



# **Brexit: Human Resourcing Implications**

Journal:	Employee Relations
Manuscript ID	ER-11-2018-0310.R2
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	Brexit, Employee resourcing, Human resource practice, Labour market, Migration

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

# **Brexit: Human Resourcing Implications**

### **Abstract**

- **Purpose** Three years on from the Brexit vote, while it remains a central topic for debate in
- 5 the media, there has been limited discussion about the human resource implications. This
- 6 article provides theoretical evaluation and informed discussion, distilled into four
- 7 interconnected propositions, on how employee resourcing as a human resource practice may
- 8 be impacted following actual Brexit decisions.
- **Design/methodology/approach** Drawing on the employee resourcing literature, the article
- adopts a discursive approach which examines how the UK's decision to exit the European
- 11 Union will affect human resource practice. The article draws comparison with the global
- recession since 2008, a similarly unprecedented development in its discussion of employee
- 13 resourcing practices and draws parallels which may help to inform the future of human
- 14 resource practices in the UK, because of Brexit.
- **Findings** This article offers a set of propositions; the flow of talent into the UK may
- become more restricted and reinvigorate the 'war for talent' that followed the effects of the
- 17 global financial crisis on the UK. To attract and retain workers in relatively lower-skilled
- roles, employers may be faced with a need to re-skill such roles and adopt more flexible
- working arrangements. Finally, to meet skilled employment requirements, removal of
- 20 restrictions to recruit from within the European Economic Area may trigger increased global
- 21 migration of skilled workers.
- **Originality/value** This article contributes to the discussions regarding the implications of
- 23 Brexit for HR practice by offering propositions to shape future research agendas.
- **Keywords** Brexit, employee resourcing, human resource practice, labour market, migration
- **Paper type** Conceptual paper

### Introduction

- 28 The recruitment and selection of workers, the practice of managing people and the working
- 29 lives of employees will all be affected fundamentally by Brexit. This article offers informed
- discussion of potential implications for human resource (HR) practices that flow from Brexit.
- It does so by focussing on the economic impact of Brexit, its effects on workers' rights, and
- 32 xenophobia which Brexit has stimulated (Ford, 2016; Hatzigeorgiou and Lodefalk, 2016;
- 33 Stewart, 2017). The article identifies four HR issues that are likely to be significantly affected
- by Brexit, namely employee retention, labour market skillset, increased non-EU immigration
- and increased flexible working. The discussion of these four issues culminates in four
- propositions that are likely to inform HR practice once the UK has formally left the European
- Union (EU); each of these propositions is likely to shape future work and employment
- 38 research agendas. While the focus of this article is on Brexit, wider implications can be
- 39 drawn regarding the ways that organisations respond to crises and uncertainty.

In June 2016, a national referendum resulted in the UK's unprecedented decision to leave the EU – a decision to 'Brexit'. Article 50, the formal process of withdrawal from the EU, has since been triggered and the official separation of the UK from the EU is now in motion. While associated negotiations are underway the distance between these, and the implications of the decision for employers going forward after Brexit becomes real, are uncertain and create associated economic, legal and political volatility for employers (Linacre, 2017).

Due to the unprecedented nature of the event, there is currently no empirical research to explain what Brexit means for HR practice. Despite this absence, informed discussion can draw on the impact of other significant economic events, such as the recession of 2008-2009, on HR practice. During the global financial crisis, many organisations were forced to reduce headcount, yet talent was also seen as one of the 'determining factors in turning the downturn into long-term organizational sustainability and success' (Lea, 2018; McDonnell, 2011: 169). The uncertainty caused by Brexit has already resulted in several organizations downsizing their UK operations, for example, Airbus, Jaguar Land Rover and Nissan (Chapman, 2019). In parallel, however some organizations are reporting skills shortages (CIPD, 2017b). There is an increasing number of academic and practitioner publications in different fields (cf. Martin and Gardiner, 2019) which are using the impact of previous recessions to assess the potential impact of Brexit. Furthermore, Wood and Budhwar (2016) suggest positioning unexpected events, such as Brexit, in the context of historical crises. Arguably, the 2008-2009 recession triggered the 'euro crisis' which in turn kindled initial discussions about Brexit (Startin, 2015; Capelos et al., 2018).

In this regard, the global financial crisis presents a useful comparison to the uncertainty caused by Brexit to provide theoretical evaluation and informed discussion on its implications for employee resourcing as an HR practice. For example, one feature of employer reaction to the financial crisis was 'labour hoarding' which in turn contributed to the notion of 'a jobless recovery' in more recent years as part of the UK's productivity puzzle (Dolphin and Hatfield, 2015). Although, as Elsby and Smith (2010) suggest, the volume of job loss implies that labour hoarding may not have contributed to increased unemployment. Other impacts of the 2008-2009 recession, as reported by Bell and Blanchflower (2010), included an increase in unemployment, notably in the youth labour market, an increase in university applications despite only a limited increase in the number of places, and fiscal stimulus by the government to increase employment levels. In more recent years, we have also witnessed an increase in the number of self-employed jobs facilitated by temporary work agencies (O'Reilly et al., 2016) indicating that while employment levels may have increased there is a subsequent reduction in employment protection and stability.

