

# Article

# Estimating morbidity due to stroke in Nigeria: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Adeloye, Davies, Ezejimofor, Martinsixtus, Auta, Asa, Mpazanje, Rex G, Ezeigwe, Nnenna, Ngige, Evelyn N, Harhay, Michael O, Alemu, Wondimagegnehu and Adewole, Isaac F

Available at http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/28799/

Adeloye, Davies, Ezejimofor, Martinsixtus, Auta, Asa ORCID: 0000-0001-6515-5802, Mpazanje, Rex G, Ezeigwe, Nnenna, Ngige, Evelyn N, Harhay, Michael O, Alemu, Wondimagegnehu and Adewole, Isaac F (2019) Estimating morbidity due to stroke in Nigeria: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of the Neurological Sciences, 402 . pp. 136-144. ISSN 0022-510X

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2019.05.020

For more information about UCLan's research in this area go to <a href="http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchgroups/">http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchgroups/</a> and search for <name of research Group>.

For information about Research generally at UCLan please go to <a href="http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/">http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/</a>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>



# Estimating morbidity due to stroke in Nigeria: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Davies Adeloye<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Martinsixtus Ezejimofor<sup>3</sup>, Asa Auta<sup>4</sup>, Rex G Mpazanje<sup>5</sup>, Nnenna Ezeigwe<sup>6</sup>, Evelyn N Ngige<sup>6</sup>, Michael O Harhay<sup>7,8</sup>, Wondimagegnehu Alemu<sup>5</sup>, Isaac F Adewole<sup>6</sup>

- 1. Centre for Global Health Research, Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, UK.
- 2. RcDavies Evidence-based Medicine, Lagos, Nigeria
- 3. British Association of Dermatologists, London, UK
- 4. School of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Central Lancashire, Fylde Road, Preston, UK
- 5. WHO Nigeria Country Office, Abuja, Nigeria
- 6. Federal Ministry of Health, Abuja, Nigeria
- 7. Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Informatics, Perelman School of Medicine University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA
- 8. Palliative and Advanced Illness Research (PAIR) Center, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

\***Correspondence to: Dr. Davies Adeloye,** Centre for Global Health Research, Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, UK. Email: <u>bummyadeloy@gmail.com, Tel: +447438343816</u>

Keywords: stroke; prevalence; incidence; morbidity; cerebrovascular; Nigeria

Word count: 2804

Tables: 6

Figures: 3

# ABSTRACT

**Background**: The response to stroke in Nigeria is impaired by inadequate epidemiologic information. We sought to collate available evidence and estimate the incidence of stroke and prevalence of stroke survivors in Nigeria.

**Methods**: Using random effects meta-analysis, we pooled nationwide and regional incidence and prevalence of stroke from the estimates reported in each study.

**Results:** Eleven studies met our selection criteria. The pooled crude incidence of stroke in Nigeria was 26.0 (12.8-39.0) /100,000 person-years, with this higher among men at 34.1 (9.7-58.4) /100,000, compared to women at 21.2 (7.4-35.0) /100,000. The pooled crude prevalence of stroke survivors in Nigeria was 6.7 (5.8-7.7) /1000 population, with this also higher among men at 6.4 (5.1-7.6) /1000, compared to women at 4.4 (3.4-5.5) /1000. In the period 2000-2009, the incidence of stroke in Nigeria was 24.3 (95% CI: 11.9-36.8) per 100,000, with this increasing to 27.4 (95% CI: 2.2-52.7) per 100,000 from 2010 upwards. The prevalence of stroke survivors increased minimally from 6.0 (95% CI: 4.6-7.5) per 1,000 to 7.5 (95% CI: 5.8-9.1) per 1,000 over the same period. The prevalence of stroke survivors was highest in the South-south region at 13.4 (9.1-17.8) /100,000 and among rural dwellers at 10.8 (7.5-14.1) /100,000

**Conclusion:** Although study period does not appear to contribute substantially to variations in stroke morbidity in Nigeria, an increasing number of new cases compared to survivors may be due in part to limited door-door surveys, or possibly reflects an increasing mortality from stroke in the country.

# HIGHLIGHTS

- There is very limited epidemiologic evidence on stroke morbidity in Nigeria.
- From crude estimates, new stroke cases appear to increase at relatively higher rates compared to stroke survivors.
- This possibly reflects inadequate door-to-door surveys, or an increasing mortality from stroke, both with implications for public health policy and planning.

# INTRODUCTION

Stroke is a leading contributor to global disease morbidity and mortality (1). More than 6.5 million deaths and 113.2 million disability-adjusted life years (DALY) were attributable to stroke in 2013 (1, 2). Recent epidemiological trends revealed that global survivors for both ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke increased to 25.7 million in 2015, particularly in high-income countries (3). There are also new dimensions to these recent estimates. During the last decade, incidence rates and deaths from stroke in high-income countries have modestly diminished or plateaued resulting in about 42% reduction in overall stroke burden between 1970 and 2008 (4). In contrast, the burden of stroke has increased at an astonishingly fast rate in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), with the steepest increase in stroke prevalence occurring in low-income countries of Africa, increasing by 6% annually (5). This evidence underscores a need for targeted effort by all stakeholder in these jurisdictions.

In Nigeria and across many African countries, the increasing burden of stroke has been attributed to epidemiologic and demographic transitions driven in part by both rural–urban drift and rapid economic development (4). The resulting population growth, ageing, physical inactivity and consumption of processed foods high in cholesterol and salt have contributed to increasing number of stroke cases seen in the last two decades (4). Simultaneously, inadequate neurological services and the high cost of health services remain serious challenges in many settings (6). In Nigeria particularly, the rising prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors, poor awareness of early stroke signs, high cost and poor uptake of computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans, have resulted in a rising 30-days stroke fatality (7).

Presently, there is insufficient information on the epidemiology of stroke in Nigeria (8, 9). Many stakeholders have advocated for accurate, up-to-date information on stroke incidence and survivorship to assist in identifying individuals, groups, or geographic areas that are at increased risk or poorer outcomes of stroke in the country. This study, therefore, aims to estimate the incidence of stroke and prevalence of stroke survivors in Nigeria to prompt improved policy response and public health intervention across at states and national levels.

