

# **Open Research Online**

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

# Effect of Organic Nutrient Perturbation on Microbial Community Dynamics and Composition in Scottish Coastal Waters

Thesis

How to cite:

Pete, Romain (2008). Effect of Organic Nutrient Perturbation on Microbial Community Dynamics and Composition in Scottish Coastal Waters. PhD thesis The Open University.

For guidance on citations see FAQs.

 $\odot$  2008 The Author

Version: Version of Record

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's data <u>policy</u> on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

oro.open.ac.uk

UNRESTRICTED

# *Effect of organic nutrient perturbation on microbial community dynamics and composition in Scottish coastal waters*

### Romain Pete

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of Open University for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Discipline: Life science Date of submission: Sponsoring establishment: UHI Millennium Institute The Open University Academic partner: Scottish Association for Marine Science

SCOTTISH ASSOCIATION for MARINE SCIENCE

DATE OF SUBNISSION! 14 MARCH 2008 DATE OF AWARD! 3 JUNE 2008 ProQuest Number: 13837713

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



ProQuest 13837713

Published by ProQuest LLC (2019). Copyright of the Dissertation is held by the Author.

All rights reserved. This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.

> ProQuest LLC. 789 East Eisenhower Parkway P.O. Box 1346 Ann Arbor, MI 48106 – 1346

#### Abstract

This study investigates the effect of organic nutrient perturbation on the dynamics of marine microbial communities, adopting three different approaches. A field study was conducted on the west coast of Scotland, in two contrasting locations, including a fish farm anticipated as a point source of organic nutrients. Data collected from the stations sampled revealed that the marine microbial communities were highly dynamic. The comparison of the physico-chemical and biological variables measured at each station indicated that the abundances, diversities and productions of planktonic micro-organisms varied in time and space. These marine microbes also demonstrated strong ecological interactions such as competition or predation. The dissolved organic matter (DOM) was revealed to originate from various sources with production by phytoplankton being dominant. Laboratory based experiments were conducted to complement field observations and test different hypotheses, such as the effect of substrate organic stoichiometry on the growth of bacteria and their grazers, the effect of DOM produced by nutrient-stressed phytoplankton on the bacterioplankton dynamics or the factors limiting the natural microbial communities. These experiments highlighted the key role of bacteria within the microbial loop, efficiently regenerating DOM into inorganic nutrients, the importance of the quality and stoichiometry of the DOM in controlling bacterial growth. Additionally in these experiments, the manipulation of size-fractionated microbial food webs revealed the importance of micro-grazers in controlling the bacterioplankton dynamics. Finally, mathematical modelling was used to synthesise the field and experimental observations and to simulate ecological interactions concurrent to organic nutrient variations. An existing model was modified to include the bacterioplankton component as well as a more adequate DOM pool representation.

A mes parents, Alain et Françoise

### Acknowledgments

First of all I would like to thanks Dr. Keith Davidson my director of studies. Keith, I feel fully, probably for life, indebted to you for always being there for me, being confident in me and moreover for making me feel your equal all along this PhD work. I'm your student; becoming one of your colleagues would be an honor for me.

I would like to thanks Dr. Ray Leakey for being available during all my time here, for scientifically and academically challenging me and for making marine microbiology so alive. Ray, when I remember our first discussions about science, I have this feeling of overwhelming, non-stopping, words, which I have learned to tame with the time. Now, I think these discussions have shaped my vision or conception of marine microbiology and I will be eternally grateful to you for this.

I feel very lucky to have had Dr. Axel Miller as my supervisor. Axel, I much appreciated your availability to talk about science as well as rugby, your judicious advices in science as much as in life.

I cannot express how thankful I am to my family, Alain, Françoise, Marie and Audrey who encouraged and cheered me all along this work. I would like to address a very special thanks to my grand mother, Alice, a very wise woman who is always in my mind and gives me a lot of strengths to face realities and adversities of life. I would also express a special thanks to Fanny, my other half, who supported me, in every possible meaning of the word, during the toughest parts of this work.

I am grateful to Pr. Graham Shimmield, the director of the Scottish Association for Marine Science for giving the opportunity to undertake this work and providing all the research facilities to achieve it.

I am thankful to Elaine Mitchell for analysing the phytoplankton samples from the field study and for being so helpful in the laboratory.

I am also grateful to Bhavani and Jenny for their help with statistical analyses.

I would like to thanks all the people from SAMS who have been involved in this project, E. Fouilland, M. Hart, D. Green, T. Gutierrez, D. Brenan, C. Campbell, T. Nickell, T. Proeschold, C. Grifiths, T. Brand, M. Harvey, S. McKinlay, A. Davies, S. Dworjanyn.

I would like to express my sincere thanks to Douglas and Steven, the captain and crew of the R/V Seol Mara, who have been really helpful with the sampling during all the field study, in any weather conditions, and who have made these trips always a real pleasure.

I am very thankful to all the postgraduate students who did their projects at SAMS, Gail, Lindsey, Lindsay, Clare, Karin, Mark, Richard, Jose, Craig, Des, Sam, Paul and many others. I would like to express my special thanks to Gareth and Katie, two really good friends to who I wish a wonderful life.

I would finally express my everlasting thanks all my friends who have been too far from me most of the time but still always supportive and present in my mind or on the phone.

### Publication

One paper has been submitted in *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* and is under review:

Romain Pete, Keith Davidson, Mark C Hart, Tony Gutierrez, Axel EJ Miller. The role of N or Si limitation on diatom DOM production and subsequent microbial community dynamics.

# Table of contents

List of Tablesvii
List of Figuresix
List of equationsxiv
- CHAPTER 11
General introduction1
1.1 Marine microbial food web1
1.1.1 Microbial ecology1
1.1.2 Diversity amongst microbial organisms1
1.1.3 From the Classical view to the modern paradigm2
1.2 Marine heterotrophic bacteria
1.2.1 Diversity and molecular ecology
1.2.2 Role of bacteria in marine systems6
1.3 Nutrients in natural ecosystems, stoichiometry and nutrient transfer through the
food chain6
1.3.1 Inorganic nutrients
1.3.1.1 Composition and concentration
1.3.1.2 Nutrient ratio7
1.3.2 Organic Matter9
1.3.2.1 What is organic matter? How is it defined?9
1.3.2.2 Size distribution of DOM10
1.3.2.3 Bulk concentrations, stoichiometry and composition of DOM11
1.3.2.4 Sources and sinks of DOM in marine systems13
1.3.2.5 DOM in coastal areas16
1.4 Sources, forms and fates of fish farming loadings17
1.5 Modelling microbial food web in coastal waters
1.6 Phytoplankton in microbial food webs19
1.6.1 The common plankton groups19
1.6.2 Plankton processes and annual succession19
1.6.3 DOM produced from phytoplankton21
1.6.4 The appearance of harmful species23
1.7 The current situation in Scottish coastal waters
1.7.1 Scottish Coastal Succession23

i

1.7.2 Phytoplankton production and organic C pool in Scottish coastal water and	
sea lochs2	24
1.7.3 Heterotrophic bacteria in Scottish coastal waters and Scottish sea lochs2	26
1.8 Aims of this study2	26
- CHAPTER 22	:9
Materials and methods2	:9
2.1 Sampling2	9
2.2 Physical variables	0
2.3. Chemical variables	1
2.3.1 Inorganic nutrient concentrations	1
2.3.2 Organic nutrient concentrations	2
2.3.2.1 Dissolved organic nutrient concentrations	2
2.3.2.2 Liquid chromatography analysis of DOM	3
2.3.2.2 Particulate organic carbon and nitrogen concentrations	4
2.3.3 Chlorophyll a concentrations	5
2.3.4 Primary production and extracellular organic carbon (EOC)	6
2.4 Biological variables	8
2.4.1 Planktonic community composition	8
2.4.1.1 Phytoplankton composition and abundance:	8
2.4.1.2 Pico- and nano-plankton abundance:	8
2.4.1.3 Cyanobacteria	9
2.4.1.4 Bacterioplankton abundance	9
2.4.2 Bacterioplankton taxonomic composition4	0
2.4.3 Bacterial production	2
2.4.4 Thymidine conversion factor: dilution experiment4	3
2.5 Statistical analysis44	4
2.5.1 Error propagations:44	4
2.5.2 Normal distribution4	5
2.5.3 Student test45	5
2.5.4 Multidimensional scaling analysis (MDS)4	5
2.5.5 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)46	6
2.5.6 Redundancy Analysis (RDA)46	6
- CHAPTER 3	8
Organic nutrient and microbial community dynamics48	8

ii

- Field Study	
3.1 Rationale	48
3.2 Objectives	50
3.3 Description of the sampling site	50
3.3.1 LY1 and Loch Creran: historical comparison	51
3.3.1.1 LY1	51
3.3.1.2 Loch Creran	52
3.4 Physical variables	53
3.4.1 Salinity, Temperature, Density.	53
3.4.2 Irradiance	55
3.4.3 Meteorological data at LY1 and Creran	
3.4.4 Inputs into Loch Creran	57
3.4.4.1 River flow of Creran river	59
3.4.4.2 Nutrients from rivers	60
3.6 Water chemistry at the three stations	61
3.6.1 Inorganic nutrients concentrations	61
3.6.2 Dissolved Organic Carbon and Nitrogen concetrations	67
3.6.3 Particulate Organic Carbon and Nitrogen	70
3.6.4 Chlorophyll a and Pheopigments concentrations	70
3.7 Biological variables	73
<ul><li>3.7 Biological variables.</li><li>3.7.1 Marine Heterotrophic Bacteria.</li></ul>	
<ul><li>3.7 Biological variables</li><li>3.7.1 Marine Heterotrophic Bacteria</li><li>3.7.1.1 Bacterial Abundance</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>3.7 Biological variables.</li> <li>3.7.1 Marine Heterotrophic Bacteria.</li> <li>3.7.1.1 Bacterial Abundance</li> <li>3.7.1.2 Bacterial Production.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3.7 Biological variables.</li> <li>3.7.1 Marine Heterotrophic Bacteria.</li> <li>3.7.1.1 Bacterial Abundance</li> <li>3.7.1.2 Bacterial Production.</li> <li>3.7.1.3 Bacterial Production and Conversion Factor</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>3.7 Biological variables</li></ul>	73 73 73 73 73 73 73 76 82 86 88 88 96 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97

iii

3.9.2.2 Conversion factors	104
3.9.2.3 Bottom-up control of bacterial population	104
3.9.2.4 Top-down control of bacterial population and trophic transfer	107
3.9.2.5 Phytoplanktonic communities in Scottish coastal waters	109
3.10 Conclusion of field study	111
- CHAPTER 4	
Organic C and N stoichiometry and trophic transfer within a bacteria microflag	gellate
system	112
4.1 Introduction	
4.2 Cultures and Experimental design	
4.2.1 Experimental design	
4.2.2 Cultures of prey and predator	116
4.2.3 Cell counts and chemical analysis	117
4.3 Results	
4.3.1 Vibrio natriegens Carbon and Nitrogen dynamics	
4.3.2 V. natriegens and P. vestita: C and N dynamics when heterotrophic	predator
present	
4.3.3 Maximum biomass, $C:N_P$ and $NH_4^+$	124
4.3.4 Carbon Gross Growth Efficiency and Nitrogen regeneration	126
4.4 Discussion	
4.4.1 Variability of C:N ratio in prey predator system	128
4.4.2 C:N stoichiometry and GGE	132
4.4.3 Coupling C:N <sub>s</sub> and N regeneration	134
4.4.4 Grazers as a regulatory feedback mechanism	134
4.4.5 Effect of potential phosphorus limitation, implications for growth an	d N
regeneration	136
4.5 Conclusion	136
	100
- CHAPTER 5	
The role of N or Si limitation on diatom DOM production and subsequent micr	obial
community dynamics.	138
5.1 Introduction	
5.2 Experimental design and additional methods	140
5.2.1 Skeletonema costatum cultures: generation of DOM	142
5.2.2 DOM harvest and ultrafiltration	143

iv

5.2.3 DOM addition experiment	143
5.2.4 Sample analyses	144
3 Results	145
5.3.1 Phytoplankton generated DOM	145
5.3.2 Characterisation of the DOM produced by S.costatum	145
5.3.3 DOM addition experiments: initial DOM concentrations	
5.3.4 Changes in dissolved organic C and N during the addition experiment	ts149
5.3.5 Bacterial abundance and production	150
5.3.6 Bacterial community composition	151
5.3.7 HNAN grazer abundance	153
4 Discussion	154
5.4.1 DOM production	155
5.4.2 Changes in bulk bacterial properties	157
5.4.3 Effect on bacterial community composition	
5.4.4 Effect of grazers in modulating the bacterial community response	
5 Conclusion	161
APTER 6	163
ors limiting microbial communities from LY1 and Loch Creran	163
1 Rational	163
2 Experimental design and additional methods	164
6.2.1 Collection of seawater	164
6.2.2 Experimental set up	165
3 Results	166
6.3.1 Water column characteristics at LY1 and FF on the day of each exper	iment
	166
6.3.2 LY1 experiment	167
6.3.3 FF experiment	169
4 Discussion	173
5 Conclusion	178
APTER 7	179
elling microbial communities in Scottish sea lochs	179
Introduction	179
2 The model	181
7.2.1 Overview of the model	181
	v

,

7.2.2 The physical system	
7.2.3 The biological system	183
7.2.4 New additions to the model	189
7.2.4 New additions to the model	
7.3 Implementation	
7.3.1 Standard run	
7.3.2 Effect of grazing and DIN uptake by bacteria on the mode	el behaviour199
7.4 Sensitivity analysis	201
7.5 Discussion	204
7.5.1 Driving functions	204
7.5.2 Comparison of model output with observations from Loch	1 Creran205
7.5.3 "What if" scenarios	207
7.6 Conclusion	
- CHAPTER 8	209
General conclusion and future research work	209
8.1 Background	209
8.2 This study	209
8.3 Major results	209
8.3.1 Sources of nutrients	210
8.3.2 Organic nutrient perturbations	
8.3.3 Microbial dynamics	
8.3.3.1 Phytoplankton	
8 3 3 2 Bacteria	
9 4 Future work recommended	213
0.4 Future work recommended	
8.5 Conclusion	
References	

# List of Tables

Table 1.01. General groups of pelagic microbes in the sea	4
Table 1.02. Range of dissolved inorganic and organic nutrients in the marine	
environment	8
Table 1.03. Bulk and molecular composition of dissolved organic matter in surface	
(0-100 m) and deep (100-1000 m)	11
Table 1.04. Common plankton group and seasonality of phytoplankton in the west	
coast of Scotland	24
Table 2.01. Names, position, average depth of stations sampled	30
Table 2.02. Oligonucleotides probes sequences used to detect bacterial sub-groups	41
Table 3.01. Physical characteristics of Loch Creran (after Edwards and Sharples	
1991)	53
Table 3.02 Inorganic nutrient concentrations ( $\mu$ M) at the mouth of river Creran and	
river Alt Duibhe from April 2005 to December 2005	60
Table 3.03. TCF values calculated according to the 3 different mathematical	
methods	80
Table 3.04. Genera identified within the four groups of nanoflagellates	83
Table 3.05. Various sources of organic C and N for Loch Creran and carbon turn	
over for each of these sources	101
Table 3.06. Bacterial production (BP), thymidine conversion factor (TCF) and	
bacterial production to primary production ratio (BP:PP) in fjordic and coastal	
studies (update from Ducklow and Carlson 1992)	103
Table 4.01. Composition of the experimental medium with details of C and N	
concentrations	118
Table 4.02. Mass balance of carbon and nitrogen, growth gross efficiency and N	
regeneration in cultures grown on various combinations of carbon and nitrogen	
ratios	123
Table 4.03. Summary of bacterial C:N ratios, N regeneration and gross growth	
efficiency	131

vii

142
144
147
165

Table 6.02. Environmental variables, and bacterial , HNAN and PNAN abundancesfrom samples collected for the experiment.166

Table 7.01. State variables of the model from Ross et al. (1994), state variables	
developed in this thesis are highlighted in green in the table	184
Table 7.02. Physical quantities of the model from Ross et al. (1994), variables	
developed in this thesis are highlighted in green in the table	185
Table 7.03. Physic parameters of the model from Ross et al. (1994)	187
Table 7.04. Biological and nutrient parameters of the model. Parameters were taken	
from Ross et al. (1994) if not otherwise specified (see bottom of table)	188
Table 7.05. Biological quantities of the model from Ross et al. (1994), variables	
developed in this thesis are highlighted in green in the table	191
Table 7.06. Driving functions of the model from Ross et al. (1994), functions used in	
this thesis are highlighted in green in the table	192

# List of Figures

Figure 1.01. Phylogenetic tree of eukaryotic microbes. Relationship based on	
SSU rRNA, from Rappé et al. (2000)	2
Figure 1.02. Conceptual diagram of overall microbial food web	3
Figure 1.03. Distribution of organic matter (POM and DOM) and size fractions	
of DOM	10
Figure 1.04. Conceptual diagram of the main production and removal processes	
of DOM in the marine environment	13
Figure 2.01. Map of the location of Loch Creran (stations FF and C5) and the	
lynn of Lorne (station LY1)	29
Figure 3.01 Contour plots of water temperature, salinity and density at LY1, FF	
and C5 between January 2004 and September 2005	54
Figure 3.02 Depth of 10% irradiance at LY1 (circle), FF (triangle) and C5	
(square). Lines represent 3 points moving average at LY1 (solid), FF (dotted)	
and C5 (dashed)	56
Figure 3.03 Map of principal rivers and streams of freshwater entering Loch	
Creran. Stations C5 and FF are mentioned, direction are given for LY1. River	
Creran and Alt Duibhe are designated by arrows and names	58
Figure 3.04 Monthly average river flow of river Creran (data average from 1978	
to 1980) are represented with histograms. DOC concentrations measured during	
this study are represented for river Creran (dot) and river Alt Duibhe (triangle)	59
Figure 3.05 Ammonium concentrations ( $\mu$ M) measured at LY1 (a), FF (b) and	
C5 (c) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle)	62
Figure 3.06 Dissolved inorganic phosphorus concentrations ( $\mu$ M) measured at	
LY1 (a), FF (b) and C5 (c) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle)	63
Figure 3.07 Dissolved silicate concentrations ( $\mu$ M) measured at LY1 (a), FF (b)	
and C5 (c) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle)	64
Figure 3.08 Nitrate concentrations ( $\mu$ M) measured at LY1 (a), FF (b) and C5 (c)	
at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle)	65

Figure 3.09 N:Si ratio calculated at LY1 (circle), FF (triangle) and C5 (square).	
Lines represent 3 points moving average at LY1 (solid), FF (dotted) and C5	
(dashed)	66
Figure 3.10 Dissolved organic carbon concentrations ( $\mu$ M) measured at LY1 (a),	
FF (b) and C5 (c) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle)	68
Figure 3.11 Dissolved organic nitrogen concentrations (µM) measured at LY1	
(a), FF (b) and C5 (c) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle)	69
Figure 3.12 Particulate organic carbon (a, b, c) and nitrogen (d, e, f)	
concentrations ( $\mu$ M) measured at LY1 (a, d), FF (b, e) and C5 (c, f) at 3 m	
(circle) and 10 m (triangle)	71
Figure 3.13 Chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentrations (mg Chl $a$ m <sup>-3</sup> ) measured at LY1 (a),	
FF (b) and C5 (c) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle)	72
Figure 3.14 Bacterial abundance (BA) in x 10 <sup>8</sup> cell L <sup>-1</sup> measured at LY1 (a), FF	
(b) and C5 (c) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle)	74
Figure 3.15 Bacterial production (BP) in $\mu$ g C L <sup>-1</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> measured at LY1 (a), FF	
(b) and C5 (c) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle). Error bars are s.e	75
Figure 3.16. Plot of BA versus BP computed with data from LY1 (red circle), FF	
(blue triangle) and C5 (green diamond). Lines represent linear regressions for	
LY1 (solid line), FF (dashed line) and C5 (dotted lines)	.76
Figure 3.17 Bar chart of thymidine incorporation (gray) and BA (white) over the	
time course incubation ( $0 - 24$ hours). Experiments carried out for LY1 station	
(a, b, c) and FF station (d, e, f) during the winter (a, d), spring (b, e) and summer	
(c, f)	78
Figure 3.18 BP calculated with TCF from literature (circle) and empirically	
determined TCF (with cumulative method, see section 3.7.1.3.2) for this thesis	
(triangle) at LY1 (a) and FF (b)	82
Figure 3.19 Choanoflagellates and (a, b, c) and small Dinoflagellates (d, e, f)	
abundances (x $10^5$ cell L <sup>-1</sup> ) measured at LY1 (a, d), FF (b, e) and C5 (c, f) at 3 m	
(circle) and 10 m (triangle)	83
Figure 3.20 HNAN and (a, b, c) and small PNAN (d, e, f) abundances (x $10^5$ cell	
$L^{-1}$ ) measured at LY1 (a, d), FF (b, e) and C5 (c, f) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m	
(triangle)	84
Figure 3.21. Logarithm of BA versus logarithm of HNAN abundance computed	
with data from LY1 (red circle), FF (blue triangle) and C5 (green diamond).	
Lines represent linear regressions for LY1 (solid line) and FF (dashed line)	86

х

Figure 3.22 "Gasol" plot of logarithm of BA versus logarithm of HNAN	
abundance at LY1 (blue circles), FF (red triangles) and C5 (green diamonds)	
measured at 3 m (open symbols) and 10 m (filled symbols). Colours indicate	
data from 2004 (blue) and 2005 (red). Solid line represents the theoretical	
maximum (TM) and dashed line is the mean realisable abundance (MRA)	
calculated from Gasol (1994)	87
Figure 3.23 Bubble plot of diatom abundance during the period of the study at	
LY1 (a) and FF (b)	89
Figure 3.24 Bubble plot of large dinoflagellate and ciliate abundance during the	
period of the study at LY1 (a) and FF (b)	90
Figure 3.25 Bar chart of relative abundance to total community of diatoms for	
LY1 (a) and FF (b)	91
Figure 3.26 Bi-plot of RDA results for LY1. All phytoplankton species are	
plotted with significant (Monte Carlo test) environmental variables	93
Figure 3.27 Bi-plot of RDA results for FF. All phytoplankton species are plotted	
with significant (Monte Carlo test) environmental variables	94
Figure 3.28 MDS ordination of phytoplankton composition. LY1 and FF data	
were pooled and fourth root transformed. Symbols are April (triangle up), May	
(triangle down), June (square), July (diamond), August (circle) and September	
(cross)	95
Figure 3.29 Relationship between % of bacterial carbon and the POC	
concentration. Data pooled for the three stations over the time of the study	
period. Dashed line represents the calculated average contribution of bacterial	
carbon to total POC (i.e. 25%)	106
Figure 4.01. DOC (a), DON (b), POC (c), PON (d) particulate C:N (e) and $NH_4^+$	
(f) for Vibrio natriegens incubations. Symbols represent the different C:Ns ratios	
with 1.5:1 (black circle), 3:1 (triangle down), 6:1 (square), 9:1 (diamond) and	
15:1 (triangle up)	121
Figure 4.02. DOC (a), DON (b), POC (c), PON (d) particulate C:N (e) and $NH_4^+$	
(f) for Vibrio natriegens and Paraphysomonas vestita incubations. Symbols	
represent the different C:N <sub>s</sub> ratios with 1.5:1 (black circle), 3:1 (triangle down),	
6:1 (square), 9:1 (diamond) and 15:1 (triangle up)	125

xi

Figure 4.03. Maximum values of $NH_4^+$ ( $\nabla$ ), POC ( $\bullet$ ), PON ( $\circ$ ), C:N <sub>P</sub> at cell peak	
( <b>■</b> ) and C:N <sub>P</sub> at the end of the experiment ( $\Box$ ) reached for each C:N <sub>S</sub> in <i>Vibrio</i>	
natriegens (a) and V. natriegens + Paraphysomonas vestita (b) experiments	127
Figure 4.04. Biomass specific N regeneration rates (a), $\Delta$ -POC (b) and C:N <sub>P</sub> (c)	
for each C:N <sub>s</sub> in Vibrio natriegens (•) and V. natriegens + Paraphysomonas	
vestita (0) experiments	129

Figure 5.01 Synoptic of the experimental design	141
Figure 5.02. Chlorophyll a ( $\blacklozenge$ ) nitrate ( $\blacklozenge$ ) and silicate ( $\nabla$ ) concentrations in both	
(a) N-limited (b) Si-limited cultures of <i>Skeletonema costatum</i>	146
Figure 5.03. HPLC chromatograms of extract from Si limited S. costatum with	
Polyamine II column (a, b) or PL GFC column (d) and extract from N limited S.	
costatum with PL GFC column (c)	148
Figure 5.04. Dissolved organic carbon and nitrogen for screened (a, c) and non-	
screened (b, d) for Si-DOM ( $\bullet$ ), N-DOM ( $\nabla$ ), Inorganic ( $\blacksquare$ ) and control ( $\Diamond$ ). No	
error bars are shown as calculated standard error was smaller than analytical	
accuracy (C $\pm$ 11 $\mu$ M, N $\pm$ 0.9 $\mu$ M)	149
Figure 5.05. Bacterial Abundance and Production for screened (a, c) and non-	
screened (b, d) for Si-DOM ( $\bullet$ ), N-DOM ( $\nabla$ ), Inorganic ( $\blacksquare$ ) and control	
(◊)	151
Figure 5.06. Time course of bacteria taxonomic composition with (a) Si-DOM,	
(b) N-DOM, (c) Inorganic, and (d) control	152
Figure 5.07. HNAN abundance for (a) screened, and (b) non-screened, for Si-	
DOM (•), N-DOM ( $\nabla$ ), Inorganic ( $\blacksquare$ ) and control ( $\Diamond$ )	154

Figure 6.01. Primary production (PP) and extracellular organic carbon (EOC) for	
the three treatments at LY1 (a, b) and FF (c, d) in unfiltered water. Data	
presented at t <sub>24</sub> (black) and t <sub>48</sub> (gray)	168
Figure 6.02. Bacterial abundance (BA) for the three treatments at LY1 (a,b) and	
FF (c, d) experiments in filtered (a, c) and unfiltered (b, d). Values presented for	
$t_{zero}$ (black), $t_{24}$ (light gray) and $t_{48}$ (dark gray)	169

Figure 6.03. Bacterial production (BP) for the three treatments at LY1 (a,b) and FF (c, d) experiments in filtered (a, c) and unfiltered (b, d). Values presented for $t_{zero}$ (black), $t_{24}$ (light gray) and $t_{48}$ (dark gray)	170
Figure 6.04. HNAN abundance $(x10^5 \text{ cell } \text{L}^{-1})$ for the three treatments (indicated on the x axis) at LY1 (a,b) and FF (c, d) experiments in filtered (a, c) and unfiltered (b, d). Black bars are $t_{zero}$ and gray bars are $t_{48}$	171
Figure 6.05. PNAN abundance $(x10^5 \text{ cell } \text{L}^{-1})$ for the three treatments (indicated on the x axis) at LY1 (a,b) and FF (c, d) experiments in filtered (a, c) and	171
unfiltered (b, d). Black bars are t <sub>zero</sub> and gray bars are t <sub>48</sub>	172
Figure 7.01. Diagrammatic representations of the physics (a), the biology (b) and the nutrient cycling (c) of the model	182
Figure 7.02. Main driving function used in the model with temperature (a), DIN from run (b-solid line) and from the sea (b-dotted line), light irradiance (c), DOC from runoff (d-solid line) and from the sea (d-dotted line), immigration of	
zooplankton (e- dotted line), and DON from runoff (f-solid line) and from the sea (f-dotted line)	193
Figure 7.03. Annual cycle of bacteria (solid line), phytoplankton (dashed line), zooplankton (dash-dotted line) and carnivore (dotted line)	194
Figure 7.04. Comparison of model output (solid line) and data collected in loch Creran (circle) for bacteria (a) and phytoplankton (b)	196
Figure 7.05. Comparison of model output (solid line) and data collected in loch Creran (circle) for DIN (a), DON (b) and DOC (c)	198
Figure 7.06. Scenario representing bacteria and phytoplankton (a) in absence of grazing (No grazing) and (b) in absence of DIN uptake by bacteria (No DIN)	200
Figure 7.07. Normalized sensitivity carried out on 24 parameters for Cb <sub>max</sub> (a) and Cp <sub>max</sub> (b)	203

# List of equations

Equation 1.01. Bacterial growth efficiency (BGE)	14
Equation 1.02. Approximation of BGE calculations by DOC consumption	14
Equation 1.03. Bacterial carbon demand (BCD)	15
Equation 2.01. Coefficient of light extinction from Secchi disk depth	30
Equation 2.02. Estimation of the depth of 10% irradiance	31
Equation 2.03. Chl <i>a</i> standard concentration	35
Equation 2.04. Chl <i>a</i> concentration from sample	36
Equation 2.05. Pheopigment concentration from sample	36
Equation 2.06 & 2.07. Standard error propagation	44
Equation 3.01. Modified derivative method to determine thymidine conversion	
factors	79
Equation 3.02. Integrative method to determine thymidine conversion factors	79
Equation 3.03. Cumulative method to determine thymidine conversion factors	80
Equation 4.01. Biomass specific N regeneration rate (from Goldman et al.	
1987)	126
Equation 7.01. Uptake of DIN by phytoplankton with cell quota	186
Equation 7.02. Uptake of organic C by bacteria	189
Equation 7.03. Uptake of organic N by bacteria	189
Equation 7.04. Remineralisation of N by bacteria	190
Equation 7.05. Normalised sensitivity	202

General introduction

### - CHAPTER 1 -

### General introduction

#### 1.1 Marine microbial food web

#### 1.1.1 Microbial ecology

Microbial ecology aims to study the interactions between organisms and with their surrounding environment. In the marine environment, microbial food webs are complex, dynamic networks of specifically and functionally interacting organisms. The evidence of the key role played by marine microbes, such as marine protists, heterotrophic bacteria and cyanobacteria, is now well supported by numerous studies. These microbes are a critical link in the "microbial loop". This microbial loop starts with the production of dissolved organic matter (DOM) and, through heterotrophic bacteria and the processes they mediate, ends with more respiration, nutrient cycling, growth of bacteria and their grazers.

#### 1.1.2 Diversity amongst microbial organisms

Marine microbes are single celled organisms grouped in three divisions of life: Bacteria, Archea and Eukarya (Fig. 1.01), based on the study of subunits (16S and 18S) of ribosomal ribonucleic acid (rRNA) (Woese et al. 1990). These microbes exhibit a wide range of cell lengths, this being used as a method of classification (Table 1.01) by Seiburth et al. (1978). These organisms comprise both prokaryotic (no nuclear membrane) and eukaryotic (distinct nucleus) cells and cover a wide range of trophic

1

regimes from photoautotrophy (organisms using light as the source of energy) to heterotrophy (living on organic matter). Marine microbes also use a variety of energy sources, elements for biosynthesis and reducing equivalent (redox pairs e.g.  $H_2O/O_2$ , NADPH/NADP, HS<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>).



Figure 1.01. Phylogenetic tree of eukaryotic microbes. Relationship based on SSU rRNA (from Rappé et al. 2000).

#### 1.1.3 From the Classical view to the modern paradigm

The classical view of the marine pelagic food chain, i.e. inorganic nutrients taken up by phytoplankton which are in turn ingested by zooplankton which are ingested by fish (Steele 1970, Steele 1974), has changed significantly within the last three decades because of an appreciation of new "actors" in marine food webs. In his review, Fenchel (1988) drew a new scheme of marine plankton food chain (Fig. 1.02) by introducing the, so-called, microbial loop (Pomeroy 1974, Azam et al. 1983, Murray & Eldridge 1994).

Although bacteria were already suggested as decomposers, the new picture of plankton based on this microbial loop brought a "change in paradigm" by recognising that phototrophic and heterotrophic microorganisms (in particular bacteria and microflagellate grazers) play a substantial or even sometimes dominating role in the cycling of matter in the sea (LeB Williams 1981, Azam et al. 1983).



Figure 1.02. Conceptual diagram of the microbial food web with autotrophic organisms (green frame) and heterotrophic organism (orange frame). Green arrows represents autotrotrophic processes of inorganic nutrient uptake and DOM production by phytoplankton. Brown arrows represent heterotrophic processes with consumption of DOM by bacteria, remineralisation into inorganic nutrient, and grazing of phytoplankton. White arrows represent the existing trophic links between heterotrophic organisms (redrawn from Fenchel, 1988).

Bacteria can, under this new paradigm, both enter into competition with phytoplankton for inorganic resources and also act as a major pathway of organic matter decomposition, both particulate and dissolved (Dugdale & Goering 1967, Ducklow 1983, Andersen & Fenchel 1985, Biddanda 1985, Caron et al. 1985, Goldman et al.

1985, Alldredge et al. 1986, Andersen & Sorensen 1986, Biddanda 1986, Ducklow et al. 1986, Biddanda 1988, Biddanda & Pomeroy 1988).

Nowadays, we know that, in most of the ocean, organic matter passes through the microbial loop. An average of 50 % of the primary production (PP) is consumed by bacteria, as photosynthesic exudates, the contents of dead-cells (released by bacterial and viral lyses, zooplankton sloppy-feeding) or zooplankton excretions (Fuhrman & Azam 1982, Azam et al. 1983, Ducklow et al. 1986, Azam 1998).

Size category Microbial group		Size range (µm)	
Femtoplankton	Viruses	0.01-0.2	
Picoplankton	Prokaryotes		
The second s	Bacteria		
	Phototrophic	0.5-1.0	
	Prochlorophytes	0.5-2.0	
	Coccoids cyanobacteria	0.5-1.0	
	Filamentous cyanobacteria	7-10 wide x	
		$\leq 100 \text{s} \log 100$	
	Chemoautrophic	0.3-1.0	
	Heterotrophic	0.3-1.0	
	Archea		
	Eukaryotes		
	Picoalgae, picoheterotrophic	1.0-2.0	
	flagellates		
Nanoplankton	Nanoalgae, nanoheterotrophic	2-20	
•	protists (mainly flagellates)		
Microplankton	Microalagae		
•	Microheterotrophic protists	20-200	
	(mainly ciliates and heterotrophic		
	dinoflagellates)		

Table 1.01. General groups of pelagic maicrobes in the sea

Microbial size categories proposed by Sieburth (1978), after Sherr et al. (2000).

In addition to bacteria, heterotrophic micro-flagellates are important in the microbial loop. Microflagellates, which graze on both phytoplankton and heterotrophic bacterioplankton, play a major role in marine food webs by removing the organic matter from the linear grazing model phytoplankton-zooplankton-fish (LeB Williams 1981, Estep et al. 1986, Fenchel 1986). Furthermore, these micrograzers are able to convert

organic matter into inorganic nutrients, hence enabling further production (LeB Williams 1981).

#### 1.2 Marine heterotrophic bacteria

#### 1.2.1 Diversity and molecular ecology

Studies in the early nineties (Giovannoni et al. 1990) that used rRNA small subunit (SSU rRNA) revealed the existence of several bacterial taxa, uncultured or unknown until then, amongst the prokaryote kingdom. SSU rRNA genes sequence comparison demonstrated that most (80 %) bacteria fall into nine phylogenetic groups (Giovannoni & Rappe 2000). Most of these marine bacteria fall into the  $\gamma$  subclass of the *proteobacteria*, with lower numbers comprising in the *Cytophaga-Flavobacterium-Bacteriodes* group and the  $\alpha$  subclass of *proteobacteria*. Numerous studies have demonstrated a range of abilities of these different subclasses of marine bacteria. For example, the SAR 11 clade, belonging to the  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria, seems to dominate the upper layer of oligotrophic ocean (Field et al. 1997). The  $\beta$ -proteobacteria subgroup, however, is reported to be located in coastal waters (Rappé et al. 1997). Moreover, there is molecular evidence of great diversity among the heterotrophic prokaryotes, only a very few (less than 1%) have been isolated and grown in cultures (Rappé & Giovannoni 2003). With the vast majority of bacteria being in the uncultured fraction, one may expect that diversity and function will be found to increase even further.

#### 1.2.2 Role of bacteria in marine systems

Marine heterotrophic bacteria are the largest surface area of living organisms in the ocean (LeB Williams 1981). Because of their diversity and their key role in the processes they mediate in the oceans, marine heterotrophic bacteria have been of fast growing interest to marine microbial ecologists. Heterotrophic bacteria are closely related to DOM dynamics and they exhibit a wide range of interactions with the DOM pool (see section 1.3.2.4, this Chapter). Marine bacteria therefore appear to be a key player in terms of carbon (C) budget. Bacteria remineralise this DOM into inorganic form, hence potentially promoting further primary production and hence more OM (see section 1.3.2.4, this Chapter) and may paradoxaly enter in competition for these inorganic nutrients with the provider of their source of energy: phytoplankton (Bratbak & Thingstad 1985, Thingstad 1987). Therefore, it remains unclear whether or not marine heterotrophic bacteria are a net sink or source of C in the ocean (see section 1.3.2.4, this Chapter and also Chapter 6). Clearly, they interact with other organisms, playing a central key role in microbial food webs, as noted above.

### 1.3 Nutrients in natural ecosystems, stoichiometry and nutrient transfer through the food chain

#### 1.3.1 Inorganic nutrients

#### 1.3.1.1 Composition and concentration

Seawater is composed of a number of major and minor inorganic (non-living) elements. Major elements (concentration > 1 mg/l) include the cations ( $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $K^+$ ,  $Na^+$ ) and elemental oxyanions: ( $Cl^-$ ,  $SO_4^-$ ,  $HCO_3^-$ ). Minor nutrients (concentration < 1mg/l) include vitamins (e.g. vitamin B12), trace metals (including Fe, Mg, Zn, Cu), and

#### General introduction

#### Chapter 1

nitrogen, phosphorous and silicon (as nitrate NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, nitrite NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, Ammonium NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, silicate Si(OH)<sub>4</sub> and orthophosphate ions PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>). The typical concentration of trace metals is ~  $10^{-8}$  µM and can also be limiting e.g. in high nutrient low chlorophyll (HNLC) regions. While minor elements, N, P and Si are (at least in coastal waters) the most important in seawater because one or other of these is most likely to be exhausted and hence limit primary production. The order of magnitude of the concentrations of nutrient concentration is about  $10^{-6}$  M (Table 1.02).

Typically, nitrate, silicate and phosphate increase with depth. Occasionally marked changes occur with depth corresponding to the thermocline or chlorophyll maximum. Generally, the stratified surface layer is nutrient depleted because autotrophic organisms consume nutrients. Ammonium depth profiles show an opposite pattern with a decreasing concentration with depth. On an annual basis, nitrate and silicate concentrations are high during the winter and decrease as primary producers consume them during the spring bloom. Autumn and winter mixing supplied the surface waters with deep nutrient-enriched waters following breakdown of stratification. On the other hand, ammonium is released after nitrate consumption, mediated by heterotrophic remineralisation and this  $NH_4^+$  is subsequently used to support a regenerated production during the summertime.

#### 1.3.1.2 Nutrient ratio

Redfield (1963) demonstrated that elemental components are in balanced quantity in living marine organisms and defined the so called "Redfield ratio" of C:N:P to be 106:16:1. These molar ratios were reviewed by Brzezinski (1985) who added silicate and, while noting significant variability, suggested a C:N:P:Si ratio of 106:16:1:16. When phytoplanktonic cells are in balanced growth their ratios are

7

approximately those of Redfield but might respond differently under stressful conditions where nutrient concentrations change.

Phytoplankton cells in particular may exhibit large differences from this balanced C:N:P:Si ratio. As cells may continue to photosynthesise when N is limiting, it is common for cells to exhibit C:N ratios higher than Redfield. On the other hand lower than Redfield values are exhibited when light is limiting (Goldman et al. 1979, Falkowski et al. 1985, Smith et al. 1992b).

Table 1.02. Range of dissolved inorganic and organic nutrient concentrations in marine environments.

Nutrient	System	Concentration µM	References
Inorganic Nitrate NO <sub>3</sub>	Oceanic Coastal	0-40	
Nitrite NO <sub>2</sub>	Oceanic	0-3	
Ammonium NH4 <sup>+</sup>	Oceanic Coastal	0-3	Lalli & Parson (1993)
Phosphate PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup>	Oceanic	0-3	
Silicate Si(OH) <sub>4</sub>	Oceanic	0-150	
Organic	Oceanic-surface	5.8	
DON	Oceanic deep	4.3	Bronk, (1998)
	Coastal	9.9	
	Estuarine	22.5	
	Ocean deep	$\sim 40$	
DOC .	Ocean surface	~ 80	Hansell & Carlson
DOC	Coastal	150-300	(2002)
	Estuarine/Rivers	100-800	
	Coastal/Estuarine	0.05-0.98	Karl & Biorkman
DOP	Continental shelf	0.03-0.39	(2002)
	Open ocean	0.01-0.28	(2002)

Similarly, diatoms grow with lower Si:N and Si:C ratios under Si limitation, higher Si:N ratios under N limitation, and higher Si:C ratios under light limitation (Martin-Jézéquel et al. 2000). Another factor that alters the elemental composition of phytoplankton is the differential light dependence of photosynthesis and nutrient uptake. While photosynthetic C fixation requires light,  $NO_3^-$  can be taken up at low rates in the

dark and uptake of both  $NH_4^+$  and Si(OH)<sub>4</sub> can continue through the night at rates undiminished from those in full daylight (McCarthy et al. 1996).

Bacteria have a C:N ratio lower than phytoplanktonic cells because of their high nucleic acid content. These ratios, based on bulk measurements, show a high variability (Bratbak 1985, Vadstein & Olsen 1989, Tezuka 1990, Fagerbakke et al. 1996) and range from 2.9 to 14.2 (Fukuda et al. 1998). Goldman et al. (1987) found a molar C:N:P ratio of 45:9:1 for bacteria, whereas the common molar Redfield ratio for algae is 106:16:1.

#### 1.3.2 Organic Matter.

#### 1.3.2.1 What is organic matter? How is it defined?

The oceans are the greatest reservoir of reactive organic carbon on earth (Hedges 1992) and more than 97 % of organic carbon in seawater is found in the operationally dissolved pool (Benner et al. 2002). Operationally, organic matter (OM) is composed of dissolved and particulate pools that are separated by a 0.45  $\mu$ m boundary, regarded as being a separation between living and dead OM. In practice, dissolved organic matter (DOM) and particulate organic matter (POM) pool are separated by filtration of water samples through 0.2  $\mu$ m to 1  $\mu$ m cut-off size filters. Glass fibre filters that have a 0.7  $\mu$ m nominal porosity are commonly used to separate DOM from POM, but small living bacteria (~ 0.2 to 0.7  $\mu$ m diameter) are potentially neglected in the POM pool as living particles because they pass through glass fibre filters.

#### 1.3.2.2 Size distribution of DOM

Even though OM has been recognised as a continuum of size in seawater (Sharp 1973), a size class distinction can be made based on physical separation by passage through membranes and filters of different pore sizes. Gustaffsson & Gshwend (1997), however, suggested that such a broad size-based definition is inadequate to understand the role of OM in marine systems (Fig. 1.03). Therefore, a size separation based on molecular weight is preferred for identifying DOM. These classes are described on passage through an ultrafiltration membrane with a 1 nm pore size (1000 Daltons (Da) cut-off).



Figure 1.03. Distribution of organic matter (POM and DOM) and size fractions of DOM.

General introduction

Size separation allows the distinction between High Molecular Weight DOM (HMW-DOM > 1000 Da) and Low Molecular Weight DOM (LMW-DOM < 1000 Da). Further, it is widely accepted that the majority (> 50%) of DOM is in the form of dissolved organic carbon (DOC). This DOC constitutes 60-75 % of the LMW pool in surface waters and 75-85 % of the LMW DOM pools in the deep ocean (Druffel et al. 1992, Borch & Kirchmann 1997, Skoog & Benner 1997, Benner et al. 2002). Williams & Druffel (1987) linked size separation to the lability of the DOM. Hence, because of the difference in concentration and reactivity of this HMW and LMW material; the 1kDa distinction becomes useful for understanding the cycling of DOM in seawater.

#### 1.3.2.3 Bulk concentrations, stoichiometry and composition of DOM

Comparison between the surface and deep ocean gives a picture of the characteristics that influence DOM reactivity in oceans (Table 1.03). The major production of DOM occurs in the surface ocean, thus it is the main reservoir of fresh and reactive DOM, whilst deep waters carry old and refractory DOM (Amon et al. 2001).

Based on concentrations of DOC, DON and DOP presented by Hansell and Carlson (2002) (Table 1.03), the stoichiometry of both surface (C:N:P = 300:22:1) and deep (C:N:P = 444:25:1) waters indicates that DOM is depleted in N and P (most markedly in deep waters). This is likely to be due to remineralisation of DON and DOP in the water column. In addition, analysis of HMW-DOM stoichiometry (C:N:P of HMW DOM is very similar to C:N:P of total DOM) shows that HMW compounds are the major constituents of DOM (Benner et al. 1997, Kolowith et al. 2001).

11

General introduction

The molecular composition of DOM is complex and Table 1.03 gives an overview of different components found (for more details see Benner, 2002 in Hansell & Carlson, 2002 and references therein).

Table 1.03. Bulk and molecular composition of DOC (0-100 m) and deep (100-1000 m) waters (Hansell & Carlson 2002).

Chemical characteristic	Surface ocean	Deep ocean
Bulk composition		
DOC (µM)	60-90	35-45
DON (µM)	3.5-7.5	1.5-3.0
DOP (µM)	0.1-0.4	0.02-0.15
DOC/DON	9-18	9-18
DOC/DOP	180-570	300-600
Carbohydrates (µM C glucose equivalent)	10-25	5-10
Molecular composition		
Total hydrolysable neutral sugars (nM)	200-800	20-170
Total hydrolysable amino-acids (nM)	200-500	80-160
Total hydrolysable amino-sugars (nM)	42-94	4-9
Lipids (nM)	0.2-0.7	nd
Total hydrolysable neutral sugars (% DOC)	2-6	0.5-2.0
Total hydrolysable amino-acids (% DOC)	1-3	0.8-1.8
Total hydrolysable amino-sugars (% DOC)	0.4-0.6	0.04-0.07
Solvent extractable lipids (% DOC)	0.3-0.9	nd
Total (% DOC)	3.7-10.5	1.3-3.9
Total hydrolysable amino-acids (% DON)	6-12	4-9
Total hydrolysable amino-sugars (% DON)	0.8-1.7	0.2-0.4
Total (% DON)	6.8-13.7	4.2-9.4

The major constituents of LMW DOM are free and combined amino acids, neutral sugars, amino sugars and lipids. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that the molecular composition of most of the DOM pool is unknown (an average of 80%) and resides in an Unresolved Complex Mixture (UCM), identified by gas chromatography and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) (McCarthy et al. 1998).

#### 1.3.2.4 Sources and sinks of DOM in marine systems

The major source of organic matter in the ocean is photosynthetic production by phytoplankton. Therefore, the amplitude of primary production controls production of DOM in the oceans. Several mechanisms are involved in DOM production including (Fig. 1.04): extra cellular release by phytoplankton (Fogg 1983, Baines & Pace 1991, Nagata 2000), sloppy feeding and excretion by grazers (Jumars et al. 1989, Caron et al. 1991, Nagata & Kirchman 1992, Nagata & Kirchman 1996, Ducklow et al. 1999, Nagata 2000), cell-lysis (viral and bacterial) (Proctor & Fuhrman 1992, Fuhrman 1999), solubilisation of particles (Smith et al. 1992b, Hoppe et al. 1993) and bacterial (enzymatic) transformation and release (Decho 1990, Tanoue et al. 1995, McCarthy et al. 1998).



Figure 1.04. Conceptual diagram of the main production and removal processes of DOM (frame) in the marine environment. DOM pool is composed here of refractory, semi-labile (SI-) and labile (I-) DOC.

13

#### General introduction

#### Chapter 1

Despite a global primary production (PP) of 7 Gt C year<sup>-1</sup> (Hedges 1992), DOC concentration remains in a narrow range of 40-80  $\mu$ M C, in most of the oceans. This indicates that efficient DOC removal processes occur in the sea. The main removal processes are biotic mechanisms involving heterotrophic bacterioplankton that are able to remove up to 30 % of local PP (Cole et al. 1988, Amon & Benner 1996, Ducklow et al. 1999). Bacterioplankton are able to take up LMW compounds (500-1000 Da) through their membrane (Saunders 1976), hence converting DOM to POM. Part of this organic matter is subsequently either respired to CO<sub>2</sub> or remineralised into its original inorganic form.

