

Calatayud, O., Esperón, F., Cleaveland, S., Biek, R., Keyyu, J., Eblate, E., Neves, E., Lembo, T. and Lankester, F. (2019) Carnivore parvovirus ecology in the Serengeti ecosystem: vaccine strains circulating and new host species identified. *Journal of Virology*, 93(13), e02220-18. (doi:10.1128/JVI.02220-18).

This is the author's final accepted version.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/185688/

Deposited on: 18 June 2019

 $En lighten-Research \ publications \ by \ members \ of \ the \ University \ of \ Glasgow \\ \underline{http://eprints.gla.ac.uk}$

- 1 Carnivore parvovirus ecology in the Serengeti ecosystem:
- 2 vaccine strains circulating and new host species identified

3

4 Running Title: Ecology of carnivore parvoviruses in the Serengeti ecosystem

5

- 6 Olga Calatayud, at Fernando Esperón, Sarah Cleveland, Roman Biek, Julius
- 7 Keyyu^d, Ernest Eblate^d, Elena Neves,^b Tiziana Lembo,^c Felix Lankester^{e‡}

8

- 9 a Global Animal Health Tanzania, Arusha, Tanzania
- 10 b Research Center for Animal Health and Safety, National Institute for
- 11 Agriculture and Food Research and Technology, Valdeolmos, Madrid, Spain
- 12 c Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, College of
- 13 Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK
- ^d Tanzanian Wildlife Research Institute, Arusha, Tanzania
- ^e Paul G. Allen School for Global Animal Health, Washington State University,
- 16 Pullman, Washington, USA

17

- 18 #Address correspondence to Felix Lankester, felix.lankester@wsu.edu, and
- 19 Olga Calatayud, olgacalatayud@hotmail.com

20

21

- 22 Abstract word count: 238
- 23 Text word count: 6117

Abstract

Carnivore parvoviruses infect wild and domestic carnivores and cross-
species transmission is believed to occur. However, viral dynamics are not well
understood nor the consequences to wild carnivore populations of the
introduction of new strains into wild ecosystems. To clarify the ecology of these
viruses in a multi-host system such as the Serengeti ecosystem and identify
potential threats for wildlife conservation we analyzed, through real-time PCR,
152 samples belonging to 14 wild carnivore species and 62 samples from
healthy domestic dogs. We detected parvovirus DNA in several wildlife tissues.
Of the wild carnivore and domestic dog samples tested, 13% and 43%,
respectively, were positive for carnivore parvovirus infection, but little evidence
of transmission between the wild and domestic carnivores was detected.
Instead, we describe two different epidemiological scenarios with separated
routes of transmission: first, an endemic feline parvovirus (FPV) route of
transmission maintained by wild carnivores inside the Serengeti National Park
(SNP); and second, a canine parvovirus (CPV) route of transmission among
domestic dogs living around the periphery of the SNP. Twelve FPV sequences
were characterized, new host-virus associations involving wild dogs, jackals and
hyaenas were discovered and our results suggest mutations in the fragment of
the $\textit{vp2}$ gene were not required to infect different carnivore species. In domestic
dogs, six sequences belonged to the CPV-2a strain, whilst 11 belonged to the
CPV-2 vaccine-derived strain. This is the first description of a vaccine-derived

Importance of this study

Carnivore parvoviruses are widespread among wild and domestic carnivores, which are vulnerable to severe disease under certain circumstances. The findings from this study, which further the understanding of carnivore parvovirus epidemiology, suggest that feline parvoviruses are endemic in wild carnivores in the Serengeti National Park (SNP); further, that canine parvoviruses are present in the dog population living around the SNP, with little evidence of transmission into wild carnivore species; and finally, that the detection of vaccine-derived virus (described here for the first time circulating naturally in domestic dogs) highlights the importance of performing epidemiological research in the region.

1. Introduction

The species *Carnivore protoparvovirus I*, known colloquially as the *Carnivore parvoviruses*(1, 2), is a member of the Parvoviridae family and includes the antigenic variants feline and canine parvovirus (FPV and CPV). Carnivore parvoviruses infect a wide variety of host species with complex pathological and epidemiological outcomes. They have a broad tropism for mitotically active cells and, depending on the strain, presence of coinfection with other pathogens, and specific characteristics of the host, such as age, species and host immunity, can cause sub-clinical, acute or, especially in young animals, lethal disease(3, 4).

Carnivore parvoviruses have a global distribution and are present in apparently healthy individuals from almost all wild and domestic carnivore populations tested (5 – 7). In contrast, there are reports that implicate the introduction of these viruses into wild ecosystems with the decrease in naive populations (e.g. wolves (Canis lupus) (8, 9). Despite this apparent ubiquity and variable pathogenicity, understanding of Carnivore parvovirus evolution, strain succession and spread is based upon a limited number of studies, mostly involving diseased captive wild and domestic animals(3). These complexities illustrate the difficulties of predicting the consequences of infection at an individual and a population level, especially in wild ecosystems where multiple potential hosts may reside. In order to better understand which wild species are at risk of infection and optimize conservation measures, it is necessary to further investigate the dynamics of Carnivore parvoviruses.

Although FPV and CPV share a recent common ancestor from the early 1900s(10) and are differentiated by only small genetic changes, they show several important differences. Known since the 1920s(11), FPV is primarily associated with infection in felines rather than canines (with the exception of foxes), and has also been shown to infect Herpestidae, Mustelidae and Procyonidae(5, 12–14). In contrast, canine parvovirus (CPV), which was first reported in the 1970s(15), shows signs of a recent population expansion and, whilst infection is intimately linked with domestic dog (*Canis lupus familiaris*) populations(16), the virus has been described in a wide range of species, including felines(13, 14, 16–18).

CPV infection in dogs have resulted in the emergence of different antigenic variants or strains: the first strain, designated CPV-2(15), appeared in 1978 and was unable to infect felines. It spread globally and within a few months killed many naive domestic dogs (19, 20). A further strain named CPV-2a appeared in 1980 and rapidly substituted CPV-2 worldwide. Whilst only differentiated from CPV-2 by a few amino acid substitutions, the CPV-2a strain regain the ability to infect felines(21). The most recent strains CPV-2b and 2c emerged in 1984 and 2000, respectively, and have only one amino acid substitution each relative to CPV-2a(4). Today, FPV coexists in different parts of the world with CPV-2a, 2b and 2c with unknown consequences for wild carnivore populations.

In Africa, molecular studies of FPV and CPV in domestic animals were carried out in a limited range of countries (South Africa, Morocco, Tunisia, Ghana and Nigeria)(22–25) and results are consistent with findings from other regions of the world: CPV-2a, 2b, and 2c strains were circulating within domestic dogs, whilst the original strain CPV-2 has not been detected. Further, virus sequences generated from these studies showed a high similarity with strains circulating in the rest of the world, suggesting a similar epidemiological scenario exists in Africa as elsewhere.

Even fewer studies have focused on the role that African wild animals play in Carnivore parvovirus ecology and these have been limited to serological analyses(26–29). These studies have played an important role demonstrating that African wild carnivore species can be infected with Carnivore parvoviruses. However, unlike genetic analyses, serological studies do not enable strain characterization and, because strong antigenic cross-reactions occur among

Carnivore parvoviruses, the presence of antibodies does not enable conclusions to be drawn about the strain of the infecting virus(30, 31).

Therefore, despite some studies concluding wild carnivores have been infected by CPV, it is perhaps more appropriate to conclude that seropositive wild carnivores have at some point been infected by an unspecified Carnivore parvovirus strain.

Genetic techniques provide an opportunity to investigate Carnivore parvovirus ecology with more precision(12). As with human parvovirus infection, Carnivore parvovirus DNA is likely to persist after the clinical period(32, 33), increasing the potential for detecting viral infections in archived animal samples. Indeed, the polymorphic *vp2* gene, which encodes the protein responsible for binding the transferrin receptor (TfR) used in Carnivore parvovirus host cell attachment, provides a good candidate for molecular analyses and has been used for strain discrimination and to trace viral origins(19, 34).

The Serengeti ecosystem is an important area for the study of Carnivore parvovirus ecology. First, it hosts large and diverse wild carnivore populations, which can provide critical information about natural infection routes. Second, some species living in the system, such as African wild dogs, are endangered(35) and require protection. Third, as mass dog vaccination programs against rabies, canine distemper and CPV have been performed around the periphery of the Serengeti National Park (SNP) since 1996, this environment provides an opportunity to investigate the implications of mass dog vaccination in wild and domestic carnivore populations.

The principal objective of this study was to investigate the molecular

epidemiology of Carnivore parvoviruses in domestic and wild carnivores of the Serengeti ecosystem. While serological studies have confirmed the presence of Carnivore parvoviruses infection in lions(36), hyaenas(31), jackals(27), wild dogs and domestic dogs(37), no molecular studies have yet been carried out to characterize circulating strains in a wide range of potential host species. Within this objective, we aimed to investigate the natural routes of infection in wild and domestic carnivores, the likelihood of cross-species transmission, and potential transmission of Carnivore parvovirus strains found in vaccinated domestic dog populations.

