressing the present available records of the formation. The data on which the map is based are more fully given in the pages which follow.

CONDITIONS IN NORTH TEXAS

The arch in the Ellenburger formation may be followed more or less definitely, as already noted, as far to the north as Young County, where by reason of the north plunge of the structure it lies at a depth of from 3500 to 4000 feet be-That the north plunge does not continue unlow sea level. interruptedly to the north state line is indicated by well records available from Clay, Montague and Cooke counties. A well on the Byers farm, 12 miles north of Henrietta in Clay County, is reported to have entered granite at 4240 feet from the surface, or at an actual level of about 3311 feet below sea level. A well in the northern part of Montague County is known to have entered the schists at about 3000 feet from the surface. In Cooke County, a few miles north of Myra, the Ellenburger is reported by samples at a depth of 2105 feet from the surface,¹ or at an actual level of approximately 1000 feet below sea level. These records indicate that the Ellenburger formation, where present in these counties, lies at a level much higher than in the adjoining

¹The University of Texas, Handbook Series No. 1. J. A. Udden, p. 55.

са *

counties to the south. The conditions in the Red River area have been discussed by Mr. Lee Hager, in the paper to which reference has already been made.

AVAILABLE DATA

The data available on wells reaching the Ellenburger formation in north-central Texas are summarized in the following lists in which, for convenience of reference, the wells are arranged by counties. The wells of each county are listed alphabetically under the name of the owner of the land on which the well is located. For each well there is given the name of the company or individual by whom the well was drilled; the location by survey; the location in miles from a town or other designated place; the total depth of the well, if known; the elevation of the land surface at the well; the depth from the surface to the Ellenburger limestone; the kind of data available, whether a log or sample or both. In the case of a number of wells, although samples are at hand showing the presence of the Ellenburger, the log must be relied upon to determine the top surface of that formation, the samples having come from below the top of the formation. In such instances the entry showing the top of the Ellenburger is taken from the log, and there is added an entry showing the depth at which the first Ellenburger sample is available. There is added a column in the lists giving a map entry for each well on which the data are sufficiently complete to permit of its use in mapping. Except when followed by a plus sign, the map entry indicates the depth of the Ellenburger formation below or above sea level, as nearly as can be determined. When followed by the plus sign, the map entry shows that although the well was drilled to the depth indicated, the Ellenburger was not reached, and lies at an undetermined distance below that depth. Those wells, the elevation of which is estimated from the topographic map, are indicated by the letter "T" following the entry. The elevations given for all other wells are elevations obtained from operating companies in this section and are based on instrumental levels. Following is the list of wells by counties:

Name of Well and Owner	Location by Survey	Location from town or other place	Total Depth	Surface Eleva- tion	Depth Ellen- burger	Kind of Record	Map Entry
Abney 1, Graham Ludlow and Thomas	Kerr County School Lands	6 mi. S, 2 E Brownwood	2610	1504	1510	Log	9
Alvis 1, Oil States Petroleum Co.	H. T. & B. Ry. Co. Surv. 37	12½ mi. N, 1 W Bangs	3160	1575	3035	Log	
Andrews 1, Pippin Oil Co.	H. H. Hall Surv. 49	42 mi. S, 34 E Brownwood	2401	1316	1675	Log	-359
						Sample at 1921	
Baugh 1, Bartles and Dumenil and Texas Co.	Osborn Dalton Surv. 26	71/2 mi. N, 11/2 E Brownwood	8310	1395	2278	Samples	
Burns 1, Gilman Crabtree and Sim-	C. C. Baker Surv. 7	12 mi. N, 6 E Brownwood	3250	1721	3003	Log	
cons						Sample at 3070	
Capps 1, Texas Eastern Oil Co	Patrick Sullivan Surv. 7	2 mi. NE Brownwood	1900	1377	1874	Samples	765
Cross 1, A. A. Peard.	E. D. Prewett Surv. 13	South county line	2803	1417	1270	Log	147
Davis 1, Carter et al.	Jas. Bird Surv, 102	5 mi. S, 1 W Bangs	2218	1553	2147	Log	594
						Sample at 2190	
Fuller 1, Empire Oil and Gas Co.	C. B. Jennings Surv. 353	21/4 mi. W, 11/4 N Bangs	3708	1563	2345	I.og	782
Gaines 1, Sinclair Gulf Oil Co.	Nancy Jordan Surv. 12	12 mi. N, 4 W Brownwood	2778	1520	2760	Log	-1240
						Sample at 2763	
Gehrke 1, Perry-Bencini.	Kerr County School Lands	5 mi. S Brownwood	2105	1400	¢.	Sample	
Harris 1, Pennant Oil Co.	Jno. Saunders Surv. 162	4 mi. fr. N, ½ fr. W county	3405	1625	3405	Log	1780
		line			:	i	
Low 1, D. R. Bailey et al.	J. M. Baker Surv. N. part	8½ mi. N, 1 W Bangs		1450T	2755	Samples	
Lowe 1, J. W. Collins	Reuben Ross Surv. 44	3 mi. S, 1½ E Brownwood	1565	1376T	1487	Log	-112