In order to assess the DOC flux going though bacterioplankton, the bacterial growth efficiency (BGE) is required. BGE can be expressed as the bacterial production (BP) divided by the sum of bacterial respiration BR and production:

$$BGE = \frac{BP}{(BR + BP)}$$
 equation 1.01

BGE can also be approximated by the ratio of DOC converted into bacterial biomass divided by the change in extracellular DOC concentration ( $\Delta DOC$ ):

$$BGE = \frac{BP}{\Delta DOC}$$
 equation 1.02

The gross flux of carbon to bacterioplankton is the amount of carbon needed to support BP and is called Bacterial Carbon Demand (BCD):
$$BCD = \frac{BP}{BGE}$$

equation 1.03

Estimates of BP and BCD have to be used with caution because they are estimated with model compounds or precursors of nucleic acids or protein synthesis (leucine, thymidine) and require conversion factors to convert measurements into carbon units. Because of the differences in the value of conversion factors in different aquatic systems, estimation of BP, BGE or BCD leads to discrepancies between biological carbon production and consumption (Anderson & Ducklow 2001).

When DOC is not directly available, bacteria are able to break down more complex material into available compounds using exoenzymes (Decho 1990, Chróst 1992, Smith et al. 1992a, Tanoue et al. 1995, McCarthy et al. 1998). A very important diversity in enzymes probably exits in the marine environments; but only a few are considered in studies, including peptidase, alpha and beta glucosidase, and lipase. These enzymes are involved in the breakdown of simple compounds. However, studies have demonstrated the increase in bacterial growth and enzymatic activities following the peak of the phytoplanktonic blooms in mesocosms (Fajon et al. 1999) or in natural waters (Zaccone et al. 2003, Zoppini et al. 2005). In a recent study, Kirchman et al. (2004) have highlighted the relationship between enzymatic activity and bacterial taxa in coastal waters, suggesting specialisation of the different sub groups of bacteria in the degradation of organic matter.

In addition to bacterioplankton, other marine organisms can play a significant role in DOM processes. Heterotrophic flagellates, such as choanoflagellates (Marchant and Scott, 1993), and mixotrophy (Rivkin 1987, Caron 2000) have been shown as a potential sinks for marine DOM. Sherr et al. (1988) reported an active uptake of colloidal DOM by heterotrophic flagellates. Regardless, these organisms or additional metabolic pathways, play only a modest role in heterotrophic processes on spatial and temporal scales (Carlson & Hansell 2002).

Finally, abiotic processes, such as photodegradation and/or phototransformation (Mopper et al. 1991) or absorption onto particles (Nagata & Kirchman 1996, Druffel et al. 1998) are also involved in DOM removal. Alternatively, accumulated DOM associated with particles may become entrained in interstitial water within marine aggregates (Alldredge 2000).

## 1.3.2.5 DOM in coastal areas

In coastal waters, an important part of the DOM comes from terrestrial sources and atmospheric deposition. Global riverine DOM inputs are estimated to be 0.25 Gt C  $y^{-1}$  (Cauwet et al. 2002). Hedges et al. (1997) showed that riverine DOM can be divided into a particulate (mostly detrital), a fine particle (colloids, N-rich) and a dissolved (Ndepleted) fraction. In this dissolved pool, 70% of riverine DOM is HMW and the major constituents have a terrestrial origin (e.g. cellulose, lignin) and only a small fraction is labile enough to be degraded (Cauwet et al. 2002). In coastal areas, primary producers release C-rich DOM that accumulates in surface waters. It has been suggested that nutrient depletion does not allow heterotrophic bacterioplankton to mineralise this production (Pakulski et al. 1995, Cauwet et al. 2002), which is one of the pathways of coastal DOM export to the open ocean. Numerous studies (LeB Williams 1995, Zweifel et al. 1995, Borsheim 2000) have described a DOC accumulation in coastal areas that occurs mainly during the summer.

#### 1.4 Sources, forms and fates of fish farming loadings.

Fish farms have been suggested as an important nutrient source in Scottish coastal waters (MacGarvin 2000). Uneaten food, fish excretion and faeces are the main fish farm inputs to the marine ecosystem. Gowen & Bradbury (1987) estimated direct food wastage of 20 % and excretions by fish (mainly  $NH_4^+$  and urea) accounted for up to 65 % of consumed-nitrogen. In general some 85 % of phosphorus, 80-88 % of carbon and 52-95 % of nitrogen input to a marine fish culture system as feed may be lost to the environment (Hall et al. 1990, Holby & Hall 1991, Hall et al. 1992, Holby & Hall 1994, Wu 1995). These elements appear as compounds, such as proteins (46% to 51%), carbohydrates (18%), lipids (14% to 17%), vitamins, therapeutants and pigments (Gowen & Bradbury 1987).

Tett & Edwards (2002) raised three hypotheses about the effect of fish farm discharges on the marine plankton community: i) "an increase in total phytoplankton due to the stimulating affect of fish farm nutrients", ii) "a switch in the balance of organisms due to the perturbation of nutrient ratios by these nutrients", iii) "an increase in the toxicity of harmful algae due to the effect of these nutrients".

Numerous studies have pointed out the potential effects of fish farm inputs for the surrounding ecosystems either *in vitro* (Takahashi & Fukazawa 1982, Arzul et al. 1996, Arzul et al. 2001) or following field studies (Jones et al. 1982, Granéli et al. 1993, Honjo 1993). As dissolved inorganic nitrogen is held to be the most important growthlimiting nutrient for phytoplankton in marine waters (Dugdale & Goering 1967) and excessive increase in dissolved nitrogen may cause hypernutrification of coastal waters, thus, dissolved nitrogen waste from fish farm might have the same effect. Dissolved inorganic compounds such as ammonium are directly released in the seawater surrounding cages via fish excreta. Inorganic phosphorus is also a feature of fish farm inputs but is likely to be more important in freshwater fish farms (Bergheim et al. 1984).

Vadstein and Olsen (1989) suggested that marine bacteria might be also phosphorus limited, hence an increase of bacterial growth if phosphorus enrichment occurs.

The major impacts of fish farm waste occurs on the seabed underneath fish cages as most of the effluent is particulate matter that sinks rapidly in the vicinity of fish pens and the impact normally stays confined within 1 km radius in poorly flushing areas (Wu 1995). The impacts on the seabed of this material are anoxic conditions, an azoic zone beneath fish cages, gas production (e.g. sulphide, methane) and ammonium release from the sediment.

Dissolved organic wastages are not well documented and have been investigated more through their effects (Wu 1995, Arzul et al. 1996) rather than a bulk parameter. Organic waste compounds have been reported to stimulate growth of particular phytoplankton species, either harmless or toxic species such as *Gyrodinium aureolum*, *Chrysochromulina polylepsis* or *Gymnodinium nagasakiense* (Wu 1995, Arzul et al. 1996, Arzul et al. 2001). Furthermore, fish excreta show variable stoichiometry and subsequently act as nutrient limiting factors for cell growth (Wu 1995, Arzul et al. 2001) and cell toxicity (John & Flynn 2002). The use of antibiotics in fish farms lead to the development of resistant bacterial population (Homer 1992). Finally, pigments and vitamins have been shown to stimulate phytoplankton species and are implicated in the toxicity of the dinoflagellate *Gymnodinium aureolum* (Gowen & Bradbury 1987).

In summary, it appears that fish farm impacts may have several effects, which depend mainly on species cultured, fish population density, feeding processes, the hydrography of exploited sites, and planktonic or benthic species, their trophic regime and sensitivity to fish farm wastes. However, very few studies have investigated the response of heterotrophic bacterioplankton or microbial community to nutrient enrichment coming from fish farms (Homer, 1992; Navarro et al. in press; Hart et al. unpublish).

18

## **1.5 Modelling microbial food web in coastal waters**

Mathematical models provide useful tools to investigate microbial food webs (Davidson 1996, Vallino 2000). Food web models are often formulated on a Nitrogen-Phytoplankton-Zooplankton-Detritus structure such as the NPZD-model of Fasham et al. (1990). Such models are useful to study the cycles of N and C in the marine environment. In addition, the recognition of the microbial loop as a major feature of marine food chains highlighted the importance of DOM cycling. "Mathematical" cycling of DOM is, however, poorly understood (Anderson & Williams 1998, Carlson & Hansell 2002), partly because bacteria are rarely included in these models (see introduction Chapter 7).

#### 1.6 Phytoplankton in microbial food webs

#### 1.6.1 The common plankton groups

The major common groups of marine phytoplankton are: the Bacillariophyceae (diatoms), the Dinophyceae (dinoflagellates), the Raphydophyceae-Cryptophyceae-Chlorophyceae (small flagellates), the Dyctiophyceae (silico-flagellates), the Prymnesophyceae (coccolithophores) and Choreotrichs (ciliates). These groups cover most of the plankton found in temperate coastal waters.

## 1.6.2 Plankton processes and annual succession.

Primary producers build their own biomass (e.g. organic matter) from light, carbon dioxide and inorganic nutrients via photosynthesis, and then this organic matter becomes available to higher trophic level. Depending on the plankton species, different

nutrients are required as building blocks, e.g diatoms specifically require silicate, in the form of orthosilicic acid  $(Si(OH)_4)$ , to build their cell walls. In turn, the growth of nondiatom plankton depends more on the concentration of other nutrients such as nitrogen or phosphorus.

In temperate waters, plankton seasonality is driven by changes in light irradiance, vertical mixing of the water column, availability of nutrients and predation pressure. At the end of the winter, the water column is well mixed and contains high concentrations of inorganic nutrients. The increase of the irradiance, seawater temperature and the high availability of nutrients allow the onset of positive net photrophic production, or primary production (PP). Primary producer uptake of inorganic nutrients through permeases follows a Michaelis-Menten law that postulates that under a concentration corresponding to the constant of half-saturation of the permease, a nutrient is limiting. PP increases until one limiting nutrient is exhausted hence limiting production and/or phytoplanktonic biomass. Evolving toward summerthermo haline stratification, the first phytoplankton bloom is replaced by other species adapted to particular nutrient levels, temperatures and light intensities.

During this time, bacteria play a key role in driving species succession by remineralising inorganic nutrients from organic matter produced and/or released during the spring event (senescent algae, exudates, particulate organic matter decomposition). These remineralised nutrients allow a second production increase that occurs in the late spring and summer. Dugdale & Goering (1967) introduced the concept of new and regenerated production according to the two types of phytoplankton growth appearing in marine ecosystems and described below. The first one, based on nutrients brought up during the winter is called "new" production and the second one supported by remineralised nutrients is the "regenerated" production.

#### General introduction

Life strategy is important to determine the dominant species in a considered ecosystem. "r" strategy-species are able to produce numerous organisms in a short time, supporting a high population-growth and comprise competitive species in colonising new habitats. By contrast,"k" strategy species have a low reproduction rate and are found in stable environments.

High silicate concentration in seawater, poor competition for substrate, "r" life strategy, growth efficiency and/or a competitive uptake rate of silicate are cell factors which might explain why the spring bloom is usually dominated by diatoms.

#### 1.6.3 DOM produced from phytoplankton

The quantity and quality of phytoplankton-produced DOM (see section 1.3.2.4 in this Chapter) is variable and highly dependent on the nutrient composition of the medium in which the cells are growing, the nutrient status of the cells and species-specific differences (Myklestad 1995, Granum et al. 2002, Gilpin et al. 2004). If we are to better understand the aquatic cycling of C and N in microbial food webs it is necessary to determine the factors that govern the quality of phytoplankton DOM production and its subsequent bacterial utilisation.

Diatoms (Bacillariophyceae) are a particularly important component of the phytoplankton, contributing to  $20 \sim 25$  % of the global net primary production (Werner 1977). Their requirement for silicon makes them most prevalent in coastal waters (Conley & Malone 1992), where a spring bloom of diatoms is often the major feature of the annual cycle of plankton succession. When nutrients are plentiful phytoplankton are characterised by nutrient replete balanced exponential growth and typically have stoichiometric nutrient compositions in line with the Redfield ratio (Falkowski & Davis 2004). However, reduced nutrient availability, and the particular nutrient that limits

## General introduction

phytoplankton growth, is important in determining the cellular composition of phytoplankton in the post exponential phase. The form of the limiting nutrient is able to govern the stoichiometric balance of intracellular nutrients and the relative contribution of different organic compounds (Zingone et al. 2005). For example, phytoplankton limited by the lack of N may continue to photosynthesise and fix carbon for some time increasing the cellular carbon:nitrogen ratio from a value of approximately seven that is characteristic of balanced growth to values of 10-20 that are common for N deplete cells (Flynn et al. 1993). For diatoms the ratio of available N and Si may be of particular importance as the N:Si ratio in coastal waters (Conley & Malone 1992) and the ratio of N:Si within nutrient replete diatom cells are both often close to 1 (Brzezinski 1985). Hence relatively small changes in inorganic nutrient availability, perhaps driven by the availability of allochtonous sources of inorganic N (Aure et al. 1998, Jickells et al. 2005), may result in a change in the nutrient limiting phytoplankton growth.

For diatoms, Myklestad (1974) Myklestad et al. (1989) demonstrated that glucans (polymers of glucose) are common storage products. These glucans provide a suite of bioavailable compounds (Hama et al. 2004). However, glucan only accumulates in quantity within diatoms when growth is suboptimal. Myklestad (1974) demonstrated that this was particularly evident under conditions of N stress, reaching up to 81% of dry organic matter in one stationary phase culture of *Skeletonema costatum*. Similarly, Gilpin et al. (2004) established that the form of nutrient limitation (N or Si) governed the magnitude of glucan production by a *Skeletonema* bloom. In contrast when Si limitation of *Skeletonema* occurs, the protein synthesis pathway is not switched off because nitrogen remains available. Si limitation can potentially influence quantity (biomass) and quality (species composition) of a diatom population (Granéli et al. 1993, Davidson & Gurney 1999). However, silicification processes within diatom cells become incomplete because of the lack of silicic acid supplied to the cell. Therefore the

cell wall, or more precisely the "organic casing" containing silicon, is not sufficiently silicified and this leads to weaker cell walls and leaking cells (Martin-Jézéquel et al. 2000). DOM release can appear as a consequence of cell leakage (Maestrini & Granéli 1991) and become available to bacterial uptake.

## 1.6.4 The appearance of harmful species.

Of the three thousand phytoplankton recorded species, about 40 are known to have harmful properties (Sournia et al. 1991, Hallegraeff 1993). Toxic algal species sometimes dominate blooms, reaching large biomasses and leading to events called harmful algal blooms (HABs). Not all of HABs are toxic to humans but at the minimum may cause a nuisance. In Scotland, HAB events raised a general concern when they were linked to the loss of fish production in the early eighties (a red tide of Gyrodinium aureolum, Jones et al. 1982) and shellfish poisoning. It is recognised that changes in species succession have the potential, in theory, to allow HABs events (Tett & Edwards 2002). These authors mentioned a number of proximate causes of HAB events, including "a general and widespread change in the floristic composition of phytoplankton", which may be related to the nutrient composition of their seawater habitat.

#### 1.7 The current situation in Scottish coastal waters

## 1.7.1 Scottish Coastal Succession.

In Scottish coastal waters and sea lochs, plankton food webs follow, in general, the same picture as drawn by Fenchel (1988). Plankton succession has been described in

numerous studies from the west coast of Scotland (Wood et al. 1973, Lewis 1985, Fehling 2004). All these studies agree that Scottish coastal waters and lochs seem to experience numerous plankton blooms within the same year rather than the usual view of two annual blooms. Tett et al. (1986) recorded three blooms in Loch Striven in 1980. Fehling (2004) found six different blooms occurring throughout the year over the period 2001-2003 at a station (LY1) in the Firth of Lorne. However, Jones (1979) found only a spring and late summer autumnal bloom in Loch Creran.

Phytoplankton blooms are dominated by different species according to the particular loch or water body studied; but nevertheless some species are likely to appear recurrently in Scottish coastal waters and Scottish sea lochs. Common species found from the Scottish west coast (Table 1.04) are *Skeletonema costatum*, *Pseudo-Nitzschia seriata* group, *Thalassiosira sp.* (bacillariophyceae), *Scrippsiella sp.*, *Gonyaulax sp.* (Dinophyceae), *Mesodinium rubrum* (ciliates).

1.7.2 Phytoplankton production and organic C pool in Scottish coastal water and sea lochs

Very few studies exist on the stock and dynamics of organic C in Scottish coastal waters. Jones (1979) described the seasonal phytoplankton biomass of Loch Creran as typical of coastal waters, including a nutrient limited spring bloom and a smaller summer bloom. The late summer and autumn bloom was not a regular feature of phytoplankton seasonality in Loch Creran. These observations were confirmed recently by studies of Fehling (2004). Tyler (1984), who described a C budget for Loch Creran, determined that organic C concentrations were mainly driven by phytoplankton production and river inputs in Loch Creran. This author also pointed out the highly

Table 1.04. Common J	olankton group and seasonali	ity of phytoplankton ir	the west coast of Scotland	
Time of the year	Site of study	Date of study	Most abundant species	References
March – April	Loch Striven, Loch Etive Loch Creran LY1 Station (Firth of Lorn)	1980 1970 - 1971 1982 - 1983 2001 - 2003	Skeletonema cosattum, Thalassiosira sp Skeletonema costatum, Chaetoceros sp Scrippsiella, Protoperidinium Skeletonema costatum, Pseudo-nitzschia seriata group, Thalassiosira sp.	Tett (1986) Wood (1973) Lewis, (1984) Fehling (2004)
May - June	Loch Etive Loch Creran Loch Striven Loch Creran LY1 station	1970 - 1971 June 1975 1980 1982 - 1983 2001 - 2003	Skeletonema costatum, Chaetoceros sp Skeletonema costatum, Nitzschia seriata & µ- flagellates Cerataulina sp, Thalassiosira sp, Hetrocapsa triquetra Scrippsiella sp, Gonyaulax sp. Chaetoceros sp, Skeletonema costatum, Pseudo- nircchia delicatiscima anomo	Wood (1973) Jones (1979) Tett (1986) Lewis (1984) Fehling (2004)
July - August	Loch Etive Loch Striven Loch Creran Loch Creran	1970 – 1971 1980 1982 – 1983 August 2002	Skeletonema costatum, µ-flagellates Skeletonema costatum, µ-flagellates Leptocylindrus danicus, Gonyaulax sp Gonyaulax sp, Scrippsiella sp Leptocylindrus minimus, Mesodinium rubrum Mesodinium rubrum, Pseudo-nitzschia seriata	Wood (1973) Tett (1986) Lewis (1984) Felhing (2004)
September - October	LYI Station Loch Etive Loch Striven Loch Creran LYI Station	2001-2003 1970 - 1971 1980 1982 - 1983 2001 - 2003	group, Leptocylindrus danicus µ-flagellates Gyrodinium (red tide) Gonyaulax sp, Protoperidium sp Skeletonema costatum, Chaetoceros sp, Pseudo-nitzschia seriata group	Felhing (2004) Wood (1973) Tett (1986) Lewis (1984) Fehling (2004)

25

dynamic character of the organic C pool in Loch Creran, calculating that the entire pool would be replaced every two to three weeks.

### 1.7.3 Heterotrophic bacteria in Scottish coastal waters and Scottish sea lochs

Only a hand full of studies exists for Scottish coastal waters and most of these have been undertaken in relation to fish farming (Navarro et al. in press; Leakey et al. unpublished; Hart et al. unpublished; Rogerson, 1992; Laybourn-Parry, 1992). These studies highlighted an increase in abundance and biomass of heterotrophic bacteria in the vicinity of fish cages, related to fish farm effects, through nutrients loading.

Navarro et al. (in press) also found bacterial abundance to be significantly higher at the surface (5m) than at depth (15m and 25-30m). Free living and attached heterotrophic bacterial population are mainly composed of  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria,  $\gamma$ proteobacteria and Bacteriodetes (M. Hart, personal communication) with an overall dominance of free living bacteria. However, it remains unclear whether or not taxonomic compositions of bacterial consortia are affected by fish farm nutrient enrichment. Leakey and Hart (personal communication) did not find differences in bacterial taxonomy between the fish farm and their control site.

## 1.8 Aims of this study

Marine microbial communities are clearly complex networks of interacting organisms Phototrophic organisms build their own biomass from light, carbon dioxide and micronutrients. This potentially leads to competition for resources between phototrophic organisms, which drive the succession of different species throughout the year as resource concentrations change. In turn, higher trophic levels feed on this organic biomass that has been built by the process of photosynthesis. In addition,

photosynthetic exudates, dead cells and zooplankton excretions are used by bacteria that remineralise the organic matter, providing a source of recycled inorganic nutrients.

The dynamics of these communities (growth, succession of species, competition, grazing) are fundamental to the transfer of nutrients and energy within the whole marine environment. Nutrients available to microbial communities appear in two general forms in marine waters, organic and inorganic. Autotrophic phytoplankton uses the latter for their growth but heterotrophic organisms such as bacteria are able to assimilate both of these forms. Hence, a study of the response of microbial communities to changes in their nutrient resources is important for a better understanding of microbial food web functioning.

Many temperate coastal waters are experiencing increased anthropogenic nutrient inputs (Allen et al. 1998). Along the North and West coasts of Scotland, fish farms may be the most important source of additional nutrients in most lochs and voes. The potential exists for nutrient additions from aquaculture to modify the quality/quantity of the nutrient sources and hence the response of microbial communities within these waters. These inputs may alter the inorganic or organic nutrient stoichiometry and hence the microbial communities present.

This project seeks to address the link between organic nutrient and microbial community dynamics by:

• investigating in detail the annual changes in marine microbial communities (abundances and species) related to nutrient, on the Scottish West coast and sea lochs:

What is the importance of the different sources of organic nutrients in Scottish coastal waters and fjordic sea loch?

Is the dynamics of microbial communities different between open coastal water station and restricted exchange fjordic system?

27

How do microbial communities respond to these different sources of nutrients?

- conducting perturbation microcosm experiments to study the influence of changes in inorganic and organic nutrients on microbial community productivity and composition:
  - Does the stoichiomerty of organic nutrients influence the metabolism of bacterioplankton and the subsequent transfer of these nutrients to higher trophic level?
  - Can inorganic nutrient have indirect effects on the DOM pool and the bacterioplankton?

What is the limiting factor of heterotrophic productivity in Scottish coastal water? Do bacteria really compete with phytoplankton for inorganic resources?

• using the data collected, to parameterise and test existing mathematical models to simulate the influence of changes in organic nutrient concentrations on microbial community dynamics.

# - CHAPTER 2 -

# Materials and methods

## 2.1 Sampling

Sampling was carried out on board of the R/V Seol Mara (SAMS research vessel) on the west coast of Scotland (Fig. 2.01) at three stations (Table 2.01), LY1, FF (Fish farm) and C5 (Barcaldine). Each station was sampled at three depths (3, 10, 15m) from 12/03/2004 to 21/2/2005; then only two depths (3, 10 m) were sampled from 7/3/2005 to 16/9/2005. There were 32 sampling occasions in total.



Figure 2.01. Map of the location of Loch Creran (stations FF and C5) and the Firth of Lorne (station LY1).

From each depth, two times one litre water sample was collected using one litre NIO bottles, launched from RV Seol Mara. Seawater was then transferred into cleaned thermos flasks. These allowed transport of the samples in the dark, at ambient temperature, to the laboratory, where samples were processed for chemical and biological analyses within 3 to 4 hours after collection.

Station	Position	Average depth (m)	Depth sampled (m)
LY1	56° 28.9 N, 05° 30.1 W	52	3,10,15
Loch Creran:			
Fish farm	56° 31.5 N, 05° 20.9 W	22	3, 10, 15
Barcaldine (Station C5)	56° 32.1 N, 05° 19.4 W	25	3, 10, 15

Table 2.01. Names, position, average depth of stations sampled.

## 2.2 Physical variables.

Conductivity, temperature and depth (CTD) profiles of the upper 20 m of the water column were recorded with a Seabird CTD probe (model Seabird 19, Sea-Bird Electronics, USA). Contour plots of time series were constructed using the Sigmaplot 9 software (Systat software Inc.).

Secchi disk depths were recorded at each site using a Secchi disk and converted into irradiance according to Holmes (1970). The coefficient of light extinction,  $K_D$ , was estimated with the formula:

 $K_D = \frac{1.44}{z_{SD}}$ 

equation 2.01

where 1.44 was the coefficient attributed to turbid coastal water and  $Z_{SD}$  the Secchi disk depth measured. 10 % irradiance depth was derived from the second formula:

$$Z_X = \ln \times \left(\frac{100}{X}\right) \times \frac{1}{K_D}$$
 equation 2.02

where X was the percentage of the light attenuated at the depth Z, (fixed at 10 % here), Z, the depth of 10% irradiance and  $K_D$  were replaced from the first equation. Tyler (1968) showed that the sum of  $K_D$  and  $\alpha$  (beam transmittance) could be estimated with a Secchi disk.

#### 2.3. Chemical variables.

#### 2.3.1 Inorganic nutrient concentrations.

Inorganic nutrients were analysed by colorimetric analysis according to Grasshof (1970) using an autoanalyser (Lachat Quick Chem 8000, Hach Lange, Colorado, USA) and using a standard flow injection method. Sub-samples (30 to 60 ml) for inorganic nutrients (ammonium, nitrate, nitrite, phosphate and silicate) were collected from filtrate (A/E glass fibre filters, Pall Gelman) and stored frozen at  $-20^{\circ}$  C in polycarbonate bottles. Samples were defrosted overnight prior to analysis and transferred into cleaned plastic (polycarbonate) tubes designed for the analyser.

Standard stock solutions of nutrients were prepared in deionised water on the day of each batch analysis. A mixed working solution of ammonium, nitrate, phosphate and silicate standards was used. Nitrite standards were made separately because they would otherwise interfere with nitrate analyses. Each calibration (mix and nitrite) was conducted with five dilutions at 20, 34, 50, 100 and 250 times and a blank run with

31

deionised water (ELGA system). The chosen range of concentrations of standard depended on the type of samples analysed (experiments with additions of inorganic nutrient or natural samples). Calibration factors were obtained using first or second order polynomial regression (Omnion software, Lachat Instruments, USA). Prior to calibration and batch analysis, a dye test (green dye) was performed to set up flow and valves timing.

Duplicated aliquots (8 ml) from sub-samples (filtrates) were used for analysis. A drift correction was applied using times 50 dilution standards run in triplicate at the beginning and the end of each batch. Incremental drift correction, using the average difference of the drifts, was then applied to samples. A salt correction was also applied to each batch following sample analysis and subtracted from each value. Salt correction was performed by removing critical reagents for ammonium, nitrate, phosphate and silicate reactions (nitroprusside, sulphanilamide alone, molybdate and stannous chloride respectively). These reagents were replaced with deionised water, which prevented coloured-complex formation. Salt correction for nitrite analysis followed exactly the same protocol.

## 2.3.2 Organic nutrient concentrations.

#### 2.3.2.1 Dissolved organic nutrient concentrations.

Dissolved organic nutrient were analysed by high temperature catalytic oxidation (HTCO) (Sugimura & Suzuki 1988) reviewed by Cauwet (1994). Calibrations were performed at the beginning of the day with a mix of glycine ( $C_2H_5NO_2$ , VWR, stock concentration 80 mM C, 12.25 mM N) and potassium hydrogen phthalate ( $C_8H_5PO_4$ , Nacalai Tesc, stock concentration 20 mM) giving a stock solution of 100 mM C and 12.25 mM N. Four-point calibrations including a blank of deionised water

(ELGA system), were performed on the Shimadzu TOC 5000A. Three dilutions from stock solution were calculated in order to cover the likely range of concentrations of the samples analysed. Dilutions were made in 100ml volumetric flasks with deionised water and using a very clean glass syringe to measure stock solution volumes. Calibrations give an average of  $r^2$  of 0.99 for both C and N (n = 24).

Duplicated sub-samples (10 ml) were filtered through precombusted (24 h, 450 °C) glass fibre filters (Whatman GF/F 25 mm, 0.7  $\mu$ m effective cut-off), with a glass syringe and filter cartridge, and using polyethylene gloves. Each filtered sub-samples was collected in a precombusted glass ampoules, preserved with orthophosphoric acid (35  $\mu$ l Analar 85 % H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> per 10 ml sample), sealed with a flame and refrigerated (4-6 °C) in the dark, until analysis. DOC was analysed on a Total Organic Carbon analyzer (Shimadzu TOC-5000A) with platinium catalyst (0.5% on alumina), carrier gas as O<sub>2</sub> (N5 grade, 150 ml/min), coupled to an NDIR (Non-Dispersive infra-Red) detector. Total Dissolved Nitrogen (TDN) was analysed with a nitric oxide Analyser (Sievers 280i NOA) using high temperature oxidation to nitric oxide (NO) and detection by chemiluminescence (Walsh 1989, Hansell et al. 1993, Sharp et al. 2004). Dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) was obtained by subtraction of total inorganic nitrogen (see section 2.3.1 above) from TDN.

#### 2.3.2.2 Liquid chromatography analysis of DOM

The carbohydrate from the DOM produced by *Skeletonema costatum* (see Chapter 5) were extracted from cell free spent medium of algal culture. This was performed by adding two volumes of cold ethanol to the medium collected, followed by subsequent recovery, extensive dialysis with ELGA water and freeze drying of the precipitated material. To characterise the carbohydrate molecular weight profiles in

#### Materials and methods

precipitated material. To characterise the carbohydrate molecular weight profiles in these extracts, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed with an Agilent 1100 chromatograph (Agilent Technologies) equipped with a refractometer and diode-array UV detector. A PL gel filtration chromatography column (Polymer Laboratories; 7.5 x 300 mm) was used at 30°C to identify the high molecular weight (>50 kDa) content in the extracts. The mobile phase used was 0.1M NaNO<sub>3</sub> and the flow rate 0.6 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. Dextran standards of  $M_r$  range 50–1,400 kDa (Sigma) were used to calibrate the column for molecular weight estimation. Additionally, in order to determine for the presence of free mono- and di-saccharides in the *S. costatum* extracts, samples were run through a Polyamine II column (YMC-Pack; 4.6 x 250 mm) at 30°C, with acetonitrile:water (70:30) as the mobile phase and flow rate at 0.8 ml min<sup>-1</sup>.

## 2.3.2.2 Particulate organic carbon and nitrogen concentrations.

Seawater samples (100 ml) were filtered onto a glass fibre filter (Whatman GF/F, diameter: 13 mm, precombusted for 24 hours at 450°C) and stored frozen at  $-20^{\circ}$  C. Prior to analysis, filters were oven dried at 60 °C overnight and folded into tin disks. Samples were analysed with an ANCA NT prep system coupled with a 20-20 Stable Isotope Analyser (PDZ Europa Scientific Instruments, Northwich, UK). Calibration was performed using a solution of isoleucine (L- Isoleucine, Europa STD) at concentrations of 1 µg N and 6 µg C. Standards were placed in tin caps (with Chromosorb W, PDZ Europa Itd) and oven dried at 60°C overnight. Calibrations were performed with series of standards from 5 to 200 µg N (25–1029 µg C) run at the beginning of each batch. Calibration curves give a  $r^2$  of 0.99 for both C and N (n=15).

## 2.3.3 Chlorophyll a concentrations

Chlorophyll a (chl *a*) concentrations was measured by fluorometry (Jeffrey & Humphrey 1975). Immediately after returning to the laboratory, 500 ml sub-samples were filtered in duplicate on a 25 mm glass fibre filter (type A/E, Pall Corporation, Portsmouth, UK) and stored frozen at  $-20^{\circ}$  C in ependorff tubes. Prior to analysis filters were thawed in 15 ml centrifuge tubes and pigments were extracted over night in the dark at 4°C with 8 ml of 90% acetone (VWR). Filters were sonicated for 1 minute and after subsequent centrifugation (3000 rpm for 5 min) chl *a*. was measured with a Turner TD-700 fluorometer (Turner Design, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The fluorometer was calibrated using chl *a* concentrations extract from spinach (Sigma, stock concentration 3.19 mg L<sup>-1</sup>). Prior to this, chl *a* concentration was first verified using a scanning spectrophotometer (Nicolet evolution 300, Thermo Electron Corporation, Cambridge, UK). Absorbance at 663-750 nm was measured for unacidified and acidified (50  $\mu$ L HCL, BDH, AnalaR) and concentration was calculated as follows:

$$[Chla.] = A \times K \times (Abs_0 - Abs_a)$$
 equation 2.03

where A is the inverse coefficient in 90 % acetone (using the value of Jeffrey and Humphrey, 1975) and K is the standardised acid factor (R) of the chl a extinction coefficient (87.7 g.cm<sup>-1</sup>) over pheophytin extinction coefficient (51.2 g.cm<sup>-1</sup>). The Turner fluorometer was then calibrated using a 0.1 and 0.2 dilution of stock chl a standard. Three readings of unacidified and acidified subsamples of both dilutions were made. Blanks were measured with 90% acetone only and HIGH and LOW solid standard (SST) measurements were taken to account for drift. SST was a fixed standard from which readings (high "H" and Low "L") are taken during calibration. It was,

therefore, used as reference to correct drifts related to the fluorometer. A series of ten samples were measured including a 90% acetone blank and High SST at the beginning and end of these ten samples. Chl *a* concentrations in samples were calculated according to:

$$[Chla.] = K \times \left(\frac{F_m}{(F_m - 1)}\right) \times (F_b - F_a) \times \left(\frac{V_{extracted}}{V_{filtered}}\right)$$
equation 2.04

where K is the calibration constant,  $F_b$  is the fluorescence before acidification,  $F_a$  is the fluorescence after acidification,  $F_m$  is the max acid ratio ( $F_b/F_a$ ) of pure chl *a*,  $V_{\text{extracted}}$  is the extraction volume and  $V_{\text{filtered}}$  is the volume filtered.

Similarly to chl *a*, pheopigment concentrations were calculated according to:

$$[Pheo.] = K \times \left(\frac{F_m}{(F_m - 1)}\right) \times \left(F_m \times F_b - F_a\right) \times \left(\frac{V_{extracted}}{V_{filtered}}\right)$$
equation 2.05

An average value for the 90% acetone blank (before and after 10 samples run) was subtracted from each value and an incremental drift correction was applied to each series of 10 samples using HIGH SST values. chl *a* concentrations were averaged from duplicate values for each sample.

#### 2.3.4 Primary production and extracellular organic carbon (EOC)

The following method is specific to Chapter 6 and describes the method as used for the experiment. Primary production (PP) was measured using the <sup>14</sup>C radioisotope method. Measurements of remaining radioactivity within the filtrate from the PP

measurments allowed estimation of extracellular releases of dissolved organic C (EOC) by phytoplankton cells (Larsson & Hagstrom 1979).

For each experimental replicate, seven 50 ml sub-samples were transfered in 60 ml polycarbonate bottles as follows: four sub-samples for incubations in the light (two duplicates stopped at  $t_{24}$  and two duplicates stopped at  $t_{48}$ ), two sub-samples for incubations in the dark (one stopped at  $t_{24}$ , one stopped at  $t_{48}$ , wrapped with tin foil) and one sub-sample as a blank; of which two replicates and one dark bottle were stopped by filtration at 24 and 48 hours. 2 µCi of <sup>14</sup>C labelled bicarbonate (NaH[<sup>14</sup>C]O<sub>3</sub>) were added to all bottles except blanks. Aliquots of 200 µl were taken from 12 random bottles for initial radioactivity check and these were placed in scintillation vials with 10 ml of scintillation cocktail (supermix:carbosorb:mQ water, v:v:v). Simultaneously, blanks were filtered through a 0.2 µm polycarbonate filter and were later processed as incubated samples (see below). All the bottles were incubated under exactly the same conditions of temperature and light (see section 6.2.2, this Chapter).

After incubation (24 and 48 hours), all sub-samples were filtered through a 0.22 µm polycarbonate membrane (Whatmann, 47 mm) and acidified in a dessicator for at least 12 hours with 12 N HCl fumes to remove inorganic radiolabelled C. Filters were then placed in scintillation vials with 4.5 ml of scintillation liquid (Optiphase II). Filtrates were collected and an aliquot of 5 ml was then placed in a scintillation vial with 1 ml of 6 M HCl; this was then agitated on a planetary shaker for at least 48 hours. 5 ml of filtrate were transferred into scintillation vials and they received 15 ml of Supermix scintillation liquid.

Radioactivity was measured using a Wallac LKB Rackbeta 1219 Spectral liquid scintillation counter. Total  $CO_2$  concentrations were estimated from salinity measurements (Sorokin 1987). Net C uptake rates were corrected for dark and initial

37

fixation (blank). There were no PP and EOC values available at  $t_{zero}$  because these are determined over a period of 24 and 48 hours.

#### 2.4 Biological variables.

## 2.4.1 Planktonic community composition.

#### 2.4.1.1 Phytoplankton composition and abundance:

Approximately 200 ml seawater sub-samples were preserved with Lugols Iodine (1% final concentration) and stored in the dark in 250 ml amber glass bottles. Phytoplankton cells from all samples were counted by inverted microscopy using the Utermöhl method (Utermöhl 1931). 10 or 50 ml of lugol-fixed aliquots were settled in 10 or 50 ml Utermöhl chambers respectively for 24 hours prior to the counts. The area of at least half a chamber, or 100 cells of the most abundant species, were counted at 200-400 magnification using an Axiovert S100 inverted microscope (Zeiss, Jena, Germany). Counting the whole chamber fixed the limit of detection at 20 individuals L<sup>1</sup>. Phytoplankton analyses were carried out by Ms E. Mitchell.

#### 2.4.1.2 Pico- and nano-plankton abundance:

Pico- and nano-plankton were determined by epifluorescence microscopy according to Porter & Feig (1980) and Sherr et al. (1993). Seawater sub-samples (100 ml) were fixed with glutaraldehyde (final concentration 1%) and stored in brown plastic bottles in a cold room (4-6 °C). Enumeration of phototrophic and heterotrophic nanoplankton (PNAN & HNAN), cyanobacteria and bacterioplankton (heterotrophic bacteria and archea) was performed using a Zeiss Axioskop2 mounted with 02 (UV excitation), 09 (blue excitation) and 20 (green excitation) filter blocks.

## Materials and methods

HNAN and PNAN were counted using 15 ml of glutaraldehyde fixed aliquots stained with DAPI (4'-6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole,  $5\mu$ g.ml<sup>-1</sup>) for a period of 5 to 10 minutes and filtered onto a white polycarbonate filter (Whatman, 25 mm, 0.8 µm porosity). The filtration funnel was rinsed twice with 0.2 µm filtered deionised water (ELGA system). Filters were stored on slides and frozen at  $-20^{\circ}$  C until counting. Enumeration was performed by epifluorescence microscopy (Sherr et al. 1993) using a Zeiss Axioskop2 at x1000 magnification and 25 to 50 fields of view (FOV) were counted. Nanoplankton was identified by their blue fluorescence under UV light, and HNAN were distinguished from PNAN by the absence of chlorophyll-a autofluorescence and red fluorescence of chlorophyll under green illumination.

#### 2.4.1.3 Cyanobacteria

Cyanobacteria abundance was determined according to Porter & Feig (1980). Aliquots (5 ml) of gluteraldehyde fixed seawater (1% final concentration) were filtered onto a white polycarbonate filter (Whatman, 25 mm, 0.2  $\mu$ m porosity) and stored on slides and frozen at -20 °C. Cyanobacteria were enumerated by epifluorescence microscopy (Zeiss, Axioskop2) and identified by their orange fluorescence under blue light illumination. 30 FOV were enumerated for each filter.

## 2.4.1.4 Bacterioplankton abundance

Bacterioplanknton was determined according to Porter & Feig (1980). Aliquots (5ml) were stained with DAPI for 5 to 10 minutes and filtered onto black polycarbonate filters (Whatman, 25 mm, 0.2  $\mu$ m porosity). Filters were stored on slides, frozen at -20°

39

C and observed at x1000 magnification under fluorescence illumination using a Zeiss Axioskop2. 30 FOV were enumerated for each filter.

## 2.4.2 Bacterioplankton taxonomic composition

Bacterial community structure was analyzed by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). Bacteria from fixed samples (molecular grade paraformaldehyde, 4% final concentration) were collected onto 0.2 µm white polycarbonate membranes (25 mm diameter, Nucleopore) by filtering 5 ml under gentle vacuum and washing with 0.2 µm filtered ELGA water. Until further processing, the filters were stored in parafilm-sealed Petri dishes at -20°C. FISH analysis was performed according to the protocol of Pernthaler (2001). Each filter was cut into six sections and hybridized for 90 minutes at 46°C in individual (for each probe) equilibrated chambers. The oligonucleotide probes specific of Eubacterial groups used are summarized in Table 5.03. All probes were commercially synthesized (TAG, Newcastle, UK) and labelled with CY3. Unlabelled competitors probes were used with GAM42a and BET42a to prevent mis-annealing of non-target organism.

The probe EUB338 was used to maximally target the Eubacteria, and nonsense probe NON338 was used to assess background fluorescence counts. After hybridization the filter sections were rinsed with a washing buffer at 48°C for 15 minutes and rinsed in ice-cold deionised ELGA water. Filter sections were mounted on microscope slides in Vectashield-DAPI medium and stored at -20°C until enumeration.

References	mann et al. 1990)	'allner et al. 1993)	(Neef 1997)	Manz et al. 1992)	Manz et al. 1992)	Manz et al. 1996)	
% Formamide	35 (A	35 (W	35	35 ()	35 ()	35 ()	
Target site <sup>a</sup> (rRNA position)	16S (338-355)	16S (338-355)	16S (968-986)	23S (1027-1043)	23S (1027-1043)	16S (319-336)	
Sequence (5'-3') of probe	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT	ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGC	GGTAAGGTTCTGCGCGTT	GCCTTCCCACTTCGTTT	GCCTTCCCACATCGTTT	TGGTCCGTGTCTCAGTAC	
Specificity	Bacteria		Alpha subclass of Proteobacteria	Beta subclass of Proteobacteria	Gamma subclass of Proteobacteria	Cytophaga-Flavobacterium cluster of CFB phylum	50
Probe	EUB338	NON338	ALF968	BET42a	GAM42a	CF319a	<sup>a</sup> E. coli numberin

Table 2.02. Oligonucleotides probes sequences used to detect the bacterial group in this study.

41

#### Materials and methods

The relative abundance of hybridized cells was estimated as a ratio of hybridized cell counts to counts of DAPI-stained cells using epifluorescence microscopy. Cell enumeration was performed at x1000 magnification with an Axioskop2 epifluorescence microscope (Zeiss) under fluorescence illumination and equipped with a 20 filter block (green excitation). Hybridised bacterial cells were identified by their orange fluorescence under green light and enumerated from 20 FOV for each filter section.

#### 2.4.3 Bacterial production

Bacterial production was determined from [*methyl*-<sup>3</sup>H]thymidine incorporation (Fuhrman & Azam 1982). Working solutions (100 nM thymidine) were prepared periodically from [*methyl*-<sup>3</sup>H]thymidine stck solution (Amersham Biosciences TRK 418, specific activity 50 Ci.mmol<sup>-1</sup>, isotopic concentration 0.5  $\mu$ Ci.ml<sup>-1</sup>). Clean sterile Falcon tubes were weighted empty and after addition of 0.5 ml of radiolabelled thymidine. The stock solution of radiolabelled thymidine was sampled with a sterile syringe through a plastic cap. This procedure prevented the microbial contamination of the whole stock solution. The sampled volume was weight corrected and sterile deionised water (ELGA system) was added to achieve an accurate concentration of the working solution (100 nM thymidine). All working solutions used for this work were checked by mixing triplicate 100 µl aliquots of working solution with 10 ml scintillation cooktail for counting by scintillation counter.

Three aliquots (9.9 ml) of seawater were incubated in triplicate with 100  $\mu$ L of a working solution of [*methyl*-<sup>3</sup>H]thymidine (Amersham Biosciences TRK 418, specific activity 50 Ci.mmol<sup>-1</sup>, isotopic concentration 0.5  $\mu$ Ci.ml<sup>-1</sup>). One additional aliquot was killed with 10ml of ice-cold 10% trichloracetic acid (TCA) and was used as T<sub>zero</sub>. After one hour of incubation, the remaining three sub-samples were stopped with ice cold

10% TCA and filtered onto white polycarbonate filters (Whatman, 25 mm, 0.2  $\mu$ m porosity) mounted onto a glass fibre filter (type GF/A, Whatman, 25 mm, 1.1  $\mu$ m nominal cut-off). Both polycarbonate and glass fibre filters were soaked in a petri dish containing a solution of non-radioactive thymidine (SIGMA, 1 mM concentration) before filtration. Filtration funnels were rinsed three times with 5 ml of ELGA water and 5 ml of 95% ethanol for each sample. Filters were dried over night in 10 ml plastic scintillation vials, prior to the addition of 10 ml of scintillation liquid (Ultima Gold, Packard). Scintillation was counted using a LKB Wallac liquid scintillation counter (LKB Wallac, 1219 RACKBETA). Efficiency of counting was determined by the external standard channel ratio method. [*methyl-*<sup>3</sup>H]thymidine incorporation was calculated from counts (corrected for quenching) using isotope specific activity corrected for decay (Bell 1993). Carbon equivalents were calculated using the value of 30.2 fgC cell<sup>-1</sup> (Fukuda et al. 1998).

## 2.4.4 Thymidine conversion factor: dilution experiment

Dilution experiments were used to estimate empirical thymidine conversion factors to convert isotope incorporation into cell growth (Kirchman & Ducklow 1993). These experiments were carried out at three different times of the year; spring, summer and winter. Seawater samples were collected with 1 L Niskin bottles at LY1 and the fish farm site during routine sampling and stored in thermos flasks from 10 m depth. One part of seawater was diluted (1:9 dilution) with nine parts of 0.2  $\mu$ m filtered seawater (Vacucap, PAL company, 47 mm, 0.2  $\mu$ m; under gentle vacuum). Triplicated subsamples were incubated over 24 hours in the dark at ambient temperature, and bacterial abundance and production was assayed every 6 hours. Aliquots from each triplicate (5 ml) were fixed with glutaraldehyde (1% final concentration) for bacterial abundance by

DAPI staining (see section 2.4.1.4, this Chapter) and, simultaneously, aliquots from each triplicate (9.9 ml) were used to determine radio-labelled thymidine incorporation method over one hour (see section 2.4.2, this Chapter).

#### 2.5 Statistical analysis

Data sets, from field studies or experimental work, were analysed statistically in order to verify trends, observations and patterns. Most of the analyses used were multivariate. Multidimensional analysis (MDS) was used to illustrate population assemblages in different samples, Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was utilised to highlight similarities between stations and environmental factors, and Redundancy Analysis (RDA) was performed in order to determine relationships between biological parameters and physical and chemical factors measured at each station.

Student's t-test, normality test, ANOVA and PCA were performed with the free software R (Genuine Licence) using appropriate statistical packages. MDS was performed with the software PRIMER<sup>TM</sup> (Plymouth Routine in Multivariate Ecological Research, Plymouth, UK). RDA was carried out using the CANOCO software (Leps & Smilauer 2003).

#### 2.5.1 Error propagations:

Propagation of standard errors ( $\sigma$ ) or standard deviation ( $\sigma^2$ ) were calculated according to:

- If additions or substractions:  $\sigma_{A+B} = \sqrt{\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2}$  equation 2.06
- If multiplications or divisions:  $\sigma_{A \times B} = \overline{A \times B} \times \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_A^2}{\overline{A}} + \frac{\sigma_B^2}{\overline{B}}}$  equation 2.07

## 2.5.2 Normal distribution

In order to test the distribution of variables, a Shapiro-Wilk test was used (Shapiro & Wilk 1965). In statistics, the Shapiro-Wilk test tests the null hypothesis that a sample x1, ..., xn came from a normally distributed population. Alternatively, if a normal distribution of the variable was rejected by the Shapiro-Wilk test, a non parametric test was applied, such as Mann-Whitney U.

## 2.5.3 Student test

A student t-test tests the null hypothesis that the means of two normally distributed populations are equal. Given two data sets, each characterized by its mean, standard deviation and number of data points; it is possible to use the t-test to determine whether the means are distinct, provided that the underlying distributions can be assumed to be normal. The assumptions of such a test are that the data are normally distributed, homosedastic, the equal of variance, and that the samples may be dependent or independent. Variables were tested for null hypothesis of no difference between sites (LY1, FF or C5) or depth (3 and 10 m) using a Student's t-test.

#### 2.5.4 Multidimensional scaling analysis (MDS)

MDS is used to construct a map of configuration of samples, in a specified number of dimensions, which attempts to satisfy all the conditions imposed by a rank (dis)similarity matrix (Clarke & Warwick 2001). On the ordination the placement of samples reflects the similarity of their biological communities. The distances between samples on the ordination attempts to match the corresponding dissimilarities in

community structure. Hence, close points have similar communities, while samples that are far apart have few species in common or different abundance of the same species (Clarke & Warwick 2001).