# **METHODS**

#### Search strategy

Database searches were conducted on 01 August 2018 and limited to studies published after 1 January 1990. We searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, Global Health, and Africa Journals Online (AJOL), for epidemiologic studies or reports on stroke in Nigeria. Search terms employed are shown in **Table 1**. Unpublished documents were sourced from Google Scholar and general Google searches. Titles and abstracts of studies were screened, and full-texts of relevant studies accessed. Reference lists of full-texts were further hand-searched for additional studies. We contacted authors of selected papers for any missing information.

#### **Selection criteria**

Studies that met inclusion were primarily population-based studies, community-based door-todoor surveys, or outpatient hospital surveys reporting on the prevalence or incidence of stroke in a Nigerian setting. We excluded studies on Nigerians in diaspora, case-reports, reviews, view-points or commentaries.

#### **Case definitions**

Stroke was defined according to standard WHO case definition: "rapidly developing clinical signs of focal (or global) disturbance of cerebral function lasting longer than 24 hours, unless interrupted by death, with no apparent cause other than that of vascular origin" (4, 10). We classified new cases of stroke as persons presenting with first ever stroke in a given period, while stroke survivors were the total number of people who have had stroke or living with its sequelae at a given time.

# **Data Extraction**

Assessment of eligible studies was conducted separately by two reviewers (DA and AA), with a standardized eligibility guideline to ensure consistency. Any disagreement in study selection was resolved by consensus. Data on the location, study period, study design, study setting (urban or rural), sample size, diagnostic criteria and mean age of the population were extracted. These were matched with corresponding data on stroke cases (new or survivors), sample population (or person years of follow-up), and incidence or prevalence of stroke as reported in each study. For studies conducted on the same study site, population or cohort, the first published study was selected, and all additional data from the other studies were extracted and merged with data from the selected paper.

# **Quality Assessment**

For each full text selected, we further screened for explicit description of methodology, case definitions of stroke, and generalizability of reported estimates to a larger population within the geo-political zone. For the quality grading, we adapted a previously used quality assessment criteria for studies examining the prevalence of chronic diseases (11-14). For each full-text manuscript selected, we screened for sampling strategy to identify if it was representative of a target subnational population, statistical methods (was it appropriate for the study outcome?),

and stroke case ascertainment (was it based on standard diagnostic criteria, unspecified clinical criteria, informant interviews, or not reported?). Studies were graded as *high (4-5), moderate (2-3), or low quality (0-1)* (see **Tables 2 and 3,** for details of all full-text manuscripts accessed and quality grading).

# Data Analysis

A random effects meta-analysis, using the DerSimonian and Laird Method (15), was employed on the individual study estimates to generate national and sub-national summary estimates of incidence of stroke (expressed per 100000 person years (py)), or prevalence of survivors in Nigeria (expressed per 1000 population). Standard errors were determined from the reported crude estimates and population denominators, assuming a binominal (or Poisson) distribution. Heterogeneity between studies was assessed using I-squared ( $l^2$ ) statistics, and subgroup analysis was conducted to detect causes of heterogeneity. Our approach to data analysis has been described in detail in previous studies (17, 18). All statistical analyses were conducted on STATA (Stata Corp V.14, Texas, USA). The study was conducted in strict compliance to the PRISMA guidelines (19).

# RESULTS

#### Search results

Our searches identified 1199 potentially eligible studies (MEDLINE: n=522, EMBASE: n=650, Global Health: n=15, and AJOL: n=7). Five additional studies were identified through Google Scholar, and an examination of the reference lists of relevant studies. After duplicates have been removed, 602 titles were screened for relevance (i.e. any population-based or hospital-based studies on stroke in Nigeria). On applying the selection criteria, 533 studies were excluded. We then assessed 69 full-texts assessed following which another 58 studies were excluded. Eleven studies (6, 20-29) were finally selected for the review (**Figure 1**).

#### **Study characteristics**

Of the 11 retained studies, there were nine population-based surveys, while two were hospitalbased. Four studies were retrieved from South-west Nigeria, three from the South-south, and two each from the North-central and South-east. There were no studies from the North-east and North-west. Six studies were conducted in urban settings, three in rural and two in semi-urban settings. Five studies were rated as high quality, while six were rated moderate. These 11 studies yielded 38 data points, 10 reporting incidence (which were mainly conducted in the South-west) and 25 pertaining to stroke survivors. Study period ranged from 1995 to 2016, with most studies conducted within a one-year period. The estimated person-years of follow-up from all studies was 1,242,137 and the total population covered among stroke survivors was 41,143. Mean age ranged from 26.9 to 58.2 years (**Table 3**). Heterogeneity was high across studies, with an I-squared (I<sup>2</sup>) estimated at 100% and 97.7% for studies on new cases, and survivors, respectively (p=0.001 for both).

# Pooled incidence of stroke in Nigeria

The estimated pooled crude incidence of stroke in Nigeria from all studies (1995-2016) was 26.0 (95% CI: 12.8-39.0) per 100,000 py (**Figure 2A, Table 4**). The incidence of stroke in men was higher at 34.1 (95% CI: 9.7-58.4) per 100,000 py, while women had an estimated incidence of 21.2 (95% CI: 7.4-35.0) per 100,000 py (**Table 4**). As all prevalence studies were reported mainly in the South-west, we did not conduct a sub-group analysis by geo-political zones. However, the incidence of stroke was highest among urban dwellers at 26.8 (95% CI: 75.1-80.5) per 100,000 py, while persons living in semi-urban settings (a mix of urban and rural environments) had a stroke incidence of 17.4 (95% CI: 15.2-19.6) per 100,000 py. There was no reported incidence in core rural settings (**Figure 2B, Table 4** In the period 2000-2009, the incidence of stroke in Nigeria was 24.3 (95% CI: 11.9-36.8) per 100,000, with this increasing to 27.4 (95% CI: 2.2-52.7) per 100,000 in the period 2010 upwards (**Figure 2C, Table 4**).