۱

ŧ

BROWN COUNTY

2

14

University of Texas Bulletin

Matlock 1, Pecan Bayou Oil Co.	Brown County School Land Surv. 360	3½ mi. E Brownwood	2767	1417	1850	Log Sample at 238	
Nevermind, Producers Oil Co. Scwell 1, E. J. McJunken et al.	T. & P. Ry. Co. Surv. 3	Southern part of county 10½ mi. N, 5 W Brownwood	1975 2505	1500T	$1780 \\ 2230$	Log Log	730
Sterns 1, Jewel Oil Co. Weeden 1, Partridge Oil and Gas Co.	A. D. Neill Surv. 41 H. T. & P. Ry. Co. Surv. 51	10 mi. S. 4 W Bangs 10½ mi. N, 5 W Brownwood	2084 2760	1515T 1492	? 2657	Log	
	CALI	LAHAN COUNTY					
Vestal 1, New South Oil Assn.	Jesse Dyson Surv.	$1^{1/2}$ mi. E, $1^{1/2}$ N Gross	8755	1785	3725	Samples	
Childs 1, F. E. Henderson, et al.	John Sayers Surv.	10 mi. S, 3 E Putnam	4005	1846	¢••	Samples	
	COLI	EMAN COUNTY					
Guthrie 1, Producers Oil Co.	Bond & Saunders Surv. 78	1½ mi. S, ½ W Trickham	1975	1400T	2	Log	
Guthrie 1, Santa Anna Indus. Co.	Bond & Saunders Surv. 110	1 1-3 mi. W Trickham	1904	1400T	۰.	Log	
Guthrie 1, The Sun Company	H. E. & W. T. Surv 117	7 mi. S, 3 W Trickham	2267	1400T	÷·	Log	1
Harris 1, Slick Oil Company	H. Stearns Surv. 63	2½ mi. S, 1½ E Santa Anna	3264	1600T	2625	Log	-1025
Miller 1, Systems Oil Company	Fort Bend County School	Near SW corner Coleman	3175	1500T	2918	Log	
Morris 4, Magnolia Pet. Co. and Ellizabeth Oil Co.	David Breeding Surv.	ound 9½ mi. N, 2 E Coleman	3978	1583	3417	Log	
Morris 3, Magnolia Pet. Co. and Elizabeth Oil Co.	David Breeding Surv.	NE Morris 4 mi.	3438	1582	3432	Log	
Morris 5, Magnolia Pet. Co. and Elizabeth Oil Co.	David Breeding Surv.	N Morris 4 mi.	3430	1578	3418	L_{0g}	
Neff 1, Sinclair-Gulf Oil Co. Padgett 1, Sinclair-Gulf Oil Co.	Geo. Eubanks Surv. 173 Brazoria County School £ds.	11 mi. N, 7 E Coleman Near SW corner Coleman	3425 8580	1622 1596	3 445 3445	Sample Sample	-1780 -1849
Sealey-Hutchins 1, Sinclair-Gulf Oil	Surv. 226. G. M. & N. Surv. 231	County 9 mi. N. 2 W Coleman	3922	1882	3885	and log Log	2003
Co.							

.

Ellenburger Formation in North Central Texas

15

Name of Well and Owner	Location by Survey	Location from town or other place	Total Depth	Surface Eleva- tion	Depth Ellen- burger	Kind of Record	Map Entry
Sealey & Smith 1, Magnolia Pet	H. H. H. Ry. Co. Blk 2,	,7 mi. N, 1½ E Coleman	3610	1632	3530	Log	
Vo. and Duzaveur On Oo. Slate 1, Magnolia Pet. Co. and Elizabeth Oil Co.	J. Kaufman Surv. 237	23 mi. S, 2 W Coleman	3114	1400T	2227	Log	
Wallace 2, Robertson and Son.	Wm. Ferris Surv. 279	7 mi. S Santa Anna		1500T	2533	\mathbf{Log}	1033
	COM	(ANCHE COUNTY					
Armstrong 1, P. L. Tippit	H. T. & B. Ry. Co. Surv. 13	3 3 mi. N, 2 E Comanche		1250T	2885	Samples	
Bender 1, Henderson et al.	J. P. Stevenson Surv. 3, SE part	, 2½ mi. E, 1½ N De Leon	3500	1247			
Davis 1, Sam Davis Oil Co.	Jas. Walker Surv.	Comyn Station	3500	1250			
Fine 1, Lone Star Gas Co.	E. T. Ry. Co. Surv. 35,	, 16 mi. E, 2½ N Comanche	4150	1350T		Log	-2800+
Fisher 1. Conneras Creek Oil Co.	D. H. McFadden Surv. 190	11 mi. N. 2 W Comanche	4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1271	3204	Log	
Fritz 1, Maxwell and Ertel	Geo. L. Addison Surv., SE	16 mi. N, 5 E Comanche	3276	1150T	3145	Log	1995
	corner Tr e m 2 b 2		1010 10	Hood	0000	۴	
trailoway 1, LONG DUAL GAS CO.	15, SW corner	J III. 3, 472 W Comanche	0700	TIMIT	0000	TOG	920T
Goss 1, Humble Oil and Rfg. Co.	D. & D. Asylum Lands Surv.	4 mi. W Sipe Springs	3275	1532	3272	Samples	-1740
Gregory 1, Texas Penn.	59, NE corner W. R. Gregory Surv. N	1 1/6 mi. from E. 1-8 from N	3410	1400T	0		
	corner	county line					
Hamlin 1, Manhattan Oil Co.	D. & D. Asylum Surv. 23	1½ mi. N Duster	3176	1402	3150	Samples	-1748
Hilly 1, Gates Oil Co.	L. Birdsall Surv. 54, NW	2 mi. from N, 114 from E		1343	3340	\mathbf{Log}	7991
	corner	county line					
Kee 1, Henderson et al.	J. P. Stevenson Surv. 10	5% mi. S, ½ W Desdemona		1268	3325	Samples	2057
	NW corner						

COLEMAN COUNTY (Continued)

.

