brought to you by T CORE

View Journal

PCCP

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: M. Baranac-Stojanovi and M. Stojanovi, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, DOI: 10.1039/C9CP01011D.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the **author guidelines**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our <u>author and reviewer resource centre</u>, still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.





Does Aromaticity Account for an Enhanced Thermodynamic Stability?

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C9CP01011D

The Case of Monosubstituted Azaborines and the Stereoelectronic

Chameleonism of NH₂ group

Marija Baranac-Stojanovića* and Milovan Stojanovićb

^a University of Belgrade - Faculty of Chemistry, Studentski trg 12-16, P.O.Box 158, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia e-mail: mbaranac@chem.bg.ac.rs

^b University of Belgrade, Institute of Chemistry, Technology and Metallurgy - Center for Chemistry, Njegoševa 12, P.O.Box 473, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia

Abstract

Published on 15 April 2019. Downloaded by Auburn University on 4/20/2019 11:16:25 AM.

This work was initiated by the increasing interest in BN/CC isosterism and by the long lasting interest in the concepts of aromaticity and substituent effects. We have theoretically examined aromaticity and stability of monosubstituted BN isosters of benzene, the three isomeric azaborines. The results provide insight into the effect of substitution on two basic molecular properties, which are influenced, here, by the effects of substituent and by the B/N relationship in the ring. The results, along with other examples in the literature, also warn chemists that the general belief that aromaticity accounts for an enhanced thermodynamic stability is not always true. Stability of cyclic, conjugated compounds depends on several effects, and only one of them is aromaticity. In addition, our calculations predict a switching of electronic properties of NH₂ group from the usual p-electron donor to the π -electron acceptor when it is moved from B/C atoms to nitrogen atom in all isomers, or C6 in 1,3-azaborine. This is the result of the conformational change that places the N_{LP} in the plane of the ring and the NH bonds in a favourable spatial position to act as acceptors of π -electron density.

View Article Online

Introduction

Published on 15 April 2019. Downloaded by Auburn University on 4/20/2019 11:16:25 AM.

Aromaticity is a fundamental and important concept in chemistry. Those cyclic, conjugated, (4n+2) π -electron compounds which show an enhanced thermodynamic stability relative to acyclic structural analogues, tendency toward bond length equalization, which undergo substitution instead of addition reactions and which develop diatropic ring currents in an external magnetic field are said to be aromatic. The concept of aromaticity has attracted great interest from theoretical and experimental chemists who developed various criteria and indices by which one can characterize a compound as aromatic. The mostly used ones are based on molecular structure and bond lengths,¹ electron delocalization,² magnetic properties³ and energy.⁴ Though, the often lack of correlation between various measures of aromaticity has led to its description as a multidimensional phenomenon,⁵ or even a question whether it can be properly defined.⁶

Relationship between aromaticity and stability, in its original definiton, creates general belief that compound which is more aromatic should be more stable. This may or may not be the case and there are examples when aromaticity and stability do not go hand in hand.⁷ An example of different trend between aromaticity and stability is also given by the boron-nitrogen (BN) analogues of benzene, i.e. the three isomeric azaborines (Figure 1). Here, the least stable 1,3-isomer was found to be the most aromatic, while the most stable 1,2-isomer is of intermediate aromaticity.⁸ The stability trend was rationalized by the quality of the σ bonds.^{8c,e,f} Thus, 1,2-azaborine, having two CC double bonds ($\sigma_{CC} = 106 \text{ kcal/mol}$)⁹ and one BN bond ($\sigma_{BN} = 109.8 \text{ kcal/mol}$)⁹ is the most stable. Next comes 1,4-isomer with two CC double bonds, but no BN bond, and the least stable is 1,3-isomer having only one CC double bond and no BN bond. The presence of BN bond, however, was suggested to be the main reason for weaker aromaticity of 1,2-azaborine relative to 1,3-isomer. Thus, the π -electron delocalization within the BN bond disrupts the overall π -electron delocalization, which results in smaller degree of aromaticity.⁸ c.^f The different trend of stability and aromaticity was rationalized by us^{8b} as being due to the inherent charge separation of the π -electronic system of 1,3-isomer (Figure 2) which is responsible for its highest energy. Curiously,

this same effect is also responsible for its largest aromaticity, by acting as a driving force for the strongest π -electron delocalization. Even though 1,2-isomer contains bond between the two atoms with large difference in electronegativity (B and N) and can be expected to be the least aromatic, the 1,4-B/N relationship decreases aromaticity to greater extent. This is because of the mostly one-directional π -electron delocalization in 1,4-isomer, from nitrogen to boron, i.e. the push-pull interaction (Figure 2).^{8b}



Figure 1. Relative free energies (ΔG , B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p), ref. 8b) and relative energies (ΔH at 298K, G3MP2, ref. 8e) of the three isomeric azaborines.



Figure 2. Relative aromaticity of the three isomeric azaborines.

Replacement of one CC unit in benzene with the isoelectronic, but polar BN unit endows azaborines with interesting properties so that, in recent years, they have received considerable interest from scientists working in the fields of synthetic, theoretical, material and biological chemistry.¹⁰

Substituent effects are another important concept in chemistry since they induce changes in electron density and thus affect physico-chemical properties of molecules. In aromatic compounds, substituent effects compete with aromaticity, because substituents' interaction with π -system decreases degree of π -electron delocalization. The relationship between substituent effects and aromaticity is continuing to be the topic of great interest among chemists. It has been shown that aromaticity of monosubstituted benzene mostly resists substituent effects,¹¹ while it can be

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics Accepted Manuscript

significantly affected by poly-substitution.¹² Monosubstituted heterocycles can show larger substituent effects¹³ and also raise a question about relation between aromaticity^Dand¹³stability,^{101D} which can go in opposite directions.¹⁴

In this work,¹⁵ we examine the competing influence of substituent effects and azaborine ring effects, affected by the B/N relationship, on aromaticity and stability of all isomeric monosubstituted azaborines. Whereas aromaticity and stability of azaborines themselves were subject of many studies,⁸ properties of substituted compounds have not yet been addressed, except for hydroxy-substituted 1,2-azaborine isomer.¹⁶ The latter work showed that substition at boron atom creates more stable, but less aromatic compound than substitution at nitrogen atom. This was explained by an increase in the polarity of B–N bond and by oxygen lone pair delocalization in *B*-substituted isomer which increases its stability but reduces aromaticity, while lone pairs repulsion destabilizes *N*-substituted borazine^{14a} and symetrically trisubstituted borazine¹⁷ containing various electron-donating or electron-accepting groups. Understanding of such basic molecular properties and factors governing them should be of value for further exploration and application of BN/CC isosterism in the case of benzene derivatives and also for our better understanding of fundamental concepts in chemistry, such as aromaticity and substituent effects.