The perceived levels of EU migration into the UK was one of the most contentious issues underpinning the Brexit vote (Alfano, Dustmann and Frattini, 2016); how immigration will be affected by Brexit and the subsequent impact of Brexit on the mobility of talent from the EU into the UK in the future remains unclear. The EU Settlement Scheme allows EU, EEA and Swiss citizens who are currently living in the UK to apply to settle before the UK leaves the EU. Indications from the government (2018) suggest that reciprocal agreements between the UK and EU will allow UK citizens living in the EU the right to do the same moving forwards. Widely varying speculations have been made as to the effect of Brexit on the UK labour market with polarised opinions declaring the extent to which the country will benefit

or suffer detriment. Teague and Donaghey (2018: 515) for example, suggest that the Brexit will lead to 'wide-spread employment shortages and a significant increase in labour costs'. What is clear, is the instability caused by Brexit requires businesses to make internal changes to remain competitive.

The last time that UK businesses experienced uncertainty was during the financial crisis. This article draws on the financial crisis as a comparator to examine how organizations responded in novel ways to a volatile labour market. While the volatility of the labour market can be likened to the recent recession (Teague and Roche, 2014), the practical implications for HR practice are, as yet, unclear. Scholars have been criticized as being slow to respond to unexpected events, such as Brexit (Wood and Budhwar, 2016); the continuing uncertainty is having a profound effect on people's lives and thus warrants discussion and consideration despite the uncertainty. Academic work is conventionally produced after the event; however, this article seeks to learn from the literature relating to the last financial crisis to develop propositions based on how employee resourcing may be impacted following Brexit.

The agreed delay of Brexit until 31 October 2019 points to the need to generate momentum in the debate about the potential implications of Brexit through informed discussion. In doing so, this article presents practical HR implications, considers organizational responses to uncertainty and offers an agenda for future research.

# **Employee Resourcing**

- 20 Employee resourcing, as an HR practice, focuses on the strategic imperative to ensure that an
- organization can access the people necessary to achieve its objectives (Armstrong, 2017). In
- the absence of detailed policy one notable outcome of Brexit is uncertainty about how the
- 23 movement of talent within the EU will change. Uncertainty, such as this, presents four
- challenges for employee resourcing; each is outlined and then summarised in a proposition on
- 25 employee resourcing.
- 26 Employee retention and recruitment
- 27 The perceived levels of migration from the EU into the UK was one of the most contentious
- issues underpinning the majority vote to leave the EU. While negotiations are underway to
- 29 manage the movement of people, this negotiation remains central to the future relationship
- between the UK and the EU to mitigate the subsequent economic impact (Alfano et al.,
- 31 2016). Despite the claim that migration reduces employment opportunities for UK nationals
- there is limited empirical evidence to suggest any correlation between increased immigration
- and unemployment among the 'British born' population. A report by the Centre for Economic
- Performance found that migration from the EU has not adversely impacted employment
- levels, wages, or public services and that migrants from the EU contribute more in taxation
- terms than they utilise through welfare (Wadsworth et al., 2016). These findings reflect an
- earlier study by Dustmann et al. (2005) which also found that there is no strong evidence that
- indicates a negative impact on employment and wages as a result of immigration. It is
- anticipated by respective EU governments that, in addition to a reduced inflow to the UK of
- 40 workers from the EU, the UK will witness an increase in the number of existing EU workers
- returning home from the UK, regardless of their longer term residency status (Wasik, 2017).
- This tendency may be exacerbated by the increase in xenophobic crimes, since the Brexit

decision, experienced by EU nationals currently residing in the UK (Stewart, 2017; Sharman and Jones, 2017). It may be the case that a larger number of skilled British nationals will seek to emigrate in search of stability (Ridgway, 2017); indeed the Office for National Statistics (2019) report the number of EU nationals working in the UK has fallen by 61,000 during 2017 and 2018, whereas emigration has remained stable for the same period. One outcome from the 2008-2009 recession was an increase in net emigration from the UK as the weakened pound made the UK a less attractive home for migrant workers (Chubb, Reilly and Usher, 2010); it is likely that Brexit will trigger a similar trend.

Prior to the 2008-2009 recession, employee engagement policies provided an organizational focus to support the growing demand for highly-skilled and mobile professionals (Farndale, Scullion and Sparrow, 2010). The increasing need to recruit and retain staff triggered a 'war for talent', a term originally coined by McKinsey & Company in 1997. Due to the impact of the 2008-2009 recession on the British job market which resulted in many organizations downsizing, some, including Peter Cheese the CIPD's Chief Executive, considered the 'war for talent' to be over (Cheese, 2010). As the economy recovered, it became clear that the 'war for talent' continued as organizations had to provide stronger justification to invest in talent to meet changing business demands and organizational structures, post-recession (Scullion, Sparrow and Farndale, 2011). Despite the uncertainty caused by Brexit, pressures of global competition are not diminishing, and likened to the aftermath of the global financial crisis, talented individuals remain critical to the success of organizations in the global arena (Vaiman, Scullion and Collings, 2012). The 2008-2009 recession significantly impacted the supply and demand of talented resources, particularly in relation to 'implementing global mobility strategies' (Sparrow, Farndale and Scullion, 2013: 1794). The same argument can be made for Brexit as the demand for talent increases and the mobility of individuals across international borders becomes more challenging. Brexit may increase the exit of workers, both EU and 'British born' citizens, which will act as a catalyst to further intensify the 'war for talent'. Previous studies (Cheese, 2010; Elving et al., 2013) illustrate that in response to the 'war on talent' organizations refocus their energies into redefining their employee value propositions. This refocus is reflected in a recent report by the CIPD (2017c), which finds that 86% of organizations are focussed on renewing their employer brand. To summarise, in the light of evidence from the recent financial recession, the potential impact that Brexit will have on employee turnover and the continuing need for organizations to attract and retain talent leads to the following proposition:

P1: Brexit will intensify the 'war for talent', triggering a renewed focus on engagement strategies and employer brand proposition development.