 $\mathbf{16}$

University of Texas Bulletin

					•		
Huckabee 1, Home Boys' Oil Co.	E. Moore Surv.	3 mi. W, ½ S De Leon	3500	1238			
Lucky 1, Thomas and Ludlow	E. T. Ry. Co. Surv. 6	114 mi. from. S, ½ from W	3466	1484		Samples	-1972+
		county line				and log	
Montcomerv 1. Maxwell and Ertel	Robt. Page Surv., SE corner	5 mi. E Mercer's Gap	3500	1420	3245	Log	-1825
Moore 1. Gulf Production Co.	E. Whitesides Surv. 71	12 mi. E, 2½ S Comanche	3824	1193	3776	Log	
Pittman 1. National Refining Co.	H. & T. C. Ry. Co. Surv. 42	4 mi. N, 4 W De Leon	3410	1325T	¢.•		
Rudd 1, Roxana-Wallace Oil Co.	D. and D. Asylum Lands	North county line, 10½ mi.	3076	1300	3075	\mathbf{Log}	-1775
	Surv. 17	from NE corner					
Small 1, Humble Oil and Refining	D. and D. Asylum Lands	2 mi. N, ¼ E Sipe Springs		1500T	3313	Log and	
Co.	Surv.					Sample at 3345	
Shearer 1, Texas Penn.	G. Fay Surv. 53, N corner	½ mi. from N, 1½ from E	3450	1400T	ç.,		
		county line					
Studeville 1, Miller, Knight and Chass.	E. Whitesides Surv. 71	12 mi. E, 2½ S Comanche	3850	1150T	e		
Sturkie 1, Comanche Oil Assn.	Asa Hoxey Surv.	2 mi. E Hasse, 7% E, 3½	3350	1200T	3335	Samples	2135
		N Comanche					
Tate 1, Crawford and Flynn	H. & T. C. Ry. Blk. 2, Surv.	61/2 mi. W De Leon	3323	1369	3301	Log	
	COR	YELL COUNTY					
Clark 1, Benedum & Trees, Francis, Keystone Texas Oil Co.	G. W. Carlisle Surv.	9 mi. W, 1 N Gatesville	3630	870	3465	Samples	
Gotcher 1, N. Y. Syndicate.	W. T. Whitley Surv.	2^{1} mi. W, 1 S Copperas Cove	3035	1132	3025	Log and Sample at 3035	
Strickland 1, Buckeye Mid-Kansas	John Winn Surv.	1½ mi. S. ¾ W Pitcock	3628	946	3615	Samples	-2669
Tienert 1, N. Y. Syndicate	Elizabeth Jones Surv.	11/2 mi. W, 2 N Copperas Cove	3384	1094	3384	Eamples	
	EAST	LAND COUNTY					
Allen 1, Gulf Production Co.	Wm. Fields Surv.	4¾ mi. S, 3¾ E Ranger	4010	1446	3765	Log	-2319
Alsobrook 1, Havermeyer & Sea- mans.	H. T. & C. Ry. Surv. 14	1½ mi. SW Gorman	3525	1400	3190	Log	
Barnes 1, Prairie Oil and Gas Co.	F. P. Barnall, Blk. 40, Surv. 657, SE corner	2 mi. N, 2 W Ranger	4300	1584	4080	\mathbf{L} og	2496