2. Results

2.1. Results in Wildlife

2.1.1. Presence of infection in wildlife

The presence of Carnivore parvovirus DNA was confirmed in 13.8% (C.I. 8.7-20.3) (n = 21) of samples, and in 9 out of 14 wild carnivore species tested (Table 1). In four of the five species in which Carnivore parvovirus DNA was not detected, the sample size was low (<7) precluding conclusive inference regarding absence. The species with the highest proportion of infected individuals was the African civet (*Civettictis civetta*), in which 80% (C.I. 28.4-99.5) (n = 5) of samples were positive. No infection was detected in the bat-eared fox (*Otocyon megalotis*), despite the relatively large sample size (n = 15). Of the seven different tissues analyzed, positive results were obtained in six (Fig. 1a). The fecal sample from the positive lion, which was added *posteriori*, was positive to infection.

The output from the binomial regression analysis investigating the

determinants of Carnivore parvovirus infection in the samples tested is given in Table 2. Liver samples (OR = 17.8 (95% CI 1.8, 218), p = 0.01) and samples collected from Viverridae (OR = 17.6 (95% CI 3.3, 118), p = 0.001) were significant predictors of infection. The year of sample collection was not a predictor of infection (Fig. 1b).

There was no association between likelihood of sample infection and distance to the nearest building (OR = 1.0 (95% CI 0.98, 1.04), p > 0.3) or the SNP boundary (OR = 1.0 (95% CI 0.99, 1.02), p > 0.1) (Fig. 2).

Table 1. Percent of wild carnivore families and species infected with Carnivore parvovirus DNA, detected by real-time PCR.

Table 2: The final regression output, investigating the determinants of the likelihood of detecting Carnivore parvovirus DNA in the samples, is given.

2.1.2. Sequence analysis in wildlife

From a total of 21 positive wild carnivore samples, 13 *vp2* gene fragments were sequenced. Ten sequences consisted of 1377 nucleotides, one each of 1311, 1088 and 699 nucleotides. Nucleotides previously used for the classification of Carnivore parvoviruses (20, 38, 39) were present in all these isolates (detailed in Table 3): twelve isolates belonged to the FPV strain (three lions, two spotted hyaenas (*Crocuta crocuta*), two African wild dogs (*Lycaon pictus*), two civets, one genet (*Genetta genetta*), one white-tailed mongoose (*Ichneumia albicauda*), and one black-backed jackal (*Canis masomelas*)), whilst one isolate belonged to the CPV-2a strain (black-backed jackal). The FPV and CPV-2a isolates detected in

black-backed jackals were found in two different individuals. This is the first time FPV DNA has been detected in jackals, hyenas, African wild dogs and white-tailed mongoose. Interestingly, the CPV-2a isolate was detected in the most recently obtained wild carnivore sample (2011). This isolate was the only sequence with intermediate features between FPV-like and CPV-like viruses (detailed in *Section 3.1.4.*).

Table 3. A summary of the amino acid variation that characterizes the strains of Carnivore parvoviruses and the important mutations that distinguish the sequences from this study is shown. The 459 amino acid fragment of the VP2 protein sequenced is represented. Blue color indicates mutations among the domestic dog sequences, possibly introduced by the live virus vaccine strain; violet color indicates important and repeated mutations found among the wildlife sequences;. *Incomplete strains. Clf, Canis lupus familiaris (domestic dog); Cm, Canis mesomelas (black-backed jackal); Pl, Panthera leo (lion); Cc, Crocuta crocuta (spotted hyaena); Civ. civ., Civettictis civetta (African civet); IA, Ichneumia albicauda (white-tailed mongoose), Lp, Lycaon pictus (wild dog); Gg, Genetta gennetta (genet)

2.1.3. FPV in wildlife

Comparison of FPV sequences from this study showed nucleotide identities of 99.5-99.9% (mean 99.75, SD 0.09) and amino acid identities of 99.1-100% (mean 99.61, SD 0.23). This compares with a global blast search in GenBank[®] in which no identical FPV sequences were found. Following comparison with the template strains from different years and locations, nucleotide identity was 98.0-

99.2% and amino acid identity was 96.9 - 99.8%. The maximum amino acid variability of the study sequences was 0.9%, whilst the maximum amino acid variability between the study and the template sequences was 3.1%, suggesting the study sequences to be more closely related with each other than with sequences found elsewhere.

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

The FPV sequences detected in wild carnivore species in the Serengeti ecosystem shared two mutations at two different residue positions that distinguish them from FPV sequences described elsewhere. These mutations were located at amino acid position 303, where a Tyr replaced a Phe residue (F303Y), and at position 101, where a Thr substituted an Ile residue (I101T) (detailed in Table 3). Following comparison with the most similar FPV strains found in GenBank[®], the first mutation F303Y was only found in a cougar (Puma concolor) (USA, 1989, GenBank® accession N° EU659113) and could have arisen independently in this individual. Residue 303 is located in the capsid surface area that contacts with the host cell receptor, and as such this position is subjected to evolutionary selective pressures(34). The second mutation, I101T, which emerged during the differentiation of CPV-2a from CPV-2(41), has occasionally been reported in FPV sequences extracted from wild and domestic species from different years and locations (e.g. GenBank® accession No MF069447, FJ440714, KP682520). Polymorphic residue 101 lies just below the capsid surface and, together with residue 87, alters the antigenic structure and influences the binding to feline and canine cells(40). Together, amino acid residues 303 and 101 determine hostrange and the mutations detected in this study form a geographic cluster, as demonstrated by the phylogenetic network (see below).

A further mutation at position 20, where a Thr substituted an Ala residue (A20T), was present in three of eight FPV sequences containing this amino acid (belonging to two lions and a mongoose, detailed in Table 3). This mutation was also found in four of the CPV sequences described in dogs sampled in this study (see below). Mutation A20T was not found in any of the most similar FPV strains found in GenBank® and information regarding this residue was lacking in the literature reviewed. We hypothesize that, because residue 20 was located only a few residues from the primer sequence, this mutation could be a sequencing error.

Five other single FPV sequence mutations were found (V83I, Q159H, H222P, V250M, Q296H), each occurring in one sample only. Residues involved were not strain type determinant and no previous studies determining the effects of these substitutions were found.

Among the FPV sequences from this study, two pairs of amino acid sequences were pairwise identical, (i) H414 (lion, liver, 2004) and H284 (white-tailed mongoose, spleen, 2008) and (ii) H450 (hyaena, liver, 2007) and H253 (civet, spleen, 2009)). Furthermore, five amino acid sequences (H414, H284, H450, H253 and H440) were only differentiated by a nucleotide at a single position (number 58), which encodes the amino acid residue at position 20, discussed above.

2.1.4 CPV-2a from the black-backed jackal H398

Sequence H398 clustered phylogenetically with the CPV-2a sequences from dogs (see below). However, a single mutation at amino acid position 323 (Asp residue substituted the CPV-2a-typical Asn or Glu (Table 3)) was present. As the

amino acid at this position is exposed on the surface of the virus and controls the interaction with the canine transferrin receptor (TfR)(6), it is possible that this viral mutation would favor the binding to a feline transferrin receptor.

Furthermore, we described four additional amino acid mutations in this sequence: A20T, R80T, D99H, D125Y (detailed in Table 3). Of these mutations, substitution A20T is shared by eight of our wildlife and domestic dog isolates.

2.1.5. Phylogenetic analysis in wildlife

The phylogenetic tree (Fig. 3) indicated that the FPV strains detected in the wildlife species in this study have a common ancestor, formed a geographic cluster separated widely from other published isolates, and are closely related suggesting cross-species transmission.

2.2. Results in Domestic Dogs

2.2.1. Presence of infection in dogs

The presence of Carnivore parvovirus DNA was detected in 42.9% (C.I. 30.5-56.0) (n = 26) of the domestic dog samples assayed and in six of the eight villages (75%) in which sampling took place. The villages with the highest proportion of infected individuals were Merenga (2008) and Kitawasi (2005), in which 87.5% (C.I. 47.3-99.7) and 83.3% (C.I. 35.9-99.6) of dogs sampled were infected, respectively (Figs. 2 and 4). None of the factors studied (village, year of sampling, age or gender of dog) were significant predictors of infection (p > 0.4).

2.2.2. Sequence analysis in dogs

From a total of 26 positive domestic dog samples, 13 isolates of 1377 nucleotides and four of approximately 700 nucleotides were obtained. Of these, 11 were classified as CPV-2 and six as CPV-2a. Of the CPV-2 strains, three were found in samples from the village of Kitawasi (2005), six from Merenga (2008), one from Nyamburi (2009), and one from Piyaya (2009). Of the CPV-2a strains, four were detected in Nyamburi (2005, 2006 and 2009), one in Piyaya (2004), and one in Kitawasi (2005) (Figs. 2 and 4).

CPV-2 and CPV-2a strains were differentiated using the amino acid positions 87, 101, 219, 300, 305, and 375, which are considered determinant residue positions for the classification of the CPV strains(41, 42). Sixteen of the seventeen sequences obtained from domestic dogs fulfilled this classification with no intermediate virus-like features. An exception was the CPV-2 sequence obtained from isolate H493 (Table 3), which, apart from position 101 in which a Thr was substituted by IIe, presented all the residues that characterize the CPV-2 strain. Position 101 determines the antigenic structure and binding capabilities of the capsid(42), and a Thr at this position is typical of the CPV-2a, 2b and 2c strains but has also been described in FPV sequences from GenBank® and in all the FPV sequences described in this study (detailed in Section 3.1.3.). We conclude therefore that the CPV-2 sequence found in H493 presented an intermediate virus-like feature at position 101.