Labour market skillset

There is a perception that migrant workers from the EU are typically employed in unskilled, or lower skilled, job roles. This view has been amplified, since the Brexit outcome through the publication of articles which demonstrate that at organizations, such as *Pret a Manger*, only 50% of job applicants are from the UK (Sullivan, 2017). Teague and Donaghey (2018)

suggest that the access to labour through the free movement of people has resulted in an underinvestment in the development of skills by employers. Job applicants from outside of the UK, in this case and other labour-intensive industries such as construction, can be considered 'posted workers' a form of temporary labour migration leading to greater labour market segmentation and host society disconnection (Caro et al., 2017). Alfano et al. (2016) suggest however, that migrant workers arriving in the UK, on average, hold higher levels of educational attainment than their 'British born' counterparts. Migration should not be oversimplified, as the concept of super-diversity necessitates the need to delayer societal impacts on, and perceptions of, migrant workers (Vertovec, 2007). For example, super-diversity extends beyond traditional migration patterns to recognize changing configurations of migrant workers' gender, age, and human capital, among other demographics and characterisitics (Meissner and Vertovec, 2015). Alfano et al. (2016) report that, on average, migrant workers from the European Economic Area (EEA) receive a lower wage compared to 'British born' counterparts.

A reluctance by 'British born' workers to accept particular job roles, for example those in labour-intensive industries where labour costs directly affect product costs, may lead to an increase in wages to attract 'British born' workers. The increased cost of production, however, can trigger the products in labour-intensive industries being imported from countries with lower labour costs resulting in a reduction of UK production, thus leading to reduced employment in the UK (Alfano, Dustmann and Frattini, 2016). This argument indicates a gap that employers will face, bringing into question the real cost of talent, as the reduced movement of labour may trigger wage inflation as higher wages are needed to maintain competititiveness in attracting and retaining labour (Wright et al., 2016). Wadsworth et al. (2016), to the contrary, argue that reduced trade and foreign investment post-Brexit will in fact lower wages in the UK as migrant workers from the EU currently consume goods and services which provide jobs in the UK, thus the reduced demand will affect the supply of employment opportunities. While generalisations cannot be made that all areas of the labour market will be affected by Brexit in the same way, it is clear that there will be some impact to wages and employment levels in the UK.

Brinkley and Crowley (2017) suggest that reduced access to migrant labour from the EU may lead to a concentrated re-invesment in upskilling the existing workforce. However, they also argue that this aspiration may be frustrated in many sectors because the lagging skill levels across the UK leave the nation ill-prepared to cope in post-Brexit times with the prospect of reduced talent mobility. In 2009, a study by Robson (2009) indicated that it takes time for workers to adjust their skillset to move between diminishing and growing sectors.

While it could be assumed that an economic downturn, such as the 2008-2009 recession may have a negative impact on the investment on training, Felstead et al. (2012) report that spend on training continued during economic recovery. Moreover, a report by the CIPD (2017a) indicates that 26% of organizations have increased their focus on staff development and in comparison with the 2008-2009 recession upgrading skills is now critical to maintaining productivity, competitiveness, and facilitating new business opportunities, as a means to survive the recession (Mason and Bishop, 2015). Accordingly, the necessity for greater skills development at all levels across the UK to maintain productivity and competitiveness leads to a second proposition:

*P2:* Brexit will trigger a requirement to reskill and/or upskill workers to meet the increasing wages required to employ British workers in less attractive job roles.

Increased immigration from outside of the EU

The increase in migration is a phenomenon that is experienced globally and is not limited to the UK (Varma and Russell, 2016; Ridgway and Robson, 2018), furthermore, the growth of the migrant population in the UK is not disproportionate to other countries (Alfano, Dustmann and Frattini, 2016). Despite articulating how skilled migrant workers benefitted the UK, prior to Brexit, a critical governmental objective was to reduce reliance on economic migration from outside EEA (Migration Advisory Committee, 2015). As previously mentioned, migration numbers were one of the most contentious issues during the Brexit referendum (Portes, 2016; Simionescu et al., 2017). The Government has proposed an end to the free movement of people and cessation of differentiating between EU and non-EU citizens in terms of immigration. The proposed changes are likely to reduce the inflow of migrant workers by 80 per cent (HM Government, 2018) and increase the number of highlyskilled migrants leaving the UK (Erken et al., 2018) as the weakened pound makes the UK a less attractive work destination (Portes and Forte, 2016). It is further anticipated that any restrictions on the freedom of movement will impact recruitment (Wright et al., 2016) as employers will struggle to fill resource gaps caused by the reduced free movement of people (Portes and Forte, 2016). The policy proposals are not finalised (HM Government, 2018), but even if the freedom of movement is maintained, in the short-to-medium term it is likely that the UK will experience labour shortages (Cory, 2017). For example, the NHS is already seeking applications from nurses from outside the EEA (Marangozov and Williams, 2016); the Migration Advisory Committee (2015) has identified nursing as a shortage occupation to ease visa applications but may counteract the short fall left by the removal of open borders. Concerns have also been expressed in the construction industry, which is largely populated by EU workers but currently has a low representation of non-EU workers due to complexities in securing work permits (Sweet and Smith, 2017). Furthermore, a report by the CIPD (2017c) found that 75% of respondent organizations reported difficulties filling vacancies regardless of sector. The report suggests that the public sector is more likely to recruit non-EU migrant workers, while the number of EU migrants that are hired is unlikely to change even if they face the same restrictions as non-EU migrants.

