

1 Title:

2 The running and technical performance of U13 to U18 elite Japanese soccer players during  
3 match play

4

5 Corresponding author:

6 Heita Goto

7 Kyusyu Kyoritsu University, Faculty of Sports Science, Kitakyushu, Fukuoka, Japan

8 +81-(0)93-693-3425

9 [heitagoto@hotmail.com](mailto:heitagoto@hotmail.com)

10

11 Co-authors:

12 Chris Seward

13 Nottingham Trent University, School of Science and Technology, Nottingham, United

14 Kingdom

15 +44 (0)115 848 3842

16 [chris.seward@ntu.ac.uk](mailto:chris.seward@ntu.ac.uk)

17

18

19 Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research - JSCR-08-12125R2

20 Statement: this is the author's post-print version. This is not the final published version.

## 21 **ABSTRACT**

22 The aims of the current study were: 1) to examine age-related differences in match-running  
23 performance with two different approaches (speed vs metabolic power) in U13 to U18  
24 Japanese elite soccer players; 2) to examine age-related differences in technical match  
25 performance in U13 to U18 Japanese elite soccer players. Participants were 110 field players  
26 from academies of two professional soccer clubs in Japan. Forty-eight 11-a-side official  
27 league matches (13, 6, 9, 7, 6 and 7 matches for U13, U14, U15, U16, U17 and U18 age  
28 groups, respectively) were analyzed (152 complete match-files). Global Positioning System  
29 (15Hz) and video analysis were employed to analyze running and technical performance  
30 during matches, respectively. Total distance covered in absolute terms (U13 < (U14 and U15)  
31 < (U16-U18),  $P < 0.05$  for all), high-intensity running distance ((U13-U15) < (U16-U18),  $P <$   
32  $0.05$  for all) and distance covered during the metabolic power zone  $\geq 35 \text{ W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  relative to  
33 match playing time ((U13 < U16), (U13-U15) < (U17 and U18),  $P < 0.05$  for all), increased  
34 with age. The speed zone based approach (high-intensity running distance,  $\geq 4.0 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ )  
35 underestimated high-intensity demands compared to the metabolic power zone based  
36 approach ( $\geq 20 \text{ W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ) by ~33% to ~57% ( $P < 0.01$  for all), with the underestimation  
37 declining with age ( $P < 0.001$ ). Pass accuracy improved with age from 73% at U13 to 85% at  
38 U18 ( $P < 0.001$ ). Therefore, distance covered at high speeds and at high metabolic powers,  
39 and pass accuracy increase with age. Moreover, the speed zone based approach  
40 underestimates the demands of match play in Japanese elite youth soccer players. The current  
41 results could support coaches to develop players, identify talent and produce age-specific  
42 training programs.

43

44 Key words:

45 Association football, metabolic power, skills, match analysis, talent identification.

## 46 INTRODUCTION

47 Match performance in elite youth soccer is dependent on both physical and technical factors  
48 (33). Most research in this regard has focused on the physical demands of match-play by  
49 examining elite youth players' match-running performance (2,7,9,16,27,30). Such research  
50 has tended to use Global Positioning Systems (GPS) to measure total distance covered by  
51 players, and distances covered by players within certain speed zones. The studies have  
52 established that elite youth soccer players (10 to 18 years old) cover between 4500 and 7000  
53  $\text{m}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$  in a 60-90 min match with ~3 to ~30% of this distance being covered at high speeds ( $\geq$   
54  $4.2 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ) (2,7,9,16,30). However, the majority of studies examining match-running  
55 performance in elite youth soccer have been conducted in Australia (12), Brazil (27),  
56 Denmark (32), England (16,30), Italy (8,9), New Zealand (2) and Qatar (7). Conversely, there  
57 is a dearth of match-running performance data on elite youth players from eastern Asia. In  
58 senior professionals, previous research has shown differences between national leagues in  
59 match-running performance (11), and thus, whether the findings from South American and  
60 European elite youth players extend to elite youth eastern Asian players remains unclear.  
61 Since soccer is one of the most popular sport in the world, contextual match-running data are  
62 required to support coaches, sports scientists and players in this region.

63

64 Match-running performance has conventionally been assessed using a speed-zone based  
65 approach whereby the distance covered by players within certain speed thresholds is  
66 measured (2,7,9,16,30). However, in recent years, researchers have estimated players'  
67 metabolic power as an alternative estimate of the physical demands of match-play in  
68 professional soccer players (25). Metabolic power is based on an assumption that  
69 accelerated/decelerated running on a horizontal level is energetically equivalent to

70 uphill/downhill running at a constant speed on an 'equivalent' slope and is calculated by  
71 multiplying estimated energy cost of accelerated/decelerated running and running speed on a  
72 horizontal level (25). As energy costs are independent of the velocity and the energetics of  
73 uphill/downhill running can be estimated, an estimation of the energy costs of  
74 accelerated/decelerated running on a horizontal level can be obtained (25). Unlike the speed  
75 zone based approach, estimations of metabolic power account for the accelerations and  
76 decelerations made by players during match-play (25). Indeed, even running at low speeds, a  
77 high metabolic load may be imposed on soccer players if accelerations and decelerations are  
78 elevated.

79

80 Previous studies have examined the validity of GPS for estimating energy expenditure during  
81 field-sport locomotor movements (5,6,26). These studies reported that GPS-derived  
82 parameters underestimated energy expenditure by ~5% to ~45% depending on the  
83 movements compared to direct measurement of oxygen consumption using a portable gas  
84 analyzer (5,6,26). However, using GPS systems during match-play is more practical and  
85 feasible than using portable gas analyzers. When GPS-derived parameters are considered, the  
86 metabolic power zone based approach attempts to account for the energy demands of  
87 accelerations and decelerations, and is more closely related to energy expenditure than the  
88 speed zone based approach, and is thus potentially a more appropriate method to describe  
89 match-play demands in soccer (19).

90

91 The high-intensity demands of training and small-sided games have been assessed using  
92 speed zone based and metabolic power zone based approaches in professional soccer players  
93 (14,15). In these studies, high-intensity demands estimated via the speed zone based approach  
94 was considered to be distance covered at  $\geq 4.0 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  and high-intensity demands estimated via

95 the metabolic power zone based approach was considered to be distance covered at  $\geq 20$   
96  $W \cdot kg^{-1}$ . This was because  $20 W \cdot kg^{-1}$  is the metabolic power when running at a constant speed  
97 of approximately  $4.0 m \cdot s^{-1}$  on natural (25) and artificial (29) grass surfaces. Results  
98 demonstrated that the high-intensity demands of soccer were underestimated when applying  
99 the fixed speed zone based approach compared to applying the estimated metabolic power  
100 zone based approach. The underestimation was approximately 30-40% during training (14)  
101 and 45-350% during various small-sided games (15). In addition, such underestimation was  
102 ~45% during a professional soccer match (25). Whether the differences between the speed  
103 zone based and metabolic power zone based approaches in estimating match-running  
104 performance extends to elite youth soccer is yet to be investigated. Such data may provide  
105 coaches and sport scientists with a more realistic reflection of the demands of match play  
106 (15).