## 2.5.5 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

The method of principal component analysis (PCA) was first introduced by Pearson (Pearson 1901). PCA is a technique to approximate high-dimensional information in a low-dimensional plot. Data from a dissimilarity matrix of the environmental data sampled at different stations are used to define the positions of samples in relation to axes representing the full set of measured environmental parameters (Clarke & Warwick 2001). The distance between two stations in the plot is a measure of their dissimilarity and the further two points are apart, the more the environmental parameters at each station differ from each other.

## 2.5.6 Redundancy Analysis (RDA)

Redundancy analysis (Rao 1964) can be described as a series of multiple linear regressions, using linear model of relationships among environmental parameters and between biological and environmental variables. RDA may also be considered as a constrained form of PCA, which identifies trends in the scatter of data points that are maximally and linearly related to a set of constraining (explanatory) variables (Makarenkov & Legendre 2002). RDA is used to investigate the strength of relationship between measured environmental factors and individual species within a multivariate data set. Similarly to PCA, RDA uses Euclidean distances. A Monte Carlo test is performed to test the significance between environmental variables and the biotic

composition. RDA was carried out at LY1 and FF for phytoplankton data in order to investigate environmental variables affecting significantly the abundance of species present at both stations.

# - CHAPTER 3 -

# Organic nutrient and microbial community dynamics

# - Field Study -

#### 3.1 Rationale

It is now recognised that tight coupling between phytoplankton and bacteria can exist in coastal waters, as well as in the open ocean (Fuhrman et al. 1980). In coastal areas, extra sources of available organic nutrients may alter this relationship. Further, phytoplankton and bacteria may compete for N resources, as both are able to take up inorganic (Dugdale & Goering 1967, Wheeler & Kirchman 1986, Kirchman 2000) and organic nitrogen (Fuhrman & Azam 1982, Antia et al. 1991).

Dissolved organic carbon and nitrogen (DOC and DON) may be of major importance to microbial dynamics in coastal waters but their concentrations, sources and sinks remain poorly quantified in comparison to inorganic nutrients. DON can be a significant fraction of total dissolved nitrogen (TDN) and may represent 13% to 18% of nitrogen in coastal and estuarine waters (Berman & Bronk 2003) of which 12 to 72% is considered to be bioavailable (Allen et al. 2002). Similarly, DOC may provide a labile C source to coastal microbial communities and hence, both forms of organic nutrients (C and N) are important for driving bacterial abundance (BA) and production (BP) (Ducklow 2000).

In comparison to phytoplankton abundance and production, time series estimates of bacterial abundance and particularly production are relatively rare in coastal waters (see review by Ducklow 2000). Uptake of radio-labelled thymidine is the common

### Field Study

method of choice for obtaining the productivity estimates that are particularly important for inter-comparison between ecosystems. However, productivity determinations are potentially compromised by the use of literature rather than *in situ* conversion factors (Ducklow 2000). An assessment of the calculations used to determine bacterial productivity is therefore required.

In addition to autochtonous (e.g planktonic) production of DOM, the coastal marine environment receives various extra inputs of organic nutrients from sources such as rivers or through anthropogenic activities. Despite its recognised refractory character, DOM from riverine inputs is partly degraded in coastal waters with turn over time of days to years (Cauwet et al. 2002); this indicates its (at least partial) availability to bacteria. Additionally, in Scottish coastal waters aquaculture, in particular fish farming, often provides a point source of both inorganic and organic nutrient (Arzul et al. 1996) which may perturb microbial community dynamics.

Finally, the manner in which bacterial communities respond to the quality and quantity of dissolved organic nutrients may influence trophic transfer within microbial communities. Heterotrophic nanoflagellates (HNAN) and ciliates are the main grazers of bacteria in marine environments (Sherr et al. 1986, Sherr & Sherr 1991, Strom 2000) and hence remove a significant proportion of bacterial production. Grazers of bacteria make this organic matter available to higher trophic levels (e.g larger grazers), which might not otherwise have access to this source of energy (Strom 2000). However, it remains unclear whether or not this grazing link is efficient in the transfer of energy through microbial food webs (Thingstad et al. 1997) and how this transfer may vary between locations.

## **3.2 Objectives**

The aims of this part of the study were:

- to assess the concentrations of dissolved organic nutrients (DOC and DON) throughout a full annual cycle at three contrasting locations in Scottish coastal waters,
- 2) to concurrently determine bacterial abundance (BA) and production (BP) at these sites and how these relate to dissolved organic matter (DOM),
- to assess the influence of different, direct and literature based, methods of calculating bacterial production,
- to determine the role of grazers in controlling bacterial population and trophic transfer of energy in microbial food webs.

To achieve these goals, a comprehensive set of biological state (chl *a*, bacterial abundance, heterotrophic and phototrophic nanoflagellates abundance, dinoflagellates and diatoms abundance) and rate (bacterial production) variables were determined over a two year period at each site, along with physical (temperature, salinity, density, currents) and chemical (inorganic and organic nutrients, particulate organic matter) variables.

#### 3.3 Description of the sampling site

Three contrasting locations were sampled to allow the comparison of annual trends in microbial community composition in relation to DOM concentrations as well as other nutrients and water column properties (see Fig. 2.1, in chapter 2). Sites were chosen in order to investigate an *a priori* hypothesis of the stimulation of bacterial activity by the point source nutrient input from a fish farm within a sea loch. One site was therefore located near to a fish farm so that comparison could be made with a non
anthropogenically impacted site in the same loch (but which would be expected to receive significant riverine nutrient loading) and a further open water site outside the loch.

Sampling was conducted within Loch Creran and the Firth of Lorne (see Chapter 2 for details). Loch Creran was chosen because it is, in its dimensions, freshwater input and tides, close to the "unrealised" typical Scottish sea-loch (Landless & Edwards 1976). It experiences anthropogenic inorganic and organic nutrient input from a fish farm located approximately in the middle of the main basin of the loch. Finally, Loch Creran is also readily accessible by boat from the SAMS laboratory.

Two sites were sampled within Loch Creran, a station close to a fish farm (FF) and station C5, described in previous studies (eg. Tett & Wallis 1978, Jones 1979, Grantham 1983a) and used in this study as a non-anthropogenically impacted site within the loch. Most of the historical data discussed below comes from this C5 station.

Open water station LY1 in the Firth of Lorne was chosen to provide a contrasting station to those with Loch Creran, *a priori* less influenced by organic inputs and because previous intensive studies (eg. Tett & Wallis 1978, Jones 1979, Grantham 1983a, Fehling 2004) have provided considerable background data regarding inorganic nutrients and phytoplankton abundance at this station.

### 3.3.1 LY1 and Loch Creran: historical comparison.

## <u>3.3.1.1 LY1</u>

Station LY1 is located near the Greag Isles, Firth of Lorne, lat. 56°28'.9 N, long. 5°30'.1 W, with a depth of 52m. LY1 station has previously been studied and used as a control site for studies in Loch Eil and Loch Creran. It is directly influenced by the outflow from Loch Etive and Loch Creran. However analysis of CTD and

### Field Study

phytoplankton data from a transect from Loch Spelve to Loch Creran suggested that it is typical of open coastal waters (Fehling et al. 2006). Monthly inorganic and organic nutrient concentrations, salinity, and temperature data from 1979 to 1981 (Grantham 1983a, b), and from 2001 to 2003 (Fehling 2004) exist for this station. Organic nutrients were also analysed in the Firth of Lorne by Solórzano & Ehrlich (1979). Tett et al. (1981) provided, from this station, one of the few studies of the annual cycle of phytoplankton in Scottish coastal waters. This was complemented by a study carried out by Fehling (2004) that generated data on inorganic nutrient concentrations, temperature, salinity and species-specific phytoplankton abundance with a high temporal sampling resolution from 2001 to 2003.

## 3.3.1.2 Loch Creran.

Loch Creran is 14 km long by 1 km wide (Table 3.01). It is a well flushed, two basin fjord, with shallow sill (7 m depth) at its entrance. This loch is separated into a small upper basin and a main basin, the latter with mean depth of 13 m and greatest depth of 50 metres. For further details see Tett & Wallis (1978) and Tyler (1984).

The water in Loch Creran has a typical residence time, which has been estimated to be from 7 (Tett et al. 1986) to 12 days (Tyler 1984). Salinity distributions suggest that during most of the year the loch has a two-layer estuarine circulation driven by freshwater inflow (Table 3.01). It was a site of detailed nutrient and phytoplankton study between 1972 and 1982 (Tett & Wallis 1978) but also for inorganic (Jones 1979) and organic nutrients (Tyler 1984).

In conclusion, it appears that historical data exist before the fish farm was installed in the nineties, particularly for inorganic nutrients and sometimes for

chlorophyll. However, organic nutrient data and phytoplankton data are poorly furnished.

Table 3.01. Physical characteristics of Loch Creran (after Edwards & Sharples 1991).

Parameter	Loch Creran
Volume	183 x 10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup>
Sill depth	7 m
Mean depth	13 m
Greatest depth	50 m
Catchment	179 km
Relative catchment	13
Main rainfall on catchment	1.9 m.yr <sup>-1</sup>
Runoff from rain	0.78 Mt.day <sup>-1</sup>
Freshwater flushing	0.0043 day <sup>-1</sup>
Typical tide prism	31 x 10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup>
Maximum tidal exchange:	
Neap tide	0.17 day <sup>-1</sup>
Mean tide	0.30 day <sup>-1</sup>
Spring tide	0.43 day <sup>-1</sup>
Exchange from salinitiy	0.16 day <sup>-1</sup>

Bacterial variables (abundance and production) presented in this study are the first recorded for Loch Creran and LY1 and is one of the very few studies carried out on seasonal dynamics of bacterioplankton in temperate coastal waters (Rogerson & Laybourn Parry 1992, McManus et al. 2004, Mary et al. 2006).

## 3.4 Physical variables

## 3.4.1 Salinity, Temperature, Density.

Water temperatures ranged from 7.1 to 15.2 °C for all three stations (Fig. 3.01). Temperature reached a minimum during February and March and was warmest in August and September. There were no significant differences in water temperature



between LY1 and the two stations within the Loch (FF and C5) or between FF and C5 inside the Loch.

Salinity profiles (Fig. 3.01) showed that strong haline stratification occurred during winter months. Salinity values ranged on average from 30 to 34 at LY1 station with exceptionally low salinity events recorded at the surface on the 4<sup>th</sup> of October 2004 and 13<sup>th</sup> of January 2005 (salinities of 25 and 26, respectively).

FF and C5 exhibited a wider range of salinity (from 25.6 to 33.4) than LY1, with low salinity recorded in loch in winter between October and February. On an annual basis, salinity at 10 meters was significantly lower within the Loch (Kruskal-Wallis, p value < 0.001) than outside. Station C5 also exhibited statistically lower salinity than LY1 at 3m (Kruskal-Wallis, p value < 0.05). However, no statistical differences were found between stations within Loch Creran.

Density followed salinity profiles and ranged from 20.2 to 26.5 kg m<sup>-3</sup> for all three stations (Fig. 3.01). Lowest densities were found from early October to February. LY1 exhibited significantly higher densities (Kruskal-Wallis, p value < 0.05) at 3 and 10 m than Loch Creran stations. However, no statistical differences were observed between C5 and FF.

### 3.4.2 Irradiance

Light penetration in the water column is shown in Fig. 3.02, as a three points running average of depth of 10% irradiance. Depth of 10% irradiance was taken as an indication of the depth under which photosynthesis may become light limited. LY1 exhibited a significantly (Kruskal-Wallis, p value < 0.01) smaller attenuation of light, with depth of 10% irradiance being, on average, 10.5 m. In contrast, FF and C5 demonstrated a similar pattern and were not statistically different from each other, had

mean depths of 8.85 m and 8.95 m respectively. Seasonal variations were difficult to detect as light attenuation may be influenced by multiple parameters such as turbidity, suspended matter or phytoplankton itself. Four noticeable events can be, however, described here: (1) low Secchi depth values in August 2004, preceded by heavy rain on the 8<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup>, (2) low Secchi depth value in March 2005, (3) low rainfall in February 2005 and early March 2005, followed by heavy rainfall that brought the depth of 10% of light irradiance up (6 m), i.e. April 2005, and finally, (4) August 2005, which was preceded with a long period of low rainfall and weak winds.



Figure 3.02. (a) Depth of 10% irradiance at LY1 (circle), FF (triangle) and C5 (square). Lines represent 3 points moving average at LY1 (solid), FF (dotted) and C5 (dashed). (b) Depth at which 50  $\mu$ moles m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> light were available to photosynthesis.

## 3.4.3 Meteorological data at LY1 and Creran.

Meteorological data (average air temperature, rainfall, wind speed, and irradiance) were obtained from the Dunstaffnage weather station (56°45' N, 5°44' W) close (about 15km) to the sampling sites.

Monthly average rain between April 2004 and September 2005 ranged from 1 to 8 mm day<sup>-1</sup>. Highest rainfalls were recorded in December 2004 and January 2005 and the lowest rainfalls were recorded between April and July. On a daily basis, rainfall ranged from 0 to 50 mm day<sup>-1</sup>, with greater rainfall events sporadically distributed over the year. Highest rainfall events occurred on 08/08/2004, 19/09/2004 09/01/2005 and 17/08/2005 with 48.4, 40.4, 42.0 and 45.4 mm day<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The longest high rainfall event was recorded in January 2005 with six consecutive day of rainfall greater than 10 mm day<sup>-1</sup>. Monthly average of wind speed varied between 5.9 and 13 knots with the strongest wind found in December 2004 and January 2005 (9.8 and 13 knots respectively). Monthly average air temperature oscillated between 5.1 °C and 15.8 °C. These temperatures varied with the season, with warmest temperatures were found in August and coldest during February 2005.

### 3.4.4 Inputs into Loch Creran

The contribution of fresh water to Loch Creran is on average  $286.3 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$  (Edwards & Sharples 1991, Austin & Inall 2002). The river Creran passes through Glen Creran and is the largest single source of fresh water into the Loch Creran system. Although there are few other minor streams, river Creran and Alt Duibhe are the major freshwater contributors to Loch Creran (Fig. 3.03). When ranked against other sea



designated by arrows and names.

lochs, Loch Creran has the second greatest mixing depth and the third greatest ratio of mixing depth to maximum depth.

Riverine inputs of nutrients to Loch Creran were monitored during 2005. Monthly samples were taken from river Creran and from river Alt Duibhe (Fig. 3.03), both running into the upper basin of Loch Creran. Samples were analysed for inorganic, organic nutrient concentrations and particulate organic matter concentrations, using the methods described in Chapter 2.

## 3.4.4.1 River flow of Creran river

River flow data were obtained from a study carried out by the HRPB/SEPA North from 1978 to 1981 and were kindly made available by SEPA Aberdeen (Fig. 3.04). The gauge was place at the mouth of the river Creran (coordinates: 56 34.17° N,



Figure 3.04. Monthly average river flow of river Creran (data average from 1978 to 1980) are represented with histograms. DOC concentrations measured during this study are represented for river Creran (dot) and river Alt Duibhe (triangle). Error bars are  $\pm$  S.E.

5 13.26° W) and daily measurements of river flow were averaged to give monthly data (Fig. 3.04). Highest flow rates  $(6 - 8 \text{ m}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1})$  were found between October to March and lowest flow rates  $(2 \text{ m}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1})$  were observed between May and July.

# 3.4.4.2 Nutrients from rivers.

Riverine inorganic nutrients entering Loch Creran are summarised in Table 3.02. Inorganic phosphorus and ammonia concentrations in both rivers ranged from 0.01 to 0.3  $\mu$ M P and from 0.06 to 2.9  $\mu$ M NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. However, concentrations of silicate and nitrate covered a wider range (0.01 to 27  $\mu$ M). The concentrations of nitrate in river

Table 3.02 Inorganic nutrient concentrations ( $\mu$ M) at the mouth of river Creran and river Alt Duibhe from April 2005 to December 2005.

	River Crerran				River Alt Duibhe			
-	NH4 <sup>+</sup>	PO4 <sup>3-</sup>	Si(OH) <sub>4</sub>	NO <sup>3-</sup>	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	PO4 <sup>3-</sup>	Si(OH) <sub>4</sub>	NO <sup>3-</sup>
25/04/05	2,4	0,3	10,0	9,4	0,6	0,1	27,7	3,3
10/05/05	0,5	0,1	0,4	6,0	0,0	0,0	17,9	2,1
26/05/05	0,4	0,1	0,0	7,4	0,3	0,0	3,5	1,0
16/06/05	0,8	0,2	0.01	2,8	0,1	0,0	3,9	0,8
21/07/05	0,4	0,1	0,1	4,0	0,1	0,1	11,4	1,0
04/08/05	3,0	0,2	2,7	5,3	0,1	0,0	6,5	0,9
19/08/05	2,4	0,3	0,0	3,6	0,8	0,2	11,9	0,9
01/09/05	0,6	0,1	0,0	2,8	0,1	0,0	5,9	0,8
15/09/05	1,7	0,1	0,1	3,7	0,2	0,0	8,7	0,7
31/10/05	0,8	0,1	0,0	3,6	1,1	0,1	11,7	1,8
06/12/05	0,6	0,0	5,8	10,8	0,1	0,0	14,2	6,2

Creran was significantly higher than the Alt Duibhe river, in all samples (average 5.4 and 1.8  $\mu$ M NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> for Creran and Alt Duibhe respectively). Silicates followed completely opposite trends and concentrations were greater in the Alt Duibhe river (mean 11.2  $\mu$ M and 5.4  $\mu$ M for Alt Duibhe and Creran respectively).

Concentrations of organic nutrients from the river (Fig. 3.04) followed an opposite trend to river flow with maximum concentrations found during June, July and September for both rivers. Maximum DOC concentrations measured in river Creran were between 350 and 420  $\mu$ M, making it a potentially important contributor to organic carbon stocks in Loch Creran.

# **3.6 Water chemistry at the three stations**

### 3.6.1 Inorganic nutrients concentrations

Ammonium concentrations ranged from 0 to 3  $\mu$ M (Fig. 3.05) with the highest values found in September 2004, and June 2005 at all stations. Stations within Loch Creran exhibited a pronounced increase of ammonium concentrations in September 2005 that did not occur in 2004. The lowest concentrations were observed in May 2004 and 2005 and no NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> was present at all stations on three occasions (27/04/2004, 6/09/2004 and 4/10/2004). There were no significant differences between the two depths (3 and 10 m), however NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> was found to be higher at FF than C5 and LY1 (Kruskal-Wallis, p-value < 0.05).

Inorganic phosphorus exhibited values ranging from 0 to 0.57  $\mu$ M with maximum attained generally in autumn-winter and decrease to its lowest concentrations during spring and summer months (Fig. 3.06). No significant differences in inorganic phosphorus concentrations were found between stations or depths.

Chapter 3

Field Study



Figure 3.05. Ammonium concentrations ( $\mu$ M) recorded at LY1 (a), FF (b) and C5 (c) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle). Error bars are  $\pm$  S.E.





Figure 3.06. Dissolved inorganic phosphorus concentrations ( $\mu$ M) measured at LY1 (a), FF (b) and C5 (c) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle). Error bars are  $\pm$  S.E.

Chapter 3

Field Study



Figure 3.07. Dissolved silicate concentrations ( $\mu$ M) measured at LY1 (a), FF (b) and C5 (c) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle). Error bars are  $\pm$  S.E.

Field Study



Figure 3.08. Nitrate concentrations ( $\mu$ M) measured at LY1 (a), FF (b) and C5 (c) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle). Error bars are  $\pm$  S.E.

Silicate concentrations ranged from 0 to 10  $\mu$ M and followed a similar seasonal pattern (Fig. 3.07). There were no significant differences between sites or depth at a location.

Similar to silicate, nitrate concentration varied between 0 and 10  $\mu$ M. Nitrate followed the same seasonal trend as inorganic phosphorus, reaching its maximum concentrations during the autumn and winter but decreased during the spring and remained low through the summer months (Fig. 3.08). No significant differences were found between locations or depth at a location.

In this study, N to Si ratios (Fig. 3.09) calculated as total dissolved inorganic N over Si concentrations, varied with season with values above 1 found from February to May and from end of June to August. High values found during these periods suggested a potential relative lack of silicate supply to the diatom community (see Chapter 5, section 5.1).



Figure 3.09. N:Si ratio calculated at LY1 (circle), FF (triangle) and C5 (square). Lines represent three points moving average at LY1 (solid), FF (dotted) and C5 (dashed).

# 3.6.2 Dissolved Organic Carbon and Nitrogen concentrations

DOC concentrations varied between 69 and 291  $\mu$ M (Fig. 3.10). No significant differences were found either between depths or stations. However, seasonal trends were observed over the two years of the study. A marked increase in DOC concentration was observed during July and August 2004 and in 2005 between June and September.

Furthermore, increases were noticeable during the spring 2004 at stations FF and C5 and were evident for all 3 stations in spring 2005. All of these increases in DOC concentration, ranging between 100-150  $\mu$ M, were followed rapidly by a decrease of similar magnitude. Finally, a winter build up of DOC was observed at the three stations, extending from late September 2004 to the end of February 2005. In addition, DOC concentrations were inversely correlated to salinity (Pearson correlation, P < 0.01), suggesting inputs of DOC through runoff or freshwater inputs.

DON varied from 2.2 to 41.2  $\mu$ M at LY1 and from 5.7 to 30.7  $\mu$ M at FF and C5 (Fig. 3.11). DON data, as calculated by subtraction of inorganic N to total dissolved N (TDN), were consequently slightly more erratic than DOC data but a few trends were still noticeable between sites. A late May/early June increase in DON concentration (20-35  $\mu$ M) was observed and was greater in 2004 than in 2005. DON concentrations increased during wintertime to reach concentrations around 22  $\mu$ M in January for the stations within Loch Creran, although, no significant differences were found between station or depth.



Figure 3.10. Dissolved organic carbon concentrations ( $\mu$ M) measured at LY1 (a), FF (b) and C5 (c) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle). Error bars are  $\pm$  S.E.

Field Study



Figure 3.11. Dissolved organic nitrogen concentrations ( $\mu$ M) measured at LY1 (a), FF (b) and C5 (c) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle). Error bars are  $\pm$  S.E.

## 3.6.3 Particulate Organic Carbon and Nitrogen

POC and PON exhibited similar patterns throughout the period of the study (Fig. 3.12), with increased concentration during May and late summer (August and September). These increases were greater in 2005 than 2004, with values ranging from 0.02 to 0.92  $\mu$ gC L<sup>-1</sup> and 0.01 to 0.12  $\mu$ gN L<sup>-1</sup>. A noticeable peak was observed at three m depth at station C5 in August-September 2004 and was greater than POC or PON peaks found in 2005 (0.93 vs. 0.6  $\mu$ gC L<sup>-1</sup> and 0.13 vs 0.09  $\mu$ gN L<sup>-1</sup>, for C and N respectively). No differences between depths were found either for POC or PON. However, POC and PON were significantly greater at C5 (Kruskal-Wallis, p-value < 0.05 and p-value < 0.01 for POC and PON respectively) than at LY1 but no differences were found between stations C5 and FF.

#### 3.6.4 Chlorophyll a and Pheopigments concentrations

Chlorophyll a (chl *a*) and its degradation products, pheopigments (pheo) ranged from 0.08 to 10.9 mg m<sup>-3</sup> chl *a* and from 0 to 0.7 mg m<sup>-3</sup> pheo respectively (Fig. 3.13). Chl *a* concentrations increased between March and May and between late June to August. Spring and summer increases were more pronounced within Loch Creran in 2005. Statistical analysis demonstrated that the concentration of chl *a* was greater within the loch than at LY1 (Kruskal-Wallis, p-value < 0.05). However, no significant differences were observed between FF and C5 or between depths at these sites.



Figure 3.12. Particulate organic carbon (a, b, c) and nitrogen (d, e, f) concentrations ( $\mu$ M) measured at LY1 (a, d), FF (b, e) and C5 (c, f) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle). Error bars are  $\pm$  S.E.

Field Study



Figure 3.13. Chlorophyll a concentrations (mg Chl a m<sup>-3</sup>) measured at LY1 (a), FF (b) and C5 (c) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle). Error bars are  $\pm$  S.E.

# 3.7 Biological variables

## 3.7.1 Marine Heterotrophic Bacteria

## 3.7.1.1 Bacterial Abundance

BA exhibited seasonal variations (Fig. 3.14) with values ranging from  $4.4 \ge 10^8$  cell L<sup>-1</sup> to  $37.5 \ge 10^8$  cell L<sup>-1</sup>. Maxima of BA were found, at all sites, between May and September with a first increase in May - June followed by a second peak in abundance around late August - September. From late September to April, the level of BA remained low, around  $1 \ge 10^9$  cell L<sup>-1</sup>, at all sites. The main pattern observed was lower BA (Kruskal-Wallis, p value < 0.05) at LY1 than Loch Creran. However, statistical analysis did not reveal differences between FF and C5 and no statistical difference were found at all stations over the period of the study.

### 3.7.1.2 Bacterial Production

BP was measured from the 12/08/2004 to the 16/9/2005. BP ranged from 0.08 to 53.2  $\mu$ g C L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> with peaks of BP recorded in September 2004, March 2005, May 2005 and August 2005 (Fig. 3.15). BP exhibited very high values in September 2004 at 3 m, especially at FF and C5 (46.5 and 53.2  $\mu$ g C L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Comparison of BP between stations revealed that BP at LY1 was, on average, lower than FF and C5 stations (Kruskal-Wallis, p-value < 0.01). A significant difference between depths (BP greater at 3m than 10m) was, however, found only at C5 station over the period of the study (Kruskal-Wallis, p value < 0.05).





Figure 3.14. Bacterial abundance (BA) in x  $10^8$  cell L<sup>-1</sup> measured at LY1 (a), FF (b) and C5 (c) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle). Error bars are  $\pm$  S.E.



Figure 3.15. Bacterial production (BP) in  $\mu$ g C L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> measured at LY1 (a), FF (b) and C5 (c) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle). Error bars are  $\pm$  S.E. BP are calculated using literature conversion factor (cf. text).

BA and BP were positively and significantly correlated (Pearson correlation matrix, p-value < 0.01) as shown in Figure 3.16 and, when plotted against each other, BA and BP showed a linear relationship (equation of the linear regression is given in the legend of Figure 3.16).



Figure 3.16. Plot of BA versus BP computed with data from LY1 (red circle), FF (blue triangle) and C5 (green diamond). Lines represent linear regressions for LY1 (solid line, BP =  $0.59 \times BA - 0.08$ , n = 60, p-value < 0.01), FF (dashed line, BP =  $0.72 \times BA + 3.5$ , n = 60, p-value < 0.01) and C5 (dotted lines, BP =  $0.48 \times BA + 8.4$ , n = 60, p-value > 0.05).

## 3.7.1.3 Bacterial Production and Conversion Factor

An empirically determined conversion factor to relate the incorporation of thymidine to the number of cell produced from this incorporation was critical to this study to achieve its aim of comparing stations and seasonal patterns. Many studies use a literature derived conversion factors because of the logistical problems associated with determining empirical values. As conversion may be, however, time and location specific, the use of literature derived values may give erroneous results. These literature based conversion factor, therefore, are not always relevant to the comparison of BP in different time and space scales.

## 3.7.1.3.1 Empirical determination of Thymidine Conversion Factor (TCF)

The results of empirical determination of TCF (experimental design described in Chapter 2, section 1.4.3) are plotted in Figure 3.17. The conversion factor experiments carried out in winter demonstrated that the increase in thymidine incorporation over time was only accompanied with a small increase in cell number. This, therefore, demonstrated little coupling between incorporation of thymidine and increase in bacterial cell number. However, it is worth noting that at this time of the year incorporation of thymidine was almost 10 times higher at FF (Fig. 3.17-d) than LY1 (Fig. 3.17-a). This trend was opposite in spring where LY1 exhibited higher thymidine incorporation rate (2 times higher than FF), while cell numbers remained in the same range at both stations. In summer, both cell numbers and thymidine incorporation rate increased exponentially. Cell numbers reached similar values (~ 60 x10<sup>9</sup> cell L<sup>-1</sup>) whereas incorporation of thymidine was 4 times faster at LY1 than FF (Fig. 3.17-c and f).

3.7.1.3.2 Calculations of thymidine conversion factor.

Calculations of thymidine conversion factor (CF) were carried out in three different ways using derivative, cumulative and integrative approaches, in order to assess the best usable conversion factor.

Chapter 3

Field Study



Figure 3.17. Bar chart of thymidine incorporation (gray) and BA (white) over the time course incubation (0 - 24 hours). Experiments carried out for LY1 station (a, b, c) and FF station (d, e, f) during the winter (a, d), spring (b, e) and summer (c, f). Error bars are S.E.

The modified derivative method assumes that cell numbers and rate of [*methyl*- $^{3}$ H]-thymidine incorporation increase similarly, that the incorporation of thymidine gives an estimate of  $\mu$ , the specific growth rate, and therefore that the slope of thymidine incorporation equals  $\mu$ , the slope of cell increase:

$$CF = \mu \times \frac{e^B}{e^b}$$

equation 3.01

with  $\mu$ : growth rate from change in abundance overtime, B: intercept of ln (cells) against time and b: intercept of ln (thymidine incorporation) against time.

This correspondence between cell increase and thymidine incorporation however is not always true and the slope of thymidine incorporation often exceeds specific growth rate (Ducklow & Hill 1985, Ducklow & Carlson 1992) (Fig. 3.17).

The integrative method is based on the relation between the total number of cells produced and the total amount of thymidine incorporated over time. Cell production is estimated by difference between initial and final cell counts. Total [*methyl*-<sup>3</sup>H]-thymidine incorporation is calculated by integration of thymidine uptake over time (using a quadratic fitted curve):

$$CF = \frac{\left[N_f - N_0\right]}{\int (Tdr)dt},$$

equation 3.02

with  $N_f$ : final bacterial abundance,  $N_0$ : initial bacterial abundance and  $\int$  (Tdr dt): rate of thymidine incorporation integrated over the duration of the experiment. This method may lead to underestimation of TCF because the incorporation rate of thymidine (on the

denominator) may increase faster than cell production (Ducklow & Carlson 1992) (Fig. 3.17).

The cumulative method is similar to the integrative method but use the slope of the regression of cell abundance and the cumulative [*methyl*-<sup>3</sup>H]-thymidine incorporation, producing a time-weighted ratio of cells produced to [*methy*l-<sup>3</sup>H]-thymidine incorporated:

$$CF = \frac{\sum_{0}^{i} (N_i - N_0)}{\int (Tdr)dt},$$

equation 3.03

Although, this method offers the best mathematical approach to TCF determination because it accounts for all the data, it is also sensitive to the lack of coupling between thymidine incorporation and cell numbers in incubated samples (Ducklow & Carlson 1992) (Fig. 3.17). These different methods provided the TCF values summarised in Table 3.03.

Table 3.03. TCF values calculated according to the three different mathematical methods.

	LY1			FF			
	Winter	Spring	Summer	Winter	Spring	Summer	
Integrative	160.02	8.43	7.72	2.31	30.47	7.45	
Derivative	134.03	6.66	39.05	5.59	16.94	26.18	
Cumulative	nd	0.80	1.30	8.50	13.30	6.30	

all values are x  $10^{18}$  cell.mole<sup>-1</sup> of thymidine incorporated nd: non determined

## Field Study

TCF exhibited large differences (up to 200 times) according to the mathematical method used. On average, the derivative method generated the largest TCF whereas the cumulative method delivered the lowest values. It is also important to note here the discrepancy in TCF calculated for winter with the three methods that demonstrate the effect of a lack of coupling between thymidine incorporation and cell numbers. No seasonal variation of TCF was obvious. However, TCF doubled from spring to summer at FF site, whereas TCF was two times greater in the spring compared to the summer at LY1.

Comparing BP calculated with literature ( $2 \times 10^{18}$  mole thymidine incorporated cell<sup>-1</sup>, Bell 1993) or empirically derived TCF (Fig. 3.18) demonstrated the importance of directly determined parameters when describing microbial processes, and to larger extent C fluxes from local to global scale. The cumulative method was preferred in this study because it accounts for all the data points of the TCF experiments and therefore gives more accurate calculation of TCF.

Visual inspection allows separating of seasons as follows:

- Summer: July, August, September and October
- Winter: November, December, Januay and February
- Spring: March, April May and June

This demonstrated that with a commonly used TCF ( $2 \times 10^{18}$  cells per moles of thymidine incorporated; Bell 1993), BP at LY1 was overestimated (annual average of empirical TCF =  $0.7 \times 10^{18}$  cells per moles of thymidine incorporated) for each season, whereas BP at FF was always underestimated (annual average:  $9.4 \times 10^{18}$  cells per moles of thymidine incorporated).



Figure 3.18. BP calculated with TCF from literature (circle) and empirically determined TCF (with cumulative method, see section 3.7.1.3.2) for this study (triangle) at LY1 (a) and FF (b).

### 3.7.2 Marine Nanoflagellates

Marine nanoflagellates were grouped as choanoflagellates, other heterotrophic nanoflagellates, phototrophic nanoflagellates and small heterotrophic dinoflagellates (size < 20  $\mu$ m) and taxa identified (as the most common in temperate waters) are summarised in table 3.04. Although several genera were identified in each of the four groups, the results in the following figures are displayed by group in order to simplify the description of the marine nanoflagellates community.

The abundance of each of these groups varied with the season and year (Fig. 3.19). Choanoflagellates abundance peaked in May 2004, March – April 2005 and July 2005 for all three stations. In 2004, FF station exhibited a late September peak reaching  $3 \times 10^5$  cells L<sup>-1</sup>. Station C5 displayed the highest abundance ( $4 \times 10^5$  cells L<sup>-1</sup>) in March 2005. Small heterotrophic dinoflagellates peaked in May-June 2004 and from May to September in 2005 at the three locations (Fig. 3.19, d, e and f). Maximal abundances

Choanoflagellates	other HNAN	PNAN	Small heterotrophic dinoflagellates
Bicosta spinifera	Small	Nitzchia sp	Gymnodinium sp
Calliacantha natans	Large	Navicula sp	Gyrodinium sp
Calliacantha simplex	Small + flag	Other Diatom	-
Cosmoeca sp.	Large + flag	Phaeocystis sp	-
<i>Diaphanoeca</i> sp.	Pear shape	Chrysochromulina sp	
Parvicorbicula socialis	-	Cryptophyte sp	-
Other	Other	Other	Other

Table 3.04. Genera identified within the four groups of nanoflagellates.

"other" was used when identification to genus level was impossible

were around 1 x10<sup>6</sup> cells L<sup>-1</sup> and were attained in July-August 2005. Other HNAN abundance was greater in 2004 than 2005 and was maximal during August 2004 and September 2004 (Fig. 3.20, a, b and c). It is at this time that they reached their maximum abundance of  $211 - 315 \times 10^5$  cells L<sup>-1</sup>. A smaller increase in abundance (20 –  $50 \times 10^5$  cell L<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in 2005 around March to May.

PNAN abundances (Fig. 3.20, d, e and f) peaked in September 2004, March 2005 and September 2005 and exhibited similar abundance during both years (130 - 200  $\times 10^5$  cells L<sup>-1</sup>). In 2005, an early March (7/3/2005) peak was pronounced and reached an abundance of 121, 162 and 322  $\times 10^5$  cell L<sup>-1</sup> for LY1, FF and C5 respectively. The PNAN, choanoflagellates and small dinoflagellates were significantly more abundant in 2005 than in 2004 (Kruskal-Wallis, p-value < 0.01). However, HNAN were significantly more abundant in 2004 (Kruskal-Wallis, p < 0.01). It is important to note here that data are not available before May 2004. It is therefore difficult to gain conclusive differences between years when elevated abundance of cells may have







Figure 3.19 Choanoflagellates (a, b, c) and small dinoflagellates (d, e, f) abundances (x  $10^5$  cell L<sup>-1</sup>) measured at LY1 (a, d), FF (b, e) and C5 (c, f) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle). Error bars are  $\pm$  S.E.

Chapter 3

Field Study



Figure 3.20. HNAN (a, b, c) and small PNAN (d, e, f) abundances (x  $10^5$  cell L<sup>-1</sup>) measured at LY1 (a, d), FF (b, e) and C5 (c, f) at 3 m (circle) and 10 m (triangle). Error bars are  $\pm$  S.E.

occurred before spring 2004, such as for PNAN (Fig. 3.20). An alternative is to run analysis between May and September for both years, although only 2 years of data are insufficient to draw conclusions on inter-annual variability. When this is done, however, none of these four groups exhibited a significant difference either between depths at a station or between stations.

## 3.7.3 Relating Bacteria to HNAN grazing

HNAN was negatively correlated to BA abundance (Pearson, p-value < 0.01) and a linear relationship was found between the logarithm of their densities (Fig 3.21, see legend for equations).



Figure 3.21. Logarithm of BA versus logarithm of HNAN abundance computed with data from LY1 (red circle), FF (blue triangle) and C5 (green diamond). Lines represent linear regressions for LY1 (solid line, Log (HNAN) =  $-0.38 \times \text{Log}$  (BA) + 9.8, n = 60, p-value > 0.05), FF (dashed line, Log (HNAN) =  $-0.98 \times \text{Log}$  (BA) + 15.5, n = 60, p-value < 0.01) and C5 (dotted lines, Log (HNAN) =  $-1.24 \times \text{Log}$  (BA) + 17.8, n = 60, p-value < 0.01).
# Field Study

This suggested a trophic relationship between these two populations. In order to assess the control of bacterial population and the role of HNAN as a trophic link, the logarithm of HNAN was plotted against the logarithm of BA (Fig. 3. 22), following the method of Gasol (1994). This empirical analysis allows the determination of whether bottom up (bacterial prey) or top down (grazing by higher trophic levels) controls HNAN abundance. Comparison was made with a mean realised abundance (MRA) estimated from the literature (Gasol 1994). This analysis revealed that 97% of the data fell above the MRA line (Fig. 3.22) with 7% of the data falling above the theoretical maximum (TM) of Gasol (1994). These data were therefore characteristic of HNAN control of bacterial population (or bottom up control of HNAN population).



Figure 3.22. "Gasol" plot of logarithm of BA versus logarithm of HNAN abundance at LY1 (circles), FF (triangles) and C5 (diamonds) measured at 3 m (open symbols) and 10 m (filled symbols). Colors indicate data from 2004 (blue) and 2005 (red). Solid line represents the theoritical maximum (TM) and dashed line is the mean realisable abundance (MRA) calculated from Gasol (1994)

#### 3.7.4 Phytoplankton

Phytoplankton abundance was determined monthly from April to September in 2004 and 2005 for only two stations, LY1 and FF. Phytoplankton from station C5 was not counted as other environmental variables demonstrated very little differences between C5 and FF and the taxonomic analysis was very time consuming. Figures 3.23 and 3.24 display the occurrence and relative abundance (Fig. 3.25) of each of the diatom, large dinoflagellate and ciliate taxa.

The first predominant taxa in 2004 at LY1 were Skeletonema sp., Chaetoceros sp., Leptocylindrus sp. and diatoms belonging to the Pseudo-nitszchia delicatissima group (Fig. 3.23-a). Subsequently, the diatom group of Pseudo-nitszchia seriata dominated the diatom community during the summer 2004, along with Leptocylindrus sp., the P. delicatissima group and Chaetoceros sp.

Similarly, 2005 started with the predominance of *Skeletonema sp.*, but was accompanied with species of *P. delicatissima* group and *Thalassiosira sp.* During the summer of 2005, the *P. seriata* group again dominated the diatom community. In addition, *Leptocylindrus danicus* and species of the *P. delicatissima* group were common. *Skeletonema sp* and the *P. delicatissima* group persisted or even increased in number at the end of summer 2005.

In contrast, the diatom community at the FF site, within loch Creran, exhibited different predominance over the period of the study (Fig. 3.23-b). The first predominant taxa observed in 2004 were *Thalassiosira sp.* with *Chaetoceros sp.* and *Leptocylindrus minimus*. Species of the *P. seriata* group and then *Chaetoceros sp.* dominated the summer bloom in 2004. In 2005, *Leptocylindrus minimus* dominated the early summer diatom community, closely followed by the *P. seriata* then *P. delicatissima* groups. Finally, diatoms of the *P. seriata* group dominated the community in late summer.

			1	LY1 - Diatom	5		
Jan-	04	May-04	Sep-04	Jan-05	May-05	Sep-05	Jan-06
	a	······	ł	<u> </u>			
Pseudo-nitzschia delicatissima –			)		$\cdots \bigcirc \cdots \bigcirc \cdots \bigcirc ($	<u> </u>	
Pseudo-nitzschia seriata –					~~~O~~~	<u>)</u> 00	
Leptocylindricus minimus –		00((	).0.0			$\bigcirc \bigcirc \cdots \cdots \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$	
Leptocylindricus danicus –		~~oO((	$\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$		$\sim$	)))	
Skeletonema sp –					$-\odot$	) () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	
Rhizosolenia sp –			).00				
Thallasiossira sp -		OO (	)0			$\bigcirc \cdots \odot \bigcirc \cdots \odot$	
Cylindrotheca sp -			)00)	-	$\cdots \odot \cdots \bigcirc \cdots \bigcirc $		•••••
Chaeotoceros sp (small <15um wide) -			000		0		
Chaeotoceros sp (large >15um wide) -		~~O) (	)·····O·····		·····O·· (O)		
Ditylium sp -		····•©	)				



Figure 3.23. Bubble plot of diatom abundance during the period of the study at LY1 (a) and FF (b). Cell numbers were log transformed and bubbles are proportional to the abundances. Bubble sizes are directly comparable between stations.



LY1 - Dinoflagellates & Ciliates



Figure 3.24. Bubble plot of large dinoflagellate and ciliate abundance during the period of the study at LY1 (a) and FF (b). Cell numbers were log transformed and bubbles are proportional to the abundances. Bubble sizes are directly comparable between stations.

FF - Dinoflagellates & Ciliates



Figure 3.25. Bar chart of relative abundance to total community of diatoms for LY1 (a) and FF (b). Genus and species are given as, *Pseudo-nitszchia delicatissima* (P. del), *Pseudo-nitszchia seriata* (P. ser), *Leptocylindricus minimus* (L. min), *Leptocylindricus danicus* (L. dan), *Skeletonema* sp (Skel), *Rhizosolenia* sp (Rhiz), *Thalassiosira* sp (Thal), *Cylindrotheca* sp (Cyl), small (< 15  $\mu$ m wide) *Chaetoceros* sp (Chae small), large (> 15  $\mu$ m wide) *Chaetoceros* sp (Dit).

91

# Field Study

Dinoflagellates were prevalent in 2004 with *Alexandrium sp.* appearing first in April 2004 followed by *Scrippsiella sp.*, *Protoperidinium sp.* and *Gonyaulax sp.* Protoperidinium sp. persisted during late summer 2004. In 2005, the dinoflagellates community was scarce with *Protoperidinium sp.*, *Dinophysis sp.*, and *Scrippsiella sp.* being the prevalent taxa.

RDA analysis carried out at LY1 (Fig. 3.26) and at the FF site (Fig. 3.27) demonstrated that 94% and 89% (LY1 and FF respectively) of the variance (planktonic community composition) was explained by environmental data. Only significant environmental variables (Monte Carlo test, p-value < 0.05) are shown in Figure 3.26 and 3.27. These analyses confirmed previous observations that species occurring early in the year (*Skeletonema sp., Chaetoceros sp., Thalassiosira sp., P. delicatissima* group, *Alexandrium sp.*) were inversely correlated with nutrients (consumption of inorganic nutrient by phytoplankton) but not correlated with temperature (remaining low in spring). However, species developing in the summer month (*P. seriata* group, *Leptocylindrus sp, Protoperidinium sp., Dinophysis sp.,* and *Scrippsiella sp.*) were correlated to temperature. It is important to note here the lack of influence of dissolved organic nutrients.

MDS ordination (Fig. 3.28), based on phytoplankton abundance and species composition (diatoms, dinoflagellates and ciliates), pointed out the seasonality of community composition at LY1 and FF. A seasonal progression from spring (April, May) to summer (June, July) and late summer (August, September) was recognised with the highest dissimilarity (Bray-Curtis) found between April-May and July-August with June appearing as a transition period (Fig. 3.28).



Figure 3.26. Bi-plot of RDA results for LY1. All phytoplankton species are plotted with significant (Monte Carlo test) environmental variables (TEMP: air temperature, DEN: density, WATEMP: water temperature, RAIN: rainfall, WIND: wind speed and DIP: dissolved inorganic phosphorus). Genus and species of diatoms are given as: *Pseudo-nitszchia delicatissima* (P. del), *Pseudo-nitszchia seriata* (P. ser), *Leptocylindricus minimus* (L. min), *Leptocylindricus danicus* (L. dan), *Skeletonema* sp (Skel), *Rhizosolenia* sp (Rhiz), *Thalassiosira* sp (Thal), *Cylindrotheca* sp (Cyl), small (< 15 µm wide) *Chaetoceros* sp (ChaeS), large (> 15 µm wide) *Chaetoceros* sp (ChaeL) and *Ditylum* sp (Dit). Genus and species of dinoflagellates are given as, *Alexandrium* sp (Alex), *Ceratium fusus* (C.fus), *Ceratium furca* (C.fur), *Dinophysis* sp (Din), *Prorocentrum* sp (Pror), *Gonyaulax* sp (Gon), *Protoperidinium* sp (Prot), *Scrippsiella* sp (Scri), *Peridinium* sp (Peri), *Gyrodinium* sp (Gyr) and *Gymnodinium* sp (Gym).

Field Study



Figure 3.27. Bi-plot of RDA results for FF. All phytoplankton species are plotted with significant (Monte Carlo test) environmental variables (TEMP: air temperature, WIND: wind speed and DSI: dissolved inorganic silicate). Genus and species of diatoms are given as: *Pseudo-nitszchia delicatissima* (P. del), *Pseudo-nitszchia seriata* (P. ser), *Leptocylindricus minimus* (L. min), *Leptocylindricus danicus* (L. dan), *Skeletonema* sp (Skel), *Rhizosolenia* sp (Rhiz), *Thalassiosira* sp (Thal), *Cylindrotheca* sp (Cyl), small (< 15 µm wide) *Chaetoceros* sp (ChaeS), large (> 15 µm wide) *Chaetoceros* sp (ChaeL) and *Ditylum* sp (Dit). Genus and species of dinoflagellates are given as, *Alexandrium* sp (Alex), *Ceratium fusus* (C.fus), *Ceratium furca* (C.fur), *Dinophysis* sp (Din), *Prorocentrum* sp (Pror), *Gonyaulax* sp (Gon), *Protoperidinium* sp (Prot), *Scrippsiella* sp (Scri), *Peridinium* sp (Peri), *Gyrodinium* sp (Gyr) and *Gymnodinium* sp (Gym).



;

Figure 3.28. MDS ordination of phytoplankton composition. LY1 and FF data were pooled and fourth root transformed. Symbols are April (triangle up), May (triangle down), June (square), July (diamond), August (circle) and September (cross).

#### 3.8 Summary of results

The major observations were:

- Mixing of the water column was predominant at all stations with no strong thermal stratification. However, strong sporadic freshwater input created marked haline stratification in winter, particularly within Loch Creran.
- Rivers entering Loch Creran brought significant amounts of inorganic and organic nutrients varying in form and/or concentration.
- DSi, DIP and DIN followed seasonal trends and were significantly and negatively correlated to salinity, POC, PON and Chl *a*.
- Concentrations of ammonia were significantly higher at FF than the two other sites. However, no differences in other environmental variables were found between FF and C5.
- Organic nutrient concentrations were not significantly higher at site FF than at the two other stations. However, DOC concentrations were inversely correlated with salinity, suggesting inputs of DOC through runoff or rivers.
- BA and BP were greater in Loch Creran than at LY1 but no differences were observed between C5 and FF.
- TCF varied significantly with season and site and highlighted important differences in BP. These differences were not highlighted when a single TCF value was used in calculations.
- BA was closely related to HNAN abundance, suggesting a tight control on bacterial population by HNAN grazing.
- Statistical analyses demonstrated that phytoplanktonic communities were seasonally different and the variability in phytoplankton assemblage composition could be partially explained by environmental parameters.