Post-Brexit, citizens from EEA countries may receive preference for future skilled migration (Portes and Forte, 2016), yet interestingly, as Alfano et al. (2016) report, immigration from outside the EEA has always been greater than from within the EEA. Concerns about the reliance on skilled migrant workers to fill skills gap have been raised by the Migration Advisory Committee (2015). The committee has called for a reduction in the reliance on skilled migrant workers by incentivising investment in domestic skills development.

Economic crises cause additional barriers to international mobility, impacting national labour markets (Trenz and Triandafyllidou, 2017). During the 2008-2009 recession there was a decline in EU immigration (Woolfson, 2017), however, the economic climate did not deter

the recruitment of migrant workers from outside the EU. As the number of UK nationals within UK employment decreased, the employment of non-UK nationals increased during the same period (Churchard, 2009). The 2008-2009 recession caused inbound unskilled and low skilled migration to fall, while there was limited increase to the marginalization of existing migrant workers, this may be explained by an increased acceptance of poor working conditions or decreased wages (Tilly, 2011). The UK's aging population and falling birth rates (Office for National Statistics, 2017) suggests an increased requirement of young migrant workers to supplement the work force (Tilly, 2011).

In summary Brexit could lead to an increased reliance on non-EU migrant workers (Rolfe, 2016), dependent on if, and how, the UK government decides to operate a post-Brexit work permit system in lieu of free movement (Sumption, 2017a, 2017b). If Brexit results in restrictions on the freedom of movement of EU workers, employers have indicated that they will need to be more proactive in recruiting UK workers and workers from outside the EEA (Davies and Rolfe, 2017), particularly in the public sector (CIPD, 2017c). Thus, the third proposition on HR practice post-Brexit is that:

P3: The reduced level of migrant labour arriving from the EU will lead to organizations proactively targeting recruitment campaigns outside of the EEA.

Increased flexible working arrangements

The Recruitment and Employment Confederation (REC) (2012) reports a growth of flexible working in the UK. Flexible work is noted as a method of retention (Chubb, Reilly and Usher, 2010); during the 2008-2009 recession it was positioned as a cost saving initiative, it is now utilized as a method of engagement, incentive, and motivation. Furthermore, flexibility is acknowledged to attract a more diverse range of talent (Cheese, 2010). Contrary to assumptions that the 2008-2009 recession was responsible for an increase in temporary work, REC (2010) reported that temporary work, despite potential instability, is more attractive than permanent work due to the increased flexibility that it offers. More recently, REC (2012) also reports that flexible work practices are more widely available in the UK than in most EU counterpart countries positioning the UK as an attractive employment destination. This argument suggests that maintaining workplace flexibility is critical to retaining and attracting EU workers post-Brexit.

As millennials have grown to be the largest proportion of the workforce, flexibility is now a more valuable workplace incentive (Krakovsky, 2017) and has become a standard expectation rather than a sought after benefit (Tlaiss, Martin and Hofaidhllaoui, 2017). Furthermore, aligned with the second proposition on the labour market skillset, a multiskilled workforce will enhance organizational resilience and enable flexible working in roles where, traditionally, flexibility could not be offered, such as blue-collar jobs that often involve manual labour (see Krakovsky 2017).

The shift to platform capitalism (Srnicek, 2017) has led to the 'gig economy', or the 'informalization' of work, having grown significantly in recent years with 15% of workers now considered as self-employed and over 800,000 workers employed on zero-hours contracts (ONS, 2016) and the debate is ongoing whether the primary driver is workers'

desire for flexibility or employers' needs to reduce costs. A crucial debate remains as to whether 'gig workers' are considered employees or contractors; recent cases, such as Uber, suggest the former. Some forms of flexible working practices, however, are detrimental (Coyle, 2016), for example zero-hour contracts create a power imbalance between employer and worker (Taylor et al., 2017). Furthermore, the aftermath of the global financial crisis has led to an increase in precarious employment for many people in the UK and EU labour markets (ILO, 2012). Teague and Donaghey (2018) argue that the free movement of 'cheap labour' from the EU has been central to precarious employment in the UK. Flexible working practices can, however, support candidate attraction as they allow individuals to work in different ways (Taylor et al., 2017) and can, therefore, increase workplace diversity by making work accessible to more people.

REC (2012) suggests that flexible working arrangements produce a more agile and resilient workforce which is well positioned to adjust to economic changes. Although speculation may be expressed by HR professionals that Brexit could have a detrimental effect on flexible working practices if the UK is no longer governed by EU provisions, this is an unlikely outcome as the UK's provision for maternity, paternity, and annual leave is already enhanced in comparison to the minimum EU standard (Suff, 2016). While this is positive for those who are permanently employed, the impact to unskilled workers, however, may result in reduced levels of employment protection (Orazulike, 2018).