The purpose of the work is twofold: to enhance our knowledge on substituted BN isosters of benzene and to show that the general thought that aromaticity accounts for an increased thermodynamic stability is not the best description of the concept, because, as mentioned above and as will be shown in the paper, higher degree of aromaticity does not always bring greater stability. Furthermore, our calculations provide an example of stereoelectronic chameleonic behaviour of NH₂ group.

Published on 15 April 2019. Downloaded by Auburn University on 4/20/2019 11:16:25 AM.

Methods and Computational Details

In order to examine substituent effects on aromaticity and stability of the three isomeric azaborines, we chose two substituents: nitro group, as a representative of electron-accepting groups, and amino group, as a representative of electron-donating groups.

Evaluation of Degree of Aromaticity

Degree of aromaticity was quantified by means of indices which belong to the four kinds of manifestation of the phenomenon: bond length equalization, electron delocalization, magnetic shielding and energetic stabilization.

The harmonic oscillator model of aromaticity (HOMA) index¹ was used to assess a degree of bond length equalization and bond lengths' closeness to an optimal bond length of an aromatic compound. It is defined as in Eq. 1, where α represents an empirical constant taken to give HOMA = 1 for systems in which all bonds are equal to an optimal bond length (R_{opt}) and HOMA = 0 for nonaromatic system. An individual bond length is given by $R_{i,j}$ is related with the type of the bond and *n* is the number of bonds taken into summation. The following α/R_{opt} (Å) have been used for HOMA calculations: 118.618/1.4386 (BC), 72.03/1.402 (BN), 257.7/1.388 (CC) and 93.52/1.334 (CN).^{1a}

$$HOMA = 1 - \frac{\alpha_j}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} (R_{opt,j} - R_{j,i})^2$$
(1)

A degree of electron delocalization was estimated on the basis of two indices, *para*delocalization index (PDI) and aromatic fluctuation index (FLU). The PDI represents an average of delocalization indices between *para*-related atoms in a six-membered ring (Eq. 2, δ = delocalization index) and is based on the idea that delocalization of electron density in benzene is greater between *para*-related carbons than between *meta*-related carbons.^{2,18} The PDI increases with increasing aromaticity.

View Article Online

View Article Online

PDI =
$$\frac{\delta(1,4) + \delta(2,5) + \delta(3,6)}{3}$$
 (2)
View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/C9CP01011D

The FLU index (Eq. 3, N = the number of atoms in a ring) is the measure of the fluctuation of electronic charge between adjacent atoms in a given ring.

$$FLU = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\left(\frac{V(A_i)}{V(A_{i-1})} \right)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{\delta(A_i, A_{i-1}) - \delta_{ref}(A_i, A_{i-1})}{\delta_{ref}(A_i, A_{i-1})} \right) \right]^2$$
(3)

The V(A) is defined as in Eq. 4 and α is a function to ensure that the first term is always greater or equal to 1 (Eq. 5).

$$V(A_i) = \sum_{A_j \neq A_j} \delta_{\text{ref}}(A_i, A_j) \tag{4}$$

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 1 \quad V(A_i) > V(A_{i-1}) \\ -1 \quad V(A_i) \le V(A_{i-1}) \end{cases}$$
(5)

The FLU values are close to zero in aromatic compounds and increase upon going to nonaromatic and antiaromatic molecules.^{2,19} The following reference values for delocalization indices were used for the FLU calculations: 1.42 e (BC), 1.26 e (BN), 1.468 e (CC) and 1.566 e (CN).²⁰

Published on 15 April 2019. Downloaded by Auburn University on 4/20/2019 11:16:25 AM.

As a magnetic index of aromaticity, we used the nucleus independent chemical shift (NICS).^{3c,21} Calculated NICS value represents the magnitude of (de)shielding at a point in the vicinity of the molecule. To characterize (anti)aromaticity it is used with the negative sign and is calculated at the center of the ring or at a chosen distance above/below it. Thus, negative NICS values (magnetically shielded) indicate presence of diatropic ring currents and aromaticity, while positive NICS values (magnetically deshielded) denote paratropic ring currents and antiaromaticity. We used two of its variants. They are the NICS(1)_{zz} and the NICS(0)_{π zz}. The first one corresponds to the out-of-plane component of magnetic shielding calculated 1 Å above the center of the ring and the second one involves only the π -electron contribution to the out-of-plane component of magnetic shielding calculated at the center of the ring. The latter is considered as the most refined NICS index.^{21a} The center of the ring is the geometric center calculated as an average of coordinates of heavy atoms making up the ring. Negative NICS values indicate diatropic currents and aromaticity,

positive values denote paratropic currents and antiaromaticity, and values close to zero correspond

 View Article Online

 DOI: 10.1039/C9CP01011D

The extra cyclic resonance energy (ECRE), which measures extra stabilization of a molecule due to cyclic electron delocalization, was used as an energetic criterion of aromaticity.^{4a} It was calculated as a difference between vertical resonance energy (VRE) of cyclic molecule and acyclic reference systems. The VRE represents the resonance energy (difference in energy between localized and delocalized state of a molecule) evaluated at an optimal geometry of delocalized state. For the reference systems, we used three appropriately substituted *cis*-1,3-butadiene molecules which had the same number and the same kind of π -electron conjugations as in cyclic molecules. The cis-conformation was chosen because butadiene fragments in cyclic systems have cis arrangement. When cyclic compound had the C_s or $C_{2\nu}$ symmetry, reference systems were taken in their C_s symmetry forms, which, in many cases corresponded to transition state structure with one imaginary frequency, or to the second order saddle point with two imaginary frequences. When cyclic compound had the C_1 symmetry, or C_2 in the case of 1,4-isomer with B–NO₂ group, substituent conformation was kept the same in cyclic molecule and acyclic reference. In cases when azaborine ring was not fully planar, the same dihedral angles were used in acyclic reference molecules while other parameters were fully optimized. In the case of reference systems having the C_1 symmetry, the σ/π separation was good, except in two cases (see Table S3 in the ESI). Positive ECRE values mean stabilization of a cyclic molecule relative to acyclic reference molecules and negative ones denote destabilization. Details of ECRE calculations are provided in Table S3.