# Pooled prevalence of stroke survivors in Nigeria

As noted, there were more data points on stroke survivors, possibly reflecting the relative ease of identifying cases from community-based door-to-door surveys. The estimated pooled crude prevalence of stroke survivors in Nigeria was 6.7 (95% CI: 5.8-7.7) per 1,000 population (**Figure 3A, Table 4**). As observed for incident cases, the pooled crude prevalence of stroke survivors

was higher among men at 6.4 (95% CI: 5.1-7.6) per 1,000 compared to the pooled prevalence among women at 4.4 (95% CI: 3.4-5.5) per 1,000. Further sub-group analysis by geo-political zones in Nigeria showed that the South-south region had the higher prevalence of stroke survivors at 13.4 (95% CI: 9.1-17.8) per 1,000, followed by the South-east at 8.9 (95% CI: 3.4-14.5) per 1,000. The North-central and South-west zones had prevalence of 4.8 (95% CI: 2.7-6.9), and 2.9 (95% CI: 1.7-4.1) per 1,000, respectively. The prevalence of stroke survivors was higher in rural settings at 10.8 (95% CI: 7.5-14.1) per 1,000, while estimated prevalence in urban settings was 6.2 (95% CI: 4.6-7.9) per 1,000 (**Figure 3B, Table 4**). The prevalence of stroke survivors increased minimally from 6.0 (95% CI: 4.6-7.5) per 1,000 to 7.5 (95% CI: 5.8-9.1) per 1,000 over the period 2000-2009 and 2010 upwards (**Figure 3C, Table 4**).

# DISCUSSION

The findings from this study revealed important information that mirrors the huge burden of stroke reported across sub-Saharan African countries (5). First, we found that the overall ageadjusted prevalence of stroke survivors in Nigeria was 674/100,000 population. This estimate is almost double the global estimate of 393.4/100,000 reported by Feign and colleagues in 2014, and also relatively higher than the ranges recorded in some LMICs (550/100,000) (30). Although the substantially higher estimate of stroke survivors may have been biased by underrepresentation of fatal cases, which have been noted in previous reports (7). Second, there was a significant variation in the prevalence of stroke survivors across regions and calendar years in Nigeria. Specifically, we found a higher prevalence trend among rural dwelling adults particularly in the South-south region compared to other regions or population groups in Nigeria. The evidence is also well situated in a previous survey of stroke prevalence, where a positive association was reported between cardiovascular diseases including stroke and environmental risks in the oil-rich rural South-south (Niger-Delta) region of Nigeria (31, 32). Hence, apart from lifestyle factors, this suggests increasing risks for stroke associated with exposure to environmental pollution in areas that host oil and gas production and refining operations in Nigeria. This finding is consistent with the estimates found in some low-income countries, in line with the convergence of increasing income levels, rural-to-urban transformation, and high industrial outputs towards cardio-vascular disease predictors (33).

When crude estimates were disaggregated by study period (ie. before and after year 2010), there were not so much difference in the incidence and prevalence rates, suggesting that year may not contribute substantially to variations in the morbidity of stroke in Nigeria (a fact further highlighted in an epidemiologic model we ran, see supplementary material). However, compared to a minimal increase observed among stroke survivors (6.0 to 7.5 per 100,000). crude incidence rates of stroke showed relatively higher increase, from 24.3 to 27.4 per 1000 before and after year 2010, respectively. This perhaps reflects an increasing mortality from stroke and/or limited capture of stroke survivors from household surveys, both with implications for public health policy and planning. Besides, the observed increase may be related to early stages of epidemiological transition Nigeria and indeed many LMICs are currently experiencing, characterized by higher rates of stroke and coronary deaths (5, 30). As noted, this has been linked to population-wide increased consumption of processed foods, higher salt intake, tobacco smoking, harmful use of alcohol, and sedentary lifestyles (34). Evidence of these changes in the country has come to the fore with a rising burden of cardiovascular outcomes including stroke mortality and morbidity as reported in this study and previously (4, 5, 7). The stroke rate found in this study is similar to the estimates from developing countries like Zimbabwe and Libya, however, this is lower in global age-adjusted annual incidence for LMICs, possibly reflecting poor data representation in many parts of the country (2). For instance, the age-adjusted incidence of stroke in countries like South Africa, Tanzania and Egypt ranges from 101 to 260 per 100,000 (30).

This portends huge burden to the overall health and economic development in Nigeria. This economic impact stems from increased out-of-pocket expenditure, loss of individual and family

productivity and reduced labour efficiency, thus culminating in underinvestment in other critical social infrastructure and GDP losses nationally. Developing a comprehensive nation-wide plan to address stroke and other NCDs, particularly through the promotion of healthy lifestyles among young adults and ensuring services are also available for rural dwellers, may provide a cost-effective approach to reducing this burden in the coming years (35-38).

This study has some important limitations to consider. First, our estimates and confidence intervals reflect wide uncertainties. This generally should guide the interpretation of our findings. The lack of data across many settings and calendar years is partly attributable, largely reflective of poor stroke infrastructure, especially stroke specialist registries, to track stroke cases particularly in rural areas. Second, in addition to lack of stroke registries, hospital-based data often may be inadequate to estimate incident cases due in part to unknown denominator or population at risk. Under-representation of cases could also be due to poor access to hospital services often related to distance and out-of-pocket costs for stroke services and care (39, 40). Third, although hospital-based studies are relatively preferred in the absence of stroke registry to investigate stroke incidence (34, 41), there were however insufficient information from most hospital-based studies to understand if these estimates were recurrent or first-ever strokes. Further, there were no studies from the North-east and North-west regions of Nigeria, and several important study characteristics including age- and sex-specific estimates were not always provided in studies. Thus, results arising from fewer data points may lean towards publication bias, underreporting of important metrics, and doubts on regional population representation.

