

McSorley, S. T., Dolan, R. D., Roxburgh, C. S.D., Horgan, P. G., MacKay, G. J. and McMillan, D. C. (2019) Possible dose dependent effect of perioperative dexamethasone and laparoscopic surgery on the postoperative systemic inflammatory response and complications following surgery for colon cancer. *European Journal of Surgical Oncology*, 45(9), pp. 1613-1618. (doi: 10.1016/j.ejso.2019.05.020)

The material cannot be used for any other purpose without further permission of the publisher and is for private use only.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/188522/

Deposited on 17 June 2019

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow

http://eprints.gla.ac.uk

Original Article

Title: Possible dose dependent effect of perioperative dexamethasone and laparoscopic

surgery on the postoperative systemic inflammatory response and complications

following surgery for colon cancer

Stephen T McSorley, Ross D Dolan, Campbell SD Roxburgh, Paul G Horgan, Graham J

MacKay, Donald C McMillan

Corresponding author:

Stephen McSorley

Academic Unit of Surgery

University of Glasgow

Level 2, New Lister Building, Glasgow Royal Infirmary, Glasgow, UK

G31 2ER

s.mcsorley@doctors.org.uk

Disclosure / Financial support: None

Keywords: colon cancer, systemic inflammation, dexamethasone, steroids, surgery

Word count: 2,516

Running head: Dexamethasone, complications and survival in colonic resection

1

Abstract

Background:

Perioperative dexamethasone is associated with attenuation of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response and fewer postoperative complications following elective surgery for colorectal cancer.

This study examined the impact of different doses of dexamethasone, given to reduce postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) after elective colonic resection for cancer, on the postoperative Glasgow Prognostic Score (poGPS) and morbidity.

Methods:

Patients from a single centre were included if they underwent potentially curative resection of colonic cancer from 2008 to 2017 (n=480). Patients received no dexamethasone (209, 44%), or either 4mg (166, 35%), or 8mg (105, 21%), intravenously during anaesthesia, at the discretion of the anaesthetist. The postoperative Glasgow Prognostic Score (poGPS) on day 3 and 4, and complication rate at discharge were recorded.

Results:

When patients were grouped by surgical approach (open or laparoscopic) and dexamethasone dose (0mg, 4mg or 8mg), there was a statistically significant linear trend toward a lower postoperative systemic inflammatory response (day 3 poGPS) with the use of minimally invasive surgery and higher doses of dexamethasone (p<0.001). Furthermore, this

combination of laparoscopic surgery and higher doses of dexamethasone was significantly associated with a lower proportion of postoperative complications (p<0.001).

At multivariate Cox regression, dexamethasone was not significantly associated with either improved or poorer cancer specific or overall survival.

Conclusions:

Higher doses of perioperative dexamethasone are associated with greater reduction in postoperative systemic inflammation and complications following surgery for colonic cancer without negative impact on survival.

1.0 Introduction

Long term survival following surgery for colorectal cancer is primarily related to disease stage, however postoperative morbidity is also a negative prognostic factor [1]. These complications have a high price in terms of both health care costs, and impact on wider society, due to prolonged hospital stay and delay in return to function.

Postoperative complications have been reported to be associated with an exaggerated systemic inflammatory response following surgery for colorectal cancer [2]. The acute phase marker C-reactive protein (CRP) is increasingly recognised to be a reliable measure of this postoperative systemic inflammatory response (SIR) [3]. Postoperative CRP concentrations are now considered to be a useful, early surrogate marker for developing postoperative complications [4]. In addition, increasing evidence suggests that the postoperative SIR may have a causal influence on postoperative complications, and a negative impact on oncologic outcomes following surgery for colorectal cancer [5].

Corticosteroids are commonly administered at the induction of anaesthesia in colorectal surgery for the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) [6]. A recent meta-analysis has reported that pre-operative administration of corticosteroids is associated with a reduction in the post-operative systemic inflammatory response, measured by CRP, and complications following surgery for gastrointestinal cancers [7]. A further recent randomised controlled trial (RCT) of perioperative corticosteroids in pancreatic cancer surgery reported a reduction in postoperative complications in patients given preoperative steroids [8]. In colorectal cancer surgery a recent observational study reported a significant association between dexamethasone, administered to reduce risk of PONV, and a reduction in both postoperative CRP concentrations and complications [9].

