

**Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology** 

ISSN: 0706-0661 (Print) 1715-2992 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tcjp20

# A resistance gene to Ustilago nuda in barley is located on chromosome 3H

J. G. Menzies , B. J. Steffenson & A. Kleinhofs

To cite this article: J. G. Menzies , B. J. Steffenson & A. Kleinhofs (2010) A resistance gene to Ustilago nuda in barley is located on chromosome 3H, Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology, 32:2, 247-251, DOI: 10.1080/07060661003739977

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07060661003739977

1	1	(	1

Published online: 02 Jun 2010.



🕼 Submit your article to this journal 🗹

Article views: 86



View related articles 🗹



Citing articles: 2 View citing articles 🗹

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=tcjp20 brought to you b

CORF



### Genetics and resistance/Génétique et résistance

## A resistance gene to *Ustilago nuda* in barley is located on chromosome 3H

### J. G. MENZIES<sup>1</sup>, B. J. STEFFENSON<sup>2</sup> AND A. KLEINHOFS<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cereal Research Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 195 Dafoe Road, Winnipeg, MB R3T 2M9, Canada
<sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Pathology, 495 Borlaug Hall, 1991 Upper Buford Circle, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108-6030, USA
<sup>3</sup>Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164-6430, USA

(Accepted 12 January 2010)

**Abstract:** Loose smut of barley is a common disease which can be controlled using resistant varieties. Information on the chromosome location of loci controlling loose smut resistance and the development of molecular markers to aid in selection for these genes can be beneficial in the resistant variety development process. The objectives of this work were to determine the resistance or susceptibility of doubled haploid barley lines arising from a cross of the varieties 'Steptoe' and 'Morex' to *Ustilago nuda*, the causal agent of loose smut of barley, and map the chromosome location of the loose smut resistance locus in 'Morex'. The reaction to *Ustilago nuda* of the doubled-haploid barley plants was determined by inoculating spikelets of each line at anthesis by injection of a teliospore suspension using a needle inoculation method. Mature seeds from the inoculated spikelets were grown to determine the percentage of plants that developed with smutted heads. The lines were classified as susceptible if greater than 10% of the plants were smutted. The loose smut resistance locus from the resistant source 'Morex' was mapped using an existing DNA marker map of the 'Steptoe'/'Morex' population. The distribution of the resistant and susceptible progeny from the loose smut testing fit a single gene model. The resistance gene was mapped to chromosome 3 (3H).

Keywords: disease resistance, doubled haploids, loose smut, molecular markers

**Résumé:** Le charbon nu de l'orge est une maladie courante qui peut être contrée par l'utilisation de variétés résistantes. L'information relative à la localisation du loci responsable de la résistance au charbon nu sur le chromosome et le développement de marqueurs moléculaires servant à sélectionner ces gènes peuvent servir à développer des variétés résistantes. Le but de ces travaux était, d'une part, de déterminer la résistance ou la sensibilité des lignées d'orge diploïdes issues du croisement des variétés 'Steptoe' et 'Morex' avec *Ustilago nuda*, l'agent causal du charbon nu de l'orge et, d'autre part, de cartographier la localisation du site de résistance sur le chromosome de la variété 'Morex'. La réaction des plants d'orge diploïdes à *Ustilago nuda* a été déterminée en inoculant les épillets de chaque lignée au stade de l'anthèse en leur injectant une suspension de téléospores avec une aiguille. Arrivées à maturité, les graines provenant des épillets inoculés ont été semées afin de déterminer le pourcentage de plants dont les épis seraient charbonnés. Les lignées ont été classées « sensibles » si plus de 10 % des plants étaient charbonnés. Le site de résistance au charbon nu de la source résistante 'Morex' a été cartographié à l'aide d'une carte des marqueurs de la population 'Steptoe'/'Morex'. La distribution des descendants résistants et sensibles découlant des essais effectués avec le charbon nu correspond à un modèle à gène unique. Le gène de résistance a été cartographié sur le chromosome 3 (3H).