**3.9 Discussion** 

3.9.1 Sources of nutrients

#### 3.9.1.1 Nutrients from mixing

Physical parameters and inorganic nutrient concentrations measured at each site demonstrated a typical winter mixing of the water column (demonstrated by the negative correlation between water temperature and density, Peasron, p < 0.001) bringing up nutrient (demonstrated by the negative correlation between inorganic nutrient, N, P and Si and water temperature) and building nutrient stocks to be used by plankton during the spring bloom. These stations are characterised by a well-mixed water column with haline and/or thermo-haline stratification (Tett & Wallis 1978, Solórzano & Ehrlich 1979). Coldest waters occurred mostly in March and the warmest in August. Temperature increases from spring to summer and decreases during the winter as expected in coastal waters. These stations are characterised by a shallow layer of brackish waters at the surface (1 to 4 m depth) mainly occurring during autumn and winter when rainfall is greatest. Below this brackish layer salinity is higher but sometime strongly influenced by freshwater, as revealed by the abrupt diminution of salinity and density (Fig. 3.01). These observations were similar to those of Tett et al. (1978) for Loch Creran. Highest salinity was found usually between May and early June (Grantham 1983b, c, Fehling 2004). Due to flushing by water from the Firth of Lorne driven by a fjordic estuarine circulation, Creran's maximum nutrient levels would thus be expected to be close to those of the Firth (Jones 1979). This may explain the similar nutrient levels observed between the Firth of Lorn (LY1) and Loch Creran.

Both Loch Creran and LY1 are influenced by freshwater inputs as witnessed by the negative correlations (Pearson, p < 0.001) of inorganic nutrients (DSi, DIN and DIP) with salinity at both depths. This observation is in agreement with previous studies

97

# Field Study

(Grantham 1983b, c, Fehling 2004). High freshwater input events were highlighted by the density profiles that showed the extent to which the water column was affected. The fact that the salinity and density at LY1 was not as much affected as in Loch Creran might be explained by a higher flushing of the water body at LY1 (open coastal station) than in Loch Creran. This also confirmed the more exchange-restricted conditions within Loch Creran.

# 3.9.1.2 Nutrients from rivers

River Creran brings, on average, 160 x  $10^6$  m<sup>3</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> of freshwater to Loch Creran, which represents about half of total freshwater received by Loch Creran over a year and makes river Creran the main contributor of freshwater to Loch Creran. Therefore, nutrients brought by river Creran and, to a lesser extent, smaller rivers, such as the Alt Duibhe, may be considered as the major allochtonous nutrient sources to the microbial community in Loch Creran (see also section 3.9.1.3). In terms of organic carbon, the two rivers may supply about 3.2 g C m<sup>-3</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> (based on DOC measurement in g C m<sup>-3</sup> multiplied by the annual average of freshwater input from river Creran) to the loch. Based on TDN measurements, N inputs from rivers reach 0.22 g N m<sup>-3</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. The riverine origin of DOC proposed here was confirmed by negative correlation (Pearson, P < 0.001) between DOC concentrations and both salinity and density.

In terms of inorganic nutrients, river Creran and Alt Duibhe contributed differently to the form of nutrient transported into Loch Creran. River Creran appeared to be a major N supplier whereas, Alt Duibhe, had higher concentrations of silicates. The type of land traversed by the rivers may explain these differences in the form of inorganic nutrients. The contributions of rivers to Loch Creran and, to a certain extent LY1, inorganic nutrient concentrations were highlighted by negative correlation between nutrient concentration and salinity (and density; see section, 3.9.1.1).

#### 3.9.1.3 Nutrients from the Fish farm

One of the original objectives of this work was to determine whether a point source input of nutrients from the fish farm may result in enhanced concentrations of inorganic and/or organic nutrients close to the fish farm station compared to i) the further station C5 within Loch Creran or ii) the Firth of Lorn station, LY1. One might hypothesise that these enhanced inputs of nutrient would be expected to provoke a subsequent increase in autotrophic and heterotrophic biomass and productivity.

Although there was no evidence that organic nutrient concentrations were elevated at FF, an estimation of the contribution of fish farm activities to the organic nutrient stock of Loch Creran is possible. Hall et al. (1990) estimated that 878 - 952 kg C t<sup>-1</sup> of fish produced and 95 - 102 kg N t<sup>-1</sup> fish produced were lost to the environment. The same authors estimated that, among these losses, about 49% of C and 48% N were lost as solute releases (e.g total environmental loss minus sedimentation). Assuming a consented production 1500 tonnes over a two years cycle for the fish farm in loch Creran, estimates of organic C and N contribution from the fish farm to the whole loch (total volume) are therefore 1.84 g C m<sup>-3</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> and 0.19 g N m<sup>-3</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These estimates are approximately two orders of magnitude smaller than rivers contributions of dissolved organic material to loch Creran. It hence confirms the predominant role of rivers as sources of "new" DOM to Loch Creran.

Statistical analyses revealed that concentrations of both DOC and DON were not different (Kruskal-Wallis, p > 0.05) at FF compared to the two other stations. This, therefore, refutes the *a priori* ethos of enhanced concentrations of organic nutrients

from FF and raises the question of what controls the level of dissolved organic nutrients in Loch Creran? (see section 3.9.1.4)

However, while DOM concentrations were not elevated, another important input of FF in terms of nutrients was the significant increase in the concentration of ammonia compared to C5 or LY1. This feature has been demonstrated in numerous studies (Hall et al. 1990, Wu 1995, Arzul et al. 1996) as  $NH_4^+$  is the main form of N released in fish excreta (see section 3.9.2.3, see also Chapter 4).

#### 3.9.1.4 Nutrients generated by the microbial community

One cannot neglect the role played by the marine microbial community in the production of inorganic and organic nutrients. Because of the difficulties in assessing such processes, these were not directly measured in this field study. However, Chapters 5 and 6 give indirect estimates of N uptake, N regeneration and DOM production by phytoplankton for samples from LY1 and Loch Creran.

Primary producers are mainly consumers of inorganic nutrient (Dugdale & Goering 1967) and this was corroborated by the negative correlation (Pearson, p < 0.05) between inorganic N, P and Si with chl *a*, POC and PON, as indicators of biomass. In the open ocean, DOM is mainly produced by primary producers (Larsson & Hagstrom 1979, Lancelot & Billen 1984). However, in coastal and estuarine environments, DOM sources are various and hence autochthonous sources might not be the predominant origin of DOC and DON (Cauwet et al. 2002).

It is important to note here that limitation of phytoplankton growth by nutrients (see Chapter 1 for details) was likely to occur at LY1 and in Loch Creran as suggested by the N:Si ratio (Fig. 3.09). This inorganic nutrient limitation of either N or Si, depending on the season, provided the motivation to conduct the experiment described

in Chapter 5 and is further discussed in the same chapter. If we include the results on the production of organic C and N by phytoplankton from the experiment detailed in Chapter 5 we can summarised the contributions of the various sources of organic nutrients to Loch Creran (Table 3.05). This highlights the major contributions of phytoplankton in terms of organic nutrient supply to the loch, followed by rivers and finally the "theoretical" contribution of the fish farm. Assuming an annual average bacterial production of 10.1 mg C m<sup>-3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> (data from C5 at 10m), that all sources supply biologically labile DOC and that the BGE in costal waters is 0.27 (del Giorgio & Cole 2000), we can estimate the BCD of the bacterioplankton and hence the C turn-over time in Loch Creran (Table 3.05). These values gives insights into the contributions of the different sources, such as the very short turn-over time of the DOM originated by the fish farm, which could explain the absence of enhanced DOM concentrations (see section 3.9.1.3). This also highlights the overall short turn-over time of all these DOM sources, suggesting a highly dynamic environment in loch Creran.

	DOC (mg C $m^{-3}$ )	DON (mg N m <sup>-3</sup> )	BCD <sup>a</sup>	C turnover
Rivers	3.2	0.2	37.4	0.08 days <sup>b</sup>
Fish farm	1.8	0.2	37.4	0.05 days <sup>b</sup>
Phytoplankton	46.0	6.7	37.4	1.23 days <sup>b</sup>
Total	51	7.1	37.4	1.36 days

Table 3.05. Various sources of organic C and N for Loch Creran and carbon turnover time for each of these sources.

<sup>a</sup> BCD (in mg C m<sup>-3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) calculated from equation 1.03 with BGE and BP given in the text above. <sup>b</sup> turn-over time calculated assuming that the source was the unique source of DOC.

# 3.9.2 Microbial community dynamics

# 3.9.2.1 Bacterial production and dissolved organic matter

DOC concentrations range from 50 to 1500  $\mu$ M in coastal and estuarine environments (Hansell & Carlson 2002) and hence cover the range of values found in this work (73 – 291  $\mu$ M). Similarly, DON concentrations (2.2 – 41.5  $\mu$ M) fell in the range of values observed in comparable regions of the marine environment (9 – 54 $\mu$ M) (Bronk et al. 1998, Hansell & Carlson 2002).

In coastal waters, as in this study, the DOM pool is highly dynamic due to the variety of its sources, and the characteristically strong mixing regime and the elevated biological activity (Cauwet et al. 2002). BP values fell within the range found in other studies of coastal, estuarine or fjordic environments (Table 3.06). The data in Table 3.06 show that BP is also highly variable, ranging from zero to almost 200 mg C m<sup>-3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. In this study, BP varied with the season and with site (Fig. 3.15).

In addition, conversion factors involved in the determination of BP in aquatic systems have a major effect on calculated values of BP, as shown in this study. It is therefore important to take of variation in conversion factors in account. Overall TCF values range from  $0.1 \times 10^{18}$  to  $60 \times 10^{18}$  cell mole<sup>-1</sup> of thymidine incorporated (Ducklow & Carlson 1992) and  $0.2 - 2.3 \times 10^{18}$  cell mole<sup>-1</sup> in fjord and coastal area (Table 3.06) with exceptional values reaching 52  $\times 10^{18}$  cell mole<sup>-1</sup>. TCF found at LY1 and within Loch Creran were within the range of values observed in similar ecosystems (Table 3.06). Although a conversion factor might greatly affect the BP value, it is important to have standardised values that can be used in comparative studies (Ducklow & Carlson 1992, Fukuda et al. 1998).

	cell method	ncy of dividing o	ion method, FDC: freque	EU: leucine incorporat	tion method, I	TDR: thymidine incorporate
	This thesis	-	0.8 - 1.3	0 - 50	TDR	Lynn of Lorne, LY1
	Laanbroeck & Verplanke (1986)	•	•	15 - 90	TDR	Oosterschelde
	Rosenberg et al. (1990)	·	1.1	5.3 - 11.9	TDR	North Sea
•	Tuomi (1997)	·	0.9 - 4.7	3.2 - 38.9	TDR	Gulf of Finland
	Turley & Lochte (1985)	ı	F	15 - 90	FDC	Irish Sea
	Laanbroeck et al. (1985)	S	2.1	0.5 - 7	TDR	Oosterschelde
	Lancelot & Billen (1984)	44 – 68	1.4 - 52	12 - 52	TDR	North Sea
	Feuerpfeil et al (2004)		•	0.26 - 106.3	LEU	Southern Baltic Sea
	Newell & Fallon (1982)	25	1.3	5 – 192	TDR	Georgia Bight
	Fuhrman et al. (1980)	>10	0.2-1.3	0.7 - 53	TDR	Scripps pier
	Caron et al. (2000)	•	4.7	4.5 - 11	TDR	Georges Bank
						Coastal Ocean
	This thesis		6.3 - 13.3	0 - 130	TDR	Loch Creran
	McManus et al. (2004)	•	3	14 - 179	TDR	Mobile Bay
	Albright & McCrae (1987)	9 - 189	1.2	0 - 36.9	TDR	Howe sound, B.C.
	Fuhrman & Azam (1980)	10	0.2-1.3	7.2 - 71	TDR	Saanich Inlet
						Fjords
1	References	BP:PP (%)	TCF 10 <sup>18</sup> cells.mole <sup>-1</sup>	BP (mgC m <sup>-3</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	Method	Location
and	nary production ratio (BP:PP) in fjordic	production to prin	tor (TCF) and bacterial t	ymidine conversion fac d Carlson 1992).	ction (BP), th a Ducklow an	Table 3.06. Bacterial produ coastal studies (update fron

Field Study

103

# 3.9.2.2 Conversion factors

Incorporation rate of tracer is compared to bacterial biomass (or abundance) over time. The main advantage of this technique is that conversion factors are calculated with natural assemblages of bacteria. TCF is measured for the particular ecosystem studied and it allows a "correction" of theoretical factors. The critical assumption is that there is no difference in conversion factor (or rate of incorporation) compared to an undisturbed sample. A theoretical standard conversion factor of  $0.4 - 0.5 \times 10^{18}$  cells mole<sup>-1</sup> of thymidine incorporated has been calculated assuming i) a 25 mol% of thymidine in DNA, ii) a bacterial DNA content of 2 - 4 fg cell<sup>-1</sup>, and iii) no de novo synthesis or exogenous dilution. Estimates derived from different methods vary in their principle (incorporation into DNA, protein, observation of cell division). Using a higher final concentration of <sup>3</sup>H thymidine can reduce variability of conversion factors, as a lack of uptake saturation occurs at low concentrations of <sup>3</sup>H-thymidine. Furthermore, Tuomi (1997) argued that an increase of incorporation of thymidine into other macromolecules (different to DNA) might result in an underestimation of TCF and therefore BP. This uncoupling between incorporation of thymidine and increase of BA was observed in this study during the winter and might have explained the reason of elevated or incalculable (infinite value) TCFs. Although <sup>3</sup>H-thymidine incorporation is a controversial method (reviewed by Bell 1990, Robarts & Zohari 1993), over half of published bacterial production data are derived using this method (Ducklow, 2000).

#### 3.9.2.3 Bottom-up control of bacterial population

Heterotrophic bacteria have been recognised as the major consumers and remineralisers of organic matter in the ocean (Pomeroy 1974, LeB Williams 1981, Fuhrman & Azam 1982). Although the coastal ocean is a highly productive region in

terms of phytoplankton, it is difficult to draw the same conclusion regarding the productivity of heterotrophic bacteria and the DOM dynamics (Ducklow 2000).

This study suggested that available DOM was taken up rapidly in the loch to support the higher BP found at FF and C5 compared to LY1. In fact, there were no significant differences in DOC or DON concentrations between LY1 and Loch Creran. Furthermore, DOC and DON were not directly correlated to BA (p = 0.335 and p = 0.941 for DOC and DON respectively) or BP (p = 0.087 and p = 0.105 for DOC and DON respectively).

Annual primary production (PP) was not assessed in this study; however, Tyler (1984) estimated the total gross annual PP of Loch Creran to be 54.7 g C  $m^{-2}$ , based on <sup>14</sup>C incorporation. In this study, depth integrated average BP for loch Creran was 162 mg C  $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ , assuming a mean water column of 15 meters. This gives an annual BP of 49.4 g C m<sup>-2</sup> over the period of the present work. Therefore, this calculation suggested that BP would represent approximately 90 % of Loch Creran PP. This further suggests that bacteria were tightly coupled to PP and could have removed a significant proportion of this PP. Numerous studies have highlighted the importance of extracellular releases as a source of DOM from phytoplankton (see Chapter 5, Nagata 2000). The percentage of extracellular release (PER: percentage of PP released as DOC) might reached up to 80 % of PP in some cases, such as during the declining phase of phytoplankton bloom (Lancelot & Billen 1984), and high PER values have been attributed to the release of large amount of C-rich (e.g carbohydrates) DOM compounds (Myklestad 1995, Benner et al. 1997, Granum et al. 2002). These compounds might potentially support a significant part of the bacterial carbon demand (Amon & Benner 1994, Norrman et al. 1995).

The relationship between bacteria and C stock was further corroborated by the positive correlation between BP and POC (Pearson correlation, p < 0.001). The

correlation between BP and POC highlighted an important contribution of bacterial C to organic carbon (3.2 - 78.5 %), especially at low POC concentrations, which was, on average, 24 % of POC (Fig. 3.29). Results indicated that chl *a*, an indicator of phytoplankton biomass, was also correlated to BP (Pearson correlation, p<0.001) and was higher within Loch Creran than at LY1 (see 3.6.4, this Chapter). This further corroborates the relationship between phytoplankton production and bacterial activity, suggesting that the DOM produced by phytoplankton in Loch Creran might have supported the higher BP observed within the loch. However, the calculated coupling between BP and PP (BP:PP = 90%) cannot only be explained by the sole presence of PER that supply energy for bacteria. This would thus suggest that bacteria may required more nutrient and therefore that the bacterial carbon demand (BCD) was supplemented by other sources of DOC in Loch Creran.



Figure 3.29. Relationship between % of bacterial carbon and the POC concentration. Data pooled for the three stations over the time of the study period. Dashed line represents the calculated average contribution of bacterial carbon to total POC (i.e. 24%).

#### Field Study

It was demonstrated above (section 3.9.1.2, this chapter) that rivers and small streams entering Loch Creran supply DOM. The availability of such DOM to biological utilisation (Rolff & Elmgren 2000), however, is still a matter of controversy (Hedges et al. 1997, Cauwet et al. 2002). Hedges et al. (1994) suggested that riverine DOM is 70 % HMW, while the HMW pool is only 30 % of surface ocean DOM, therefore indicating that it must be partly labile. Similarly, HMW DOM is often considered as biologically refractory, Ogawa (1999) reported that HMW DOM composition is close to biologically known molecules (e.g. proteins, lipids) whereas LMW is more complex and poorly determined and this would suggest a more bioavailable character of HMW DOM. The paradigm of greater bioavailability of HMW DOM has also been suggested (Amon & Benner 1996). More recently, Seitzinger et al. (2002) suggested that 23 to 30 % of TDN from terrigenous origins was available to biological consumption as DON. This characteristic of riverine DOM might have furnished an extra source of C and N and therefore, partly, complemented the BP in Loch Creran, however, the lability of such DOM was not directly assessed in this study.

Finally, this study demonstrated that a link exists between phytoplankton, the production of DOM and its consumption by heterotrophic bacteria (LeB Williams 2000) but this trophic link is more difficult to identify in coastal areas due to alternative, sometime dominant, sources of DOM, which may explain the absence of direct statistical correlation between the DOM and bacterial variables (BA and BP) in this work.

# 3.9.2.4 Top-down control of bacterial population and trophic transfer

Another major driver of bacterial activity in aquatic systems is the pressure exerted by microzooplankton grazers (Azam et al. 1983, Sherr & Sherr 1988, Strom

#### Field Study

2000). Sherr & Sherr (1991) demonstrated that most of bacterivorous activity occurs among the smallest size classes (< 10  $\mu$ m), with marine HNAN now thought to be the main grazers of bacteria (Strom 2000). The abundance of HNAN has been found to be highly correlated to bacterial abundance in freshwater (Berninger et al. 1991) and marine systems (Sanders et al. 1992). Similarly in this study, HNAN numbers and BA were correlated (Pearson, p < 0.001).

Gasol (1994) proposed a qualitative framework to assess the regulation of HNAN populations. He determined a theoretical maximum (TM) and a mean realisable abundance (MRA) of HNAN from the literature that allow the distinction between top-down (below MRA) and a bottom-up (between MRA and TM) controlled HNAN population. Results obtained in this study (Fig. 3.22) suggested that most of the time HNAN abundance was bottom up controlled in Loch Creran (i.e. HNAN abundances depended on bacterial numbers) and consequently that HNAN were regulating BA. Berninger et al. (1991) found similar results with most of their data falling close to, but below the TM.

Although the theoretical model of Gasol gave, in this case, interesting insights on the regulation flow of HNAN abundance and subsequently BA, it makes the critical assumptions that: 1) HNAN feed only on bacteria and 2) that the HNAN response is proportional to BA. First, these assumptions are flawed in three respect: HNAN have been reported to use a variety of sources of energy like picophytoplankton (Sherr & Sherr 1991), viruses (Gonzalez & Suttle 1993), or DOM (Tranvik et al. 1993). Although these food resources might not meet the sole energy requirement of the HNAN population, they might be responsible for the data points found above the TM (e.g. an HNAN abundance greater to what can be supported only by BA). Second, the bacterial population might be subjected to grazing pressure from other marine protists (e.g. small ciliates, choanoflagellates, small nonarmoured dinoflagellates or mixotrophic

#### Field Study

dinoflagellates), which would remove part of the bacterial population. For example, small ciliates have been found to graze on bacteria at high rates in productive coastal regions (Sherr & Sherr 1987). Third, the HNAN population might also have experienced predatory control from higher trophic levels. In this study, a strong positive correlation was observed between BA and small dinoflagellates (Pearson, p < 0.001) in addition to a negative correlation between HNAN and small dinoflagellates (Pearson, p < 0.001). These results suggested that small dinoflagellates had a positive effect on BA by releasing HNAN grazing pressure through predation of HNAN. Similar trends have been observed in several studies carried out in various environments (Sherr & Sherr 1991, Strom 1991, Kuparinen & Bjornsen 1992) and these results illustrate the concept of a "trophic cascade". Fundamentally, the importance of HNAN grazing on BA resides in the fact that it links the organic matter pool to higher trophic levels by making OM available into a form that would not be otherwise utilisable (Pomeroy 1974, Azam et al. 1983) by larger micro organisms.

# 3.9.2.5 Phytoplanktonic communities in Scottish coastal waters

The analysis of phytoplankton demonstrated a repeatable annual cycle of phytoplankton species succession (MDS analysis, Fig. 3.28). A succession pattern, related to inorganic nutrient availability typical of temperate waters was found at LY1 and FF, with diatoms dominating the spring bloom followed by the occurrence of ciliates and large dinoflagellates in summer and early autumn. Redundancy analysis confirmed these trends associating species of the spring bloom with nutrient and mixing, whereas summer and early autumn phytoplankters were related to temperature changes. Relative abundance (Fig. 3.25) and correlation with environmental variables (Fig. 3.26 and 3.27) also confirmed the dominance of diatoms in the Firth of Lorne

#### Field Study

phytoplankton bloom found in previous studies (Fehling 2004). Phytoplankton composition in Scottish coastal waters reported in this study was similar to observations reported in previous work (Jones 1979, Tett 1992, Fehling 2004). Although, it has been suggested that *Chaetoceros* species, as opposed to *Skeletonema* sp, dominate the phytoplankton spring bloom in Loch Creran (C. Laurent, personal communication), this study identified a possible alternation between *Skeletonema* sp and *Chaetoceros* sp as the dominant species during the spring bloom on the west coast of Scotland. In fact, *Skeletonema* sp was found to dominate the spring bloom mainly at LY1 station, whereas, the dominant species at this time in Loch Creran were *Chaetoceros* sp, *Thallassiosira* sp and *Leptocylindricus* sp (Fig. 3.24).

Annual variability was similar for the four groups of nanoflagellates studied with a short spring increase (March), followed by a late spring (May) and a longer summer bloom. No significant differences were observed between depths and sites. However, all the groups, except other HNAN, exhibited a higher abundance in 2005 than 2004 (section 3.7.2, this Chapter). This smaller proportion of other HNAN in 2005, particularly during the summer, was accompanied by a greater abundance of small dinoflagellates (Fig. 3.19 and 3.20). This observation was confirmed with a negative correlation (Pearson, p < 0.01) between HNAN and small dinoflagellates. These observations might have been linked to bacterial grazing and are discussed in section 3.9.2.4 in this Chapter.

The Choanoflagellate, small dinoflagellate, phototrophic and heterotrophic nanoflagellate data collected for this thesis are one the few time series available to date in the west coast of Scotland, exceptions being Navarro et al. (in press) and in temperate coastal waters (Sherr et al. 1986, McManus & Fuhrman 1988, Murrell & Hollibaugh 1998).

110

In Loch Creran, the highest chl *a* concentrations  $(8 - 10 \text{ mg chl } a \text{ m}^{-3})$  were recorded in April and May 2005, when the phytoplankton community was dominated by *Leptocylindricus minimus*, *Rhizosolenia* sp and small *Chaetoceros* sp. The maximal chl *a* concentration recorded at LY1 occurred in June (~ 4 mg chl *a* m<sup>-3</sup>) when *Leptocylindricus danicus* and small *Chaetoceros* sp were dominating the community of large-celled autotrophs. This therefore corroborated the different dynamics of the phytoplankton community between Loch Creran and the Firth of Lorne mentioned above.

#### **3.10 Conclusion of field study**

In conclusion, microbial communities observed on the west coast of Scotland demonstrated seasonality in term of biomass and phytoplankton species succession. Bacteria, within the microbial food web, were shown to be highly dynamic rapidly using autochthonous sources of nutrients (produced by phytoplankton) that were supplemented by external sources through river inputs and sea-loch outflow. These allochthonous sources (river, runoff) of organic nutrients were found significantly greater than potential Fish farm loadings and, although this work did not highlight increased inputs of organic nutrients from the fish farm, it provided insight on the contribution of the farm to DOM stocks in Loch Creran. This study, however, suggested that fish farm did not negatively perturb the pelagic microbial community of Loch Creran. The study of the dynamics of the bacterial population revealed a higher bacterial production in Loch Creran, probably a consequence of more abundant resources available for growth. It also pointed out the importance of accounting for top-down regulation of bacterial population. Thus, using the framework developed by Gasol (1994), the bacterial population was shown to be essentially controlled by grazing.

Organic C and N stoichiometry and trophic transfer

# - CHAPTER 4 -

# Organic C and N stoichiometry and trophic transfer within a bacteria microflagellate system

# 4.1 Introduction

The concept of the Redfield ratio (Redfield 1963) is one of the cornerstones of marine biogeochemistry. Analysis of microbial ecosystems is often based around Redfield dynamics by making the assumption that the atomic ratio of C, N, P is in the proportion of 106:16:1 (Redfield 1963, Brzezinski 1985). It has been postulated that these ratios represent balanced proportions in marine organisms; however, bacterial biomass is N- and P-rich, which results in low C:N and C:P ratios compared to phytoplankton (Bratbak 1985). Any departures from these ratios affect the metabolism of the organisms in terms of growth, nutrient uptake or competition for nutrients (Hecky et al. 1993, Sterner et al. 2002, Kuijper et al. 2004). The growth of phytoplankton (Brzezinski 1985) or bacteria (Fagerbakke et al. 1996), the regeneration of nutrients (Goldman et al. 1985) or the trophic transfer of energy via grazing (Thingstad & Pengerud 1985) are ultimately related to elemental stoichiometry, which, therefore, appears to be critical in microbial food web functioning.

It is still controversial whether or not the stoichiometry of available substrate affects the relative elemental composition of marine bacteria; although fixed specific elemental ratios are still widely utilised in ecological studies or modelling work (Fasham et al. 1990, Anderson & Williams 1998, Anderson & Ducklow 2001). Recent reviews (Doney 1999, Sterner et al. 2002) have further highlighted the need for a better

# Organic C and N stoichiometry and trophic transfer

understanding of this variable nutrient stoichiometry if we are to better understand biogeochemical cycling of elements and microbial food web interactions.

Chapter 4

Bacteria compete with phytoplankton for inorganic nutrients but are also capable of utilising organic nutrients in the form of DOM. A significant component of marine DOM is likely to be refractory in nature (Hansell & Carlson 2002), whilst autochthonous DOM produced by phytoplankton (eg. By exudation or lysis of senescent phytoplankton) is likely to be labile and potentially of variable C:N ratio (see Chapter 5 and references therein). Moreover, direct and indirect anthropogenic sources (such as organic matter waste and excess food from fish farm activities) have the potential to modify the concentrations of DOM in seawater and thereby affect organic nutrient availability to microbial communities.

It is often assumed that bacterial C:N stoichiometry is much less variable than that of phytoplankton (Bratbak 1985, Sanders et al. 1992, Fukuda et al. 1998). However, C:N ratios of bacteria in the laboratory have been shown to vary four fold from 3.8 to 15 (Kirchman 2000). In field experiments, bacterial C:N ratios have been found to be less variable, at 3.8 to 9.9 (Fukuda et al. 1998) but still encompass a range that is both smaller and larger than the Redfield value. Hence, variable bacterial C:N has similar potential to that of phytoplankton to influence trophic energy transfer. For example, such variable cell nutrient composition has particular implications for trophic transfer in terms of variability of "food quality" for predators (Hecky et al. 1993, Nagata & Kirchman 1996, Mitra et al. 2003, Kuijper et al. 2004) as well as inorganic nutrient regeneration (Goldman & Dennett 1991, Vadstein et al. 2003). Therefore it appears that the effect of the relative availability of organic C and N on bacterial biomass remains unclear. For example, Goldman et al (1987) found no effect of substrate C:N ratio on bacterial biomass C:N. In contrast, Tezuka (1990) found bacterial C:N ratio to increase with substrate C:N ratio. Similarly to C:N stoichiometry discussed above, the determination gross growth efficiency (GGE) has been subject to debate (del Giorgio & Cole 1998, Ducklow 2000, Kirchman 2000) as it is affected by the productivity of the studied ecosystem. For example, organisms from oligotrophic regions (low nutrient) tend to exhibit lower GGE than those from more productive systems. This concept, however, has been widely challenged (Anderson & Williams 1998, Geider & La Roche 2002) and it remains unclear whether or not accurate measurements of GGE, and therefore an accurate understanding of C cycling in the Ocean, are achievable.

An important pathway of energy transfer within pelagic communities is the grazing on bacterial populations by protists (Sherr & Sherr 1988). Nano-zooplankton are the major grazers of bacteria, providing a crucial trophic link by ingesting an important part of the bacterial production (Lancelot & Billen 1984, Sherr & Sherr 1991, Strom 2000). However, the influence of a variable elemental composition of bacteria on trophic transfer to nanoflagellate grazers, and hence the efficiency of this trophic link in terms of C and N flux, remains poorly understood. In fact, nutritional quality has been highlighted as an important factor in determining the rate of ingestion of prey by protistan predators (Flynn et al. 1996) and also the rate at which nutrients are regenerated (Goldman et al. 1985, Goldman et al. 1987, Goldman & Dennett 1991). The work of Thingstad and Pengerud (1985) and Thingstad (1987) indicates that, should bacterial stoichiometry be variable, then nutrient cycling in predator/prey scenarios will be modified, leading, for example, to different nutrient regeneration scenarios (Goldman et al. 1987). Microflagellates grazers, such as *Paraphysomonas* sp, are thought to maintain a constant C:N stoichiometry and therefore, if bacterial stoichiometry is unbalanced, grazers retain the nutrient in the shortest supply.

This chapter presents a laboratory experiment to determine the influence of organic substrate C:N ratio on bacterial cellular C:N, and the subsequent trophic transfer

of this organic material to nano-flagellate grazers. The experiment was designed to test two hypotheses, (1) that variable organic C:N ratios affect the bacterial biomass stoichiometry and the bacterial growth efficiency, and (2) that variable organic C:N ratios affect the subsequent transfer of nutrients to nano-zooplankters through grazing. To test these two hypotheses, the marine bacterium, *Vibrio natriegens*, was grown in the laboratory under a variety of organic C and N concentrations. This bacterial species was also grown in the same nutrient conditions but in the presence of a nano-flagellate grazer, *Paraphysomonas vestita*, to investigate the transfer of C and N through a simple trophic link.

# 4.2 Cultures and Experimental design

#### 4.2.1 Experimental design

The first step of the experiment was the incubation of the bacterium *Vibrio natriegens* in a gradient of organic nutrient concentration. Subsequently, the same bacteria was incubated in identical media, but in the presence of a predator *P. vestita* (Stokes) De Saedeleer. *V. natrigens* was chosen because it is a well known marine bacteria that has been previously used in study of bacterial metabolism, growth and biomass stoichiometry (Bratbak 1985, Goldman et al. 1985, Goldman et al. 1987), and in grazing experiments (Nagata & Kirchman 1992). Vibrionaceae are relatively large, rod-shaped, bacteria (0.8-1 µm) and are easily recognisable under a microscope after DNA staining. *Paraphysomanas sp.* has also been the organism of choice in previous laboratory grazing studies, ingesting either phytoplankton (John & Davidson 2001, Davidson et al. 2005) or bacterial (Nagata & Kirchman 1992) prey. Nutrient regeneration studies and investigations of nano-flagellate stoichiometry have also utilised the *Paraphysomanas* genera (Sin et al. 1998, Mitra et al. 2003). *P. vestita* is a

spherical cell (7-10  $\mu$ m diameter) therefore easy to identify and count. *P. vestita* also grows quickly in cultures with artificial media (John & Davidson 2001)

For each experiment, six duplicated (12 flasks in total) two-litres Erlenmeyer flasks were filled with 1 L of a sterile (autoclaved 15 min at 120°C), artificial standard seawater media "ESAW" (Harrison et al. 1980) and of these 12 flasks, ten received organic media (Table 4.01). The two remaining flasks were filled only with ESAW and were used as control. ESAW was used to avoid any detrimental organic nutrient background. Bacteria alone, and bacteria and predator, were added in each flask at a concentration of  $1 \times 10^6$  cell ml<sup>-1</sup> for V. natriegens and  $1 \times 10^4$  cell ml<sup>-1</sup> for P. vestita. The volumes of inoculums were determined the day before the experiment started based on cell counts from stock cultures. All experiments were conducted in duplicate. Simple organic compounds, arginine and glucose, were used to achieve a gradient of bioavailable organic substrate C to N ratio (C:N<sub>s</sub>) that created potentially different limiting conditions (Table 4.01). Organic nitrogen concentration was kept constant, with the concentration of glucose varying to achieve the C:Ns ratio desired (1.5:1, 3:1, 6:1, 9:1 and 15:1). Low C:N<sub>S</sub> were carbon-deficient and therefore C-limiting conditions whereas high C:Ns lacked nitrogen and generated N-limiting conditions. In between these two conditions, a Redfield C:N<sub>S</sub> of 6:1 was, a priori, used to represent balanced proportions between organic carbon and nitrogen.

#### 4.2.2 Cultures of prey and predator

*Vibrio natrigens* (MMB) were concentrated from axenic stock culture (250 ml) in 50 ml sterile polypropylene centrifugation tubes and centrifuged at 4500 rpm for 8 minutes. The supernatant was removed from each tube with a sterile 10 ml pipette, in 5 ml aliquots, until 5 to 10 ml remained with the bacterial pellet. The first 5 ml were

# Organic C and N stoichiometry and trophic transfer

#### Chapter 4

gently aspirated by pipette to break up the pellets, which were subsequently resuspended in 50 ml of sterile ESAW. The operation was repeated three times to obtained a dilution of 99.9 % of the initial bacterial media and prevent carry over of residual organic nutrients from the stock cultures.

*Paraphysomonas vestita* inoculums (CCAP 935/14) were prepared according to Davidson et al. (2005). Fifty ml sterile polypropylene centrifugation tubes were filled with *P. vestita* stock cultures and centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 minutes. The supernatant was carefully removed using 10 ml sterile pipette to leave a volume of five ml with the pellet. The pellet was aspirated as described above for bacteria and cells were resuspended in 50 ml sterile ESAW.

Experiments were carried out in the dark, at  $15^{\circ}$ C for a duration of 13 days. Both bacteria alone and bacteria + nano-flagellates flasks were sampled and analysed in identical manner. Inoculumms of both *V. natriegens* and *P. vestita* were prepared less than 24 hours before the start of the experiment and kept at experimental conditions.

# 4.2.3 Cell counts and chemical analysis

The abundance of *P. vestita* was determined by light microscopy using a Sedgewick Rafter cell (1ml). Replicated sub-samples for cell counts were taken everyday in sterile conditions under a laminar flow cabinet. Sub-sample (5ml) was fixed with lugol iodine (final concentration 1%) and stored in the dark at 5-6°C. Samples were counted on the day of collection to allow of cell growth to be monitored. At least 10 squares (or 200 cells minimum) were counted.

Chapter 4	
-----------	--

AUDITADAYA AUT IA HAUTEADHIAA						
C:Ns	Control	1.5:1	3:1	6:1	9:1	15:1
arginine* ml	0	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
glucose* ml	0	0	0.25	0.75	1.25	1.5
N (µM)	0	100	100	100	100	100
С (µМ)	0	150	300	600	006	1500
C(µM) arginine	0	150	150	150	150	150
C(µM) glucose	0	0	150	450	750	1350
%C from Glucose	0	0	50	75	83	06
ESAW <sup>2</sup> ml	250	250	250	250	250	250
ELGA water ml	750	750	750	750	750	750
* Stock solution of glucose & arginine at 100 mN	1, i.e. add 1mL/L to reach a fina	concentration of 10	0 μM		7	

118

 $^{\rm Q}$  Stock ESAW at 200 % i.e dissolved at  ${\it 14}$  with RO water to obtain normal ESAW.

*Vibrio natriegens* was determined by epifluorescence microscopy as described in Chapter 2, section 2.4.1.4. Duplicated sub-samples (5ml) were taken each day in sterile conditions under a laminar flow cabinet and fixed with glutaraldehyde (final concentration 4%). Samples were stored in the dark at 5-6°C until DAPI staining.

Particulate organic Carbon (POC) and Nitrogen (PON), Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and nitrogen (DON) and dissolved inorganic nitrogen (ammonium, nitrate and nitrite) were measured every two or three days. POC and PON samples (one per flask and per sampling occasion) (30ml) were analysed as described in section 2.3.2.2 of Chapter 2. The filtrates (30ml, from POC and PON samples) were used for inorganic nutrient analysis (see section 2.3.1 in Chapter 2). DOC and DON were analysed by HTCO (see section 2.3.2.1 in Chapter 2). The filters (GF/F or A/E) used in this study were pre-combusted (650°C for 6 hours) to reduce the nominal porosity to  $\sim$  0.4 µm. Typical *Vibrio* cells have a diameter of about 0.8 to 1.0 µm, and around 3-8 µm for *P. vestita*, therefore most of the bacteria and microflagellates were retained onto the filters (Nayar & Chou 2003).

# 4.3 Results

#### 4.3.1 Vibrio natriegens Carbon and Nitrogen dynamics

In the initial experiment, bacteria were grown in the absence of the predator with a range of organic substrate C:N ratios. All substrate C:N ratios (C:N<sub>S</sub>) supported bacterial growth. This bacterial growth was reflected in the decrease of DOC and DON (due to bacterial uptake) and the increase/assimilation in POC and PON (due to bacterial assimilation, see Fig. 4.01) with time. POC and PON attained a maximum between day six and 13, with a similar peak in  $NH_4^+$  due to nutrient regeneration.

DOC and DON concentration decreased rapidly until day nine then remained low towards the end of the experiment. Only three of the six treatments were measured for DOC and DON concentrations due to instrument failure. For the highest C:N<sub>s</sub> (15:1), DOC concentrations declined to around 8 mg C L<sup>-1</sup>, whereas the two other treatments shown (1.5 and 6) had a lower final concentration around 2 mg C L<sup>-1</sup>. The amount of DOC taken up increased with C:N<sub>s</sub> from 2.9 to 7.4 mg C L<sup>-1</sup>, whereas, for DON, it remained in the same range (0.9 – 1.7 mg N L<sup>-1</sup>) independent of substrate stoichiometry (Table 4.02).

POC variations with time ranged from 0.21 mg C L<sup>-1</sup> to 2.07 mg C L<sup>-1</sup>, the smallest increase recorded being for the lowest C:N<sub>S</sub> (Fig. 4.01-c). Flasks amended with C:N<sub>S</sub> of 6, 9 and 15 exhibited a similar pattern, reached maximum values of POC at the same time and corresponded to similar maximal POC concentrations at day 6 ( $\sim$  2 mg C L<sup>-1</sup>). PON reached a maximum (0.15-0.25 mg N L<sup>-1</sup>) on day nine for all C:N<sub>S</sub> except for C:N<sub>S</sub> of 3:1 that reached a maximum on day 6. The highest PON values were observed for C:N<sub>S</sub> of 6 and 9 (Fig. 4.01-d).

The average value of bacterial C:N at inoculum was  $4.49 \pm 0.63$ . In all cultures, bacterial C:N increased with time (Fig. 4.01-f) to reached a maximum around day four, then decreased toward the end of incubations. Bacterial C:N (C:N<sub>P</sub>) also increased with increasing C:N<sub>S</sub> (Fig. 4.01-f). Furthermore, C:N<sub>P</sub> values were similar (at 4-6) for low C:N<sub>S</sub> (1.5 and 3) or high (~10-12) C:N<sub>S</sub> (9 and 15) with mid values (of ~ 8) displayed for a C:N<sub>S</sub> close to Redfield ratio (~6). Bacterial cell density (not shown) peaked at day 4 for all C:N<sub>S</sub> with a maximum of 1.5 x 10<sup>7</sup> cell L<sup>-1</sup> (C:N<sub>S</sub> = 15:1).



Figure 4.01. DOC (a), DON (b), POC (c), PON (d), particulate C:N (e) and  $NH_4^+$  (f) for *Vibrio natriegens* incubations grown under different substrate C:N ratio conditions. Symbols represent the different C:N<sub>s</sub> ratios with 1.5:1 (black circle), 3:1 (triangle down), 6:1 (square), 9:1 (diamond) and 15:1 (triangle up). Error bars are  $\pm$  S.E. from duplicates

4.3.2 V. natriegens and P. vestita: C and N dynamics when heterotrophic predator present

In the second experiment, *V. natrigens* were incubated in presence of a predator, *P. vestita*, with the same range of organic substrate C:N ratios as used in the first experiment. In contrast to the first experiment, DOC concentrations decreased to a minimum of approximately 2 mg C  $L^{-1}$  at the same time (day four) for the three treatments shown (1.5, 6, 15) and remained at this low concentration until the end of the experiment (Fig 4.02-a).

The quantity of DOC taken up (Table 4.02) exhibited the same pattern as for *V*. *natrigens* alone, in that it increased with increasing C:N<sub>s</sub>. However, these quantities covered a greater range of values  $(1.9 - 13.5 \text{ mg C L}^{-1})$ .

DON decreased during the same period (Fig. 4.02-b), with a minimum value of 0.0 - 0.2 mg-atoms N L<sup>-1</sup> reached at day six and with no major differences recognised between treatments. This response was concomitant to the uptake of DON (Table 4.02), of which values remained between 1.2 - 1.4 mg N L<sup>-1</sup> (similar to *V. natrigens* alone, 0.9 – 1.5 mg-atoms N L<sup>-1</sup>). DON uptake was independent of C:N<sub>S</sub> . As for bacteria alone, POC attained maximal values (0.97 – 4.99 mg C L<sup>-1</sup>) at day four (~cell peak) for all C:N<sub>S</sub>. Similar to *V. natrigens* alone, media with low C:N<sub>S</sub> (1.5 and 3) exhibited the smallest POC increase (Fig. 4.02-c), whereas, highest POC values were associated to high C:N<sub>S</sub> (9 and 15). However, C:N<sub>S</sub> of six fell in the middle of these POC values, which is different from the response with *V. natrigens* alone where flasks with C:N<sub>S</sub> of six, nine and 15 were close. A very similar pattern was recognised for PON (Fig. 4.02-d) with lowest values in flasks with C:N<sub>S</sub> of 9 and 15.
Table 4.02. Mass	balance of Carb	on and Nitrogen,	growth gross (	efficiency and N r	egeneration rate	in cultures grown on	ı various combin	ations
of carbon and nit	rogen ratios. C:N substrate	Uptake DOC <sup>a</sup>	∆-POC	GGE carbon	Uptake DON	E <sup>n</sup> *	N efficiency	Part. C:N
		mg C L <sup>-1</sup>	mg C L <sup>-1</sup>	%	$mg N L^{-1}$	$\mu g N [mg C]^{-1} h^{-1}$	%	
	1.5:1	2.9	0.5	19.2	1.5	16.9	84.8	5.4
	3:1	4.3	0.7	21.6	1.7	9.2	54.7	5.9
V. natriegens	6:1	6.5	1.6	32.4	0.9	2.4	55.2	7.5
	9:1	11.8	1.7	20.3	1.6	2.6	23.6	7.5
	15:1	7.4	1.7	32.1	0.9	0.7	30.9	8.0

5.3 5.8 6.5 8.0 8.6

57.6 55.0 45.5 46.3 40.3

16.6 8.9 3.2 1.0 0.6

1.4 1.2 1.2

27.2 22.4

0.5

1.9

1.5:1

0.7 1.7

3.0

3:1

V. natriegnes

Chapter 4

123

<sup>a</sup> DOC uptake calculated as the difference in concentration between day zero and day of maximum biomass (POC) observed. <sup>•</sup> Nitrogen regeneration efficiency calculated according to Goldmann et al (1987) between Day 0 and Day 6.

3.6

13.5

15:1

6:1 9:1

> + P. vestita

1.4

33.8 26.4

3.2

24.1

6.9 9.6 The C:N stoichiometry of the particulate pool (Fig. 4.02-f) varied with time with an initial increase to day four to six, then decreasing till day nine but not for C:NS of 1.5 that carried on increasing till the end of the experiment. C:N<sub>P</sub> ranged between 4.8 and 8.6 with the highest values observed for the highest C:N<sub>S</sub> (9 and 15).

Bacterial abundance was greatest (7.8 x  $10^6$  cell L<sup>-1</sup>, C:N<sub>S</sub> = 15) at day five. However, bacterial abundance was on average approximately half of that obtained when *V. natrigens* was alone. *P. vestita* abundance increased until day seven to nine, reaching  $3.3 \times 10^6$  cell L<sup>-1</sup> for the highest C:N<sub>S</sub>.

# 4.3.3 Maximum biomass, $C:N_P$ and $NH_4^+$

In the prey only experiment (first experiment), the concentration of regenerated ammonium increased with time (Fig. 4.1-e), however the magnitude of this regeneration decreased with increasing C:N<sub>S</sub>. A similar pattern was observed in the prey and predator experiment (second experiment), where  $NH_4^+$  concentrations peaked at day six for all treatments (Fig. 4.02-e) and remained approximately constant till the end of experiment (0.6 - 1.2 mg-atoms N L<sup>-1</sup>). The inverse correlation of  $NH_4^+$  regeneration and increasing C:N<sub>S</sub> was corroborated by the relation observed in Figure 4.03. This figure depicts the changes in maximum yield of POC, PON,  $NH_4^+$  and maximum C:N<sub>P</sub> with changing C:N<sub>S</sub>.

Maximum concentration of  $NH_4^+$  decreased with increasing C:N<sub>S</sub> (Fig. 4.03-a and b) for both experiments (*V. natriegens* alone and *V. natriegens* + *P. vestita*). This trend was inversely correlated with PON concentration that increased with increasing C:N<sub>S</sub>. POC, at time of maximum biomass, also increased with C:N<sub>S</sub>. C:N<sub>P</sub> (of bacteria alone or bacteria + grazers) increased with C:N<sub>S</sub> even if C:N<sub>P</sub> were generally greater at cell peak than at the end of the experiment. However, the range of C:N (5 to 12)



Figure 4.02. DOC (a), DON (b), POC (c), PON (d) particulate C:N (e) and NH4+ (f) for *Vibrio natriegens* and *Paraphysomonas vestita* incubations. Symbols represent the different C:NS ratios with 1.5:1 (black circle), 3:1 (triangle down), 6:1 (square), 9:1 (diamond) and 15:1 (triangle up). Error bars are SE from duplicates

displayed by bacteria alone (Fig. 4.03-a) were higher than those (5 to 8) found with bacteria associated with the predator (Fig. 4.03-b).

## 4.3.4 Carbon Gross Growth Efficiency and Nitrogen regeneration

Gross growth efficiency (GGE) was calculated as the amount of biomass (POC) produced divided by the amount of DOC taken up over the same period of time (day zero to six when bacteria were alone and day zero to 4 when the predator was also present). GGE was low for both systems studied, with on average 25.1 % for *V. natrigens* alone and 26.8 % for *V. natrgens* + *P. vestita* (Table 4.03).

However, no variations of GGE with  $C:N_S$  was observed and therefore GGE was not significantly influenced by  $C:N_S$ .

Biomass specific regeneration rate of nitrogen (in the form of  $NH_4^+$ ) was estimated according to the C:N mass balanced model of Goldman et al. (1987) who proposed that N regeneration is related to substrate, C:N<sub>S</sub>, and particulate, C:N<sub>P</sub>, ratio:

$$E_N = C_T \left( \frac{1}{C : N_S} - \frac{GGE}{C : N_P} \right),$$
 equation 4.01

along with  $C_T$ , the rate of carbon uptake (calculated as DOC concentration decrease per unit of time) and GGE.  $E_N$  ranged between 1 and 17 µg N (mg C)<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 4.04-a). The major feature of N regeneration rate (Fig. 4.04-a) was its decrease with increasing C:N<sub>S</sub>. More surprisingly, this N regeneration rate was similar in both experiments, when one would expect the predator-prey system to be more efficient in terms of N regeneration.



