


Provided for non-commercial research and education use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Experimental Gerontology

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/expgero](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/expgero)Sex-dependent modulation of longevity by two *Drosophila* homologues of human Apolipoprotein D, GLaz and NLazMario Ruiz <sup>a</sup>, Diego Sanchez <sup>a,1</sup>, Inmaculada Canal <sup>b</sup>, Angel Acebes <sup>c</sup>, Maria D. Ganfornina <sup>a,\*,1</sup><sup>a</sup> Dept. Bioquímica y Biología Molecular y Fisiología-IBGM, Universidad de Valladolid-CSIC, 47003 Valladolid, Spain<sup>b</sup> Dept. Fisiología, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain<sup>c</sup> Instituto Cajal, CSIC, Madrid, Spain

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 14 December 2010

Received in revised form 27 January 2011

Accepted 18 February 2011

Available online 3 March 2011

Section Editor: T.E. Johnson

## Keywords:

ApoD

Lazarillo

Lifespan

Aging

Reproduction

Stress-response

## ABSTRACT

Apolipoprotein D (ApoD), a member of the Lipocalin family, is the gene most up-regulated with age in the mammalian brain. Its expression strongly correlates with aging-associated neurodegenerative and metabolic diseases. Two homologues of ApoD expressed in the *Drosophila* brain, Glial Lazarillo (GLaz) and Neural Lazarillo (NLaz), are known to alter longevity in male flies. However, sex differences in the aging process have not been explored so far for these genes. Here we demonstrate that NLaz alters lifespan in both sexes, but unexpectedly the lack of GLaz influences longevity in a sex-specific way, reducing longevity in males but not in females. While NLaz has metabolic functions similar to ApoD, the regulation of GLaz expression upon aging is the closest to ApoD in the aging brain. A multivariate analysis of physiological parameters relevant to lifespan modulation uncovers both common and specialized functions for the two Lipocalins, and reveals that changes in protein homeostasis account for the observed sex-specific patterns of longevity. The response to oxidative stress and accumulation of lipid peroxides are among their common functions, while the transcriptional and behavioral response to starvation, the pattern of daily locomotor activity, storage of fat along aging, fertility, and courtship behavior differentiate NLaz from GLaz mutants. We also demonstrate that food composition is an important environmental parameter influencing stress resistance and reproductive phenotypes of both Lipocalin mutants. Since ApoD shares many properties with the common ancestor of invertebrate Lipocalins, we must benefit from this global comparison with both GLaz and NLaz to understand the complex functions of ApoD in mammalian aging and neurodegeneration.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Aging and the regulation of an organism lifespan are complex traits that are the result of multiple factors, many of which are clearly inheritable. This fact has guided the quest for genes that regulate longevity. Many genetic interventions that alter the length of life have been documented in model organisms ranging from nematodes to rodents (for recent reviews see Fontana et al., 2010; Kenyon, 2010). They reveal the involvement of a basic set of conserved pathways, being the nutrient sensing pathways (the insulin and insulin-like growth factor signalling (IIS) and the target of rapamycin (TOR) pathway) the best known examples (Biteau et al., in press; Broughton and Partridge, 2009; Karpac and Jasper, 2009; Wang and Levine, 2010). These studies

are leading the search for genes in humans that perform similar functions and can become candidates for pharmacological interventions to achieve a longer and healthier life. Either genetic association studies or transcriptome analysis are the main approaches to aging research in humans (Fontana et al., 2010; Kenyon, 2010; Passtoors et al., 2008). It is striking that in a meta-genome study where the changes in brain transcriptome have been compared between mice, monkeys and humans, Apolipoprotein D (ApoD) was revealed as the most robust age-dependent up-regulated gene in the brain conserved across species (Loerch et al., 2008). The same is true for a meta-analysis of arrays using mouse, rat and human expression data in different tissues, where ApoD appears as the gene most consistently over-expressed with age (de Magalhaes et al., 2009). Not surprisingly, the expression of ApoD is highly boosted by a collection of traumatic, pathological and degenerative nervous system conditions in humans as well as by cancer and age-related metabolic diseases (reviewed by Van Dijk et al., 2006).

It is widely accepted that many of the genes that regulate longevity participate in defence or repair mechanisms that counteract the accumulation of damage to cell components with age. Thus, many of these genes are also thought to be important for the onset of a wide array of diseases where age is a major risk-factor. Neurodegenerative

\* Corresponding author at: Instituto de Biología y Genética Molecular, c/Sanz y Forés 3, Universidad de Valladolid-CSIC, 47003 Valladolid, Spain. Tel.: +34 983 184814; fax: +34 983 184800.

E-mail addresses: [ruizgm@ibgm.uva.es](mailto:ruizgm@ibgm.uva.es) (M. Ruiz), [lazarill@ibgm.uva.es](mailto:lazarill@ibgm.uva.es) (D. Sanchez), [inmaculada.canal@uam.es](mailto:inmaculada.canal@uam.es) (I. Canal), [a.acebes@cajal.csic.es](mailto:a.acebes@cajal.csic.es) (A. Acebes), [opabinia@ibgm.uva.es](mailto:opabinia@ibgm.uva.es) (M.D. Ganfornina).

<sup>1</sup> MDG and DS contributed equally to this work.

diseases are no exception. The study of ApoD and its homologous genes in model organisms should therefore prove relevant to the understanding of aging in general, and of the aging nervous system in particular.

ApoD is a member of the Lipocalin family, small secreted lipid-binding proteins. At least three other Lipocalin genes are also expressed in the nervous system of mammals: retinol binding protein, RBP (MacDonald et al., 1990), L type prostaglandin D synthase, L-PGDS (Kanekiyo et al., 2007), and Lipocalin 2 (also called NGAL) (Lee et al., 2009). In *Drosophila*, two genes are the closest homologues to ApoD: Glial Lazarillo (GLaz) and Neural Lazarillo (NLaz). They are expressed in the nervous system in a cell-type specific manner (Sanchez et al., 2000). A third *Drosophila* Lipocalin, Karl, is not expressed in the nervous system (Hull-Thompson et al., 2009). Both GLaz and NLaz are known to regulate stress resistance and lifespan in male flies (Hull-Thompson et al., 2009; Sanchez et al., 2006b; Walker et al., 2006): loss-of-function mutants have a reduced longevity while gain-of-function manipulations result in extended lifespan. GLaz also influences fat storage (Sanchez et al., 2006b) while NLaz regulates the metabolic response to stress by systemic inhibition of the IIS pathway (Hull-Thompson et al., 2009). Protection against oxidative stress in the nervous system has also been demonstrated for mammalian ApoD (Ganforina et al., 2008), revealing a sufficient degree of functional conservation between ApoD and the Lazarillo genes expressed in the nervous system of *Drosophila*.

Single gene analyses of aging mutants often overlook pleiotropy and effects of other gene family members expressed in the same tissues. Also, in a few cases, the original interpretations derived from studies of classical longevity mutants (Lin et al., 1998; Rogina et al., 2000) have been challenged when other physiological, environmental (Baldal et al., 2006a), or genetic (Toivonen et al., 2007) factors have been taken into account. This fact highlights the need for a comprehensive understanding of the many effects of single gene mutations, as well as of the gene–environment interactions that are relevant to aging and lifespan determination.

Particularly, sex differences in the aging process are a very relevant issue that has not been explored so far for these genes. Almost all the work published on this group of Lipocalins (ApoD/Lazarillo) has been performed in males only. This work was triggered by the consistent observation that one of the *Drosophila* Lipocalins (GLaz) alters longevity in a sex-dependent manner in any genetic background tested: only null mutant males reduce their longevity, while null mutant females live as long as wild type flies. The lack of NLaz, on the other hand, shortens lifespan in both sexes. This work is at the same time an attempt to understand the origin of such a sex-specific phenotype, and an exhaustive search for the physiological processes in which these Lipocalins intervene, with the final goal of uncovering the interesting labor division structure of the two *Drosophila* Lipocalins homologous to ApoD.

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. Fly strains and husbandry

Flies were grown in a temperature-controlled environmental incubator at 25 °C, 60% relative humidity, under a 12 h light–dark cycle.

Food recipes: (A) “Valladolid-standard” food: wet yeast 84 g/l, NaCl 3.3 g/l, agar 10 g/l, wheat flour 42 g/l, apple juice 167 ml/l, and propionic acid 5 ml/l. (B) “Carolina” Instant Food (Carolina Biological Supplies). (C) “Caltech” food: dry yeast 15 g/l, agar 4.5 g/l, dextrose 50 g/l, sucrose 25 g/l, corn meal 83 g/l, phosphoric acid 0.6 ml/l, and propionic acid 4 ml/l.