Mots clés: charbon nu de l'orge, haploïdes doubles, marqueurs moléculaires, résistance à la maladie

#### Introduction

Loose smut of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), caused by Ustilago nuda (Jens.) Rostr., is a seed-borne disease

found wherever barley is grown (Larter & Enns, 1962). It is a fungal infection which results in the inflorescence of the barley plant being largely replaced by sori containing

Correspondence to: James G. Menzies. E-mail: jim.menzies@agr.gc.ca

ISSN 0706-0661 print/ISSN 1715-2992 online © Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, as represented by the Minister of Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (2010) DOI: 10.1080/07060661003739977

teliospores of the pathogen (Bailey *et al.*, 2003). This disease causes crop yield losses, but has little effect on seed quality. Yield loss is approximately equal to the percentage of infected plants within a field (Semeniuk & Ross, 1942; Morton, 1961).

Loose smut of barley is common in the northern Great Plains of the USA and the Prairie Provinces of Canada. This disease can be found in the majority of barley fields at levels of below 1% smutted plants, however, fields with 10–25% of the plants smutted can be found (Menzies *et al.*, 1997; Popovic *et al.*, 1998; B.J. Steffenson, unpublished data). The disease can be well controlled through the use of certified seed, smut-free seed (as determined using an embryo infection test), fungicidal seed treatment and resistant cultivars (Bailey *et al.*, 2003).

The most economical and environmentally benign way of controlling loose smut of barley is the use of resistant cultivars. Genetic studies have found that resistance to *U. nuda* is generally conferred by single, dominant, independently inherited genes (Schaller, 1949; Metcalfe & Johnston, 1963; Metcalfe, 1966). However, the incorporation of loose smut resistance genes into new barley cultivars can be an arduous procedure because of the time and labour required for testing barley lines for resistance. The development of molecular markers and information on the chromosome location of loci controlling resistance to loose smut could be beneficial in the development of resistant cultivars.

The development of molecular genome maps of various crop plants has been useful in mapping genes to specific chromosome locations. One of the first and most widely studied molecular maps in barley is the 'Steptoe'/'Morex' population (Kleinhofs et al., 1993) developed by the North American Barley Genome Mapping Project (NABGMP). 'Steptoe' and 'Morex' were selected as parents in the mapping population because of their diversity in agronomic traits and good DNA polymorphism (Kleinhofs et al., 1993). 'Steptoe' is a high yielding, six-rowed feed-type barley derived from Washington selection 2546 and 'Unitan' (Muir & Nilan, 1973). 'Morex' is a Midwestern six-rowed malting-type barley derived from 'Cree' and 'Bonanza' and is known to carry resistance to loose smut (Rasmusson & Wilcoxson, 1979). 'Morex' is thought to have inherited one gene for loose smut resistance (the *Run1* gene) from 'Trebi' (Livingston, 1942; Schaller, 1949; Skoropad & Johnson, 1952). The objective of the present work was to assess the resistance of doubled-haploid plants from the 'Steptoe'/'Morex' population to U. nuda and use this information to map the loose smut resistance locus.

#### Materials and methods

A population of 97 doubled-haploid lines (Kleinhofs et al., 1993) was assessed for loose smut reaction. These lines were grown in 15-cm pots in growth cabinets at 16/22 °C day/night temperatures with 15 h light and 9 h dark. There were four lines per pot. Three seeds were sown per line and each line was allowed to develop two to three spikes. Two to three spikes of each doubled-haploid line were inoculated at anthesis by injection of a water suspension of teliospores of U. nuda isolate 01483 (1 g of teliospores  $L^{-1}$ ) into the florets (filling the florets) using a 5 mL syringe with a 21-24 gauge, 2.5-cm needle (Menzies et al., 2009). Isolate 01483 of U. nuda was employed because of the differential reactions it elicited when inoculated to 'Morex' and 'Steptoe' (0% and 56% smutted plants grown from inoculated seed of the respective parents) in preliminary experiments. After seed maturation, the inoculated spikes from each line were harvested and threshed to collect the inoculated seed. The inoculated seed was then planted in soil beds in greenhouses (18/25 °C day/night, 16 h light/8 h dark) and the percentage of smutted plants from each doubled-haploid line assessed after spike emergence. In general, a minimum of 15 plants was required for a smut reaction assessment. The doubledhaploid lines were considered resistant if 10% or less of the plants were smutted and susceptible if greater than 10% of the plants were smutted. A  $\chi^2$  test was used to test the goodness of fit of the phenotypic data for Mendelian segregation.