Ellenburger Formation in North Central Texas

,

17

~

Name of Well and Owner	Location by Survey	Location from town or other place	Total Depth	Surface Eleva- tion	Depth Ellen- burger	Kind of Record	Map Entry
Brashear 1, Leon Oil Co Brashear 2, Leon Oil Co.	Wm. Van Norman Surv. Wm. Van Norman Surv.	ő mi. S, 2-3 W Ranger 5 mi. S Ranger	40MU	1477 1471	3628 3618	Log Log	-2151
Brashear 1, Westheimer et al.	Wm. Van Norman Surv.	5½ mi. S, 2-3 W Ranger		1460	3615	Log T	
Branford 1, Prairie Oil and Gas Co. Brown 1, Central Oil Development	kosseau Surv. 25 G. E. Moore Surv.	5 mi. S, 2% E Eastland 1 mi. E, ½ N Desdemona	3955	1479 1450T	371.7 3545	Lug Samples	2238
Co. Cooke 1, Texas & Pacific.	N. Cooper Surv.	3 mi. N Ranger	4200	1546	4187	Sanoples	
Connellee 1, Benedum & Trees.	N. Ussury Surv.	3 mi. S, ¾ E Eastland	3800	1541	3737	Log	-2196
Duffer 1, Prairie Oil and Gas Co.	S. N. Mathias Surv.	1 mi. E, 3½ S Ranger	3770	1446	3680	liog	-2284
Eppler 1, Connollee and Aguire.	Wm. De Moss Surv.	8½ mi. NE Gorman		1356	3238		-1902
Falls 1, Prairie Oil and Gas Co.	E. Finley Surv., NW part	3½ mi. S, 1½ W Ranger	3700	1441	3685	\mathbf{Log}	
Fee 1, Sun Company.	H. T. & C. Ry. Blk. 4, NE	6½ mi. W, 1 N Ranger	4012	1538	4000	$L_{0,E}$	2462
	consider						
Fee 1, Texas Pacific Coal and Oil	Robertson County School	8 mi. E, 1½ S Ranger	3710	1250T	3520	I.og	
Co.	Lands, SE part					,	0400
Hagaman 1, Lone Star Gas Co.	W. C. & C. Boswell Surv.	1½ mi. NE Ranger	3745	1426	3699	Log Z	22/3
Holcomb 1, Cosden Oil Co.	J. House Surv.	1 mi. NE Eastland	3777	1485	1118	Sample	7677-
Mann 1, Atlas Oil Co.	Thos. Mallyyne Surv. (Cen-	8 1-3 mi. E, 2 1-3 N Carbon	3500	1371	3428	gorI	
Parrock 1, States Oil Corporation.	ter') H. & T. C. Ry. Co. Blk. 4,	6¼ mi. N, 7½ E Eastland	4083	1619	4078	L_{QB}	2478
	Surv. 7					:	
Pitcock 1, Texas and Pacific Coal and Oil Co.	Wm. Frells Surv.	2 mi. S Ranger	4020	1454	3575	Log	-2121
Rush 1, Mid-Kansas Oil and Gas Co.	E. Finley Surv.	3 mi. S Ranger	3945	1420	3720	Log	-2300
Shoor 1, Humble Oil Co.	H. T. & C. Ry. Co. Blk. 3,	6 mi. S, 2 E Cisco	3790	1608	3769	Samples	2171
	Surv. 50				1	1	
Stewart 1, Leon Oil Co.	Wm. Van Norman Surv.	6 mi. S, 1 W Ranger		1413	3658	Log	2245
Underwood 1, Systems Tidal Oil Co.	D. S. Richardson Surv.	4 mi. W, ¾ N Desdemona		1379	3510	Log	-2131

EASTLAND COUNTY (Continued)

18

University of Texas Bulletin

•

.

Ward 1, New Domain Oil Co.	J. D. Jaxie, N part	4 ^{1/2} mi. N, 1 E Cisco	3976	1415	3825	Log		_
Vaught 5, Atlantic Production Ca Stockton 1, Cosden Oil Co.	Wm. DeMoss Wm. DeMoss	8 mi. W, 1½ S Desdemona. South of county line	3245 3250	1250T 1322	$\begin{array}{c} 3165\\ 3180 \end{array}$	Samples Samples		
~	EF	ATH COUNTY						Ell
Perkins 1, Baltimore-Texas Syndi	Q. Tarbox Surv., SW corner	7 mi. from W, 8 from N county line	4018	1250T	e	Samples	-2768+	enb
caue. Randolph 1, Burt and Griffith	S. Blythe Surv.	6 mi. S Dublin; 14 mi S, 7 W Stenhenville	4510	1400T	2	Log	-3110 +	urg
Thompson 1, Gulf Production Co. Stacey, Sinclair Oil Co.	F. R. Lubbock Surv., E side K. K. Koontz	9 mi. S, 2 W Thurber 3 mi. S, 14 E Stephenville	3860 4355	1383 1050T	3755 ?	Sample Log	-2505 3305+	er Fe
	H	OOD COUNTY						orn
Followell 1, Sinclair Gulf Oil Co.	Isaac Eaves Surv., NE corner	2 mi. from N, 2 from W county line	4820	980	¢+	Log		ratior
	LAMI	ASAS COUNTY						ı in
Abney Well, City of Lampasas		Near Santa Fe Depot, Lam-	2000	1000T	470	Log	530	Nc
Conradt 1, Robarts et al.	E. T. Ry. Co. Surv. 1, SE one-fourth	5 mi. N, 2 W Lometa	2001	1500T	1880	Log and	380	orth
						Samples		$C \epsilon$
Grove 1, Price et al. Hill 1, Hill River Oil Co. (Cham-	R. W. Brówn Surv. David Evans	6 mi. N, 1 E Lampasas 8 mi. S Lometa	2005? 1602	1100T 1450T	? 417	Sample Log	-1005+1033	entr
pion). McCree 1, Nelms-Marvin Oil Co.	Wm. F. Nicholson Surv. 3' NW corner	10 mi. W, 2 S Lampasas	1120	1400T	20	Sample	1380	al T
Smith 1, C. H. White White 1, Howell et al.	T. R. Stiff Surv. 16, S side Wm. Todd Surv. 42, NW parl	13 mi. W 1 S Lampasas 3 mi. S, 7 W Lometa	? 1021?	1400T 1250T	29 136	Sample Lor and	1371 1114	exa:
			c		•	Samples at 1021		3
White 1, Texoleum Petroleum Co. Whittenburg 1, Western Lampasas	John Boyd Surv. 612, MC	16½ W, 1½ N Lampasas 3 mi. W Lometa		1450T	At surface 998	Sample	1250 452	19
	corner					i L		