GLaz and NLaz null mutants were generated in a  $w^{1118}$  background as previously described (Rong et al., 2002; Sanchez et al., 2006b). Mutations were outcrossed into a *Canton-S* wild type strain for five

generations to obtain a homogeneous background with less than 5% of the original genomic background. Isogenic sister lines containing the wild type allele of GLaz and NLaz (line G10: GLaz<sup>+/+</sup>, NLaz<sup>+/+</sup>), or the mutant allele (lines G2: GLaz<sup>-/-</sup>, NLaz<sup>+/+</sup> and N5: GLaz<sup>+/+</sup>, NLaz<sup>-/-</sup>) were selected by PCR screening for GLaz, and PCR followed by SclI restriction digest for NLaz. In a last round of outcrosses, a set of fly strains with white eyes were generated in the same way outcrossing with  $w^{1118}$ -CS10. The line  $w^{1118}$ -CS10, a gift from Seymour Benzer (Caltech), is a 10 generation outcross of  $w^{1118}$  into the *Canton-S* background. A set of lines with white eyes were thus generated: lines CGW and CNW14 as wild type isogenic controls (GLaz<sup>+/+</sup>, NLaz<sup>+/+</sup>), GW line (GLaz<sup>-/-</sup>, NLaz<sup>+/+</sup>) and NW5 line (GLaz<sup>+/+</sup>, NLaz<sup>-/-</sup>). Most of the experiments were carried out with G10 as wild type control and G2 and N5 as experimental lines unless otherwise noted.

### 2.2. Wolbachia test

The absence of infection by *Wolbachia pipiens* in all fly strains was tested by PCR of genomic DNA extracts. The following primers against the rRNA-16S gene of *Wolbachia* were used: 5'-GAAGATAATGACGGTACTCAC-3', 5'-GTCAGATTGAACAGATAGA-3' and 5'-GTCAGTATCCCACTTTA-3'. Program: 2 min 94°C; (30 s 94°C, 30 s 60°C, 45 s 72°C) × 15; (30 s 94°C, 30 s 52°C, 75 s 72°C) × 15; 7 min 72°C. DNA from *Dilofilaria immitis* worms was used as positive control. Primers and a positive control DNA were kindly provided by Dr. F. Simon (Univ. Salamanca).

### 2.3. Lifespan analysis

At least 100 flies of each genotype were collected within 24 h of eclosion, separated by sex under brief CO<sub>2</sub> anaesthesia, housed in groups of 25, and maintained at 25 °C. Dead flies were counted and surviving flies were transferred to new vials with fresh food twice a week.

### 2.4. Oxidative stress, starvation, and desiccation resistance

Flies collected as described for the longevity analysis were separated by sex in groups of 25 when they were 3 days old. Application of different stressors was performed as follows.

**Paraquat treatment:** After a period of dry starvation (3 h) flies were transferred to vials with filter papers soaked with 1 ml of 10% sucrose-20 mM paraquat (Sigma). Incubation proceeded in the absence of light and deaths were scored every 4–8 h.

**Wet starvation treatment:** Starting at 3 days of age, flies were transferred to vials with 1% agar in water. Dead flies were scored every 4–8 h.

**Dry starvation treatment (desiccation):** Starting at 3 days of age, flies were transferred to empty vials. Dead flies were scored every 4–8 h.

### 2.5. Body weight, fat content, and protein content

Total wet weight, dry weight after evaporation of water, and fat-free dry weight after extraction of neutral lipids with diethyl ether, was performed as previously described (Sanchez et al., 2006b). The protein content per fly was determined with the microBCA kit (Pierce). Three independent experiments with measurements in triplicate were performed at 3 and 30 days of age.

### 2.6. Lipid peroxidation levels

A spectrophotometric assay was used to determine the concentration of free malondialdehyde (MDA-586, Bioxytech) as previously

described (Sanchez et al., 2006b). Three independent experiments with measurements in triplicate were performed at 3 and 30 days of age.

### 2.7. Population reproductive output

Three populations of 10 males  $\times$  10 virgin females per genotype were housed in 50 ml food bottles (250 ml plastic bottle). Eggs laid were counted every day after transferring parents into a new bottle, and the number of adults emerging from each bottle was counted till the culture was exhausted. Egg and adult progeny production was scored during 7 days (age of parents: 5–11 days). An estimate of fecundity and fertility per female in the population per day was calculated.

### 2.8. Courtship behaviour and reproduction

Courtship and mating tests were performed during 60 min using male–female pairs of 4–5 day-old flies under a watch glass used as an observation chamber, as described previously (Ferveur et al., 1995). The courtship index (C.I.) represents the proportion of time a male spends actively courting the female for 10 min. Copulation features as mating time, mating latency and mating performance (%) were scored for 60 min. Offspring numbers and sex ratio (male/female) from each mated female that yielded progeny were scored during two weeks.

### 2.9. Hunger driven short-term food intake behaviour

Two groups of 20 flies per sex, previously food-deprived for 19 h on 1% agar in water, were fed for 5 min on 4 ml of 10% sucrose, 1% agar, supplemented with 0.5% food dye FD&C Blue No.1 (E-133 European Union, provided by Proquimac). After feeding, the flies were anesthetized with CO<sub>2</sub> and homogenized in PBS. After a short spin to pellet tissue debris, absorbance of the homogenate was measured at 625 nm. Background absorbance was corrected with fly samples of the same genotype subjected to the same procedure without the dye. The experiment was repeated twice and performed with the white-eyed fly lines (see above) to avoid disturbances of the absorbance spectrum due to the eye pigment.

### 2.10. Circadian spontaneous locomotor activity

Individual flies at 3 days of age were transferred to monitor tubes containing fresh food. Their locomotor activity was monitored using the Drosophila Activity Monitoring System (Trikinetics, Waltham, MA) with a cumulative sampling rate of 15 min, in a temperature-controlled environmental incubator at 25 °C, 60% relative humidity, under a 12 h light–dark cycle. Five monitors were used, each housing 5 flies/sex/genotype and a sixth monitor recorded light, temperature and humidity. Monitoring was performed for 6 days, but analysis included data starting on day 3 (6 days of age) to avoid variations due to habituation to the housing conditions.

### 2.11. Climbing ability

Flies were collected and separated by sex in groups of 10 as described for the longevity analysis. Tests were performed as previously described (Sanchez et al., 2006b), after a minimum of 24 h after anaesthesia, at 3 and 30 days of age.

### 2.12. qRT-PCR: GLaz and NLaz gene expression

Flies of each genotype were collected within 24 h of eclosion, separated by sex under brief CO<sub>2</sub> anaesthesia, and housed in groups of 25. They were transferred to fresh food vials twice a week until the day of processing (3, 30 and 60 days). When preceded by wet

starvation, gene expression measurements were performed at 15 h of treatment (see above). We separated heads and bodies. RNA extracted from heads should represent a good approximation to the expression in the brain, which makes most of the head tissue. RNA extracted from the body, which includes the relatively large thoracic ganglia, represents an approximation to the global expression level in whole flies.

Heads from 50 flies were cut and total RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen). RNA concentration was measured with a Nanodrop spectrophotometer. Reverse transcription was done with the PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit (Takara) according to the manufacturer instructions by using Oligo-dT primers and random hexamers. Primers of equal amplification efficiency were designed for GLaz (5'-GCGAACAAATCGAAGTTTCC-3' and 5'-ACAAGATGGCGAAGTTCTCG-3'), NLaz (5'-CGAGTACGCAGCCTATCCAT-3' and 5'-CCAGG-TAGTTGGCCTTCGT-3') and the ribosomal protein L18 (RPL18, endogenous control) (5'-AGAACCGACCCCAAATCC-3' and 5'-CGAC-CAGATGGTAGACTCC-3'). Quintuplicate PCR reactions were performed for each RNA sample using the SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ (Takara) according to the manufacturer instructions in a Rotor-Gene RG-3000 thermal cycler (Corbett Research). Cycling conditions were 30 s 95°C, (5 s 95°C, 15 s 55°C, 15 s 72°C)  $\times$  35.

Melting curves were established for all conditions to check for the absence of unspecific amplifications.

RNA transcription levels were determined by the method of direct comparison of C<sub>T</sub> values and relative quantities calculated by the  $\Delta\Delta C_T$  method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Transcripts were normalized to RPL18 for each condition. We transform the data as  $\log_2 2^{-\Delta\Delta C_T}$  and then represent them as fold changes. In this way, up- and down-regulations are symmetrically scaled around one. Statistically significant differences of pairwise gene transcriptional changes were evaluated with a Mann–Whitney *U*-test, using  $\Delta C_T$  of each replica (calculated by subtracting the average C<sub>T</sub> of the reference gene). The level of significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ .

### 2.13. Statistics and principal component analysis


Statistical analyses were performed with Statgraphics plus (v 5.0) software. Student's *t*-Test was used to assess two-sample comparisons (with the exception of qRT-PCR data, see above) with  $p < 0.05$  as threshold for significant changes.

To find patterns of covariation among all the physiological parameters measured, we performed a principal component analysis (PCA). The average of each parameter was arranged in two matrices (Tables S1–S2), one with 25 variables measured at 3 days of age, and another with 7 variables measured at 3 and 30 days of age. Neither longevity data nor Lipocalin expression data were included, in order to render the analysis “blind” to the genotype (the dependent variable) and lifespan (the variable we want to explain). The analysis was also blind to sex and age, since it is performed for 6 independent entries (2 sexes per genotype) or 12 independent entries (6 entries per age).

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. The nervous system Lipocalins GLaz and NLaz influence longevity in a sex-specific manner

The loss of either GLaz (Sanchez et al., 2006b) or NLaz (Hull-Thompson et al., 2009) is known to reduce the lifespan of male flies. However, here we report that females reduce their lifespan when NLaz is absent, but their lifespan is similar to control female flies in the absence of GLaz (Fig. 1A, B). The same results are obtained before and after changing the genetic background for both mutations (from *w<sup>1118</sup>* to *CantonS*, not shown; median survival effects stated in Fig. 1 legend). The absence of Wolbachia



**Fig. 1.** Lipocalin loss-of-function mutants show sex-specific patterns in longevity that can be related to their different spatiotemporal patterns of expression. (A) Male lifespan determination of *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> (G), *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> (N) compared to isogenic wild type flies (G10 line). Median survival time is reduced by 15.5% in the *GLaz* mutant ( $p < 10^{-5}$ ), and by 22.5% in the *NLaz* mutant ( $p < 10^{-5}$ ). (B) Lifespan is also reduced in *NLaz* females (30.8% reduction,  $p < 10^{-5}$ ), but not in *GLaz* mutant females ( $p = 0.034$ ). Reductions in median survival in the *w1118* genetic background (not shown) were 27.8 and 34.3% for *GLaz* and *NLaz* mutant males, and 37.2% for *NLaz* mutant females. Log-rank test was used for statistical analysis. N = 114–213/genotype. (C) Age effect in *NLaz* and *GLaz* transcription in wild type fly heads assayed by qRT-PCR. (D) Transcription levels of *NLaz* and *GLaz* in wild type body RNA extracts. The RPL18 gene is used as endogenous control. The expression level of *GLaz* in 3 day-old male heads is used as calibrator (Cal.) for both graphs. Symmetrical Fold Change was chosen for data representation for equal display of down-regulations and up-regulations. Statistical differences assayed by Mann-Whitney *U*-test. \* $p < 0.05$  \*\* $p < 0.01$ .

infection, as a potential lifespan-reducing cause, was confirmed by PCR tests (see Fig. S1 and Section 2.2).

These observations led us to search for causal links that might explain how *GLaz* regulates longevity differently in males and females, and to find the fine structure of functional relationships between the two nervous system *Drosophila* Lipocalins.

### 3.2. Male and female flies differ in their temporal and spatial regulation of Lipocalin genes expression

Since both Lipocalins are expressed within and outside the nervous system (Hull-Thompson et al., 2009; Sanchez et al., 2000; 2006b), we investigated how much of their expression is contributed by the brain in young flies. We focused our study on the expression changes in the brain, either through aging or upon stress. These data can then guide the comparisons between the fly Lipocalins and the mammalian homologue, ApoD, known to be the most up-regulated gene in the aged brain.

Brains of male flies have stable expression levels of both Lipocalins throughout life; *NLaz* expression being higher than that of *GLaz* at all ages explored (Fig. 1C, triangles). In contrast, the brains of females show interesting and opposite expression changes with age: *GLaz* increases and *NLaz* decreases with aging (Fig. 1C, circles). Curiously, it is the sum of the two Lipocalins what is kept roughly constant throughout aging in females. The increase of *GLaz* expression with age in female brains echoes the pattern followed by ApoD in mice, macaques, and humans (Loerch et al., 2008).

The fact that *NLaz* expression in females shows an opposite pattern, suggests that different regulatory elements are responsible for the temporal control of each Lipocalin, and that *GLaz* gene regulation must have commonalities with the age-dependent net-

works controlling ApoD expression in the mammalian CNS. Also, these gene-regulation networks differ with sex for both *Drosophila* Lipocalin genes.


Interestingly, the level of Lipocalins in the brain of young flies correlate with their longevity reduction when each Lipocalin is missing. Note that *GLaz* expression is the lowest in young wild type females, and its absence does not alter longevity. These data support the idea that early adulthood represents a critical period for Lipocalin function, affecting parameters that will result in lifespan alterations later on.

The relative contribution of head and body in Lipocalin expression also varies with sex (Fig. 1D). In young females, *GLaz* has the highest ratio body/head. In males, the expression of both Lipocalins is higher in the brain than in the body, with *NLaz* again showing higher expression levels than *GLaz*.

### 3.3. Starvation triggers a differential expression of Lipocalins in the brain

The males of both mutants had been already described as starvation sensitive (Hull-Thompson et al., 2009; Sanchez et al., 2006b), and *NLaz* expression is known to be induced by starvation (Hull-Thompson et al., 2009). However, our previous works used different regimes of starvation (wet or dry starvation) and were performed only in males. Here we analyze in detail how brain expression of the two Lipocalins is altered upon food deprivation (without water deprivation, to avoid a more complex stress).

Expression of *NLaz* and *GLaz* in the fly head is differentially induced upon food deprivation in wild type flies (Fig. 2A, B). Interestingly, no induction of *NLaz* is observed in the head tissue where its expression is constitutively high. These data are compatible with *NLaz* working in the control of systemic metabolism and with the fat body tissue being a




**Fig. 2.** Differential expression of Lipocalins in the brain upon starvation. (A) Changes in expression of GLaz and NLaz in the head of wild type male flies at 3 days of age in control conditions and after 15 h of wet starvation treatment. (B) Changes in expression of both genes in the same conditions as in A, but in females. qRT-PCR using RPL18 as endogenous control and the value of GLaz in 3 day wild type male heads as calibrator for both graphs. Symmetrical Fold Change was chosen for data representation for equal display of down-regulations and up-regulations. Statistical differences assayed by Mann-Whitney *U*-test. \*\* *p* < 0.01.

source of NLaz under stress conditions (as we had previously shown using a dry starvation paradigm) (Hull-Thompson et al., 2009). In contrast, GLaz is highly induced in the head upon starvation, suggesting that GLaz might be involved in a nervous system regulatory loop triggered by nutrient deprivation. These results show the existence of differential domains of action of the two Lipocalins.

### 3.4. A multivariate analysis of the physiological parameters that contribute to lifespan modulation by Lipocalins

Given that longevity is the result of an intricate puzzle of interactions between genetic components and physiological and environmental variables, we need to uncover the full complexity of effects of a single mutation in order to learn how each gene alters the network of causal links underlying lifespan regulation.

With that in mind, we have undertaken an analysis of multiple parameters and their dependence on NLaz and GLaz expression. We have screened variables including metabolic (levels of various metabolites, stress–nutrition relationships), behavioral (reproduction, food intake, locomotor activity), as well as stress resistance, and analyzed them by principal component analysis (PCA). PCA has been successfully used in a multitrait study by Baldal et al. (2006b) pertaining starvation resistance and aging evolution, and by Andersen et al. (2005), in a study uncovering how heat stress and age-induced maternal effects influence physiological parameters in the offspring. This type of analysis extracts features of the parameters variation as a set of new variables (the principal components, PCs) that are uncorrelated with one another and successively account for maximal amounts of variation. Our analysis was performed with 25 variables at 3 days of age (Fig. 3A–B; Fig. S2A–B), and a subset of 7 variables mainly related to metabolic parameters at 30 days



**Fig. 3.** Principal Component Analysis of variables excluding Lipocalin gene expression and lifespan parameters. (A–B) Scatterplot and biplot of the two principal components explaining 69% of the variation in the sample of 25 variables measured in 3 day-old flies (Subset 1). The two-dimensional space defined by these components separate wild type flies from either mutant, and sends each mutant to a separate corner of the multivariate space reflecting functional specialization. Acronyms for variables with small weight in the components are omitted in the biplot. (C) Biplot of components 2 by 3 extracted from subset 2 (7 variables measured in 3 day- and 30 day-old flies). Young *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> females are closely related to wild type females (green arrow), while the old *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> females are projected in the opposite corner of the two dimensional space (red arrow). Symbols: black = wild type, red = *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup>, green = *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup>, circles = females, triangles = males, filled symbols = 3 day-old flies, open symbols = 30 day-old flies. Acronyms: Climb: climbing ability; Des: desiccation resistance; DevS: developmental success; DL: diurnal locomotor activity; F: fecundity; Fert: fertility; Flnt: food intake; LipP: lipid peroxidation; NL: nocturnal locomotor activity; PQ: paraquat resistance; Prot: protein content; RFat: relative fat content; RFatFr: relative fat free weight; RW: relative water content; SSL: sunset locomotor activity; St: starvation resistance; TL: total locomotor activity; WW: wet weight.

of age (Fig. 3C, Fig. S2C–D). Values obtained for all variables are listed in supplementary tables (Tables S1–S2). The PCA has uncovered sex, genotype, and age-specific patterns.