Examination of Relative Stability

To get insight into the factors which determine stability of examined compounds we performed an isomerization energy decomposition analysis (IEDA).^{7b,22} Since boron-substituted compounds were found to be the most stable for each substituent (NO₂ and NH₂) and for each azaborine isomer, an isomerization process was performed by moving substituent from boron atom

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics Accepted Manuscript

to another site in the molecule. The related energy change is isomerization energy (ΔE_{iso}). This energy can be separated into two main energy parts: change in deformation energy ($\Delta \Delta E_{def}$) and change in interaction energy ($\Delta \Delta E_{int}$), as given by Eq. 6.

$$\Delta E_{\rm iso} = \Delta \Delta E_{\rm def} + \Delta \Delta E_{\rm int} \tag{6}$$

The $\Delta\Delta E_{def}$ term involves energy change due to structural changes of substituent and azaborine ring that occur upon isomerization and energy needed to transfer hydrogen atom from carbon or nitrogen atom to boron atom. Thus, this energy term involves differences in CH, NH and BH bond energies. The $\Delta\Delta E_{int}$ term is related to changes in substituent-ring bond strenght occurring upon isomerization. This energy depends on the relative strength ($\Delta\Delta E$ value) of classical electrostatic interactions (ΔE_{elstat}), quantum-mechanical orbital interactions (ΔE_{Pauli} and ΔE_{oi}) and dispersion interactions (ΔE_{disp}) in the isomers considered (Eq. 7).

$$\Delta\Delta E_{\text{int}} = \Delta\Delta E_{\text{elstat}} + \Delta\Delta E_{\text{Pauli}} + \Delta\Delta E_{\text{oi}} + \Delta\Delta E_{\text{disp}}$$
(7)

The ΔE_{elstat} term involves attractive (electron-nucleus) and repulsive (electron-electron and nucleusnucleus) electrostatic interactions, where the former prevail in a molecule. Thus, electrostatic energy can be more or less attractive upon going from one isomer to another. The ΔE_{Pauli} involves repulsive (destabilizing) interactions occuring between the same spin electrons of substituent and the ring. The stabilizing ΔE_{oi} energy involves the strength of the substituent-ring σ bond, donoracceptor interactions between substituent and the ring, and polarization (empty-occupied orbital mixing in substituent due to the presence of ring, and vice versa). The ΔE_{disp} is associated with stabilizing dispersion forces.

In order to perform energy decomposition analysis (EDA) we formed a molecule from two radical fragments, the ring and the substituent (Scheme 1). These fragments had opposite spin so that they could form a bond.

azaborine $\langle + \rangle$ substituent \rightarrow substituted azaborine

Scheme 1. Formation of substituted azaborines from two radical fragments.

The deformation energy of each fragment was calculated as an energy change upon going from its equilibrium geometry to the one it had in a molecule, while deformation energy of Deach 1000 feet 1011D (ΔE_{def}) was obtained by summing up these two energies (Eq. 8). Since the equilibrium azaborine radical had unpaired electron at boron atom, the ΔE_{def} also involves hydrogen transfer energy.

$$\Delta E_{def} = \Delta E_{def}(azaborine) + \Delta E_{def}(substituent)$$
(8)

The interaction energy of each molecule (ΔE_{int}) was partitioned into various energy components (Eq. 7) by using the localized molecular orbital energy decomposition analysis (LMOEDA) of Su and Li.²³

When azaborine ring isomerization energy was examined, molecule was studied as being composed of six radical fragments, where NH(R) and BH(R) were taken in their triplet electronic state and four CH(R) fragments were taken in their quartet electronic state. Isomerization was carried out by exchanging positions of these fragments so that they corresponded to those in the three azaborine isomers.

Computational Details

All calculations were done at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level of theory.²⁴ The Gaussian 09²⁵ was used for geometry optimization, frequency calculations and NICS calculations, which were performed with the GIAO method.²⁶ Only energy minimum structures, with no imaginary frequences, are discussed in the manuscript. Stability test, performed for substituted 1,3-azaborines, confirmed that the molecules are closed-shell singlet species at the theory level employed. All high energy isomers were also optimized in their triplet states, which were higher in energy than the closed-shell singlet state. For NICS(0)_{π zz} calculations, the natural chemical shielding analysis (NCS)²⁷ of NBO 3.1 implemented in Gaussian 09, was used. The NCS separates total shielding into contribution from natural localized molecular orbitals (NLMOs), so that we could extract contributions from π -orbitals only. Resonance energies for ECRE calculations were calculated by NBO deletion analysis²⁸ of NBO 6.0 version,²⁹ linked to Gaussian 09. Six π -electron delocalizations

were switched off in cyclic molecule and two in each acyclic reference system. When cyclic/acyclic ^{View Article Online} molecules had less than three/two π -bonds in NBO analysis, the π -bonds were generated by using^{01D} the "choose" keyword of NBO analysis. The final ECRE values are based on the relative weights of two resonance structures of cyclic compounds, which were calculated by means of the natural resonance theory analysis (NRT)²⁸ of NBO 6.0, with the use of "nrtstr" keyword. The HOMA, PDI and FLU indices were calculated by using the Multiwfn program.³⁰ The EDA was performed by employing the LMOEDA,²³ implemented in the Gamess program.³¹

Results and Discussion

Published on 15 April 2019. Downloaded by Auburn University on 4/20/2019 11:16:25 AM.

Molecular structures and NH₂ as stereoelectronic chameleon

The carbon analogue of the studied nitro derivatives, nitrobenzene, has a planar structure and all nitro-substituted azaborines are planar, too, except 4-nitro-1,3-azaborine, which very sligthly deviates from planarity, and 4-nitro-1,4-azaborine which was optimized with C_2 symmetry and dihedral angle of 63° between the substituent and the ring. However, the energy difference between these two energy minimum structures and planar transition state structures was very small (< 0.5 kcal/mol).