While there is an argument that the sharing economy, a form of platform capitalism which exploits workers through a deficit of appropriate employment regulation, will be a 'short-lived phenomenon' (Srnicek, 2017), the immediate workforce deficit that Brexit may cause could lead to an increase in the need for flexible work practices before this form of business model diminishes. The uncertainty caused by Brexit is affecting the supply and demand of goods and services, in turn reinforcing the necessity of temporary workers as a means of responding to a fluctuating labour market (Orazulike, 2018). As the workforce demographic and expectations continue to change, amplified by Brexit, it is proposed that:

*P4:* Traditional staffing models will be challenged, driven by the need to increase flexibility to attract and retain a changing workforce.

## **Discussion**

Brexit is certainly unprecedented, and the recency and prolific nature of the event positions it as a 'hot topic' for discussion. To develop the propositions in this article it has been necessary to draw on past insight and apply this to the current phenomena, in order to predict future implications (Webster and Watson, 2002). For example, drawing on comparisons from the 2008-2009 recession, enables informed discussion of the economic impact of Brexit and potential implications for HR practice. A conceptual approach is useful to address the topic as there is, as yet, no empirical data available (Sutton and Staw, 1995).

Paradoxically, the younger generation who were more likely to vote Remain (Hobolt, 2016), will be most impacted by workplace changes due to the Leave vote prevailing.

The increased representation of Generation Y and Millennials in the workforce will place a demand on organizations to demonstrate their social and economic responsibilities to potential recruits (Kuron et al., 2015; Williams and Turnbull, 2015). The changing workforce

demography in the UK (Office for National Statistics, 2017b) and anticipated reduction in young migrant workers arriving from the EU is resulting in a tighter labour market. In response to the tightening labour market, organizations will need to reimagine their employer brand to retain their top talent and attract new talent.

Brexit negotiations are still underway and different scenarios (depending on whether the UK remains a member of the customs union or EU single market or leaves without a deal) will significantly influence the impact to human resourcing practices in different ways. Regardless of the finite detail which emerges from Brexit, there has been, and arguably will continue to be, a profound effect on the UK labour market. The volatility caused by Brexit and current pace of change make it challenging to identify practical implications. Since this article was first drafted the discourse has shifted from a 'hard' or 'soft' Brexit to scenarios ranging from a 'no deal' Brexit, to another referendum, to a general election.

- 13 Brexit: Hard or Soft?
- 14 There has not been a formal definition of what 'hard' and 'soft' Brexit mean, and while these
- terms are losing traction in the mainstream media they remain useful to consider the broad
- implications to the labour market and subsequent HR practices. A 'hard' Brexit scenario
- would witness the cessation of all trade agreements with the EU (Menon and Fowler, 2016;
- Brakman, Garretsen and Kohl, 2018). While this scenario may appear favourable to 'Leave'
- 19 voters as it allows for a firmer stance to be taken on the issue of immigration, a recent White
- 20 Paper (HM Government, 2018) indicates that the government's intention is towards a softer
- 21 Brexit. A 'soft 'Brexit' would result in the UK being a non-EU member of the EEA,
- requiring a commitment to the freedom of movement (Menon and Fowler, 2016).

If a 'hard' Brexit was to ensue, the propositions outlined in this paper would be amplified. The removal of the freedom of movement and possible requirement for existing EU workers in the UK to secure some form of work permit would likely trigger a mass exodus, resulting in a significant labour shortage. As the demand for labour increases exponentially the restrictions imposed by the withdrawal of free movement would present a resourcing challenge, particularly for sectors that are heavily reliant on migrant labour such as hospitality (Baum et al., 2007). Furthermore, the Bank of England reports pay growth in response to labour market conditions (2018). The growth of pay provides a stark contrast to the 2008-2009 recession when wages were subdued due to the increase in unemployment. Labour market pressures may affect employers' decisions to increase wages to maintain competitiveness but social exchange theory implies that in return for higher wages employers expect higher quality returns demonstrated through increased commitment and capability

## **Conclusion**

(Khoreva, Vaiman and Van Zalk, 2017).

- 37 This article has drawn past insight to present an informed discussion about the potential
- 38 implications of Brexit to HR practice. Four propositions have been offered as a starting point
- 39 to navigate the uncertainty about how the movement of talent within the UK will change. The
- 40 2008-2009 recession, as a significant economic event, was a useful comparison to the present
- 41 climate; as can be expected established debates have resurfaced, for example the war for
- 42 talent. To help make sense of what Brexit means in practice, specifically in the case of