Some life history parameters clearly separate genotypes. The two principal components explaining 69% of the variation in the sample studied at 3 days of age separate wild type flies from either mutant, and in turn segregate each mutant into opposite corners of the multivariate space (Fig. 3A). This pattern reflects the existence of specialized functions separating Lipocalin mutants from each other (component 1), as well as common functions separating both mutants from the wild type (component 2). For example, lipid peroxidation and relative fat content

contribute to the separation of wild type from either mutant (black labels in Fig. 3B). Variables in the domain of reproduction, locomotor activity, food intake and metabolism (relative water content, starvation resistance) separate GLaz from NLaz mutants (red and green labels in Fig. 3B).

Other life history parameters contribute to sex or age differences regardless of genotype (Fig. S2). Metabolic parameters (wet weight, protein content or lipid peroxidation), response to stress (starvation, desiccation or paraquat resistance) and diurnal vs. nocturnal locomotor activity are important variables in the separation of sexes in all genotypes (Fig. S2A–B). In the analysis of variables at two ages (Subset 2) it is interesting that only females are segregated by age in the component 1 by 2 plot (explaining 63% of the variance). Metabolic parameters (relative water content in opposition to relative fat) and climbing ability have the most weight for the separation of young female flies from old female flies in all genotypes (Fig. S2C–D).

### 3.5. Sex-specific modulation of longevity by GLaz is dependent on metabolic parameters related to protein homeostasis

Studying the multivariate space, we searched for components that would cause GLaz mutant females to cluster with WT females, and would therefore explain the observed longevity curves. Interestingly, the stronger association of *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> females with wild type flies arises in the PCA analysis performed for the subset of 7 variables measured at both 3 and 30 days of age (Fig. 3C). The association only occurs for young GLaz mutant females, further supporting the idea that the function of Lipocalins in early adulthood is crucial for longevity determination. Young wild type females, with their low levels of GLaz expression, show a combination of parameters similar to that of *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> young females. Old GLaz mutant females, however, are displaced to the opposite corner of the component 2 by 3 plane of the multivariate space (arrows in Fig. 3C). The variables with more weight in the “mutant GLaz females–wild type” association are the protein content and relative fat free content (with a negative weight, red labels) and the wet weight and relative water content (with a positive weight, green labels). Variables related to lipids (relative fat content or lipid peroxidation levels) do not contribute to this association.

Our analysis indicates that the patterns of metabolism, especially during early adulthood, contribute importantly to the final outcome in longevity, resulting in a shorter lifespan in NLaz mutants and in GLaz mutant males, but a similar lifespan in GLaz mutant females and wild type flies. Some of the parameters included in our PCA analysis are further described and discussed hereafter.

### 3.6. Energy intake and homeostasis are altered through aging in the absence of Lipocalins

The loss-of-function mutants of both Lipocalins show pleiotropic effects at different levels of energy management (intake-balance-expenditure,

Fig. 4A) that contribute to their segregation in the multivariate space (Fig. 3A–B). Particularly, the PCA analysis shows that energy management differences between genotypes are part of the functional specialization between both Lipocalins, and also contribute to the unaltered lifespan in females lacking GLaz (Fig. 3C).


In addition, the behavioral response to starvation is increased in young *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> flies, but not in *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> flies (Fig. 4B). We have previously described that overall weight in males is increased in the absence of NLaz (Hull-Thompson et al., 2009), and decreased in the absence of GLaz (Sanchez et al., 2006b). Here we show that *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> flies start life with a different body size and increase their fat content with age, while *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants maintain a low fat content throughout life in both sexes (Fig. 4C). These results are in line with the food intake increase observed in NLaz mutant flies (Fig. 4B).

Energy storage (in the form of fat storage) is clearly altered in opposite directions by the two Lipocalins. However, only male and not female GLaz mutants shorten their lifespan. On the other hand, NLaz mutants show both increased food intake (this work) and increased IIS activity (Hull-Thompson et al., 2009). In order to understand the relevance of food storage and food intake in lifespan determination we need to know how Lipocalins influence energy expenditure. We have analyzed locomotor activity patterns and reproduction as the major forms of energy output.


### 3.7. Lipocalins influence the patterns of daily energy expenditure in locomotor activity

The patterns of daily spontaneous locomotor activity also differ with genotype and sex (Fig. 5), showing complementary patterns. Overall activity is higher in *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> males (Fig. 5A, C), which are more active than wild type flies especially at night (Fig. 5D). *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> females are overall less active (Fig. 5B, C), but the difference is mostly due to diurnal activity (see Table 1).

Shortened resting periods at night have been reported to correlate with aging (reviewed by Grotewiel et al., 2005). Our data show that the highest sex difference within a given genotype is the large increase in nocturnal activity of *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> males (Fig. 5D) compared to mutant females of the same genotype. Interestingly, *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> female activity is more similar to the wild type pattern (Fig. 5 and Table 1). One could argue that this effect will contribute to an accelerated senescence of *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> males and therefore a shortening of lifespan occurring only in males of this genotype. However, the lower nocturnal activity observed in males *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> is not accompanied by an increase in lifespan. Our data support the idea that the amount of activity per se does not correlate with longevity, in agreement with Koh et al. (2006), who report sleep fragmentation rather than total sleep time as the relevant parameter influencing longevity.



**Fig. 4.** Energy intake and homeostasis are altered in Lipocalin mutants. (A) Variables exploring the flow of energy in Lipocalin mutants. (B) Behavioral response to starvation is increased in *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> but not in *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants. (C) Age and genotype effect in relative fat content. *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants have a constitutive reduction in their neutral fat stores while fat increases with age in *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants. Values normalized with respect to wild type young males. Statistical differences assayed by Student's *T*-test. \* *p*<0.05; \*\* *p*<0.01.



**Fig. 5.** Lipocalin mutants show sex-specific alterations in their circadian locomotor activity. (A–B) Average of three 24 h periods of locomotor activity in wild type, *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> and *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> males (A) and females (B). (C) Average total locomotor activity is higher in *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> males and lower in *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> females. (D) Locomotor activity during the dark phase. *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> males are much more active at night than the wild type, while *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> males reduce their activity. N = 25/sex/genotype. Statistical differences assayed by Student's *T*-test. \* *p* < 0.05; \*\* *p* < 0.01.

**3.8. Reproductive output and courtship behavior is differentially altered in each Lipocalin mutant**

Reproductive parameters separate GLaz from NLaz mutants in the multivariate space analyzed at 3 days of age (Fig. 3B). A lower fecundity is observed in both mutants (Fig. 6A), but fertility of *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants does not differ from wild type (Fig. 6B). An estimation of developmental success, or “egg quality” (% of eggs reaching adulthood) is higher in *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants and lower in *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants compared to wild type flies (Fig. 6C). We can therefore discard the existence of major fertilization or developmental problems at least in *NLaz* mutants. However, given that GLaz mRNA is detected very early in embryogenesis (0–2 h embryos, Sanchez et al., 2000), the *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> eggs that do not reach adulthood might have developmental problems. Alternatively, they can be unfertilized eggs. We therefore tested whether mating is hampered in the absence of Lipocalins.

Mating behavior is indeed altered in both *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> and *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> flies. When paired with wild type females, males of both mutant genotypes are less involved in courting (Fig. 6D), and a lower percent of pairs actually mate (Table 2). Wild type males paired with mutant females (Fig. 6E) also display a deficient courtship, and therefore the alteration of behavior is dependent on both sexes. When *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> males and females pair, the courtship index is even lower (Fig. 6E), and mating success is strikingly low (Table 2), which would account for the low number of eggs per female observed in population experiments (Fig. 6A). On the contrary, pairs composed of two GLaz mutant flies are more effective in triggering the courtship behavior

than pairs of *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> with wild type flies. This result would be compatible with pheromone signaling being off-set in GLaz mutants, both at the emission and reception levels. Interestingly, the GLaz or NLaz mutants who successfully mate show longer mating latencies (Table 2), but this effect is cancelled when mutant males are paired with wild type females.