Figure 4.03. Maximum values of  $NH_4^+$  ( $\nabla$ ), POC ( $\bullet$ ) and PON ( $\circ$ ) concentrations, along with C:N<sub>P</sub> at cell peak ( $\blacksquare$ ) and C:N<sub>P</sub> at the end of the experiment ( $\Box$ ) in *Vibrio* natriegens (a) and *V. natriegens* + *Paraphysomonas vestita* (b) inoculums grown under different substrate C:N ratio conditions. Error bars are SE from duplicates

 $\Delta$ -POC (Fig. 4.03-b) was calculated as the difference between POC at T<sub>zero</sub> and POC at maximum biomass (day 4 for *V. natriegens* alone, day 5 for *V. natriegens* + *P. vestita*) and plotted for each C:N<sub>S</sub>. Generally,  $\Delta$ -POC increased sigmoidaly with increasing C:N<sub>S</sub>. Below a balanced Redfield value of C:N<sub>S</sub>(6:1), the variation of POC was similar in both *V. natriegens* alone and *V. natriegens* + *P. vestita*. However, above a C:N<sub>S</sub> of 6:1,  $\Delta$ -POC diverged in the two treatments, reaching value of 1.5 mg C L<sup>-1</sup> when *V. natriegens* was grown alone whereas  $\Delta$ -POC was higher (3.5 – 4.0 mg C L<sup>-1</sup>) when the predator was present.

Particulate C:N ratio at time of maximum biomass was always greater than five and increased with increasing C:N<sub>S</sub> (Fig. 4.04-c). The slope of the linear regression was higher when bacteria were grown with predator than when bacteria grown alone (Regression line are: C:N<sub>P</sub> = 0.188 x C:N<sub>S</sub> + 5.56,  $r^2$ =0.71, p<0.01 and C:N<sub>P</sub> = 0.255 x C:N<sub>S</sub> + 5.08,  $r^2$ =0.93, p<0.01, for *V. natriegens* and *V. natriegens* + *P. vestita* respectively).

#### **4.4 Discussion**

# 4.4.1 Variability of C:N ratio in prey predator system

The first hypotheses investigated in this experiment was the effect of organic substrate carbon to nitrogen (C:N<sub>S</sub>) ratios on bacterial carbon to nitrogen stoichiometry (C:N<sub>P</sub>). In aquatic systems, C:N stoichiometry may govern whether a system will be C limited (low C:Ns) or N limited (high C:Ns) (Redfield 1963). In this experiment, C:N<sub>S</sub> of 6:1, 9:1 and 15:1 generated similar bacterial biomass (POC) of ~ 2 mg C.L<sup>-1</sup>. These C:N<sub>S</sub> exceeded the bacterial C:N<sub>P</sub> of 4.5:1 proposed by Bratback (1985) and Fagerbakke



and V. natriegens + Paraphysomonas vestita ( $\circ$ ) experiments.

(1996) as a metabolically stable cellular C:N for bacteria. Therefore, the supposedly balanced Redfield value of 6:1 produced a N-limited condition for bacteria hence the similarity in response at this C:N<sub>S</sub> (6:1) with C:N<sub>S</sub> of 9:1 and 15:1 (also N-limited conditions). The results are consistent with Goldman (1980) who previously suggested that Redfield ratio of 106:16:1 does not offer nutritionally balanced conditions for bacteria (as compared to phytoplankton or other marine organisms).

The variation of cellular elemental composition (C and N) with varying nutrient ratios has been long debated and is still a matter of controversy (Bratbak 1985, Goldman et al. 1985, Goldman & Dennett 2000, Kirchman 2000). Furthermore, studies using organic C and N as substrate are rare (Tezuka 1990) and studies investigating the subsequent trophic transfer of produced biomass are required. Goldman et al. (1985) argued for an invariant bacterial C:N, regardless of substrate C:N. They found a low and invariant C:N of bacteria  $(5.1 \pm 0.57)$  even with a 10-fold change in substrate C:N. Later, however, Goldman et al. (2000), revised their statements in favour of variable bacterial C:N, reporting values ranging from 4.5 to 7 in batch cultures and 4.5 - 11 in continuous cultures. Tezuka (1990), in a study that utilised both organic C and N, demonstrated that bacterial C:N increases with increasing substrate C:N. In accordance with Tezuka (1990), this work demonstrated bacterial C:N ratio to increase with increasing C:N<sub>s</sub> (i.e. with increasing N limitation). This was confirmed by a significant linear relationship ( $r^2=0.78$  and p value < 0.05) found between bacterial C:N and substrate C:N. C:N<sub>P</sub> values observed (from 5.3 to 8.6) were in the range of value reported in previous studies (Kirchman et al. 1982a, Fukuda et al. 1998) and, hence, further question the assumed constancy of bacterial C:N.

When the grazer was present, a similar linear relationship was found between C:N<sub>P</sub> and C:N<sub>S</sub> ( $r^2=0.94$  and p-value < 0.01), which was close to that obtained when

	References	Goldmann et al. (1987)	Goldmann et al. (1991)	This study	Nakano (1994)	Tezuka (1990)	Davidson et al. (2003)	Fukuda et al (1998)	
	GGE %	23.9 - 89.3	36 - 65	19.2 - 33.8			ı	•	
iency.	N regen. %	0.1 to 70	3 -87 (31 -74)*	30.9 - 84.8	ı	0 - 78	23 - 75	ı	
bacterial C:N ratios, N regeneration and gross growth effic	CNB	4.2 – 8.7	4.1-6.4	5.3 – 8.6	2.6 – 5.3	4.4 - 17.2		Oceanic: 6.8 ± 1.2 Coastal: 5.9 ± 1.1	
	Organisms	bacteria	Natural assemblage of marine bacteria	Vibrio natriegens and Paraphysomonas vestita	Isolate of flagellate form Lake Biwa	Natural assemblage of freshwater bacteria	Protozoan O. marina	Natural assemblages of marine bacteria	d from Goldmann et al. (1987)
Table 4.03. Summary of b	Substrate	Mixture of glucose-aa- inorganic N	Mixture of glucose-aa- inorganic N	Mixture of Glucose and Arginine	Bacteria with different C:N	Glucose, asparagine and glycerol phosphate	Phytoplankton prey of variable C:N		* N regeneration calculated

*V. natrigens* were grown alone (Fig. 4.04-c). This indicates that the C:N of the combined bacteria and grazer system increased with  $C:N_S$  and that the predator did not modulate the C:N of the system to balance proportions but exhibited the stoichiometry of the food it received.

#### 4.4.2 C:N stoichiometry and GGE

The GGE of the bacteria and microflagellate system may be governed either by the C:N stoichiometry of the substrate, or by the limiting nutrient defined as that in the least relative nutrient supply in term of Redfield stoichiometry. Kroer (1993) reported that bacterial GGE decreases with increasing C:N<sub>S</sub> in continuous cultures, however this decrease in GGE occurred over a very small range of C:N<sub>S</sub> (6.6 – 7.4). Similarly, Goldman and Dennett (2000) found GGE of natural bacteria to be high when a single N source was used and they found that GGE was also high when C:N<sub>S</sub> was low (<3:1). They concluded that GGE of 50% should be expected when both N and C sources are readily available to bacteria. However, this bacterial GGE (calculated when bacteria were alone) was independent (linear regression: GGE =  $0.5 \times C:N_S + 22.5$ , r2 = 0.22, pvalue > 0.05) of C:N<sub>S</sub> even at very low CN<sub>S</sub> (1.5:1). Calculated GGE (19 to 32 %) fell in the lower range of commonly accepted values for this parameter (see Table 4.03) despite readily available sources of C (glucose) and N (arginine).

GGE did not vary with substrate stoichiometry, it may vary with substrate complexity or availability (Benner et al. 1992, Goldman & Dennett 2000). Increasing substrate complexity may force bacterial cells to allocate energy differently to overcome costs of enzyme production or active nutrient transport. This might have been the case in our experiment as simple C and N sources were utilised hence bacterial cells directed energy to growth. Furthermore, the use of arginine as sole source of nitrogen in this

experiment could explain the low GGE observed. This would be consistent with the results of Hollibaugh (1978) who found the uptake of arginine (C:N = 1.5:1) to lead to the lowest GGE (~30-32 %) of all substrates studied.

Along with C:N<sub>S</sub> and the form of available C and N, C:N<sub>B</sub> appears as a major determinant of GGE (del Giorgio & Cole 1998). Goldman et al. (1987) stipulated, based on their model (equation 4.1), that C:N<sub>S</sub> governs GGE and therefore N regeneration efficiency. In the experiment with bacteria alone, C:N<sub>P</sub> is not constant and encompass large variation (4 – 11). Hence, although Goldman's model simulated our data (similar trend to Goldman's data for  $E_N$  v.s. C:Ns), this was because of increasing C:N<sub>P</sub> over exponential growth duration (day 0 to day 6-9), and not because of decreasing GGE as suggested by those authors.

It is not impossible to think that GGE could be species specific therefore, as only *V. natriegens* was used in this study, a constant GGE was observed and the regulation mechanism (in the model of Goldman) would be led by C:N<sub>P</sub> not GGE. The effects on GGE of phylogenetic composition have already been suggested but are very poorly understood (del Giorgio & Cole 1998, del Giorgio & Cole 2000).

The findings described in this Chapter are not, however, in disagreement with the concept of carbon conservation where C taken up is retained by the cell in proportion to C limitation and that only a stoichiometrically fixed quantity of N is utilised under such conditions. In fact, the rather constant and low GGE found in this experiment and the similarity in the C:N stoichiometry of bacteria or bacteria and grazer may have been hidden by fast regulation at cellular level as exhibited by the important variations (between 5 and 12) of particulate C:N with time (Fig. 4.01-f and 4.02-f).

# 4.4.3 Coupling C:N<sub>S</sub> and N regeneration

Results from this experiment confirmed the relationship between C:N<sub>S</sub> and E<sub>N</sub>, as regeneration rates increase with decreasing C:N<sub>S</sub>. This relationship is well described by the equation 4.1 (at least for exponential growth), and is in agreement with other studies (Hollibaugh 1978, Van Wambeke & Bianchi 1985, Goldman et al. 1987). One of the major findings of Goldman et al. (1987) was a threshold of C:N<sub>S</sub> 10:1 under which regeneration of N by growing bacteria occurs. In this study, however, E<sub>N</sub> was low but still finite for C:N<sub>S</sub> of 15:1. In his work, Goldman stipulated that the C:N ratio of the carbohydrate source of C and the amino acid and protein source of N will determine N regeneration of bacteria. However organic N in nature cannot meet the N requirements of bacterial growth that have to be supplemented by inorganic N (Kirchman et al. 1982b, Wheeler & Kirchman 1986). Hence, it may be possible that, when C:Ns is greater than 10 (e.g N poor), N excretion (in the form of ammonia) should not occur. However, this N excretion occurred in this experiment with C:N<sub>S</sub> greater than 10 (i.e.  $C:N_S = 15:1$ ), which suggests that the C:N ratio of 10 cannot be use as a threshold for N regeneration. Kirchman (2000) also identified similar strong coupling between C:N and N regeneration, along with C:N<sub>S</sub> and C:N<sub>B</sub> and defined "breakeven points", where net excretion or uptake of ammonia occur, however he concluded that this C:N mass balance model cannot explain N fluxes in natural systems.

# 4.4.4 Grazers as a regulatory feedback mechanism

N regeneration was similar with or without grazers reaching values of 1.2 mg  $L^{-1}$  for the lowest C:N<sub>S</sub>. Nitrogen recovery (defined as the amount of N assimilated + regenerated divided by the amount of DON taken up), however, was enhanced when

# Organic C and N stoichiometry and trophic transfer

Chapter 4

grazers were present. Davidson et al. (2005) described an increasing  $E_N$  with decreasing C:N ratio of substrate (preys in his study). This was also found in this experiment with the increasing trend of particulate C:N with increasing C:N<sub>S</sub>.

In these experiments, the GGE of the system was found to be poorly influenced by substrate C:N. The similarity in the average of GGE, whether or not grazers were present (24 vs 25 %, without and with grazer respectively), confirmed the fact that the growth of the system is ultimately governed by the "primary" resource of the system.

The variation of POC with increasing C:N<sub>s</sub> (Fig. 4.04-c) did not differ between the first experiment (prey alone) and the second experiment (prey-predator system). This suggested that, under 6:1, the nutritional conditions did not support sufficient bacterial growth and the subsequent growth of the flagellate. However, C:N<sub>s</sub> of 6:1 and above generated greater biomass in the prey predator system (Fig. 4.04-b). Similar patterns were found by Goldman et al. (1991) where  $\Delta$ -POC increased with increasing C:N<sub>s</sub> according to a linear relationship. Differences in C:N<sub>P</sub> in the presence and absence of grazers (Fig. 4.01 and 4.02, f) demonstrated the key importance of the flagellate in regulating the stoichiometry of the prey-predator system by preventing excessive increase of C:N<sub>P</sub> (maximum value of C:N<sub>P</sub> of 12 and 8 without and with predator respectively).

The uptake of DOC was greater when grazers were present (Fig. 4.01 and 4.02, a) as DOC was exhausted at day 5 for all C:N<sub>S</sub>. In this experiment, it seems likely that the difference in C uptake was due to a faster turn over of bacterial population as bacterial biomass was cropped by heterotrophic grazers, hence, leading to further consumption of DOC by bacteria, compared to when bacteria were grown alone. It has also been suggested that marine microflagellates are able to uptake directly organic compounds of the size of polysaccharides or proteins (Tranvik et al. 1993) which could account for some of this greater consumption of organic substrates.

4.4.5 Effect of potential phosphorus limitation, implications for growth and N regeneration

Thingstad and Pengerud (1985) stated that bacterial growth is mainly driven by grazing and inorganic nutrients, such as phosphorus, not just by organic C resources. In this experiment, flasks were not amended with inorganic phosphorus and, therefore, the potential for phosphorus limitation cannot be neglected. However, the presence of P was revealed by subsequent measurements. Vrede et al. (2002) found P limitation to give the largest cells (5 to 10 times bigger than C limitation) with the highest C content (2 to 3 times) and the highest average C:N ( $9.5 \pm 1 \text{ v.s} 3.8$  for C limited and 7.5 for N limited). The C:N<sub>P</sub> ratios observed here were in the range of values reported in other studies carried out in P replete conditions (Bratbak 1985, Goldman et al. 1987). Furthermore, C and N content (from POC and PON values) were closer to what has been found in non P-limited cultures (Nakano 1994, Fukuda et al. 1998). In addition, if the system was phosphorus limited, the uptake of N (and C) would have stopped with P exhaustion. Therefore it is unlikely that bacteria and grazers experienced P limitation in this experiment.

#### **4.5** Conclusion

This set of experiments emphasize the importance of organic substrate C:N ratio in microbial system. The C:N<sub>S</sub> and C:N<sub>P</sub> were closely linked for both bacteria alone and bacteria and grazer and the increase of C:N<sub>P</sub> with increasing C:N<sub>S</sub> demonstrated the influence of substrate upon the stoichiometry of organisms. No effects of C:N<sub>S</sub> on GGE were found and the variation of particulate C:N with time might have explained the low and constant GGE. This study highlighted the importance of predator in increasing the yield of the system (particularly at high C:N<sub>S</sub>) and as a mechanism of regulation of the

system. N regeneration was similar in both bacteria alone and bacteria and grazer experiments, suggesting that flagellates were inefficient in the transfer of N. However, *P. vestita* enhanced the bacterial utilisation of DOC by grazing on *V. natriegens*. Finally, this experiment indicates that the common assumption, particularly in mathematical models, of constant  $C:N_P$  (for example the C:N of bacteria) has to be taken with caution, along with the importance of the C:N ratio of the substrate.

# - CHAPTER 5 -

# The role of N or Si limitation on diatom DOM production and subsequent microbial community dynamics.

# **5.1 Introduction**

Dissolved organic matter (DOM) is an important substrate for bacterial growth in aquatic ecosystems (Azam & Hodson 1977, Azam et al. 1994, Hedges et al. 1997). The breakdown of this matter supports bacterial biomass increase (which may in turn be grazed by heterotrophic predators) with a fraction being regenerated in inorganic form. DOM may result from riverine (Meybeck 1993, Hedges et al. 1997) or atmospheric (Buatmenard et al. 1989, Hedges & Keil 1995) input, from anthropogenic sources (Jickells 1998) or from micro- and meso-zooplanton grazing (Strom et al. 1997, Nagata 2000). In addition to these various sources, extracellular release of DOM by phytoplankton contributes significantly to the DOM pool (Carlson & Hansell 2002).

Diatoms (Bacillariophyceae) are a particularly important component of the phytoplankton, contributing  $20 \sim 25$  % of the global net primary production (Werner 1977). Their requirement for silicon makes them most prevalent in coastal waters (Conley & Malone 1992), where a spring bloom of diatoms is often the major feature of the annual cycle of plankton succession. The large magnitude of the spring diatom bloom suggests that factors governing the quantity and quality of the DOM produced in the nutrient limited senescent phase of this event are likely to be of critical importance to the subsequent abundance, composition and productivity of the bacterial communities of coastal waters.

Nutrient stress has been shown to stimulate this DOM excretion by phytoplankton (Lancelot & Billen 1984). Moreover, as nutrient stress influences the chemical composition of phytoplankton (Droop 1968, Caperon & Meyer 1972, Sakshaug et al. 1983, Obernosterer & Herndl 1995), it may influence the quality and quantity of DOM released (Sondergaard et al. 2000, Puddu et al. 2003, Grossart & Simon 2007). For diatoms, Myklestad (1974) and Myklestad et al. (1977) demonstrated that glucans (polymers of glucose) are common storage products, which provide a suite of bioavailable compounds (Hama et al. 2004). Glucans only accumulate in diatoms when growth is suboptimal (Myklestad 1974), a result that may be influenced by the form of nutrient limitation (N-or Si-limited) experienced by the population (Gilpin et al. 2004). For example, enhanced glucan concentrations are found under N-limitation. Under silicate limiting conditions, however, silicification processes within diatom cells are compromised leading to weaker cell walls and potentially "leaky" cells (Martin-Jézéquel et al. 2000), with the potential for enhanced DOM release (Maestrini & Granéli 1991). Hence, the form of nutrient limitation is likely to influence both quantity and quality of DOM produced by diatoms and, hypothetically, the resultant growth and composition of the bacterial populations utilising the organic matter released.

In Scottish and other temperate waters, *Skeletonema sp.* are commonly the dominant diatoms within the spring bloom (Tett 1992, Fehling et al. 2006). Hence, the period marking their cessation and decline might be expected to introduce significant DOM loading within coastal waters. The inorganic N:Si ratio within these and other temperate waters is often close to one (Conley & Malone 1992, Fehling et al. 2006) with both nutrients having the potential to be the limiting factor that arrests the spring diatom bloom. The field study detailed in Chapter 3, highlighted that the N:Si ratio varied with time and hence could have an influence on the microbial, particularly the phytoplankton, dynamics. In addition, the field study of Scottish coastal water (Chapter

3) indicated that the phytoplankton was the dominant source of organic carbon. This study, therefore, investigated the effect of N- and Si- limitation on the extracellular release of DOM by the important diatom *Skeletonema costatum* and the utilisation of this material by natural bacterial assemblages collected from coastal waters. Using time course incubations within laboratory microcosms we studied bacterial abundance, production and taxonomic composition. As grazing pressure from heterotrophic flagellates is also important in controlling bacterial populations (Caron 2000, Caron et al. 2000), DOM addition experiments were conducted in the presence (whole seawater) and absence (1µm-screened seawater) of these grazers.

# 5.2 Experimental design and additional methods

The experiments were conducted in two stages (Fig. 5.01). Initially, cultures of *Skeletonema costatum* were grown to nutrient limited stationary phase under either N or Si limitation. The DOM produced was collected by ultra-filtration and then subsequently quantified and characterised by HTCO (high temperature catalytic oxidation) and HPLC (high performance liquid chromatography) respectively. Secondly this harvested DOM was added to freshly collected samples of natural seawater. The subsequent changes in bacterial abundance, production and community composition, produced in response to DOM generated by N- or Si-limited *S. costatum*, were measured. DOM addition experiments were conducted using natural seawater with or without screening for grazers, in order to generate conditions representing natural and reduced density of *in situ* grazing flagellates.



Figure 5.01 Synoptic of the experimental design

5.2.1 Skeletonema costatum cultures: generation of DOM.

Axenic cultures of *Skeletonema costatum* (Cleve 1897), previously isolated from the field work station (C5) of Loch Creran (CCAP, 1077/9), were grown in 10 litre polycarbonate carboys containing autoclaved filtered seawater (8 litre) with a slightly modified f/2 medium (Table 5.01). EDTA was excluded to avoid additional sources of DOC. Cells were maintained at 15°C under a 12/12 light/dark photo-cycle and a photon flux density of 160  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. All experimental equipment was both acid washed and steam-sterilised (121° C; 15 minutes) prior to use. All experiments were conducted in duplicate.

In order to generate DOM characteristic of N- and Si- limited phytoplankton, different initial concentrations of inorganic nitrate and silicate were used. To achieve N limitation, a N:Si ratio of 1:3 (40  $\mu$ M and 120  $\mu$ M, respectively, see Table 5.01) was used with silicate limited diatoms being produced through growth on a N:Si ratio of 3:1 (120  $\mu$ M and 40  $\mu$ M, respectively). *S. costatum* growth was followed by the daily collection of samples for the determination of chlorophyll a (chl *a*). 30 ml of samples were analysed as described in section 2.1.3.3, in Chapter 2.

	Concentration	Volume added	l (ml)	Final conc. (µM)	
		Si-lim.	N-lim.	Si-lim.	N-lim.
NO <sub>3</sub>	0.1 M	12	4	120	40
Si(OH) <sub>4</sub>	0.1 M	4	12	40	120
PO <sub>4</sub>	5.565 mg/L	10	10	37	37
Vitamins	f/2	10	10	f/2	f/2
Trace metals	f/2	1	1	f/2	f/2

Table 5.01. Composition of experimental media for Skeletonema costatum cultures.

# 5.2.2 DOM harvest and ultrafiltration

DOM generated within the cultures was harvested during nutrient limited stationary phase (after 14 days growth in both nutrient regimes). Cultures were filtered by gravity through a pre-ashed GF/F filter (Whatman, 0.7  $\mu$ m nominal porosity; Nayar & Chou 2003), and DOM containing filtrate was collected in acid cleaned conical flasks. DOM was subject to tangential filtration (Schleicher & Schuell, Germany), using a 1 kDa membrane, to enable determination of the DOC and DON content of the low molecular weigh (LMW < 1kDa) and high molecular weigh (HMW > 1kDa) extracts of the phytoplankton DOM (Saunders 1976).

#### 5.2.3 DOM addition experiment

Seawater was collected from 10 m with a Niskin bottle from Loch Creran (station C5), then pre-screened with a 160-µm mesh net to remove macro-zooplankton and the screened sample stored in a Nalgene carboy during its rapid return to the laboratory (Fig. 5.01). Half of the seawater sample was then filtered by gravity through GF/F filters to reduce grazers (screened seawater) with the remaining half being used as collected (non-screened seawater).

DOM generated from both N- and Si-limited *Skeletonema costatum* cultures was added to duplicated one litre flasks containing the Loch Creran seawater, either screened or non screened (see Table 5.02). Inorganic nutrients as nitrate, silicate and phosphorus ( $10\mu$ M,  $10\mu$ M,  $1\mu$ M, respectively) were added with the DOM to prevent inadvertent inorganic nutrient limitation of bacterial growth. This enabled the results of this study to be related to the lability and influence of DOM in inorganic nutrient replete conditions only. To provide control comparisons, two additional treatments (using both screened and non-screened Creran seawater) received inorganic nutrients only (no DOM) or no nutrient addition (inorganic or organic). In the following section, treatments are referred as (1) "Si-DOM" for treatments receiving DOM from Si-limited phytoplankton cultures, (2) "N-DOM" for treatments receiving DOM from N-limited phytoplankton cultures, (3) "Inorganic" for inorganic nutrient additions alone and (4) "control" when no additions were made. All experimental and sampling equipment was acid cleaned and rinsed with milli-Q water to prevent any organic carbon or nitrogen contamination. Flasks were incubated for 72 hours at 15°C on a 12/12 hr light/dark cycle under a photon flux density of 160  $\mu$ moles.m<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>, and were sampled, after gentle shaking, every 24 hours.

Table 5.02. Experimental set up with additions of phytoplankton-produced DOM and inorganic nutrients.

	Si-limited produced DOM	N-limited produced DOM	NO3 <sup>-</sup> (μΜ)	PO4 <sup>3-</sup> (μM)	Si(OH)4 (µM)
Screened	1:9 <sup>a</sup>	-	10	1	10
	-	1:9 <sup>a</sup>	10	1	10
	-	-	10	1	10
	-	-	-	-	-
Non-screened	1:9 <sup>a</sup>	-	10	1	10
	-	1:9 <sup>a</sup>	10	1	<b>10</b> ,
	-	-	10	1	10
	· –	-	-	· _	-

<sup>a</sup> volume:volume addition

#### 5.2.4 Sample analyses

Inorganic nutrient concentrations in the cultures were monitored every two days and were analysed according to section 2.1.3.1, in Chapter 2. DOC and DON samples were collected every two days in the cultures and for each time point of the time course incubation, and analysed according to section 2.1.3.2, Chapter 2. The extraction of the carbohydrates from the DOM harvested and the subsequent liquid chromatography analyses are detailed in section 2.3.2.2 in Chapter 2. Enumeration of HNAN was performed according to section 2.1.4.1.2 in Chapter 2. Bacterial abundance (BA) and bacterial production (BP) were measured following the methods described in sections 2.1.4.1.4 and 2.1.4.2, Chapter 2, respectively. Finally, Bacterioplankton community structure was analysed by FISH following the method described in section 2.4.2 in Chapter 2.

## 5.3 Results

## 5.3.1 Phytoplankton generated DOM

Both *Skeletonma costatum* cultures reached maximum abundance between day seven and eight with chl *a* concentrations of 0.16 and 0.10 mg chl *a* equivalent m<sup>-3</sup> for Si and N limitation respectively. In Si-limited cultures, diatom cells continued to take up nitrate when silicate was exhausted, whereas silicate uptake ceased with N exhaustion in N limited cultures (Fig. 5.02). When the *S. costatum* cultures were harvested, at day 14, DOC concentrations were 319  $\mu$ M and 239  $\mu$ M for Si- and Nlimited cultures respectively. DON concentrations were 35 and 29  $\mu$ M for Si- and Nlimited cultures respectively.

# 5.3.2 Characterisation of the DOM produced by S.costatum

Following tangential filtration, the relative proportion of LMW and HMW compounds were similar in the DOM generated in both nutrient regimes. The majority



Figure 5.02. Chlorophyll a ( $\blacklozenge$ ) nitrate ( $\blacklozenge$ ) and silicate ( $\nabla$ ) concentrations in both (a) N-limited (b) Si-limited cultures of *Skeletonema costatum*.

of DOC produced was composed of LMW compounds (Table 5.03), with 72% and 68% for Si- and N-limited cultures, respectively. A similar pattern was observed for DON with 59 and 69% of the organic matter being LMW compounds for Si- and N-limited cultures respectively.

_	DC	C	DON		C:N	
	LMW	HMW	LMW	HMW	LMW	HMW
N-DOM	68.5	31.5	69.3	30.7	6.9	7.2
Si-DOM	72.6	27.4	59.5	40.5	5.0	3.3

Table 5.03. Percentage of LMW and HMW in DOC and DON in inoculums, and C:N ratio for each molecular weight fraction.

Notwithstanding the similarities in the bulk composition measurements above, chromatography of DOM extracts produced by Si- and N-limited phytoplankton revealed differences in their composition. During analysis of mono- and di-saccharides (sugar-like compounds) in the Si-limited DOM on a Polyamine II column, a distinct peak with an absorption maximum at 210 nm eluted at retention time 18.7 min (Fig. 5.03 a and b). In contrast, no signals were recorded in N-limited DOM under the chromatographic conditions used. Chromatography of these carbohydrate extracts on a PL-GFC column revealed differences in molecular weight composition. Figure 5.03-c indicates that the N-limited extracts were dominated by two high molecular-weight components, as demonstrated by the refractive index (RI) signals that eluted at 10.5 and 13.6 min. Using a plot of log  $M_r$  of standards versus retention time, the relative molecular mass of these peaks were estimated to be >1,400,000 and 330,000 Da respectively. Since the first peak eluted in the void volume of this column, this peak could represent one or more high molecular weight species, the mass of which were unresolvable under the conditions used, yet dominating the composition of these extracts. DOM produced by Si-limited phytoplankton displayed a similar peak profile except for the distinctly reduced signal of the peak defining the void volume (Fig. 5.03d).



Figure 5.03. HPLC chromatograms of extract from Si-limited S. costatum with Polyamine II column (a, b) or PL GFC column (d) and extract from N-limited S. costatum with PL GFC column (c).

#### 5.3.3 DOM addition experiments: initial DOM concentrations

Seawater sampled from Loch Creran (station C5) had *in situ* DOC and DON concentrations of 120  $\mu$ M and 13  $\mu$ M, respectively, as can be observed from the t<sub>zero</sub> concentrations of the control and inorganic treatments (Fig. 5.04). DOM harvested from both Si- and N-limited *S. costatum* was added separately to the Loch Creran seawater to obtain similar concentrations of DOC and DON in the Si-DOM and the N-DOM incubations. These concentrations supplemented the background DOC and DON to give t<sub>zero</sub> concentrations of 200 – 230  $\mu$ M C and 16 - 17  $\mu$ M N (Fig. 5.04).



Figure 5.04. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and nitrogen (DON) concentrations in screened (a, c) and non-screened (b, d) seawater subject to Si-DOM ( $\bullet$ ), N-DOM ( $\nabla$ ), Inorganic ( $\blacksquare$ ) and control ( $\diamond$ ) treatments. No error bars are shown as calculated standard error was smaller than analytical accuracy (C ± 11 µM, N ± 0.9 µM).

# 5.3.4 Changes in dissolved organic C and N during the addition experiments

Considerable variability in DOC concentrations was evident over the experimental time course. However, in the screened treatments, DOC concentrations generally increased from the beginning to end of the experiments (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.01), but with no significant differences between treatments (Fig. 5.04-a). In contrast, no significant differences in DOC concentration either with time or treatments were

found in the non-screened incubations (Fig. 5.04-c). In contrast to DOC, DON concentrations decreased in screened seawater until 48h ( $t_{48}$ ) in DOM addition bottles (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05) then increased between 48 and 72 ( $t_{72}$ ) hours (Fig. 5.04-b). In unfiltered treatments, DON concentrations were not statistically different between treatments.

#### 5.3.5 Bacterial abundance and production

Marked differences in BA were evident within and between screened treatments. BA more than doubled between  $t_{zero}$  and  $t_{24}$  in all cases (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.01). However, only in the Si-DOM treatment did BA continue to increase subsequently (Fig. 5.05-a), with BA being significantly greater at  $t_{48}$  and  $t_{72}$  in this treatment (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.01). BP in the screened Si-DOM treatment increased from an average of 6.8 to 11.4 µgC L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 5.05-c) and was significantly higher than in the other three treatments (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.01), in this case for the whole experiment including  $t_{24}$ . In contrast, while BP remained finite in the N-DOM treatment, it decreased in a similar manner to the inorganic and control treatments (no statistical difference between treatments, one-way ANOVA, p > 0.05).

In the non-screened treatments a different pattern was observed. BA initially increased, but to a lower abundance (~ $15 \times 10^8$  cf.  $20 \times 10^8$  cells L<sup>-1</sup>) at t<sub>24</sub> (Fig. 5.05-b). Then, although, BA in the Si-DOM treatment exceeded the others at time t<sub>48</sub> and t<sub>72</sub>, no significant differences were evident between treatments. BP decreased from t<sub>zero</sub> to t<sub>72</sub> (Fig. 5.05-d) with time in all treatments (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.01) from 10.5 to ~ 4 µg C L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. While no significant differences were found between treatments, at t<sub>24</sub> both BA and BP were lowest in the non-screened Si-DOM treatment.



Figure 5.05. Bacterial abundance (BA) and production (BP) in screened (a, c) and nonscreened (b, d) seawater subject to Si-DOM ( $\bullet$ ), N-DOM ( $\nabla$ ), Inorganic ( $\blacksquare$ ) and control ( $\diamond$ ) treatments. Error bars are standard error.

## 5.3.6 Bacterial community composition

FISH and epifluorescence microscopy were conducted on screened treatments only and revealed bacterial community composition to be governed by substrate quality (Fig. 5.06). Unfortunately, analysis of the  $t_{zero}$  samples was unsuccessful, however as all treatments came from the same water sample, we can hence assume that the  $t_{zero}$ community composition was identical for all experiments. At  $t_{24}$ , *y-proteobacteria* 

dominated the community in all four treatments (Fig. 5.06), comprising ~60% (N-DOM treatment) to ~40% (control) of the bacterial community as determined by DAPI.  $\beta$ -*proteobacteria* were also present in Si-DOM and N-DOM treatments at t<sub>24</sub> but not in inorganic and control treatments.



Figure 5.06. Time course of bacteria taxonomic composition in screened seawater samples subject to (a) Si-DOM, (b) N-DOM, (c) Inorganic, and (d) control treatments. Legend refers to Eubacteria (EUB338), Cytophaga-Flavobacterium or CFB (CF319),  $\beta$ -proteobacteria (BET42a), y-proteobacteria (GAM42a) and  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria (ALF968).

As incubation continued, the dominance of  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria increased markedly in the Si-DOM treatment at t<sub>48</sub> reaching 68.4 % of the total community (Fig. 5.06-a). In contrast, this group remained approximately constant in the inorganic treatment (Fig. 5.06-c) and decreased in the N-DOM and control treatment at t<sub>48</sub> (Fig. 5.06-b and -d, respectively), with an unlabelled group of bacteria showing a transient increase at this time. In addition,  $\beta$ -proteobacteria almost disappeared from the community at t<sub>48</sub>. All

four treatments also exhibited, relative to  $t_{24}$ , a higher proportion of CFB like bacteria at both  $t_{48}$  and more markedly at  $t_{72}$ , reaching 45 % in the N-DOM treatment, against 17-25 % in other treatments.  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria and  $\beta$ -proteobacteria were also present in all treatments at this point with the N-DOM and control treatments exhibiting significant increase of the  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria between  $t_{48}$  and  $t_{72}$  (23 % and 17 %, respectively). Hence, while  $\gamma$ -Proteobacteria remained the dominant group, bacterial community compositions at  $t_{72}$  were different from those found at  $t_{24}$  changing from  $\gamma$ proteobacteria domination to a balance between  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria, the CFB group and  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria but with treatment specific differences in the proportions of each group.

# 5.3.7 HNAN grazer abundance

Due to the plasticity of nanoflagellate cells and their resultant ability to pass through membrane pores much smaller than their typical diameter, screening of the Loch Creran seawater reduced rather than eliminated HNAN grazers within the screened incubations. Hence, in screened water, HNAN abundance was initially approximately half that in the non-screened water (Fig 5.07).

Subsequently, in screened incubations, HNAN abundance increased until  $t_{48}$  in the Si-DOM, N-DOM and inorganic treatments (Fig. 5.07-a), with concentrations at that time ranging from  $1 \times 10^6$  to ~  $3 \times 10^6$  cells L<sup>-1</sup>. Abundance then decreased between  $t_{48}$  and  $t_{72}$ . In non screened treatments HNAN abundance also increased within the first 24 hours, then ceased for Si-DOM, inorganic and control, but continued to increase up to  $t_{48}$  in the N-DOM treatment (Fig. 5.07-b).



Figure 5.07. HNAN abundance for (a) screened, and (b) non-screened seawater subject to Si-DOM ( $\bullet$ ), N-DOM ( $\nabla$ ), Inorganic ( $\blacksquare$ ) and control ( $\diamond$ ) treatments. Error bars are  $\pm$  S.E from duplicates.

# **5.4 Discussion**

*S. costatum* was chosen as the source of DOM for the study as it frequently dominates the phytoplankton biomass during the spring bloom in Loch Creran and hence may be the single most important source of autochthonous DOM in these and other coastal waters. The particular strain studied had previously been isolated from Loch Creran from where the bacterial population, to which the DOM was added, was obtained. While it would have been possible, through nutrient manipulation, to generate N or Si limitation of natural seawater samples rather than to generate this DOM from laboratory cultures, the subsequent characterisation, quantification and manipulation of DOM concentrations would have been extremely problematic.

# 5.4.1 DOM production

DOM was harvested after the marked decrease in the *S. costatum* biomass subsequent to its Si-limited nutrient limited peak. Such a major decrease in diatom biomass under Si limitation is consistent with results from *S. costatum* dominated mesocosms (Gilpin et al. 2004) and is similar to a rapid decrease in abundance we observed previously in Si-limited (but not P-limited) cultures of the diatom *Pseudonitzschia seriata* which often dominates the summer diatom community in Scottish waters (Fehling 2004).

DOC concentrations were greater in DOM harvested from Si than in N-limited cultures (319 and 239  $\mu$ M respectively). However, if the DOC and DON produced (by day 14) was normalised to peak chl *a* concentrations (160 vs. 100  $\mu$ g chl *a* m<sup>-3</sup>), there was relatively more DOC (23.1 cf. 31.4 mg C (mg chl *a*)<sup>-1</sup>) and DON (2.9 cf 4.5 mg N (mg chl *a*)<sup>-1</sup>) generated per unit biomass under N rather than Si limitation.

Reduced silicification under Si-limitation (Brzezinski 1985, Martin-Jézéquel et al. 2000) leading to weaker and leaky cell walls, would be expected to contribute to enhanced release of intracellular organic compounds. However, the N-limited *S. costatum*, population that maintained a high biomass in stationary phase still generated relatively more DOM, perhaps indicating that much of the Si-limited diatom biomass was converted to particulate rather than dissolved organic matter.

As nitrate was exhausted in both Si- and N-limited conditions we can calculate the percentage conversion of nitrate to DON by *S. costatum*; this gives 29% and 72% for Si- and N-limited cultures respectively, indicating an extremely efficient conversion of N from inorganic to organic form in N-limited conditions. Hence, while one might expect that further DOM production by N-limited *S. costatum* would occur with time, as

the biomass declined, the capacity for further DON production at least would be quite limited.

Within the DOM, Si- and N-limitation generated similar proportions of LMW and HMW material. Within the DOC pool, both treatments exhibited ~70% LMW and ~30% HMW. For DON, the LMW fraction was again dominant although somewhat less so under Si than N limitation ( $\sim$ 60% LMW cf.  $\sim$  70% LMW). The relative proportion of LMW and HMW matter has been suggested to be an important index of biological availability of DOM, with LMW material being expected to be more labile (Saunders 1976). Although this conceptual model is still widely accepted other authors have demonstrated that HMW compounds may also be rapidly utilised by bacteria (Tranvik 1990, Arnosti et al. 1994, Amon et al. 2001). For example, Amon et al. (1996) reported higher rates of bacterial growth and respiration in HMW incubations than those with LMW incubations and concluded that the traditional model of DOM degradation does not appear to apply to the bulk of natural DOM. As we added the same amount of total DOM (as a combination of LMW and HMW material) to our microcosm experiments we cannot comment on the relative bioavailability of the two fractions. However, as discussed below, the greater bacterial productivity observed in the treatments receiving Si-DOM suggests differences in bio-availability that cannot be related to size alone. As measuring the bulk concentration of the DOM and in each molecular weight fraction is not sufficient to describe the differences in biological activity observed, we investigated the qualitative aspect of the DOM used in our experiment.

Qualitative characterisation of the carbohydrate extracts from the DOM confirmed that the form of nutrient limitation influenced the form of both LMW and HMW DOM pools. Analysis indicated that *S. costatum* produced small, sugar-like, compounds when Si-limited. In contrast, larger ( $\geq$ 1400 kDa) compounds were produced by N-limited *S. costatum*, consistent with the greater biomass specific production of

DOM in this case. Silicate uptake stopped with N exhaustion in N-limited cultures. However, as it has been demonstrated elsewhere (Davidson & Gurney 1999) phytoplankton may continue to fix C for some time under N-limitation leading to the production of these large carbohydrates. As the total organic matter content of the LMW and HMW pools were similar in both Si- and N-limited treatments, other compounds, unidentified in this carbohydrate based analysis, such as lipids and proteins will have contributed to the organic matter pools.

Hence, organic matter composition, rather than size seems to be the key factor in governing the growth of the natural assemblage of bacteria. If labile organic matter favours the growth of bacteria, larger and more complex compounds can, in contrast, exert a limiting effect on bacterial growth by their inherent refractory nature. This feature is common and has been reported for exopolysaccharides produced by micro-algae (Aluwihare & Repeta 1999) and bacteria (Anton et al. 1988, Bejar et al. 1996, Ogawa et al. 1999, Gutierrez et al. 2007).

# 5.4.2 Changes in bulk bacterial properties

The similarity in BA and BP between inorganic and control treatments in both screened and unscreened conditions indicates that the addition of inorganic nutrients did not enhance bacterial growth and hence that inorganic nutrients were not limiting the community. This is in agreement with the observations made in Chapter 3 and inorganic nutrient replete conditions in Loch Creran are discussed in Chapter 6. However, the most striking result of the study was the sustained elevation of BP and BA in the screened Si-DOM treatment in comparison to the values recorded at t<sub>zero</sub> and to all other treatments throughout the experiment. As both the Si- and N-DOM treatments were also supplemented with inorganic nutrients one may conclude that bacterial metabolism was

limited by the availability of organic matter, but that only the Si-DOM was sufficiently labile to alleviate this limitation. This is consistent with the characterisation of the DOM above in indicating that there were sufficient quantities of biologically available compounds within the Si-DOM to support elevated bacterial abundance and productivity over at least 72 hours.

Somewhat, in contrast with these results, is the lack of a clear pattern of changes in DOM (and in particular DOC) concentrations in the experiments. As these experiments were conducted in carefully controlled laboratory conditions, albeit with natural seawater samples, this illustrates the difficulty in drawing conclusions on DOMdriven microbial dynamics simply from changes in concentrations of organic matter.

As noted above, the conceptual model of LMW DOM being more biologically labile is widely held. However, N-DOM contained relatively more LMW DON and similar proportions of LMW DOC than Si-DOM. Hence, at least for DOM production by *S. costatum*, the biochemical composition of the DOM is of much greater importance than its size spectrum in governing bacterial community response.

# 5.4.3 Effect on bacterial community composition

The bacterial community structure also demonstrated differences that were related to the form of nutrient addition. The failure of the  $t_{zero}$  FISH samples means any analysis of the community shifts between  $t_{zero}$  and  $t_{24}$  was impossible. However, as noted above this community was identical for all experiments, and from our previous work on bacterial communities in Scottish and Norwegian coastal waters (Davidson et al. 2007, Hart et al. unpublished data) it is likely that the bacterial assemblage present at  $t_{zero}$  were dominated by the  $\alpha$ - *proteobacteria*, the  $\gamma$ -*proteobacteria* and the CFB group.
At  $t_{24}$  dominance of the  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria lineage in all our experiments is consistent with its ability to respond rapidly to inorganic nutrients (Ferguson & Sunda 1984), thus out competing the  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria, which comprised only a comparatively small fraction of the  $t_{24}$  communities (ranging from 2.7% to 10.8% in the control and N-DOM treatments respectively). Puddu et al. (2003) observed a similar trend when a bacterial assemblage was amended with glucose or extracellular organic carbon (EOC) produced in balanced growth conditions by the diatom *Cylindrotheca closterium* (Ehrenberg).

However, the most significant finding between  $t_{zero}$  and  $t_{24}$  was the increased BP in the Si-DOM treatment. Again this is almost certainly attributed to the dominant  $\gamma$ *proteobacteria* rapidly utilising the labile components of the Si-DOM, although a similar or greater percentage of  $\gamma$ -*proteobacteria* was present in the N-limited treatment. This is consistent with the hypothesis of Fuchs et al. (2000) that the fast growing members of the  $\gamma$ -*proteobacteria* fill the niche of typical r-strategists, which rapidly exploit extra nutrients when they become available.

As the incubations continued, between  $t_{24}$  and  $t_{48}$ , the relative abundance (from 46.1% to 68.4%) and absolute abundance (data not shown) of the  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria increased significantly only in the Si-DOM treatment. In contrast, their contribution to the bacterial assemblages in the N-DOM reduced significantly, and both the inorganic and control treatments showed no significant change (Fig. 5). Again, these shifts in the bacterial assemblage are consistent with observed continued elevation in BP in the Si-DOM treatment. The lack of significant increase in BA suggests that C demand fulfilled an increase in cell activity (and biomass) rather than cell division.

At  $t_{72}$  in the N-DOM treatment there was a significant increase in the relative and absolute abundances of the CFB group (up to 45.2% of the DAPI stained prokaryotic community) and to a lesser extent the  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria (up to 23.1%) but without a concomitant increase in BA or BP. The relative CFB group abundance also increased in the Si-DOM treatment, coinciding with a reduction in the absolute and relative abundances of the  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria. Similar, but less pronounced, changes occurred in the inorganic and control treatments. Members of the CFB group and  $\alpha$ proteobacteria are adept at utilising exudates from algal productivity (Rooney-Varga et al. 2005) including DMSP, amino acids, and for the CFB group, more complex macromolecules. Members of the CFB group are also more abundant in media rich in particulate organic matter or complex macromolecules (Delong et al. 1993)(Delong et al. 1993) and probably figure as k-strategists (Kirchman 2000, Puddu et al. 2003). Hence, although the  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria remained dominant within all the treatments, at t<sub>72</sub> the CFB group may also be active utilising HMW DOM unavailable to other groups.

In the case of the  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria their increased abundance at t<sub>72</sub> may result from reduced competition from  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria as its dominance declined as the labile components of the DOM available to this group were depleted. This was consistent with the minimal increase in  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria in the Si-DOM treatment, suggesting that they remain out-competed by the active  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria.

These results therefore suggest that  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria may have an initial competitive advantage when DOM exudates from Si-limited diatoms are available. However, competitive advantage for resources evolved rapidly with time, most probably through the depletion of labile organic C leading the bacterial consortia to adapt to the remaining source of less labile C, and hence leading to changes in bacterial community composition. Kirchman et al. (2004) described similar evolution of bacterial properties, such ectoenzymatic activities, with the modifications of the DOM, hence suggesting that DOM may modify the relative abundance of bacterial subgroups, which in turn, affect the DOM pool.

5.4.4 Effect of grazers in modulating the bacterial community response

Heterotrophic nano-flagellates had a strong effect on bacterial density markedly reducing the increase in BA at  $t_{24}$  and causing a decrease in net BP with time in all non screened treatments. While not statistically different, both the BA and BP at  $t_{24}$  in the Si-limited non screened treatments were the lowest recorded at that time. Considering that these quantities were statistically significantly higher in the screened Si-DOM treatments this suggests selective grazing on the actively growing  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria in this treatment.

In all except the inorganic treatment the difference between t<sub>zero</sub> and peak HNAN density was markedly greater in the non screened treatments (Fig. 5.07), consistent with lower abundance of bacteria in this scenario. This is indicative of the major role played by heterotrophic grazers in controlling bacterial populations (Gasol 1994, Strom 2000). However, as HNAN density in the Si-DOM non screened treatment was not significantly greater than the others these results may suggest that much of the extra productivity in these conditions is recycled within the microbial loop.

#### **5.5 Conclusion**

In conclusion, the results of this short-term incubation experiment indicate that DOM produced by N-limited *S. costatum* is less available to bacterial uptake than it is for exudates from Si-limited cultures. These two different forms of DOM also affect the taxonomic composition of the bacterioplankton community, promoting the subclass of  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria. The comparison of screened and non-screened conditions highlighted the role of grazers in controlling the bacterial population and their indirect effect on C dynamic in the microbial food web. This is in agreement with the observations made in Chapter 3 and 4. If we carefully extrapolate these results to the natural ecosystem of

Loch Creran, this experiment provides insightful information on the effect of a shift from N to Si limitation in coastal water. Indeed, as suggested in Chapter 3, phytoplankton is the main source of production of DOM in Loch Creran and the Firth of Lorn. The high value of the N:Si ratio observed during the spring suggested a relative lack of Si that would further indicate a non biologically available character of the DOM produced (as suggested in this Chapter with N-limitation). This also gives insights into DOC accumulation, which has been observed to occur in temperate coastal waters from the end of the spring bloom through the summer months (see also Chapter 3, Cauwet et al. 2002), as nutrient stress may lead to changes in bacterial consortia composition that are not able to degrade DOM present in the environment. The marked differences, for BP in particular, between treatments suggest that the role of inorganic nutrient limitation (further discussed in Chapter 6) and other factors governing phytoplankton physiology, and hence metabolic state, requires more detailed study of the role of autochthonous DOM as a driver for marine microbial community dynamics in the context of marine coastal systems.