107  
108 The physical attributes required for success in soccer are insufficient unless supplemented by  
109 an adequate grounding in the skills of the game (33). Whereas, the match-running  
110 performance of youth soccer players across a wide age range has been studied in recent years  
111 (16,30), technical match performance has only been reported in a limited number of age  
112 groups (35,36) or limited technical performance measures (22,36). Previous studies have  
113 reported that elite under-17 (U17) players perform a greater number of passes (~38 to ~45  
114 passes) and demonstrate a better pass accuracy (77-82%) (35) during a match compared to  
115 elite U14 players (~31 passes and a pass accuracy of 72 %, respectively) (36) Whether such  
116 age-related differences in technical match performance of youth soccer players extend across  
117 a wider age range, remains unclear. An investigation examining both physical and technical  
118 aspects of match-play across a wide age range of youth soccer players is needed to provide a  
119 holistic understanding of match performance and its development in youth soccer.

120

121 To the authors' knowledge, there are no match-running and technical performance data  
122 regarding youth soccer players from Eastern Asia, no match-running performance data  
123 estimated using the metabolic power zone based approach in youth soccer, and limited match  
124 technical performance related studies in youth soccer players. The availability of such  
125 information could support coaches and sports scientists in developing players, identifying  
126 talent, and creating age-specific training programs. Therefore, the aims of the current study  
127 were: 1) to examine age-related differences in match-running performance using two  
128 different approaches (speed and metabolic power zone based approaches) in U13 to U18  
129 Japanese elite soccer players; 2) to examine age-related differences in technical match  
130 performance in U13 to U18 Japanese elite soccer players.

131

## 132 **METHODS**

### 133 **Experimental Approach to the Problem**

134 Players (U13, U14, U15, U16, U17 and U18 age groups) were recruited from academies of  
135 two professional soccer clubs in Japan which represents the highest **standard of youth soccer**  
136 **development** in Japan. The running and technical performance during match play of these  
137 players were assessed across a playing season. This allowed age-related differences in the  
138 running and technical match performance of Japanese elite youth soccer players to be  
139 elucidated, which in turn, may support player development and talent identification at this  
140 level and provides the first norms for Japanese elite youth soccer players, allowing  
141 comparative data for other studies interested in this under-explored population.

142

143 To analyze match running performance in more detail, distance covered in particular speed  
144 zones and metabolic power zones was assessed using GPS (15Hz (5 Hz interpolated to 15  
145 Hz), SPI HPU, GPSports, Canberra, Australia). This allowed the differences in match  
146 running performance between the two approaches in elite youth soccer players to be  
147 examined. Moreover, 11 variables were selected as technical performance measures; three  
148 related to defending, seven related to attacking, and one related to total involvement with the  
149 ball.

150

### 151 **Subjects**

152 The participants were 110 outfield players (**age range = 12.2 to 18.7 years**) who belonged to  
153 academies of two professional soccer clubs in Japan (see **table 1** for mean age of each age  
154 group). There was one Japanese international player in the U13 and U16 age groups **and two**  
155 **Japanese** international players in the U15, U17 and U18 age groups. In each week during the

156 season, the U13, U14 and U15 age groups generally participated in four 2-hour training  
157 sessions and a match, and the U16, U17 and U18 age groups generally had five 2-hour  
158 training sessions and a match. Players were provided with a written and verbal explanation of  
159 the study including all measurements to be taken. Each player signed an informed assent  
160 form and completed a health screen questionnaire prior to participation in the study. Each  
161 player's parent, guardian or care-giver signed a consent form prior to the start of the study.  
162 Players were free to withdraw from the study without giving any reasons and without any  
163 penalty regarding their academy position and this was explained to them verbally and in  
164 writing. Participants were withdrawn from the study if they did not have a satisfactory health  
165 status. The study was approved by a University Ethics Committee.

166

### 167 **Match analysis**

168 Match analysis was conducted on official league matches. All matches were played on  
169 international match size (length = 100-110 m, width = 64-75 m, Fédération Internationale de  
170 Football Association (FIFA)) flat artificial grass pitches (third generation astroturf). A total of  
171 48 11-a-side matches were analyzed and 152 complete match-files were obtained (1-5 match-  
172 files per player, see table 1 for number of matches and match-files in each age group). Match  
173 duration was 60, 70, 80 and 90 min for U13, U14, U15 and U16-U18 age groups,  
174 respectively. To be included in the analysis, players were required to play a full match, play  
175 the same position throughout the match, and play in a 4-4-2 formation. This was because  
176 playing formation (3,31) and playing position (7,21,35) influence physical and technical  
177 performance. Playing position distribution was 41% central defenders, 14% wide defenders,  
178 23% central midfielders, 5% wide midfielders and 18% strikers in all age groups. All match  
179 files were obtained from the teams who finished in the top half of the league except 18-45%  
180 of match files from the U16, U17 and U18 age groups (all teams finished in 8th out of 10



181 teams). In each age group, final league position of opposition teams was fairly evenly spread  
182 from the top to bottom and 55-77% and 29-57% of match-files were from home matches and  
183 matches won, respectively.

184 -----Table 1 here-----

185

### 186 **Match-running performance**

187 The match-running performance of each player was analyzed with the assessment of  
188 distances covered at different speed zones (35) and distances covered at different metabolic  
189 power zones (23,25) (see table 2). Metabolic power was estimated by the previously reported  
190 equation and energy cost of running at constant speed was assumed as  $3.6 \text{ J}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$  (25).

191

192 Metabolic power =  $EC \cdot v$

193

194 Where, EC is the energy cost of accelerated running on grass ( $\text{J}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$ ) =  $(155.4\cdot\text{ES}^5 -$   
195  $30.4\cdot\text{ES}^4 - 43.3\cdot\text{ES}^3 + 46.3\cdot\text{ES}^2 + 19.5\cdot\text{ES} + 3.6)\cdot\text{EM}\cdot\text{KT}$ , ES = the equivalent slope =  $\tan(90$   
196  $- \arctan g/a_f)$ , g = Earth's acceleration of gravity;  $a_f$  = forward acceleration; EM = the  
197 equivalent body mass =  $[(a_f^2\cdot g^{-2}) + 1]^{0.5}$ , KT = a constant = 1.29, v = running speed ( $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ).

198 The distances were expressed in absolute (meters per match) and relative (meters per hour of  
199 match playing time) terms.

200

201 Match running performance was analyzed with 15 Hz (5 Hz interpolated to 15 Hz) GPS  
202 technology (SPI HPU, GPSports, Canberra, Australia) which was positioned on the upper  
203 back in a custom-made vest. This device has been reported to possess an accuracy of greater  
204 than 99% when 8 laps of 165 m team sport simulation circuit with various movement speeds  
205 (walking to sprinting and fast deceleration) and change of directions at different angles

206 (figure eight agility run and 90 degrees turning) was performed (18). Moreover, maximal  
207 speed during 10, 20 and 30 m sprints showed less than a 5% difference compared to the  
208 values measured by photoelectric timing gates (18). Inter-unit reliability (typical error  
209 expressed as coefficient of variation (CV)) for total distance covered, distance covered at <  
210  $3.9 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ,  $3.9\text{-}5.6 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  and  $>5.6 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  were 1.9, 2.0, 7.6 and 12.1%, respectively (18).