In addition to the six amino acid residues used to differentiate CPV2 from 2a, three further common mutations that differentiate CPV-2 from CPV-2a strains were found. These mutations were located in amino acid positions 219, 297 and 386. Substitution S297A was first detected in 1987 in CPV-2a strains and is reported to

be distributed globally(43). All the CPV-2a isolates from this study had this mutation. Mutations I219V and Q386K were found in all the CPV-2 isolates. Although these two mutations were not found in any of the template strains, they were found in the live virus vaccine strain contained in the Nobivac[®] Puppy DP vaccine, which has been used in mass dog vaccination programs conducted in the study area (GenBank[®] accession N° MG264079). These substitutions (of Ile by Val at position 219 and Gln by lysine at position 386) were patented by the manufacturer (US 9,186,398 B2)(44) and introduced in order to attenuate the virus.

Sequence comparisons of the CPV-2 strains obtained in this study showed nucleotide identities of 98.8-100% and amino acid identities of 98-100%. Two nucleotide CPV-2 sequences from different villages and years (H503 from Merenga in 2008 and H506 from Kitawasi in 2005) were identical and a third sequence (H469 from Merenga in 2008) was translated into the same amino acid sequence. Specific mutations differentiating the strains are detailed in Table 4.

Table 4. The 459 amino acid fragment of the VP2 protein that characterizes Carnivore parvoviruses was sequenced in this study. Amino acid variation is shown. Amino acids used to differentiate CPV-2 from CPV-2a are not included. Clf, Canis lupus familiaris; Cm, Canis mesomelas.

A blast search identified similar and identical CPV-2 sequences in different continents. A nucleotide sequence described in a dog in Italy in 2005 (accession N° FJ222824)(45) was found to be identical to sequences H503/H506 and to the Novibac® Puppy DP vaccine strain described in Ecuador (MG264079)(46). This is

the same vaccine that has been used in mass dog vaccination programs in the Serengeti ecosystem. It was not reported whether the isolate from Italy was collected from a vaccinated or unvaccinated dog. Other sequences containing one of the two patented vaccine strain mutations (I219V and Q386K) were obtained from foxes and raccoons in China in 2009 (Zhang et Yang, unpublished work, 2010, GenBank® accession N° GU392236 - GU392241) and from a dog in the USA in 1995 (U22186). All showed a nucleotide identity of 99.8% and amino acid identity of 99.5% with the sequence H503/H506.

Sequence comparisons of the CPV-2a strains obtained in this study showed nucleotide identities of 98.6-99.5% and amino acid identities of 97.8-99.5%. When the CPV-2a isolate H501 from this study was compared with two similar strains found in GenBank[®] (from a dog in Italy in 2000 (Accession N°AF30644) and a dog in Thailand in 2004 (Accession N°FJ869128)), maximum nucleotide identities of 99.8% and 99.6% were obtained and a maximum amino acid identity of 100%. Consequently, the H501 isolate from this study was more similar to the isolates found in Thailand and Italy than with the other two CPV-2a isolates found in Tanzania.

2.2.3. Phylogenetic analysis in dogs

Phylogenetic analysis suggests that CPV-2a sequences from this study are closely related with global strains, suggesting that CPV-2a sequences from the Serengeti ecosystem do not form a clear geographic cluster and are closely related to sequences isolated in other continents. Therefore, in contrast to the FPV sequences in wildlife which displayed a localized geographic clustering, the

CPV sequences isolated in this study seem to share a common evolutionary process with global sequences.

The CPV-2 sequences isolated in this study clustered with two sequences from Italy and China and the Novibac® Puppy DP vaccine strain (GenBank® accessin number MG264079).

3. Discussion

We have demonstrated Carnivore parvoviruses to be widely distributed among wild and domestic carnivores in the Serengeti ecosystem. While wildlife was infected with FPV, domestic dogs living around the periphery of the SNP were infected with CPV. With the exception of a jackal infected with CPV-2a, there was no evidence of cross-species transmission, suggesting the existence of two separate epidemiological systems. Given that CPV has been shown to be present in 'wilderness' areas in other continents and that cross-species parvovirus transmission has been documented between domestic and captive and free-living wild carnivores (5, 6, 47), this finding was unexpected.

Viral populations in wildlife

We found parvovirus DNA in 13.2% of the wild carnivores sampled in the Serengeti ecosystem. The samples were collected through a convenient non-random method and most were collected from animals found dead on the primary road traversing the center of the SNP. As such, this is not likely to be a representative sample and does not provide an unbiased prevalence estimate. However, because the percentage of wildlife samples found to be positive did not

change significantly across the ten years studied or across the species tested, this lends weight to the hypothesis that Carnivore parvoviruses are endemic in wildlife species in the Serengeti ecosystem, as may be the case for wildlife in other continents(30). The likelihood of positives was not related to proximity to human habitation, which would be consistent with independent routes of Carnivore parvovirus transmission in wild and domestic carnivores.

Thirteen wild animal samples were found to be positive for Carnivore parvovirus DNA, of which 12 were identified as FPV and one as CPV-2a (detected in a black backed jackal). Important amongst these results was the detection for the first time of FPV infection in wild dogs, jackals and hyaenas. Whilst many species of Carnivora appear to be susceptible to Carnivore parvoviruses, the host range of FPV has been reported to be restricted to foxes, felids and some closely related families such as mustelids(5, 48 (O. Calatayud et al., manuscript under submission)). As such, these findings are notable.

In addition, these findings are of interest as they raise questions concerning the interpretation of previous serological studies, which assumed infection with CPV was responsible for seropositive results in jackals, hyenas and African wild dogs (27, 49). Our results suggest that seropositivity in these earlier studies might have resulted from FPV infection instead, highlighting the importance of strain characterization in understanding Carnivore parvovirus dynamics.

Host susceptibility to Carnivore parvovirus infection is largely driven by the ability of viruses to bind to the carnivore transferrin receptor (TfR) used in host cell attachment(50, 51). Indeed, both FPV and CPV can infect felines because they can bind feline TfR. However, a mutation introduced less than six million years ago

into the TfR gene encoding the N-linked glycosylation site in the apical domain confers resistance to FPV infection in most canine species. This glycan mutation is present in coyotes (*Canis latrans*), wolves (*Canis lupus*) and domestic dogs(50–52), but has been shown to be lacking in red foxes, bat eared foxes and blackbacked jackals (52). As predicted by the lack of the glycan-introducing mutation, we report for the first time natural FPV infection in a jackal (H418). Furthermore, we report for the first time that hyena and African wild dog can also be infected by FPV, suggesting these species might also lack the glycan-introducing mutation. This is consistent with the evolutionary history of hyenas, wild dogs and jackals, which all share a relatively distant common ancestor with wolves, coyotes and dogs(53, 54). It is possible, therefore, that these species diverged before the emergence of the canid glycan-introducing mutation.

Cross-species transmission events of FPV among wildlife species have been previously documented in wild(6, 10) and in captive conditions(55), suggesting that parvoviruses are transmitted between hosts during contact, for example predation and/or scavenging of carcasses. The finding in this study of genetically indistinguishable viruses in sympatric species in the Serengeti ecosystem provides further evidence that FPV can be transmitted between species and that these transmission events occur in this ecosystem. Even where sequence mutations were identified, phylogenetic analysis demonstrated a close relationship among all the sequences described. This clustering is due primarily to two specific mutations (F303Y and I101T) that characterize all identified Serengeti ecosystem strains. The clustering of Serengeti FPV sequences independently of other sequences reported in GenBank® and the stable number of infections across the ten year period studied

also suggests that FPV has been present in this ecosystem for a long time and may be endemic.

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

It is noteworthy that, of all the wild carnivore species tested, we only detected CPV in one species, a jackal. This is in contrast with reports describing CPV infection in wild carnivores in other parts of the world(6, 56). Although the Serengeti ecosystem is considered a relatively intact wilderness (57), there are populations of humans and domestic animals, including many dogs, living around its periphery and incursions frequently occur (58). As a result, it seems likely that wild carnivores would be exposed to CPV in the Serengeti. The lack of detection of CPV in wild carnivores in the Serengeti could arise because wild carnivores are resistant to infection (possibly as a result of FPV within the Serengeti ecosystem creating an immunological barrier), or have been clearing infection, or have been dying in small and imperceptible epidemic waves. This latter explanation seems possible given that most parvoviruses causing disease in large cats have been described not as FPV but as CPV(59). Indeed, a recent analysis of long-term serological data to investigate the transmission ecology of CPV in the Serengeti ecosystem indicates that infection cycles in lions are coupled with those in dogs, providing some evidence of cross-species transmission(60). However, as CPV and FPV are antigenically similar and difficult to distinguish serologically, it is likely seropositive lions were infected with FPV, complicating the interpretation of the serological data. While the genetic analyses provide no evidence for cross-species transmission, the different conclusions drawn by the serological and genetic studies are not mutually exclusive. Our study suggests that it is likely that FPV is circulating as an endemic infection in lions, however it is also possible that transient outbreaks of CPV may also occur as a result of spill-over from domestic dogs. The integration of data from multiple sources and from more comprehensive sampling will clearly be needed to allow a more complete understanding of a complex epidemiological picture.