However, this study also has important strengths. First, we applied a strict quality assessment based on methodological rigours in the selection of studies, ensuring only moderate to high quality studies were included in the analysis. This has important considerations in reducing bias from poorly designed studies, varying case definitions and poor population representation. Second, we applied a comprehensive systematic search of different databases to ensure the identification of relevant articles. Thus, this study has provided an updated information on the epidemiology of stroke in Nigeria, on which further research efforts can be established.

# CONCLUSION

This study suggests incident cases and survivors from stroke may be increasing in Nigeria, and significantly vary across regions. The rise in stroke prevalence in the rural communities in Nigeria suggests that the risks for stroke may no longer be limited to urban dwellers. Public health awareness and other interventions for rural dwellers and other vulnerable population groups may be highly useful. It is hoped that the evidence provided in this study may inform relevant decisions, policy reforms and population-wide intervention towards reducing the burden of stroke across Nigeria.

**Acknowledgements:** The authors acknowledge the support of the Nigeria Federal Ministry of Health and the World Health Organization Nigeria Country Office in the conduct of this study.

**Authorship contributions**: DA conceived and designed the study. DA and AA conducted literature searches for all databases. DA and ME wrote the first draft. DA and MOH conducted the analysis. DA, AA, MOH, ENN, NE, RGM, WA and IFA contributed to the final writing of the manuscript and checked for important intellectual content.

Disclosure statement: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

**Funding**: MOH is supported by a grant (K99HL141678) from the NIH/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI).

# REFERENCES

1. Feigin VL, Norrving B, Mensah GA. Global burden of stroke. Circulation research. 2017;120(3):439-48.

2. Feigin VL, Forouzanfar MH, Krishnamurthi R, Mensah GA, Connor M, Bennett DA, et al. Global and regional burden of stroke during 1990–2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. The Lancet. 2014;383(9913):245-55.

3. Johnson W, Onuma O, Owolabi M, Sachdev S. Stroke: a global response is needed. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2016;94(9):634.

4. Adeloye D. An estimate of the incidence and prevalence of stroke in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2014;9(6):e100724.

5. Ezejimofor MC, Chen Y-F, Kandala N-B, Ezejimofor BC, Ezeabasili AC, Stranges S, et al. Stroke survivors in low-and middle-income countries: a meta-analysis of prevalence and secular trends. Journal of the neurological sciences. 2016;364:68-76.

6. Ezejimofor MC, Uthman OA, Maduka O, Ezeabasili AC, Onwuchekwa AC, Ezejimofor BC, et al. Stroke survivors in Nigeria: A door-to-door prevalence survey from the Niger Delta region. Journal of the neurological sciences. 2017;372:262-9.

 Ezejimofor M, Biu A, Uthman OA. Estimating the uptake of brain imaging and 30-days stroke mortality in Nigeria: A meta-analysis of hospital-based studies. Journal of the neurological sciences.
 2018.

8. Owolabi L, Ibrahim A. Stroke in young adults: a prospective study from northwestern Nigeria. ISRN neurology. 2012;2012.

9. Onwuchekwa A, Onwuchekwa R, Asekomeh E. Stroke in young Nigerian adults. Journal of Vascular Nursing. 2009;27(4):98-102.

10. Sacco RL, Kasner SE, Broderick JP, Caplan LR, Culebras A, Elkind MS, et al. An updated definition of stroke for the 21st century. Stroke. 2013;44(7):2064-89.

11. Stanifer JW, Jing B, Tolan S, Helmke N, Mukerjee R, Naicker S, et al. The epidemiology of chronic kidney disease in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Global Health. 2014;2(3):e174-e81.

12. Pai M, McCulloch M, Gorman JD, Pai N, Enanoria W, Kennedy G, et al. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses: an illustrated, step-by-step guide. Natl Med J India. 2004;17:86-95.

13. Guyatt GH, Rennie D. Users' guides to the medical literature: a manual for evidence-based clinical practice Chicago: AMA Press; 2002.

14. Juni P, Altman DG, Egger M. Systematic reviews in health care: assessing the quality of controlled clinical trials. BMJ. 2001;323:42-6.

15. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-Analysis in Clinical Trials. Controlled Clinical Trials. 1986;7:177-88.

16. United Nations. 2017 Revision of World Population Prospects. New York, US: United Nations; 2017. Available from: <u>https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/</u>.

17. Adeloye D, Basquill C, Aderemi AV, Thompson JY, Obi FA. An estimate of the prevalence of hypertension in Nigeria: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of hypertension. 2015;33(2):230-42.

18. Adeloye D, Ige JO, Aderemi AV, Adeleye N, Amoo EO, Auta A, et al. Estimating the prevalence, hospitalisation and mortality from type 2 diabetes mellitus in Nigeria: a systematic review and metaanalysis. BMJ Open. 2017;7(5):e015424.

19. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS medicine. 2009;6(7):e1000097.

20. Adedoyin RA, Adesoye A. Incidence and pattern of cardiovascular disease in a Nigerian teaching hospital. Tropical doctor. 2005;35(2):104-6.

21. Ansa VO, Ekott JU, Bassey EO. Profile and outcome of cardiovascular admissions at the University of Uyo Teaching Hospital, Uyo--a five year review. Nigerian journal of clinical practice. 2008;11(1):22-4.

22. Danesi M, Okubadejo N, Ojini F. Prevalence of stroke in an urban, mixed-income community in Lagos, Nigeria. Neuroepidemiology. 2007;28(4):216-23.

23. Danesi MA, Okubadejo NU, Ojini FI, Ojo OO. Incidence and 30-day case fatality rate of first-ever stroke in urban Nigeria: the prospective community based Epidemiology of Stroke in Lagos (EPISIL) phase II results. Journal of the neurological sciences. 2013;331(1-2):43-7.

24. Enwereji KO, Nwosu MC, Ogunniyi A, Nwani PO, Asomugha AL, Enwereji EE. Epidemiology of stroke in a rural community in Southeastern Nigeria. Vascular health and risk management. 2014;10:375-88.