Despite this, no study has sought to detect whether a dose response relationship exists between perioperative steroids and either the postoperative systemic inflammatory response or complications. Furthermore, some concerns have been raised regarding the possibility of increased rates of disease recurrence in patients given perioperative steroids [10]. Therefore, the aims of the present study were to examine the impact of varying doses of dexamethasone given in the perioperative period to prevent PONV, on the postoperative systemic inflammatory response, complications, and survival following elective surgery for colon cancer.

2.0 Methods

2.1 Patients:

This was a retrospective observational study of patients with histologically confirmed colonic cancer, undergoing potentially curative resection, at a single centre from March 2008 to June 2017 were included. Those excluded from analysis were patients for whom there was no available anaesthetic record, those prescribed long term steroids, with diagnosed inflammatory conditions, with rectal cancer, who had emergency surgery, or palliative resection.

Each case was discussed at a specialist colorectal oncology multi-disciplinary team prior to and following resection. As described previously, all patients were given venous thromboprophylaxis and antibiotic prophylaxis at the induction of anaesthesia. Patients were managed according to a unit standardised perioperative care protocol, including early enteral nutrition, early postoperative mobilisation, and no routine nasogastric or peritoneal drainage. Patients were given intravenous dexamethasone, either 4mg or 8mg, at the induction of anaesthesia, to reduce the risk of significant postoperative nausea and vomiting, at the anaesthetic staff's discretion [9].

Patients were reviewed by their own surgical team on each postoperative day. Serum CRP and albumin were obtained from daily blood samples drawn as standard until the patient was discharged. The patient's surgical team arranged further investigation, and/or intervention, based on their own judgement and were not blind to serum CRP results.

2.2 Methods:

Anonymised clinicopathological data was recorded prospectively in a secure database, and was subsequently analysed. Patient demographics, TNM stage (TNM, 5th ed, AJCC), and in particular, factors known to influence the magnitude of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response; American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) grade, body mass index (BMI), surgical approach and preoperative systemic inflammation, were recorded [11]. Retrospective review of anaesthetic records allowed the collection of data relating the use of dexamethasone at the induction of anaesthesia.

Serum concentrations of CRP (mg/L) and were measured using an autoanalyzer (Architect; Abbot Diagnostics, Maidenhead, UK) with a lower detectable limit of 0.2 mg/L, as was serum albumin (normal range 35-50g/L). The preoperative modified Glasgow Prognostic Score (mGPS: CRP \leq 10 mg/L = 0. CRP > 10 mg/L and albumin \geq 35 g/L = 1, CRP >10 mg/L and albumin <35 g/L = 2), was calculated in patients for whom preoperative serum CRP and albumin were available [12]. The validated Postoperative Glasgow Prognostic Score (poGPS: CRP \leq 150 mg/L = 0; CRP >150 mg/L and albumin \geq 25 g/L = 1; CRP >150 mg/L and albumin \leq 25 g/L = 2) was calculated on postoperative days 3 and 4 [13].

Complications were recorded and the most severe for each patient categorised by its Clavien Dindo grade [14]. Infective complications were categorised as described previously [2].

The study was approved by the West of Scotland Research Ethics Committee, Glasgow, as part of surgical audit.

2.3 Statistical analysis:

The Chi square test for significance, and Chi square test for trend, were used to compare categorical and ordinal data. Univariate and multivariate binary logistic regression was used to characterise preoperative and operative factors associated with postoperative complications. Those variables associated with postoperative complications at a significance of p<0.01 were included in backward conditional multivariate model where a two sided p value ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant. A priori subgroup analysis of those patients undergoing open and laparoscopic surgery separately was carried out as it is recognised to be a significant determinant of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response [11]. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression was used to analyse survival data. Thirty day mortalities and deaths during the index admission were excluded from analysis. On univariate Cox regression, those variables associated with cancer specific (CSS) or overall survival (OS) with statistical significance $p \le 0.05$ were then included in multivariate Cox regression. This multivariate modelling used backward conditional regression where a two sided p value ≤0.05 was used as the threshold for statistical significance. CSS was calculated as time from the date of surgery to that of death due to colonic cancer. Overall survival was calculated as time from the date of surgery to death due to any cause. All analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS for Windows (v24, Chicago, IL, USA).