The detection of Carnivore parvovirus DNA in a range of tissues supports the hypothesis that, similar to human parvoviruses(33), Carnivore parvovirus DNA remains in the body following initial infection, as has been shown in previous studies(5, 6, 47). These results further highlight the potential value for carnivore parvovirus epidemiological research of tissue samples collected from carcasses and should encourage analysis of such samples collected from other ecosystems across Africa and elsewhere.

Viral populations in dogs and vaccine shedding

With just under half of the domestic dog blood samples being positive, the results indicated that CPV has been circulating widely in the villages adjacent to the SNP during ten years, suggestive of endemicity. This finding was unexpected given that all of the sampled dogs appeared healthy. Our results suggest that CPV DNA persists in blood for longer periods than previously thought(61) with no clinical signs.

Surprisingly, 65% of the sequenced viruses from dogs were CPV-2, even though this strain has been replaced in most areas of the world by the newer antigenic types 2a, 2b and, more recently, 2c(41). The detection of this strain in several different villages over a four-year period generates confidence in this finding. Several lines of evidence suggest that a modified-live vaccine virus was

the source of this CPV-2 strain and, because all the samples used in this study belonged to unvaccinated individuals, transmission from vaccinated to unvaccinated dogs may have occurred. First, all the CPV-2 sequences described contained two genetic markers patented by the vaccine manufacturer and artificially introduced to attenuate the vaccine virus(44). Second, three amino acid sequences were identical to the vaccine strain. Third, this vaccine has been used in annual mass dog vaccination programs in the region. Consequently, we conclude that these findings represent cases of natural transmission of vaccine-derived CPV-2 (vdCPV) in domestic dogs. This is the first time that this has been demonstrated empirically.

Although this phenomenon has not been demonstrated before, the potential for this event and the route of transmission has been reported by previous studies. Two studies demonstrated that 23% of dogs immunized against CPV using a modified-live virus vaccine shed virus DNA in their feces during at least 20 days(61, 62). A third experimental study demonstrated that, following contact with vaccinated dogs, unvaccinated dogs became seropositive without showing signs of disease(63). Consequently, it is possible that, following transmission of virus from vaccinated individuals, naive dogs are becoming infected. It is also possible that these infections might result in a protective immunity against CPV.

Although we did not find evidence of cross-species transmission of vdCPV in the Serengeti ecosystem, the host range might not be restricted to domestic dogs. Indeed, the same artificial mutations have been reported in samples obtained from foxes and raccoons from China in 2009 (Zhang et Yang, unpublished work, GenBank® accession N° GU392236 - GU392241), suggesting that vdCPV could

be transmitted to wild carnivores. However, because we do not know which brand of vaccine was used in China, we are not able to draw conclusions regarding whether vaccine transmission resulted following vaccination with Nobivac Puppy DP vaccine or if it can be triggered by use of other modified-live parvovirus vaccines.

A concern of live vaccine viruses is the potential for reversion to virulence(64, 65), although there are no reports in the literature of this happening with vdCPV. Because recombination(66) or novel mutations might lead to a loss of the benign phenotype, surveillance to monitor for such an eventuality would have merit.

The circulation of vaccine-derived strains CPV-2a, CPV-2b and CPV-2c would be of more concern than the CPV-2 strain because it has been shown that field strains 2a, 2b and 2c are able to cause disease in felids and in other wildlife species(10, 20). For this reason, vaccine shedding from CPV-2a, 2b and 2c live vaccines could have an impact on wild carnivores and we recommend further investigation to assess the risks of the use of these vaccines in proximity to wildlife protected areas.

Intermediate features

Two sequences from this study showed coding mutations at strain-determinant positions. Intermediate features between FPV and CPV have been described only once in a wild carnivore (a red fox from Germany(67)), and intermediate mutations between different CPV strains have been previously described in raccoons(56), probably as a result of host-adaptation.

The first, a vdCPV from domestic dog H493 sampled in 2009, had the amino

acid substitution I101T, common to the CPV-2a strain and to the FPV strains detected in the Serengeti ecosystem. Position 101 is variable and this mutation has been previously described in raccoons and domestic cats (56, 68), however this is the first time an intermediate CPV/CPV-2a strain has been reported in dogs(6). Although it is not clear whether this substitution arose after a recombination or a mutation event, an evolving modified-live virus is of concern.

The second intermediate mutation was described in the black backed jackal sequence H398 in 2011. Although we classified this strain as CPV-2a, it presented an Asp replacing an Asn residue at amino acid position 323, which is typical of the FPV strain(41). Amino acid position 323 is located on a raised region of the capsid surrounding the three-fold spike which contacts the TfR(51). Although this mutation is predicted to reduce replication in canine cells, it is possible that this viral mutation would favor the binding to a feline transferrin receptor present in jackals (52).

In summary, this study has demonstrated that, whilst Carnivore parvovirus infection occurs in numerous species living in the Serengeti ecosystem, there appears to be separated transmission routes involving wild and domestic carnivores. Furthermore, whilst FPV appears endemic in wild carnivore populations living in the Serengeti ecosystem (including canids and hyenas), CPV-2 and CPV-2a appear to be circulating almost exclusively in domestic dog populations, with CPV-2 infection likely arising as a result of vaccine shedding.

4. Materials and methods

1	1	San	nn	lina
4.	1.	Jan	וטו	ши

Archived (-20°C) biological samples collected between 2002 and 2011 were used in this study. These were:

- i) tissue samples (n = 112) collected during wild carnivore postmortem examinations carried out in the Serengeti National Park
 (SNP). Linked GPS coordinate data was available for most of the
 samples. The cause of death for each of the sampled wild
 carnivores was unknown;
- ii) blood samples (n = 40) collected opportunistically from wild carnivores during general anesthesia immobilization;
- iii) uncoagulated (EDTA) blood samples (n = 62) collected during mass dog vaccination programs from manually restrained healthy unvaccinated (< 1.5 years old) domestic dogs living in villages around the periphery of the SNP. These villages were Nyamburi, Merenga, Pinyinyi, Malambo, Kitawasi, Engarasero, Arash and Piyaya (Fig. 4).

The taxonomic family, tissue type, age and geographic provenance of each sample in Sections i and ii are detailed in Table 5.

Table 5. Taxonomic families and species from which the samples analyzed in this study originated. Sp=spleen; Bl=blood; LN=Lymph Node; In=Intestine; Li=Liver; SG=salivary gland; Suba=subadult; ND=no data;

SNP=Serengeti National Park; NPA= neighbouring protected areas;

574 BBJ=Black-backed jackal.

One lion (*Panthera leo*) feacal sample was available for testing. It belonged to a spleen-positive adult animal (H440) and was excluded from the statistical analysis.

4.2. Molecular analysis

DNA was purified from 50mg of tissue or 200µL of blood in a series of rapid "wash-and-spin" steps, using the High Pure PCR Template™ Preparation Kit (Roche® Diagnostics Gmbh, Mannheim, Germany following the manufacturer′s recommendations. Purified DNA was stored at -80°C until further use.

To determine the presence of parvovirus DNA (case ascertainment), a previously described real-time PCR(69) (qPCR) was performed. It targeted a conservative parvovirus region of 163 nucleotides and it did not discriminate between different parvovirus strains. The primers used in this study are detailed in Table 6 and the thermal cycling conditions in Table 7. In this qPCR, the final mixture of 25μ L contained $0.4~\mu$ M of primers, 10μ L of QuantiprobeTM (Qiagen® GmbH, New York, USA), $0.4~\mu$ M of probe, $3.5~\mu$ L of template DNA and freenuclease water. The assay was performed on a StepOneTM Real-Time PCR System (Foster City, USA).

Table 6. Primers used in the present study. *Nucleotides numbered according to Reed et al., 1988(69). **c, complementary.

Table 7. PCR thermal cycling conditions

For the characterization of the Carnivore parvovirus strains, a 1377 portion of the vp2 gene (total length: 1755bp) was amplified with four nested PCRs. The final mixture of 25μ L contained $0.5~\mu$ L of primers, $0.25~\mu$ L of FastStart Universal Master Mix (RocheTM), $1.5~\mu$ L of Cl₂Mg, $2.5~\mu$ L of buffer 10x, $0.5~\mu$ L dNTP $10~\mu$ M, $4~\mu$ L of template DNA and sterile free-nuclease water. Three internal nested PCR reactions amplified three segments of different length (Table 6), which were subsequently purified. Five primers (P1, P3, P4, Forward, Reverse, in Table 6) were used to sequence five overlapping fragments covering a total of 1377 nucleotide residues with the automated Sanger sequencing method.

A 10⁻² commercial CPV vaccine dilution (Nobivac® Puppy DP, MSD Animal Health, Carbajosa de la Sagrada, Spain), containing attenuated live CPV-2 virus, was added as a positive control, and sterile free-nuclease water as a negative (blank) control to each step of the molecular analysis.