- 1 employee resourcing, Brexit may actually mean 'nothing new'. The 'war for talent' and
- 2 changing workplace demographics are not challenges born of Brexit exclusively, rather the
- 3 shifting political landscape has changed the perspective about how these challenges can be
- 4 approached. Contextually, however, Brexit is an extraordinary event and thus worthy of
- 5 discussion. The uncertainty caused by Brexit will continue to spark debate in relation to the
- 6 implications for people resourcing until negotiations have been completed and fully
- 7 disseminated. Uncertainty can cause employment relationships to become strained (Jessop,
- 8 2012; Wood and Budhwar, 2016), signifying the importance of organizations maintaining
- 9 positive relations with employees during such ambiguous times.
- 10 Practical implications
- 11 It is difficult to solidify explicit recommendations in the face of the current uncertainty
- caused by Brexit, yet there is speculation about how workers' rights might be affected.
- Drawing on the 2008-2009 recession, as a comparison, times of crisis can negatively impact
- 14 employee mindsets and their perception of opportunities in organizations (Markovits, Boer
- and Van Dick, 2014), calling for employers to focus on drivers to improve mindsets.
- 16 Following Brexit, while there may be no immediate move to change UK legislation which is
- currently governed by the EU (Hodge, 2017), organizations could take policy decisions to
- 18 honour EU standards. For example, a future government may choose to rescind the working
- 19 time limit, change holiday pay calculations and remove the protection of part-time workers
- 20 (Brodies, 2019); organizations could act to build these entitlements into their policies to
- 21 provide reassurance to employees. Uncertainty calls for solidarity between employers and
- employees (Lulle, Moroşanu and King, 2018); employers must take the lead by being transparent and proactively supporting employees.
  - As Macleavy (2018) highlights, Brexit could be a trigger to address existing equality issues, such as the Gender Pay Gap, by building on existing policies which exceed EU standards (Suff, 2016), for example, parental leave. Taking proactive action now may help employers to respond to the scenarios, alluded to in this article, that they may face.
- 28 Directions for future research
- 29 The unprecedented nature of Brexit presents many avenues for future research to continue the
- debate and shape the conversation by bringing an HR voice to the discussion. Drawing on the
- propositions presented in this paper, the following research questions are proposed for future
- 32 empirical studies:
  - How are employers responding to retention challenges triggered by Brexit?
  - Is the UK experiencing a skills deficit because of Brexit? If so, how is the skills deficit being addressed by organizations?
  - What are the resourcing implications of Brexit on immigration to the UK from outside of the EEA?
  - How are employers using flexible working practices to address labour shortages, post-Brexit?

1 2 3

41

42 43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50 51

52

53

54

56

57

58

## References

- 2 Alfano, M., Dustmann, C. and Frattini, T. (2016) 'Immigration and the UK: Reflections after
- Brexit', in Fasani, F. (ed.) Refugees and Economic Migrants: Facts, policies and
- 4 *challenges*. London: CEPR Press, pp. 55–80.
- 5 Armstrong, M. (2017) Armstrong's Handbook of Human Resource Management Practice.
- 6 14th edn. London: Kogan Page.
- 7 Bank of England (2018) *Inflation Report*. London. Available at:
- 8 https://www.bankofengland.co.uk (Accessed: 16 August 2018).
- 9 Baum, T., Dutton, E., Karimi, S., Kokkranikal, J., Devine, F. and Hearns, N. (2007) 'Cultural
- diversity in hospitality work', Cross Cultural Management: An International Journal,
- 11 14(3), pp. 229–239.
- Bell, D. and Blanchflower, D. (2010) 'UK Unemployment in the Great Recession', *National Institute Economic Review*, 214, pp. 3–25.
- Brakman, S., Garretsen, H. and Kohl, T. (2018) 'Consequences of Brexit and options for a "Global Britain", *Papers in Regional Science*, 97(1), pp. 55–72.
- Brinkley, I. and Crowley, E. (2017) *From 'inadequate' to making the UK's skills system world class*. Available at: https://www.cipd.co.uk
- 18 Brodies LLP (2019) Brexit: The implications for employment law. Available at:
- 19 https://brodies.com (Accessed: 18 April 2019).
- Capelos, T., Exadaktylos, T., Chrona, S. and Poulopoulou, M. (2018) 'News Media and the
- 21 Emotional Public Sphere: The Emotional Economy of the European Financial Crisis in the
- 22 UK Press', *International Journal of Communication*, 12, pp. 2088–2113.
- Caro, E., Berntsen, L., Lillie, N. and Wagner, I. (2017) 'Posted Migration and Segregation in
- the European Construction Sector', Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies. 41(10), pp.
- 25 1600–1620.
- Chapman, B. (2019) 'Which companies are leaving UK, downsizing or cutting jobs ahead of
- 27 Brexit?', *The Independent*, 26 February.
- 28 Cheese, P. (2010) 'Talent management for a new era: what we have learned from the
- recession and what we need to focus on next', *Human Resource Management*
- 30 International Digest, 18(3), pp. 3–5.
- Chubb, C., Reilly, P. and Usher, T. (2010) 'Learning from the downturn: Key messages from
- an employer perspective', *Institute for Employment Studies*. Brighton.
- Churchard, C. (2009) 'Migrant workers still crucial to recession hit UK, says CIPD', *People*
- 34 *Management*, August.
- 35 CIPD (2017a) Labour Market Outlook. London.
- 36 CIPD (2017b) *Policy report*. London.
- 37 CIPD (2017c) Resourcing and Talent Planning. London.
- Cory, G., Roberts, C. and Thorley, C. (2017) 'Care in a Post-Brexit Climate How to Raise
- 39 Standards and Meet Workforce Challenges', *Institute for Public Policy Research*. London.
- 55 40 Coyle, D. (2016) 'Brexit and globalisation', in Baldwin, R. E. (ed.) *Brexit Beckons: Thinking* 
  - 41 ahead by leading economists. London: CEPR Press, pp. 23–28
  - Davies, G. and Rolfe, H. (2017) Facing the future: tackling post-Brexit labour and skills
- 59 43 *shortages*. London. 60