211

212 The validity and reliability of GPS for measuring accelerations and decelerations has been  
213 previously assessed with a 50 Hz Laveg laser (34). Validity (typical error (CV)) of  
214 accelerations and decelerations were 3.6-5.9% and 11.3%, respectively, and reliability  
215 (typical error (CV)) of accelerations and decelerations were 1.9-4.3% and 6.0%, respectively  
216 (34). Furthermore, validity of GPS for determining metabolic power has been examined using  
217 32 Hz radar system (28). The study employed 70 m (35 + 35 m) of self-paced intermittent  
218 running involving walking, jogging, accelerations and decelerations during running and 70 m  
219 (35 + 35 m) of self-paced running (35 m) and sprinting (35 m) (28). The typical error (CV) of  
220 mean metabolic power, time spent at high metabolic power ( $> 20 \text{ W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ) and time spent at  
221 very high metabolic power ( $> 25 \text{ W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ) were 2.4%, 4.5% and 6.2%, respectively (28).

222

223 In the current study, the same GPS unit could not always be worn by a player in different  
224 matches due to logistical issues. At least 8 satellites (mean  $\pm$  SD =  $9.7 \pm 0.9$  satellites) were  
225 connected during data collection which is the minimum number of satellites required to allow  
226 an accurate measurement (34,37) and mean horizontal dilution of position was  $1.2 \pm 0.4$ . The  
227 distances covered in speed and metabolic power zones were calculated using Team AMS  
228 software version R1.2016.4 (GPSports, Canberra, Australia).

229 -----Table 2 here-----

230

231 **Technical match performance**

232 Matches were recorded using a video camera (HC-V360M, Panasonic, Osaka, Japan)  
233 positioned 5 m away from the halfway line and 3 m above the ground level. Videos were  
234 transferred to PC and on-the-ball actions of each player were manually notated. The technical  
235 variables and associated operational definitions (Matchinsight, Prozone Sports Ltd<sup>®</sup>, Leeds,  
236 UK) are presented in table 3. To calculate the technical performance variables in relative  
237 terms, attacking and defending variables were adjusted for the team's ball possession  
238 duration and opposition's ball possession duration, respectively. This is because the ball  
239 possession time varies between the matches and the players can only perform attacking  
240 technical measures when the team is in possession of the ball and defensive technical  
241 measures when the opposition is in possession of the ball (20). All variables were expressed  
242 in absolute (per match) and relative (per hour of team's/opposition's ball possession time)  
243 terms.

244

245 All matches were analyzed by one analyst who possessed UEFA (Union of European  
246 Football Associations) "B" coaching license. The analyst had analyzed more than 20 matches  
247 prior to the analysis of the current data. The analyst independently coded the same randomly  
248 selected match twice with 6 months apart to assess intra-observer reliability. Cohen's Kappa  
249 was employed to examine the strength of agreement between observations on the technical  
250 performance variables. Overall, intra-observer reliability was very good ( $\kappa = 0.88, p < 0.05$ ).  
251 Moreover, there was a very good agreement between observations for headers/shots ( $\kappa =$   
252  $1.00, p < 0.05$ ), successful passes ( $\kappa = 0.92, p < 0.05$ ), crosses/dribbles ( $\kappa = 0.89, p < 0.05$ ),  
253 passes/touches ( $\kappa = 0.88, p < 0.05$ ), clearances ( $\kappa = 0.82, p < 0.05$ ), and good agreement for  
254 tackles ( $\kappa = 0.78, p < 0.05$ ) and blocks ( $\kappa = 0.76, p < 0.05$ ) (1).

255 -----Table 3 here-----

256

257 **Statistical analyses**

258 Data were not normally distributed as examined by Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests. Spearman's  
259 rank correlations ( $r_s$ ) were employed to examine the relationship between age and match  
260 performance variables. The magnitude of correlation coefficients was considered as trivial ( $r_s$   
261  $< 0.1$ ), small ( $0.1 \leq r_s < 0.3$ ), moderate ( $0.3 \leq r_s < 0.5$ ), large ( $0.5 \leq r_s < 0.7$ ), very large ( $0.7 \leq$   
262  $r_s < 0.9$ ) nearly perfect ( $0.9 \leq r_s < 1.0$ ), and perfect ( $r_s = 1.0$ ) (17).

263

264 Kruskal-Wallis tests were conducted to examine the effect of age-group on match  
265 performance variables. Pairwise comparisons with adjusted P-values were performed to  
266 assess differences (13). To examine differences between speed and metabolic power zone  
267 based approaches in estimating high-intensity demands during match-play, a Mann-Whitney  
268 U test was performed to compare high-intensity running and  $MP \geq 20$  distances.

269

270 The effect size ( $r_{ES}$ ) for the differences were calculated wherever appropriate by dividing z-  
271 score by square root N (13) and the values ( $r_{ES}$ ) were considered as trivial ( $r_{ES} < 0.01$ ), small  
272 to medium (0.1 to 0.3), medium to large (0.3 to 0.5) and large to very large ( $r_{ES} > 0.5$ ) (10).

273 The level of statistical significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ . Results are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD  
274 and all the statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 22.0 (IBM SPSS statistics  
275 for Windows, IBM, Armonk, New York, USA).

276

## 277 **RESULTS**

### 278 **Running performance during match play**

279 Match-running performance of each age group is detailed in table 4. Absolute total distance  
280 covered during a match increased with age from  $7388 \pm 741$  m for the U13 age group to  
281  $11469 \pm 921$  m for the U18 age group ( $P < 0.001$ ,  $r_{ES} = 1.04$ ). For absolute distance covered  
282 in all speed zones and metabolic power zones, there were significant between-age group  
283 differences, with older age groups completing greater distances ( $P < 0.001$ ,  $r_{ES} = 0.77$ - $1.10$ ).

284

285 When match-running distance was adjusted to match playing time, total distance was similar  
286 between all age groups ( $\sim 7000 \text{ m}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ). For distance covered in particular speed zones,  
287 walking ( $\sim 2200$  to  $\sim 2400 \text{ m}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ), jogging ( $\sim 900$  to  $\sim 1100 \text{ m}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ) and running ( $\sim 900$  to  $\sim 1100$   
288  $\text{m}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ) distances were not different between the age groups. Distance covered by high-speed  
289 running, sprinting, high-intensity running and very high-intensity running increased with age  
290 from the U13 to U17 age group (at least  $P < 0.05$ ,  $r_{ES} = 0.41$ - $0.74$ ) (figure 1). There was a  
291 positive relationship between age and distance covered by high-speed running ( $r_s = 0.54$ ),  
292 sprinting ( $r_s = 0.58$ ), high-intensity running ( $r_s = 0.34$ ) and very high-intensity running ( $r_s =$   
293  $0.56$ ) ( $P < 0.001$  for all).