25. Dzudie A, Milo O, Edwards C, Cotter G, Davison BA, Damasceno A, et al. Prognostic significance of ECG abnormalities for mortality risk in acute heart failure: insight from the Sub-Saharan Africa Survey of Heart Failure (THESUS-HF). Journal of cardiac failure. 2014;20(1):45-52.

26. Okon M, Adebobola NI, Julius S, Adebimpe O, Taiwo AO, Akinyemi A, et al. Stroke incidence and case fatality rate in an urban population. Journal of stroke and cerebrovascular diseases : the official journal of National Stroke Association. 2015;24(4):771-7.

27. Onwuchekwa AC, Asekomeh GE. Pattern of heart failure in a Nigerian teaching hospital. Vascular health and risk management. 2009;5:745-50.

28. Sanya EO, Desalu OO, Adepoju F, Aderibigbe SA, Shittu A, Olaosebikan O. Prevalence of stroke in three semi-urban communities in middle-belt region of Nigeria: a door to door survey. The Pan African medical journal. 2015;20:33.

29. Ezeala-Adikaibe BA, Orjioke C, Ekenze OS, Ijoma U, Onodugo O, Okudo G, et al. Populationbased prevalence of high blood pressure among adults in an urban slum in Enugu, South East Nigeria. Journal of human hypertension. 2016;30(4):285-91.

30. Owolabi MO, Arulogun O, Melikam S, Adeoye AM, Akarolo-Anthony S, Akinyemi R, et al. The burden of stroke in Africa: a glance at the present and a glimpse into the future. Cardiovascular journal of Africa. 2015;26(2 H3Africa Suppl):S27.

31. Ezejimofor MC, Uthman OA, Maduka O, Ezeabasili AC, Onwuchekwa AC, Ezejimofor BC, et al. Stroke survivors in Nigeria: A door-to-door prevalence survey from the Niger Delta region. Journal of the neurological sciences. 2017;372:262-9.

32. Ezejimofor MC, Uthman OA, Maduka O, Ezeabasili AC, Onwuchekwa AC, Ezejimofor BC, et al. The Burden of Hypertension in an Oil- and Gas-Polluted Environment: A Comparative Cross-Sectional Study. American Journal of Hypertension. 2016.

33. Deepa M, Anjana RM, Manjula D, Narayan KV, Mohan V. Convergence of prevalence rates of diabetes and cardiometabolic risk factors in middle and low income groups in urban India: 10-year follow-up of the Chennai Urban Population Study. Journal of diabetes science and technology. 2011;5(4):918-27.

34. Feigin VL, Roth GA, Naghavi M, Parmar P, Krishnamurthi R, Chugh S, et al. Global burden of stroke and risk factors in 188 countries, during 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. The Lancet Neurology. 2016;15(9):913-24.

35. Collins DR, Tompson AC, Onakpoya IJ, Roberts N, Ward AM, Heneghan CJ. Global cardiovascular risk assessment in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease in adults: systematic review of systematic reviews. BMJ open. 2017;7(3):e013650.

36. Chen X, Zhou L, Zhang Y, Yi D, Liu L, Rao W, et al. Risk factors of stroke in Western and Asian countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. BMC Public Health. 2014;14(1):776.

37. Grosso G, Bella F, Godos J, Sciacca S, Del Rio D, Ray S, et al. Possible role of diet in cancer: Systematic review and multiple meta-analyses of dietary patterns, lifestyle factors, and cancer risk. Nutrition reviews. 2017;75(6):405-19.

38. Glechner A, Keuchel L, Affengruber L, Titscher V, Sommer I, Matyas N, et al. Effects of lifestyle changes on adults with prediabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Primary care diabetes. 2018.

39. Dickens C, Joffe M, Jacobson J, Venter F, Schüz J, Cubasch H, et al. Stage at breast cancer diagnosis and distance from diagnostic hospital in a periurban setting: a South African public hospital case series of over 1,000 women. International journal of cancer. 2014;135(9):2173-82.

40. Kapwata T, Manda S. Geographic assessment of access to health care in patients with cardiovascular disease in South Africa. BMC health services research. 2018;18(1):197.

41. Mueller M, D'Addario M, Egger M, Cevallos M, Dekkers O, Mugglin C, et al. Methods to systematically review and meta-analyse observational studies: a systematic scoping review of recommendations. BMC Medical research methodology. 2018;18(1):44.

#### FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1. Flow chart of selection of studies on stroke in Nigeria.

**FIGURE 2. A.** Pooled crude incidence of stroke in Nigeria, per 100,000 person years; **A.** Pooled crude incidence of stroke in Nigeria, per 100,000 person years (by study settings); **A.** Pooled crude incidence of stroke in Nigeria, per 100,000 person years (by study period).

**FIGURE 3. A.** Pooled crude prevalence of stroke survivors in Nigeria, per 1000 population (by geographic zones); **B.** Pooled crude prevalence of stroke survivors in Nigeria, per 1000 population (by study settings); **C.** Pooled crude prevalence of stroke survivors in Nigeria, per 1000 population (by study period).

FIGURE 1. Flow chart of selection of studies on stroke in Nigeria.



**FIGURE 2. A.** Pooled crude incidence of stroke in Nigeria, per 100,000 person years; **B.** Pooled crude incidence of stroke in Nigeria, per 100,000 person years (by study settings); **C.** Pooled crude incidence of stroke in Nigeria, per 100,000 person years (by study period).







**FIGURE 3. A.** Pooled crude prevalence of stroke survivors in Nigeria, per 1000 population (by geographic zones); **B.** Pooled crude prevalence of stroke survivors in Nigeria, per 1000 population (by study settings); **C.** Pooled crude prevalence of stroke survivors in Nigeria, per 1000 population (by study period).