4.3 Sequence data

Blast searches in GenBank® were performed for each sequence generated. Nucleotide sequences were translated into the putative amino acid sequences and position sites were numbered(70). Specific amino acids were used for classification of the FPV, CPV-2, CPV-2a, CPV-2b and CPV-2c strains

(20, 38, 39). Nucleotide and amino acid sequence pairwise identity was calculated using the online software SIAS® (Sequence Identity and Similarity)(71). Only sequences > 1300bp were used in this analysis. In addition, template sequences of Carnivore parvoviruses were randomly selected from 1990, 2007 and 2015 and were included for comparison (GenBank® accession no.: M38246, EU145593, KX434462). The 1990 sequence was the oldest one found in the GenBank® database and was included in the study to assess viral diversity over time.

Sequences were aligned with the Clustal W method using the MEGA7[®] software(72). A maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree was inferred and the reliability was evaluated with the bootstrap method based on 1000 replicates using the same software.

Sequences described in this study were submitted to GenBank® (accession numbers MK251434-MK251461).

4.4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the exact binomial confidence interval (95% confidence level) for prevalence calculations. Associations between the presence of Carnivore parvoviruses DNA and potential explanatory variables, such as type of tissue, species, family, age (young, juvenile, adult), sex and year of collection, were evaluated by binomial logistic regression using the software R®(73). Variable selection was carried out using manual forward selection based on lowest Akaike information criterion (AIC). Strengths of associations were determined based on odds ratios with 95% confidence

limits(74). Wildlife sample coordinates were used to calculate the distance (km) from the location of sampling of wildlife to the point of nearest human contact, with the location of a) the nearest building and b) the SNP boundary used as proxy measures. QGIS® Geographic information System Software(75) was used for distance calculations and for the representation of sample locations. A binomial logistic regression model was constructed with the proxy measures described above as predictor variables to investigate whether proximity to human habitation and / or the park boundary predicted likelihood of Carnivore parvovirus infection.

655 Acknowledgements:

We acknowledge the Tanzania Ministry of Livestock and Fisheries, Tanzania National Parks (TANAPA), Tanzania Wildlife Research Institute (TAWIRI), Ngorongoro Conservation Area Authority and Tanzania Commission for Science and Technology for permissions; and TANAPA Veterinary Unit, TAWIRI-Messerli Foundation Wildlife Veterinary Programme, Serengeti Lion Project, Frankfurt Zoological Society, and District Veterinary Offices in Serengeti and Ngorongoro Districts for assistance with field activities.

The sample collection component of this work was initially supported by the joint National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Science Foundation (NSF) Ecology of Infectious Diseases Program under grant no. NSF/DEB0225453, whilst more latterly we acknowledge significant support provided by Lincoln Park Zoo, Washington State University, Tusk Trust, World Society for the Protection

of Animals, Paradise Wildlife Park, and MSD Animal Health (formerly Intervet and Intervet Schering-Plough).

The sample processing, laboratory and data analysis, and manuscript writing components of this project was supported by the Morris Animal Foundation (Grant no. D16ZO-819). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication. The authors declare there is no conflict of interest.

The authors also thank the Research Center for Animal Health and Safety, National Institute for Agriculture and Food Research and Technology (Madrid, Spain) and the Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science and Technology, (Arusha, Tanzania) for their support with the laboratory analysis. The authors are also grateful to Léon Abraham Sancha and Kennedy Elisha Jomanga for their support.

Bibliography

- 1. International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV).
- 685 https://talk.ictvonline.org/
- Parrish CR, O'Connell PH, Evermann JF, Carmichael LE. 1985. Natural variation of canine parvovirus. Science (80) 230:1046–1048.
- Parrish CR, Hueffer K. 2006. Pathogenesis of feline panleukopenia virus
 and canine parvovirus and Parvovirus host range, cell tropism and
 evolution, p 343-351, 429–433. *In* Kerr JR, Cotmore SF, Bloom ME,
 Linden RM, Parrish CR, Parvoviruses, Oxford University Press Inc. UK.

- 692 4. Hoelzer K, Parrish CR. 2010. The emergence of parvoviruses of
- carnivores. Vet Res 41:39.
- 5. Duarte MD, Henriques AM, Barros SC, Fagulha T, Mendonça P, Carvalho
- P, Monteiro M, Fevereiro M, Basto MP, Rosalino LM, Barros T, Bandeira
- V, Fonseca C, Cunha M V. 2013. Snapshot of Viral Infections in Wild
- 697 Carnivores Reveals Ubiquity of Parvovirus and Susceptibility of Egyptian
- Mongoose to Feline Panleukopenia Virus. PLoS One 8:e59399.
- 699 6. Allison AB, Kohler DJ, Ortega A, Hoover E a, Grove DM, Holmes EC,
- Parrish CR. 2014. Host-specific parvovirus evolution in nature is
- recapitulated by in vitro adaptation to different carnivore species. PLoS
- 702 Pathog 10:e1004475.
- 703 7. Clegg SR, Coyne KP, Dawson S, Spibey N, Gaskell RM, Radford AD.
- 704 2012. Canine parvovirus in asymptomatic feline carriers. Vet Microbiol
- 705 157:78–85.
- 706 8. Mech LD, Goyal SM. 1995. Effects of canine parvovirus on gray wolves in
- 707 Minnesota. J Wildl Manage 59:565–570.
- 708 9. Mech LD, Goyal SM, Paul WJ, Newton WE. 2008. Demographic effects of
- canine parvovirus on a free-ranging wolf population over 30 years. J Wildl
- 710 Dis 44:824–836.
- 711 10. Allison AB, Kohler DJ, Fox K a, Brown JD, Gerhold RW, Shearn-Bochsler
- VI, Dubovi EJ, Parrish CR, Holmes EC. 2013. Frequent cross-species
- transmission of parvoviruses among diverse carnivore hosts. J Virol
- 714 87:2342–7.
- 715 11. Verge J, Christoforoni N. 1928. La gastroenterite infectieuse des chats;

- est-elle due à un virus filtrable? C R Seances Soc Biol Fil 99:312.
- 717 12. Barker IK, Parrish CR. 2001. Parvovirus Infections, chapter 6. *In* Williams
- 718 ES (ed), Barker IK (ed.), Infectious Diseases of Wild Animals, 3rd Edition.
- 719 Iowa State University Press, Iowa, USA.
- 13. Shackelton L a, Parrish CR, Truyen U, Holmes EC. 2005. High rate of
- viral evolution associated with the emergence of carnivore parvovirus.
- 722 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:379–84.
- 14. Hoelzer K, Shackelton LA, Parrish CR, Holmes EC. 2008. Phylogenetic
- analysis reveals the emergence, evolution and dispersal of carnivore
- 725 parvoviruses. J Gen Virol 89:2280–2289.
- 726 15. Appel M, Scott F, Carmichael L. 1979. Isolation and immunisation studies
- of a canine parvo-like virus from dogs with haemorrhagic enteritis. Vet
- 728 Rec 105:156–159.
- 16. Ikeda Y, Mochizuki M, Naito R, Nakamura K, Miyazawa T, Mikami T,
- 730 Takahashi E. 2000. Predominance of canine parvovirus (CPV) in
- 731 unvaccinated cat populations and emergence of new antigenic types of
- 732 CPVs in cats. Virology 278:13–9.
- 733 17. Battilani M, Scagliarini A, Ciulli S, Morganti L, Prosperi S. 2006. High
- genetic diversity of the VP2 gene of a canine parvovirus strain detected in
- 735 a domestic cat. Virology 352:22–26.
- 18. Appel MJ, Cooper BJ, Greisen H, Scott F, Carmichael LE. 1979. Canine
- viral enteritis. I. Status report on corona- and parvo-like viral enteritides.
- 738 Cornell Vet 69:123–33.
- 19. Ikeda Y, Nakamura K, Miyazawa T, Tohya Y, Takahashi E, Mochizuki M.

- 740 2002. Feline host range of Canine parvovirus: Recent emergence of new
- antigenic types in cats. Emerg Infect Dis 8:341–346.
- 742 20. Truyen U, Evermann JF, Vieler E, Parrish CR. 1996. Evolution of canine
- parvovirus: loss and gain of the feline host. Virology 215:186–9.
- 744 21. Truyen U, Parrish CR. 1992. Canine and Feline Host Ranges of Canine
- Parvovirus and Feline Panleukopenia Virus: Distinct Host Cell Tropisms
- of Each Virus In Vitro and In Vivo. J Virol 66:5399–5408.
- 747 22. Touihri L, Bouzid I, Daoud R, Desario C, Goulli AF El, Decaro N, Ghorbel
- A, Buonavoglia C, Bahloul C. 2009. Molecular characterization of canine
- parvovirus-2 variants circulating in Tunisia. Virus Genes 38:248–58.
- 750 23. Folitse RD, Kodie DO, Amemor E, Dei D, Tasiame W, Burimuah V,
- Emikpe BO. 2017. Detection of canine parvovirus antigen in dogs in
- 752 Kumasi, Ghana. Afr. J Infect Dis 12:28–32.
- 753 24. Amrani N, Desario C, Kadiri A, Cavalli A, Berrada J, Zro K, Sebbar G,
- Colaianni ML, Parisi A, Elia G, Buonavoglia C, Malik J, Decaro N. 2016.
- Molecular epidemiology of canine parvovirus in Morocco. Infect Genet
- 756 Evol 41:201–206.
- 757 25. Dogonyaro BB, Bosman AM, Sibeko KP, Venter EH, van Vuuren M. 2013.
- Genetic analysis of the VP2-encoding gene of canine parvovirus strains
- 759 from Africa. Vet Microbiol 165:460–465.
- 760 26. Woodroffe R, Prager KC, Munson L, Conrad P a, Dubovi EJ, Mazet J a K.
- 761 2012. Contact with domestic dogs increases pathogen exposure in
- endangered African wild dogs (*Lycaon pictus*). PLoS One 7:e30099.
- 763 27. Alexander KA, Kat PW, Wayne RK, Fuller TK. 1994. Serologic survey of