294

295 For the metabolic power zone based approach, distance covered per hour of match-play by  
296 the U13 to U18 age groups in LP, MedP, HP and  $MP \geq 20$  were  $\sim 2700$  to  $\sim 2900 \text{ m}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ,  $\sim 2300$   
297 to  $\sim 2700 \text{ m}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ,  $\sim 1200$  to  $\sim 1400 \text{ m}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$  and  $\sim 1700$  to  $\sim 2000 \text{ m}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ , respectively and there were  
298 no between-age group differences. Distance covered in EP, MaxP and  $MP \geq 35$  increased with  
299 age from the U13 to U17 age group (at least  $P < 0.01$  for all,  $r_{ES} = 0.49$ - $0.78$ ) (figure 2).

300 There was a positive relationship between age and distance covered in EP ( $r_s = 0.38$ ), MaxP  
301 ( $r_s = 0.61$ ) and MP $\geq$ 35 ( $r_s = 0.50$ ) ( $P < 0.001$  for all).

302 -----Table 4 and figure 1&2 here-----

303

### 304 **Comparison of high-intensity running distance and distance covered in MP $\geq$ 20**

305 High-intensity running distance was ~600 to ~800 m shorter than distance covered in MP $\geq$ 20  
306 in all age groups ( $P < 0.01$  for all,  $r_{ES} = 0.49-0.61$ ). The percentage difference (%) between  
307 high-intensity running and MP $\geq$ 20 distances declined with age from  $56.9 \pm 25.5\%$  for the  
308 U13 age group to  $30.4 \pm 10.6\%$  for the U17 age group ( $P < 0.001$ ,  $r_{ES} = 0.63$ ) (figure 3) and  
309 there was a negative relationship between age and percentage difference ( $r_s = -0.45$ ,  $P <$   
310  $0.001$ ).

311 -----Figure 3 here-----

312

### 313 **Technical performance during match play**

314 For absolute technical match performance, the number of passes, touches and involvements  
315 with the ball increased with age from the U13 to U18 age group ( $P < 0.001$ ,  $r_{ES} = 0.40-0.55$ ).  
316 Moreover, pass accuracy gradually improved with age by 12% from the U13 to U18 age  
317 group ( $P < 0.001$ ,  $r_{ES} = 0.58$ ) and there was a positive relationship between age and pass  
318 accuracy ( $r_s = 0.33$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ) (figure 4). No apparent trends were observed in the rest of  
319 technical performance variables.

320

321 There were no between-age group differences in team and opposition possession time (%)  
322 (table 4). When technical performance was adjusted for possession times, no between-age  
323 group differences were observed in all technical performance variables (table 5).

324

-----Table 4&5 and figure 4 here-----

325

## 326 **DISCUSSION**

327 The current study is the first to examine the development of match-running performance,  
328 using speed and metabolic power zone based approaches, and technical match performance in  
329 U13 to U18 elite Japanese soccer players. The main findings of the present study were that:  
330 both absolute and relative distance covered at high speeds (sprinting:  $> 7.0 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  and very  
331 high-intensity running:  $\geq 5.5 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ) and metabolic power (MaxP:  $> 55 \text{ W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  and MP $\geq 35$ :  $\geq$   
332  $35 \text{ W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ) increased similarly with age; high-intensity demands were underestimated by the  
333 speed zone based approach ( $\geq 4.0 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ) compared to the metabolic power zone based  
334 approach ( $\geq 20 \text{ W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ) in all age groups; the underestimation of high-intensity demands  
335 reduced with age; and finally, pass accuracy improved with age.

336

337 Total distance and distances covered at various speed zones during match-play increased with  
338 age. This is the first study to examine the development of match-running performance in elite  
339 youth soccer players from Japan. The current results are in-line with previous research into  
340 elite youth soccer players from Europe and western Asia that show similar age-related  
341 improvements in match-running performance (7,16). This is also the first study to provide  
342 data regarding the development of match-running distance using the metabolic power zone  
343 based approach in elite youth soccer. When match-running distance was calculated using the  
344 metabolic power zone based approach, the pattern of increases in distance covered with age  
345 was similar to the speed zone based approach data. However, when the distances were  
346 adjusted for match playing time, between-age group differences were less evident in total  
347 distance, distance covered at lower speeds (walking to running), and distance covered at  
348 lower metabolic powers (LP to HP), which is in line with previous studies (7,12,16).

349 Conversely, when adjusted for playing time, distances covered within high speed and  
350 metabolic power zones still demonstrated improvements with age and improvements were



351 more apparent in higher speed and metabolic power zones (i.e., sprinting, very high-intensity  
352 running, MaxP and  $MP \geq 35$ ). The age-related differences in speed zone distances are similar  
353 to the previous studies on elite youth soccer players from England (16) and Qatar (7).  
354 Therefore, speed and metabolic power zone based approaches show similar improvements in  
355 match-running distance with age in both absolute and relative terms. Further, both approaches  
356 demonstrate the importance of distance covered at high intensity, which supports previous  
357 research showing that the distance covered at high speeds differentiate age groups in elite  
358 youth players (16) and the standard of play in professional senior soccer players (24).  
359  
360 In the current study, high-intensity demands of soccer matches were underestimated by 33 to  
361 57% in the U13 to U18 elite youth soccer players when match-running distance was  
362 calculated using a speed zone based approach ( $\geq 4.0 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ) compared to a metabolic power  
363 zone based approach ( $\geq 20 \text{ W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ). Similar underestimations ( $\sim 45$  to  $\sim 72\%$ ) have been  
364 reported from professional soccer players during 10 vs 10 small-sided-games (14) and match  
365 play (25). The underestimation of high-intensity demands declined with age from 57% in the  
366 U13 to 33% in the U17 age group. A possible explanation for this age-related variation in the  
367 underestimation of high-intensity running is that although high-intensity running distance ( $\geq$   
368  $4.0 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ) increased with age, younger players are possibly producing a greater amount of  
369 high-intensity activities (i.e. acceleration and decelerations) at low speeds compared to older  
370 counterparts since running distance at high speeds in younger age groups were less than older  
371 age groups. Hence, it is important for coaches and sports scientists to know that the  
372 conventional speed zone based approach underestimates match demands of elite youth soccer  
373 players and such underestimation is greater in younger players.  
374

375 To our knowledge, this study is the first to investigate differences in technical performance  
376 between six consecutive age groups (U13 to U18) in Japanese elite youth soccer players. The  
377 results demonstrated that pass accuracy improved with age from 73% for the U13 age group  
378 to 85% for the U18 age group and similar values have been demonstrated by U14 elite and  
379 sub-elite (36) and U17 elite players (35). Previous research has shown that pass accuracy was  
380 greater for teams with higher than lower ranking in an U17 international tournament (35) and  
381 was greater for the top three (82%) than the bottom three (75%) teams in the first division of  
382 Spanish professional soccer league (21). This suggests that pass accuracy distinguishes  
383 standard of play in professional and youth soccer players. The current study supports and  
384 extends previous work by showing that pass accuracy improves with age in elite youth soccer  
385 players, suggesting that it is an important technical performance measure for coaches to focus  
386 on during the process of player development and talent identification.