3.0 Results

3.1 Patients:

The study included 480 patients in total, who had undergone surgery for colonic cancer with curative intent over the study period. The majority were female (264, 55%), over 65 years old (334, 70%), with node negative disease (297, 62%). 196 patients (41%) had a laparoscopic resection with the remainder having open surgery. 166 patients (35%) were given 4mg of dexamethasone, 105 patients (21%) were given 8mg of dexamethasone and the remainder (209, 44%) did not receive dexamethasone. Of all included patients, 154 (32%) went on to have adjuvant treatment following surgery. Of all included patients, 182 (38%) experienced a postoperative complication, of which 112 (23%) were classed as infective, and 40 (8%) were Clavien Dindo grade 3-5. There were 5 (1%) deaths either within 30 postoperative days or the index admission. Of all included patients 439 had preoperative CRP and albumin measured, allowing calculation of mGPS. 448 patients had CRP and albumin measured on postoperative day 3, allowing calculation of poGPS 3, and 375 patients had CRP and albumin measured on postoperative day 3, allowing calculation of poGPS 4.

3.2 Impact of dexamethasone in patients undergoing elective colon cancer resection:

Within the 480 included patients (Table 1) there was a significant association between perioperative dexamethasone dose received and patients ASA grade (p=0.007), preoperative mGPS (p=0.003), TNM stage (p=0.022), intraoperative blood transfusion (p=0.012), and laparoscopic surgery (p<0.001)

There was a significant association between perioperative dexamethasone and a lower postoperative systemic inflammatory response on postoperative days 3 (poGPS 2; 0mg 27%, 4mg 13%, 8mg 8%, p<0.001), and 4 (poGPS 2; 0mg 22%, 4mg 13%, 8mg 9%, p=0.007).

There was a significant association between perioperative dexamethasone and a lower rate of postoperative complications (0mg 48%, 4mg 31%, 8mg 29%, p<0.001), and infective complications (0mg 30%, 4mg 18%, 8mg 19%, p=0.009), but not Clavien Dindo grade 3-5 complications (p=0.121)

3.3 Factors associated with the postoperative systemic inflammatory response

At univariate binary logistic regression (Table 2), males sex (p=0.021), laparoscopic surgery (p<0.001), and perioperative dexamethasone (p<0.001) were significantly associated with day 3 poGPS. At multivariate binary logistic regression, laparoscopic surgery (OR 0.53, 95% CI 0.35-0.81, p=0.003) and dexamethasone (OR 0.44, 95% CI 0.29-0.66, p<0.001) remained independently associated with day 3 poGPS.

3.4 Factors associated with postoperative complications:

At univariate binary logistic regression (Table 2), male sex (p=0.004), ASA (p=0.007), preoperative mGPS (p=0.010), laparoscopic surgery (p<0.001), perioperative dexamethasone dose (p<0.001), intraoperative blood transfusion (p=0.013) and day 3 poGPS (p<0.001) were significantly associated with postoperative complications. At multivariate binary logistic regression, dexamethasone (OR 0.51, 95% CI 0.32-0.84, p=0.008), and day 3 poGPS (OR 2.42, 95% CI 1.76-3.33, p<0.001) remained independently associated with postoperative complications.

3.5 The magnitude of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response and complications:

When patients were grouped by surgical approach (open or laparoscopic) and dexamethasone dose (0mg, 4mg or 8mg), there was a statistically significant linear trend (Table 3) toward a lower postoperative systemic inflammatory response (day 3 poGPS) with the use of minimally invasive surgery and higher doses of dexamethasone (p<0.001). Furthermore, this combination of laparoscopic surgery and higher doses of dexamethasone was significantly associated with a lower proportion of postoperative complications (p<0.001).

3.6 Impact of dexamethasone on survival following elective colon cancer resection:

There were 136 deaths during the study period, of which 74 were due to colonic cancer. The median follow up for patients alive at the time of censoring was 55 months (range 12-122). At multivariate analysis, neither dexamethasone or grouping by surgical approach and dexamethasone dose were significantly associated with either improved or poorer cancer specific or overall survival (Table 4).

