

A FLUCTUATION THEOREM AND DISTRIBUTION FREE TEST

by  
Charles H. Kraft  
and  
Constance van Eeden

Technical Report 37

A FLUCTUATION THEOREM AND A DISTRIBUTION-FREE TEST

Charles H. Kraft<sup>1)</sup> and Constance van Eeden<sup>2)</sup>

University of Minnesota

Let  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be completely independent random variables with continuous and symmetric (about zero) distributions. If

$N^*$  = number of positive sums in  $\{X_1, X_1 + X_2, X_1 + X_2 + X_3, \dots, X_1 + \dots + X_n\}$  it has been shown by Anderson [1], [2] that  $N^*$  has, for each  $n$ , a distribution which is the same for the given class of sequences  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . See Baxter [3] for this and a related result.

We show here that the random variable,  $N$ ,

$$N = \text{number of positive sums in } \left\{ \sum_{j \in T} X_j; T \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \right\}$$

also has a distribution which is constant for the same class of sequences  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . In particular, the distribution of  $N$  is given by

$$P(N = k) = \frac{1}{2^n}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1.$$

Proof: The conditional, given  $(|X_1|, |X_2|, \dots, |X_n|)$ , distribution of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is uniform on the  $2^n$  points  $\{(\epsilon_1 |X_1|, \epsilon_2 |X_2|, \dots, \epsilon_n |X_n|); \epsilon_i = -1, \text{ or } 1\}$ . Let  $0 = S_0 < S_1 < \dots < S_{2^n - 1}$  be the ordered partial sums from  $\{|X_1|, \dots, |X_n|\}$ . Let

$$S_k = \sum_{i \in T} |X_i|, \text{ and, for } j = 1, \dots, n, \delta_j = \begin{cases} -1 & j \in T \\ 1 & j \in T^c \end{cases}.$$

Then, (see lemma),

$$N = N(\delta_1 |X_1|, \delta_2 |X_2|, \dots, \delta_n |X_n|)$$

$$= \text{number of positive sums in } \{S_i - S_k, i = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1, i \neq k\}.$$

1) This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant Number G-19126.

2) This research was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health under Grant Number 2G-43(C7+8).

Since this last expression is clearly equal to  $2^{n-1-k}$  and

$$P\{(\delta_1|X_1|, \dots, \delta_n|X_n|) \mid (|X_1|, \dots, |X_n|)\} = \frac{1}{2^n} \text{ the result follows.}$$

Lemma:

$$N(\delta_1|X_1|, \dots, \delta_n|X_n|) = \text{number of positive sums in } \{S_i - S_k; i=0,1,\dots,2^n-1, i \neq k\}.$$

Proof: A one-to-one correspondence between the partial sums from

$(\delta_1|X_1|, \dots, \delta_n|X_n|)$  and the elements of  $\{S_i - S_k, i=0,1,\dots,2^n-1, i \neq k\}$  is given

below where  $T = T(S_k)$  is defined above.

To  $\sum_{j \in A} \delta_j |X_j|$ , make correspond  $S_{i(A)} - S_k$  where

$$\begin{cases} S_{i(A)} = \sum_{j \in (A-T) \cup (T-A)} |X_j| & A \neq T \\ S_{i(A)} = 0 & A = T. \end{cases}$$

To  $S_i - S_k$ , make correspond  $\sum_{j \in (B-T) \cup (T-B)} \delta_j |X_j|$  where B is defined

$$\text{by } S_i = \sum_{j \in B} |X_j|.$$

The number of positive sums in the two sets is the same since the correspondents of the first map are equal, i.e.,  $\sum_{j \in A} \delta_j |X_j| = S_{i(A)} - S_k$ .

### Consistency of tests based on N (or N\*).

Let  $T_n$  denote one of  $N^*/n$  or  $N/(2^n-1)$ . A test of the null hypothesis,  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent and symmetric about zero is to reject  $H_0$  if  $T_n > k_n$  where  $k_n$  is, for large  $n$ , approximately the solution to  $B_t(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = 1-\alpha$  ( $B_t$  is the incomplete beta-function) if  $T_n = N^*/n$  and  $k_n = 1-\alpha$  if  $T_n = N/(2^n-1)$ .

Since, for any random variable Z with  $P(0 \leq Z \leq 1) = 1$ ,

$$P(Z \geq 1-\epsilon) \geq 1 - \frac{1-EZ}{\epsilon},$$

a lower bound for the power of these tests is  $1 - \frac{1-ET_n}{1-k_n}$ . Therefore the tests will be consistent if  $ET_n \rightarrow 1$ . Further,

$$(1) \quad 1-ET_n = \sum_{k=0}^n P\left\{\frac{Y_k - k\theta}{\sqrt{k}\sigma} < -\frac{\sqrt{k}\theta}{\sigma}\right\} \cdot p_k$$

where

$$P_k = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n}, & k=1, \dots, n & \text{for } T_n = N^*/n \\ \binom{n}{k} (\frac{1}{2})^n, & k=0, \dots, n & \text{for } T_n = N/2^n - 1, \end{cases}$$

and where it is assumed that  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  are independently and identically distributed with a distribution  $F(\frac{x-\theta}{\sigma})$  for some  $F$ , and for  $\theta > 0, \sigma > 0$ ,

and  $Y_k$  is a random variable distributed as  $\sum_{i=1}^k X_i$ . From (1) and the Helly-

Bray theorem it follows that the test will be consistent if

$$(2) \quad P\left\{ \frac{Y_k - k\theta}{\sqrt{k} \sigma} < -\frac{\sqrt{k} \theta}{\sigma} \right\} \rightarrow 0.$$

If, for some alternative,  $ET_n$  does not converge to one there will be a size for which  $T_n$  will not be consistent. For example (2) is constant for the Cauchy distribution and  $T_n$  is not consistent.

#### References.

- [1] Anderson, E. Sparre (1949). On the number of positive sums of random variables. Skand. Aktuarietidskr. 36 27-36.
- [2] Anderson, E. Sparre (1953). On the fluctuations of sums of random variables. Math. Scand. 1 263-285.
- [3] Baxter, Glen (1962). On a generalization of the finite arcsine law. Ann. Math. Stat. 33 909-915.