387

388 Moreover, the number of passes, touches, and involvements with the ball during a match  
389 increased with age in the U13 to U18 elite youth soccer players. However, the between-age  
390 group differences disappeared when these technical performance variables were adjusted for  
391 ball possession time. Nevertheless, the number of tackles, crosses, passes and shots in the  
392 current sample were similar to that of the U17 soccer players who were competing in the top  
393 division league of various countries (35). This suggests that the technical performance of elite  
394 youth Japanese soccer players is similar to that of elite youth soccer players from other  
395 countries. It is possible that at this high standard of play, the technical profiles seen in the  
396 current study (and previous research), are minimum requirements for performance in elite  
397 youth soccer, but that more sensitive measures of technical performance are possibly required  
398 to differentiate subgroups within this homogenous population. Future research may consider

399 assessing factors such as success rates, passing distribution distance, location on the pitch etc.  
400 (3).

401

402 There were some potential limitations of the current study. Firstly, the team and opposition  
403 quality (4,21,35), match location (4,21) and match outcome (4,21) have been shown to  
404 influence physical and technical performance, and these factors were not considered in the  
405 current study. However, given that the team and opposition ball possession times are  
406 influenced by team and opposition strengths (4), no between-age group differences in the  
407 team and opposition ball possession times were observed in the current study. Moreover, the  
408 final league position of most teams was in the top half, and the final league position of  
409 opposition teams that each age group faced, was fairly evenly spread from the top to bottom.  
410 Hence, the team and opposition strength were possibly similar across the age groups. In  
411 addition, similar match location and outcome distributions were observed in each age group  
412 (55-77% and 29-57% of match-files in each age group were from home matches and matches  
413 won, respectively) that the influence of match location and outcome may be insignificant.

414

## 415 **PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS**

416 The current study highlights a similar trend in age-related improvements of match-running  
417 distance at high-speeds and at high metabolic powers in U13 to U18 elite Japanese soccer  
418 players. However, the speed zone based approach ( $\geq 4.0 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ) underestimates high-intensity  
419 demands of soccer matches compared to metabolic power zone based approach ( $\geq 20 \text{ W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ )  
420 and a greater underestimation was observed in the younger age groups which suggests that  
421 younger players produce a large proportion of high-intensity activities (accelerations and  
422 decelerations) at low speeds. Moreover, an improvement in pass accuracy with age was  
423 revealed. Therefore, coaches and sports scientists are recommended to carefully consider  
424 distance covered by high-speed and high metabolic power during match play especially when  
425 they compare match-running performance of players from different age groups. Moreover, an  
426 employment of metabolic power zone based approach rather than speed zone based approach  
427 is advised to estimate high-intensity demands of match play in youth soccer players and the  
428 current results would support coaches and sports scientists to produce age-specific training  
429 programs. For technical attributes, it is recommended to focus on pass accuracy when  
430 developing players and identifying talent in elite youth players.

431

432

433 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

434 This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 26350804

435

436 **REFERENCES**

- 437 1. Altman, D. G. (1991). Practical statistics for medical research. London: Chapman &  
438 Hall.
- 439 2. Atan, SA, Foskett, A, and Ali, A. Motion Analysis of Match Play in New Zealand U13 to  
440 U15 Age-Group Soccer Players. *J Strength Cond Res* 30: 2416-2423, 2016.
- 441 3. Bradley, PS, Carling, C, Archer, D, Roberts, J, Dodds, A, Di Mascio, M, et al. The effect  
442 of playing formation on high-intensity running and technical profiles in English Fa  
443 premier league soccer matches. *J Sports Sci* 29: 821-830: 2011.
- 444 4. Bradley, PS, Lago-Peñas, C, Rey, E, and Sampaio, J. The influence of situational  
445 variables on ball possession in the English premier league. *J Sports Sci* 32: 1867–1873,  
446 2014.
- 447 5. Brown, DM, Dwyer, DB, Robertson, SJ, and Gastin PB. Metabolic Power Method:  
448 Underestimation of Energy Expenditure in Field-Sport Movements Using a Global  
449 Positioning System Tracking System. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 11: 1067-1073, 2016.
- 450 6. Buchheit, M, Manouvrier, C, Cassirame, J, and Morin, JB. Monitoring locomotor load in  
451 soccer: is metabolic power, powerful. *Int J Sports Med* 36: 1149-1155, 2015.
- 452 7. Buchheit, M, Mendez-Villanueva, A, Simpson, BM, and Bourdon, PC. Match running  
453 performance and fitness in youth soccer. *Int J Sports Med* 31: 818–825, 2010.
- 454 8. Castagna, C, D’Ottavio, S, and Abt, G. Activity profile of young soccer players during  
455 actual match play. *J Strength Cond Res* 17: 775–780, 2003.
- 456 9. Castagna, C, Impellizzeri, FM, Cecchini, E, Rampinini, E, and Alvarez, J. Effects of  
457 intermittent-endurance fitness on match performance in young male soccer players. *J*  
458 *Strength Cond Res* 23: 1954–1959, 2009.
- 459 10. Cohen, J. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences (2nd ed.)*. Hillsdale, NJ:  
460 Lawrence Erlbaum, 1988.

- 461 11. Dellal, A, Chamari, K, Wong, DP, Ahmaidi, S, Keller, D, Barros, R, et al. Comparison of  
462 physical and technical performance in European soccer match-play: FA Premier League  
463 and La Liga. *Eur J Sport Sci* 11: 51-59, 2011.
- 464 12. Duthie, GM, Thornton, HR, Delaney, JA, Connolly, DR, and Serpiello, FR. Running  
465 Intensities in Elite Youth Soccer by Age and Position. *J Strength Cond Res* 32: 2918-  
466 2924, 2018.
- 467 13. Field, A. *Discovering Statistics using IBM SPSS Statistics (4th ed.)*. London, UK: Sage  
468 Publications Ltd, 2013.
- 469 14. Gaudino, P, Iaia, FM, Alberti, G, Hawkins, RD, Strudwick, AJ, and Gregson, W.  
470 Systematic bias between running speed and metabolic power data in elite soccer players:  
471 influence of drill type. *Int J Sports Med* 35: 489-493, 2014.
- 472 15. Gaudino, P, Iaia, FM, Alberti, G, Strudwick, A, Atkinson, G, and Gregson, W.  
473 Monitoring training in elite soccer players: Systematic bias between running speed and  
474 metabolic power data. *Int J Sports Med* 34: 963–968, 2013.
- 475 16. Goto, H, Morris, JG, and Nevill, ME. Motion analysis of U11 to U16 elite English  
476 premier league academy players. *J Sports Sci* 33: 1248–1258, 2015.
- 477 17. Hopkins, WG. (Last updated in 2013). *A Scale of Magnitudes for Effect Statistics*.  
478 Available at: <http://www.sportsci.org/resource/stats/index.html>. Accessed March 2018.
- 479 18. Johnston, RJ, Watsford, ML, Kelly, SJ, Pine, MJ, and Spurrs, RW. Validity and interunit  
480 reliability of 10 Hz and 15 Hz GPS units for assessing athlete movement demands. *J*  
481 *Strength Cond Res* 28: 1649-1655, 2014.
- 482 19. Highton, J, Mullen, T, Norris, J, Oxendale, C, and Twist, C. Energy expenditure derived  
483 from micro-technology is not suitable for assessing internal load in collision-based  
484 activities. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 20: 957-961,  
485 2017.