4.0 Discussion

The present study reports a possible dose response relationship between attenuation of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response measured by the poGPS and postoperative complications, through a combination of dexamethasone given at the induction of anaesthesia and laparoscopic surgery. Indeed, when patients were grouped by surgical approach and perioperative dexamethasone, there was a linear association between the magnitude of the surgical injury, postoperative systemic inflammatory response, and complications. Furthermore, despite prior concerns, the present study reports no association between dexamethasone and poorer oncologic outcomes.

Currently corticosteroids are primarily given in the perioperative period to reduce postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) [15]. The recent "Dexamethasone versus standard
treatment for postoperative nausea and vomiting in gastrointestinal surgery: randomised
controlled (DREAMS) trial reported a significant reduction in PONV in patients undergoing
colorectal surgery given 8mg intravenous dexamethasone compared to placebo [6].

Perioperative steroids have also been shown to reduce postoperative pain, with calls to
expand their use and proceed with procedure specific dose finding studies in this context
[16]. A previous propensity score matched study published by our group in patients with
colorectal cancer reported a significant association between dexamethasone, attenuation of
the postoperative systemic inflammatory response, and fewer postoperative complications
[9]. However, the present study moves the debate forward, being to our knowledge the first
work to report a "dose dependent" relationship between attenuation of the postoperative
systemic inflammatory response and complications. A recent Cochrane review reported no
association between perioperative steroids and wound complications across a variety of
surgical specialties, however, did not report the relationship with any measures of the

postoperative systemic inflammatory response [17]. Furthermore, two currently recruiting randomised controlled trials of perioperative dexamethasone, PADDI (ACTRN12614001226695) and PACMAN (NCT03218553), are investigating the effect on surgical site infection, and major postoperative complications respectively. However, neither are limited to colorectal surgery, and neither include measures of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response in their outcomes.

The mechanism of action through which dexamethasone, and other corticosteroids, have their anti-inflammatory effects have still to be completely elucidated. With regard to the adaptive immune system, amongst other effects, down regulatory effects on lymphoid tissue are thought to occur through inhibition of nuclear factor κB (NF- κB) [18]. In relation to the innate immune system, increased transcription of lipocortins leads to down-regulation of cyclo-oxygenase related pathways, whilst steroids decrease circulating pro-inflammatory mediators including IL 6, resulting in an overall lessening of this response [19-20]. Recent evidence in healthy volunteers and surgical patients given doses of dexamethasone similar to that used to prevent PONV have shown rapid effects on circulating innate immune cells and circulating cytokines and may both initially attenuate innate immune responses but then allow for later immune activation, suggesting an immunomodulatory role rather than that of straightforward immunosuppression [21-22].

Laparoscopic surgery is well recognised to be associated with a reduction in the magnitude of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response following colorectal surgery [11].

Furthermore, it has been reported to be associated with fewer postoperative complications [13]. Whether this simply relates to the smaller abdominal wounds required, or whether other factors such as the use of carbon dioxide for insufflation, or the no-touch isolation technique generally used, remains unclear.

Although postoperative complications are recognised to have a negative impact on cancer specific survival and disease recurrence, the mechanism by which they lead to these poorer outcomes is unclear [23]. The creation of a pro-metastatic environment through systemic inflammation, both in relation to surgical trauma, and as a consequence of postoperative complications, could be hypothesised to be such a mechanism, allowing metastatic disease progression [24]. It could then be hypothesised that a reduction in the magnitude of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response may improve long term outcomes following surgery for colorectal cancer through direct means and through a reduction in postoperative complications, although this could not be demonstrated with statistical significance in the present study.

The main limitation of the present study was its retrospective nature. The administration of dexamethasone, and the use of minimally invasive surgery, was entirely at the discretion of the surgical and anaesthetic team, and therefore possible bias exists with regard to individual surgeon outcomes. There were significant differences amongst the patients when grouped by dexamethasone dose, specifically with regards to the proportions of patients undergoing open or laparoscopic surgery. However, given that surgical approach is the main confounder of postoperative systemic inflammation [25], subgroup analysis was necessary. Patients who underwent open surgery and received 8mg of dexamethasone were an outlier with regard to the overall linear relationship, in that they had a relatively high rate of postoperative complications. However, they were the smallest subgroup, which may have impacted the analysis. In addition, patients undergoing rectal cancer surgery were not included as they represent a much more heterogeneous group with regards to their surgery, preoperative oncology, and anaesthesia. It is reassuring then, that the results pertaining to long term outcome are in keeping with those recently published by a group examining the use of dexamethasone in pancreatic cancer surgery [26].