## - CHAPTER 6 -

# Factors limiting microbial communities from LY1 and Loch

## Creran

## 6.1 Rational

The control of bacterial populations in marine system is often summarised as comprising bottom-up (nutrient resource) and/or top-down (grazing) mechanisms. It has long been recognised that bacterial growth depends on the availability of organic carbon as the source of energy (LeB Williams 1981). In marine systems, this organic carbon may come from phytoplankton or macroalgae exudation (LeB Williams 2000, Nagata 2000), viral lysis (Proctor & Fuhrman 1992) or terrestrial sources (Cauwet et al. 2002). In addition, recent studies have highlighted the importance of inorganic nutrients as limiting factors of bacterial production (Caron 1994, Kirchman 1994) and that low inorganic N or P concentrations, as well as organic nutrients, may have a limiting effect on bacterial dynamics. The use of inorganic nutrients by bacteria also indicates that bacterioplankton compete with phytoplankton for these resources (Bratbak & Thingstad 1985, Caron et al. 2000). In the light of the recognition of phytoplankton-bacteria competition for inorganic nutrient, studies of the form of nutrient limiting bacterial production in coastal waters are surprisingly rare.

Caron et al. (2000) investigated the degree of limitation of the bacterial and phytoplankton populations between the productive coastal region of George Bank (USA) and the oligotrophic system of the Sargasso Sea (south Bermuda). In order to determine the limiting factor of the bacteria and phytoplankton growth, these authors designed an experiment where they added inorganic (N and P) or organic nutrients

(glucose) to samples from each location. These authors found that the factors limiting the productivity were different for the contrasting locations. At George Bank glucose provoked an increase in productivity, whereas in the Sargasso Sea, the addition of inorganic N and P did increase bacterial production and suggested that low inorganic nutrient concentrations were limiting the microbial community productivity.

The motivation for the experiment described in this Chapter, originated from observations made during summer 2004, where elevated BP and BA were noted during August but were not associated with elevated concentrations of DOC and DON. This experiment therefore investigated if the form of limitation (inorganic or organic) of the microbial community may explain these observations. The present experiment adopted a similar approach to that of Caron et al. (2000) using inorganic and organic nutrient additions to natural assemblages from LY1 and FF stations. In addition it includes the comparison of filtered and unfiltered samples allowing to assess the importance of the grazing pressure on bacterial population dynamics.

#### 6.2 Experimental design and additional methods

#### 6.2.1 Collection of seawater

Water samples were collected from a depth of 10 m with a 10 L Niskin bottle, on the 22/08/05 and 26/08/05 from LY1 and FF respectively (see map, Fig. 2.01, Chapter 2). Water samples were immediately pre-screened through a 160  $\mu$ m mesh net to remove large grazers and were kept in the dark at ambient temperature until further processing in the laboratory.

## 6.2.2 Experimental set up

In the laboratory, water samples from LY1 and FF were filtered through GF/C filters (Whatman) to remove nano-planktonic grazers or used as unfiltered samples. 1.5 L of media was placed in 2 L conical flasks supplemented with: (1) inorganic nitrogen (NaNO<sub>3</sub>) and phosphorus (NaHPO<sub>4</sub>), (2) organic C as glucose (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>6</sub>) and (3) a control that received no additions (Table 6.01). All experimental flasks were duplicated and incubated in a controlled-temperature room at 13°C with a light intensity of 100 µmoles m<sup>-2</sup> on a 15-9 hours light-dark cycle. All treatments were sampled to determine bacterial abundance (BA) and production (BP), as well as HNAN and PNAN abundances. Sampling was conducted at the time of additions (t<sub>zero</sub>) then after 24 and 48 hours of incubation. A sub-samples of each treatments was taken to determine the primary production (PP) and extra cellular organic carbon (EOC) after 24 and 48 hours.

	-	LY1			FF	
	Inorganic N and P μmoles L <sup>-1</sup>	Organic C µmoles L <sup>-1</sup>	Control	Inorganic N and P µmoles L <sup>-1</sup>	Organic C µmoles L <sup>-</sup>	Control
Whole seawater	N: 15 P: 1	18.7	-	N: 15 P: 1	18.7	_
Screened water (<1 μm)	N: 15 P: 1	18.7	-	N: 15 P: 1	18.7	· _

Table	6.01.	Nutrient	additions

BA was determined using DAPI staining and epifluorescence microscopy (see section 2.4.1.4, chapter 2) and BP was measured by incorporation of radiolabelled thymidine (see section 2.4.2, chapter 2). PNAN and HNAN abundances were determined by DAPI staining and counted using epifluoresence microscopy as described in section 2.4.1.2, chapter 2. Primary production and extracellular organic carbon were determined according to section 2.3.4 in Chapter 2.

## **6.3 Results**

## 6.3.1 Water column characteristics at LY1 and FF on the day of each experiment

The water column structure, chemical and biological variables indicated that LY1 and FF were under similar trophic regimes (Table 6.02); however some differences existed. Both stations exhibited similar salinity and temperature. All inorganic nutrients except DSi (dissolved inorganic silicate) and ammonium were present at higher concentrations at LY1. While POC, PON and chl *a* were similar in magnitude, concentrations were higher at FF than LY1. BP at FF was approximately twice that found at LY1 (25 v.s.11  $\mu$ g C L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> at FF and LY1, respectively). However, abundances of HNAN, PNAN and heterotrophic bacteria were similar at both sites.

Parameters	-	LY1	FF
LINIAN	×10 <sup>5</sup> cell L <sup>-1</sup>	15.0	14.2
PNAN	$x10^{5}$ cell $L^{-1}$	163.5	14.2
POC	μg C L-1	177.0	260.0
PON	$\mu g C L^{-1}$	27.0	40.0
Chl a	$\mu g L^{-l}$	1.6	2.4
BA	$x10^9$ cell $L^{-1}$	2.0	2.1
BP	$\mu g C L^{-1} d^{-1}$	11.3	25.7
DOC	$\mu$ moles $L^{-1}$	157.9	119.5
DON	$\mu moles L^{-1}$	6.3	9.6
DIN	$\mu$ moles $L^{-1}$	0.5	0.1
DSi	$\mu$ moles $L^{-1}$	4.7	5.3
DIP	$\mu$ moles $L^{-1}$	0.6	0.3
Ammonium	$\mu$ moles $L^{-1}$	1.2	1.8
Temperature	$^{\circ}\mathcal{C}$	13.5	13.9
Salinity		33.3	32.7

Table 6.02. Environmental variables, and bacterial, HNAN and PNAN abundances in experimental water samples collected for the experiment

It is important to note that the parameters at  $t_{zero}$  presented hereafter were determined for each of the three treatments (organic, inorganic and control) in both filtered and

unfiltered water but were averaged for the clarity of the data presentation. Although both sites demonstrated a balanced particulate C to N ratio (~ 6.5 at both sites) in terms of Redfield proportion (Redfield 1963), inorganic N:P ratios (0.8 and 0.3 for LY1 and FF, respectively) suggested a strong N depletion at both sites. This background data set provided useful information on the status of these two sites.

#### 6.3.2 LY1 experiment

Primary production (PP) during time course incubations of the unscreened (containing phytoplankton) ranged from 40 to 52  $\mu$ g C L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 6.01-a). PP increased significantly (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05) when glucose was added but both N+P and glucose additions exhibited lower PP than the control. EOC produced during the experiment increased with time and was greater when glucose was added (Fig. 6.01-b) with values reaching 3  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. However, EOC was not detected in the control due to high blank recorded at the start of the experiment.

BA ranged from 13 to 33 x10<sup>8</sup> cell L<sup>-1</sup> with maximal values attained during the experiment supplemented with glucose (Fig. 6.02-a). In filtered water, BA increased in all treatments, with significantly higher (p < 0.05) BA for the glucose amendment treatment at  $t_{24}$  and  $t_{48}$ . However, the N+P treatment was not statistically different from control. In unfiltered water, BA increased at  $t_{24}$  in all treatments with highest abundances found following glucose additions. At  $t_{48}$ , BA decreased in N+P and the control, whereas glucose treatments produced similar levels to  $t_{24}$ .

BP covered a range of values from 17 to 73  $\mu$ g C L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> and varied greatly for the different treatments (Fig. 6.03-a). Similarly to BA, BP increased significantly (1way ANOVA, p-value < 0.01) at t<sub>24</sub> and t<sub>48</sub> in filtered water, with the greatest increase observed when glucose was added (+ 22  $\mu$ g C L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> compared to N+P and the control

Limiting factors of microbial communities

at  $t_{48}$ ). However, BP in the treatment with N+P additions was not significantly different from the control. In unfiltered seawater, BP decreased in N+P and control during time



Figure 6.01. Primary production (PP) and extracellular organic carbon (EOC) for the three treatments (indicated on the x axis) at LY1 (a, b) and FF (c, d) in non-screened water. Data presented at  $t_{24}$  (black) and  $t_{48}$  (gray). Error bars are s.e.

course incubations (Fig. 6.03-b). Glucose amended treatments remained at similar levels of BP between 24 and 48 hours.

HNAN numbers ranged from 2 to 30  $\times 10^5$  cell L<sup>-1</sup>. In contrast to PNAN, filtration at 1  $\mu$ m removed 30 to 75 % of HNAN. Abundances of HNAN in filtered water remained similar (N+P and glucose), or decreased between t<sub>zero</sub> and t<sub>48</sub> (Fig. 6.04-a). For unfiltered treatments, only flasks amended with glucose increased significantly (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05) during time course incubations (Fig. 6.04-b).

PNAN abundance varied from 10 to  $135 \times 10^5$  cell L<sup>-1</sup> with highest values found in unfiltered water (Fig. 6.05-a). The screening process removed approximately 90 % of



Figure 6.02. Bacterial abundance (BA) for the three treatments at LY1 (a,b) and FF (c, d) experiments in filtered (a, c) and unfiltered (b, d). Values presented for  $t_{zero}$  (black),  $t_{24}$  (light gray) and  $t_{48}$  (dark gray). Error bars are s.e.

the PNAN, in all treatments. In filtered water, N+P additions stimulated the growth of PNAN with abundance increasing about four fold between  $t_{zero}$  and  $t_{48}$ . However, PNAN abundance at  $t_{48}$  in glucose and control treatments did not differ significantly from  $t_{zero}$ . Similarly to filtered water, N+P additions for the unfiltered experiment provoked an increase in PNAN abundance (Fig.6.05-b), whilst it decreased in glucose additions and remained approximately constant in the control.

#### 6.3.3 FF experiment

PP in non-screened samples collected from the FF station ranged between 38



Figure 6.03. Bacterial production (BP) for the three treatments at LY1 (a,b) and FF (c, d) experiments in filtered (a, c) and unfiltered (b, d). Values presented for  $t_{zero}$  (black),  $t_{24}$  (light gray) and  $t_{48}$  (dark gray). Error bars are s.e.

and 57  $\mu$ g C L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. PP increased in N+P and control treatments but no changes were observed for the glucose addition. EOC also increased between t<sub>24</sub> and t<sub>48</sub> for the N+P addition but was not significantly different from controls. EOC were found in similar range in control and glucose addition treatment. Overall, treatments were not significantly different from each other (Fig. 6.01-c and 6.01-d).

BA ranged between 13 and 25  $\times 10^8$  cell L<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 6.02-c). In filtered water, BA had increased (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05) by approximately 3  $\times 10^8$  cell L<sup>-1</sup> in all treatments at t<sub>24</sub>. However, a further increase in BA (of 9.4  $\times 10^8$  cell L<sup>-1</sup>) was only noticeable for the glucose amended treatment between t<sub>24</sub> and t<sub>48</sub> (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05).



Figure 6.04. HNAN abundance  $(x10^5 \text{ cell } \text{L}^{-1})$  for the three treatments (indicated on the x axis) at LY1 (a,b) and FF (c, d) experiments in filtered (a, c) and unfiltered (b, d). Black bars are  $t_{zero}$  and gray bars are  $t_{48}$ . Error bars are s.e.

In unfiltered samples, BA was generally higher (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05) than in filtered water (Fig. 6.02-d). BA increased for all treatments at  $t_{24}$ , then decreased significantly (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05) for N+P and the control. However, BA was maintained at the same level in the glucose-supplemented treatment.

Similarly to LY1, BP ranged from 17 to 73  $\mu$ g C L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> in incubations with water from FF. In filtered water, BP exhibited a significant decrease (p < 0.05) of 8-10  $\mu$ g C L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> at t<sub>24</sub> (Fig. 6.03-c). These increases in BP were concomitant to those observed with BA. Then, BP increased significantly (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05) in N+P and glucose amended treatments (7  $\mu$ g C L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> and 68  $\mu$ g C L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). In unfiltered water, BP decreased at t<sub>24</sub> in N+P and control treatment whereas it increased



Figure 6.05. PNAN abundance  $(x10^5 \text{ cell } \text{L}^{-1})$  for the three treatments (indicated on the x axis) at LY1 (a,b) and FF (c, d) experiments in filtered (a, c) and unfiltered (b, d). Black bars are  $t_{zero}$  and gray bars are  $t_{48}$ . Error bars are s.e.

significantly (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05) on glucose addition treatment (Fig. 6.03d).Subsequently, BP increased significantly only in glucose amended water, to reach 56  $\mu$ g C L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> at t<sub>48</sub>.

HNAN abundances varied between 5 and 47 x  $10^8$  cell L<sup>-1</sup>, comparable to LY1. HNAN abundance increased (between t<sub>zero</sub> and t<sub>48</sub>) when nutrients (N+P and glucose) were added to filtered water (Fig. 6.04-c), with significantly higher numbers (1-way ANOVA, p-value < 0.05) obtained when glucose was added (8 x10<sup>5</sup> cell L<sup>-1</sup>, as compared to 4 x10<sup>5</sup> cell L<sup>-1</sup> in N+P treatment). A similar pattern was observed in unfiltered samples (Fig. 6.04-d), with abundances of HNAN two to nine times higher than those found in filtered water.

#### Limiting factors of microbial communities

## Chapter 6

PNAN densities were comparable to those found for the LY1 experiments, ranging from 9 to 102 x  $10^5$  cell L<sup>-1</sup>. In filtered water, only N+P addition provoked an increase of PNAN abundances (Fig. 6.05-c). The control and glucose treatments remained unchanged between  $t_{zero}$  and  $t_{48}$  (Fig. 6.05-c). However, PNAN numbers increased for all treatments when samples were unfiltered (Fig. 6.05-d). These increases were significant for N+P and glucose additions, but overall there were no significant differences between treatments.

#### **6.4 Discussion**

This set of experiments demonstrated the differences between LY1 and FF in terms of the response of their microbial communities to amendments of inorganic or organic nutrients. The factors controlling bacterial populations has been extensively studied in open oceans (Sherr et al. 1986, Sherr & Sherr 1987, Sherr et al. 1992, Kirchman 2000, Strom 2000, Davidson et al. 2007) in the detriment of the coastal regions. Understanding the dynamics of bacterioplankton on small time and space scales is still a challenge, as it represents a very active component of the whole microbial food web. Marine heterotrophic bacteria are known to utilise DOM for their growth (LeB Williams 1981, Azam et al. 1983), but also have the ability to take up inorganic nutrients at low concentrations (Kirchman 1994, Wheeler et al. 1996). The ecological significance of this latter behaviour resides in the fact that they can therefore enter into competition with phytoplankton for inorganic resources (Rivkin & Anderson 1997, Cotner & Biddanda 2002).

Phytoplankton growth, as determined by the PP (Fig. 6.01), showed little difference between treatments and no difference between the two locations studied. Indeed, PP measured for N+P additions was similar to the control and organic supplemented samples (Fig. 6.01-a and 6.01-c). This might suggest that phytoplankton

responded similarly in the two different environments and, that PP and phytoplankton biomass were nutrient replete in this experiment. In fact, when water samples were collected for this experiment (August 2005), the phytoplankton community was dominated by diatoms (see Chapter 3, section 3.7.4, including the *Pseudo nitszchia seriata* group, *Leptocylindrus danicus*, *Chaetoceros* sp and *Rhizosolenia* sp) and DSi concentrations were 4.7 and 5.3 µmole L<sup>-1</sup> (Table 6.02) for LY1 and FF stations, respectively. These findings are in agreement with those of Egge and Aknes (1992) who found the dominance of diatom to occur when Si concentrations were greater than 2 µmoles L<sup>-1</sup>.

In this experiment primary producers were exposed to the same constant light intensity (~ 100  $\mu$ moles m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), regardless of the treatment. Light intensity is a crucial factor in photosynthetic processes (Rhee & Gotham 1981) and may have been limiting. Differences in light intensity between the laboratory and natural conditions could have triggered important photosynthetic activity therefore masking the potential effects of nutrient additions on PP. A combined effect of nutrients and light would have resulted in greater PP than other treatments (glucose and control); however, PP in the N+P amendment treatment was not statistically different from the control (no addition) for both LY1 and the FF experiments.

The healthy nutritional conditions of the phytoplankton community were in agreement with the EOC concentrations observed, which represented a very minor fraction of the PP (ranging between 0 to 7 %). These low levels of EOC reflected the good health of phytoplankton, in contrast to the high EOC concentrations produced from stressed phytoplankton (Myklestad 1995, Granum et al. 2002). In chapter 5, a marine diatom, *Skeletonema costatum*, was grown under nutrient limitation (N and Si) and produced labile DOC under Si limitation that stimulated the growth of bacteria. In the experiment detailed here, the Si-replete conditions are likely to be associated with

low concentrations of DOC produced by the phytoplankton. Furthermore, this DOC did not enhance BP, which remained at its lowest level in control whereas PP was at similar levels in all other treatments. In addition, the concentration of EOC varied between 0 and 0.25  $\mu$ moles L<sup>-1</sup>, which probably made an insignificant contribution to the DOC concentrations measured at t<sub>zero</sub> (Table 6.02); it was therefore probably not significant in accounting for the variation of BP observed.

The ratio of autotrophic production (PP) to bacterial production (BP) ranged from 1.25 to 5 for all non-screened treatments, suggesting that autotrophy was exceeding heterotrophy in these time course incubations. This further corroborated the replete nutritional conditions of phytoplankton and suggested that bacteria may have been already limited before the start of the experiment.

BP initially increased for all LY1 treatments, but with greater production observed at  $t_{48}$  for the glucose addition. These observations were concomitant with an increase in BA and implied the active growth of the bacterial population in the experimental conditions, showing uptake of C (BP) and cell division (BA) in all treatments.

In contrast, only FF experiments with filtered water exhibited a marked stimulation of BP (Fig. 6.03-c) and BA (Fig. 6.03-c) following glucose additions. These observations suggested different types of limitation of the bacterioplankton between LY1 and FF. First of all, the availability of organic carbon was clearly limiting for the FF experiments (potentially of more terrigenous origin; see chapter 3) as only a readily available C source triggered the growth of bacteria. In contrast, the results suggested that organic carbon was initially available in sufficient concentrations at LY1 for use by bacteria. Glucose addition then promoted further production at  $t_{48}$  (approximately of 20  $\mu$ g C L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> compare to N+P and control). As DOC concentrations were higher at LY1

than FF (Table 6.02), this denoted that a part of the DOC pool at LY1 was potentially labile.

Nutrient resources were available in the filtrate of the control treatment at LY1, as evidenced by the increase in BA (Fig. 6.02-a and 6.02-c) and BP (Fig. 6.03-a and 6.03-c) observed in filtered water. However, it is unclear whether this substrate was present before the filtration processes, or if it is a consequence of it. Filtration of seawater samples might have had three types of effects:

(1) the removal of resources that come from phytoplankton or grazing leading to lower bacterial growth. This was unlikely here as even in the presence of phytoplankton cells the production of EOC would not have supported significant bacterial growth. Assuming a bacterial abundance to C conversion factor of 30.2 fg C cell<sup>-1</sup> (Fukuda et al. 1998) and a bacterial growth efficiency of 0.27 (Gasol & del Giorgio 2000), both typical of coastal water, the EOC produced would have supported the production of approximately 0.27 x10<sup>8</sup> cell L<sup>-1</sup>. This is 10 and 40 times less than the cell number increase that was observed in the non-screened control treatments at FF and LY1, respectively;

(2) the disruption of fragile cells leading to the nutrient enrichment in the experiment. This feature might have been possible at LY1 (where BP and BA increased in all filtered treatments) but as water samples were processed in the same way for FF and LY1, and phytoplankton biomass was greater at FF site, the same pattern (or an even greater increase) would have been expected in FF experiments;

(3) the removal of grazers. This is the most likely explanation to the response observed in the bacterioplankton as LY1 and FF might have experienced different grazing pressures leading to the differences observed. However, the filtration process probably removed some of the initial bacterial standing stock (diminished BA at  $t_{zero}$  in filtered water compared to unfiltered water). Glucose additions that promoted the

growth of bacteria in LY1 and FF experiment, in filtered samples, may have occured in unfiltered water but the differences would have been minimised by the presence of grazers.

HNAN could have been, in fact, inefficient grazers, as they did not transform bacterial biomass ingested into HNAN biomass. The latter scenario was suggested by other authors (Goldman et al. 1987, Strom et al. 1997) and is also discussed in Chapter 4. In the LY1 experiment, in unfiltered water, BP decreased for the N+P and the control treatment at  $t_{24}$  potentially due to active grazing concomitant to cell division, as shown by the increase of BA at  $t_{24}$ . Although, this demonstrates an active grazing of bacteria, HNAN abundances remained unchanged during the LY1 experiment (Fig. 6.04-b). This, then, suggests that other grazers as well as HNAN may have been active. Dinoflagellates and ciliates were present at the time of the experiment (see Chapter 3) and are known to feed on bacteria (Sherr, 1991).

The release of grazing pressure through screening of the water might have helped the bacteria to freely utilise the organic resources available, which suggested that an active grazing of the bacterioplankton was occurring at LY1 before the start of the experiment. In contrast, grazing was not active at first at the FF station but was stimulated subsequent to bacterial growth, promoted by glucose additions. In fact, the increases in bacterial activity in unfiltered water from station FF (Fig. 6.03-d) were concomitant with a significant increase of HNAN in the glucose additions. When glucose was added to the water from LY1 it promoted further production (compared to the N+P treatment and control) which was reflected in the maintained level of BP (Fig. 6.03-b) as well as number between  $t_{24}$  and  $t_{48}$  (Fig. 6.02-b).

Finally, in common with phytoplankton, inorganic nutrient limitation of the bacterial populations in LY1 and FF experiments was not observed, as the addition of inorganic N and P did not stimulate greater production or numbers compared to the

controls. However, inorganic nutrient addition did stimulate the growth of PNAN in filtered samples for both LY1 and FF experiments.

Inorganic nutrients present in natural water at the time of the experiment (see Table 6.02 and Chapter 3) were greater at FF than LY1. In unfiltered samples, these inorganic nutrients might have been responsible for the increase in PNAN abundance observed, in all cases, for the FF experiment (Fig. 6.05-d). In comparison, in the LY1 experiment, the decrease of PNAN for the glucose addition might suggest that they were out-competed by bacteria for the use of the low level of inorganic nutrient concentration, but when inorganic nutrients were added (N+P addition), PNAN did indeed increase.

#### **6.5** Conclusion

In summary, this experiment revealed an existing difference in the nutritional status of the microbial population between LY1 and FF. The sufficient N and P at both stations similarly stimulated PP. Organic nutrient addition suggested that the bacterial population were C limited at the FF site. Although initial availability of organic resources to bacteria was possible at LY1, the bacterial growth became C limited. It appears that only a small fraction of DOC was available. In addition, the bacterial growth at LY1 was masked by an intense but potentially inefficient, grazing pressure. More broadly, it appears that the control of bacterial population is far more complex than bottom-up and top-down regulation. This experiment highlighted the fact that the metabolic state of the bacterioplankton, and their grazers, may influence the response to nutrient enrichment. This experiment also demonstrated that spatial variability of microbial dynamics exists in coastal waters and that this spatial variability may be linked to nutrients sources.

## - CHAPTER 7 -

## Modelling microbial communities in Scottish sea lochs

## 7.1 Introduction

Mathematical models provide useful tools to investigate microbial food webs (Davidson 1996, Vallino 2000). These models cover a wide range of descriptive mechanism, from simple single organism models, such as the model of Monod for bacterial growth (1942), to the Cell quota models of Droop (1968) and Caperon and Meyer (1972), to simple interaction models such as the prey/predator model of Lokta-Voltera (1925).

The conceptual scheme developed by Steele (1974) summarised the basis of marine food chains: phytoplankton-zooplankton-fish. The microbial loop (Azam et al. 1983) extended this picture to include marine heterotrophic bacteria and nanoflagellates and its incorporation in marine ecosystems models led to their consequent improvement (Pomeroy 1974, LeB Williams 1981). Food web models are often formulated on a Nitrogen-Phytoplankton-Zooplankton-Detritus structure, such as the NPZD-model of Fasham et al. (1990). Other formulations of this NPZD model includes C to N stoichiometry (Taylor & Joint 1990), nutrient cycling (Moloney et al. 1986), and size-based interactions (Moloney & Field 1991). However, only a few models have been developed that include bacteria (Anderson & Williams 1998, Bissett et al. 1999, Vallino 2000).

The recognition of the microbial loop as a major feature of marine food chains highlights the importance of DOM cycling and its potential importance in models as a nutrient currency (C or N). However, "mathematical" cycling of DOM is poorly

understood (Kirchman 1993, Azam 1998). If the C:N ratio has been found to be relatively constant for phytoplankton and zooplankton, less for bacteria (see Chapter 4), this is not true for DOM C:N ratio which is highly variable. Therefore, in models that seek to adequately represent microbial communities, it is necessary to balance N cycling (N being the usual nutrient currency in models) with C. This has been undertaken in models by either simulating DOC alone, without associated DON, or simulating both quantities as separate independent state variables (Goldman & Dennett 2000, Christian et al. 2002). A difficulty of DOM modelling comes from the fact that numerous processes govern DOM production, e.g. phytoplankton exudation, grazer associated production, viral and bacterial lyses, solubilisation of particles and DOM removal, such as turn over of DOM, bacterial uptake vs. DOM lability, and photodegradation (Bjornsen 1988, Strom et al. 1997, Vetter et al. 1998, Bissett et al. 1999, Thingstad 2000). Although these processes are poorly understood and hence cannot be easily parameterised within models, some attempts of modelling DOM and bacterioplankton have given satisfactory simulations, such as Anderson et al. (1998), who simulated the seasonal cycle of DOC at the station E1 in the English Channel, or Polimene et al. (2006), who attempted to simulate complex interactions between DOM and bacteria.

In most modelling investigations of microbial food webs, the DOM pool that is available to uptake is assumed to be percentage of each pool and is based on DOC and DON concentration data (Anderson & Williams 1998). Due to the complexity of the DOM reservoir (due to lability and molecular weight) it is now recognised that simulating labile DOM, as a simple percentage of total DOM, does not allow proper characterisation of DOM cycling. The modelling study of Kelly-Gerreyn et al. (2004) is one of the few studies that discriminated labile, semi labile and refractory pools and the processes of formation and removal of each of these pools.

In fjordic sea lochs few attempts have been made to specifically simulate microbial processes using models. An exception is the model of Ross et al. (1993) developed for a range of locations (Killary Harbour, Loch Lihnne), including Loch Creran. Their model did not include the bacterial cycling of DOM, *per se*, but included DON that was excreted by phytoplankton and remineralised to inorganic N following a simple constant.

In this study, the existing Loch Creran model of Ross et al. (1993) was implemented and updated to include a fuller representation of microbial community dynamics. The main development in this work was the creation of a bacterial compartment in the model in order to investigate the potential to simulate bacterioplankton dynamics within such a model structure, the competition between phytoplankton and bacteria for inorganic resources and the associated dynamics of the fjordic microbial food web.

#### 7.2 The model

#### 7.2.1 Overview of the model

The model used in this study was developed by Ross et al. (1993) and revised by Ross et al. (1994). It is an ecosystem simulation for fjordic (e.g. restricted exchange) systems and its schematic structure is shown in figures 7.01 to 7.03, with equations and parameters defined in tables 7.01 to 7.05. This model was chosen because it was first developed for the study site of interest in this thesis (Loch Creran) and has been parameterised with data collected from this location (Ross et al. 1993). In this work, this model was complemented with a bacterial compartment to examine the effect of bacteria on the functioning of a marine food web in a fjordic system. As bacterial growth is assumed to be based on organic carbon resources, a DOC compartment was also added.



Figure 7.01. Diagrammatic representations of the physics (a), the biology (b) and the nutrient cycling (c) of the model use in this study.

## 7.2.2 The physical system

The vertical structure of the water column (Fig. 7.01) is separated into a surface (S) layer above the upper pycnocline, an intermediate (I) layer between the upper and lower pycnocline, and a bottom (J) layer between the lower pycnocline and the sediment. The physical modelling of these layers was simplified by assuming their respective thickness to be constant and that the three layers were well mixed vertically and horizontally.

The S and I layer are strongly coupled to the sea by tidal flushing (Fig. 7.01) and to each other by tidal upwelling (entrainment) and turbulent diffusion (simple diffusion law). The S layer received additional nutrient through runoff from land. Ross et al. (1993) demonstrated that the nutrient dynamics were close to that of a chemostat and were only weakly influenced by slow nutrient remineralisation through the sediment. Hence, these authors approximated the combined effects of the J layer and the sediment as a single nutrient compartment from which the nutrient is returned to the I layer by a first order rate process.

#### 7.2.3 The biological system

The biota was originally composed of three functional groups: phytoplankton, zooplankton and carnivores (Fig. 7.02), to which a bacterial compartment in S and I layer was added in this study (see below). The phytoplankton of S and I layer were modelled separately but are coupled by sinking, upwelling and turbulent diffusion. Each phytoplankton assemblage takes up dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) from its own layer and excretes dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) back into the same layer.

I auto 1.011. Diato Yailautos di uto Illudui 1101.	II NUSS C	יוכ (ד <i>רר</i> בן). ומ	to range accorded in this mosts are meaning in a contract the mean
States variables		Units	Balance equations
Carnivore C concentration	Cc	mg C m <sup>-3</sup>	$dC_{c}/dt = [ a_{c}\Gamma - m_{c}C_{c}V_{s} + I_{c}(t) ]/V_{s}$
Carnivore N concentration	Nc	mg N m <sup>-3</sup>	$dC_N/dt = [a_CQ_Z\Gamma - m_CN_CV_S + Q_{IC}I_C(t)]/V_S$
Zooplankton C concentration	$C_{\rm Z}$	mg C m <sup>-3</sup>	$dC_{Z}/dt = [a_{Z}(G_{PS} + G_{PI} + G_{BS} + G_{BI}) - m_{Z}C_{Z}V_{S} - \Gamma + I_{Z}(t)]/V_{S}$
Zooplankton N concentration	$N_{\rm Z}$	mg N m <sup>-3</sup>	$dN_Z/dt = \left[ \begin{array}{l} a_Z(Q_{PS}G_{PS} + G_{PI}Q_{PI} + Q_{BS}G_{BS} + Q_{BI}G_{BI}) - m_ZN_ZV_S - Q_Z\Gamma + Q_{IZ}I_Z(t) \end{array} \right]/V_S$
Phytoplankton C concentration in S layer	$C_{PS}$	mg C m <sup>-3</sup>	$dC_{PS}/dt = [U_{CPS} - m_PC_PV_S - G_{PS} + M_{CIS} + W_{CRS} + W_{CIS}]/V_S$
Phytoplankton N concentration in S layer	N <sub>PS</sub>	mg N m <sup>-3</sup>	$dN_{PS}/dt = [ a_PU_{FPS} - m_PN_{PS}V_S - Q_{PS}G_{PS} + M_{NIS} + W_{NRS} + W_{NIS} ]/V_S$
Phytoplankton C concentration in I layer	C <sub>PI</sub>	mg C m <sup>-3</sup>	$dC_{PI}/dt = [U_{CPI} - m_P C_{PI} V_I + \delta_P C_{PS} V_S - G_I - M_{CIS} + W_{CEI}]/V_S$
Phytoplankton N concentration in I layer	$N_{PI}$	mg N m <sup>-3</sup>	$dN_{pl}/dt = [ a_p U_{FPI} - m_p N_{PI} V_I + \delta_p N_{PS} V_S - Q_{Pl} G_I - M_{NIS} + W_{NEI} ]/V_S$
Bacteria C concentration in S layer	C <sub>BS</sub>	mg C m <sup>-3</sup>	$dC_{BS}/dt = [U_{CBS}BGE - R_B - G_{BS} + W_{BCIS} + M_{CBIS} - \delta_B C_{BS} V_S]/V_S$
Bacteria N concentration in S layer	$N_{BS}$	mg N m <sup>-3</sup>	$dN_{BS}/dt = \left[ U_{NBS} - X_{BS} - Q_{BS}G_{BS} + W_{NBIS} + M_{NBIS} - \delta_B N_{BS} V_S \right]/V_S$
Bacteria C concentration in I layer	$C_{\rm BI}$	mg C m <sup>-3</sup>	$dC_{BI}/dt = \left[ U_{CBI}BGE - R_B - G_{BI} + W_{BCEI} - M_{CBIS} - \delta_B C_{BI}V_I \right]/V_I$
Bacteria N concentration in I layer	NBI	mg N m <sup>-3</sup>	$dN_{BI}/dt = \left[ U_{NBI} - X_{BI} - Q_{BI}G_{BI} + W_{BNEI} - M_{NBIS} - \delta_B N_{BI} V_I \right] / V_I$
DIN concentration in J layer	FJ	mg N m <sup>-3</sup>	$dF_{J}/dt = [\pi_{d} - k_{JR}F_{J}V_{J}]/V_{J}$
DIN concentration in I layer	$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{I}}$	mg N m <sup>-3</sup>	$dF_{I}/dt = \left[ \begin{array}{c} X_{BI} + X_{CI} + X_{ZI} + k_{JR}F_{J}V_{J} - U_{FPI} - U_{FBI} + W_{FEI} - M_{FIS} \end{array} \right]/V_{I}$
DIN concentration in S layer	$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}}$	mg N m <sup>-3</sup>	$dF_{S}/dt = [ X_{BS} + X_{CS} + X_{ZS} + k_{OR}D_{S}V_{S} - U_{FPS} - U_{FBS} + W_{FRS} + W_{FIS} + M_{FIS} ]/V_{S}$
DON concentration in I layer	$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}}$	mg N m <sup>-3</sup>	$dD_l/dt = [X_{PI} - k_{OR}D_IV_I - U_{NBI} + W_{DEI} - M_{DIS}]/V_I$
DON concentration in S layer	Dı	mg N m <sup>-3</sup>	$dD_{S}/dt = [X_{PS} - k_{OR}D_{S}V_{S} - U_{NBS} + W_{DRS} + W_{DIS} + M_{DIS}]/V_{S}$
DOC concentration in I layer	$O_{\rm S}$	mg C m <sup>-3</sup>	$dO_i/dt = [eb_PC_{PI} - U_{CBI} - W_{OIS} + W_{OEI}]/V_I$
DOC concentration in S layer	OI	mg C m <sup>-3</sup>	$dO_{S}/dt = [eb_{P}C_{PS} - U_{CBS} + W_{OIS} + W_{OR}]/V_{S}$

			Onits
$\Lambda_{\rm CIS}$	Net flux of C <sub>P</sub> from I to S due to mixing	$T_{IS}$ (CPI – CPS)	mg C d <sup>-1</sup>
$\Lambda_{\rm DIS}$	Net flux of D from I to S due to mixing	$T_{1S} \left( D_1 - D_S \right)$	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
$\Lambda_{ m OIS}$	Net flux of O from I to S due t mixing	$T_{IS}$ (O <sub>I</sub> – O <sub>S</sub> )	mg C d <sup>-1</sup>
$\Lambda_{\rm FIS}$	Net flux from I to S due to mixing	$T_{IS} (F_I - F_S)$	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
ANIS	Net flux of N <sub>P</sub> from I to S due to mixing	$T_{IS}$ ( $N_{PI} - N_{PS}$ )	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
ABCIS	Net flux of C <sub>B</sub> from I to S due to mixing	$T_{IS}$ (C <sub>BI</sub> – C <sub>BS</sub> )	mg C d <sup>-1</sup>
$\Lambda_{ m BNIS}$	Net flux of N <sub>B</sub> from I to S due to mixing	$T_{IS} (N_{BI} - N_B S)$	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>CPEI</sub>	Net flux of C <sub>P</sub> from sea into I	$T_E [\Omega C_{PE}(t) - \beta C_{PI} - \Omega(1-\beta)C_{PI}]$	mg C d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>CPIS</sub>	Net flux of C <sub>P</sub> from I to S due to upwelling	$\beta T_{\rm E} (C_{\rm Pl} - \Omega C_{\rm PS})$	mg C d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>CRS</sub>	Net flux of C <sub>P</sub> from runoff into S	$T_R$ [ $C_{PR}(t) - \Omega C_{PS}$ ]	mg C d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>DEI</sub>	Net flux of D from sea into I	$T_{E} [ D_{E}(t) - D_{I} ]$	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>dis</sub>	Net flux of D from I to S due to upwelling	$\beta T_{\rm E} (D_{\rm I} - D_{\rm S})$	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>DRS</sub>	Net flux of D from runoff into S	$T_R [D_R(t) - D_S]$	mg N d <sup>-l</sup>
V <sub>OEI</sub>	Net flux of O from sea into I	$T_E [e_{bp}C_{PE}(t) - O_I]$	mg C d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>OIS</sub>	Net flux of O from I to S due to upwelling	$\beta TE (OI - OS)$	mg C d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>OR</sub>	Net flux of O from runoff	$T_R [O_R(t) - O_S]$ .	mg C d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>FEI</sub>	Net flux of F from sea into I	$T_{E}$ [ $F_{E}(t) - F_{I}$ ]	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>FIS</sub>	Net flux of F from I to S due to upwelling	$\beta T_{\rm E} (F_{\rm I} - F_{\rm S})$	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>FRS</sub>	Net flux of F from runoff into S	$T_R [F_R(t) - F_S]$	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>CBEI</sub>	Net flux of C <sub>B</sub> from sea into I	$T_{E} \left[ \Omega C_{BE}(t) - \beta C_{BI} - \Omega(1-\beta)C_{BI} \right]$	mg C d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>CBIS</sub>	Net flux of C <sub>B</sub> from I to S due to upwelling	$\beta_{TE} (C_{BI} - \Omega C_{BS})$	mg C d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>NBEI</sub>	Net flux of N <sub>B</sub> from sea into I	$T_{E} \left[ \Omega N_{BE}(t) - \beta N_{BI} - \Omega(1-\beta) N_{BI} \right]$	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>NBIS</sub>	Net flux of N <sub>B</sub> from I to S due to upwelling	$\beta_{TE}$ (N <sub>BI</sub> - $\Omega N_{BS}$ )	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>NEI</sub>	Net flux of N <sub>P</sub> from sea into I	$T_{E} \left[ \Omega N_{PE}(t) - \beta N_{PI} - \Omega(1-\beta) N_{PI} \right]$	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>NIS</sub>	Net flux of N <sub>P</sub> from I to S due to upwelling	$\beta T_{\rm E} (N_{\rm Pl} - \Omega N_{\rm Ps})$	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>NRS</sub>	Net flux of N <sup>b</sup> from runoff into S	$T_{\rm B} [ N_{\rm BB}(t) - ON_{\rm Bc} ]$	mo N d <sup>-1</sup>

Phytoplankton sinking through the pycnocline is assumed to be dead and returns its N into the storage layer (J).

In the model, primary production (fixation of C by phytoplankton) was assumed to be limited either by irradiance or the availability of N. Nutrient limitation of phytoplankton growth ( $\mu$ ) is described using the cell quota model of Droop (1968) that postulates a minimum N level below which C fixation cannot take place and makes  $\mu$  an hyperbolic function of the C:N ratio ("Q", cell quota). Light limitation (Andersen et al. 1987) and N uptake (Caperon & Meyer 1972) were approximated using Michaelis-Menten relationships. The cell quota equations were modified from Ross et al. (1994) and the uptake of N by phytoplankton was simulated as:

$$U_F = C_P \times S_P \times V_S \times \left(\frac{R_{MN} \times F}{H_{FP} + F}\right) \times \left(\frac{Q_{\max} - Q}{Q_{\max}}\right)$$
equation 7.01

where  $U_F$  is the phytoplankton uptake of N,  $C_P$  is the phytoplankton C concentration,  $S_P$  is the temperature dependence of phytoplankton rate processes,  $V_S$  is the volume of the S layer,  $R_{MN}$  is the maximum growth rate for N limited phytoplankton, F is the concentration of dissolved inorganic N (DIN),  $H_{FP}$  is the half-saturation phytoplankton DIN concentration,  $Q_{max}$  is the maximum cell N quota and Q is the cell N quota.

This equation generates maximal uptake when the cell N quota (Q) is minimal and stops when cellular N tends or equals to maximal N quota ( $Q_{max}$ ) and is in accordance with the cell quota model of Droop (1968). The light limitation and attenuation of light equations were not modified from Ross et al. (1994), which takes in account the self-attenuation of light by phytoplankton (see Table 7.05, equations for  $\gamma_{SL}$ ,  $\kappa_{S,I}$  and  $U_{CP}$ ).

Modelling microbial food web in a fjordic system

Zooplankton feed on phytoplankton and bacteria from both S and I layer (Fig. 7.03) and excrete inorganic N into each layer in proportion to the ratio of time spend in each of the two layers.

Table 7.03. Physical parameters of the model from Ross et al. (1994).

	Description	Units	Value
V <sub>B</sub> /V <sub>S</sub>	Volume of bottom (J) layer / Volume of surface (S) layer	dimensionless	0.06
V <sub>I</sub> /V <sub>S</sub>	Volume of intermediate (I) layer / Volume of surface (S) layer	dimensionless	0.9
β	Proportion of tide upwelling into (S) layer	dimensionless	0.25
T <sub>E</sub> /V <sub>S</sub>	Volume exchange rate for tide into I layer / Volume of S layer	d <sup>-1</sup>	0.37
$T_{IS}/V_S$	Volume exchange rate for mixing between I layer and S layer / Volume of S layer	d <sup>-1</sup>	0.05
T <sub>R</sub> /V <sub>S</sub>	Volume exchange rate for runoff into S layer / Volume of S layer	d <sup>-1</sup>	0.01
κο	Background attenuation coefficient	$m^{-1}$	0.22
γs	Mean depth of surface layer	m	8
γı	Mean depth of intermediate layer	m	8

Fecal pellets and corpses from zooplankton are assumed to sink rapidly through the lower pycnocline and release N directly into the storage layer. Carnivores are treated in the same way except that they feed exclusively on zooplankton. Prey:predator relationships were represented by Michaelis-Menten functions but with C (C concentration of the prey) as the limiting currency. Ingested C, and associated N, is assimilated with a fixed rate ( $a_{Z,C,P,B}$ , in Table 7.05) with unassimilated nutrient being rejected as fecal material or excreted as dissolved inorganic N.

Zooplankton and carnivores are capable of some mobility and therefore able to move between layers. This mobility especially affects the zooplankton grazing between S and I layers and also affects the layers receiving the waste products of this grazing activity. In the original version of the model, Ross et al. (1993) specified a fixed time spent by zooplankton in each layer (e.g independent of phytoplankton abundance) and Table 7.04. Biological and nutrient parameters of the model, variables developed in this thesis are highlighted in green in the table. Parameters were taken from Ross et al. (1994) if not otherwise specified (see bottom of table).

	Description	Value	Units
Δ	Constant in function for zoo. egg production	100	d-1
$\Gamma_{\max}$	Max. carnivore predation rate	15	d-1
Ω	Phytoplankton and bacteria washout-retention factor	0.5	dimensionless
$\delta_{\rm C}$	Carnivore death rate	0.05	d <sup>-1</sup>
δΡ	Phytoplankton death rate	0.1	d <sup>-1</sup>
$\delta_Z$	Zooplankton death rate	0.05	d <sup>-1</sup>
$\delta_{\rm B}$	Bacterial death rate	0.03	d <sup>-1</sup>
$\lambda_{\rm C}$	Coefficient in carnivore temperature equation	1	dimensionless
$\lambda_{\rm P}$	Coefficient in phytoplankton temperature equation	1	dimensionless
$\lambda_{Z}$	Coefficient in zooplankton temperature equation	1	dimensionless
$\lambda_{\rm B}$	Coefficient in bacteria temperature equation	1	dimensionless
μ <sub>C</sub>	Fraction of carnivore time spent in surface layer	0.5	dimensionless
$\theta_{\rm B}$	Bacterial C to N ratio	5.1	dimensionless
V <sub>max</sub>	Max. bacterial C, F uptake	6.9	d <sup>-1</sup>
ρ	Contribution of phytoplankton to attenuation coefficient	0.012	$m^2 (mg C)^{-1}$
τc	Coefficient in carnivore temperature equation	0.1	°C <sup>-1</sup>
τ <sub>P</sub>	Coefficient in phytoplankton temperature equation	0.1	°C <sup>-1</sup>
τ <sub>7</sub>	Coefficient in zooplankton temperature equation	0.1	$^{\circ}C^{-1}$
τ <sub>D</sub>	Coefficient in bacteria temperature equation	0.1	°C <sup>-1</sup>
С	Constant in function for zoo, vertical migration	0.1	dimensionless
da	Fraction of carnivore untake defecated	0.5	dimensionless
d <sub>2</sub>	Fraction of zoo untake defecated	0.5	dimensionless
eba	Fraction of carnicore biomass excreted per day	0.50	d <sup>-1</sup>
eba	Fraction of zoo, biomass excreted per day	0.75	d d <sup>-1</sup>
ebs	Fraction of phytoplankton biomass excreted per day	0.05	d d <sup>-1</sup>
oba <sup>a</sup>	Fraction of phytoplankton biomass excreted per day	0.54	dimensionless
BCE b	Practicition of Dacteria uplace exciteted per day	0.15	$m_{\alpha} C (m_{\alpha} C)^{-1}$
DOL	Erection of cornivore untake evereted	0.27	dimensionless
CUC	Fraction of phytoplankton untake excreted	0.2	dimensionless
CUP	Fraction of phytopiankion uptake excited	0.05	dimensionless
C	May zoonlonkton grazing rate on phytonlonkton	0.15	almensionless
GP <sub>max</sub>	Max. zooplankton grazing rate on phytoplankton	2	0 
U <sub>Bmax</sub>	Half activation phytonland ton DDL concentration	0.5	u = N = -3
IIFP	half saturation imaging	58.8	$\operatorname{mg}$ N m
пL		00	$\mu$ moles m <sup>-</sup> s <sup>-</sup>
H <sub>C</sub>	half saturation phytoplankton C concentration	400	mg C m <sup>3</sup>
H <sub>ZP</sub>	nalf saturation zooplankton C (phytoplankton) concentration	150	mg C m <sup>3</sup>
H <sub>ZB</sub>	half saturation zooplankton C (bacteria) concentration	75	$mgCm^{3}$
H <sub>O</sub>	Half saturation DOC concentration	13.4	mg C m <sup>-3</sup>
H <sub>FB</sub> "	Half saturation bacteria DIN concentration	7	mg N m <sup>-5</sup>
k <sub>JR</sub>	rate constant for sediment bottom remineralisation	0.01	d
k <sub>OR</sub>	rate constant for DON remineralisation	0.02	d-1
Q <sub>IC</sub>	N quota of immigrant carnivores	0.15	mg N (mg $C^{\mu}$
QIP	N quota of immigrant phytoplankton	0.15	$mg N (mg C)^{-1}$
Q <sub>IZ</sub>	N quota of immigrant zooplankton	0.15	$mg N (mg C)^{-1}$
Q <sub>max</sub>	Maximum N phytoplankton quota	0.25	$mg N (mg C)^{-1}$
$Q_{min}$	Minimum N phytoplankton quota	0.05	$mg N (mg C)^{-1}$
$Q_{\text{off}}$	Storage switch transition width	0.01	mg N (mg C)-1
$R_{ML}$	Max. phytoplankton growth rate (light limited)	1.2	d <sup>-1</sup>
R <sub>MN</sub>	Max. phytoplankton growth rate (N limited)	1.6	d-1
$T_{ZE}$	Constant in function for zoo. egg production	100	°C <sup>-1</sup>
Umax	Max. phyto. N uptake rate per unit biomass	2.4	$mg N (mg C)^{-1} d^{-1}$

<sup>a</sup> from Anderson (1998); <sup>b</sup> from del Giorgio (1998)

assumed the uptake of C by zooplankton depended on phytoplankton C concentration. In a later version of the model (Ross et al. 1994) this behaviour was modified in response to phytoplankton density to model a "refuge effect", in which the aggregations of zooplankton occured in relation to high density of phytoplankton. In this PhD work, the original fixed fraction of time spent by zooplankton in each layer was preferred as a model of diel migration of zooplankton between I and S layers.