- 486 20. Liu, H., Gomez, MA, Lago-Penas, C, and Sampaio, J. Match statistics related to winning  
487 in the group stage of 2014 Brazil FIFA World Cup. *J Sports Sci* 33: 1205–1213, 2015.
- 488 21. Liu, H, Gomez, MA, Gonçalves, B, and Sampaio, J. Technical performance and match-  
489 to-match variation in elite football teams. *J Sports Sci* 34: 509-518, 2016.
- 490 22. Malcata, RM., Hopkins, WG, and Richardson, S. Modelling the progression of  
491 competitive performance of an academy's soccer teams. *J Sports Sci Med* 11: 533–536,  
492 2012.
- 493 23. Manzi, V, Impellizzeri, F, and Castagna, C. Aerobic fitness ecological validity in elite  
494 soccer players: a metabolic power approach. *J Strength Cond Res* 28: 914-9, 2014.
- 495 24. Mohr, M, Krstrup, P, and Bangsbo, J. Match performance of high-standard soccer  
496 players with special reference to development of fatigue. *J Sports Sci* 21: 519–528, 2003.
- 497 25. Osgnach, C, Poser, S, Bernardini, R, Rinaldo, R, and Di Prampero, PE. Energy cost and  
498 metabolic power in elite soccer: A new match analysis approach. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*  
499 42: 170–178, 2010.
- 500 26. Oxendale, CL, Highton, J, Twist, C. Energy expenditure, metabolic power and high  
501 speed activity during linear and multi-directional running. *J Sci Med Sport* 20: 957-961,  
502 2017.
- 503 27. Pereira Da Silva, N, Kirkendall, DT, and De Barros Neto, TL. Movement patterns in elite  
504 Brazilianyouthsoccer. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 47: 270-275, 2007.
- 505 28. Rampinini, E, Alberti, G, Fiorenza, M, Riggio, M, Sassi, R, Borges, TO, et al. Accuracy  
506 of GPS devices for measuring high-intensity running in field-based team sports. *Int J*  
507 *Sports Med* 36: 49-53, 2015.
- 508 29. Sassi, A, Stefanescu, A, Menaspa', P, Bosio, A, Riggio, M, and Rampinini, E. The cost of  
509 running on natural grass and artificial turf surfaces. *J Strength Cond Res* 25: 606-611,  
510 2011.



- 511 30. Seward, C, Morris, JG, Nevill, ME, Nevill, AM, and Sunderland, C. Longitudinal  
512 development of match-running performance in elite male youth soccer players. *Scand J*  
513 *Med Sci Sports* 26: 933-942, 2015.
- 514 31. Schuth, G, Carr, G, Barnes, C, Carling, C, and Bradley, PS. Positional interchanges  
515 influence the physical and technical match performance variables of elite soccer players.  
516 *J Sports Sci* 34: 501-508, 2016.
- 517 32. Stroyer, J, Hansen, L, and Klausen, K. Physiological profile and activity pattern of young  
518 soccer players during match play. *Med Sci Sports Exer* 36: 168– 174, 2004.
- 519 33. Unnithan, V, White, J, Georgiou, A, Iga, J, and Drust, B. Talent identification in youth  
520 soccer. *J Sports Sci* 30: 1719–1726, 2012.
- 521 34. Varley, MC, Fairweather, IH, and Aughey, RJ. Validity and reliability of gps for  
522 measuring instantaneous velocity during acceleration, deceleration, and constant motion.  
523 *J Sports Sci* 30: 121–127, 2012.
- 524 35. Varley, MC, Gregson, W, McMillan, K, Bonnano, D, Stafford, K, Modonutti, M, et al.  
525 Physical and technical performance of elite youth soccer players during international  
526 tournaments: influence of playing position and team success and opponent quality. *Sci*  
527 *Med Football*, 1: 18-29, 2017.
- 528 36. Waldron, M, and Murphy, A. A comparison of physical abilities and match performance  
529 characteristics among elite and subelite under-14 soccer players. *Pediatr Exerc Sci* 25:  
530 423–434, 2013.
- 531 37. Waldron, M, Worsfold, P, Twist, C, and Lamb, K. Concurrent validity and test-retest  
532 reliability of a global positioning system (GPS) and timing gate to assess sprint  
533 performance variables. *J Sports Sci* 29: 1613 – 1619, 2011.

534

535 **Figure captions**

536 Figure 1. Pass accuracy of the U13 to U18 elite soccer player (%). Significantly different at p  
537 < 0.05 vs. a: U13, b: U14, c: U15, d: U16. \*\*P < 0.001.

538

539 Figure 2. Distance covered by running, high-speed running, sprinting, high-intensity running  
540 and very high-intensity running in the U13 to U18 elite soccer players relative to match  
541 playing time. Significantly different at p < 0.05 vs. a: U13, b: U14. \*P < 0.01. \*\*P < 0.001.

542

543 Figure 3. Distance covered by HP (high power), EP (elevated power), MaxP (maximal  
544 power), MP $\geq$ 20 ( $\geq 20 \text{ W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ) and MP $\geq$ 35 ( $\geq 35 \text{ W}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ) in the U13 to U18 elite soccer  
545 players relative to match playing time. Significantly different at p < 0.05 vs. a: U13, b: U14,  
546 c: U15. \*P < 0.01. \*\*P < 0.001.

547

548 Figure 4. Percentage differences in high-intensity running and MP $\geq$ 20 distances (%) in the  
549 U13 to U18 elite soccer players. Significantly different at p < 0.05 vs. a: U13, b: U14, c: U15.  
550 \*\*P < 0.001.