5.0 Conclusions

The present retrospective study in patients undergoing elective surgery for colonic cancer reports a possible dose dependent reduction in the postoperative systemic inflammatory response, and fewer complications, associated with the combination of laparoscopic surgery and dexamethasone. The use of dexamethasone was not associated with any negative prognostic impact. These findings should be assessed in prospective randomised studies to allow consideration as to whether dexamethasone should be administered in this group of patients for reasons other than purely postoperative nausea and vomiting.

Ac	kno	wl	ed	gm	eni	ts
LAC		, ,, ,	cu	2111		LD

We thank the su	rgical department a	and Consultant	Colorectal	Surgeons	of Glasgow	Royal
Infirmary for the	eir input.					

Conflicts of interest

None

Sources of funding

None

References

- 1. Artinyan A, Orcutt ST, Anaya DA, Richardson P, Chen GJ, Berger DH. Infectious postoperative complications decrease long-term survival in patients undergoing curative surgery for colorectal cancer. Ann Surg 2015;261(3):497-505
- 2. Platt JJ, Ramanathan ML, Crosbie RA, Anderson JH, McKee RF, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. C-reactive protein as a predictor of postoperative infective complications after curative resection in patients with colorectal cancer. Ann Surg Oncol. 2012;19:4168-4177
- 3. Watt DG, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. Routine clinical markers of the magnitude of the systemic inflammatory response after elective operation: a systematic review. Surgery 2015;157(2):362-380
- 4. Adamina M, Steffen T, Tarantino I, Beutner U, Schmied BM, Warschkow R. Metaanalysis of the predictive value of C-reactive protein for infectious complications in abdominal surgery. Br J Surg 2015;102:590-598
- 5. McSorley ST, Watt DG, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. Postoperative systemic inflammatory response, complication severity, and survival following surgery for colorectal cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 2016;23(9):2832-2840
- 6. Magill L, DREAMS Trial Collaborators and West Midland Research Collaborative.
 Dexamethasone versus standard treatment for postoperative nausea and vomiting in gastrointestinal surgery: randomised controlled trial (DREAMS Trial). Br Med J
 2017;357:j1455
- 7. McSorley ST, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. The impact of preoperative corticosteroids on the systemic inflammatory response and postoperative complications following surgery for gastrointestinal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. 2016;101:139-150

- 8. Laaninen M, Sand J, Nordback I, Vasama K, Laukkarinen J. Perioperative hydrocortisone reduces major complications after pancreaticoduodenectomy: a randomized controlled trial.

 Ann Surg 2016;264(5):696-702
- 9. McSorley ST, Roxburgh CSD, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. The Impact of Preoperative Dexamethasone on the Magnitude of the Postoperative Systemic Inflammatory Response and Complications Following Surgery for Colorectal Cancer. Ann Surg Oncol. 2017

 Aug;24(8):2104-2112
- 10. Singh PP, Lemanu DP, Taylor MH, Hill AG. Association between pre-operative glucocorticoids and long-term survival and cancer recurrence after colectomy: follow-up analysis of a previously randomized trial. Br J Anaesth 2014;113(S1):i68-i73
- 11. Watt DG, Ramanathan ML, McSorley ST, Walley K, Park JH, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. Clinicopathological Determinants of an Elevated Systemic Inflammatory Response Following Elective Potentially Curative Resection for Colorectal Cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 2017;24, 2588-2594.
- 12. McMillan DC. The systemic inflammation-based Glasgow Prognostic Score: a decade of experience in patients with cancer. Cancer Treatment Reviews. 2012;36(5):534-540
- 13. Watt DG, McSorley ST, Park JH, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. A postoperative systemic inflammation score predicts short- and long-term outcomes in patients undergoing surgery for colorectal cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 2017;24(4):1100-1109
- 14. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien P. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. Ann Surg. 2004;240:205-213

- 15. Karanicolas PJ, Smith SE, Kanbur B, Davies E, Guyatt GH. The impact of prophylactic dexamethasone on nausea and vomiting after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Surg 2008;248(5):751-762
- 16. Kehlet H. Postoperative pain, analgesia, and recovery bedfellows that cannot be ignored. Pain 2018;159:S11-S16
- 17. Polderman JAW, Farhang-Razi V, Van Dieren S, Kranke P, DeVries J, Hollmann MW, Preckel B, Hermanides J. Adverse side effects of dexamethasone in surgical patients.

 Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2018,11:CD011940. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011940.pub3
- 18. Chu CC, Hsing CH, Shieh JP, Chien CC, Ho CM, Wang JJ. The cellular mechanisms of the antiemetic action of dexamethasone and related glucocorticoids against vomiting. Eur J Pharmacol 2014;722:48-54
- 19. Rhen T, Cidlowski JA. Anti-inflammatory action of glucocorticoids new mechanisms for old drugs. N Engl J Med 2005;353:1711-23
- 20. Leung DYM, Bloom JW. Update on glucocorticoid action and resistance. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003;111(1):3-22
- 21. Bain CR, Draxler DF, Taylor R, Wallace S, Gouldthorpe O, Corcoran TB, Myles PS, Medcalf RL, Bozaoglu K. The early in-vivo effects of a single anti-emetic dose of dexamethasone on innate immune cell gene expression and activation in healthy volunteers.

 Anaesthesia 2018;73:955-966
- 22. Corcoran T, Paech M, Law D, Muchatuta NA, French M, Ho KM. Intraoperative dexamethasone alters immune cell populations in patients undergoing elective laparoscopic gynaecological surgery. Br J Anaesthesia 2017;119(2):221-230

- 23. McSorley ST, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. The impact of the type and severity of postoperative complications on long-term outcomes following surgery for colorectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Rev Oncol Haematol 2016;97:168-177
- 24. McAllister SS, Weinberg RA. The tumour induced systemic environment as a critical regulator of cancer progression and metastasis. Nat Cell Biol 2014;16(8):717-727
- 25. Ramanathan ML, MacKay G, Platt J, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. The impact of open versus laparoscopic resection for colon cancer on c-reactive protein concentrations as a predictor of postoperative infective complications. Ann Surg Oncology. 2015;22(3):938-943
- 26. Sandini M, Ruscic KJ, Ferrone CR, Warshaw AL, Qadan M, Eikermann M, Lillemoe KD. Intraoperative dexamethasone decreases infectious complications after pancreaticoduodenectomy and is associated with long-term survival in pancreatic cancer.
 Ann Surg Oncol 2018 [epub ahead of print] DOI: https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-018-6827-5

Tables and footnotes

Table 1: Association between clinicopathological characteristics, perioperative factors and preoperative dexamethasone in patients undergoing elective surgery for colonic cancer

Characteristic		Preop	erative dexameth	asone	P
		No, n (%)	4mg, n (%)	8mg, n (%)	-
N		209 (44)	166 (35)	105 (21)	-
Clinicopathological					
Age (years)	<65	59 (28)	52 (31)	35 (33)	0.216
	65-74	82 (39)	66 (40)	42 (40)	
	>74	68 (33)	48 (29)	28 (27)	
Sex	Male	84 (40)	91 (55)	41 (40)	0.655
	Female	125 (60)	75 (45)	64 (60)	
BMI (kg/m2)	<20	12 (6)	10 (6)	3 (3)	0.406
	20-25	60 (31)	57 (35)	27 (26)	
	26-30	53 (28)	48 (30)	38 (36)	
	>30	67 (35)	47 (29)	37 (35)	
ASA	1	32 (16)	33 (20)	22 (22)	0.007
	2	89 (43)	74 (45)	51 (50)	
	3	72 (35)	53 (33)	27 (27)	
	4	12 (6)	3 (2)	1(1)	
Preop mGPS	0	131 (70)	120 (80)	80 (82)	0.003
•	1	17 (9)	14 (9)	10 (10)	
	2	40 (21)	17 (11)	8 (8)	
TNM stage	1	34 (16)	42 (26)	27 (26)	0.022
C	2	91 (44)	60 (37)	43 (41)	
	3	70 (34)	54 (33)	33 (32)	
	4	12 (6)	6 (4)	1 (1)	
Operative					
Approach	Open	161 (77)	77 (47)	40 (39)	< 0.001
	Laparoscopic	47 (23)	86 (53)	63 (61)	
Surgery >4h	Yes	29 (14)	42 (26)	19 (19)	0.156
	No	174 (86)	121 (74)	83 (81)	
Intraop blood transfusion	Yes	17 (10)	4 (3)	2 (3)	0.012
	No	152 (90)	126 (97)	68 (97)	
Postoperative					
poGPS 3	0	83 (42)	98 (65)	72 (74)	< 0.001
	1	62 (31)	33 (22)	17 (18)	
	2	55 (28)	20 (13)	8 (8)	
poGPS 4	0	113 (62)	85 (69)	53 (76)	0.008
-	1	28 (16)	23 (18)	11 (16)	
	2	40 (22)	16 (13)	6 (9)	
Any complication	Yes	100 (48)	51 (31)	31 (29)	< 0.001
	No	107 (52)	114 (69)	73 (71)	
Infective complication	Yes	63 (30)	29 (18)	20 (19)	0.009
	No	114 (70)	136 (82)	84 (81)	