The altered mating behaviors could modify the final reproductive output of the population. However, the fertility of successfully mated mutants does not differ from wild type values (Fig. S3A). Thus, we can conclude that in experiments performed in fly populations (Fig. 6B) the *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> eggs that do not reach adulthood are mostly unfertilized eggs, while the fertilized ones reach adulthood at a normal rate, discarding major developmental problems also for *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants.

In addition, we analyzed the lifespan of virgin females, which results in a pattern identical to the one observed for mated females: similar in wild type and *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> and shortened in *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants (Fig. S3B). These virgin females do lay eggs, although all of them are unfertilized. The putative differential constraint to lifespan is not therefore dependent on the energy spent by the female in producing eggs proper.

Even though reproduction has been shown to correlate negatively with lifespan in many cases (Baldal et al., 2006a; Tatar et al., 2003; Toivonen and Partridge, 2009), instances of genetic interventions that alter longevity and reproductive output independently have also being documented (Dillin et al., 2002; Giannakou et al., 2007) (Grandison et al., 2009a; Partridge et al., 2005), challenging the existence of a direct causal relationship between reproduction and

**Table 1**


Patterns of daily locomotor activity in Lipocalin mutants. Average of three 24 h periods of locomotor activity in wild type, *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> and *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> flies. Sunrise and sunset are defined as the period of the light switch ± 1 h. N = 25/sex/genotype. Bold lettering indicates statistical differences assayed by Student's *T*-test.

Genotype/Sex	Total activity	Diurnal activity	Nocturnal activity	Sunrise activity	Sunset activity
WT males	463.1 ± 14.2	203.69 ± 9.9	69.23 ± 7.4	76.21 ± 11.6	113.97 ± 18.6
<i>GLaz</i> <sup>-/-</sup> males	<b>615.22 ± 52.3<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>137.19 ± 31.8<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>212.07 ± 36.1<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>116.64 ± 18.4<sup>a</sup></b>	149.33 ± 17.8
<i>NLaz</i> <sup>-/-</sup> males	412.03 ± 35.1	188.89 ± 35.7	<b>37.74 ± 7.7<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>99.03 ± 3.0<sup>a</sup></b>	89.37 ± 4.2
WT females	710.05 ± 27.5	416.43 ± 17.0	46.16 ± 17.3	93.85 ± 5.4	153.61 ± 23.7
<i>GLaz</i> <sup>-/-</sup> females	660.17 ± 74.7	<b>361.89 ± 29.4<sup>a</sup></b>	41.79 ± 25.6	<b>53.12 ± 1.7<sup>b</sup></b>	203.37 ± 21.9
<i>NLaz</i> <sup>-/-</sup> females	<b>415.19 ± 28.7<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>264.43 ± 15.4<sup>b</sup></b>	17.19 ± 8.0	<b>53.98 ± 3.7<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>59.59 ± 2.9<sup>b</sup></b>

<sup>a</sup> Different from WT (*p* < 0.05).

<sup>b</sup> Different from WT (*p* < 0.01).





**Fig. 6.** Reproductive output is modulated differently by GLaz and NLaz. (A–B) The absence of both Lipocalins reduces fecundity (A) but only *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> mutant has a lower fertility (B). Three populations of 10 males × 10 females were scored for egg and adult progeny production during 7 days (from 5 to 11 days of age). The average per day is represented. (C) The percent of eggs reaching adulthood was calculated from the experiments shown in A–B. (D–E) Courtship behavior is altered in *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> and *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants. Courtship index is shown for pairs of each genotype with wild type females (D) and pairs of Lipocalin mutant females with wild type or mutant males (E). Other parameters of the courtship behavior and mating success are shown in Table 2. N = 34–52 pairs per class. Statistical differences assayed by Student's *T*-test. \**p* < 0.05; \*\**p* < 0.01.

lifespan. Lipocalin mutants support the latest view, since the sex differences observed in longevity cannot be fully explained by a net reduction in the energy spent in the production of eggs. The control of courtship behaviors are part of the pleiotropic functions of the two nervous system Lipocalins clearly deserving future investigation.

**3.9. Environment–genotype interactions: food composition influence on Lipocalins phenotypes**

Food composition is an important environmental parameter that influences stress resistance and reproductive output. We have performed a nutritional analysis of three common fly food recipes including the standard one used in our laboratory, and the one used by collaborators that have also worked on NLaz or GLaz function (Fig. 7A, see Methods). Each recipe differs in the amount of yeast and the source of sugar. However, a clear pattern emerges. For wild type flies, the higher the proportion Net Fat/Total Carbohydrates (Fig. 7B), the higher the fertility (Fig. 7C) and the lower the oxidative stress resistance (Fig. 7D–F).

**Table 2**  
Courtship behavior is altered in Lipocalin mutants. Courtship behavior parameters obtained from experiments shown in Fig. 6D–E. Average ± Standard Error is represented. Bold lettering indicates statistical differences assayed by Student's *T*-test (*p* < 0.05).

Pair genotypes	Courtship index	Mating time	Mating latency	% mating	Fraction mating
WT-M × WT-F	8.12 ± 0.27	22.15 ± 0.54	13.04 ± 1.37	74.0%	(37/50)
N-M × N-F	<b>2.38 ± 0.40<sup>a</sup></b>	22.0 ± 0.0	<b>26.0 ± 11<sup>a</sup></b>	4.4%	<b>(2/45)</b>
N-M × WT-F	<b>3.45 ± 0.64<sup>a</sup></b>	21.15 ± 0.97	16.08 ± 3.77	37.1%	<b>(13/35)</b>
WT-M × N-F	<b>4.86 ± 0.45<sup>a,b</sup></b>	24.13 ± 0.99	<b>23.88 ± 5.13<sup>a</sup></b>	22.9%	<b>(8/35)</b>
G-M × G-F	7.18 ± 0.40	<b>19.73 ± 0.57<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>31.62 ± 2.41<sup>a</sup></b>	52.0%	(26/50)
G-M × WT-F	<b>4.46 ± 1.16<sup>a,b</sup></b>	21.0 ± 1.21	15.17 ± 1.80	55.8%	(19/34)
WT-M × G-F	<b>4.88 ± 0.48<sup>a,b</sup></b>	24.25 ± 1.0	<b>31.56 ± 4.77<sup>a</sup></b>	30.8%	(16/52)

<sup>a</sup> Different from WT-M × WT-F (*p* < 0.05).  
<sup>b</sup> Different from mutant-M × mutant-F (*p* < 0.05).

Characterizing this interaction in the fly strains used in our analysis has been important, since the GLaz mutation effects on reproduction are significantly higher in diets with high or medium Fat/Carbohydrate ratio (“Valladolid” and “Carolina” foods, Fig. 7C), while sensitivity to stress observed in both mutants is only observed in diets with medium to low Fat/Carbohydrate ratio (“Carolina” and “Caltech” foods, Fig. 7D–F shows the results for NLaz mutants).

Previous studies analyzing diet effects on lifespan (Grandison et al., 2009b; Skorupa et al., 2008) have been performed with different wild type strains. Our set of experiments emphasizes the importance of controlling diet when characterizing the effects of single genes influencing lifespan (see also Baldal et al., 2006a). The effects of Lipocalin genotype in both reproductive output and stress resistance are best observed when flies are fed on our standard “Valladolid food”, which contains a medium Fat/Carbohydrate proportion.


It is interesting to note that the largest differences in the stress response upon changes in diet are observed in the wild type flies. Without NLaz the differences between feeding regimes are reduced. These results should not be surprising giving the role of NLaz in the control of metabolism in response to environmental stress (Hull-Thompson et al., 2009). In the absence of NLaz, the IIS pathway is activated and flies become less sensitive to diet modifications. On the contrary, when IIS activity is genetically reduced (Grandison et al., 2009a), lifespan extension becomes insensitive to nutrient supplemented diets.

**3.10. How do brain Drosophila Lipocalins help us to understand the aging process?**

Several general ideas relevant to aging and lifespan determination are supported by our study.