551

## Tables

**Table 1.** Age, number of matches, number of players and complete match files of the U13 to U18 elite youth soccer players

| Age group                  |      | U13  | U14  | U15  | U16  | U17  | U18  |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Age (years)                | Mean | 13.1 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 15.9 | 17.1 | 18.1 |
|                            | SD   | 0.4  | 0.4  | 0.3  | 0.5  | 0.4  | 0.3  |
| Number of matches          |      | 13   | 6    | 9    | 7    | 6    | 7    |
| Number of players          |      | 30   | 14   | 20   | 16   | 14   | 16   |
| Complete match-files (no.) |      | 35   | 17   | 25   | 22   | 22   | 31   |

**Table 2.** Speed and metabolic power categories

| Speed categories (m·s <sup>-1</sup> ) |         | Metabolic power categories (W·kg <sup>-1</sup> ) |       |
|---------------------------------------|---------|--|-------|
| Standing                              | 0.0-0.2 | Lower power (LP)                                 | 0-10  |
| Walking                               | 0.2-2.0 | Medium power (MedP)                              | 10-20 |
| Jogging                               | 2.0-4.0 | High power (HP)                                  | 20-35 |
| Running                               | 4.0-5.5 | Elevated power (EP)                              | 35-55 |
| High speed running                    | 5.5-7.0 | Maximal power (MP)                               | > 55  |
| Sprinting                             | > 7.0   | MP≥20  | ≥ 20  |
| High intensity running                | ≥ 4.0   | MP≥35  | ≥ 35  |
| Very high intensity running           | ≥ 5.5   |  |       |

**Table 3.** Technical performance variables and their definitions

---

|                            |   |
|----------------------------|---|
| <b>Defending variables</b> |   |
| Block:                     | An opposing player, in close proximity, prevents the ball from reaching its intended target. This can take place anywhere on the pitch.                               |
| Clearance:                 | A defensive touch undertaken by a player under pressure from the opposition or with no intended target.   |
| Tackle:                    | Dispossession or attempted dispossession of an opponent by physical challenge or pressure when actual challenge/tackle is attempted.                                  |
| <b>Attacking variables</b> |   |
| Cross:                     | Any ball played from a wide area into the box with the aim of creating a goal scoring opportunity.  |
| Dribble:                   | Any run with the ball that involves either multiple touches with a directional change or beating an opponent.   |
| Header:                    | Any touch of the ball with a player's head except a shot using head.  |
| Pass:                      | Any attempt by a player to play the ball to a team-mate.  |
| Pass accuracy:             | A ratio calculated from successful passes divided by all passes (presented in percentages).   |
| Shot:                      | Any attempt at goal with any part of the body.  |
| Touch:                     | Any touch other than a block/clearance/cross/dribble/pass/shot/tackle taken by a player with any part of his body except his head, includes mis-controls of the ball. |
| Involvement with the ball: | Sum of count values of all attacking and defending variables (except pass accuracy).  |

---

**Table 4.** Physical and technical performances during a match in the U13 to U18 elite youth soccer players (absolute values)

| Age group  |      | U13  | U14               | U15                 | U16                     | U17                     | U18                       | r <sub>ES</sub> |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
|--|------|------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Total playing time (min)                         | Mean | 64.6 | 76.5              | 84.2                | 94.7                    | 95.2                    | 95.1                      | 0.41-1.04       |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
|  | SD   | 4.1  | 5.7               | 1.4                 | 0.7                     | 1.9                     | 1.7                       |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
| Percentage time team possessed the ball (%)      | Mean | 34   | 35                | 33                  | 32                      | 32                      | 34                        |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
|  | SD   | 5    | 8                 | 3                   | 6                       | 5                       | 7                         |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
| Percentage time opponents possessed the ball (%) | Mean | 28   | 29                | 30                  | 29                      | 28                      | 28                        |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
|  | SD   | 5    | 6                 | 6                   | 7                       | 6                       | 7                         |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
| Time ball out of play (%)                        | Mean | 38   | 36                | 37                  | 39                      | 40                      | 38                        |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
|  | SD   | 8    | 7                 | 4                   | 4                       | 3                       | 3                         |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
| Total distance (m)                               | Mean | 7388 | 9305 <sup>a</sup> | 9846 <sup>a**</sup> | 11257 <sup>a**b*c</sup> | 11223 <sup>a**b*c</sup> | 11469 <sup>a**b**c*</sup> |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
|  | SD   | 741  | 1271              | 821                 | 746                     | 954                     | 921                       |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
| <b>Speed zone based approach</b>                 |      |      |                   |                     |                         |                         |                           |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
| Walking (m)                                      | Mean | 2569 | 2789              | 3343 <sup>a**</sup> | 3653 <sup>a**b**</sup>  | 3827 <sup>a**b**</sup>  | 3774 <sup>a**b**</sup>    |                 | 0.58-1.02 |           |           |           |           |           |  |
|  | SD   | 231  | 425               | 300                 | 266                     | 332                     | 332                       |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
| Jogging (m)                                      | Mean | 3584 | 483 <sup>a*</sup> | 4782 <sup>a**</sup> | 5210 <sup>a**</sup>     | 4966 <sup>a**</sup>     | 5325 <sup>a**</sup>       |                 |           | 0.54-0.89 |           |           |           |           |  |
|  | SD   | 642  | 1085              | 696                 | 685                     | 748                     | 642                       |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
| Running (m)                                      | Mean | 995  | 1391              | 1316                | 1718 <sup>a**c</sup>    | 1743 <sup>a**c</sup>    | 1670 <sup>a**c</sup>      |                 |           |           | 0.40-0.77 |           |           |           |  |
|  | SD   | 311  | 490               | 446                 | 357                     | 416                     | 355                       |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
| High-speed running (m)                           | Mean | 190  | 262               | 340 <sup>a</sup>    | 534 <sup>a**b*</sup>    | 561 <sup>a**b**c</sup>  | 569 <sup>a**b**c</sup>    | 0.40-0.84       |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
|  | SD   | 123  | 143               | 133                 | 269                     | 193                     | 202                       |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
| Sprinting (m)                                    | Mean | 14   | 20                | 52 <sup>a*</sup>    | 91 <sup>a**</sup>       | 115 <sup>a**</sup>      | 117 <sup>a**</sup>        |                 |           |           |           | 0.45-0.81 |           |           |  |
|  | SD   | 22   | 27                | 41                  | 87                      | 87                      | 90                        |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
| High-intensity running (m)                       | Mean | 1199 | 1673              | 1707                | 2343 <sup>a**bc</sup>   | 2418 <sup>a**bc*</sup>  | 2355 <sup>a**bc</sup>     |                 |           |           |           |           | 0.44-0.87 |           |  |
|  | SD   | 396  | 536               | 539                 | 550                     | 574                     | 576                       |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
| Very high-intensity running (m)                  | Mean | 204  | 281               | 391 <sup>a</sup>    | 625 <sup>a**b*</sup>    | 675 <sup>a**b**c</sup>  | 686 <sup>a**b**c</sup>    |                 |           |           |           |           |           | 0.43-0.86 |  |
|  | SD   | 138  | 159               | 166                 | 337                     | 260                     | 267                       |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |
| <b>Metabolic power zone based approach</b>       |      |      |                   |                     |                         |                         |                           |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |  |