- Dustmann, C., Fabbri, F. and Preston, I. (2005) 'the Impact of Immigration on the British Labour Market', *The Economic Journal*, 115(1991), pp. 324–341.
- Elsby, M. and Smith, J. (2010) 'The Great Recession in the UK Labour Market: A Transatlantic Perspective', *National Institute Economic Review*, (214), pp. 26–37.
- Farndale, E., Scullion, H. and Sparrow, P. (2010) 'The role of the corporate HR function in global talent management', *Journal of World Business*, 45(2), pp. 161–168.
- Felstead, A., Green, F. and Jewson, N. (2012) 'An analysis of the impact of the 2008–9 recession on the provision of training in the UK', *Work, Employment & Society*, 26(6), pp. 968–986.
- Hatzigeorgiou, A. and Lodefalk, M. (2016) 'The Brexit Trade Disruption Revisited', *Journal of International Law and Trade Policy*, 17(1), pp. 41–58.
- HM Government (2018) *The UK's future skills-based immigration system.* London. Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk (Accessed: 20 March 2019).
- Hobolt, S. B. (2016) 'The Brexit vote: a divided nation, a divided continent', Journal of European Public Policy, 23(9), pp. 1259-1277.
- Hodge, N. (2017) 'Preparing for Brexit', *Risk Management*, June, pp. 18–25. International
   Labour Organization (2012) World of Work Report 2012: Better jobs for a better
   economy. Geneva.
- Jessop, B. (2011) *Rethinking the Diversity and Varieties of Capitalism: on Variegated*Capitalism in the World Market. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net (Accessed: 11 April 2019).
- Khoreva, V., Vaiman, V. and Van Zalk, M. (2017) 'Talent management practice
   effectiveness: investigating employee perspective', *Employee Relations*, 39(1), pp. 19–33.
- Krakovsky, M. (2017) 'How HR Can Promote Flexibility in Blue-Collar Jobs', *Society for Human Resource Management*, March. https://www.shrm.org.
- Kuron, L. K. J. *et al.* (2015) 'Millennials' work values: differences across the school to work transition', *Personnel Review*, 44(6), pp. 991–1009.
- Lea, R. (2018) The past four UK recessions compared, and no recession expected on Brexit.
   London. Available at: http://www.arbuthnotgroup.com (Accessed: 10 April 2019).
- Linacre, S. (2017) 'Failing to prepare. Prepare to fail?', *Human Resource Management International Digest*, 25(1).
- Lulle, A., Moroşanu, L. and King, R. (2018) 'And then came Brexit: Experiences and future plans of young EU migrants in the London region', *Population, Space and Place*, 24(1), pp. 1–11.
- Macleavy, J. (2018) 'Space and Polity Women, equality and the UK's EU referendum: locating the gender politics of Brexit in relation to the neoliberalising state', *Space and Polity*, 22(2), pp. 205–223.
- Marangozov, R. and Williams, M. (2016) *How will Brexit affect the NHS? The English trusts*that depend most on EU nurses, LSE Brexit. Available at: http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/
  (Accessed: 25 September 2017).
- Markovits, Y., Boer, D. and Van Dick, R. (2014) 'Economic crisis and the employee: The effects of economic crisis on employee job satisfaction, commitment, and self-regulation', *European Management Journal*, 32, pp. 413–422.

53

54

55 56

57

58

- 1 Martin, R. and Gardiner, B. (2019) 'The resilience of cities to economic shocks: A tale of
- 2 four recessions (and the challenge of Brexit)', *Papers in Regional Science*, (January), pp.
- 3 1–32.
- 4 Mason, G. and Bishop, K. (2015) 'The Impact of Recession on Adult Training: Evidence
- from the United Kingdom in 2008-2009', British Journal of Industrial Relations, 53(4),
- 6 pp. 736–759.
- 7 McDonnell, A. (2011) 'Still Fighting the "War for Talent"? Bridging the Science Versus
- 8 Practice Gap', *Journal of Business Psychology*, 26, pp. 169–173.
- 9 Meissner, F. and Vertovec, S. (2015) 'Comparing super-diversity', *Ethnic and Racial Studies*.
- Taylor & Francis, 38(4), pp. 541–555.
- 11 Menon, A. and Fowler, B. (2016) 'Hard or Soft? The Politics of Brexit', National Institute
- 12 *Economic Review*, 238, pp. 4–12.
- 13 Migration Advisory Committee (2015) *Review of Tier 2*. London.
- Office of National Statistics (2019) Migration Statistics Quarterly Report, February 2019.
- 15 London.
- Office for National Statistics (2017) *Overview of the UK Population: March 2017*. London.
- Office for National Statistics (2016) *Contracts that do not Guarantee a Minimum Number of Hours.* London.
- Orazulike, U. (2018) 'Post-Brexit Threats to Work Safety and Health Standards and Good
- Working Conditions in the UK', *Psychosociological Issues in Human Resource*
- 21 *Management*, 6(1), pp. 2377-0716.
- O'Reilly, J. et al. (2016) 'Brexit: Understanding the socio-economic origins and
- consequences', *Socio-Economic Review*, 14(4), pp. 807–854.
- Portes, J. (2016) 'Immigration After Brexit', National Institute Economic Review,
- 25 238(November), pp. 13–21.
- Portes, J. and Forte, G. (2016) 'The Economic Impact of Brexit-induced Reductions in
- 27 Migration'. The Economic Impact of Brexit-induced Reductions in Migration.
- Ridgway, M. (2017) Opinion: Why you might lose your British talent after Brexit, *People*
- 29 Management. Available at: http://www2.cipd.co.uk/pm (Accessed: 27 April 2017).
- Ridgway, M. and Robson, F. (2018) 'Exploring the motivation and willingness of self-
- initiated expatriates, in the civil engineering industry, when considering employment
- 32 opportunities in Qatar', *Human Resource Development International*. 21(1), pp. 24–45.
  - Robson, M. (2009) 'Structural change, specialization and regional labour market
- performance: evidence for the UK', *Applied Economics*, 41(3), pp. 275–293.
- Rolfe, H. (2016) *How to cope with Brexit: an employers' guide, LSE Brexit.* Available at:
- blogs.lse.ac.uk (Accessed: 25 September 2017).
- Sharman, J. and Jones, I. (2017) 'Hate crimes rise by up to 100 per cent across England and
- Wales, figures reveal', *The Independent*, 15 February.
- 39 Simionescu, M., Bilan, Y., Smrcka, L. and Vincurova, Z. (2017) 'The effects of European
- economic integration and the impact of Brexit on the UK immigrants from the CEE
- countries', Ekonomie a Management, 20(1), pp. 29–47.
- 42 Sparrow, P., Farndale, E. and Scullion, H. (2013) 'An empirical study of the role of the
- 43 corporate HR function in global talent management in professional and financial service