Another carbon analogue of the studied molecules, aniline, possesses slightly pyramidalized nitrogen atom. The extent of pyramidalization can be varied by substituents and it correlates well with the electron-accepting ability of the ring.³² However, attachment of amino group at azaborine ring gives the NH₂ potential to behave as stereoelectronic chameleon.³³ When placed at the electron-deficient boron atom, the NH₂ is the strong p-electron donor and all *B*-substituted azaborines are planar. This can be ascribed to an enhanced resonance between nitrogen lone pair (N_{LP}) and boron atom.³⁴ However, when the NH₂ group is moved at the electron rich nitrogen atom the N_{LP} $\rightarrow \pi^*$ interaction is significantly reduced. This effect, along with an increased steric repulsion between the N_{LP} and the electron rich part of the π -system of the ring induces a conformational change, which results in the in-plane orientation of the N_{LP} (Figure 3). The resulting conformation is stabilized by

the $\pi \rightarrow \sigma^*_{\rm NH}$ hyperconjugation, which is increased in this case due to the increased π -electron density at nitrogen atom, and the overall result is the transformation of the strong p^{-donor} into the ^{View Article Online} π -acceptor, the effect named as stereoelectronic chameleonism.³³ The data in Figure 3 show that the difference in energy between conformation with the N_{LP} oriented perpendicularly to the ring plane and the in-plane conformation is the largest for 1,4-azaborine and the smallest for 1,3-azaborine. This is consistent with the strength of the π -electron delocalization, which is the strongest in 1,3isomer and the weakest in 1,4-isomer.



Figure 3. The NH₂ as stereoelectronic chameleon when moved from boron atom to nitrogen atom within the azaborine ring.

In carbon substituted azaborines (Figure 4), nitrogen atom of amino group is more or less pyramidalized, whereas the spatial position of N_{LP} is almost perpendicular to the azaborine ring (difference between \angle HNCC and \angle HNCC(B) is less than 10°) when substituent is attached at the carbon atom which is *ortho*-related to boron atom (3-amino-1,2-azaborine, 3-amino-1,4-azaborine and 4-amino-1,3-azaborine) or *meta*-related to boron atom (4-amino-1,2-azaborine and 5-amino-

hemical Physics Accepted Manuscrip

Physical Chemistry (

1,3-azaborine). A strong interaction between NH₂ group and π -system of the ring is theoretically predicted for 4-amino-1,3-azaborine in which the sum of bond angles around mtrogen atom amounts 357°. In all these compounds, the NH₂ behaves as p-electron donor. The *ortho-* or *meta*relation to boron atom and, at the same time, *ortho*-relation to nitrogen atom (6-amino-1,2azaborine, 2-amino-1,4-azaborine and 2-amino-1,3-azaborine) creates an angle of less than 90° between the N_{LP} and the ring (difference between \angle HNCN and \angle HNCC(B) is more than 20°). This can be ascribed to the decreased N_{LP} $\rightarrow \pi^*$ electronic interaction and to the molecular tendency to reduce N_{LP}/ π repulsion, which both go larger near the electronegative nitrogen atom. The *meta*relation to nitrogen atom (and *para*-relation to boron atom, 5-amino-1,2-azaborine) has the same result, which can be atributted to nitrogen resonance effect increasing the π -electron density at that site. The *ortho*-relation to nitrogen and *para*-relation to boron results in an almost in-plane orientation of N_{LP} and azaborine ring (6-amino-1,3-azaborine), that is, in this case the NH₂ would turn into the electron-accepting group.



Figure 4. The stereoelectronic properties of NH_2 when attached at carbon atoms of azaborine ring and the sum of bond angles around nitrogen atom for the p-donor NH_2 group.

On the basis of the presented results, our calculations predict that it could be possible to control the stereoelectronic properties of amino group by changing its position within the azaborine ring and this is expected to affect chemical reactivity of amino-substituted azaborines.

Energetic Stability

Figure 5 shows the structures of all compounds with their relative energies. Values in Water in Water in Water isometry changes when substituent changes its position within the azaborine ring. Values in red represent relative energies of boron-substituted compounds (three isomeric nitro derivatives and three isometric amino derivatives). Values in green are related to carbon-substituted compounds and represent relative energies of the ten isometric nitro derivaties and relative energies of the ten isometric nitro derivatives and relative energies of the ten isometric amino derivatives. Values in blue are relative energies of nitrogen-substituted compounds (three isometric nitro derivatives and three isometric amino derivatives). Analysis of these energies gives an insight into the effect of azaborine ring isometrization on molecular energy, while substituent remains attached at the same type of atom. Values in violet are energies of all nitrosubstituted compounds, or all amino-substituted compounds relative to the most stable isometric, which is, for both series, B-substituted 1,2-azaborine. This analysis takes into account both effects, change of substituent position and ring isometrization.

For all three azaborine isomers, substitution at boron atom creates the most stable system and substitution at nitrogen atom results in the least stable compound, irrespective of electronic properties of substituents ($\Delta G_{(N-R)} = 42.1-47.7/48.6-55.9$ kcal/mol for R = NO₂/NH₂, black values in Figure 5). Energies of carbon-substituted molecules are between the *B*- and *N*-substituted ones and are by $\Delta G = 18.6-23.6/18.0-31.1$ kcal/mol above the most stable *B*-substituted isomer when R = NO₂/NH₂. The IEDA results (Table S1 in the ESI) show that in the case of B–NO₂ \rightarrow N–NO₂ isomerization the most important effect which is responsible for energy rise is better electrostatic stabilization of B–NO₂ vs N–NO₂ isomers (entries 1, 10, 11, 23, 32, 33, 45, 50 and 51). This is understandable, since boron atom carries partial positive charge and nitrogen atom carries partial negative charge, while nitro group is partially negatively charged, much more so in B–NO₂ (around –0.4) than in N–NO₂ isomers (–0.07 or less). In the case of amino substituent, the *B*-substituted isomer in each series is better stabilized by orbital interactions, due to N_{LP} \rightarrow B electron donation, which is the most important effect responsible for energy rise upon B–NH₂ \rightarrow N–NH₂

View Article Online

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C9CP01011D

isomerization. The second important effect is, again, better electrostatic stabilization of B-NH2 vs

N–NH₂ isomers (Table S1, entries 12, 21, 22, 34, 43, 44, 52, 57, and 58).

Published on 15 April 2019. Downloaded by Auburn University on 4/20/2019 11:16:25 AM



Figure 5. Relative energies (including ZPE) and relative free energies (in parentheses) of azaborines and their monosubstituted derivatives. For substituted compounds, values in black show the effect of substituent position on energy of a single azaborine isomer, values in red, green and blue show the effect of the azaborine ring isomerization on

molecular energy, while substituent remains attached at the same kind of atom (B, C, or N), values in violet include both effects and represent energies of all nitro or amino derivatives relative to the most stable one.