|           |      |      |                    |                       |                        |                          |                          |           |
|-----------|------|------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| LP (m)    | Mean | 3009 | 3410               | 3951 <sup>a**b*</sup> | 4323 <sup>a**b**</sup> | 4523 <sup>a**b**c*</sup> | 4470 <sup>a**b**c*</sup> | 0.52-1.10 |
|           | SD   | 174  | 382                | 219                   | 202                    | 243                      | 271                      |           |
| MedP (m)  | Mean | 2572 | 3477 <sup>a*</sup> | 3461 <sup>a**</sup>   | 3783 <sup>a**</sup>    | 3582 <sup>a**</sup>      | 3918 <sup>a**</sup>      | 0.54-0.93 |
|           | SD   | 438  | 817                | 514                   | 486                    |                          | 499                      |           |
| HP (m)    | Mean | 1305 | 1769 <sup>a</sup>  | 1706 <sup>a</sup>     | 2088 <sup>a**c</sup>   | 2014 <sup>a**</sup>      | 1996 <sup>a**</sup>      | 0.40-0.83 |
|           | SD   | 343  | 518                | 406                   | 346                    |                          | 373                      |           |
| EP (m)    | Mean | 411  | 525                | 563                   | 790 <sup>a**b*c</sup>  | 806 <sup>a**b*c*</sup>   | 782 <sup>a**b*c*</sup>   | 0.50-0.92 |
|           | SD   | 118  | 135                | 139                   | 199                    |                          | 161                      |           |
| MaxP (m)  | Mean | 91   | 123                | 165 <sup>a</sup>      | 267 <sup>a**b*</sup>   | 297 <sup>a**b**c*</sup>  | 302 <sup>a**b**c*</sup>  | 0.40-0.91 |
|           | SD   | 67   | 58                 | 58                    | 120                    |                          | 118                      |           |
| MP≥20 (m) | Mean | 1806 | 2417               | 2433 <sup>a</sup>     | 3144 <sup>a**bc*</sup> | 3118 <sup>a**c</sup>     | 3080 <sup>a**c</sup>     | 0.41-0.90 |
|           | SD   | 429  | 603                | 512                   | 516                    | 622                      | 538                      |           |
| MP≥35 (m) | Mean | 501  | 648                | 728 <sup>a</sup>      | 105 <sup>a**b*c</sup>  | 1104 <sup>a**b**c*</sup> | 1084 <sup>a**b**c*</sup> | 0.38-0.93 |
|           | SD   | 177  | 184                | 174                   | 306                    | 243                      | 253                      |           |

### Technical performance

|                 |      |    |    |    |                 |                  |                   |           |
|-----------------|------|----|----|----|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Block (no.)     | Mean | 1  | 1  | 2  | 2               | 1                | 1                 |           |
|                 | SD   | 1  | 1  | 2  | 1               | 1                | 1                 |           |
| Clearance (no.) | Mean | 2  | 2  | 3  | 4               | 5                | 3                 |           |
|                 | SD   | 2  | 2  | 2  | 3               | 3                | 3                 |           |
| Tackle (no.)    | Mean | 3  | 3  | 3  | 6 <sup>ac</sup> | 4                | 4                 | 0.41-0.46 |
|                 | SD   | 3  | 3  | 3  | 4               | 3                | 3                 |           |
| Cross (no.)     | Mean | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1               | 1                | 1                 |           |
|                 | SD   | 1  | 2  | 1  | 2               | 2                | 2                 |           |
| Dribble (no.)   | Mean | 11 | 13 | 14 | 13              | 9                | 11                |           |
|                 | SD   | 7  | 8  | 6  | 9               | 8                | 10                |           |
| Header (no.)    | Mean | 5  | 4  | 5  | 6               | 8 <sup>ab*</sup> | 7                 | 0.39-0.56 |
|                 | SD   | 3  | 2  | 3  | 3               | 4                | 5                 |           |
| Pass (no.)      | Mean | 29 | 37 | 38 | 37              | 43 <sup>a</sup>  | 50 <sup>a**</sup> | 0.40-0.51 |

|                                 |      |    |    |    |    |                   |                    |           |
|---------------------------------|------|----|----|----|----|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
|                                 | SD   | 12 | 17 | 13 | 13 | 17                | 23                 |           |
| Shot (no.)                      | Mean | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1                 | 1                  |           |
|                                 | SD   | 2  | 1  | 2  | 1  | 1                 | 1                  |           |
| Touch (no.)                     | Mean | 14 | 20 | 18 | 21 | 28 <sup>a*</sup>  | 32 <sup>a**</sup>  | 0.51-0.54 |
|                                 | SD   | 6  | 8  | 6  | 9  | 17                | 20                 |           |
| Involvement with the ball (no.) | Mean | 68 | 82 | 85 | 90 | 100 <sup>a*</sup> | 110 <sup>a**</sup> | 0.47-0.55 |
|                                 | SD   | 23 | 32 | 21 | 26 | 35                | 45                 |           |

LP = low power (0-10 W·kg<sup>-1</sup>); MedP = medium power (10-20 W·kg<sup>-1</sup>), HP = high power (20-35 W·kg<sup>-1</sup>); EP = elevated power (35-55 W·kg<sup>-1</sup>); MaxP = maximal power (> 55 W·kg<sup>-1</sup>); MP<sub>≥20</sub> (≥ 20 W·kg<sup>-1</sup>); MP<sub>≥35</sub> (≥ 35 W·kg<sup>-1</sup>). Significantly different at p < 0.05 vs. a: U13, b: U14, c: U15, d: U16. \*P < 0.01. \*\*P < 0.001.

**Table 5.** Technical performance of the U13 to U18 elite youth soccer players (adjusted to possession time)

| Age group                       |      | U13 | U14 | U15 | U16 | U17 | U18 |
|---------------------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Block (no.)                     | Mean | 4   | 4   | 4   | 3   | 3   | 3   |
|                                 | SD   | 5   | 3   | 4   | 3   | 3   | 4   |
| Clearance (no.)                 | Mean | 8   | 7   | 7   | 10  | 11  | 7   |
|                                 | SD   | 7   | 6   | 6   | 8   | 8   | 6   |
| Tackle (no.)                    | Mean | 11  | 9   | 7   | 14  | 9   | 9   |
|                                 | SD   | 10  | 7   | 6   | 10  | 8   | 7   |
| Cross (no.)                     | Mean | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 3   |
|                                 | SD   | 2   | 3   | 3   | 3   | 3   | 4   |
| Dribble (no.)                   | Mean | 29  | 30  | 30  | 23  | 17  | 18  |
|                                 | SD   | 16  | 19  | 13  | 15  | 15  | 14  |
| Header (no.)                    | Mean | 13  | 9   | 11  | 13  | 16  | 14  |
|                                 | SD   | 9   | 5   | 8   | 7   | 9   | 11  |
| Pass (no.)                      | Mean | 78  | 83  | 82  | 72  | 84  | 88  |
|                                 | SD   | 25  | 36  | 29  | 19  | 31  | 31  |
| Shot (no.)                      | Mean | 4   | 2   | 3   | 2   | 1   | 2   |
|                                 | SD   | 5   | 2   | 4   | 2   | 2   | 3   |
| Touch (no.)                     | Mean | 38  | 44  | 40  | 40  | 54  | 57  |
|                                 | SD   | 14  | 14  | 13  | 18  | 32  | 35  |
| Involvement with the ball (no.) | Mean | 187 | 189 | 186 | 179 | 198 | 201 |
|                                 | SD   | 48  | 67  | 49  | 40  | 64  | 68  |