# Α.

| Stu<br>Author yea        | dy Mean<br>ar age         | Stroke Prev<br>/1000 (95% CI) | %<br>Weight |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| North-central            | <u> </u>                  |                               |             |
| Oiii et al 201           | 10 49                     | 4.80 (3.72, 5.88)             | 5.12        |
| Sanva et al 201          | 10 58.2 ◆                 | 1.31 (1.11, 1.51)             | 5.47        |
| Sanva et al 201          | 10 44.5                   | 2.03 (1.31, 2.75)             | 5.31        |
| Sanya et al 201          | 10 54.5                   | 5.97 (4.36, 7.58)             | 4.73        |
| Sanva et al 201          | 10 64.5                   | 12.00 (9.15, 14.85)           | 3.65        |
| Subtotal (I-squared = 96 | 6.8%, p = 0.000)          | 4.79 (2.70, 6.87)             | 24.28       |
| South-west               | <u></u>                   |                               |             |
| Danesi et al 200         | 06 26.9 ♦                 | 1.14 (0.96, 1.32)             | 5.47        |
| Danesi et al 200         | 06 39.5 ♦                 | 0.56 (0.22, 0.90)             | 5.44        |
| Danesi et al 200         | 06 49.5                   | 2.46 (1.59, 3.33)             | 5.24        |
| Danesi et al 200         | 06 59.5                   | 8.13 (5.72, 10.54)            | 4.03        |
| Danesi et al 200         | 06 69.5                   | 14.78 (9.90, 19.66)           | 2.21        |
| Subtotal (I-squared = 9  | 5.1%, p = 0.000)          | 2.91 (1.71, 4.11)             | 22.40       |
| South-south              |                           |                               |             |
| Ansa et al 200           | 01 52 ◆                   | 2.90 (2.34, 3.46)             | 5.38        |
| Ezejimofor et al 201     | 4 44.32 ◆                 | 13.30 (11.82, 14.78           | 3)4.83      |
| Ezejimofor et al 201     | ♦                         | 4.42 (2.53, 6.31)             | 4.49        |
| Ezejimofor et al 201     | 14 59.5                   | 13.20 (9.39, 17.01)           | 2.89        |
| Onwuchekwa et al 200     | 08 35.8                   | 8.51 (6.83, 10.19)            | 4.67        |
| Onwuchekwa et al 200     | 08 39.5                   | 5.40 (2.14, 8.66)             | 3.31        |
| Onwuchekwa et al 200     | 08 49.5                   | 20.30 (13.71, 26.89           | )1.49       |
| Onwuchekwa et al 200     | 08 59.5                   | 25.60 (15.91, 35.29           | )0.81       |
| Onwuchekwa et al 200     | 08 69                     | • 57.70 (44.27, 71.13         | )0.45       |
| Subtotal (I-squared = 97 | 7.4%, p = 0.000)          | 13.44 (9.13, 17.76)           | 28.30       |
| South-east               |                           |                               |             |
| Enwereji et al 201       | 14 29.4                   | 1.63 (1.31, 1.95)             | 5.45        |
| Enwereji et al 201       | 14 49.5 ◆                 | 4.05 (2.31, 5.79)             | 4.62        |
| Enwereji et al 201       | 14 59.5                   | 12.10 (8.96, 15.24)           | 3.40        |
| Enwereji et al 201       | 14 69.5                   | 6.10 (3.51, 8.69)             | 3.88        |
| Enwereji et al 201       | 14 79                     | 3.53 (1.38, 5.68)             | 4.26        |
| Ezeala-Adikaibe et al201 | 16 53 🔶 🔶                 | 27.00 (23.87, 30.13           | 3)3.41      |
| Subtotal (I-squared = 98 | 3.4%, p = 0.000)          | 8.94 (3.43, 14.45)            | 25.03       |
| Overall (I-squared = 97. | 7%, p = 0.000)            | 6.74 (5.80, 7.68)             | 100.00      |
| NOTE: Weights are from   | n random effects analysis |                               |             |
|                          | I I I I<br>0 20 40 60     |                               |             |

| Author                | Study<br>year | age                 |                                       | /1000 (95% CI)    |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Rural                 |               |                     |                                       |                   |
| Onwuchekwa et al      | 2008          | 39.5                | -                                     | 5.40 (2.14, 8.66) |
| Onwuchekwa et al      | 2008          | 35.8                | •                                     | 8.51 (6.83, 10.19 |
| Onwuchekwa et al      | 2008          | 49.5                | · · · · · ·                           | 20.30 (13.71, 26  |
| Onwuchekwa et al      | 2008          | 59.5                |                                       | 25.60 (15.91, 35  |
| Onwuchekwa et al      | 2008          | 69                  | <u> </u>                              | 57.70 (44.27, 71  |
| Enwereji et al        | 2014          | 69.5                | <b>→</b>                              | 6.10 (3.51, 8.69) |
| Enwereji et al        | 2014          | 79                  | ◆ [                                   | 3.53 (1.38, 5.68) |
| Ezejimofor et al      | 2014          | 49.5                | •                                     | 4.42 (2.53, 6.31) |
| Enwereji et al        | 2014          | 49.5                | •                                     | 4.05 (2.31, 5.79) |
| Ezejimofor et al      | 2014          | 59.5                | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 13.20 (9.39, 17.0 |
| Enwereji et al        | 2014          | 29.4                | •                                     | 1.63 (1.31, 1.95) |
| Ezejimofor et al      | 2014          | 44.32               |                                       | 13.30 (11.82, 14  |
| Enwereji et al        | 2014          | 59.5                | i 🔶                                   | 12.10 (8.96, 15.2 |
| Subtotal (I-squared = | 97.5%,        | o = 0.000)          | $\diamond$                            | 10.78 (7.46, 14.0 |
| Urban                 |               |                     |                                       |                   |
| Danesi et al          | 2006          | 39.5                | •                                     | 0.56 (0.22, 0.90) |
| Danesi et al          | 2006          | 49.5                | •                                     | 2.46 (1.59, 3.33) |
| Ansa et al            | 2001          | 52                  | •                                     | 2.90 (2.34, 3.46) |
| Danesi et al          | 2006          | 59.5                | · •                                   | 8.13 (5.72, 10.54 |
| Danesi et al          | 2006          | 26.9                | •                                     | 1.14 (0.96, 1.32) |
| Danesi et al          | 2006          | 69.5                | 1 1 + 👄                               | 14.78 (9.90, 19.6 |
| Ojji et al            | 2010          | 49                  | •                                     | 4.80 (3.72, 5.88) |
| Ezeala-Adikaibe et al | 2016          | 53                  | · · · · · ·                           | 27.00 (23.87, 30  |
| Subtotal (I-squared = | 98.3%,        | p = 0.000)          | <b>Q</b>                              | 6.22 (4.55, 7.89) |
|                       |               |                     | i i                                   |                   |
| Semi-urban            |               |                     |                                       |                   |
| Sanya et al           | 2010          | 64.5                | ; <b>→</b> _                          | 12.00 (9.15, 14.8 |
| Sanya et al           | 2010          | 58.2                | •                                     | 1.31 (1.11, 1.51) |
| Sanya et al           | 2010          | 54.5                | · •                                   | 5.97 (4.36, 7.58) |
| Sanya et al           | 2010          | 44.5                | •                                     | 2.03 (1.31, 2.75) |
| Subtotal (I-squared = | 96.6%,        | p = 0.000)          |                                       | 4.76 (2.47, 7.05) |
| Overall(I-squared =   | 97.7%, p      | = 0.000)            | <b>Q</b>                              | 6.74 (5.80, 7.68) |
| NOTE: Weights are f   | om rand       | om effects analysis |                                       |                   |