Name of Well and Owner	Location by Survey	Location from town or other place	Total Depth	Surface Eleva- tion	Depth Ellen- burger	Kind of Record	Map Entry
Baumgartner 1, Texas Hurst Synd Beasley 1, Dallas Milburn Valley Oil Co.	P. H. Schaff Surv. 402 F. Winkle	1½ mi. SSE Brady 2½ mi. N, 2½ E Mercury	1258 2526	1650T 1280	61 945	Log Log and Samples	1589 335
Cawyer 1, Burford & Brimm.	D. Mechels Surv. 968, NW	'3 mi. SE Mercury	1422	1422	725	at 1012 Log	697
Crews 1, Southwestern Pet. Co. Craig 1, Thomas et al.	corner U. Heinrich C. Usner Surv.	1 mi. SW Rochelle 4½ mi. N. 1 W Melvin	1960 2565	1675T 1850T	605 2065	Log Log	1070 - 215
Morgan 1, J. E. Morgan	State School Lands, Surv. 2	2 mi. NNW Brady	e	1700T	420	Log and	1280
Sellman 1, Texas Eastern Oil Co.	C. Beag Surv. 904, NW corner	8½ mi. ENE Rochelle	2005	1650T	610	Samples at 680 Log and Samples	1040
White 1, Henderson et al.	B. S. & F. Ry. Co. Surv.	10 mi. S, 4 W Whiteland	1401	2050T	1285	Log	765
White I, Thomas et al. Zella 1, Prairie Oil and Gas Co.	I, SW corner Fisher and Miller Surv. 2586 H. & T. C. Ry. Co. Surv. 59, SW corner	1 mi. E Whitehead 4 mi. NW Lohn	3280 3466	1750T 1500T	1008 1870	Log Log and Samples at 2050	742
_	M	ILLS COUNTY	_				
Gryer 1, Mills County Oil Co.	J. M. Clark Surv. 14	12 mi. W, 5 N Goldthwaite		1817	1885	Log and Samples •+ 1885	468
Harrison & Slayden 1, Venture Oil Co.	T. Carroll Surv. 401	14 mi. W, 8 N Goldthwaite	3065	1271	1540	Log	
Howell 1, Atlantic Production Co.	M. Kenedy Surv. 647	4½ S, 3 W Goldthwaite	2440	1228	2007	Log	622

.

McCULLOCH COUNTY

.

University of Texas Bulletin

Locklear 1, Texas Co.	Sam Cates	18 mi. W, I N Goldthwaite	2715	1248	2035	Log	787
Tyson 1, A. R. Forstner et al.	T. & N. O. Ry. Co. Surv. 2	10 mi. W, 10 N Goldthwaite	2520	1372	2320	Log and	948
						Samples at 2410	
Ware 1, Ware Haywood Oil Co.	H. Thurmester	6 mi. S, 1 E Goldthwaite	2510	1248	2473	Log and	-1225
						Samples at 2505	
Weston 1, Clarion Oil Co.	Caldwell County School Lds.	424 mi. W, 11/2 N Goldth-	2400	1338	2118	Samples	780
Whittenburg 1, Stirling Oil Co. Young 1, Fidelity Oil Co.	A. Thompson Surv. 2	2 mi. E Ebony	1285	1435	1175	Log	260
	PALO	FINTO COUNTY					
Abrams 1, Sinclair Gulf Oil Co.	(Texas and Pacific Surv. 43	3½ mi. S Mineral Wells	3998	1305	÷	Log	-2693+
Chestnut 1, Empire Gas and Fuel	Geo. Green Surv. A-207, cen- ter W one-half	84 mi. S, 1½ W Mineral Wells	4210	1122	ç.	Log	-3088+
Dye 1, Roxana Pet. Co.	Texas Emi. Lds. Co. Surv. 879	3% mi. from N, 9½ from E	3933	940	۰.	Log	
Edmondson 4, Empire Gas and Fuel Co.	Daniel boarn Surv. 46	7 mi. S, 1¼ W Mineral Wells	4710	1120	~	Samples	-3590+
McDonald 1, Texas Co.	T. & P. Blk. 1, Surv. 31	2 mi. W, 1 S Palo Pinto	4665	1033	4635	Samples	-3602
Rogers & Rhea 1, Prairie Oil and Gas Co.	Surv. 166, SW corner $- \cdot \cdot_{\#^-\#_1}$	614 mi. E Gorman	4052	950T	~	Log and Samples	-3102+
Seaman, Roxana Pet. Co.	T. & P. Blk. 3, Surv. 6	91/2 mi. from N and 1 from W county line	4535	1248	4619	\mathbf{Log}	-8271
Smith 1. Watson 1, Empire Gas and Fuel Co.	Johnson Bros. Surv. 48	2½ mi. E Mineral Wells 5 mi. W, 5 N Palo Pinto	$4392 \\ 4053$	847 979	~ ~	Log Log	-3545+ -3074+
	IUI	NNELS COUNTY					
Russel 1, Gulf Prod. Co.	James Hughes	SE part of county	3505	1677	3448	Sample	