In 1,2- and 1,4-azaborine series, transfer of nitro group from boron to carbon atom decreases electrostatic stabilization, as well, but to lesser extent than the transfer to nitrogen atom (Table S1, entries 1-9 and 45-49). This effect is partly responsible for weaker stability of C-NO₂ vs B-NO₂ isomers. Another one involves an increase in the Pauli repulsion and can be ascribed to repulsive effects between π -electrons of the nitro group and π -electrons of the azaborine ring, which are more intense when NO₂ resides at carbon atom than at electron-deficient boron atom. These repulsive effects are more pronounced in 1,2-azaborine series which is a consequnce of somewhar shorter C-NO₂ bonds (1.46-1.47 Å in 1,2-isomers and 1.48-1.49 Å in 1,4-isomers). It is interesting that the C-NO₂ bond in 4-nitro-1,2-azaborine is long (1.505 Å) so that there is no increase in Pauli repulsion upon B–NO₂ \rightarrow C–NO₂ isomerization (entries 1, 6 and 7). Small, or negative changes in repulsive effects upon transfer of NO₂ from boron to nitrogen atom can also be explained by long N–NO₂ bonds, while it should be kept in mind that molecules often increase bond lengths just to decrease destabilization due to the Pauli repulsion. In the case of amino-substituted 1,2- and 1,4azaborines, decrease in stabilizing orbital interactions and somewhat smaller decrease in electrostatic stabilization occuring when B-NH₂ isomerizes into C-NH₂ are the main sources of energy rise, that is the smaller stability of carbon-substituted amino derivatives (entries 12-20 and 52-56).

The absence of uniformity of change in electrostatic, Pauli and stabilizing orbital interactions when R is transferred from boron to carbon atom in 1,3-azaborine series can be attributed to charge separation of the π -system of 1,3-isomer, though a diradical character of this molecule was also suggested.³⁵ For NO₂ group, the dominant effect leading to molecular destabilization upon B-NO₂ \rightarrow C-NO₂ isomerization is increase in Pauli repulsion (Table S1, entries 23-31). There is a decrease in electrostatic stabilization only for 4-NO₂ and 5-NO₂ isomers (entries

23, 26, 27, 30 and 31), almost equal in magnitude as $\Delta\Delta E_{Pauli}$ for the latter (entry 31). The other two isomers become slightly better stabilized by ΔE_{elstat} . For NH₂ substituent, the reduced orbital interactions and to lesser extent decreased electrostatic stabilization are mainly reponsible for the weaker stability of the three C–NH₂ isomers vs B–NH₂ isomer (entries 34, 37-42), but there is a reversal of effects for 4-amino-1,3-azaborine which is destabilized by Pauli interactions, but better stabilized by ΔE_{elstat} and ΔE_{oi} (entries 34-36). This is a consequence of the strong substituent-ring interaction, which shortens the C–N bond to only 1.37 Å. Shorter bonds have larger Pauli repulsion, but better orbital and electrostatic interactions.

Data in Figure 5 show that azaborine ring effects are dominant effects that determine relative stability when substituent is attached at the same kind of atom (values in red/green/blue for boron/carbon/nitrogen atoms). Thus, when substituent is placed at boron or at nitrogen atom, 1,2-azaborine ring isomerization into 1,4-azaborine, and further isomerization into 1,3-azaborine increases the energy by very similar values as in the case of unsubstituted azaborine ring isomerizations. The stability trend 1,2-azaborine > 1,4-azaborine > 1,3-azaborine is the same for C-substituted compounds, only difference in energy between the ring isomers becomes smaller, particularly between the least stable 1,4-azaborine isomer and the most stable 1,3-azaborine isomer (2.7 kcal/mol and 0.4 kcal/mol for NO₂ and NH₂ derivatives, respectively). This decrease in energy difference can be attributed to stabilization of separated charges of 1,3-isomeric ring by substituent, which decreases $\Delta\Delta E_{def}$ component (the EDA data in Table S2, entries 1-6 for NO₂ and 7-12 for NH₂).

Published on 15 April 2019. Downloaded by Auburn University on 4/20/2019 11:16:25 AM.

The, above mentioned, stability trend of azaborine isomers can be affected by substituent effects when comparing all nitro-derivatives, or all amino-derivatives (Figure 5, values in violet). Firstly, 1,2-azaborine having substituent at boron atom is the most stable for both nitro-substituted and amino-substituted series. Substituent effects (slightly) destabilize C-substituted 1,2-azaborines relative to *B*-substituted 1,4-azaborines, so that the latter is slightly more stable than 5-nitro-1,2-azaborine and more stable than any of C-NH₂ 1,2-azaborine isomers. This reversal of stability trend

of 1,2 and 1,4 azaborine isomers originates from smaller B-substituted 1,2-azaborine \rightarrow B-View Article Online substituted 1,4-azaborine isomerization energy compared with that of parent compounds and can be attributed to the smaller Pauli repulsion increase upon isomerization when boron atom contains substitutent (Table S2 in the ESI, entries 13-21). The increased Pauli repulsion in 1,4-isomer relative to 1,2-isomer can be ascribed to repulsive effects between nitrogen lone pair and neighbouring π -electrons (only one such interaction in 1,2-azaborine). The data in Table S2 suggest that substitution at B atom mostly affects (increases) ΔE_{Pauli} in 1,2-isomer, thus reducing $\Delta \Delta E_{Pauli}$ upon isomerization. This can be ascribed to increased π -electron density at B atom, which is due to the direct $N_{LP} \rightarrow B$ electron donation (NH₂), or π -electron withdrawal toward B atom because of its increased -I effect when substituted with NO₂ group. The *B*-substituted 1,3-azaborines come next, according to their stability (after C-R 1,2-azaborines), and are more stable that C-substituted 1,4azaborines. Since B-R 1,4-azaborine \rightarrow B-R 1,3-azaborine isomerization energy is similar to that of parent compounds when $R = NO_2$, or is larger when $R = NH_2$, the greater stability of *B*-substituted 1,3-system relative to C-substituted 1,4-system (by ~13 kcal/mol) should be attributed to better electrostatic stabilization and lower Pauli repulsion for B-substitution when $R = NO_2$, or better electrostatic and orbital stabilization for B-substitution when $R = NH_2$. More favourable electrostatic energy of C-substitution compared with N-substitution ($R = NO_2$), or more favourable electrostatic and orbital interactions ($R = NH_2$) are the main reasons for lower energy of C-R 1,4isomers relative to N-R 1,2-isomers (Table S1, entries 48 and 50 for R =NO₂, 55 and 57 for R =NH₂), since N-R 1,2-azaborine \rightarrow 1,4-isometrization energy is similar to that of parent azaborines. Finally, carbon substitution stabilizes 1,3-azaborine ring system relative to N-substituted 1,4azaborine ring system because of better electrostatic and orbital interaction energy (Table S1, entries 30 and 32 for R =NO₂, 41 and 43 for R =NH₂). The least stable compound for each series of substituents is N-R 1,3-azaborine isomer.