В.

| 0 | •  |
|---|----|
| U | ۰. |

| Author              | setting        | age              |   | /1000 (95% CI)                        |
|---------------------|----------------|------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| 2000-2009           |                |                  |   |                                       |
| Onwuchekwa et al    | Rural          | 39.5             | -   | 5.40 (2.14, 8.66)                     |
| Onwuchekwa et al    | Rural          | 35.8             | _ L →   | 8.51 (6.83, 10.19)                    |
| Danesi et al        | Urban          | 39.5             | •   | 0.56 (0.22, 0.90)                     |
| Danesi et al        | Urban          | 49.5             | •   | 2.46 (1.59, 3.33)                     |
| Ansa et al          | Urban          | 52               | •   | 2.90 (2.34, 3.46)                     |
| Danesi et al        | Urban          | 59.5             | I 🔶   | 8.13 (5.72, 10.54)                    |
| Danesi et al        | Urban          | 26.9             | •   | 1.14 (0.96, 1.32)                     |
| Onwuchekwa et al    | Rural          | 49.5             | T   | 20.30 (13.71, 26.8                    |
| Danesi et al        | Urban          | 69.5             |   | 14.78 (9.90, 19.66)                   |
| Onwuchekwa et al    | Rural          | 59.5             |   | 25.60 (15.91, 35.2                    |
| Onwuchekwa et al    | Rural          | 69               |   | 57.70 (44.27, 71.1                    |
| Subtotal (I-squared | = 96.9%, p =   | 0.000)           | 0   | 6.01 (4.56, 7.47)                     |
|                     | · 1            | ,                |   | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| 2010+               |                |                  |   |                                       |
| Enwereji et al      | Rural          | 69.5             | +   | 6.10 (3.51, 8.69)                     |
| Enwereji et al      | Rural          | 79               | +   | 3.53 (1.38, 5.68)                     |
| Ezejimofor et al    | Rural          | 49.5             | +   | 4.42 (2.53, 6.31)                     |
| Sanya et al         | Semi-urban     | 64.5             | I I →   | 12.00 (9.15, 14.85)                   |
| Enwereji et al      | Rural          | 49.5             | <ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul> | 4.05 (2.31, 5.79)                     |
| Ojji et al          | Urban          | 49               | •   | 4.80 (3.72, 5.88)                     |
| ,<br>Sanya et al    | Semi-urban     | 58.2             | •   | 1.31 (1.11, 1.51)                     |
| Ezejimofor et al    | Rural          | 59.5             | T •   | 13.20 (9.39, 17.01)                   |
| Ezeala-Adikaibe et  | al Urban       | 53               |   | 27.00 (23.87, 30.1                    |
| Sanya et al         | Semi-urban     | 54.5             | •   | 5.97 (4.36, 7.58)                     |
| Enwereji et al      | Rural          | 29.4             | •   | 1.63 (1.31, 1.95)                     |
| Ezejimofor et al    | Rural          | 44.32            | T   | 13.30 (11.82, 14.7                    |
| Enwereji et al      | Rural          | 59.5             | <b>→</b>  | 12.10 (8.96, 15.24                    |
| Sanya et al         | Semi-urban     | 44.5             | •   | 2.03 (1.31, 2.75)                     |
| Subtotal (I-squared | = 98.2%, p =   | 0.000)           |   | 7.45 (5.84, 9.06)                     |
|                     | • •            |                  |   |                                       |
| Overall (I-squared  | = 97.7%, p = 0 | .000)            | <b>◊</b>  | 6.74 (5.80, 7.68)                     |
| NOTE: Weights are   | from random    | effects analysis |   |                                       |

# TABLE 1. Search terms on stroke in Nigeria

| #  | Searches  |
|----|---|
| 1  | africa, sub-sahara/ or africa, western/ or nigeria/       |
| 2  | exp vital statistics/                                     |
| 3  | (incidence* or prevalence* or morbidity or mortality).tw. |
| 4  | (disease adj3 burden).tw.                                 |
| 5  | exp "cost of illness"/                                    |
| 6  | case fatality rate.tw                                     |
| 7  | hospital admissions.tw                                    |
| 8  | Disability adjusted life years.mp.                        |
| 9  | (initial adj2 burden).tw.                                 |
| 10 | exp risk factors/   |
| 11 | 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10                |
| 12 | exp stroke / or brain infarction / or cerebrovascular     |
|    | accident / or cerebrovascular disease / or transient      |
|    | ischemic attack   |
| 13 | 1 and 11 and 12   |
| 14 | Limit 13 to "1990-current"                                |

| Quality criteria              | Assessment                 | Score | Maximum score |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|---------------|
| Sampling method (was it       | Yes                        | 1     | 1             |
| representative of a target    | No                         | 0     |               |
| Appropriateness of            | Yes                        | 1     | 1             |
| statistical analysis          | No                         | 0     |               |
| Case ascertainment (was it    | Standard diagnostic        | 3     | 3             |
| based on standard             | criteria                   |       |               |
| diagnostic criteria, other    | Other clinical criteria or | 2     |               |
| clinical criteria or case     | case definition            |       |               |
| definition, informal          | Informal interviews        | 1     |               |
| interviews, or not reported?) | Not-reported               | 0     |               |
| Total ( <i>high</i> (         | 5                          |       |               |