- selected canine pathogens among free-ranging jackals in Kenya. J Wildl
- 765 Dis 30:486–491.
- 766 28. Alexander KA, McNutt JW, Briggs MB, Standers PE, Funston P, Hemson
- G, Keet D, van Vuuren M. 2010. Multi-host pathogens and carnivore
- 768 management in southern Africa. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis
- 769 33:249–265.
- 770 29. Spencer JA, Bingham J, Heath R, Richards B. 1999. Presence of
- antibodies to canine distemper virus, canine parvovirus and canine
- adenovirus type 1 in free-ranging jackals (*Canis adustus* and *Canis*
- 773 mesomelas) in Zimbabwe. J Vet Res 66:251–253.
- 30. Steinel A, Parrish CR, Bloom ME, Truyen U. 2001. Parvovirus infections
- in wild carnivores. J Wildl Dis 37:594–607.
- 776 31. Harrison TM, Mazet JK, Holekamp KE, Dubovi E, Engh AL, Nelson K,
- 777 Van Horn RC, Munson L. 2004. Antibodies To Canine and Feline Viruses
- in Spotted Hyenas (Crocuta Crocuta) in the Masai Mara National
- 779 Reserve. J Wildl Dis 40:1–10.
- 780 32. Haynes SM, Holloway SA. 2012. Identification of parvovirus in the bone
- 781 marrow of eight cats. Aust Vet J 90:136–139.
- 782 33. Norja P, Hokynar K, Aaltonen L-M, Chen R, Ranki A, Partio EK, Kiviluoto
- O, Davidkin I, Leivo T, Eis-Hubinger AM, Schneider B, Fischer H-P, Tolba
- 784 R, Vapalahti O, Vaheri A, Soderlund-Venermo M, Hedman K. 2006.
- Bioportfolio: Lifelong persistence of variant and prototypic erythrovirus
- DNA genomes in human tissue. Proc Natl Acad Sci 103:7450–7453.
- 787 34. Hafenstein S, Palermo LM, Kostyuchenko VA, Xiao C, Morais MC, Nelson

- CDS, Bowman VD, Battisti AJ, Chipman PR, Parrish CR, Rossmann MG.
- 789 2007. Asymmetric binding of transferrin receptor to parvovirus capsids.
- 790 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:6585–9.
- 791 35. Woodroffe, R. & Sillero-Zubiri C. 2012. Lycaon pictus. The IUCN Red List
- 792 of Threatened Species.
- 793 36. Hofmann-Lehmann R, Fehr D, Grob M, Elgizoli M, Packer C, Martenson
- JS, O'brien SJ, Lutz H. 1996. Prevalence of Antibodies to Feline
- Parvovirus, Calicivirus, Herpesvirus, Coronavirus, and Immunodeficiency
- Virus and of Feline Leukemia Virus Antigen and the Interrelationship of
- 797 These Viral Infections in free-ranging lions in East Africa. Am Soc
- 798 Microbiol 3:554–562.
- 799 37. Alexander KA, Conrad PA, Gardner IA, Parish C, Appel M, Levy MG,
- Lerche N, Kat P. 1993. Serologic survey for selected microbial pathogens
- in African wild dogs (Lycaon pictus) and sympatric domestic dogs (Canis
- familiaris) in Maasai Mara, Kenya. J Zoo Wildl Med.
- 803 38. Parrish CR. 1999. Host range relationships and the evolution of canine
- parvovirus. Vet Microbiol 69:29–40.
- 805 39. Buonavoglia C, Martella V, Pratelli a, Tempesta M, Cavalli a,
- Buonavoglia D, Bozzo G, Elia G, Decaro N, Carmichael L. 2001.
- 807 Evidence for evolution of canine parvovirus type 2 in Italy. J Gen Virol
- 808 82:3021–5.
- 809 40. Stucker KM, Pagan I, Cifuente JO, Kaelber JT, Lillie TD, Hafenstein S,
- Holmes EC, Parrish CR. 2012. The role of evolutionary intermediates in
- the host adaptation of canine parvovirus. J Virol 86:1514–21.

- 41. Lin C-N, Chiang S-Y. 2016. Canine Parvovirus Type 2. Des Control Appl
- Mechatron Syst Eng 135–152.
- 814 42. Decaro N, Buonavoglia C. 2012. Canine parvovirus--a review of
- epidemiological and diagnostic aspects, with emphasis on type 2c. Vet
- 816 Microbiol 155:1–12.
- 43. Ohshima T, Hisaka M, Kawakami K, Kishi M, Tohya Y, Mochizuki M.
- 2008. Chronological Analysis of Canine Parvovirus Type 2 Isolates in
- 819 Japan. J Vet Med Sci 70:769–775.
- 820 44. Spibey N, Keynes M. 2015. US 9,186,398 B2. USA.
- 45. Decaro N, Desario C, Parisi A, Martella V, Lorusso A, Miccolupo A, Mari
- V, Colaianni ML, Cavalli A, Di Trani L, Buonavoglia C. 2009. Genetic
- analysis of canine parvovirus type 2c. Virology 385:5–10.
- 46. Torre D De, Mafla E, Puga B, Erazo L, Astolfi-ferreira C, Ferreira AP.
- 825 2018. Molecular characterization of canine parvovirus variants (CPV-2a,
- 826 CPV-2b, and CPV-2c) based on the VP2 gene in affected domestic dogs
- 827 in Ecuador 11:480–487.
- 828 47. Miranda C, Santos N, Parrish C, Thompson G. 2017. Genetic
- Characterization of Canine Parvovirus in Sympatric Free-Ranging Wild
- Carnivores in Portugal. J Wildl Dis 53:2016-08-194.
- 48. Calatayud O, Esperón F, Velarde R, García EJ, Oleaga A, Llaneza L,
- López-Bao JV, Palacios V, Ribas A, Negre N, de la Torre A, Rodríguez A,
- Millán J. 2019. Submitted to Transbound Emerg Dis.
- 834 49. Berentsen AR, Dunbar MR, Becker MS, M'soka J, Droge E, Sakuya NM,
- Matandiko W, McRobb R, Hanlon CA. 2013. Rabies, canine distemper,

- and canine parvovirus exposure in large carnivore communities from two
- Zambian ecosystems. Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis 13:643–649.
- 838 50. Parker JSL, Murphy WJ, Wang D, O'Brien SJ, Parrish CR. 2001. Canine
- and Feline Parvoviruses Can Use Human or Feline Transferrin Receptors
- To Bind, Enter, and Infect Cells. J Virol 75:3896–3902.
- 841 51. Hueffer K, Parker JSL, Weichert WS, Geisel RE, Sgro J-Y, Parrish CR.
- 2003. The Natural Host Range Shift and Subsequent Evolution of Canine
- Parvovirus Resulted from Virus-Specific Binding to the Canine Transferrin
- 844 Receptor. J Virol 77:1718–1726.
- 845 52. Kaelber JT, Demogines A, Harbison CE, Allison AB, Goodman LB,
- Ortega AN, Sawyer SL, Parrish CR. 2012. Evolutionary reconstructions of
- the transferrin receptor of caniforms supports canine parvovirus being a
- re-emerged and not a novel pathogen in dogs. PLoS Pathog 8:e1002666.
- 53. Turner A. 1990. The evolution of the guild of larger terrestrial carnivores
- during the plio-pleistocene in Africa. Geobios 23:349–368.
- 851 54. Martínez-Navarro B, Rook L. 2003. Gradual evolution in the African
- hunting dog lineage Systematic implications. Comptes Rendus Palevol
- 853 2:695–702.
- 854 55. Duarte MD, Barros SC, Henriques M, Fernandes TL, Bernardino R,
- Monteiro M, Fevereiro M. 2009. Fatal Infection with Feline Panleukopenia
- Virus in Two Captive Wild Carnivores (Panthera tigris and Panthera leo).
- 857 J Zoo Wildl Med 40:354–359.
- 858 56. Allison a. B, Harbison CE, Pagan I, Stucker KM, Kaelber JT, Brown JD,
- Ruder MG, Keel MK, Dubovi EJ, Holmes EC, Parrish CR. 2012. Role of