Ellenburger Formation in North Central Texas

21

Name of Well and Owner	Location by Survey	Location from town or other place	Total Depth	Surface Eleva- tion	Depth Ellen- burger	Kind of Record	Map Intry
Cummings 1, Coline Oil Co.	C. Herberg	3 mi. NNE Lockyer	1380	1362	805	Log and Sample	667
Heatherly 1, Duke & Knowles.	Burchell Surv. 255	6 mi. N, ¾ E Richland Spring		1425T	605	at 1250 Drilling Report	820
	SI	HACKELFORD COUNTY					
Nail 1, Benedum and Trees.	E. T. Ry. Co. Surv. 50	10 mi. N. 2 W Albany	4465	1525T	c	Sample	-2930+
	STE	PHENS COUNTY					-
Bobo 1, Texas Pac. Coal and Oil Co.	T. P. Blk 6, Surv. 67 A. S. Johnston	[2 mi. S, 1 E. Frankell South side Prezes 7 mi from	4311 4660	1453 1131	7 4650 7	Log	-2658+
Co.		W county line	0004	1011	1 0005	- catrifinga	1 0100-
Veale 1, Texas Pac. Coal and Oil Co.	T. P. Blk. 6, Surv. 74	6 mi. S, 2 E Frankell	4018	1502	e	Log -	-2516+
	Ā	OUNG COUNTY					
Arnold 1, Texas Co.	Johanna and Lee Surv. S W corner	3[10 mi. WNW Graham	4711	1270	۰.	Samples	

SAN SABA COUNTY

.

22

.

University of Texas Bulletin

SUMMARY BY COUNTIES

In the following pages the data on the Ellenburger formation are summarized, the discussion being arranged by counties.

BROWN COUNTY

At the south line of Brown County the Ellenburger, as indicated by the Riley Cross well, lies at a depth of 1270 feet from the surface. Near the west line of the county four miles from the north boundary, the same formation as determined from the record of the Harris well lies 3405 feet from the surface. Using the data available on elevations for these wells it appears that the formation at the south line of the county, somewhat east of the north-south central line, lies as much as 147 feet above sea level (Cross) well). In the northwestern part of the county, as indicated by the Harris well, the formation is to be expected at a depth approximating 1780 feet below sea level. The other wells recorded show for the formation positions intermediate between those mentioned. The axis of the large Ellenburger fold lies somewhat east of the center of the county, and plunges to the north at a rate of between 40 and 50 feet per mile. On the west slope of the arch, the dip in the Ellenburger to the northwest appears to be between 90 and 100 feet per mile. On the east limb, the dip, although less definitely determined, is much more abrupt. The dip in the Ellenburger in this and other counties is interrupted and in places reversed by local structures not indicated on this small map.

CALLAHAN COUNTY

Records have been obtained of two wells entering the Ellenburger formation in Callahan County. These are the Vestal well of the New South Oil Association, and the Child well of F. E. Henderson, both of which are located in the southeastern corner of the county. The Ellenburger was entered in the Vestal well, as is shown by samples, one of which is said to come from 3725 feet from the surface, or 1950 feet below sea level. Oil in commercial quantities, was obtained from this well at a depth reported as about 3755 feet from the surface, and hence within the Ellenburger formation. The Childs well, located a few miles northwest of the Vestal, also entered the Ellenburger formation. The record at hand, however, is not sufficiently exact to determine the level of the top surface of the formation in this well.

COLEMAN COUNTY

In the southern part of Coleman County near the northsouth center line, the Ellenburger as indicated by the Slate well of the Magnolia Petroleum Company, lies at a depth of 2227 feet. Near the southwest corner of the county, the Padgett well of the Sinclair-Gulf Company reached the Ellenburger at a depth of 3445. The well nearest to the northeast corner of the county reaching this formation is that of the Sinclair-Gulf Oil Company on the Neff property where the Ellenburger appears to have been reached at or near a depth of 3402 feet. The maximum recorded depth to the Ellenburger in this county is that of the Sealey-Hutchins well, nine miles north and two miles west of Coleman, where it lies at 3885 feet. In the southeast corner of the county the Ellenburger may be expected at or near sea level, while in the northwest corner of the county it passes to a depth of between 2000 and 2500 feet below sea level. The dip in the formation in this county is thus to the northwest.

COMANCHE COUNTY

The axis of the Ellenburger arch passes through the northwestern part of Comanche County, and the dip in the formation in this county accordingly is in general towards the east. In the Goss well near the northwest corner of the county the Ellenburger lies at a depth of 3272 feet from the surface, while in the Fine well of the Lone Star Gas Company in the southeastern part of the county this formation lies more than 4150 feet below the surface. In the western part of the county the Ellenburger probably lies, over a small area, as high as 1500 feet below sea level, while at the southeast corner of the county the level is with little doubt fully 3000 feet below sea level. The course of the contours in crossing this county has already been referred to. While the dip in the Ellenburger formation on the west side of the arch in Coleman County is, as already noted, in general to the northwest, the dip in Comanche County on the east side of the arch, although varying, is to the east than to the northeast.

CORYELL COUNTY

Of wells drilled in Coryell County up to the present time. four are known to have penetrated the Ellenburger forma-The Gotcher well in the southeast corner entered the tion. Ellenburger at 3025 feet from the surface, or 1893 below sea level. From this well north to the Tienert well the dip in the Ellenburger is rapid, amounting to 397 feet in two and one-half miles. Continuing north to the Strickland well, the dip is much less rapid, amounting to 379 feet in The Clark well, about thirteen miles north seven miles. of the Strickland, entered the Ellenburger at 3465 feet from the surface, or at 2595 feet below sea level, the formation being actually at a higher level than in the Strickland well. In contouring in this county the relatively high Ellenburger is interpreted as a northeast extension from the Mineral Region. The Strickland and Tienert wells are regarded as probably associated with a structurally low area, making a sharp reentrant in the countours similar to that indicated by the Ware well in Mills County, and by the Tate well in Comanche County.