**3.10.1. Early adulthood is a crucial period for lifespan determination**

Experiments where the IIS pathway was manipulated at different time points in life, both in *C. elegans* (Dillin et al., 2002) and *Drosophila* (Giannakou et al., 2007), have shown that in order for this pathway to influence lifespan, it needs to operate exclusively during adulthood,



**Fig. 7.** Food composition influences reproductive output and stress resistance. (A) Variables exploring the flow of energy upon different nutritional regimes in Lipocalin mutants. (B) Nutrient analysis (performed by Aquimisa, Salamanca, Spain) of the three food recipes used in this work yielded the proportion of Net Fat vs. Total Carbohydrates as the most salient difference (represented normalized with respect to values in Valladolid food recipe). (C) Reproductive output (fertility) of each genotype when flies are fed the three types of food. Three populations of 10 males  $\times$  10 females per diet condition were scored for progeny production during 2 days (from 5 to 6 days of age). The average per day is represented. (D–F) Survival curves under paraquat treatment of wild type and *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> adults born and raised in each type of food. A similar pattern is observed for *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants (not shown). Log-rank test was used for statistical analysis. N = 45–75/genotype/sex. Significant reduction in survival was observed only in the Valladolid and Caltech foods ( $p < 10^{-4}$ ).

with such an influence decreasing at older ages. Two lines of evidence suggest that a brain Lipocalin critical period also exists early in adulthood for their influence on lifespan: (1) their temporal expression pattern in wild type flies, and (2) the clustering of *GLaz* mutant females with wild type flies only at young ages in the multivariate space of metabolic parameters.

This time period, critical for both IIS and Lipocalin function, is coincident with the reproductive period of the organism (both in worms and flies), and could be interpreted in the light of the disposable soma theory of aging (Kirkwood, 1977), where natural selection forces are predicted to decline sharply once the organism is no longer engaged in passing its genes to the next generation (Kirkwood, 2008). Lipocalin function would be under selection in early adulthood only, and subsequent consequences of Lipocalin expression on the aging brain or body would be exaptations of this early adulthood selected functions (Gould and Vrba, 1982).

### 3.10.2. The sex-specific lifespan modulation by Lipocalins is better explained by metabolic than by reproduction phenotypes

In accordance to the disposable soma theory, our first working hypothesis was that *GLaz* mutant females maintain a lifespan similar to the wild type because they would be able to allocate more resources to maintenance and less to reproduction. We then confirmed that *GLaz* mutant females reproduce less and survive more. However, since *NLaz* mutant females reduce both fecundity and lifespan, we must conclude that survival and reproduction are in this particular case uncoupled.

Curiously, the PCA analysis shows that it is the alteration of metabolic parameters related to the protein content of the fly what mainly accounts for the observed sex differences in longevity. The final protein content and the fat free dry weight of the organism depends on protein synthesis, which has a strong evolutionary conservation among the genetic modifiers of aging (Smith et al., 2008).

*NLaz* is also related to the control of metabolism. When *NLaz* is expressed in the fat body, it is able to repress IIS activity in systemic target tissues. Also, the loss of *NLaz* increases signaling through IIS (Hull-Thompson et al., 2009). The experiments in this work predict that, in addition, *NLaz* must be a negative regulator of food intake. *NLaz* is thus expected to fulfill a role similar to Leptin in the nervous system, inhibiting food intake and promoting energy expenditure. Loss of *NLaz* mimics therefore the Leptin deficiency state in mouse models of obesity (Ingalls et al., 1950; Maffei et al., 1995). Curiously, mice where the neural/brain specific insulin receptor is knocked out, exhibit increased food intake and mild adiposity (Bruning et al., 2000), just as the *NLaz* mutant flies. A direct interaction of ApoD with the cytoplasmic portion of the Leptin receptor has been suggested (Liu et al., 2001), although it is still questionable how a secreted Lipocalin can access the cytoplasmic side of the plasma membrane for such an interaction to occur.

In contrast with *NLaz*, *GLaz* is not regulated by JNK in the peripheral tissues (Hull-Thompson et al., 2009). Here we demonstrate that it is instead induced by food deprivation in the brain, where no *NLaz* transcriptional response is detected. This pattern of differential transcriptional response in the brain further supports the existence of specialized functional domains for these two Lipocalins.

### 3.11. Two brain Lipocalins in Drosophila: a labor division strategy in longevity regulation

Our work highlights that the two *Drosophila* Lipocalins expressed in the nervous system have both functional redundancies and specializations. The response to oxidative stress and accumulation of lipid peroxides are among their common functions, while the transcriptional and behavioral response to starvation, the pattern of daily locomotor activity, storage of fat along aging, fertility, and courtship behavior differentiate *NLaz* from *GLaz* mutants. This framework is guiding our current research, as more details need to

be elucidated. However, we can already start questioning: how many of these shared or unique functions are conserved in the mammalian homologues also expressed in the brain?

The basal position of ApoD in the phylogenetic tree of vertebrate Lipocalins (Ganforina et al., 2000; Sanchez et al., 2006a) suggests that ApoD shares many properties with the common ancestor of invertebrate Lipocalins. However, we have to take into account that neither GLaz nor NLaz is a true orthologue of ApoD. Our molecular phylogenetic analyses strongly suggest that the *Drosophila* Lipocalins originated from an independent duplication event, taking place within the invertebrate lineage. Subsequently, the resulting genes have diverged both in their protein coding sequence and their regulatory sequences.

Since ApoD in the adult mammalian brain is expressed mainly in glial cells, one might be tempted to directly conclude that GLaz is the closest *Drosophila* homologue. Furthermore, the expression data we report in this work strongly suggest that GLaz regulation through aging is most similar to the robust increase of mammalian ApoD in the aged brain (de Magalhaes et al., 2009; Loerch et al., 2008). Interestingly, ApoD is most similar to GLaz in protein sequence, but to NLaz in the intron–exon structure of the gene (Sanchez et al., 2003). We have also data showing that ApoD is up-regulated by oxidative stress in astrocytes, and that this induction is mediated through the JNK pathway (Bajo-Grañeras, Ganforina and Sanchez, unpublished observations), which is comparable to the NLaz JNK-mediated induction by stress in *Drosophila* (Hull-Thompson et al., 2009). Thus, if we want to extrapolate the *Drosophila* data to learn about the functions of ApoD in mammalian aging and neurodegeneration we must benefit from a global comparison with both GLaz and NLaz, as the one reported here.

To understand the multigenic control of aging, we need to take into account that a layer of complexity is added due to the fact that each gene has pleiotropic effects, and each one has differing degrees of specialization or redundancy with members of the same gene family. This fact represents a daunting complication for the task of predicting the actions of putative anti-aging or anti-neurodegeneration drugs. However, complexity should not keep us from investigating till we get a comprehensive understanding of the aging process.

Supplementary materials related to this article can be found online at doi:10.1016/j.exger.2011.02.014.

#### Authors' contributions and approval of manuscript

MR performed the food intake and courtship behaviour experiments, contributed to carry out the longevity curves and the molecular biology analyses, and participated in the design of the study, the statistical analysis, and in writing the manuscript. AA performed and analyzed courtship behaviour experiments and contributed to the draft of the manuscript. IC carried out the circadian activity experiments. MDG and DS contributed equally by designing the study, performing all other experiments (stress responses, molecular biology experiments, metabolism and biochemical analyses), the statistical analysis, and writing the manuscript. All authors participated in the critical interpretation of data and read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgments and financial support

We thank J.R. Acebes and E. Martin for technical assistance. We also thank our colleagues in the lab R. Bajo-Grañeras, L. Sekine, A. Pérez-Castellanos, N. García-Mateo, and M. del Caño for their stimulating discussions, as well as A. Ferrús for his interesting questions and for laboratory support.

This work was supported by start-up grants to D.S. and M.D.G. from the "Ramón y Cajal Program" (Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología—Spain, and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional—Fondo Social Europeo); the

SGFRH Foundation; Junta de Castilla y Leon grant VA049A0, Ministerio de Educacion y Ciencia grant BFU2005-00522, and Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovacion grant BFU2008-01170 to M.D.G. and D.S.; Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovacion grant BFU2008-04683-C02-02 to I.C.; Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovacion grant BFU2009-12410/BMC and Fundación Cien Reina Sofía to A.A.