860 Multiple Hosts in the Cross-Species Transmission and Emergence of a Pandemic Parvovirus. J Virol 86:865-872. 861 862 57 Sinclair ARE. 1979. Dynamics of the Serengeti ecosystem. In: Sinclair 863 ARE, Norton-Griffiths M, editors. Serengeti Dyn. an Ecosyst. 1st ed., 864 Chicago: The University of Chicago Press; p. 1–30. 865 58 Cleaveland S, Packer C, Hampson K, Kaare M, Kock R, Craft M. 2008. 866 The Multiple Roles of Infectious Diseases in the Serengeti Ecosystem. In: 867 Sinclair ARE, Packer C, Mduma S, Fryxell JM, editors. Serengeti III -868 Hum. Impacts Ecosyst. Dyn. 3rd ed., Chicago and London: The University 869 of Chicago Press; p. 209-40. 870 59. Steinel A, Munson L, Van Vuuren M, Truyen U. 2000. Genetic 871 characterization of feline parvovirus sequences from various carnivores. J Gen Virol 81:345-350. 872 873 60. Abdelkader Behdenna, Tiziana Lembo, Olga Calatayud, Sarah Cleaveland, Jo E. B. Halliday, Craig Packer, Felix Lankester, Katie 874 875 Hampson, Meggan E. Craft, Anna Czupryna, Andrew P. Dobson, Edward 876 J. Dubovi, Eblate Ernest, Robert Fyumagwa, J. Grant C. Hopcraft, Christine Mentzel, Imam Mzimbiri, David Sutton, Brian Willett, Daniel T. 877 Haydon, Mafalda Viana. 2019. Transmission ecology of canine parvovirus 878 879 in a multi-host, multi-pathogen system. 2019. Accepted in Proc R Soc 880 Lond [Biol]. 881 61. Decaro N, Crescenzo G, Desario C, Cavalli A, Losurdo M, Colaianni ML, 882 Ventrella G, Rizzi S, Aulicino S, Lucente MS, Buonavoglia C. 2014. Longterm viremia and fecal shedding in pups after modified-live canine 883

- parvovirus vaccination. Vaccine 32:3850–3853.
- 885 62. Freisl M, Speck S, Truyen U, Reese S, Proksch AL, Hartmann K. 2017.
- Faecal shedding of canine parvovirus after modified-live vaccination in
- healthy adult dogs. Vet J 219:15–21.
- 888 63. Carmichael LE, Pollock R V, Joubert JC. 1984. Response of puppies to
- canine-origin parvovirus vaccines. Mod Vet Pract 65:99–102.
- 890 64. Yang C-F, Naguib T, Yang S-J, Nasr E, Jorba J, Ahmed N, Campagnoli
- R, van der Avoort H, Shimizu H, Yoneyama T, Miyamura T, Pallansch M,
- Kew O. 2003. Circulation of endemic type 2 vaccine-derived poliovirus in
- 893 Egypt from 1983 to 1993. J Virol 77:8366–77.
- 894 65. Shimizu H, Thorley B, Paladin FJ, Brussen KA, Stambos V, Yuen L,
- Utama A, Tano Y, Arita M, Yoshida H, Yoneyama T, Benegas A, Roesel
- 896 S, Pallansch M, Kew O, Miyamura T. 2004. Circulation of Type 1 Vaccine-
- Derived Poliovirus in the Philippines in 2001. J Virol 78:13512–13521.
- 898 66. Mochizuki M, Ohshima T, Une Y, Yachi A. 2008. Recombination between
- vaccine and field strains of canine parvovirus is revealed by isolation of
- virus in canine and feline cell cultures. J Vet Med Sci 70:1305–1314.
- 901 67. Truyen U, Geissler K, Parrish CR, Hermanns W. 1998. No evidence for a
- role of modified live virus vaccines in the emergence of canine parvovirus.
- 903 J Gen Virol 1153–1158.
- 904 68. Decaro N, Desario C, Miccolupo a, Campolo M, Parisi a, Martella V,
- Amorisco F, Lucente MS, Lavazza a, Buonavoglia C. 2008. Genetic
- analysis of feline panleukopenia viruses from cats with gastroenteritis. J
- 907 Gen Virol 89:2290–8.

908	69.	Streck AF, Rüster D, Truyen U, Homeier T. 2013. An updated TaqMan
909		real-time PCR for canine and feline parvoviruses. J Virol Methods 193:6-
910		8.
911	70.	Reed AP, Jones E V, Miller TJ. 1988. Nucleotide sequence and genome
912		organization of canine parvovirus. J Virol 62:266-76.
913	71.	2018. SIAS, Sequence Identity and Similarity. Secretaría General de
914		Ciencia Tecnología e Innovación of Spain, Madrid, Spain.
915		http://imed.med.ucm.es/Tools/sias.html
916	72.	Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S. 2007. MEGA Molecular
917		Evolutionary Genetics Analysis; Version 4. Molecular Biology and
918		Evolution.
919	73.	Team RC. 2018. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical
920		Computing. 3.5.0. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna,
921		Austria.
922	74.	Kahn HA, Sempos CT, Kahn HA. 1989. Statistical methods in
923		epidemiology. Oxford University Press, NY, USA.
924	75.	QGIS Development Team. 2009. QGIS, Geographic Information System.
925		3.0.3. Girona. Open Source Geospatial Foundation.
926		
927		

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. Percentage (and 95% confidence intervals) of wildlife samples that were parvovirus DNA positive (a) for each tissue type (SG = salivary gland; LN = lymph node) and (b) for each time period. The number of samples within each category is represented (n).

Fig. 2. The map indicates the location of the Serengeti Maasai Mara ecosystem within Tanzania (inset). The shaded areas identify the Serengeti National Park (Serengeti), the Ngorongoro Conservation Area (Ngorongoro) and a number of unnamed game reserves. The location of the villages where domestic dog samples were collected and the location where positive (red dots) and negative (grey dots) wildlife samples were collected is shown.

Fig. 3. Phylogenetic tree constructe from the VP2 nucleotide sequences described in this study, which are marked with a blue (FPV) and a red circle (CPV), and in other parts of the world. Clf: canis lupus familiaris; Fsc: Felis silvestris catus. All horizontal branches are drawn to a scale of nucleotide substitutions per site

Fig. 4. Percentage (and 95% confidence intervals) of domestic dog samples from each village that were positive for parvovirus DNA. The number of samples from each village is given.

947 TABLES

	Total	Positives	Percent infected (95% C.I.)
Viverridae (combined)	8	6	75 (34.9-96.8)
African civet	5	4	80 (28.4-99.5)
Genet	3	2	66.7 (9.4-99.2)
Herpestidae	7	1	14.3 (0.4-57.9)
Mongoose	7	1	14.3 (0.4-57.9)
Felidae (combined)	52	6	11.5 (4.4-23.4)
Lion	44	6	13.64 (5.2-27.4)
Cheetah	6	0	0
Leopard	1	0	0
Serval	1	0	0
Canidae (combined)	51	5	9.8 (3.3-21.4)
Black backed jackal	15	2	13.3 (1.7-40.5)
Wild dog	20	3	15 (3.2-37.9)
Bat eared fox	15	0	0
Aardwolf	1	0	0
Hyaenas (combined)	34	3	8.8 (1.9-23.7)
Spotted hyaena	32	2	6.3 (0.8-20.8)
Striped hyaena	2	1	50 (1.3-98.7)
Total	152	21	13.8% (8.7-20.3)

Table 1. Percentage of samples from different wild carnivore families and species that were infected with parvovirus DNA, detected by real-time PCR.

		Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(>IzI)
	Intercept	-3.54	0.97	-3.65	0.0003***
Tissue	Brain	1.99	1.03	1.92	0.05
	Intestine	-16.48	2039.21	-0.01	0.99
	Liver	2.88	1.18	2.44	0.01*
	Lymph node	1.48	1.11	1.33	0.18
	Salivary gland	22.11	6522.64	0.003	0.99
	Spleen	0.59	0.92	0.64	0.52
Family	Felidae	0.85	0.75	1.13	0.26
	Hyenidae	-0.05	0.85	-0.06	0.95
	Viverridae	2.87	0.90	3.18	0.001**

Table 2: The final regression output, investigating the determinants of the likelihood of detecting Carnivore parvovirus DNA in the samples, is given.