EASTLAND COUNTY

The axis of the Ellenburger arch passes, as nearly as can be judged, somewhat east of the center of Eastland County. On the south border of the county the Ellenburger as indi-

cated by wells near and west of Desdemona, lies at a depth approximating 3000 feet from the surface. At the north line of the county north of Ranger this formation is found. as indicated by the Cooke and other wells, at a depth of more than 4000 feet from the surface. In the northwestern part of the county the depth to this formation is with little doubt considerably in excess of 4000 feet. When reduced to sea level datum the Ellenburger in this county is found to vary from less than 1800 feet below sea at the south line of the county to more than 2500 feet below sea in the north and northwest parts of the county. Of the considerable number of wells that have entered this formation in Eastland County twenty-five are entered on this map. Of the Eastland County wells not shown on the map a few have been omitted to avoid crowding on a map of this small scale. while others have necessarily been omitted owing to lack of sufficiently exact data regarding either location of the well or depth to the Ellenburger formation.

ERATH COUNTY

Record has been obtained of only one well entering the Ellenburger in Erath County. This is the Thompson well of the Gulf Production Company located near the Eastland county line. In this well the Ellenburger was entered at about 3755 feet from the surface, or at the level of about 2505 feet below sea. Other deep wells of this county noted on the map are the Perkins well in which the Ellenburger lies more than 4018 feet below the surface, and the Randolph well in which, as nearly as can be judged from the log, this formation had not been reached at 4510 feet from the surface.

HOOD COUNTY

The Ellenburger formation has not been reached by any well in Hood County of which the writer has record. The Followell well of the Sinclair-Gulf Oil Company indicates that the formation in the northwest corner of the county lies more than 4820 feet from the surface. The actual level of the Ellenburger at the west side of this county probably approximates 4000 feet below sea level.

LAMPASAS COUNTY

In Lampasas County the Ellenburger lies at the surface over a limited area in the extreme southwestern corner. From these surface exposures it is observed to dip to the In the northwest part of the county north, and to the east. it is entered in the Robarts well on the Conrad Ranch at about 1880 feet below the surface, indicating a dip of not less than 1600 feet between this well and the south line of the county. To the east along the south line of the county the formation likewise dips rapidly, and at the Whitis well near Kemper lies at an undetermined depth, but evidently more than 1000 feet below the surface. To the northeast the dip is more rapid, and in the Grove well, six miles north of Lampasas, the formation is reported to lie more than 2000 feet below the surface, which indicates, if the record is correct, a dip of more than 3000 feet in a distance of about fifteen miles.

With regard to sea level datum, the formation varies from 1300 or 1400 feet above sea in the southwestern part of the county to more than 1000 feet below in the eastern part of the county.

MCCULLOCH COUNTY

The Ellenburger formation lies at the surface in the southeastern part of McCulloch County. It dips to the north and more rapidly to the northwest. Near the west line of the county it is found in the Craig well at a depth of 2065 feet from the surface. In the southeastern part of the county the formation lies at a level approximating 1500 feet above sea, while in the Zella well to the northwest it is found at a level approximating 370 feet below sea, the dip to the northwest amounting to as much as about 100 feet per mile.

MILLS COUNTY

In the northwestern part of Mills County, the Ellenburger formation, as indicated by the Whittenberg well, lies at a depth of about 1175 feet from the surface. In the northern part of the county near the Comanche-Brown county line, this formation is found in the Tyson well at a depth of 2320 feet.

From the northwestern part of the county the Ellenburger evidently dips rapidly east as well as north. The data on these wells are not sufficiently exact to permit a very close estimate on the rate of dip, although the maximum dip may be as much as between 100 and 120 feet per mile. These approximate data are derived from a consideration of the Howell, Tyson, and Whittenburg wells, all of which are located in the west one-half of the county. No wells in this county are known to reach the Ellenburger east of Goldthwaite.

PALO PINTO COUNTY

Near the west line of Palo Pinto County, the Ellenburger formation, as indicated by the Seaman well, lies at a depth of 4519 feet from the surface. Three miles southwest of Palo Pinto this formation is found in the McDonald well 4635 feet from the surface, while in the eastern part of the county, as indicated by the Edmondson well, it lies more than 4710 feet from the surface. From somewhat less than 3000 feet below sea level in the southwestern part of the county this formation dips to probably more than 4000 beet below sea level in the northeastern part of this county.

RUNNELS COUNTY

The Ellenburger is known from one well in the southeastern part of the county, where it lies at a depth of 3448 feet from the surface.

SAN SABA COUNTY

The southern part of San Saba County lies within the belt of surface exposures of the Cambrian formations, including the Ellenburger formation. To the north these formations pass beneath later formations, and near the north county line the Ellenburger, as indicated by the Cummings well, lies about 805 feet below the surface.

Stephens County

The Gaston well near the Brazos River in the northwestern part of Stephens County is regarded as possibly having entered the Ellenburger formation at 4650 feet from the surface, or at the actual level of about 3519 feet below sea level. A number of other wells drilled in this county exceed 4000 feet in depth. Of these, however, no record has been obtained by the writer indicating the presence of the Ellenburger formation.