Authors declare no conflict of interest exists in relation to the content of this manuscript. Neither the author's institutions nor the funding agencies had a role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### References

- Andersen, D.H., Pertoldi, C., Scali, V., Loeschcke, V., 2005. Heat stress and age induced maternal effects on wing size and shape in parthenogenetic *Drosophila mercatorum*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 18, 884–892.
- Baldal, E.A., Baktawar, W., Brakefield, P.M., Zwaan, B.J., 2006a. Methuselah life history in a variety of conditions, implications for the use of mutants in longevity research. *Experimental Gerontology* 41, 1126–1135.
- Baldal, E.A., Brakefield, P.M., Zwaan, B.J., 2006b. Multitrait evolution in lines of *Drosophila melanogaster* selected for increased starvation resistance: the role of metabolic rate and implications for the evolution of longevity. *Evolution* 60, 1435–1444.
- Biteau, B., Karpac, J., Hwangbo, D., Jasper, H., in press. Regulation of *Drosophila* lifespan by JNK signaling. *Exp. Gerontology*. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2010.11.003.
- Broughton, S., Partridge, L., 2009. Insulin/IGF-like signalling, the central nervous system and aging. *The Biochemical Journal* 418, 1–12.
- Bruning, J., Gautam, D., Burks, D., Gillette, J., Schubert, M., Orban, P., Klein, R., Krone, W., Muller-Wieland, D., Kahn, C., 2000. Role of brain insulin receptor in control of body weight and reproduction. *Science* 289, 2122–2125.
- de Magalhaes, J.P., Curado, J., Church, G.M., 2009. Meta-analysis of age-related gene expression profiles identifies common signatures of aging. *Bioinformatics* 25, 875–881.
- Dillin, A., Crawford, D.K., Kenyon, C., 2002. Timing requirements for insulin/IGF-1 signaling in *C. elegans*. *Science* 298, 830–834.
- Ferveur, J.F., Storkühl, K.F., Stocker, R.F., Greenspan, R.J., 1995. Genetic feminization of brain structures and changed sexual orientation in male *Drosophila*. *Science* 267, 902–905.
- Fontana, L., Partridge, L., Longo, V.D., 2010. Extending healthy life span—from yeast to humans. *Science* 328, 321–328.
- Ganforina, M.D., Do Carmo, S., Lora, J.M., Torres-Schumann, S., Vogel, M., Allhorn, M., González, C., Bastiani, M.J., Rassart, E., Sanchez, D., 2008. Apolipoprotein D is involved in the mechanisms regulating protection from oxidative stress. *Aging Cell* 7, 506–515.
- Ganforina, M.D., Gutierrez, G., Bastiani, M., Sanchez, D., 2000. A phylogenetic analysis of the lipocalin protein family. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 17, 114–126.
- Giannakou, M.E., Goss, M., Jacobson, J., Vinti, G., Leivers, S.J., Partridge, L., 2007. Dynamics of the action of dFOXO on adult mortality in *Drosophila*. *Aging Cell* 6, 429–438.
- Gould, S.J., Vrba, E., 1982. Exaptation—a missing term in the science of form. *Paleobiology* 8, 4–15.
- Grandison, R.C., Piper, M.D., Partridge, L., 2009a. Amino-acid imbalance explains extension of lifespan by dietary restriction in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 462, 1061–1064.
- Grandison, R.C., Wong, R., Bass, T.M., Partridge, L., Piper, M.D., 2009b. Effect of a standardised dietary restriction protocol on multiple laboratory strains of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS ONE* 4, e4067.
- Grotewiel, M.S., Martin, I., Bhandari, P., Cook-Wiens, E., 2005. Functional senescence in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Ageing Research Reviews* 4, 372–397.
- Hull-Thompson, J., Muffat, J., Sanchez, D., Walker, D.W., Benzer, S., Ganforina, M.D., Jasper, H., 2009. Control of metabolic homeostasis by stress signaling is mediated by the Lipocalin NLaz. *PLoS Genetics* 5, e1000460.
- Ingalls, A.M., Dickie, M.M., Snell, G.D., 1950. Obese, a new mutation in the house mouse. *The Journal of Heredity* 41, 317–318.
- Kanekiyo, T., Ban, T., Aritake, K., Huang, Z.-L., Qu, W.-M., Okazaki, I., Mohri, I., Murayama, S., Ozono, K., Taniike, M., Goto, Y., Urade, Y., 2007. Lipocalin-type prostaglandin D synthase/β-trace is a major amyloid β-chaperone in human cerebrospinal fluid. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science* 104, 6412–6417.
- Karpac, J., Jasper, H., 2009. Insulin and JNK: optimizing metabolic homeostasis and lifespan. *Trends in Endocrinology and Metabolism* 20, 100–106.
- Kenyon, C.J., 2010. The genetics of ageing. *Nature* 464, 504–512.
- Kirkwood, T.B., 1977. Evolution of ageing. *Nature* 270, 301–304.
- Kirkwood, T.B.L., 2008. Understanding ageing from an evolutionary perspective. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 263, 117–127.
- Koh, K., Evans, J.M., Hendricks, J.C., Sehgal, A., 2006. A *Drosophila* model for age-associated changes in sleep:wake cycles. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 103, 13843–13847.
- Lee, S., Park, J.-Y., Lee, W.-H., Kim, H., Park, H.-C., Mori, K., Suk, K., 2009. Lipocalin-2 is an autocrine mediator of reactive astrocytosis. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 29, 234–249.
- Lin, Y.J., Seroude, L., Benzer, S., 1998. Extended life-span and stress resistance in the *Drosophila* mutant methuselah. *Science* 282, 943–946.
- Liu, Z., Chang, G., Leibowitz, S., 2001. Apolipoprotein D interacts with the long-form leptin receptor: a hypothalamic function in the control of energy homeostasis. *FASEB Journal* 15, 1329–1331.

- Livak, K.J., Schmittgen, T.D., 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta C(T)}$  Method. *Methods* 25, 402–408.
- Loerch, P.M., Lu, T., Dakin, K.A., Vann, J.M., Isaacs, A., Geula, C., Wang, J., Pan, Y., Gabuzda, D.H., Li, C., Prolla, T.A., Yankner, B.A., 2008. Evolution of the aging brain transcriptome and synaptic regulation. *PLoS ONE* 3, e3329.
- MacDonald, P.N., Bok, D., Ong, D.E., 1990. Localization of cellular retinol-binding protein and retinol-binding protein in cells comprising the blood-brain barrier of rat and human. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 87, 4265–4269.
- Maffei, M., Fei, H., Lee, G., Dani, C., Leroy, P., Zhang, Y., Proenca, R., Negrel, R., Ailhaud, G., Friedman, J., 1995. Increased expression in adipocytes of ob RNA in mice with lesions of the hypothalamus and with mutations at the db locus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 92, 6957–6960.
- Partridge, L., Gems, D., Withers, D.J., 2005. Sex and death: what is the connection? *Cell* 120, 461–472.
- Passtoors, W.M., Beekman, M., Gunn, D., Boer, J.M., Heijmans, B.T., Westendorp, R.G.J., Zwaan, B.J., Slagboom, P.E., 2008. Genomic studies in ageing research: the need to integrate genetic and gene expression approaches. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 263, 153–166.
- Rogina, B., Reenan, R.A., Nilsen, S.P., Helfand, S.L., 2000. Extended life-span conferred by cotransporter gene mutations in *Drosophila*. *Science* 290, 2137–2140.
- Rong, Y.S., Titen, S.W., Xie, H.B., Golic, M.M., Bastiani, M., Bandyopadhyay, P., Olivera, B.M., Brodsky, M., Rubin, G.M., Golic, K.G., 2002. Targeted mutagenesis by homologous recombination in *D. melanogaster*. *Genes & Development* 16, 1568–1581.
- Sanchez, D., Ganfornina, M.D., Gutierrez, G., Jauneau, A.-C., Risler, J.-L., Salier, J.-P., 2006a. Lipocalin genes and their evolutionary history. In: Akerstrom, B., Borregaard, N., Flower, D.R., Salier, J.-P. (Eds.), *Lipocalins*. Landes Bioscience, Georgetown, Texas.
- Sanchez, D., Ganfornina, M.D., Gutierrez, G., Marin, A., 2003. Exon–intron structure and evolution of the Lipocalin gene family. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 20, 775–783.
- Sanchez, D., Ganfornina, M.D., Torres-Schumann, S., Speese, S.D., Lora, J.M., Bastiani, M.J., 2000. Characterization of two novel lipocalins expressed in the *Drosophila* embryonic nervous system. *The International Journal of Developmental Biology* 44, 349–359.
- Sanchez, D., Lopez-Arias, B., Torroja, L., Canal, I., Wang, X., Bastiani, M.J., Ganfornina, M.D., Walker, D.W., Muffat, J., Rundel, C., Benzer, S., 2006b. Loss of glial lazarrillo, a homolog of apolipoprotein D, reduces lifespan and stress resistance in *Drosophila*. *Current Biology* 16, 680–686.
- Skorupa, D.A., Dervisevic, A., Zwiener, J., Pletcher, S.D., 2008. Dietary composition specifies consumption, obesity, and lifespan in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Aging Cell* 7, 478–490.
- Smith, E.D., Tsuchiya, M., Fox, L.A., Dang, N., Hu, D., Kerr, E.O., Johnston, E.D., Tchao, B.N., Pak, D.N., Welton, K.L., Promislow, D.E., Thomas, J.H., Kaeberlein, M., Kennedy, B.K., 2008. Quantitative evidence for conserved longevity pathways between divergent eukaryotic species. *Genome Research* 18, 564–570.
- Tatar, M., Bartke, A., Antebi, A., 2003. The endocrine regulation of aging by insulin-like signals. *Science* 299, 1346–1351.
- Toivonen, J.M., Partridge, L., 2009. Endocrine regulation of aging and reproduction in *Drosophila*. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* 299, 39–50.
- Toivonen, J.M., Walker, G.A., Martinez-Diaz, P., Bjedov, I., Drieger, Y., Jacobs, H.T., Gems, D., Partridge, L., 2007. No influence of *Indy* on lifespan in *Drosophila* after correction for genetic and cytoplasmic background effects. *PLoS Genetics* 3, e95.
- Van Dijk, W., Do Carmo, S., Rassart, E., Dalhlback, B., Sodetz, J., 2006. The plasma Lipocalins  $\alpha$ 1-acid glycoprotein, apolipoprotein D, apolipoprotein M and complement C8 $\gamma$ . In: Akerstrom, B., Borregaard, N., Flower, D., Salier, J. (Eds.), *Lipocalins*. Landes Bioscience, Georgetown, Texas.
- Walker, D.W., Muffat, J., Rundel, C., Benzer, S., 2006. Overexpression of a *Drosophila* homolog of Apolipoprotein D leads to increased stress resistance and extended lifespan. *Current Biology* 16, 674–679.
- Wang, R.C., Levine, B., 2010. Autophagy in cellular growth control. *FEBS Letters* 584, 1417–1426.