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics Accepted Manuscript

View Article Online

Aromaticity

Published on 15 April 2019. Downloaded by Auburn University on 4/20/2019 11:16:25 AM.

Correlation between indices, which were used to quantify aromaticity, rangeofrom³p68f^Pto^{11D} good (Table S4 in the ESI) and this can be expected because they characterize various manifestations of aromaticity phenomenon, as is widely discussed in the literature. Nevertheless, the calculated indices are indication of similarities and differences between aromaticity degree of the studied compounds and the following conclusions are based on examination of all kinds of indices.

Firstly, we discuss how moving of NO₂ group across the azaborine ring influences aromaticity. Data in Figure 6 indicate that differences in degree of aromaticity between B/Csubstituted 1,2- and 1,4-isomers and parent compounds are small, only B-substituted 1,2-azaborine appears to be slightly more aromatic. Substitution at nitrogen atom, however, affects aromaticity to larger extent: N-substituted isomers are less aromatic and substituent effect is more pronounced in the least aromatic 1,4-isomer. In this case, the electron-accepting NO_2 group has the largest interaction with the π -electronic system of the ring, thus decreasing its aromaticity, because the π electron density is larger at nitrogen atom. In the case of B-NO₂ substitution, interaction of substituent with the π -system is the weakest, while boron atom becomes more electron-withdrawing due to -I effect of the substituent and, consequently, aromaticity of 1,2-isomer slightly increases. In 1,3-azaborine, substituent effects are small at any position and this is in accord with the largest π electron delocalization in this isomer. The B-NO₂ isomer mostly retains aromaticity of its parent compound, whereas the others are only slightly less aromatic. This slight decrease in aromaticity makes nitro-substituted 1,3-azaborine isomers and B-NO₂-1,2-isomer to be similarly aromatic. The N-NO₂ 1,2-azaborine and B/C-NO₂ 1,4-azaborines also have comparable degree of aromaticity, and no reversal of aromaticity order of the three isomeric BN rings has been observed upon introduction of electron-withdrawing nitro group. All BN compounds are (slighty) less aromatic than their carbon analogue, nitrobenzene.

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics



^a Average of the two sides of the ring. ^b Not clear σ/π separation.

Figure 6. Calculated aromaticity indices for studied compounds.

In the case of amino substituent, theory predicts similar aromatic character of all three N-NH₂ derivatives and the parent unsubstituted azaborines. This is attributable to the substituent conformation which prevents the N_{LP}/ π interaction. Similar conformation of 6-amino-1,3-azaborine results in high degree of aromaticity, as well. Delocalization of the π -electron density into the two σ^*_{N-H} appears to have a minor effect on the degree of aromaticity. Even though the N_{LP} is almost parallel with the ring p-orbitals of 3-amino-1,4-azaborine, their interaction should be weak due to the increased π -electron density at the carbon carrying the substituent, coming from nitrogen resonance effect, so that this molecule also possesses similar degree of aromaticity as the parent molecule. Other carbon-substituted compounds are characterized by slightly lower aromaticity which shows small variations with substituent conformation, whereas the three B-NH₂ isomers are clearly the least aromatic. This is a consequence of the strong N_{LP}/π interaction at the site of electron-deficient boron atom. The established aromaticity trend 1,3-azaborine > 1,2-azaborine > 1,4-azaborine is affected by amino-substitution only for B-NH₂-1,3-azaborine and N-NH₂-1,2azaborine, when the former becomes slightly less aromatic than the latter, while N–NH₂ 1,2-isomer appears to be similarly aromatic as C-NH₂ 1,3-isomers and aromaticity of B-NH₂ 1,3-azaborine, C-NH₂ 1,2-isomers and N(C)-NH₂ 1,4-isomers becomes close to each other. The carbon analogue, aniline, is (slightly) more aromatic than any of its BN isosters.

The presented results show that azaborine ring effects mostly win the substituent effects by retaining the original aromaticity order 1,3-azaborine > 1,2-azaborine > 1,4-azaborine, except for B-NH₂ substitution of 1,3-isomer and N-NH₂-1,2-azaborine, when reversal of aromaticity order has been observed. Though, it should be noted that substitution decreases differences in aromaticity degree between isomeric azaborines and sometimes makes different isomers to have similar aromaticity.

As a guide for experimental chemists, regarding aromaticity, the following can be used. To create a molecule having aromaticity degree comparable to that of the most aromatic 1,3-azaborine, electron-accepting group (NO₂) can be introduced at B atom, or electron-donating group (NH₂) can 20

be introduced at N, or C6 of the same isomer. Introduction of NO₂ at any other position of 1,3azaborine, or at B atom of 1,2-azaborine, as well as introduction of NH₂ at C ^patoms³0f⁹4,3^O11D azaborine, or at N atom of 1,2-azaborine very slightly reduces aromaticity. Further, also slight, reduction in aromaticity can be achieved by introducing NH₂ at B atom of 1,3-azaborine, NH₂ and NO₂ at C and C/N atoms, respectively, of 1,2-azaborine and NO₂ at B/C atoms or NH₂ at C/N atoms of 1,4-azaborine isomer. Additional decrease in aromaticity degree comes from B-NH₂ substitution of 1,2-azaborine and N-NO₂ substitution of 1,4-azaborine and, finally, the least aromatic system can be created by introducing NH₂ substituent at B atom of 1,4-isomer.

Aromaticity and stability

Comparison of degree of aromaticity and thermodynamic stability of nitro-subtituted compounds shows that molecules having similar degree of aromaticity differ significantly in their relative energy (Figure 5) and this clearly renders aromaticity as just one of several effects that influences thermodynamic stability. Decrease of stability goes along with decrease in aromaticity only when NO₂ is moved to nitrogen atom in 1,2- and 1,4-series, but the main reason for substantially higher energy of N-NO₂ derivatives is not change in aromaticity, which is not large (Figure 6), but decrease in electrostatic stabilization. In the case of electron-donating NH₂ group, aromaticity and stability show opposite trend: (slight) decrease in aromaticity is followed by an increase in thermodynamic stabilization), it thermodynamically stabilizes molecule and together with electrostatic energy component dominates over the aromatic stabilization of thermodynamically less stable isomers. This is one more confirmation that aromaticity does not always account for an increased thermodynamic stability and, thus, should be taken along with other effects to interpret increased or decreased molecular stability.