Significant codes: 0'***' 0.001'**' 0.05'.' 0.1' ' 1. Null deviance: 117.802

on 149 degrees of freedom

Residual deviance: 90.2 on 140 degrees of freedom. AIC: 110.2

1																																						Į	
		426	z	z	z	۵	ш	z	z	z	z	zz	2 2	zz	zz	z	z	:	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z :	z :	zz	zz	z	z	z	z	z	
		386	σ	Ø	ø	ø	Ø	×	×	¥	×	~ >	۷ ک	2 2	۷ ۷	· ×	: 2	4	Ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	ø	Ø	Ø	ø	ø	ø	a (J (3 0	7 0	ď	a	ď	~	æ	
		375	٥	z	٥	٥	٥	z	z	z	z	z 2	2 2	2 2	2 2	z	z	:	О	٥	٥	٥	٥	٥	٥	٥	٥	٥	٥	ه ۵	۱ ۵	۵ د	۵ د	۵ ۵	٥	О	z	٥	
		347	Ą	_	٨	A	A	٨	٨	_	A	∢ ⊦	- +	- <	ς μ	- ∢	: -		٨	A	۷	٨	٧	٧	A	A	۷	٧	V.	۷,	∢ .	∢ <	(<	< ∢	٧	A	۷	A	
		323	٥	E (+N)	E (+N)	E (+N)	z	z	z	z	z	zz	2 2	2 2	2 2	z	z	:	z	z	z	z	z	z	٥	O	٥	٥	٥	ا ۵	۱ د	ے د	ے د	۵ ۵	٥	٥	z	z	
		321	z	z	K (+N)	K (+N)	z	z	z	z	z	z 2	2 2	zz	2 2	zz	z	:	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z :	z	2 2	2 2	z	z	z	z	z	
		305	О	O	>	>	>	٥	O	۵	۵	۵ ۵	ء د	ے د	ے د	۵ ۵		ì	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	O	٥	٥	٥	ا م	۱ ۵	ے د	ے د	0	٥	O	Q	۵	
	ne	303	ı.	ш	ш	ı.	ī	ш	F	ш.	ı.	 .		Lu	_ ц		. ш		ı.	ш	ш	ш	ш	ш	L.	>	>	>	> :	> ;	- ;	> >	- >	- >-	>	>	ш	L.	
	Amino acid residue	300	٨	٨	9	9	ŋ	A	٨	۷	۷	٨	. <	۲ <	(<	(⊲	: ∢	:	ŋ	ŋ	ŋ	ŋ	ŋ	9		Ą	⋖	⋖	∢ .	∢ ,	∢ .	∢ <	(<	(∢	⋖	٨	⋖	٩	
	Amino	297	s	s	A (+S)	A (+S)	A (+S)	s	s	S	s	s c	nu	n v	o 0	o 60	o va	,	A	۷	⋖	۷	۷	۷	,	s	s	s	S	s o	n (nu	, v	S	S	s	s	s	
		219	-	-	-	-	-	>	>	>	>	> >	> >	> >	> 1	>	> >		-	-	-		,	,	_	-	-	-						. –	-		>	~	
		103	>	٨	۷	۷	٧	A	۷	⋖	⋖	∢ <	< د	۲ ۵	()	⋖	٠ ۵	:	A	۷	∢	,			٨	>	>	>	> :	> :	> :	> >	> >	· >	>		∢	Ψ	
		101	(+T)	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_					-	-		_	_	_				_	_	_	_	- 1	– 1	- 1						-	_	
		93	×	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z 2	2 2	2 2	2 1	z	_ : z	:	z	z	z				z	×	×	×	Υ:	× :	Z :	~ ~	2 2	: ×	∠		z	z	
		87	Σ	Σ	_	_	_	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ 2	Σ 2	ΣΣ	Ē 1	Σ	Σ		٦	_	_		,	,	_	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ:	Σ:	ΣΣ	ΣΣ	Σ	,		Σ	Σ	
		80	×	æ	œ	æ	œ	œ	æ	œ	œ	œ c	× c	κ α	٠ ،	~	: 00	:	æ	æ	æ				_	¥	×	×	× :	¥ :	∠ :	~ ~	۷ ۷	· ×	,		œ	æ	
		20	٨	۷	٨	٨	A	A	۷	٧	٧	∢ ⊦	- +	- 4	ς ,	-	۰ ۵	:	٨	_	4			,	⊢	_	_	۷		۷,	∢ .	۷ ،	-	٠ ﴿	,		٨	⋖	
ı		Year						2005	2005	2005	2005	2008	2002	2008	2008	2002	2009		2004	2005	2009	2005	2006	2006	2011	2002	2004	2004	2005	2007	7007	7007	2008	2009	2005	2007	2017	2006	
		Species						35																	Cm	ᆸ	_	XX civ	E.	Ų.	ų	<u> </u>	- 10	Civ.civ	ы) <u>o</u>			
		S						OS																	O	۵.	Δ.	O\$	0	0 (. د	<u> </u>	_	- O	G		gs.		
		Strain	Reference					H494	H489	H504	H206	H469	1499	H203	H476*	H327	H493	2	H491	H321	H501	H492*	H323*	H318*	H398*	H382	H414	H227	H418	H450	1401	H439	H284	H253	H410*	H272*	MG264079	EU914139	
		Clade	FPV	CPV-2	CPV-2a	CPV-2b	CPV-2c	CPV-2											CPV-2a							FPV											Vaccine CPV-2 (Interxet)	Vaccine CPV-2 (Pfizer)	
	_	-		_	-	_													_																		_		

Table 3. A summary of the amino acid variation that characterizes the strains of Carnivore parvoviruses and the important mutations that distinguish the sequences from this study is shown. The 459 amino acid fragment of the VP2 protein sequenced is represented. Blue color indicates mutations among the domestic dog sequences, possibly introduced by the live-virus vaccine strain; violet color indicates important and repeated mutations found among the wildlife sequences;. *Incomplete strains. Clf, Canis lupus familiaris (domestic dog); Cm, Canis mesomelas (black-backed jackal); Pl, Panthera leo (lion); Cc, Crocuta crocuta (spotted hyaena); Civ. civ., Civettictis civetta (African civet); IA, Ichneumia albicauda (white-tailed mongoose), Lp, Lycaon pictus (wild dog); Gg, Genetta gennetta (genet)

Clade	Strain	Sp.	20	55	67	81	80	82	99	112	125	134	136	144	156	232	239	241	250	323	401	425
CPV-2	H494	Clf	А	Е	R	R	R	V	D	٧	D	S	L	Е	S	1	D	٧	٧	N	L	Т
	H489															M						
	H504															- 1		S				
	H506																					
	H469																					
	H499		Т											Q								
	H488		Т												F		Ε					Р
	H327		Т						Н													
	H493				Т	K		M					F									
	H503																					
	H476*																					
CPV-2a	H491			Q						- 1		N	M	Q					G			
	H321		Т																			
	H501											N										
	H492*																					
	H323*																					
	H318*																					
	H398	Cm	T				Т		Н		Υ									D		

Table 4. The 459 amino acid fragment of the VP2 protein that characterizes Carnivore parvoviruses was sequenced in this study. Amino acid variation is shown. Amino acids used to differentiate CPV-2 from CPV-2a are not included. Clf, Canis lupus familiaris; Cm, Canis mesomelas.

Family, Species			•	Tissue	•				Age		L	_ocation)	
	Sp	ВІ	Br	LN	ln	Li	SG	Adult	Suba	ND	SNP	NPA	ND	Total tested
Canidae														
Wild dog	11	3	3	3						20	1	9	10	20
Bat eared fox	10		2	1	1	1		9	2	4	14	1		15
BBJ	5	4	2	1	1	1	1	11		4	13		2	15
Aardwolf	1							1			1			1
Felidae														
Lion	13	25		3	1	2		23	4	17	41	1	2	44
Cheetah	3		2		1			4	1	1	6			6
Leopard	1							1			1			1
Serval	1							1			1			1
Hyenidae														
Spotted hyena	8	8	7	6	2	1		13	9	10	30	1	1	32
Striped hyaena			1	1				2			1	1		2
Viverridae														
African civet	5							5			4		1	5
Genet	3							2		1	1	1	1	3
Herpestidae														
Mongoose	2		2		2	1		5	2		5	1	1	7
Total	63	40	19	15	8	6	1	77	18	57	119	15	18	152

Table 5. Taxonomic families and species from which the analyzed samples were obtained. Sp=spleen; Bl=blood; LN=Lymph Node; In=Intestine; Li=Liver; SG=salivary gland; Suba=subadult; ND=no data; SNP=Serengeti National Park; NPA= neighboring protected areas; BBJ=Black-backed jackal.

985
986
987
988
989

Primer	Sequence	Binding site (nt)*
Forward(68)	5'-TGGAACTAGTGGCACACCAA-3'	3456–3473
Reverse(68) Probe(68)	5'-AAATGGTGGTAAGCCCAATG-3' 5'-CAGGTGATGAATTTGCTACAGG-3'	3636–3655c** 3555–3576
VPF(78)	5'-ATGGCACCTCCGGCAAAGA-3'	2285-2303
VPR(78)	5'-TTTCTAGGTGCTAGTTGAG-3'	4512-4530c
P1(79)	5'-ATGAGTGATGGAGCAGTTC-3'	2788–2807
P3(79)	5'- CCATTTCTAAATTCTTTG-3'	3752–3770
P4(79)	5'-AAGTCAGTATCAAATTCTT-3'	4202-4221c

Table 6. Primers used in this study are shown. *Nucleotides numbered
according to Reed et al., 1988(69). **c, complementary

Reaction	Primers	Cycling conditions	Amplicon length (nt)
qPCR	Forward(68), Reverse(68), Probe(68)	5´ 95°C, 40x (30" 95°C, 30" 60°C)	163
External PCR	VPF(78), VPR(78)	5´ 94°C, 40x (30" 94°C, 30" 48°C, 150" 72°C) and 7' 72°C	2209
Internal PCR I	P1(79), Reverse	5´ 94°C, 40x (15" 94°C, 15" 58°C, 110" 72°C) and 7´ 72°C	829
Internal PCR II	P3(79), P4(79)	5´ 95 °C, 30x (30" 95°C, 30" 44°C, 45" 72°C) and 7´ 72°C	432
Internal PCR IV	Forward, P4	5´ 94 °C, 40x (30" 95°C, 30" 50°C, 96" 72°C) and 7´ 72°C	746

Table 7. PCR thermal cycling conditions used in this study are shown