#### 7.2.4 New additions to the model

The model was modified to include bacterial dynamics. Complementary to the bacterial component, a DOC compartment was created (Fig. 7.03). Similarly to DON, DOC is found in both S and I layer. DOC is exported into the I layer from the sea, then entrained or diffused into the S layer, which is also supplemented by DOC from runoff. DOC in both layers is also excreted by phytoplankton (Table 7.01). The dynamics of DOC were driven by bacterial uptake and physical exchanges (Table 7.02 and 7.04).

Bacteria were included in both the S and I layers (Fig. 7.01) responding to DOC stocks of both layers. The bacteria were made to take up DOC ( $U_{CB}$ ) following the model of Monod (1942):

$$U_{CB} = \frac{V_{\max} \times O}{H_O + O}$$

#### equation 7.02

equation 7.03

where O is the organic C concentration and the associated DON is taken up in stoichiometric proportion to C:

$$U_{NB} = U_{CB} \times Q_B$$

with  $Q_B$  the N to C ratio of bacterial cell (see Table 7.05). The fixed remineralisation rate of DON to DIN of Ross et al. (1993) was therefore abandoned to the benefit of bacterial uptake of DON and excretion of N as a more realistic remineralisation pathway (see the model of Goldman et al. (1987), equation 4.01 in Chapter 4):

$$X_{B} = \left(\frac{1}{O_{D}} - BGE \times Q_{B}\right)$$

equation 7.04

where *O* is the organic C concentration and *D*, the organic N concentration (see Table 7.04 for the definition of the parameters in equations 7.02, 7.03 and 7.04). Similarly to phytoplankton, bacteria released inorganic N into the S and I layers and are grazed by zooplankton. Bacteria were also able to take up inorganic N according to a Michaelis-Menten relationship (see equation 7.02) but with a different affinity compared to DON ( $H_{FB}$  and  $H_{DB}$  in Table 7.05).

Zooplankton grazing was based on a Michaelis-Menten relationship and depends on bacterial C concentration but with different parameters to zooplankton grazing on phytoplankton giving a zooplankton feeding preference for the latter type of prey (Table 7.05). As for phytoplankton, this model simulated the transport of bacteria from the sea into the I layer, the upwelling of bacterial C to the S layer and the seaward flushing of bacteria from the S layer.

#### 7.2.5 Driving function

The external environment of the system was modelled using driving functions (Fig. 7.02) for temperature, light irradiance, DIN, DON and DOC concentrations, and phytoplankton, immigration rates of zooplankton and carnivores.

Table 7.05	. Biological quantities of the model from Ross et al. (1994)	, variables developed in this thesis are highlighted in green in the table.	
	Description	Definition	Units
<u> </u>	Total uptake of zoo. C by carnivores	$C_C V_S \Gamma_{max} S_C C_Z / (C_Z + H_Z)$	mg C d <sup>-1</sup>
$\gamma_{\rm IL}$	Depth within I layer at which light becomes limiting	$Min \parallel \gamma_{I}, \langle log_{e} \{ [L_{s}(t)exp(-\kappa_{S}\gamma_{s})/H_{L}] [ (R_{ML}/\Psi_{IQ})-1] \} / \kappa_{I} \rangle^{+} \parallel$	m
γsr	Depth within S layer at which light becomes limiting	Min $\  \gamma_S, \langle \log_e \{ [L_S(t)/H_L] [(R_{ML}/\Psi_{SQ})-1] \} / \kappa_S \rangle^+ \ $	ш
K <sub>S, I</sub>	Attenuation coefficient of PAR in S and I layer	$k_o + pC_{PS}, k_o + pC_{PI}$	m-1
ДЪ	Total production rate of corpses and fecal pellets	$d_{C}Q_{Z}\Gamma + d_{Z}\left(Q_{PS}G_{S} + Q_{PI}G_{I}\right) + \left\{ \delta_{C}N_{C} + \left[\delta_{Z} + \Delta exp(-T_{ZE}/T)\right]N_{Z}\right\}V_{S}$	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
	•	$+ \delta_P N_P V_I$	-
$\Psi_{ m SQ, SI}$	Depth average growth limitation of S and I phyto. by N	$R_{MQ}(1-Q_{min}/Q_{PS})^{+}, R_{MQ}(1-Q_{min}/Q_{PI})^{+}$	d <sup>-1</sup>
ac, z, p	Fraction of carn., zoo., and phyto. uptake assimilated	$1 - d_c - e_{UC}$ , $1 - d_z - e_{UZ}$ , $1 - e_{UP}$	dimensionless
Gs	Total uptake of S-layer phyto. C by zoo.	$\mu_Z C_Z V_S S_Z \left< \left\{ G_{Pmax} \left[ C_{PS} / (C_{PS} + H_{ZP}) \right] \right\} + \left\{ G_{Bmax} \left[ C_{BS} / (C_{BS} + H_{ZB}) \right] \right\} \right>$	mg C d <sup>-1</sup>
Ū	Total uptake of S-layer phyto. C by zoo.	$(1 - \mu_Z)C_ZV_iS_Z \left\langle \left\{ G_{Pmax}[C_{Pl}/(C_{Pl} + H_{ZP})] \right\} + \left\{ G_{Bmax}[C_{Bl}/(C_{Bl} + H_{ZB})] \right\} \right\rangle$	mg C d <sup>-1</sup>
mc, z, p, b	Fraction daily loss of carn., zoo., and phyto. biomass	$\delta_{C}$ + eb <sub>C</sub> S <sub>C</sub> , $\delta_{Z}$ + eb <sub>Z</sub> S <sub>Z</sub> + $\Delta$ exp(-T <sub>ZE</sub> /T), $\delta_{P}$ + eb <sub>P</sub> S <sub>P</sub> , $\delta_{B}$ + eb <sub>B</sub> S <sub>P</sub>	d <sup>-1</sup>
Qps, pi	Phytoplankton N quota in S and I layers	[(1-ap)UFPS]/CPS – UCPS/CPSQPS	mg N (mg C) <sup>-1</sup>
$Q_{BS, BI}$	Bacterial N quota in S and I layers	N <sub>BS</sub> /C <sub>BS</sub> , N <sub>Bl</sub> /C <sub>BI</sub>	
$Q_{z,c}$	Zooplankton and carnivore N quotas	Nz/Cz, Nc/Cc	mg N (mg C) <sup>-1</sup>
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{C},\mathbf{Z},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{B}}$	Temp. dependence of carn., zoo., phyto. and bacteria	1 - $\lambda \exp[-\tau_{CT}\theta(t)]$ , 1 - $\lambda \exp[-\tau_{ZT}\theta(t)]$ , 1 - $\lambda \exp[-\tau_{PT}\theta(t)]$ ,	dimensionless
	rate processes	$1 - \lambda \exp[-\tau_{BT}\theta(t)]$	
U <sub>CPS</sub>	Total uptake of C by S-layer phytoplankton	$C_{PS}V_{S}S_{P}((\gamma_{SL}/\gamma_{S})\Psi_{SQ} + (R_{ML}/\kappa_{S}\gamma_{S})log_{e}\{[L_{S}(t)exp(-\gamma_{SL}\kappa_{S})$	mg C d <sup>-1</sup>
		+ H <sub>L</sub> ]/[ $L_s(t)exp(-\gamma_s \kappa_s) + H_L$ ]/	
U <sub>CPI</sub>	Total uptake of C by I-layer phytoplankton	$C_{PI}V_{I}S_{P}((\gamma_{II}/\gamma_{I})\Psi_{IQ} + (R_{ML}/\kappa_{I}\gamma_{I})log_{e}\{[L_{s}(t)exp(-\gamma_{I}\kappa_{I} - \gamma_{SL}\kappa_{S})$	mg C d <sup>-1</sup>
		+ HL]/[ Ls(t)exp(- $\gamma_{KI}$ - $\gamma_{SL}$ Ks) + HL]}	
U <sub>CBS, I</sub>	Total uptake of C by S and I layer bacteria	$[V_{max}O_S/(H_0 + O_S)],  3[V_{max}O_V/(H_0 + O_I)]$	mg C d <sup>-1</sup>
U <sub>NBS, I</sub>	Total uptake of N by S and I layer bacteria	UcbsQbs, UcbiQbi	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
UFPS	Total uptake of N by S-layer phytoplankton	$C_{PS}V_{S}S_{P}\langle \left[ U_{max} F_{S}/(F_{S} + H_{FP}) \right] \left[ (Q_{max} - Q)/Q_{max} \right] \rangle$	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
$U_{FPI}$	Total uptake of N by I-layer phytoplankton	$C_{PI}V_{I}S_{P}\langle [U_{max} F_{I}/(F_{I} + H_{FP})] [(Q_{max} - Q)/Q_{max}] \rangle$	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
U <sub>fbs, i</sub>	Total uptake of N by S and I layer bacteria	$V_{max}F_{S}/(H_{FB} + F_{S}), V_{max}F_{I}/(H_{FB} + F_{I})$	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
$\rm X_{\rm CS,I}$	Total rate of excretion from carn. into S and I layers	$\mu_{c}(e_{uc}Q_{z} + e_{bc}S_{c}N_{c}V_{s}), (1 - \mu_{c}C)(e_{uc}Q_{z} + e_{bc}S_{c}N_{c}V_{s})$	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
$X_{ZS, I}$	Total rate of excretion from zoo. into S and I layers	$e_{UZ}G_{S}(Q_{PS}+Q_{BS})+\mu_{Z}e_{bZ}S_{Z}N_{Z}V_{S}, e_{UZ}G_{I}(Q_{PI}+Q_{BI})+(1-2)$	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
		μz)e <sub>bz</sub> SzNzVs	
X <sub>PS, I</sub>	Total rate of excretion from phyto. into S and I layers	$e_{UP}U_{FPS} + e_{bP}S_{P}N_{PS}V_{S}$ , $e_{UP}U_{FPI} + e_{bP}S_{P}N_{PI}V_{I}$	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>
$\rm X_{BS,I}$	Total rate of excretion from bact. into S and I layers	U <sub>CBS</sub> {[1/(O <sub>S</sub> /D <sub>S</sub> )]-(BGEQ <sub>BS</sub> )}, U <sub>CBI</sub> {[1/(O <sub>I</sub> /D <sub>I</sub> )]-(BGEQ <sub>BI</sub> )}	mg N d <sup>-1</sup>

.

.

Light irradiance data (Fig. 7.02-c) were modified from those of Ross et al. (1993, 1994) to use values collected from SAMS meteorological station during the study period. Light irradiance, sea temperature and DIN concentrations coming from the sea, runoff, zooplankton and carnivore immigration were approximated with sinusoidal functions following Ross et al. (1993, 1994).

í

Table 7.06. Driving functions of the model from Ross et al. (1994), functions used in this thesis are highlighted in green in the table.

	Descriptions	Units
C <sub>PE</sub> (t)	Phytoplankton C concentration in the sea	mg C m <sup>-3</sup>
C <sub>BE</sub> (t)	Bacterial C concentration in the sea	mg C m <sup>-3</sup>
D <sub>E</sub> (t)	DON concentration in the sea	$mg N m^{-3}$
D <sub>R</sub> (t)	DON concentration in runoff	$mg N m^{-3}$
O <sub>E</sub> (t)	DOC concentration in the sea	$mg C m^{-3}$
$O_R(t)$	DOC concentration in runoff	mg C m <sup>-3</sup>
$F_{E}(t)$	DIN concentration in the sea	$mg N m^{-3}$
$F_{R}(t)$	DIN concentration in runoff	$mg N m^{-3}$
I <sub>C</sub> (t)	Total immigration rate of carnivores C	$mg C d^{-1}$
I <sub>Z</sub> (t)	Total immigration rate of zooplankton C	$mg C d^{-1}$
L <sub>S</sub> (t)	Irradiance in surface layer	$\mu Einst m^2 s^{-1}$
N <sub>PE</sub> (t)	Phytoplankton N in the sea	mg N m <sup>-3</sup>
N <sub>BE</sub> (t)	Bacterial N in the sea	$mg N m^{-3}$
θ(t)	Sea temperature	°C

Phytoplankton C concentrations in the sea were estimated from chl a data collected at LY1 (see Chapter 3) following smoothing, using a three point moving average, and using a C to chl a ratio of one to 25.

DOC and DON concentration (Fig. 7.02-d and f) from the sea (LY1 station, Chapter 3) and from runoff measured for Loch Creran (see Chapter 3) were used to implement the driving functions of these quantities. It is worth noting here that DOC concentrations from runoff were higher than those in the sea (Fig. 7.02-d), making runoff the major contributor to DOC pool within the loch. However, DON concentration from runoff and the sea were comparable (Fig. 7.02-f). Similarly to phytoplankton, these data were smoothed using a three point moving average. A similar approach was used for bacteria where bacterial C from the sea was derived from



Figure 7.02. Main driving function used in the model with temperature (a), DIN from run (b-solid line) and from the sea (b-dotted line), light irradiance (c), DOC from runoff (d-solid line) and from the sea (d-dotted line), immigration of zooplankton (e-dotted line) and carnivores (e-solid line), and DON from runoff (f-solid line) and from the sea (f-dotted line).

bacterial abundance measurement at station LY1 (see Chapter 3), using the conversion factor of Fukuda (1998). Data for carnivores were kept as defined by Ross et al. (1993).

#### 7.3 Implementation

The model was constructed with PowerSim (PowerSim Ltd, England), a mathematical simulation modelling software package. The set of differential equations was integrated with a 4<sup>th</sup> order Runge & Kutta algorithm, with variable time step, over a period of three cycles (3 years simulation). Simulations shown below are for the S layer as surface and intermediate layers demonstrated little difference. Observed data, against which the model was compared, were taken from station C5 in Loch Creran at 3 m depth (see Chapter 3).



Figure 7.03. Annual cycle of bacteria (solid line), phytoplankton (dashed line), zooplankton (dash-dotted line) and carnivore (dotted line).
The initial aim of this work was to get an adequate representation of the annual cycle of the variables for Loch Creran, in order to validate the model simulations. The model was parameterised with data from Ross et al. (1993) and completed with data from Anderson et al. (1998) for bacterial uptake of DIN.

# 7.3.1 Standard run

The outputs of the standard run of the model are shown in figure 7.03. The seasonal variation of the phytoplankton shows two main peaks in spring and summer with low phytoplankton C concentration in the winter. The phytoplankton C concentration first increases to reach a spring maximum between days 90 - 120. A second gradual increase occurs in late summer autumn (days 240 - 330). Bacteria followed a different seasonal pattern (Fig. 7.03). The bacterial C concentration increased to a maximum around day 200, followed by another maximum around day 270. Bacterial C then decreased to lower levels in the winter time. Grazer, zooplankton and carnivore C concentration oscillated in response to prey growth. Zooplankton responds to phytoplankton and bacteria variations. Carnivores followed the pattern of zooplankton but with oscillations out of phase compared to those of zooplankton. These model outputs were then compared to the data collected from the field study (see Chapter 3). Comparisons between model output and data collected in Loch Creran are shown in figure 7.04.

The model predicted a peak in bacterial biomass in summer of similar magnitude to that observed. However, the observed peak of bacterial C occurred somewhat earlier in the year than the model prediction. The observation from station C5 also indicated one major peak of bacterial C occurring from the end of May into June. This peak is followed by a smaller one, around the third of the main peak, which

declines from September to reach its lowest values (during the winter). In comparison, the model predicted two peaks of bacterial C of similar magnitude within an annual cycle. These two peaks appear later in the annual cycle, which simulates bacterial C as remaining high at a season (from day 240) where it should be at its lowest level.



Figure 7.04. Comparison of model output (solid line) and data collected in Loch Creran (circle) for bacteria (a) and phytoplankton (b).

The annual integrated bacterial C of observed (11.7 g C m<sup>-3</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) was lower, at about 54% (6.3 g C m<sup>-3</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>), than that predicted (18 g C m<sup>-3</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) by the model.

Phytoplankton C concentration predicted by the model (Figure 7.04-b) gives a good fit of the observed seasonal variation of phytoplankton C. The model predicted the first spring increase, in terms of both timing and magnitude, as well as its subsequent decrease. The second increase of phytoplankton starts at the same time in the observed and predicted data, although, the model predictions slightly underestimated the values observed in Loch Creran. However, the model predicted the phytoplankton bloom to continue into the autumn, whereas the observations show it to decline in late summer. As with integrated bacterial C concentration, the annual integrated phytoplankton C concentrations were found lower than those predicted by the model (12.4 and 28.2 g C  $m^{-3} y^{-1}$ , for observed and predicted data respectively).

Dissolved inorganic (DIN) and organic (DOC, DON) nutrient data from the standard run are shown in figure 7.05. DIN concentrations predicted by the model present a good fit with the data collected at the C5 station, reproducing the main features (observed seasonal variations and range of values, Fig. 7.05-a). Concentrations decrease from day 1 to about day 120, remained close to 0 until day 300 and finally increase to high concentrations toward the end of the annual cycle. The predicted DON concentrations were in the range of values observed in Loch Creran. The predicted and observed seasonal variations of DON show two peaks (Fig. 7.05-b), the first of which was earlier than observed (around day 90 and 140, respectively); however, the second peak (day 330) predicted by the model was in agreement with the observations.

The predictions of the model always overestimated the concentrations of DOC compared to the observed values in Loch Creran (Fig. 7.05-c). However, the predicted and observed seasonal variations were similar but with the model output exhibiting greater amplitude. The DOC concentration values predicted by the model were close to those of the runoff formulated in the driving function. The model,



Figure 7.05. Comparison of model output (solid line) and data collected in Loch Creran (circle) for DIN (a), DON (b) and DOC (c).

therefore, satisfyingly predicted the major variations of nutrient concentrations and microbial dynamics observed in Loch Creran during this study. The simulated annual average bacterial and phytoplankton production were in the same range as observed values, although the model predicted slightly higher values. Seasonal cycles of phytoplankton, bacteria and nutrients were similar for observed and predicted data with occasional differences in timing.

# 7.3.2 Effect of grazing and DIN uptake by bacteria on the model behaviour

The model was used to investigate the role of bacteria in the fjordic ecosystem. In order to test the behaviour of the model to the addition of the bacterial compartment, two different scenarios were tested: (i) the standard run was compared with output obtained in the absence of grazers and (ii) the model was run with and without bacterial uptake of inorganic N (DIN). These two scenarios were investigated following observations made in Chapter 3, 5 and 6. In the first case, the model was used to support the hypothesis (presented in Chapter 3) that grazing controlled the bacterial population within the loch. In the second case the model was used to investigate the possible competition for inorganic nutrients between bacteria and phytoplankton as observed in Chapter 6.

The suppression of grazing on both phytoplankton and bacteria in the model provoked an increase of both phytoplankton and bacterial C concentration on an annual cycle (Fig. 7.06-a). In the absence of grazing, the integrated phytoplankton C concentration increased by 1.5 times compared to the value obtained during the standard run. Although the seasonal cycle was preserved, the peaks of phytoplankton C concentration were more prolonged which made the duration of elevated concentration

Modelling microbial food web in a fjordic system

longer. In this case, phytoplankton C concentrations were above  $100 \text{ mg C m}^{-3}$  over a period of 230 days (two third of the year).



Figure 7.06. Scenario representing bacteria and phytoplankton (a) in absence of grazing (No grazing) and (b) in absence of DIN uptake by bacteria (No DIN).

Bacteria were less affected by the removal of grazing and less than a 6% difference in integrated values was observed between standard run and the run without

grazing pressure. As with phytoplankton, the absence of grazers did not affect the seasonal cycle of bacteria in the model. The bacteria were not affected by the cessation of DIN uptake and both the standard run and the run without DIN uptake were identical for bacterial biomass (Fig. 7.06-b). However, the modelled phytoplankton was affected when modelled bacteria stopped taking up DIN, with phytoplankton C concentrations found to be higher when bacteria did not compete for DIN. This difference was mainly noticeable for maximum phytoplankton C concentration and accounted for an increase in annual production of about 11% compared to the standard run.

### 7.4 Sensitivity analysis

The sensitivity analysis was conducted to investigate which parameter had the largest influence on the state variables in the model. Parameter sensitivity analysis adopted in this work was similar to that used by Fasham et al. (1990) where the output of a standard run was compared with the output of a run in which a single parameter was altered, first to a low value, then to a high value. The values of the parameter were, where possible, based on the knowledge of the likely range of variation; where this was not possible they were simply chosen to be half and twice the standard value. For each parameter tested, the maximal value of phytoplankton and bacterial C was recorded. For consistency, when maxima occurred on a different day relative to a standard run, the value for the standard day was taken into account (no matter if it was not the maximal value observed). The effect of the tested parameter, p, was quantified by calculating a normalised sensitivity, S(p), defined as:

$$S_{p} = \frac{\left(\frac{E_{p} - E_{s}}{E_{s}}\right)}{\left(\frac{p - p_{s}}{p_{s}}\right)}$$

equation 7.05

where  $E_s$  is the value of maximal C (phytoplankton or bacteria) attained for the standard case with the parameter value  $p_s$ , and  $E_p$  is the value for the case when the parameter p was altered. This index measures the fractional change in the maximal C for a fractional change in the parameter. The higher, the index, the more sensitive the chosen output is to the variation of the parameter.

In total, 24 parameters (of 51 in the model) related to zooplankton, phytoplankton, bacteria and the physics of the model were tested in this sensitivity analysis (Fig. 7.07). The first output chosen was the maximum bacterial C concentration ( $Cb_{max}$ ) attained within an annual cycle.  $Cb_{max}$  was sensitive to bacterial growth efficiency (BGE) (Fig. 7.07-a), which defines the proportion of C uptake assimilated by bacteria (the higher, the more C is assimilated). The second important parameter affecting  $Cb_{max}$  was omega ( $\Omega$ ), which is related to the exchange-retention of bacteria (and phytoplankton) within the system. Surprisingly,  $Cb_{max}$  was less sensitive to DOC uptake parameters ( $V_{max}$ , the maximal bacterial growth rate and H<sub>0</sub>, the half saturation of DOC concentration) compared to BGE (Fig. 7.07-a).

The same set of parameters was also used to test the sensitivity of the model for the maximum phytoplankton C concentration ( $Cp_{max}$ ) reached in an annual cycle (Fig. 7.07-b).  $Cp_{max}$  was highly sensitive to  $\Omega$  (see Table 7.04), particularly when the value of this parameter was halved. Cpmax was also sensitive to grazing related parameters such as  $Gp_{max}$ ,  $H_{ZP}$  (Table 7.04), but also related to excretion ( $eU_P$ ) and maximum growth rate ( $R_{ML}$  and  $R_{MQ}$ , Table 7.04). Finally,  $Cp_{max}$  was sensitive to variation of Beta ( $\beta$ ), the proportion of tide upwelling into the S layer, which drives the entrainment of nutrients and organisms from the I layer to the S layer.



Figure 7.07. Normalized sensitivity carried out on 24 parameters (key for parameters is in Table 7.05) for Cbmax (a) and Cpmax (b).

#### 7.5 Discussion

In this study, the model of Ross et al. (1994) was modified to incorporate a bacterial compartment and an associated DOC compartment. The modifications of the model aimed to more realistically simulate the DIN and DON cycling by bacteria. This modified model was then used to investigate the dynamics of organic nutrients (DOC and DON) within a fjordic system, in relation to the dynamics of bacteria, and to test the effect of grazing and competition for DIN between phytoplankton and bacteria, these considerations having been identified to be of potential importance by experimental work in Chapters 4, 5 and 6. The model contained 60 parameters and 14 driving functions for 19 state variables.

# 7.5.1 Driving functions

The driving functions were continuous representations of the best available data and were generally smoothed using a 3 points moving average (sea and runoff parameters) or approximated with sinusoidal or cosinusoidal functions (temperature, light irradiance and immigration curves).

The driving functions were modified from Ross et al. (1994). Light irradiance, for example, was corrected with data collected in 2005 from the SAMS meteorological station, these new data being higher than those used by Ross et al. (1994). The resulting higher light irradiance could potentially enhance the primary production in the present model, as Ross et al. (1994) concluded that primary production was light limited most of the year (according to their model). Phytoplankton from the sea was approximated from data collected at LY1 station in the Firth of Lorn and these data were preferred to those used in Ross et al. (1994), providing a more realistic input of phytoplankton and therefore C to the model.

## 7.5.2 Comparison of model output with observations from Loch Creran

An important point in the comparison of model output and data collected at station C5 station is that the later were transformed from either chl *a* concentration or cell numbers, using conversion factors. These conversion factors have a limited validity as they are not constant in nature and depend on many other conditions such as the species involved, the metabolic state of the cells, light and temperature (Geider 1987, Taylor et al. 1997). In this study, a C to chl *a* ratio of 1:25 was chosen, but the use of a 1:50 C to chl *a* ratio would have given closer results between modelled and observed annually integrated phytoplankton C concentration (2.6 and 2.8 g C m<sup>-3</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> for observed and modelled data respectively).

Phytoplankton C concentrations calculated by the model were in agreement with the data collected at C5 station. However, the late summer early autumn bloom was of longer duration than the one observed. This means that modelled phytoplankton is still fixing C and taking up DIN whereas it should have naturally stopped. This suggests that phytoplankton benefit from other DIN sources to keep the production at such levels. DIN from the sea transported into the system (Fig. 7.02-b) is never exhausted and thus can be transported into the loch (by simple diffusion) to supplement the DIN stock available for phytoplankton uptake.

Bacterial increase started at the same time as the observations and increased to similar values, but did not decrease at the same time as the observations (Fig. 7.04-a). This suggested that there were sources of DOC in the model available to bacteria that potentially did not exist in the natural system. In fact, the DOC compartment in the model was considered as a single entity with no simulation of the different availability of compounds with regard to bacterial metabolism. This simplistic view was reflected in the equations used to model the C uptake by bacteria as data did not exist to parameterise variable lability of different fractions within the DOM pool. Therefore the

largest source of DOC (runoff in this model) would have the greatest influence on bacteria whereas, more realistically, some exudates from phytoplankton should be taken up preferentially (see Chapter 5) to DOC coming from runoff, much of which has potentially a terrigenous origin hence refractory character (see Chapter 3). It appears that modelled bacteria C concentrations follow the seasonality of runoff (Fig. 7.02-d) rather than phytoplankton, indicating that it was the source of organic C preferentially utilised by bacteria in the model. The availability of DOC from runoff could then be better parameterised by including a factor making available only a proportion of this DOC stock or including degradation steps via enzymatic reaction (Polimene et al. 2006). The uptake of DOC by bacteria is also subject to discussion as, for example, a constant  $V_{max}$  and  $H_0$  were used in the model and these are likely to vary with the season (Eichinger et al. 2006). This uptake may also vary with substrate C:N (see Chapter 4).

However, when the model was run with no DOC derived from run off (data not shown), the bacterial C concentration did not differ from that observed in the standard run. This suggested that the predicted prolonged bacterial C concentration peak was not due to DOC from run off entering Loch Creran and that other sources of DOC were responsible. The exchange of nutrients between the sea and the loch follow a simple diffusion law, including the rate of tidal exchange and the difference in concentration of the considered state variable between the sea and the loch; the concentration in the loch being weighted by a retention factor ( $\Omega$ , fixed at 0.5, see Table 7.04). Consequently, nutrients were preferentially flushed into the loch and may have been responsible for the greater bacterial C concentrations predicted by the model.

7.5.3 "What if" scenarios

Although this model is a simplistic view of the microbial food web in Loch Creran, it is still a useful tool to compare or highlight some of the characteristics of this food web.

The model was developed with only one heterotrophic grazer (zooplankton) feeding on both phytoplankton and bacteria but with different maximum grazing rates and affinity; this enables differenciating prey preference. Although the existence of only one grazer is unrealistic, there is insufficient data available to justify the inclusion of a second grazer class in the model. Removing the grazing pressure on phytoplankton and bacteria in the model demonstrated the importance of grazing on the different prey dynamics. In the absence of grazing, phytoplankton C concentrations were enhanced by 1.5 times compared to the standard run. Furthermore, phytoplankton C concentration remained above a 100 mg C m<sup>-3</sup> for over 230 days (two third of the year). With all other conditions remaining unchanged, it seems unlikely that nutrient and light in Loch Creran could sustain such high production predicted by this model at that time in the year.

The second point that was tested with this model is the potential competition for DIN between bacteria and phytoplankton. The model allowed bacteria to take up DIN (along with DON) following a simple hyperbolic function (Table 7.04) and hence to compete with phytoplankton for this resource. There were no differences in terms of bacterial C yield whether or not bacteria were taking up DIN (Fig. 7.06-b). This suggests that bacteria were not N-limited and, therefore, that the uptake of DOC was sufficiently complemented by DON to stoichiometrically balance the elemental (C and N) composition of the cells.

Modelled phytoplankton C concentration ( $C_P$ ) was only slightly higher when bacteria were not competing for DIN. This is in agreement with the fact that, when

bacteria were not competing with phytoplankton, more DIN was available for phytoplankton growth promoting the further phytoplankton growth observed. It also suggests that bacterial competition with phytoplankton is insufficient to perturb the normal cycle of phytoplankton or its productivity.

#### 7.6 Conclusion

The revised model presented in this Chapter satisfyingly reproduced the seasonal patterns for phytoplankton and bacteria observed at C5 station. However, it did lack accurate timing in the appearance of the blooms of phytoplankton, bacteria and the nutrient dynamics. As with Ross et al. (1993), one of the conclusions here is that the system was ultimately driven by the balance between import and export of nutrients. This simplistic model did not take in account all the complexity of the studied ecosystem. For example, the DOM pool was not differentiated into several DOC reservoirs reflecting different bacterial affinities. Nevertheless, the DOC and bacterial dynamics in this model highlight the non biologically available nature of the DOC derived from terrestrial runoff. Grazing control of bacteria was not demonstrated by the model, which does not agree with observations made in chapter 3, 4, 5 and 6. This may have been due to the fact that grazing on bacteria was not adequately represented and parameterised in the model.

# - CHAPTER 8 -

# General conclusion and future research work

# 8.1 Background

The recognition of the microbial loop triggered new research in the domain of marine microbiology. This link between DOM and higher trophic levels, that involves many different marine micro-organisms and microbial mediated processes, remains the subject of substantial ongoing research. The development of molecular tools, along with traditional ecological approaches, has made marine microbiology a subject rich in concepts, paradigm, but also paradoxes.

#### 8.2 This study

This work consisted of three different approaches:

Field investigations (Chapter 3)

Laboratory experiments (Chapters 4, 5 and 6)

Modelling analysis (Chapter 7)

#### 8.3 Major results

This study investigated the influence of organic nutrients (C and N) on microbial dynamics (bacterioplankton but also phytoplankton and nanoplankton). A comprehensive set of data was collected from three contrasting locations on the west coast of Scotland and was complemented with laboratory based experiments and modelling studies. This thesis therefore: i) provides information on the sources of

nutrients (inorganic and organic) affecting the locations studied, ii) examines the abundances of marine micro-organisms, their seasonal variations, their trophic relationships, and the ecological significance of the processes they mediate, iii) assesses the most likely factors driving the abundances and productivity of the studied ecosystems, and iv) investigates the different forms of organic nutrient perturbations likely to affect the microbial dynamics.

#### 8.3.1 Sources of nutrients

Different sources of nutrients were investigated in this thesis. The concentrations of inorganic and organic nutrients in Loch Creran, River Creran and the Lynne of Lorn show seasonal variation (see Chapter 3), however, the relative influence or contribution of these different sources to the standing stocks measured at the three stations was more difficult to assess. If the fish farm does not seem to be a significant source of organic nutrients to Loch Creran (no statistical evidence of enhanced concentrations of DOC and DON in Loch Creran compared to LY1), especially compared to the influences of phytoplankton (see below), it was, however, a significant source of ammonium. This latter form of N, which is the most reduced, is known to be the preferred form of N to phyto- and bacterioplankton, however no direct evidence of increased biomass or production was found close to the FF station. Phytoplankton was found to be the major contributor to organic nutrient stocks in coastal waters (see Chapter 3, section 3.9.1.4), via the release of photosynthesis products (results from Chapter 5) but, due to the variety of sources, the fate of this DOM dynamics is not easily unravelled. However, the calculations presented in table 3.05 suggested a short turnover of the organic C compared to the accepted flushing time of Loch Creran suggesting an inadequate sampling strategy (see section 8.4 in this Chapter).

#### 8.3.2 Organic nutrient perturbations

This work demonstrated that organic nutrients in coastal waters are of different characters, such as variable concentrations, stoichiometry, size fractions or bioavailability (Chapter 3, 4 and 5). Concentrations and stoichiometry of organic C and N appeared to govern bacterial metabolism (modification of bacterial C to N ratio, see Chapter 4). Furthermore, organic C:N stoichiometry may affects the C yield of a microbial system by creating limiting conditions (either C or N). However, C:N ratios do not seem to affect the growth efficiency of the system investigated (predator-prey) suggesting that the resources are optimally utilised (Chapter 4). Grazers efficiently removed bacterial production increasing C yield of the prey-predator system (Chapter 4) as more organic C was taken up. However, they seem rather inefficient in transferring N (regenerating the same amount of N as the bacteria alone) through the food chain and were found to preferentially regenerate N (Chapter 3 and 4).

Further investigations of the DOM produced by phytoplankton appeared to be affected by the N:Si ratio in terms of concentrations per unit of chl *a* (although chl *a* concentration may be affected by N availability, a DOC production relative to C per C would be preferred) and quality in term of carbohydrates (Chapter 5). N:Si ratios are likely to vary in coastal areas; as shown in Chapter 3, and therefore may greatly influence the fresh DOM produced by the dominating phytoplankton. The availability of the DOM produced under inorganic nutrient stress play a key role in controlling bacterial productivity and taxonomic composition (Chapter 5). This also suggests that bacteria were indirectly affected by inorganic nutrient disequilibrium, although inorganic nutrients are not thought to be the main bacterial energy resources (Chapter 3 and 5). Although inorganic nutrients may have an indirect effect on bacterial metabolism and taxonomy, bacteria are capable of directly competing with phytoplankton for these nutrients. This hypothesis was tested using mathematical modelling and results revealed only a weak effect on phytoplankton production.

This work also revealed that, during the summer, the bacterial community seemed to be C limited, especially in Loch Creran. This C limitation would suggest that the DOM present at that time at LY1 and in Loch Creran was biologically non-available to bacteria or that bacterioplankton could be further limited by other conditions, such as trace metal.

#### 8.3.3 Microbial dynamics

#### 8.3.3.1 Phytoplankton

Typical phytoplankton seasonality was demonstrated with a diatom dominated spring bloom, followed by dinoflagellates and ciliates in the late summer and autumn. The effect of the seasons on phytoplankton community composition was clearly revealed by the MDS analysis (Chapter 3). Statistical analyses confirmed the relationships between environmental conditions and the occurrence of the different plankton groups. The diatom dominated spring bloom was thus related to nutrient concentrations and the summer bloom coincided with increasing water temperature. Phytoplankton and nanoplankton abundances suggested a potential inter-annual variability, with variation of the dominant diatom taxa from year to year during the spring, and the alternation of nanoflagellates with large dinoflagellates and ciliates in the summer. Phytoplanktonic community compositions also varied between LY1 and Loch Creran stations (Chapter 3), where different diatom species (Skeletonema at LY1 vs. Chaetoceros and Thalassosiara in Loch Creran) dominated the spring bloom at both stations. In the light of the specificity of phytoplankton DOM produced mentioned above, this would suggest a potential variability in DOM available to bacterioplankton.

# 8.3.3.2 Bacteria

In order to understand the dynamics of organic nutrients in Scottish coastal waters, an important part of this work as focused on bacterioplankton. Although there were no direct correlations between bacteria and DOM (C and N), the heterotrophic productivity was within the range of values previously reported in coastal environment (Chapter 3). The thymidine conversion factor was revealed to be a major source of variation in the calculations of bacterial production and should be more carefully considered in bacterial production studies (Chapter 3). These results demonstrated that between two stations (of about a mile apart of each other) BP at LY1 was overestimated when using literature based conversion factor. In contrast, BP was largely underestimated in Loch Creran using the same literature based conversion factor. Because BP at the fish farm was greater than LY1 when calculated using a concurrently derived TCF, it would suggest the presence of a more active bacterial community in Loch Creran (Chapter 3). The same conversion factor experiment could be carried out at C5 to test the applicability of these factors to the whole Loch Creran.

Although primary production was not directly assessed in this study, a tight coupling between primary production (using data obtained in earlier studies in Loch Creran) and bacterial production is possible (see Chapter 3, section 3.9.2.3) and may correlate with high production and fast turn-over of the organic matter mentioned above (Chapter 3 and 6). However, if this coupling is possible and has been observed in other coastal waters, bacterioplankton did not contribute to a constant fraction of the total particulate organic C, indicating the presence of effective removal processes (or control) of bacterial biomass and production (Chapter 3, 4,5 and 6). Bacteria were found to be controlled by heterotrophic nanoflagellates, but also by other heterotrophic grazers, as demonstrated by Gasol plots, which favoured the hypothesis of top-down control of the bacterioplankton. In additions, inter-annual variability in bacterial grazers was observed

between summer 2004, dominated by heterotrophic nanoflagellates, and the summer 2005, dominated by ciliates suggesting that HNAN were not solely responsible for this top-down control of bacterioplankton.

#### 8.4 Future work recommended

This work demonstrated the necessity and the importance of considering the microbial community as a whole to characterise the dynamics of organic nutrient sin temperate coastal waters. It also appears obvious that more work is required to better characterise, the size fraction and bioavailability of DOC and DON. This work could be complemented by including another important organic nutrient of ecological relevance, dissolved organic phosphorus: this work has detailed different problems encountered regarding to the DOM cycling in coastal waters. Consequently, more DOM ultrafiltration based experiments in conjunction with measurements of bio-availability (cell numbers or production) of the different fractions may help to better understand the characteristic of DOM in coastal waters.

The use of known tracers for example, either natural or synthetic, could be utilised in order to depict the different origins of dissolved organic nutrient in restrictedexchange systems and coastal waters: the use of stable isotopes or the characterisation of the lipid fraction (as a natural biomarker) of the DOM may give useful information on the origin (terrigenous or marine) of the DOM.

The field study and experimental work demonstrated the quick response of bacterioplankton, in term of biomass and production, sometime noticeable within 24 hours (see Chapter 5 and 6). This would further suggest that the sampling frequency should be adapted in studies investigating highly dynamic natural systems. In this work, the fortnight sampling regime may have resulted in incomplete understanding of the relationships between organic nutrients and microbial dynamics Scottish coastal waters.

Laboratory based experimental work confirmed that observation made in natural conditions and subsequent emerging hypothesis can be tested in controlled conditions. However, it is obvious that more laboratory work is required and this laboratory work could be supplemented with mesocosm experiments that would allow manipulation to be undertaken in "close to natural" conditions. This work has also highlighted the benefit of combining ecological approaches (production, grazing) with molecular biology, providing insights on the functionality of the different organisms involved. This is a key point to develop because our understanding of element (C, N and P) cycling in coastal waters and in the oceans is increasingly being recognised to relate to the functions fulfilled by the different organisms constituting the microbial food web.

This work could be reinforced by investigation of the broader marine microbial community including, for example, particle-attached bacteria. In addition, a better knowledge of microbial variables, such as grazing or size fractionated primary production, may help to improve our understanding of the role played by the different components of marine microbial communities. These parameters could be further used in modelling work. Finally, a refinement of the modelling approach is needed to better characterise the different sources (autochthonous v.s. allochthonous), compartments (available vs. refractory) and fractions (low and high molecular weight) of the dissolved organic matter pool, in relation to more fine scale microbial processes, such as enzymatic hydrolysis of large organic compounds.

# **8.5** Conclusion

This work draw attention to the necessity of including different scientific approaches in defining a frame work for the complex study microbial food web dynamics and dissolved organic matter pool. Azam (1998) emphasised the heterogeneity of time and space scales in his "plot thickens" and hypothesised that "behavioural and metabolic responses of bacteria to the complex and heterogenous structure of the organic matter field at the micro-scale influence ocean basin-scale fluxes in all major pathways: microbial loop, sinking, grazing food chain, carbon storage, and carbon fixation itself". The dynamics of the marine microbial communities observed at different scales of time and space (short time incubations to inter-annual variations or the contrast between coastal and fjordic systems) are an interesting point of the work detailed in this thesis. It demonstrates the importance of coastal biological systems, one of most productive, in the cycling of elements through very dynamic microbial food web. The concept of functional diversity (as opposed to specific diversity) that emerged from advances in genomic, phylogenetic or proteomic, gains increasing considerations in our understanding of marine microbial dynamics, although its use in ecological studies cannot be overstated (Pernthaler & Amann 2005). Therefore, the diversity, as species or function, has to be considered in the whole physico-chemical environment in relation with all the actors, competitors or predators, within the microbial food web.

# References

- Albright LJ, McCrae SK (1987) Annual cycle of bacterial specific biovolumes in Howe sound, a canadian west-coast fjord sound. Appl Environ Microbiol 53:2739-2744
- Alldredge AL (2000) Interstitial dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations within sinking marine aggregates and their potential contribution to carbon flux. Limnol Oceanogr 45:1245-1253
- Alldredge AL, Cole JJ, Caron DA (1986) Production of Heterotrophic Bacteria Inhabiting Macroscopic Organic Aggregates (Marine Snow) from Surface Waters. Limnol Oceanogr 31:68-78
- Allen AE, Howard-Jones MH, Booth MG, Frischer ME, Verity PG, Bronk DA, Sanderson MP (2002) Importance of heterotrophic bacterial assimilation of ammonium and nitrate in the Barents Sea during summer. J Mar Syst 38:93-108
- Allen JR, Slinn DJ, Shammon TM, Hartnoll RG, Hawkins SJ (1998) Evidence for eutrophication of the Irish Sea over four decades. Limnol Oceanogr 43:1970-1974
- Aluwihare LI, Repeta DJ (1999) A comparison of the chemical characteristics of oceanic DOM and extracellular DOM produced by marine algae. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 186:105-117
- Amann RI, Binder BJ, Olson RJ, Chisholm SW, Devereux R, Stahl DA (1990) Combination of 16S ribosomal-RNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes with flowcytometry for analyzing mixed microbial populations. Appl Environ Microbiol 56:1919-1925

- Amon RMW, Benner R (1994) Rapid cycling of high molecular wieght dissolved organic matter in the ocean. Nature 369:549-552
- Amon RMW, Benner R (1996) Bacterial utilization of different size classes of dissolved organic matter. Limnol Oceanogr 41:41-51
- Amon RMW, Fitznar HP, Benner R (2001) Linkages among the bioreactivity, chemical composition, and diagenetic state of marine dissolved organic matter. Limnol Oceanogr 46:287-297
- Andersen P, Fenchel T (1985) Bacterivory by Microheterotrophic Flagellates in Seawater Samples. Limnol Oceanogr 30:198-202
- Andersen P, Sorensen HM (1986) Population-Dynamics and Trophic Coupling in Pelagic Microorganisms in Eutrophic Coastal Waters. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 33:99-109
- Andersen V, Nival P, Harris RP (1987) Modelling of a planktonic ecosystem in an enclosed water column. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 67:407-430
- Anderson TR, Ducklow HW (2001) Microbial loop carbon cycling in ocean environments studied using a simple steady-state model. Aquat Microb Ecol 26:37-49
- Anderson TR, Williams PJL (1998) Modelling the seasonal cycle of dissolved organic carbon at station E-1 in the English Channel. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 46:93-109
- Antia NJ, Harrison PJ, Oliveira L (1991) The role of dissolved organic mitrogen in phytoplankton nutrition, cell biology and ecology. Phycologia 30:1-89
- Anton J, Meseguer I, Rodriguezvalera F (1988) Production of extracellular polysaccharide by *Haloferax mediterranei*. Appl Environ Microbiol 54:2381-2386

- Arnosti C, Repeta DJ, Blough NV (1994) Rapide bacterial degradation of polysaccharides in anoxic marine systems. Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta 58:2639-2652
- Arzul G, Clement A, Pinier A (1996) Effects on phytoplankton growth of dissolved substances produced by fish farming. Aquat Living Resour 9:95-102
- Arzul G, Seguel M, Clement A (2001) Effect of marine animal excretions on differential growth of phytoplankton species. ICES J Mar Sci 58:386-390
- Aure J, Danielssen D, Svendsen E (1998) The origin of Skagerrak coastal water off Arendal in relation to variations in nutrient concentrations. ICES J Mar Sci 55:610-619
- Austin WEN, Inall ME (2002) Deep-water renewal in a Scottish fjord: temperature, salinity and oxygen isotopes. Polar Research 21:251-257
- Azam F (1998) Microbial control of oceanic carbon flux: The plot thickens. Science 280:694-696
- Azam F, Fenchel T, Field JG, Gray JS, Meyerreil LA, Thingstad F (1983) The Ecological Role of Water-Column Microbes in the Sea. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 10:257-263
- Azam F, Hodson RE (1977) Dissolved ATP in sea and its utilization by marine bacteria. Nature 267:696-698
- Azam F, Smith DC, Steward GF, Hagstrom A (1994) Bacteria Organic-Matter Coupling and Its Significance for Oceanic Carbon Cycling. Microbial Ecology 28:167-179

- Baines SB, Pace ML (1991) The Production of Dissolved Organic-Matter by Phytoplankton and Its Importance to Bacteria - Patterns across Marine and Fresh- Water Systems. Limnol Oceanogr 36:1078-1090
- Bejar V, Calvo C, Moliz J, DiazMartinez F, Quesada E (1996) Effect of growth conditions on the rheological properties and chemical composition of *Volcaniella eurihalina* exopolysaccharide. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology 59:77-86
- Bell RT (1990) An Explanation for the Variability in the Conversion Factor Deriving Bacterial-Cell Production from Incorporation of H-3 Thymidine. Limnol Oceanogr 35:910-915
- Bell RT (1993) Estimating production of heterotrophic bacterioplankton via incorporation of tritiated thymidine. In: Kemp PF, Sherr BF, Sherr EB, Cole JJ (eds) Handbook of methods in aquatic microbial ecology. CRC press, p 495-503
- Benner R, Biddanda B, Black B, McCarthy M (1997) Abundance, size distribution, and stable carbon and nitrogen isotopic compositions of marine organic matter isolated by tangential-flow ultrafiltration. Mar Chem 57:243-263
- Benner R, Hansell DA, Carlson CA (2002) Chemical composition and reactivity *in* "Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter". Hansell & Carlson (ed) Amsterdam; Boston: Academic Press, 774 pp
- Benner R, Pakulski JD, McCarthy M, Hedges JI, Hatcher PG (1992) Bulk chemical characteristics of dissolved organic matter in the ocean. Science 255:1561-1564
- Bergheim A, Hustveit H, Kittelsen A, Selmer AR (1984) Estimated pollution loadings from Norwegian fish farms. II. Investigations 1980-1981. Aquaculture:157-168
- Berman T, Bronk DA (2003) Dissolved organic nitrogen: a dynamic participant in aquatic ecosystems. Aquat Microb Ecol 31:279-305

- Berninger UG, Finlay BJ, Kuuppoleinikki P (1991) Protozoan control of bacteria abundances in freshwater. Limnol Oceanogr 36:139-147
- Biddanda BA (1985) Microbial Synthesis of Macroparticulate Matter. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 20:241-251
- Biddanda BA (1986) Structure and Function of Marine Microbial Aggregates. Oceanol Acta 9:209-211
- Biddanda BA (1988) Microbial Aggregation and Degradation of Phytoplankton-Derived Detritus in Seawater .2. Microbial-Metabolism. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 42:89-95
- Biddanda BA, Pomeroy LR (1988) Microbial Aggregation and Degradation of Phytoplankton-Derived Detritus in Seawater .1. Microbial Succession. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 42:79-88
- Bissett WP, Walsh JJ, Dieterle DA, Carder KL (1999) Carbon cycling in the upper waters of the Sargasso Sea: I. Numerical simulation of differential carbon and nitrogen fluxes. Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers 46:205-269
- Bjornsen PK (1988) Phytoplankton Exudation of Organic-Matter Why Do Healthy Cells Do It. Limnol Oceanogr 33:151-154
- Borch NH, Kirchmann DL (1997) Concentration and composition of dissolved combined neutral sugars (polysaccharides) in seawater determined by HPLC-PAD. Mar Chem 57:85-95
- Borsheim KY (2000) Bacterial production rates and concentrations of organic carbon at the end of the growing season in the Greenland Sea. Aquat Microb Ecol 21:115-123