 TABLE 2. Quality assessment of selected studies

| Author                        | Year | Location                      | Geopolitical zone | Study design                               | Study<br>Setting | Quality  |
|-------------------------------|------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--|------------------|----------|
| Adedoyin &<br>Adesoye (20)    | 2001 | Ile-Ife, Osun State           | South-west        | Hospital-based retrospective study         | Semi-Urban       | Moderate |
| Ansa et al (21)               | 1995 | Calabar, Cross River<br>State | South-south       | Hospital-based retrospective study         | Urban            | Moderate |
| Danesi et al (22)             | 2007 | Lagos                         | South-west        | Population-based door-to-door survey       | Urban            | High     |
| Danesi et al (23)             | 2006 | Surulere, Lagos               | South-west        | Population-based door-to-door<br>survey    | Urban            | High     |
| Enwereji et al (24)           | 2014 | Anambra State                 | South-east        | Population-based door-to-door survey       | Rural            | High     |
| Ezejimofor et al(6)           | 2014 | Niger Delta, Delta<br>State   | South-south       | Community-based cross-<br>sectional study  | Rural            | High     |
| Ojji et al (25)               | 2010 | Abuja, FCT                    | North-central     | Prospective cohort study                   | Urban            | Moderate |
| Okon et al (26)               | 2011 | Akure, Ondo State             | South-west        | Prospective cohort study                   | Urban            | Moderate |
| Onwuchekwa et al<br>(27)      | 2008 | Kegbara-Dere,<br>Rivers State | South-south       | Community-based cross-<br>sectional study  | Rural            | High     |
| Sanya et al (28)              | 2010 | llorin, Kwara State           | North-central     | Community-based door-to-<br>door study     | Semi-urban       | Moderate |
| Ezeala-Adikaibe et<br>al (29) | 2016 | Enugu State                   | South-east        | Population-based cross-<br>sectional study | Urban            | High     |

| TADLE 3. Characteristics of studies on epidemiology of stroke in Nigeria | TABLE 3. | Characteristics | of studies or | n epidemiology | of stroke in Nigeria |
|--|----------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------|
|--|----------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------|

| Data                     |                   |                   | Both sexes              | <b>I</b>               | Men                        |                 | Women                      |                 |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
|                          |                   | -                 | Pooled rate<br>(95% Cl) | l², <b>P-</b><br>value | Pooled<br>rate<br>(95% Cl) | l², P-<br>value | Pooled<br>rate (95%<br>Cl) | l², P-<br>value |
| Incidence of stroke (per | Nation-wide       |                   | 25.9 (12.8-<br>39.0)    | 100,<br><0.001         | 34.1 (9.7-<br>58.4)        | 99.8,<br><0.001 | 21.1 (7.4-<br>35.0)        | 99.7,<br><0.001 |
| 100,000<br>person years) | Study period      | 2000-2009         | 24.3 (11.9-<br>36.8)    | 100,<br><0.001         |                            |                 |                            |                 |
| ,                        | •                 | 2010+             | 27.4 (2.2-<br>52.7)     | 100,<br><0.001         |                            |                 |                            |                 |
|                          | Settings          | Urban             | 26.8 (13.0-<br>40.6)    | 100,<br><0.001         | -                          | -               | -                          | -               |
|                          |                   | Semi-<br>urban    | 17.4 (15.2-<br>19.6)    | -,                     | -                          | -               | -                          | -               |
|                          |                   | Rural             | -                       | -                      | -                          | -               | -                          | -               |
| Prevalence of stroke     | Nation-wide       |                   | 6.7 (5.8-7.7)           | 97.7,<br><0.001        | 6.4 (5.1-<br>7.6)          | 95.3,<br><0.001 | 4.4 (3.4-<br>5.5)          | 96.0,<br><0.001 |
| survivors (per<br>1000   | Study period      | 2000-2009         | 6.0 (4.6-7.5)           | 96.9,<br><0.001        | /                          |                 | <i>I</i>                   |                 |
| population)              | · · ·             | 2010+             | 7.5 (5.8-9.1)           | 98.2,<br><0.001        |                            |                 |                            |                 |
|                          | Settings          | Urban             | 6.2 (4.6-7.9)           | 98.3,<br><0.001        | -                          | -               | -                          | -               |
|                          |                   | Semi-<br>urban    | 4.8 ((2.5-7.1)          | 96.6,<br><0.001        | -                          | -               | -                          | -               |
|                          |                   | Rural             | 10.8 (7.5-<br>14.1)     | 97.5,<br><0.001        | -                          | -               | -                          | -               |
|                          | Geo-<br>political | North-<br>central | 4.8 (2.7-6.9)           | 96.8,<br><0.001        | -                          | -               | -                          | -               |
|                          | zones             | South-<br>east    | 8.9 (3.4-14.5)          | 98.4,<br><0.001        | -                          | -               | -                          | -               |
|                          |                   | South-<br>south   | 13.4 (9.1-<br>17.8)     | 97.4,<br><0.001        | -                          | -               | -                          | -               |
|                          |                   | South-<br>west    | 2.9 (1.7-4.1)           | 95.1,<br><0.001        | -                          | -               | -                          | -               |

# Table 4. Pooled crude estimates of incidence and prevalence of stroke in Nigeria