Conclusions

View Article Online

In this work we have theoretically examined how substitution of azaborine ring affects energetic stability and aromaticity. In the last section, we discuss them together and show that greater aromaticity does not always mean more stable system. The main conclusions can be summarized as follows.

Geometry and stereoelectronic chameleonism

Electron-withdrawing group (NO₂) orients itself in the plane of azaborine ring, so that its interaction with the ring π -system is maximal. The electronic properties of amino group depend on its position within the azaborine ring and, thus, can be controlled. When attached at boron atom, or at C4 of 1,3-azaborine, the NH₂ acts as a strong electron-donor, with the lone pair orbital perpendicularly oriented with resepect to the ring plane. However, when placed at nitrogen atom, or at C6 of 1,3-azaborine, it alignes the lone pair orbital with the plane of the ring, while the N-H bonds adopt a favourable orientation to act as σ^* -acceptors. This conformational shift can be considered to be induced by the enhanced steric repulsion between the lone pair and the increased π -electron density at nitrogen atom and by a decreased magnitude of the N_{LP} $\rightarrow \pi^*$ conjugation, while the resulting conformation is stabilized by $\pi \rightarrow \sigma^*_{NH}$ interactions. At other positions, the NH₂ should behave as electron-donor, but with the weaker magnitude of $N_{LP} \rightarrow \pi^*$ interaction, because the N_{LP} is tilted from the π -parallel orientation.

Stability

Published on 15 April 2019. Downloaded by Auburn University on 4/20/2019 11:16:25 AM.

Substituent-ring interactions determine stability trend when substituent is moved accross the ring. In each azaborine series, the most stable is B-R isomer and the least stable is N-R isomer, irrespective of electronic properties of substituent. An increase in energy that follows moving of substituent from B to C or N atoms mainly comes from decrease in electrostatic stabilizing energy (NO₂ and NH₂) and increase in Pauli repulsion (NO₂) or decrease in stabilizing orbital interactions (NH₂). Some deviations of this trend were observed for charge-separated 1,3-azaborine isomer.

Azaborine ring effects, influenced by the B/N relationship, are dominant in determining relative stability when comparing compounds in which substituent is attached at the same $^{View Article Online}$ atom. In this case, stability trend 1,2 > 1,4 > 1,3 is the same for substituted and parent molecules. However, substituent effects can diminish energy difference between carbon-substituted azaborine isomers. When comparing all nitro-derivatives, or all amino-derivatives, substituent effects can change stability order established for parent molecules.

Aromaticity

The electron-withdrawing NO₂ group has a little effect on aromaticity of the most delocalized 1,3-azaborine isomer and *B*/C-substituted 1,2- and 1,4-isomers. However, it decreases aromaticity when placed at nitrogen atom of 1,2- and 1,4-isomers by more strongly interacting with the ring π -system.

The electron-donating NH_2 group also affects aromaticity degree slightly, except when it is placed at boron atom. At that site, it most strongly interacts with the π -system, thus decreasing aromaticity.

The aromaticity order of the parent molecules 1,2 > 1,4 > 1,3 is mostly retained by substitution except for *B*-NH₂ 1,3-azaborine which is less aromatic than *N*-NH₂-1,2-azaborine. However, substitution reduces differences in aromaticity between azaborine isomers and can make different isomers to have similar aromaticity.

Aromaticity and stability

Large differences in energies of isomers with similar degree of aromaticity and, particularly, the opposite trend of these two properties, found for some isomeric species, along with other similar examples in the literature, show that more aromatic does not always mean more stable. Stabilization (energy lowering) due to cyclic delocalization of electrons, named as aromaticity, is just one of several, often more pronounced effects that influence molecular stability.

View Article Online

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia, project No. 172020.

Conflicts of Interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

References and Notes

- a) T. M. Krygowski, H. Szatylowicz, O. A. Stasyuk, J. Dominikowska and M. Palusiak, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 6383-6422; b) T. M. Krygowski and M. K. Cyrański, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 1385-1420.
- a) F. Feixas, E. Matito, J. Poater and M. Solà, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, 44, 6434-6451; b) J.
 Poater, M. Duran, M. Solà and B. Silvi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, 105, 3911-3947.
- a) R. Gershoni-Poranne and A. Stanger, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, 44, 6597-6615; b) R. Islas,
 T. Heine and G. Merino, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2012, 45, 215-228; c) Z. Chen. C. S. Wannere, C.
 Corminboeuf, R. Puchta and P. von R. Schleyer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, 105, 3842-3888; d) P.
 Lazzeretti, *Chem. Phys. Phys. Chem.*, 2004, 6, 217-223; e) R. H. Mitchell, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, 101, 1301-1316.
- a) Y. Mo and P. v. R. Schleyer, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2006, **12**, 2009-2020; b) M. K. Cyrański, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 3773-3811.
- M. K. Cyrański, T. M. Krygowski, A. R. Katritzky and P. v. R. Schleyer, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 1333-1338.
- a) J. Grunenberg, Int. J. Quantum Chem., 2017, 117, e25359. b) A. Stanger, Chem. Comm. 2009, 1939-1947.
- a) O. A. Stasyuk, H. Szatylowicz and T. M. Krygowski, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 6476-6483;
 b) M. El-Hamdi, W. Tiznado, J. Poater and M. Solá, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76,

8913-8921; c) Y. Wang, J. I.-C. Wu, Q. Li and P. v. R. Schleyer, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 4824-4827; d) M. Mandado, N. Otero and R. A. Mosquera, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 922949297091011D