YOUNG COUNTY

The Arnold well of the Texas Company, northwest of Graham in Young County, is reported to have entered the Ellenburger formation at 4711 feet from the surface. Samples obtained by the writer at 4708 feet indicate, according to determinations made by Dr. J. A. Udden, the Bend at that depth.

INDEX

F ALTE

Abney well	14
Abrams well	21
Allen well	17
Alsobrook well	17
Any Well	14
Geologists	5
Andrews well	14
Arch of the Ellenburger	ģ
Area Between Bend Arch and Bal-	
cones Fault Zone	11
Armstrong well	16
Arnold well22,	29
Balcones Fault Zone1,	12
Barnes well	14
Baumgartner well	20
Beasley well	20
Bell County	12
Bender well	16
Bend formations	7
Bobo well	22
Branford well	18
Brashear wells	18
Brown County10, 14,	23
Brown well	18
Burns well	14
Cananan County10,	23
Capps well	14
Cheney W G	- U 17
Chestnut well	21
Child well	23
Childs well	$\overline{15}$
Clark well11, 17,	25
Clay County	12
Coleman County15,	24
Comanche County10, 16,	24
Connellee well	18
Cummings well22,	29
Conradt well19,	27
Contour map	- 2
Contours on the Ellenburger	10
Cooke well 18	26
Corvell County 11 17	25
Cowver well	20
Craig well20.	27
Crews well	20
Cros. well14,	28
Cryer well	20
Davis well (Brown county)	14
Davis well (Comanche county)	10
Desdemona, structure at	11
Dip in the Ellenburger	10
Duner well	51
Eastland County 10 17	41 2×
Edmondson well 91	20
Elevation	40
Ellenburger formation in Cooke	; C
County	P
North plunge of Arch of Ellenhurger	ù
Regional Structure of Ellenburger	20
Eppler well	18
Erath County19,	26
,	

P	AGE
Erosion on the Ellenburger	9
Falls well	18
Fee wells	18
Fine well1	24
Followell well19.	20
Fritz well	16
Fuller well	14
Gallowey No 1	14
Garrett, S. G.	6
Gaston No. 122,	29
Gehrke well	14
Gotscher well 12 17	24
Granite in deep wells	12
Gregory No. 1	16
Grove well12,	27
Hagaman No 1	10
Hager, Dorsey	7
Hager, Lee7,	13
Hamlin No. 1	16
Harris wells 14 15	20
Heatherly No. 1	22
Henderson, F. E.	23
Hill No. 1	18
Hilly No. 1	16
Howell20.	28
Huckabee No. 1	17
Humble Oil Co	6
Kee No. 1	16
Kennedy Wm	7
Lampasas County11, 19,	27
Lampasas well	19
Locklear No. 1	21
LOW NO. 1	14
Luckie well11.	20
Magnolia Petroleum Co	24
Mann No. 1	18
Map	9 16
Matteson. W. G.	- ?
McCree No. 1	19
McCulloch County10, 20,	27
McDonald well21,	28
Mills County 11, 20.	28
Montague County	12
Montgomery	17
Moor No. 1	17
Morris wells 5, 6, 7,	15
Nail No. 1	$\hat{2}\hat{2}$
Neff well15,	24
Nevermind	15
Padgett well 15	23
Palo Pinto County5, 10. 20.	28^{+}
Parrock No. 1	18
Perkins well19.	26
гисоск ио. 1	18

I	AGE
Pittman No. 1	17
Pratt. W. E	
Red River	(1 g
Robarts well	, 10 97
Rogers and Rhea No 1	- 51
Rudd No. 1	
Runnels County. 21	28
Rush No. 1	18
San Saba County 10 22	2 99
Schists in deep wells	" ī2
Sealy and Smith No 1	- 16
Sealy-Hutchins No. 1 15	5 24
Seaman well 21	28
Sellman No. 1	20
Sewell No. 1	$-\tilde{15}$
Shackelford County	22
Shearer No. 1	17
Shoor well	18
Sinelair-Gulf	_ 24
Slate well16	5. 24
Small No. 1	17
Smith No. 119	. ži
Stacey	_ 19
Stephens County10, 22	2. 29
Sterns No. 1	15
Stewart No. 1	18
Stiles, E. B.	6
Stockton No. 1	_ 19
Strickland well12 17	1, 25

.

P	AGE
Studeville No. 1	17
Sturkie well11.	17
Summary	28
Tate No. 111.	17
Tienert12, 17,	25
Thompson well19,	26
Topographic map8.	13
Tyson wells21,	28
Waite, V. V.	6
Wallace No. 2	16
Ward No. 1	19
Ware No. 1	21
Watson No. 1	21
Weeden No. 1	15
Weston No. 1	21
White No. 119,	20
Whitis well	27
Whittenberg well	28
Whittenburg No. 119,	21
Udden, J. A6,	29
Udden, Jon A	7
Underwood No. 1	18
United States Geological Survey	- 6
Vaught No. 5	19
Veale No. 1	22
Vestal well5,	23
Young County5, 12, 22,	29
Young No. 1	21
Zella well20,	27

,

Bureau of Economic Geology and Technology, Bull. No. 1849

J. A. Udden, Director



25 30 #5 50 MILES Preliminary map to show the underground position of the Ellenburger formation in a part of north central Texas. By E. H. Sellards. Sea level datum. Contours on the top surface of the Ellenburger. The minus sign indicates elevations below sea level.

....

01