The computer program MAPMAKER (version 2.0) and the phenotypic data on the resistance or susceptibility of the doubled haploid lines were used to map the loose smut resistance locus from the resistant source 'Morex' onto an existing DNA map of the 'Steptoe'/'Morex' population (Kleinhofs *et al.*, 1993). Linkage maps were constructed based on a LOD (logarithm of odds) threshold of 3.0 and maximum Kosambi distance of 40 cM.

#### **Results and discussion**

Fifty-two of the inoculated plants had 0% of their progeny infected (Fig. 1) and were considered resistant, while 45 of the inoculated plants had greater than 10% of their progeny infected and were considered susceptible. A 10% division between resistant and susceptible reactions has been used previously in studies with *U. tritici* (Pers.) Rostr. and wheat (Heyne & Hansing, 1955; Nielsen, 1987; Knox *et al.*, 2008). A system in which only a 0% infection level is considered resistant has also been used in studies with *U. tritici* and wheat (Knox *et al.*, 2008; Randhawa *et al.*, 2009) and *U. nuda* and barley (Eckstein *et al.*, 2002).



**Fig. 1.** The per cent infection of 97 doubled haploid lines from a population of the cross 'Steptoe'/'Morex' (Kleinhofs *et al.*, 1993) inoculated with isolate 01483 of *Ustilago nuda*. The scale for per cent infection is 0 for 0% smutted plants, 10 for > 0 to 10% smutted plants, 20 for > 10 to 20% smutted plants, continued to 100 for > 90 to 100% smutted plants. Inoculation of 'Morex' resulted in 0% smutted plants and inoculation of 'Steptoe' resulted in 56% infected plants.

Either system would not have resulted in differences in the number of resistant or susceptible lines in this study. The results of the  $\chi^2$  test were in agreement with a single gene model (P = 0.477). The F<sub>1</sub> lines were not available for testing; thus, we cannot state if the resistance gene is inherited in a dominant or recessive manner.

The resistance gene identified in 'Morex' was mapped to Chromosome 3 (3H) bin15 in the Glb4 to iBgl interval on the 'Steptoe'/'Morex' DNA map of Kleinhoffs et al. (1993). Previous mapping efforts for the *Run1* gene placed it on chromosome 1 (7H), linked to a stem rust resistance gene and a starch type gene (Shands, 1964; Franckowiak, 1997a, 1997b). Pomortsev et al. (2000) reported the loose smut resistance gene Run6 was located on the long arm of barley chromosome 3 (3H), linked with a pubescence leaf blade gene. Their work involved the Canadian variety 'Keystone' as the donor for the Run6 resistance gene. 'Keystone' (Johnston & Metcalfe, 1961) and 'Bonanza' (Wolfe et al., 1980) have the cultivar 'Jet' (C.I. 967) in both of their backgrounds. Run6 was derived from 'Jet' (Skoropad & Johnson, 1952), so it is possible that Morex has inherited the *Run6* resistance gene through its parent 'Bonanza', and we have mapped Run6. We have given the gene of interest in this study the temporary locus symbol of *RunMx* (*Mx* referring to the resistant source 'Morex'). We have not conducted allelism studies with Morex and the source of *Run6*, so we cannot positively conclude that we have mapped the *Run6* gene. It is highly unlikely that we have mapped the *Run1* gene which has been previously reported to be the gene for loose smut resistance in 'Morex' (Livingston, 1942; Schaller, 1949; Skoropad & Johnson, 1952).

Numerous additional DArT (Diversity Arrays Technology), SNP (Single Nucleotide Polymorphism), EST (Expressed Sequence Tags) and TDM (Transcript Derived Marker) markers have been added to the original 'Steptoe'×'Morex' DNA map of Kleinhoffs *et al.* (1993) over the last few years (Rostoks *et al.*, 2005; Wenzl *et al.*, 2006; Marcel *et al.*, 2007; Stein *et al.*, 2007; Varshney *et al.*, 2007; Potokina *et al.*, 2008; Close *et al.*, 2009). Some of these researchers published raw data that we used to expand the chromosome 3H bin15 map and identify closely linked and co-segregating markers (Fig. 2). These



**Fig. 2.** The *RunMx* locus was integrated in the 'Steptoe'×'Morex' map of chromosome 3H (Kleinhoffs *et al.*, 1993). Additional markers were mapped based on published raw data mapped on the 'Steptoe'×'Morex' doubled haploid population by Wenzl *et al.* (2006) (bPb-XXXX markers), Potokina *et al.* (2008) (ctg-xxxx markers), Close *et al.* (2009) (2-xxxx markers). The SSR markers are from Stein *et al.* (2007) (GBMxxxx and GBMSxxxx, 'Igri'×'Franka' population; EBmacxxx, 'Steptoe'×'Morex' population), Szucs *et al.* (2009) (Bmacxxx, OWB population).