Clavien Dindo 3-5	Yes	21 (10)	14 (9)	5 (5)	0.121
	No	186 (90)	150 (91)	99 (95)	

BMI body mass index. *ASA* American Society of Anesthesiologists score. *POD* postoperative day. *CRP* Creactive protein, mGPS preoperative modified Glasgow Prognostic score (0 = CRP<10mg/L, 1 = CRP \ge 10mg/L and albumin \ge 35g/L, 2 = CRP \ge 10mg/L and albumin <35g/L), poGPS postoperative Glasgow Prognostic Score (0 = CRP<150mg/L, 1 = CRP>150mg/L and albumin <25g/L, 2 = CRP>150mg/L and albumin <25g/L)

Table 2: Impact of preoperative dexamethasone dose on postoperative systemic inflammation and complications following surgery for colonic cancer, univariate and multivariate binary logistic regression

poGPS 3 ≥1	Univariate OR (95% CI)	P	Multivariate OR (95% CI)	P
Age	0.96 (0.76-1.22)	0.754	-	-
Male Sex	1.56 (1.07-2.27)	0.021	1.49 (1.00-2.21)	0.051
BMI	1.15 (0.93-1.42)	0.188	-	-
ASA	1.08 (0.84-1.37)	0.574	-	-
mGPS	1.28 (0.98-1.67)	0.073	-	-
Laparoscopic	0.41 (0.28-0.61)	< 0.001	0.53 (0.35-0.81)	0.003
Dexamethasone (any dose)	0.35 (0.24-0.52)	< 0.001	0.44 (0.29-0.66)	< 0.001
Surgery >4h	0.78 (0.48-1.27)	0.320	-	-
Transfusion	1.63 (0.70-3.83)	0.259	-	-
TNM stage	1.13 (0.90-1.42)	0.286	-	-
Complications	Univariate OR	P	Multivariate OR	P
	(95% CI)		(95% CI)	
Age	1.15 (0.92-1.43)	0.214	-	-
Male Sex	1.66 (1.17-2.36)	0.004	-	0.182
BMI	0.95 (0.78-1.14)	0.568	-	-
ASA	1.36 (1.09-1.71)	0.007	-	0.686
mGPS	1.38 (1.08-1.77)	0.010	-	0.370
Laparoscopic	0.43 (0.29-0.62)	< 0.001	-	0.749
Dexamethasone (any dose)	0.45 (0.31-0.66)	< 0.001	0.51 (0.32-0.84)	0.008
Surgery >4h	1.21 (0.78-1.88)	0.396	-	-
Transfusion	3.06 (1.26-7.43)	0.013	-	0.162
TNM stage	0.93 (0.75-1.15)	0.495	-	-
poGPS 3	2.56 (2.01-3.27)	< 0.001	2.42 (1.76-3.33)	< 0.001