- Bratbak G (1985) Bacterial Biovolume and Biomass Estimations. Appl Environ Microbiol 49:1488-1493
- Bratbak G, Thingstad TF (1985) Phytoplankton-bacteria interactions An apparent paradox - Analysis of a model system with both competition and commensalism. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 25:23-30
- Bronk DA, Glibert PM, Malone TC, Banahan S, Sahlsten E (1998) Inorganic and organic nitrogen cycling in Chesapeake Bay: autotrophic versus heterotrophic processes and relationships to carbon flux. Aquat Microb Ecol 15:177-189
- Brzezinski MA (1985) The Si-C-N Ratio of Marine Diatoms Interspecific Variability and the Effect of Some Environmental Variables. Journal of Phycology 21:347-357
- Buatmenard P, Davies J, Remoudaki E, Miquel JC, Bergametti G, Lambert CE, Ezat U, Quetel C, Larosa J, Fowler SW (1989) Non-steady state biological removal of atmospheric particles from the Mediterranean surface waters. Nature 340:131-134
- Caperon J, Meyer J (1972) Nitrogen-limited growth of marine phytoplankton. 1. Changes in population characteristics with steady-state growth rate. Deep-sea Res 19:601-618
- Carlson CA, Ducklow HW, Sleeter TD (1996) Stocks and dynamics of bacterioplankton in the northwestern Sargasso Sea. Deep-Sea Res Part II-Top Stud Oceanogr 43:491-515
- Carlson CA, Hansell DA (2002) Production and removal processes. In: Carlson CA, Hansell DA (eds) Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter. Academic Press, p 91-151

- Caron DA (1994) Inorganic nutrients, bacteria, and the microbial loop. Microbial Ecology 28:295-298
- Caron DA (2000) Symbiosis and mixotrophy among pelagic microorganisms. In: Kirchman D (ed) Microbial Ecology of the Oceans. John Wiley & Sons, p 495-523
- Caron DA, Goldman JC, Andersen OK, Dennett MR (1985) Nutrient Cycling in a Microflagellate Food-Chain .2. Population-Dynamics and Carbon Cycling. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 24:243-254
- Caron DA, Lim EL, Miceli G, Waterbury JB, Valois FW (1991) Grazing and Utilization of Chroococcoid Cyanobacteria and Heterotrophic Bacteria by Protozoa in Laboratory Cultures and a Coastal Plankton Community. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 76:205-217
- Caron DA, Lim EL, Sanders RW, Dennett MR, Berninger UG (2000) Responses of bacterioplankton and phytoplankton to organic carbon and inorganic nutrient additions in contrasting oceanic ecosystems. Aquat Microb Ecol 22:175-184
- Cauwet G (1994) HTCO Method for Dissolved Organic-Carbon Analysis in Seawater -Influence of Catalyst on Blank Estimation. Mar Chem 47:55-64
- Cauwet G, Hansell DA, Carlson CA (2002) DOM in the coastal zone *in* "Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter". Hansell & Carlson (ed) Amsterdam; Boston: Academic Press:579-609
- Christian JR, Anderson LG, Hansell DA, Carlson C (2002) Modelling DOM biogeochemistry *in* "Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter".
  Hansell & Carlson (ed) Amsterdam; Boston: Academic Press, pp 717-755
- Chróst RJ (1992) Significance of bacterial ectoenzymes in aquatic environments. Hydrobiologia 243:61-70

- Clarke KR, Warwick RM (2001) Change in marine communities: an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation. Primer-E Ltd., 2nd edition.
- Cleve PT (1897) A treatise on the phytoplankton of the Atlantic and its tributaries and on the periodical changes of phytoplankton of the Skagerrak. Upsala Nya Tidnings Aktiebolags Tryckeri, Upsala 27pp
- Cole JJ, Findlay S, Pace ML (1988) Bacterial Production in Fresh and Saltwater Ecosystems - a Cross-System Overview. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 43:1-10
- Conley DJ, Malone TC (1992) Annual cycle of dissolved silicate in Chesapeak Bay -Implications for the production and fate of phytoplankton biomass. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 81:121-128
- Cotner JB, Biddanda BA (2002) Small Players, Large Role: Microbial Influence on Biogeochemical Processes in Pelagic Aquatic Ecosystems. Ecosystems 5:105-121

Davidson K (1996) Modelling microbial food webs. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 145:279-296

- Davidson K, Gilpin LC, Hart MC, Fouilland E, Mitchell E, Calleja IA, Laurent C, Miller AEJ, Leakey RJG (2007) The influence of the balance of inorganic and organic nitrogen on the trophic dynamics of microbial food webs. Limnol Oceanogr 52:2147-2163
- Davidson K, Gurney WSC (1999) An investigation of non-steady-state algal growth. II. Mathematical modelling of co-nutrient-limited algal growth. J Plankton Res 21:839-858
- Davidson K, Roberts EC, Wilson AM, Mitchell E (2005) The role of prey nutritional status in governing protozoan nitrogen regeneration efficiency. Protist 156:45-62

- Decho AW (1990) Microbial exopolymer secretions in ocean environments their role(s) in food webs and marine processes. Oceanogr Mar Biol 28:73-153
- del Giorgio P, Cole JJ (2000) Bacterial energetics and growth efficiency. In: Kirchman D (ed) Microbial ecology of the oceans. John Wiley & Sons, p 289-326
- del Giorgio PA, Cole JJ (1998) Bacterial growth efficiency in natural aquatic systems. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 29:503-541
- Delong EF, Franks DG, Alldredge AL (1993) Phylogenetic diversity of aggregateattached vs free-living marine bacterial assemblages. Limnol Oceanogr 38:924-934
- Doney SC (1999) Major challenges confronting marine biogeochemical modeling. Glob Biogeochem Cycle 13:705-714
- Droop MR (1968) Vitamin B12 and marine ecology. IV. the kinetics of uptake growth and inhibition in *Monochrysis lutheri*. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 48
- Druffel ERM, Griffin S, Bauer JE, Wolgast DM, Wang XC (1998) Distribution of particulate organic carbon and radiocarbon in the water column from the upper slope to the abyssal NE Pacific ocean. Deep-Sea Res Part II-Top Stud Oceanogr 45:667-687
- Druffel ERM, Williams PM, Bauer JE, Ertel JR (1992) Cycling of Dissolved and Particulate Organic-Matter in the Open Ocean. J Geophys Res-Oceans 97:15639-15659
- Ducklow H (2000) Bacterial production and biomass in the oceans. In microbial Ecology of the Oceans, Jon Wiley & Sons, Inc, pp 85-120

- Ducklow H, Carlson C, Smith W (1999) Bacterial growth in experimental plankton assemblages and seawater cultures from the Phaeocystis antarctica bloom in the Ross Sea, Antarctica. Aquat Microb Ecol 19:215-227
- Ducklow HW (1983) Production and Fate of Bacteria in the Oceans. Bioscience 33:494-501
- Ducklow HW, Carlson CA (1992) Ocean bacterial production. Adv Microb Ecol 12:113-181
- Ducklow HW, Hill SM (1985) Triated-thymidine incorporation and the growth of heterotrophic bacteria in warm core rings. Limnol Oceanogr 30:260-272
- Ducklow HW, Purdie DA, Williams PJL, Davies JM (1986) Bacterioplankton a Sink for Carbon in a Coastal Marine Plankton Community. Science 232:865-867
- Dugdale RC, Goering JJ (1967) Uptake of new and regenerated forms of nitrogen in primary productivity. Limnol Oceanogr 12:196-206

Edwards A, Sharples F (1991) Scottish sea lochs: A catalog. pp145

- Egge JK, Aksnes DL (1992) Silicate as regulating nutrient in phytoplankton competition. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 83:281-289
- Eichinger M, Poggiale JC, Van Wambeke F, Lefevre D, Sempere R (2006) Modelling DOC assimilation and bacterial growth efficiency in biodegradation experiments: a case study in the Northeast Atlantic Ocean. Aquat Microb Ecol 43:139-151
- Estep KW, Davis PG, Keller MD, Sieburth JM (1986) How Important Are Oceanic Algal Nanoflagellates in Bacterivory. Limnol Oceanogr 31:646-650

- Fagerbakke KM, Heldal M, Norland S (1996) Content of carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, sulfur and phosphorus in native aquatic and cultured bacteria. Aquat Microb Ecol 10:15-27
- Fajon C, Cauwet G, Lebaron P, Terzic S, Ahel M, Malej A, Mozetic P, Turk V (1999) The accumulation and release of polysaccharides by planktonic cells and the subsequent bacterial response during a controlled experiment. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 29:351-363

Falkowski PG, Davis CS (2004) Natural proportions. Nature 431:131-131

- Falkowski PG, Dubinsky Z, Wyman K (1985) Growth irradiance relationships in Phytoplankton. Limnol Oceanogr 30:311-332
- Fasham MJR, Ducklow HW, McKelvie SM (1990) A Nitrogen-Based Model of Plankton Dynamics in the Oceanic Mixed Layer. J Mar Res 48:591-639
- Fehling J (2004) Amnesic Shellfish Poisoning in Scottish Waters. PhD, The Open University
- Fehling J, Davidson K, Bolch C, Tett P (2006) Seasonality of *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. (Bacillariophyceae) in western Scottish waters. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 323:91-105
- Fenchel T (1986) The Ecology of Heterotrophic Microflagellates. Adv Microb Ecol 9:57-97

Fenchel T (1988) Marine Plankton Food Chains. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 19:19-38

Ferguson RL, Sunda WG (1984) Utilization of amino-acids by planktonic marinebacteria - Importance of clean technique and low substrate additions. Limnol Oceanogr 29:258-274

- Feuerpfeil P, Rieling T, Estrum-Youseff SR, Dehmlow J, Papenfuss T, Schoor A, Schiewer U, Schubert H (2004) Carbon budget and pelagic community compositions at two coastal areas that differ in their degree of eutrophication, in the Southern Baltic Sea. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 61:89-100
- Field KG, Gordon D, Wright T, Rappe M, Urbach E, Vergin K, Giovannoni SJ (1997) Diversity and depth-specific distribution of SAR11 cluster rRNA genes from marine planktonic bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 63:63-70
- Flynn KJ, Davidson K, Cunningham A (1993) Relations between carbon and nitrogen during grwoth of *Nanochloropsis oculata* (Droop) Hibberd under continuous illumination. New Phytol 125:717-722
- Flynn KJ, Davidson K, Cunningham A (1996) Prey selection and rejection by a microflagellate; Implications for the study and operation of microbial food webs. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 196:357-372
- Fogg GE (1983) The Ecological Significance of Extracellular Products of Phytoplankton Photosynthesis. Bot Marina 26:3-14
- Fuchs BM, Zubkov MV, Sahm K, Burkill PH, Amann R (2000) Changes in community composition during dilution cultures of marine bacterioplankton as assessed by flow cytometric and molecular biological techniques. Environmental Microbiology 2:191-201
- Fuhrman JA (1999) Marine viruses and their biogeochemical and ecological effects. Nature 399:541-548
- Fuhrman JA, Ammerman JW, Azam F (1980) Bacterioplankton in the coastal euphotic zone - Distribution, activity and possible relationships with phytoplankton. Mar Biol 60:201-207

- Fuhrman JA, Azam F (1982) Thymidine Incorporation as a Measure of Heterotrophic
   Bacterioplankton Production in Marine Surface Waters Evaluation and Field
   Results. Mar Biol 66:109-120
- Fukuda R, Ogawa H, Nagata T, Koike I (1998) Direct determination of carbon and nitrogen contents of natural bacterial assemblages in marine environments. Appl Environ Microbiol 64:3352-3358
- Gasol JM (1994) A framework for the assessment of top-down vs bottom-up control of heterotrophic nanoflagellate abundance. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 113:291-300
- Gasol JM, del Giorgio PA (2000) Using flow cytometry for counting natural planktonic bacteria and understanding the structure of planktonic bacterial communities. Sci Mar 64:197-224
- Geider RJ (1987) Light and temperature dependence of the carbon to chlorophyll-a ratio in microalgae and cyanobacteria - implications for physiology and growth of phytoplankton. New Phytol 106:1-34
- Geider RJ, La Roche J (2002) Redfield revisited: variability of C : N : P in marine microalgae and its biochemical basis. Eur J Phycol 37:1-17
- Gilpin LC, Davidson K, Roberts E (2004) The influence of changes in nitrogen: silicon ratios on diatom growth dynamics. J Sea Res 51:21-35
- Giovannoni SJ, Britschgi TB, Moyer CL, Field KG (1990) Genetic diversity in the Sargasso sea bacterioplankton. Nature 345:60-63
- Giovannoni SJ, Rappe MS (2000) Evolution, diversity, and molecular ecology of marine prokaryotes. In Microbial Ecology of the Oceans, Jon Wiley & Sons, Inc, pp 47-84

- Goldman JC (1980) Physiological processes, nutrient availability, and the concept of relative growth rate in marine phytoplankton ecology. In P G Falkowski, (ed), Primary Productivity in the Sea, Plenum Press, NY 531p:179-194
- Goldman JC, Caron DA, Andersen OK, Dennett MR (1985) Nutrient Cycling in a Microflagellate Food-Chain .1. Nitrogen Dynamics. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 24:231-242
- Goldman JC, Caron DA, Dennett MR (1987) Regulation of Gross Growth Efficiency and Ammonium Regeneration in Bacteria by Substrate C-N Ratio. Limnol Oceanogr 32:1239-1252
- Goldman JC, Dennett MR (1991) Ammonium regeneration and carbon utilization by marine-bacteria grown on mixed substrates. Mar Biol 109:369-378
- Goldman JC, Dennett MR (2000) Growth of marine bacteria in batch and continuous culture under carbon and nitrogen limitation. Limnol Oceanogr 45:789-800
- Goldman JC, McCarthy JJ, Peavey DG (1979) Growth rate influence on the chemical composition of phytoplankton in oceanic waters. Nature 279:210-215
- Gonzalez JM, Suttle CA (1993) Grazing by marinei nanoflagellates on viruses and viruses-sized particles Ingestion and digestion. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 94:1-10
- Gowen RJ, Bradbury NB (1987) The Ecological Impact of Salmonid Farming in Coastal Waters - a Review. Oceanogr Mar Biol 25:563-575
- Granéli E, Paasche E, Maestrini SY (1993) Three years after the Chrysochromulina polylepis bloom in Scandinavian waters in 1988: some conclusions of recent research and monitoring in "Toxic Phytoplankton Blooms in the Sea". Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam:23-32
- Grantham B (1983a) Firth of Lorne study: report no. 1. Introduction and details of programme, with data for the period February 1979 to August 1981. Scottish Marine Biological Association, Internal Report, 86
- Grantham B (1983b) Firth of Lorne study: report no. 2. Hydrography, nutrients and chlorophyll in the Firth of Lorne and its associated sea lochs, 3rd-19th February 1982. Scottish Marine Biological Association, Internal Report, 87
- Grantham B (1983c) Firth of Lorne study: report no. 3. Hydrography, nutrients and chlorophyll in the Firth of Lorne and its associated sea lochs, 19th-23rd July 1982. Scottish Marine Biological Association, Internal Report
- Granum E, Kirkvold S, Myklestad SM (2002) Cellular and extracellular production of carbohydrates and amino acids by the marine diatom *Skeletonema costatum*: diel variations and effects of N depletion. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 242:83-94
- Grasshoff K (1970) A simultaneous multiple channel system for nutrient analysis in seawater with analog and digital data record. Advances in Automated Analysis, Technicon International Congress, London
- Grossart HP, Simon M (2007) Interactions of planktonic algae and bacteria: effects on algal growth and organic matter dynamics. Aquat Microb Ecol 47:163-176
- Gustafsson O, Gschwend PM (1997) Aquatic colloids: Concepts, definitions, and current challenges. Limnol Oceanogr 42:519-528
- Gutierrez T, Mulloy B, Bavington C, Black K, Green DH (2007) Partial purification and chemical characterization of a glycoprotein (putative hydrocolloid) emulsifier produced by a marine bacterium Antarctobacter. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 76:1017-1026

- Hall POJ, Anderson LG, Holby O, Kollberg S, Samuelsson MO (1990) Chemical Fluxes and Mass Balances in a Marine Fish Cage Farm .1. Carbon. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 61:61-73
- Hall POJ, Holby O, Kollberg S, Samuelsson MO (1992) Chemical Fluxes and Mass Balances in a Marine Fish Cage Farm .4. Nitrogen. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 89:81-91
- Hallegraeff GM (1993) A Review of Harmful Algal Blooms and Their Apparent Global Increase. Phycologia 32:79-99
- Hama T, Yanagi K, Hama J (2004) Decrease in molecular weight of photosynthetic products of marine phytoplankton during early diagenesis. Limnol Oceanogr 49:471-481

Hansell DA, Carlson CA (2002) Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter

- Hansell DA, Williams PM, Ward BB (1993) Measurements of Doc and Don in the Southern California Bight Using Oxidation by High-Temperature Combustion. Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers 40:219-234
- Harrison PJ, Waters RE, Taylor FJR (1980) A broad-spectrum artificial seawater medium for coastal and open ocean phytoplankton. Journal of Phycology 16:28-35
- Hecky RE, Campbell P, Hendzel LL (1993) The Stoichiometry Of Carbon, Nitrogen,And Phosphorus In Particulate Matter Of Lakes And Oceans. Limnol Oceanogr 38:709-724
- Hedges JI (1992) Global Biogeochemical Cycles Progress and Problems. Mar Chem 39:67-93

- Hedges JI, Cowie GL, Richey JE, Quay PD, Benner R, Strom M, Forsberg BR (1994) Origins and processing of organic matter in the amazon river as indicated by carbohydrates and amino-acids. Limnol Oceanogr 39:743-761
- Hedges JI, Keil RG (1995) Sedimentary organic-matter preservation An assessment and speculative synthesis. Mar Chem 49:81-115
- Hedges JI, Keil RG, Benner R (1997) What happens to terrestrial organic matter in the ocean? Org Geochem 27:195-212
- Holby O, Hall POJ (1991) Chemical Fluxes and Mass Balances in a Marine Fish Cage Farm .2. Phosphorus. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 70:263-272
- Holby O, Hall POJ (1994) Chemical Fluxes and Mass Balances in a Marine Fish Cage Farm .3. Silicon. Aquaculture 120:305-318
- Hollibaugh JT (1978) Nitrogen regeneration during degradation of several amino-acids by plankton communities collected near Hallifax, Nova-Scotia, Canada. Mar Biol 45:191-201
- Holmes RW (1970) The Secchi disk in turbid coastal waters. Limnol Oceanogr 15:688-694
- Homer M (1992) Impact of aquaculture on surrounding sediments:generations of organic-rich sediments. In Aquaculture and the Environment Spec Publ Eur Aquaculture Soc 16:155-175
- Honjo T (1993) Overview on bloom dynamics and physiological ecology of Heterosigma akashiwo, p. 33-41*in* "Toxic Phytoplankton Blooms in the Sea".
   Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam:33-41

- Hoppe HG, Ducklow H, Karrasch B (1993) Evidence for Dependency of Bacterial-Growth on Enzymatic-Hydrolysis of Particulate Organic-Matter in the Mesopelagic Ocean. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 93:277-283
- Jeffrey SW, Humphrey GF (1975) New Spectrophotometric equations for determining chlorophylls a, b, c1 and c2 in higher plants, algae, and natural phytoplankton. Biochem Physiol Pflanz 167:191-194

Jickells TD (1998) Nutrient biogeochemistry of the coastal zone. Science 281:217-222

- Jickells TD, An ZS, Andersen KK, Baker AR, Bergametti G, Brooks N, Cao JJ, Boyd PW, Duce RA, Hunter KA, Kawahata H, Kubilay N, laRoche J, Liss PS, Mahowald N, Prospero JM, Ridgwell AJ, Tegen I, Torres R (2005) Global iron connections between desert dust, ocean biogeochemistry, and climate. Science 308:67-71
- John E, Davidson K (2001) Prey selectivity and the influence of prey carbon : nitrogen ratio on microflagellate grazing. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 260:93-111
- John EH, Flynn KJ (2002) Modelling changes in paralytic shellfish toxin content of dinoflagellates in response to nitrogen and phosphorus supply. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 225:147-160
- Jones KJ (1979) Studies on nutrient levels and phytoplankton growth in a Scottish Sea Loch. PhD, University of Strathclyde, 449 pp
- Jones KJ, Ayres P, Bullock AM, Roberts RJ, Tett P (1982) A Red Tide of *Gyrodinium aureolum* in Sea Lochs of the Firth of Clyde and Associated Mortality of Pond-Reared Salmon. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 62:771-782
- Jumars PA, Penry DL, Baross JA, Perry MJ, Frost BW (1989) Closing the Microbial Loop - Dissolved Carbon Pathway to Heterotrophic Bacteria from Incomplete

Ingestion, Digestion and Absorption in Animals. Deep-Sea Research Part a-Oceanographic Research Papers 36:483-495

- Karl DM, Bjorkman KM (2002) Dynamics of DOP. In: Hansell DA, Carlson C (eds)Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter. Academic Press,Amsterdam, Boston, p 250-366
- Kelly-Gerreyn BA, Anderson TR, Holt JT, Gowen RJ, Proctor R (2004) Phytoplankton community structure at contrasting sites in the Irish Sea: a modelling investigation. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 59:363-383

Kirchman D (2000) Microbial Ecology of the Oceans

- Kirchman D, Ducklow H, Mitchell R (1982a) Estimates of Bacterial-Growth from Changes in Uptake Rates and Biomass. Appl Environ Microbiol 44:1296-1307
- Kirchman D, Sigda J, Kapuscinski R, Mitchell R (1982b) Statistical-Analysis of the Direct Count Method for Enumerating Bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 44:376-382
- Kirchman DL (1993) Dissolved Organic Matter in Biogeochemical Models of the Ocean. Towards a Model of Ocean Biogeochemical Processes. ed G T Evans and M J R Fasham Spring-Verlag, Berlin:209-225
- Kirchman DL (1994) The uptake of inorganic nutrient by heterotrophic bacteria. Microbial Ecology 28:255-271
- Kirchman DL, Dittel AI, Findlay SEG, Fischer D (2004) Changes in bacterial activity and community structure in response to dissolved organic matter in the Hudson River, New York. Aquat Microb Ecol 35:243-257

- Kirchman DL, Ducklow HW (1993) Estimating conversion factors for the thymidine and leucine methods for measuring bacterial. Handbook of Methods in Aquatic Microbial Ecology
- Kolowith LC, Ingall ED, Benner R (2001) Composition and cycling of marine organic phosphorus. Limnol Oceanogr 46:309-320
- Kroer N (1993) Bacterial-Growth Efficiency on Natural Dissolved Organic-Matter. Limnol Oceanogr 38:1282-1290
- Kuijper LDJ, Kooi BW, Anderson TR, Kooijman S (2004) Stoichiometry and foodchain dynamics. Theor Popul Biol 66:323-339
- Kuparinen J, Bjornsen PK (1992) Bottom-up and top-down controls of the microbial food web in the southern ocean - Experiments with manipulated microcosms. Polar Biology 12:189-195
- Laanbroek HJ, Verplanke JC, Devisscher PRM, Devuyst R (1985) Distribution of phytoplankton and bacterioplankton growth and biomass parameters, dissolved inorganic nutrients and free amino-acids during a spring bloom in the Oosterschelde basin, the Netherlands. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 25:1-11
- Laanbroek HJ, Verplanke JC (1986) Tidal variations in bacterial biomass, productivity and oxygen-uptake rates in a shallow channel in the Oosterschelde basin, the Netherlands. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 29:1-5

Lalli CM, Parson TR (1993) Biological oceanography: an introduction

Lancelot C, Billen G (1984) Activity of Heterotrophic Bacteria and Its Coupling to Primary Production During the Spring Phytoplankton Bloom in the Southern Bight of the North-Sea. Limnol Oceanogr 29:721-730

- Landless P, Edwards A (1976) Economical ways of asssessing hydrography for fish farms. Aquaculture 8:29-43
- Larsson U, Hagstrom A (1979) Phytoplankton exudate release as an energy-source for the growth of pelagic bacteria. Mar Biol 52:199-206
- Laybourn Parry J, Rogerson A, Crawford DW (1992) Temporal patterns of protozooplankton abundance in the Clyde and Loch Striven. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 35:533-543
- LeB Williams PJ (1981) Incorporation of microheterotrophic processes into the classical paradigm of the planktonic food web. Kieler Meeresforsch, Sonderh 5:1-28
- LeB Williams PJ (1995) Evidence for the Seasonal Accumulation of Carbon-Rich Dissolved Organic Material, Its Scale in Comparison with Changes in Particulate Material and the Consequential Effect on Net C/N Assimilation Ratios. Mar Chem 51:17-29
- LeB Williams PJ (2000) Heterotrophic bacteria and the dynamics of dissolved organic material. In: Kirchman D (ed) Microbial Ecology of the Oceans. John Wiley & Sons, p 153-200
- Leps J, Smilauer P (2003) Multivariate analysisof ecological data using CANOCO. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 269pp.
- Lewis J (1985) The ecology and taxonomy of marine dinoflagellates in Scottish sealochs. PhD, University of London, 295 pp

Lotka AJ (1925) Elements of Physical Biology. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore

MacGarvin M (2000) Scotland's secret? Aquaculture, nutrient pollution, eutrophication and toxic blooms, WWF Scotland

- Maestrini SY, Granéli E (1991) Environmental-conditions and ecophysiological mechanisms which led to the 1988 Chrysochromulina polylepsis bloom An hypothesis. Oceanol Acta 14:397-413
- Makarenkov V, Legendre P (2002) Nonlinear redundancy analysis and canonical correspondence analysis based on polynomial regression. Ecology 83:1146-1161
- Manz W, Amann R, Ludwig W, Wagner M, Schleifer KH (1992) Phylogenetic oligodeoxynucleotide probes for the major subclasses of proteobacteria problems and solutions. Systematic and Applied Microbiology 15:593-600
- Manz W, Amann R, Ludwig W, Vancanneyt M, Schleifer KH (1996) Application of a suite of 16S rRNA-specific oligonucleotide probes designed to investigate bacteria of the phylum cytophaga-flavobacter-bacteroides in the natural environment. Microbiology-Uk 142:1097-1106
- Martin-Jézéquel V, Hildebrand M, Brzezinski MA (2000) Silicon metabolism in diatoms: Implications for growth. Journal of Phycology 36:821-840
- Mary I, Cummings DG, Biegala IC, Burkill PH, Archer SD, Zubkov MV (2006) Seasonal dynamics of bacterioplankton community structure at a coastal station in the western English Channel. Aquat Microb Ecol 42:119-126
- McCarthy JJ, Garside C, Nevins JL, Barber RT (1996) New production along 140 degrees W in the equatorial Pacific during and following the 1992 El Nino event. Deep-Sea Res Part II-Top Stud Oceanogr 43:1065-1093
- McCarthy MD, Hedges JI, Benner R (1998) Major bacterial contribution to marine dissolved organic nitrogen. Science 281:231-234

- McManus GB, Fuhrman JA (1988) Clearance of bacteria-sized particles by naturalpopulations of nanoplankton in the Chesapeak bay outflow plume. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 42:199-206
- McManus GB, Griffin PM, Pennock JR (2004) Bacterioplankton abundance and growth in a river-dominated estuary: relationships with temperature and resources. Aquat Microb Ecol 37:23-32
- Meybeck M (1993) Riverine transport of atmospheric carbon sources, global typology and budget. Water Air and Soil Pollution 70:443-463
- Mitra A, Davidson K, Flynn KJ (2003) The influence of changes in predation rates on marine microbial predator/prey interactions: a modelling study. Acta Oecol-Int J Ecol 24:S359-S367
- Moloney CL, Bergh MO, Field JG, Newell RC (1986) The Effect of Sedimentation and Microbial Nitrogen Regeneration in a Plankton Community - a Simulation Investigation. J Plankton Res 8:427-445
- Moloney CL, Field JG (1991) The Size-Based Dynamics of Plankton Food Webs .1. A Simulation- Model of Carbon and Nitrogen Flows. J Plankton Res 13:1003-1038

Monod J (1942) Recherches sur la croissance des cultures bacteriennes. Hermann, Paris

- Mopper K, Zhou XL, Kieber RJ, Kieber DJ, Sikorski RJ, Jones RD (1991) Photochemical Degradation of Dissolved Organic-Carbon and Its Impact on the Oceanic Carbon-Cycle. Nature 353:60-62
- Murray AG, Eldridge PM (1994) Marine Viral Ecology Incorporation of Bacteriophage into the Microbial Planktonic Food-Web Paradigm. J Plankton Res 16:627-641

- Murrell MC, Hollibaugh JT (1998) Microzooplankton grazing in northern San Francisco Bay measured by the dilution method. Aquat Microb Ecol 15:53-63
- Myklestad S (1974) Production of carbohydrates by marine planktonic diatoms .1. Comparison of 9 different species in culture. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 15:261-274
- Myklestad S (1977) Production of carbohydrates by marine planktonic diatoms .2. Influence of N-P ratio in growth medium on assimilation ratio, growth-rate, and production of cellular and extracellular carbohydrates by *Chaetoceros affinis var willei* (Gran) Hustedt and *Skeletonema costatum* (Grev) Cleve. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 29:161-179
- Myklestad S, Holmhansen O, Varum KM, Volcani BE (1989) Rate of release of extracellular amino-acids and carbohydrates from the marine diatom *Chaetoceros affinis.* J Plankton Res 11:763-773
- Myklestad SM (1995) Release of extracellular products by phytoplankton with special emphasis on ploysaccharides. Science of the Total Environment 165:155-164
- Nagata T (2000) Production mechanisms of dissolved organic matter. In: D. K (ed) Microbial Ecology of the Oceans. John Wiley & Sons, p 121-152
- Nagata T, Kirchman DL (1992) Release of Macromolecular Organic-Complexes by Heterotrophic Marine Flagellates. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 83:233-240
- Nagata T, Kirchman DL (1996) Bacterial degradation of protein adsorbed to model submicron particles in seawater. MAR ECOL-PROG SER 132:241-248
- Nakano S (1994) Carbon Nitrogen Phosphorus Ratios and Nutrient Regeneration of a Heterotrophic Flagellate Fed on Bacteria with Different Elemental Ratios. Arch Hydrobiol 129:257-271

- Nayar S, Chou LM (2003) Relative efficiencies of different filters in retaining phytoplankton for pigment and productivity studies. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 58:241-248
- Neef A (1997) Anwendung der in situ-Einzelzell-Identifizierung von Bakterien zur Populationsanalyse in komplexen mikrobiellen Biozönosen. Ph.D. Thesis. Technische Universität München, Munich
- Newell SY, Fallon RD (1982) Bacterial productivity in the water and sediments of the Georgia (USA) coastal zone Estimates via direct counting and parallel measurment if thymidine incorporation. Microbial Ecology 8:33-46
- Norrman B, Zweifel UL, Hopkinson CS, Fry B (1995) Production and Utilization of Dissolved Organic-Carbon During an Experimental Diatom Bloom. Limnol Oceanogr 40:898-907
- Obernosterer I, Herndl GJ (1995) Phytoplankton Extracellular Release and Bacterial-Growth - Dependence on the Inorganic N-P Ratio. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 116:247-257
- Ogawa H (1999) Microbial utilization of dissolved organic matter in sea water: The latest trend of the research from biogeochemical viewpoints. Bulletin of Plankton Society of Japan 46:34-42
- Ogawa H, Amagai Y, Koike I, Kaiser K, Benner R (2001) Production of refractory dissolved organic matter by bacteria. Science 292:917-920
- Pakulski JD, Benner R, Amon R, Eadie B, Whitledge T (1995) Community Metabolism and Nutrient Cycling in the Mississippi River Plume - Evidence for Intense Nitrification at Intermediate Salinities. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 117:207-218
- Pearson K (1901) On lines and planes of closest fit to a system of points in space. Philosophical magazine 2:557-572

- Pernthaler J, Amann R (2005) Fate of heterotrophic microbes in pelagic habitats: Focus on populations. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 69:440-+
- Pernthaler J, Glockner FO, Schonhuber W, Amann R (2001) Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) with rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes. In: Methods in Microbiology, Vol 30, p 207-226
- Polimene L, Allen JI, Zavatarelli M (2006) Model of interactions between dissolved organic carbon and bacteria in marine systems. Aquat Microb Ecol 43:127-138
- Pomeroy LR (1974) The ocean's food web, a changing paradigm. BioScience 24:499-504
- Porter KG, Feig YS (1980) The Use of Dapi for Identifying and Counting Aquatic Microflora. Limnol Oceanogr 25:943-948
- Proctor LM, Fuhrman JA (1992) Mortality of Marine-Bacteria in Response to Enrichments of the Virus Size Fraction from Seawater. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 87:283-293
- Puddu A, Zoppini A, Fazi S, Rosati M, Amalfitano S, Magaletti E (2003) Bacterial uptake of DOM released from P-limited phytoplankton. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 46:257-268
- Rao CR (1964) The use and interpretation of principal component analysis in applied research. Sankhya A 26:329-358
- Rappé MS, Giovannoni SJ (2003) The uncultured microbial majority. Annual Review of Microbiology 57:369-394
- Rappé MS, Kemp PF, Giovannoni SJ (1997) Phylogenetic diversity of marine coastal picoplankton 16S rRNA genes cloned from the continental shelf off Cape Hatteras, North Carolina. Limnol Oceanogr 42:811-826

- Rappé MS, Vergin K, Giovannoni SJ (2000) Phylogenetic comparisons of a coastal bacterioplankton community with its counterparts in open ocean and freshwater systems. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 33:219-232
- Redfield AC (1963) The influence of organisms on the composition of seawater. In: Hill, MN (Ed), The Sea John Wiley, New York Vol II:26-77
- Rhee GY, Gotham IJ (1981) The effect of environmental-factors on phytoplankton growth - Light and the interactions of light with nitrate limitation. Limnol Oceanogr 26:649-659
- Rivkin RB (1987) Heterotrophy and Photoheterotrophy by Antarctic Microalgae -Light-Dependent Incorporation of Amino-Acids and Glucose. Journal of Phycology 23:442-452
- Rivkin RB, Anderson MR (1997) Inorganic nutrient limitation of oceanic bacterioplankton. Limnol Oceanogr 42:730-740
- Robarts RD, Zohari T (1993) Fact or fiction Bacterial growth rates and production as determined by [methyl-<sup>3</sup>H]-thymidine. In: Advances in microbial ecology, New York, Plenum Press:371-419
- Rogerson A, Laybourn Parry J (1992) Bacterioplankton abundance and production in the Clyde estuary, Scotland. Arch Hydrobiol 126:1-14
- Rolff C, Elmgren R (2000) Use of riverine organic matter in plankton food webs of the Baltic Sea. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 197:81-101
- Rooney-Varga JN, Giewat MW, Savin MC, Sood S, LeGresley M, Martin JL (2005) Links between Phytoplankton and bacterial community dynamics in a coastal marine environment. Microbial Ecology 49:163-175

- Rosenberg R, Dahl E, Edler L, Fyrberg L, Graneli E, Graneli W, Hagstrom A, Lindahl O, Matos MO, Pettersson K, Sahlsten E, Tiselius P, Turk V, Wikner J (1990) Pelagic nutrient and energy-tranfer during spring in the open and coastal Skagerrak. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 61:215-231
- Ross AH, Gurney WSC, Heath MR (1994) A Comparative-Study of the Ecosystem Dynamics of 4 Fjords. Limnol Oceanogr 39:318-343
- Ross AH, Gurney WSC, Heath MR, Hay SJ, Henderson EW (1993) A Strategic Simulation-Model of a Fjord Ecosystem. Limnol Oceanogr 38:128-153
- Sakshaug E, Andresen K, Myklestad S, Olsen Y (1983) Nutrient status of phytoplankton communities in Norwegian waters (marine, brackish, and fresh) as revealed by their chemical-composition. J Plankton Res 5:175-196
- Sanders RW, Caron DA, Berninger UG (1992) Relationships Between Bacteria And Heterotrophic Nanoplankton In Marine And Fresh Waters - An Inter-Ecosystem Comparison. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 86:1-14
- Saunders G (1976) Decomposition in fresh water. In: Macfadyen JAaA (ed) The role of terrestrial and aquatic organisms in decomposition processes. Blackwell, p 341-374
- Seitzinger SP, Sanders RW, Styles R (2002) Bioavailability of DON from natural and anthropogenic sources to estuarine plankton. Limnol Oceanogr 47:353-366
- Shapiro SS, Wilk MB (1965) An analysis of variance test for normality (complete samples). Biometrika 52:591-611
- Sharp JH (1973) Total organic carbon in seawater: comparison of measurements using persulfate oxidation and high temperature combustion. Mar Chem 1:211-229

- Sharp JH, Beuregard AY, Burdige D, Cauwet G, Curless SE, Lauck R, Nagel K, Ogawa
  H, Parker AE, Primm O, Pujo-Pay A, Savidge WB, Seitzinger S, Spyres G,
  Styles R (2004) A direct instrument comparison for measurement of total dissolved nitrogen in seawater. Mar Chem 84:181-193
- Sherr BF, Sherr EB, Andrew TL, Fallon RD, Newell SY (1986) Trophic interactions between heterotrophic protozoan and bacterioplankton in estuarine water analyzed with selective metabolic-inhibitors. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 32:169-179
- Sherr BF, Sherr EB, McDaniel J (1992) Effect of protistan grazing on the frequency of dividing cells in bacterioplankton assemblages. Appl Environ Microbiol 58:2381-2385
- Sherr E, Sherr BF (1988) Role of microbes in pelagic food webs: a revised concept. Limnol Oceanogr 33:1225-1227
- Sherr EB, Caron DA, Sherr BF (1993) Staining of heterotrophic protists for visualization via epifluorescence microscopy. In: Kemp PF, Sherr BF, Sherr EB, Cole JJ (eds) Handbook of methods in aquatic microbial ecology CRC press, p 213-228
- Sherr EB, Sherr BF (1987) High-rates of consumption of bacteria by pelagic ciliates. Nature 325:710-711
- Sherr EB, Sherr BF (1991) Plankton microbes tiny cells at the basis of the oceans food webs. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 6:50-54
- Sieburth JM, Smetacek V, Lenz J (1978) Pelagic ecosystem structure Heterotrophic compartments of plankton an dtheir relationship to plankton size fractoins Comment. Limnol Oceanogr 23:1256-1263

- Sin Y, Webb KL, Sieracki ME (1998) Carbon and nitrogen densities of the cultured marine heterotrophic flagellate Paraphysomonas sp. Journal of Microbial Methods 34:151-163
- Skoog A, Benner R (1997) Aldoses in various size fractions of marine organic matter: Implications for carbon cycling. Limnol Oceanogr 42:1803-1813
- Smith DC, Simon M, Alldredge AL, Azam F (1992a) Intense hydrolytic enzymeactivity on marine aggregates and implications for rapid particle dissolution. Nature 359:139-142
- Smith GJ, Zimmerman RC, Alberte RS (1992b) Molecular and physiological responses of diatoms to variable levels of irradiance and nitrogen availability: growth of *Skeletonema costatum* in simulated upwelling conditions. Limnol Oceanogr 37:989-1007
- Solórzano L, Ehrlich B (1979) Chemical investigations of Loch Creran, Scotland. I. Inorganic nutrients, dissolved organic compounds and pigments. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 40:1-25
- Sondergaard M, Williams PJL, Cauwet G, Riemann B, Robinson C, Terzic S, Woodward EMS, Worm J (2000) Net accumulation and flux of dissolved organic carbon and dissolved organic nitrogen in marine plankton communities. Limnol Oceanogr 45:1097-1111
- Sorokin YI (1987) On the adequacy of the radiocarbon methods of estimation of primary production. Okeanologiya 27:676-682
- Sournia A, Chretiennotdinet MJ, Ricard M (1991) Marine-Phytoplankton How Many Species in the World Ocean. J Plankton Res 13:1093-1099

Steele JH (1974) The structure of marine food ecosystems. Oxford: Blackwell:128 pp.

- Steele JHe (1970) Marine food chains. (AARHUS SYMPOSIUM 1968) Oliver & Boyd:552pp.
- Sterner RW, Elser JJ, Vitousek P (2002) Ecological Stoichiometry: The Biology of Elements from Molecules to the Biosphere. Princeton University Press:429 pp.
- Strom SL (1991) Growth and grazing rates of the herbivorous dinoflagellate *Gymnodinium* sp from the open sub-arctic pacific-ocean. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 78:103-113
- Strom SL (2000) Bacterivory: Interactions between Bacteria and their Grazers. In: Kirchman D (ed) Microbial Ecology of the Oceans. John Wiley & Sons, p 351-386
- Strom SL, Benner R, Ziegler S, Dagg MJ (1997) Planktonic grazers are a potentially important source of marine dissolved organic carbon. Limnol Oceanogr 42:1364-1374
- Sugimura Y, Suzuki Y (1988) A High-Temperature Catalytic-Oxidation Method for the Determination of Non-Volatile Dissolved Organic-Carbon in Seawater by Direct Injection of a Liquid Sample. Mar Chem 24:105-131
- Takahashi M, Fukazawa N (1982) A Mechanism of Red-Tide Formation .2. Effect of Selective Nutrient Stimulation on the Growth of Different Phytoplankton Species in Natural-Water. Mar Biol 70:267-273
- Tanoue E, Nishiyama S, Kamo M, Tsugita A (1995) Bacterial-Membranes Possible Source of a Major Dissolved Protein in Seawater. Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta 59:2643-2648
- Taylor AH, Geider RJ, Gilbert FJH (1997) Seasonal and latitudinal dependencies of phytoplankton carbon-to-chlorophyll a ratios: Results of a modelling study. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 152:51-66

## References

- Taylor AH, Joint I (1990) A Steady-State Analysis of the Microbial Loop in Stratified Systems. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 59:1-17
- Tett P (1992) The Ecology of Plankton in Scottish Coastal Waters. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section B- Biological Sciences 100:27-54
- Tett P, Edwards V (2002) Review of Harmful Algal Blooms in Scottish coastal waters.
- Tett P, Gowen R, Grantham B, Jones K, Miller BS (1986) The Phytoplankton Ecology of the Firth of Clyde Sea Lochs Striven and Fyne. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section B- Biological Sciences 90:223-238
- Tett P, Gowen R, Grantham B, Jones K, Roberts RJ, Bullock AM (1981) Summary of the final report on the investigation of phytoplankton in Loch Striven in 1980, including a report on histopathological features of the experimental salmon. Scottish Marine Biological Association, Report, 19pp
- Tett P, Wallis A (1978) The general annual cycle of chlorophyll standing crop in Loch Creran. Journal of Ecology 66:227-239
- Tezuka Y (1990) Bacterial Regeneration of Ammonium and Phosphate as Affected by the Carbon - Nitrogen - Phosphorus Ratio of Organic Substrates. Microbial Ecology 19:227-238
- Thingstad TF (1987) Utilization Of N, P, And Organic C By Heterotrophic Bacteria .1. Outline Of A Chemostat Theory With A Consistent Concept Of Maintenance Metabolism. Mar Ecol-Prog Ser 35:99-109
- Thingstad TF (2000) Elements of a theory for the mechanisms controlling abundance, diversity, and biogeochemical role of lytic bacterial viruses in aquatic systems. Limnol Oceanogr 45:1320-1328

- Thingstad TF, Hagstrom A, Rassoulzadegan F (1997) Accumulation of degradable DOC in surface waters: Is it caused by a malfunctioning microbial loop? Limnol Oceanogr 42:398-404
- Thingstad TF, Pengerud B (1985) Fate And Effect Of Allochthonous Organic Material In Aquatic Microbial Ecosystems - An Analysis Based On Chemostat Theory. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 21:47-62
- Tranvik LJ (1990) Bacterioplankton growth on fractions of dissolved organic-carbon of different molecular weights from humic and clear waters. Appl Environ Microbiol 56:1672-1677
- Tranvik LJ, Sherr EB, Sherr BF (1993) Uptake and Utilization of Colloidal DOM by Heterotrophic Flagellates in Seawater. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 92:301-309
- Tuomi P (1997) Bacterial carbon production in the northern Baltic: A comparison of thymidine incorporation and FDC based methods. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 153:59-66
- Tyler ID (1984) A carbon budget for Creran, a Scottish sea loch. PhD, University of Strathclyde, pp202

Tyler J (1968) The Sechhi disk. Limnol Oceanogr 13:1-6

- Utermöhl H (1931) Neue Wege in der quantitativen Erfassung des Planktons (mit besonderer Berucksichtigung des Ultraplanktons). Verh int Ver theor angew Limnol 5:567-596
- Vadstein O, Olsen LM, Busch A, Andersen T, Reinertsen HR (2003) Is phosphorus limitation of planktonic heterotrophic bacteria and accumulation of degradable DOC a normal phenomenon in phosphorus-limited systems? A microcosm study. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 46:307-316

- Vadstein O, Olsen Y (1989) Chemical-Composition And Phosphate-Uptake Kinetics Of Limnetic Bacterial Communities Cultured In Chemostats Under Phosphorus Limitation. Limnol Oceanogr 34:939-946
- Vallino JJ (2000) Improving marine ecosystem models: Use of data assimilation and mesocosm experiments. J Mar Res 58:117-164
- Van Wambeke F, Bianchi MA (1985) Bacterial biomass and ammonium regeneration in mediterranean sea water supplemented with amino-acid .2. Nitrogen flux through heterotrophic microplankton food chain. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 23:117-128
- Vetter YA, Deming JW, Jumars PA, Krieger-Brockett BB (1998) A predictive model of bacterial foraging by means of freely released extracellular enzymes. Microbial Ecology 36:75-92
- Vrede K, Heldal M, Norland S, Bratbak G (2002) Elemental composition (C, N, P) and cell volume of exponentially growing and nutrient-limited bacterioplankton. Appl Environ Microbiol 68:2965-2971
- Wallner G, Amann R, Beisker W (1993) Optimizing fluorescent in situ hybridization with ribosomal-RNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes for flow cytometric identification of microorganisms. Cytometry 14:136-143
- Walsh TW (1989) Total Dissolved Nitrogen in Seawater a New High-Temperature Combustion Method and a Comparison with Photo-Oxidation. Mar Chem 26:295-311
- Werner D (1977) Contributions of the study of diatoms to biology. In: Werner D (ed) The biology of diatoms. Blackwell, Oxford, p 1-3
- Wheeler PA, Gosselin M, Sherr E, Thibault D, Kirchman DL, Benner R, Whitledge TE (1996) Active cycling of organic carbon in the central Arctic Ocean. Nature 380:697-699

- Wheeler PA, Kirchman DL (1986) Utilization of inorganic and organic nitrogen by bacteria in marine systems. Limnol Oceanogr 31:998-1009
- Williams PM, Druffel ERM (1987) Radiocarbon in Dissolved Organic-Matter in the Central North Pacific-Ocean. Nature 330:246-248
- Woese CR, Kandler O, Wheelis ML (1990) Towards a natural system of organisms -Proposal for the domains Archea, Bacteria, and Eucarya. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 87:4576-4579
- Wood BJB, Tett PB, Edwards A (1973) An introduction to the phytoplankton, primary production and relevant hydrography of Loch Etive. Journal of Ecology
- Wu RSS (1995) The environmental impact of marine fish culture: Towards a sustainable future. Mar Pollut Bull 31:159-166
- Zaccone R, Monticelli L, Seritti A, Santinelli C, Azzaro M, Boldrin A, La Ferla R, d'Alcala M (2003) Bacterial processes in the intermediate and deep layers of the Ionian Sea in winter 1999: Vertical profiles and their relationship to the different water masses. J Geophys Res-Oceans 108:art.num. 8117
- Zingone A, Percopo I, Sims PA, Sarno D (2005) Diversity in the genus *Skeletonema* (Bacillariophyceae). I. A reexamination of the type material of *S. costatum* with the description of *S. grevillei* sp Nov. Journal of Phycology 41:140-150
- Zoppini A, Puddu A, Fazi S, Rosati M, Sist P (2005) Extracellular enzyme activity and dynamics of bacterial community in mucilaginous aggregates of the northern Adriatic Sea. Science of the Total Environment 353:270-286
- Zweifel UL, Wikner J, Hagstrom A, Lundberg E, Norrman B (1995) Dynamics of Dissolved Organic-Carbon in a Coastal Ecosystem. Limnol Oceanogr 40:299-305