Marker	Putative function/marker type		
Glb4	b-glucanase		
ctg20832_at	catalytic hydrolase (Zea mays 7e-08)		
ctg25183_at	no significant homology		
2_1272 (ctg10154_at)	Os01g0939600 protein		
2_1376 (ctg11326_at)	cytosolic acetyl-CoA carboxylase		
bPb-9599	DArT, sequence information contact 'a.kilian@diversityarrays.com'		
bPB-2888	DArT, sequence information contact 'a.kilian@diversityarrays.com'		
GBMS038	SSR, for primer sequence contact graner@ipk-gatersleben.de		
GBM1288	SSR, for primer sequence contact graner@ipk-gatersleben.de		
Bmac0144k	SSR, for primer sequences (Ramsay <i>et al.</i> , 2000)		
EBmac0541	SSR, for primer sequences (Ramsay <i>et al.</i> , 2000)		

**Table 1.** Molecular markers co-segregating with the barley loose smut resistance gene *RunMx* and their putative function.

could be used to develop PCR-based markers for molecular marker-assisted selection of RunMx. Co-segregating markers (Table 1) in a small population such as used here are not likely to identify gene candidates. Nevertheless, they provide a reference to potential collinearity regions in rice or Brachypodium which may result in identification of gene candidates. Unfortunately, if RunMx is the Run6 loose smut resistance gene, its usefulness in breeding programmes in the northern great plains of the USA or the prairie provinces of Canada would be limited. The 'Jet' resistance, which included the Run6 gene, was effective in Canada from 1961 to the mid-1970s, but in 1974, Thomas (1974) reported the occurrence of races of U. nuda which could overcome this resistance. The frequency of U. nuda collections virulent on barley lines possessing the Run3 and Run6 genes was reported as high (41-89%) into the 1990s in western Canada (Thomas & Menzies, 1997), suggesting that these genes are of little value in this area.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank J.D. Franckowiak for helpful discussion.

#### References

- BAILEY, K.L., GOSSEN, B.D., GUGEL, R.K., & MORRALL, R.A.A. (2003). Diseases of Field Crops in Canada. Saskatoon, SK: The Canadian Phytopathological Society.
- CLOSE, T.J., BHAT, P.R., LONARDI, S., WU, Y., ROSTOKS, N., RAMSAY, L., DRUKA, A., STEIN, N., SVENSSON, J.T., WANAMAKER, S., BOZDAYH, S.

Roose, M.L., Moscou, M.J., Chao, S., VARSHNEY, R., SZUCS, P., SATO, K., HAYES, P.M., MATTHEWS, D.E., KLEINHOFS, A., MUEHLBAUER, G.J., DEYONG, J., MARSHALL, D.F., MADISHETTY, K., FENTON, R.D., CONDAMINE, P., GRANER, A., & WAUGH, R. (2009). Development and implementation of high-throughput SNP genotyping in barley. *BMC Genomics*, **10**, 582.

ECKSTEIN, P.E., KRASICHYNSKA, N., VOTH, D., DUNCAN, S. ROSSNAGEL, B., & SCOLES, G. (2002). Development of PCR-based markers for a gene (*Un8*) conferring true loose smut resistance in barley. *Can. J. Plant Pathol.*, 24, 46–53.

FRANCKOWIAK, J.D. (1997a). BGS 21. Barley Genet. Newsl., 26, 26–27.