Figure S1 .



**Figure S1. PCR test for Wolbachia infection.**

PCR of genomic DNA extracts from all wild type and mutant strains used in this work. Primers against the rRNA-16S gene of Wolbachia endosymbiont are used. DNA from *Dilofilaria immitis* worms infected with Wolbachia is used as positive control. A plasmid containing the cDNA of  $\alpha$ -1-microglobulin (a1m, also a Lipocalin) or no DNA are used as negative controls.

Figure S2 .



**Figure S2. Principal components separating sex and age.**

**(A-B)** Scatterplot and biplot of components 2 by 3 extracted from the sample of 25 variables measured in 3 day-old flies (Subset 1). The two-dimensional space defined by these components separate males from females of the three genotypes. **(C-D)** Scatterplot and biplot of the two principal components explaining 63% of the variation in the sample of 7 variables measured in 3 day- and 30 day-old flies (Subset 2). These components are also able to separate samples according to sex, and separate females according to age. Symbols: black = wild type. red = *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup>. green = *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup>. circles = females. triangles = males. filled symbols = 3 day-old flies. open symbols = 30 day-old flies. Acronyms for the variables are listed in Table S1 and S2.


Figure S3.

**A** Fertility of successfully mated couples

Pair Genotypes	Descendence per couple	Sex ratio (males/females)
WT-M x WT-F	40.33 ± 4.43	1.11 ± 0.09
N-M x N-F	51.5 ± 1.5	1.10 ± 0.02
N-M x WT-F	29.91 ± 3.55	<b>0.81 ± 0.08<sup>a</sup></b>
WT-M x N-F	46.88 ± 2.12	1.23 ± 0.15
G-M x G-F	36.0 ± 4.69	1.07 ± 0.049
G-M x WT-F	32.67 ± 3.45	1.11 ± 0.13
WT-M x G-F	55.08 ± 7.32	1.11 ± 0.09

<sup>a</sup> different from WT-M x WT-F (p<0.05)

**B**



**Figure S3. Reproduction – Lifespan relationship.**

(A) Fertility of successfully mated mutant pairs does not differ from wild type when scoring the offspring of mated couples from courtship behavior analysis (Fig. 6D-E). N= 34-52 pairs. Statistical differences assayed by Student’s T-test (p<0.05): <sup>a</sup> Different from WT-M x WT-F pairs. (B) Lifespan of virgin females is reduced in *NLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants (p<10<sup>-5</sup>), but is similar to wild type in *GLaz*<sup>-/-</sup> mutants. Log-rank test was used for statistical analysis. N=97-120/genotype.

**Table S1. PCA Subset 1. 25 variables, age: 3 days.**

Genotype_Sex_Age	STRESS RESISTANCE			METABOLISM					
	Paraquat Resistance MedianSurv	Starvation Resistance MedianSurv	Desiccation Resistance MedianSurv	Lipid Peroxidation	Wet Weight	Relative Water Content	Relative Fat Content	Relative FatFree Weight	Protein content
WT_M_3	110	54.25	22.75	57.32	100	100	100	100	33.62
WT_F_3	108	79	30.5	45.32	141.39	101.02	105.45	95.41	53.31
G_M_3	64.8	25	18	132.89	94.45	106.21	68.90	95.89	33.32
G_F_3	76.19	45	28	99.96	136.27	105.83	81.87	92.45	56.11
N_M_3	29.89	58.5	10	275.92	95.66	103.05	75.56	99.79	33.11
N_F_3	29.89	66	16.5	119.33	138.73	101.15	85.42	101.68	64.42
Variable acronym:	PQ	St	Des	LipP	W W	RW	RFat	RFatFr	Prot

Genotype_Sex_Age	REPRODUCTION		DEVELOPMENT	STRESS RESISTANCE AND REPRODUCTION VS. NUTRITION					
	Fecundity	Fertility	Developmental succes	Fertility FoodA (Valladolid)	Fertility FoodB (Carolina)	Fertility FoodC (Caltech)	PQ Resistance FoodA (Valladolid)	PQ Resistance FoodB (Carolina)	PQ Resistance FoodC (Caltech)
WT_M_3	15.91	7.19	66.21	18.5	18.2	7.2	63	33	100
WT_F_3	15.91	7.19	66.21	18.5	18.2	7.2	56	23	100
G_M_3	11.34	3.75	41.65	4.7	3.7	1.5	36.65	35.41	56.66
G_F_3	11.34	3.75	41.65	4.7	3.7	1.5	36.65	13.84	33.33
N_M_3	7.94	7.18	84.44	17.7	19.04	6.21	24	24	35.5
N_F_3	7.94	7.18	84.44	17.7	19.04	6.21	10	27	38
Variable acronym:	F	Fert	DevS	FertA	FertB	FertC	PQA	PQB	PQC

Genotype_Sex_Age	BEHAVIOURAL RESPONSES						
	Climbing ability	Total Locomotor Activity	Diurnal Locomotor Activity	Nocturnal Locomotor Activiy	Sunrise Locomotor Activity	Sunset Locomotor Activiy	Food Intake Response
WT_M_3	7.16	771.84	546.64	225.2	127.02	189.95	10.10
WT_F_3	8.15	1183.42	986.95	196.46	156.42	256.02	7.16
G_M_3	7.46	1025.37	407.55	617.82	194.4	248.88	18.24
G_F_3	8.42	1100.28	825.13	275.15	88.53	338.95	7.05
N_M_3	8.65	706.33	600.71	105.61	169.76	153.21	34.62
N_F_3	5.61	709.13	643.53	65.6	96.15	132.93	43.19
Variable acronym:	Climb	TL	DL	NL	SRL	SSL	Fint



**Table S2. PCA Subset 2. 8 variables, ages: 3 and 30 days.**

Genotype_Sex_Age	METABOLISM						BEHAVIOR
	Lipid Peroxidation	Wet Weight	Relative Water Content	Relative Fat Content	Relative FatFree Weight	Protein content	Climbing ability
WT_M_3	57.32	100	100	100	100	33.62	7.16
WT_F_3	45.32	141.39	101.02	105.45	95.41	53.31	8.15
G_M_3	132.89	94.45	106.21	68.90	95.89	33.32	7.46
G_F_3	99.96	136.27	105.83	81.87	92.45	56.11	8.42
N_M_3	275.92	95.66	103.05	75.56	99.79	33.11	8.65
N_F_3	119.33	138.73	101.15	85.42	101.68	64.42	5.61
WT_M_30	112.26	100	100	100	100	36.48	6.31
WT_F_30	81.71	140.74	97.85	146.81	90.36	56.88	14.45
G_M_30	122.10	91.50	104.90	59.30	101.18	37.50	11.24
G_F_30	160.12	114.25	99.05	80.63	108.78	78.66	14.24
N_M_30	404.30	101.78	98.82	125.06	96.77	32.68	12.07
N_F_30	109.95	143.30	95.91	156.52	96.40	49.68	17.64
Variable acronym:	LipP	WW	RW	RFat	RFatFr	Prot	Climb