- a) A. G. Papadopoulos, N. D. Charistos, K. Kyriakidou and M. P. Sigalas, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2015, **119**, 10091-10100; b) M. Baranac-Stojanović, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 16558-16565;
 c) S. Xu, T. C. Mikulas, L. N. Zakharov, D. A. Dixon and S.-Y. Liu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 7527-7531; d) D. Ghosh, G. Periyasamy and S. K. Pati, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 20627-20636; e) M. H. Matus, S.-Y. Liu and D. A. Dixon, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2010, **114**, 2644-2654; f) M. Kranz and T. Clark, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1992, **57**, 5492-5500.
- 9. D. J. Grant and D. A. Dixon, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2006, 110, 12955-12962.
- For review articles, see: a) Z. X. Giustra and S.-Y. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 1184-1194; b) G. Bélanger-Chabot, H. Braunschweig and D. K. Roy, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2017, 4353-4368; c) P. G. Campbell, A. J. V. Marwitz and S.-Y. Liu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 6074-6092; d) M. J. D. Bosdet and W. E. Piers, Can. J. Chem., 2009, 87, 8-29.
- 11. a) T. Siodla, H. Szatylowicz, K. S. Varaksin and T. M. Krygowski, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 96527-96530; b) T. M. Krygowski and B. T. Stępień, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, 105, 3482-3512; c) T. M. Krygowski, K. Ejsmont, B. T. Stepień, M. K. Cyrański, J. Poater and M. Solà, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, 69, 6634-6640.
- I. V. Omelchenko, O. V. Shishkin, L. Gorb, F. C. Hill and J. Leszczynksi, *Struct. Chem.*, 2012, 23, 1585-1597.
- 13. C. Curutchet, J. Poater, M. Solà and J. Elguero, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2011, 115, 8571-8577.
- 14. a) A. K. Srivastava, S. K. Pandey and N. Misra, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 2016, 135, 158. b) I. V. Omelchenko, O. V. Shishkin, L. Gorb, F. C. Hill and J. Leszczynksi, *Struct. Chem.*, 2013, 24, 725-733.
- 15. A part of this work was presented at the conference: M. Baranac-Stojanović, M. Stojanović, Aromaticity2018, Riviera Maya, Mexico, 2018, November 28-December 1. Book of Abstracts, p. 65.

View Article Online

- 16. A. K. Srivastava, S. K. Pandey and N. Misra, Mol. Phys., 2016, 114, 1763-1770.
- 17. M. Baranac-Stojanović and M. Stojanović, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 24108-24117. DOI: 10.1039/C9CP01011D
- 18. J. Poater, X. Fradera, M. Duran and M. Solà, Chem. Eur. J., 2003, 9, 400-406.
- 19. E. Matito, M. Duran and M. Solà, J. Chem. Phys., 2005, 122, 014109.

Published on 15 April 2019. Downloaded by Auburn University on 4/20/2019 11:16:25 AM.

- 20. Except for the BC bond, these are the default values of Multiwfn program (ref. 29) and were obtained for borabenzene, borazine, benzene and pyridine calculated at the HF/6-31G(d) level of theory.
- 21. a) H. Fallah-Bagher-Shaidaei, C. S. Wannere, C. Corminboeuf, R. Puchta and P. v. R. Schleyer, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, 8, 863-866.
- For selected examples of IEDA used to study the origin of stability trend of various species, see a) E. Ravell, S. Jalife, J. Barroso, M. Orozco-Ic, G. Hernández-Juárez, F. Ortiz-Chi, S. Pan, J. L. Cabellos and G. Merino, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2018, **13**, 1467-1473; b) M. Baranac-Stojanović, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, 5163-5169; c) M. Contreras, E. Osorio, F. Ferraro, G. Puga, K. J. Donald, J. G. Harrison, G. Merino and W. Tiznado, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 2305-2310; d) J. Poater, R. Visser, M. Solà and F. M. Bickelhaupt, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **72**, 1134-1142, and ref. 8b.
- 23. P. Su and H. Li, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **131**, 014102. The original labeling in that paper was changed as following, $\Delta E_{es} \rightarrow \Delta E_{elstat}$ and $\Delta E_{pol} \rightarrow \Delta E_{oi}$, while the separately given exchange (ΔE_{ex}) and repulsion energy (ΔE_{rep}) were summed up to represent the Pauli repulsion, labeled as ΔE_{Pauli} .
- 24. a) A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 5648–5652; b) C. Lee, W. Yang and R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 1988, 37, 785–789; c) J. B. Foresman and A. Frisch in Exploring Chemistry with Electronic Structure Methods, Gaussian, Inc., 1996.
- M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman,
 G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H.
 P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K.

Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, T. Yew Article Online Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09 (Revision D.01)*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2013.

- 26. a) R. Ditchfeld, *Mol. Phys.*, 1974, 27, 789-807; (b) K. Wolinski, J. F. Hinton and P. Pulay, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, 112, 8251-8260.
- 27. J. A. Bohmann, F. Wienhold and T. C. Farrar, J. Chem. Phys., 1997, 107, 1173–1184.
- 28. a) E. D. Glendening, C. R. Landis and F. Weinhold, Natural Bond Orbital Methods. *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.*, 2012, 2, 1-42; b) F. Weinhold and C. R. Landis, in *Discovering Chemistry with Natural Bond Orbitals*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2012.
- 29. E. D. Glendening, J. K. Badenhoop, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, J. A. Bohmann, C. M. Morales, C. R. Landis and F. Weinhold, NBO 6.0, Theoretical Chemistry Institute, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, 2013.
- 30. T. Lu and F. Chen, J. Comput. Chem., 2012, 33, 580-592.
- 31. M. W. Schmidt, K. K. Baldridge, J. A. Boatz, S. T. Elbert, M. S. Gordon, J. H. Jensen, S. Koseki, N. Matsunaga, K. A. Nguyen, S. J. Su, T. L. Windus, M. Dupuis and J. A. Montgomery, Jr., *J. Comput. Chem.*, 1993, 14, 1347-1363; Gamess 2013-R1 version was used in this work.
- 32. I. V. Alabugin, M. Manoharan, M. Buck and R. J. Clark, J. Mol. Struct. Theochem, 2007, 813, 21-27.

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics Accepted Manuscript

- 33. a) P. W. Peterson, N. Shevchenko and I. V. Alabugin, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 2238-2241; b) S.
 - View Article Online
 Z. Vatsadze, Y. D. Loginova, G. dos Passos Gomes and I. V. Alabugin, *Chem*. Ettr. 1979, 2017, 0110
 23, 3225-3245.
- 34. I. V. Alabugin, S. Bresch and M. Manoharan, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2014, 118, 3663-3677.
- 35. T. Zheng, N. Ananth and R. Hoffmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 12638-12647.

TOC Graphic

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C9CP01011D



The relationship between aromaticity and thermodynamic stability, and the stereoelectronic chameleonism of amino group are analysed and discussed in the case of monosubstituted azaborines.