- FRANCKOWIAK, J.D. (1997b. BGS 21. Barley Genet. Newsl., 26, 67.
- HEYNE, E.G., & HANSING, E.D. (1955). Inheritance of resistance to loose smut of wheat in the crosses of Kawvale× Clarkan. *Phytopathology*, 45, 8–10.
- JOHNSTON, W.H., & METCALFE, D.R. (1961). Note on Keystone, a loose smut resistant feed barley. *Can. J. Plant Sci.*, 41, 874–875.
- KLEINHOFS, A., KILIAN, A., SAGHAI MAROOF, M.A., BIYASHEV, R.M., HAYES, P., CHEN, F.Q., LAPITAN, N., FENWICK, A., BLAKE, T.K., KANAZIN, V., ANANIEV, E., DAHLEEN, L., KUDRNA, D., BOLLINGER, J., KNAPP, S.J., LIU, B., SORRELLS, M., HEUN, M., FRANCKOWIAK, J.D., HOFFMAN, D., SKADSEN, R., & STEFFENSON, B.J. (1993). A molecular, isozyme and morphological map of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) genome. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 86, 705–712.
- KNOX, R.E., CAMPBELL, H., CLARKE, J.M, DEPAUW, R.M., PROCUNIER, J.D., & HOWES, N.K. (2008). Genetics of resistance to Ustilago tritici in 'Glenlea' wheat (Triticum aestivum). Can. J. Plant Pathol., 30, 267–276.
- LARTER, E.N., & ENNS, H. (1962). The inheritance of loose smut resistance. I. The inheritance of resistance in four barley varieties immune to race 2 of loose smut. *Can. J. Plant Sci.*, 42, 69–77.
- LIVINGSTON, J.E. (1942). The inheritance of resistance to *Ustilago nuda*. *Phytopathology*, *32*, 451–466.
- MARCEL, T.C., VARSHNEY, R.K., BARBIERI, M., JAFARY, H., DE KOCK, M.J.D., GRANER, A., & NIKS, R.E. (2007). A high-density consensus map of barley to compare the distribution of QTLs for partial resistance to *Puccinia hordei* and of defence gene homologies. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 114, 487–500.
- MENZIES, J.G., HAMILTON, G., & MATHESON, F. (1997). Incidence and severity of cereal smuts in Manitoba and Saskatchewan, 1996. *Can. Plant Dis. Surv.*, 77, 58.
- MENZIES, J.G., TURKINGTON, T.K., & KNOX, R.E. (2009). Testing for resistance to smut diseases of barley, oats and wheat in western Canada. *Can. J. Plant Pathol.*, 31, 265–279.
- METCALFE, D.R. (1966). Inheritance of loose smut resistance. III. Relationships between the 'Russian' and 'Jet' genes for resistance and genes in ten barley varieties of diverse origin. *Can. J. Plant Sci.*, 46, 487–495.
- METCALFE, D.R., & JOHNSTON, W.H. (1963). Inheritance of loose smut resistance. II. Inheritance of resistance in three barley varieties to races 1, 2, and 3 of Ustilago nuda (Jens.) Rostr. Can. J. Plant Sci., 43, 390–396.
- MORTON, D.J. (1961). Percentage yield loss as related to percentage loose smut in barley. *Plant Dis. Rep.*, 45, 348–350.
- MUIR, C.E., & NILAN, R.A. (1973). Registration of Steptoe barley. *Crop. Sci.*, *13*, 70.
- NIELSEN, J. (1987). Races of Ustilago tritici and techniques for their study. Can. J. Plant Pathol., 9, 91–105.
- POMORTSEV, A.A. TERESHCHENKO, N.A., OFITSEROV, M.V., & PUKHALSKIY V.A. (2000). Localization of loose smut resistance genes *Run6*, *Run8*, and *Run12* on barley chromosomes. In S. Logue (Ed.), *Barley Genetics VIII*, *Proceedings of the Eighth International Barley Genetics Symposium* (Vol. 2, pp. 163–165). Glen Osmond, South Australia: Adelaide University.
- POPOVIC, Z., MENZIES, J.G., MATHESON, F., RECKSIEDLER, B., KNOX, R., ORR, D., & RAUHALA, N. (1998). Cereal Smut Survey, 1997. *Can. Plant Dis. Surv.*, 78, 82.
- POTOKINA, E., DRUKA, A., LUO, Z., WISE, R., WAUGH, R., & KEARSEY, M. (2008). Gene expression quantitative trait locus analysis of 16,000 barley

genes reveals a complex pattern of genome-wide transcriptional regulation. *Plant J.*, 53, 90–101.