OR Odds Ratio, CI Confidence Interval, BMI body mass index. ASA American Society of Anesthesiologists score. POD postoperative day. CRP C-reactive protein, mGPS preoperative modified Glasgow Prognostic score (0 = CRP<10mg/L, 1 = CRP≥10mg/L and albumin ≥35g/L, 2 = CRP≥10mg/L and albumin <35g/L), poGPS postoperative Glasgow Prognostic Score (0 = CRP<150mg/L, 1 = CRP>150mg/L and albumin >25g/L, 2 = CRP>150mg/L and albumin <25g/L)

Table 3: Association between attenuation of the magnitude of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response and postoperative complications in patients undergoing elective surgery for colonic cancer

Characteristic		Open	Lap	Open	Open	Lap	Lap	P
		0mg Dex	0mg Dex	4mg Dex	8mg Dex	4mg Dex	8mg Dex	
		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
N		161 (34)	46 (10)	76 (16)	39 (8)	84 (18)	66 (14)	-
poGPS 3	0	61 (39)	21 (49)	41 (58)	23 (62)	53 (70)	50 (82)	< 0.001
	1	49 (31)	13 (30)	15 (21)	8 (22)	18 (24)	9 (15)	
	2	46 (30)	9 (21)	15 (21)	6 (16)	5 (7)	2 (3)	
Complication	Yes	81 (50)	19 (41)	28 (37)	19 (48)	22 (26)	13 (19)	< 0.001
	No	80 (50)	27 (59)	48 (63)	20 (52)	62 (74)	53 (81)	

BMI body mass index. *ASA* American Society of Anesthesiologists score. *POD* postoperative day. *CRP* Creactive protein, mGPS preoperative modified Glasgow Prognostic score (0 = CRP<10mg/L, 1 = CRP \geq 10mg/L and albumin \geq 35g/L, 2 = CRP \geq 10mg/L and albumin <35g/L), poGPS postoperative Glasgow Prognostic Score (0 = CRP<150mg/L, 1 = CRP>150mg/L and albumin <25g/L, 2 = CRP>150mg/L and albumin <25g/L)

Table 4: Impact of preoperative dexamethasone dose, postoperative systemic inflammation and complications on survival following surgery for colonic cancer, univariate and multivariate survival analysis (Feb 19)

Survival	Variable	Univariate HR (95% CI)	P	Multivariate HR (95% CI)	P
CSS	Age	1.50 (1.06-2.17)	0.024	1.62 (1.12-2.33)	0.010
	Male Sex	1.66 (0.95-2.99)	0.075	-	-
	ASA	1.18 (0.84-1.67)	0.336	-	-
	mGPS	1.43 (1.01-2.02)	0.041	-	0.467
	Laparoscopic	0.79 (0.45-1.39)	0.416	-	-
	Dexamethasone (any dose)	0.66 (0.38-1.12)	0.126	-	-
	Lap/Dex inflammation group	0.89 (0.77-1.04)	0.132	-	-
	TNM stage	2.57 (1.79-3.69)	< 0.001	2.77 (1.89-4.06)	< 0.001
	poGPS 3	1.29 (0.93-1.79)	0.132	-	-
	Complication	1.64 (0.97-2.79)	0.065	-	-
os	Age	1.76 (1.36-2.27)	< 0.001	1.57 (1.19-2.09)	0.002
	Male Sex	1.50 (1.01-2.21)	0.043	-	0.132
	ASA	1.54 (1.20-1.97)	0.001	1.35 (1.01-1.79)	0.040
	mGPS	1.60 (1.26-2.03)	< 0.001	1.34 (1.05-1.71)	0.021
	Laparoscopic	0.72 (0.47-1.08)	0.113	-	-
	Dexamethasone (any dose)	0.62 (0.41-0.91)	0.016	-	0.918
	Lap/Dex inflammation group	0.87 (0.78-0.97)	0.013	-	0.678
	TNM stage	1.76 (1.37-2.26)	< 0.001	1.75 (1.34-2.28)	< 0.001
	poGPS 3	1.23 (0.97-1.57)	0.095	-	-
	Complication	1.58 (1.09-2.31)	0.017	1.49 (0.99-2.23)	0.055

HR Hazard Ratio, CI Confidence Interval, DSS disease specific survival, OS overall survival, mGPS modified Glasgow Prognostic Score, POD postoperative day