- firms in the global financial crisis', *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 24(9), pp. 1777–1798.
- Srnicek, N. (2017) 'The challenges of platform capitalism: Understanding the logic of a new business model', *Juncture*, 23(4), pp. 254–257.
- Startin, N. (2015) 'Have we reached a tipping point? The mainstreaming of Euroscepticism in the UK', *International Political Science Review*, 36(3), pp. 311–323.
- Stewart, J. (2017) 'What are the (C)HRD implications of Brexit? A personal reflection?', *Human Resource Development International*. Routledge, 20(1), pp. 1–8.
- 9 Suff, R. (2016) What will Brexit mean for UK employment law?, CIPD. Available at: http://www2.cipd.co.uk (Accessed: 28 June 2017).
- Sullivan, C. (2017) 'Pret A Manger targets British workers ahead of Brexit', *Financial Times*, 27 April.
- Sutton, R. and Staw, B. M. (1995) 'What Theory is Not Author', *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 40(3), pp. 371–384.
- Sweet, R. and Smith, D. (2017) 'Will China build tomorrow's Britain? Examining the impacts of Brexit and the skills crisis', *Construction Research and Innovation*. 8(1), pp. 2–12.
- Taylor, M. et al. (2017) Good Work: They Taylor Review of Modern Working Practices.
   Available at: <a href="https://www.gov.uk">https://www.gov.uk</a>.
- Teague, P. and Donaghey, J. (2018) 'Brexit: EU social policy and the UK employment model', *Industrial Relations Journal*. 49(5–6), pp. 512–533.
- Teague, P. and Roche, W. K. (2014) 'Recessionary bundles: HR practices in the Irish economic crisis', *Human Resource Management Journal*, 24(2), pp. 176–192.
- The Recruitment & Employment Confederation (2012) *REC Flexible Work Commission*Report. London.
- The Recruitment & Employment Confederation (2010) Flex Appeal. London.
- Tilly, C. (2011) 'The impact of the economic crisis on international migration: a review',
   Work, Employment & Society, 25(4), pp. 675–692.
- Tlaiss, H. A., Martin, P. and Hofaidhllaoui, M. (2017) 'Talent retention: evidence from a multi-national firm in France', *Employee Relations*, 39(4).
- Trenz, H.-J. and Triandafyllidou, A. (2017) 'Complex and dynamic integration processes in Europe: intra EU mobility and international migration in times of recession', *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 43(4), pp. 546–559.
- Varma, A. and Russell, L. (2016) 'Women and expatriate assignments: Exploring the role of perceived organizational support', *Employee Relations*, 38(2), pp. 200–223.
- Vertovec, S. (2007) 'Super-diversity and its implications', *Ethical and Racial Studies*, 30(6), pp. 1024–1054.
- Wadsworth, J. et al. (2016) Brexit and the Impact of Immigration on the UK, Centre for Economic Performance. London.
- Wasik, Z. (2017) 'Homeward bound: Polish ministers predict a rush of returnees', *Financial Times*, 26 April.
- Webster, J. and Watson, R. T. (2002) 'Analyzing the Past to Prepare for the Future: Writing a Literature Review', *MIS Quarterly*, 26(2), pp. xiii–xxiii.

- Williams, S. and Turnbull, S. (2015) 'Developing the Next Generation of Globally
  - Responsible Leaders', Advances in Developing Human Resources, 17(4), pp. 504–521.
- Wood, G. and Budhwar, P. (2016) 'Brexit and Beyond: The BJM and Unforeseen Events', British Journal of Management, 27(4), pp. 680–681.
- Woolfson, C. (2017) 'The "Singapore scenario": the uncertain prospects for labour standards in post-Brexit Britain', *Industrial Relations Journal*. 48(5–6), pp. 384–402.
- (4,
  ) re seen
  rial Relatio.
  .it, Private Equi,
  082–686. Wright, M. et al. (2016) 'Brexit, Private Equity and Management', British Journal of Management, 27(4), pp. 682–686.