- RAMSAY, L., MACAULAY, M., DEGLI IVANISSEVICH, S., MACLEAN, K., CARDLE, L., FULLER, J., EDWARDS, K.J., TUVESSON, S., MORGANTE, M., MASSARI, A., MAESTRI, E., MARMIROLI, N., SJAKSTE, T., GANAL, M., POWELL, W., & WAUGH, R. (2000). A simple sequence repeat-based linkage map of barley. *Genetics*, 156, 1997–2005.
- RANDHAWA, H.S., POPOVIC, Z., MENZIES, J.G., KNOX, R.E., & FOX, S.L. (2009). Genetics and identification of molecular markers liked to resistance to loose smut (*Ustilago tritici*) race T33 in durum wheat. *Euphytica*, 169, 151–157.
- RASMUSSON, D.C., & WILCOXSON, R.W. (1979). Registration of Morex barley. Crop Sci., 19, 293.
- ROSTOKS, N., MUDIE, S., CARDLE, L., RUSSELL, J., RAMSAY, L., BOOTH, A., SVENSSON, J.T., WANAMAKER, S.I., WALIA, H., RODRIGUEZ, M., HEDLEY P.E., LIU, H., MORRIS, J., CLOSE, T.J., MARSHALL, D.F., & WAUGH, R. (2005). Genome-wide SNP discovery and linkage analysis in barley based on genes responsive to abiotic stress. *Mol. Gen. Genomics*, 274, 515–527.
- SCHALLER, C.W. (1949). Inheritance of resistance to loose smut Ustilago nuda in barley. Phytopathology, 39, 959–979.
- SEMENIUK, W., & Ross, J.G. (1942). Relation of loose smut to yield of barley. *Can. J. Res. C.*, 20, 491–500.
- SHANDS, R.G. (1964). Inheritance and linkage to stem rust and loose smut resistance and starch type in barley. *Phytopathology*, 54, 308–316.
- SKOROPAD, W.P., & JOHNSON, P.V. (1952). Inheritance of resistance to Ustilago nuda in barley. Can. J. Bot., 30, 525–536.

- STEIN, N., PRASAD, M., SCHOLZ, U., THIEL, T., ZHANG, H., WOLF, M., KOTA, R., VARSHNEY, R.K., PEROVIC, D., GROSSE, I., & GRANER, A. (2007). A 1,000-loci transcript map of the barley genome: new anchoring points for integrative grass genomics. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 114, 823–839.
- SZUCS, P., BLAKE, V.C., BHAT, P.R., CLOSE, T.J., CUESTA-MARCOS, A., MUEHLBAUER, G.J., RAMSAY, L.V., WAUGH, R., & HAYES, P.M. (2009). An integrated resource for barley linkage map and malting quality QTL alignment. *Plant Genome*, 2, 134–140.
- THOMAS, P.L. (1974). The occurrence of loose smut of barley on commercially grown cultivars possessing genes for resistance from Jet. *Can. J. Plant Sci.*, 54, 453–456.
- THOMAS, P.L., & MENZIES, J.G. (1997). Cereal smuts in Manitoba and Saskatchewan, 1989–1995. Can. J. Plant Pathol., 19, 161–165.
- VARSHNEY, R.K., MARCEL, T.C., RAMSAY, L., RUSSELL, J., RODER, M.S., STEIN, N., WAUGH, R., LANGRIDGE, P., NIKS, R.E., & GRANER, A. (2007). A high density barley microstellite consensus map with 775 SSR loci. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 114, 1091–1103.
- WENZL, P., LI, H.,, CARLING, J., ZHOU, M., RAMAN, H., PAUL, E., HEARN-DEN, P., MAIER, C., XIA, L., CAIG, V., OVESNA, J., CAKIR, M., POULSEN, D., WANG, J., RAMAN, R., SMITH, K., MUEHLBAUER, G.J., CHALMERS, K.J., KLEINHOFS, A., HUTTNER, E., & KILIAN, A. (2006). A high density consensus map of barley linking DArT markers to SSR, RFLP and STS loci and agricultural traits. *BMC Genomics*, 7, 26.
- WOLFE, R.I., CAMPBELL, K.W., & JOHNSTON, W.H. (1980). Registration of Bonanza barley. Crop Sci., 20, 822.