

2018

Systemic design in food security and resilience: Building a holon

Darzentas, John and Darzentas, Jenny and De Bruin, Annemarieke and Power, Maddy and Prado, Patricia and Carmien, Stefan and Hobbs, Emilie

Suggested citation:

Darzentas, John and Darzentas, Jenny and De Bruin, Annemarieke and Power, Maddy and Prado, Patricia and Carmien, Stefan and Hobbs, Emilie (2018) Systemic design in food security and resilience: Building a holon. In: Proceedings of RSD7, Relating Systems Thinking and Design 7, 23-26 Oct 2018, Turin, Italy. Available at <http://openresearch.ocadu.ca/id/eprint/2694/>



University of
the Aegean



UNIVERSITY
of York

Systemic Design in Food Security and Resilience: Building A Holon

John Darzentas^{1,2}, Jenny Darzentas^{1,2}, Annemarieke de Bruin¹, Maddy Power¹, Patricia Prado¹, Stefan Carmien¹ and Emilie Hobbs¹

University of York¹

University of the Aegean²



Introduction

- The situation of concern in this paper is that of Food Security. In a previous paper [Darzentas, 2017], the I Know Food (IKF) project and its composition and objectives were introduced.
- As its name suggests, an overall aim is to integrate knowledge about food systems.
- The project examines these systems in the light of food system **resilience**.
- food system resilience defined as “the ability to learn, adapt and transform to cope with external and internal stresses and shocks in order to maintain stable levels of nutritious food supply”



University of
the Aegean



Food Systems

Food research literature recognises the interconnectivity of various elements and talks about “the food system”

But still much research deals with parts of systems independently e.g. the food producer, retail, consumer

More recently food researchers trying to find ways to study food systems more holistically, e.g.:

- drawing in many sources of multiple interactions, to identify key processes, drivers, multiple feedbacks and outcomes, (Ericksen, 2008)
- including interconnections and the many different factors not apparently influencing food security, such as over-consumption of ‘bad’ food and obesity, are studied along with more traditional foci (Horton, 2017).

Against this background, the IKF project

- has a main objective to integrate knowledge about food, in order to better understand and produce new knowledge
- an awareness of systems thinking but no brief to use it



University of
the Aegean



Systemic Design

Our intervention is to initially bring researchers together to develop understandings of the IKF objectives :

- moving from the 'given' system definitions (e.g. supply chain system, healthcare system, as well as 'stock' definitions of actors and roles (e.g. farmer produces food)
- to try to develop fresh understandings, and reveal emergent properties.

Although these researchers are just one group amongst the real world stakeholders, each of them in the IKF project work with main stakeholder groups (producers, retailers, consumers)

These richer understandings emerge in the building of a Holon

- found differentiated emphases from the more commonly accepted 'food systems' actors
- leading to possible re-orientations.

The common goal is that these findings and re-orientations can help develop more nuanced understandings of what resilience means for overall and subsets of systems.




A few words about Resilience

Know Food proposes the use of the lens of resilience to examine food security. 3 interlinked points can be made here

1. Resilience has been conceptualised in at least three ways; as **absorbing shocks**, as **preventing shocks**, or as **adapting to shocks** and more than one of these forms of resilience are apparent (Bene et al 2016)
 - e.g. an aid agency may provide first aid to help **absorb the shock** from an emergency, but also try to put in place **preventive measures** to resist unwanted changes, or even a development project to **transform the food production/consumption** processes so they are not vulnerable in the future to such types of emergency
2. In today's discourse, resilience is generally considered, as a 'good thing'. However resistance to change can be negative (e.g. resistance to changing known 'bad' dietary habits)
3. To whom the resilience is applied:
 - e.g. one subsystem's resilience e.g. growing a new crop that is drought resistant, may affect another subsystem adversely, for instance, the distribution subsystem can no function as it used to.

However, resilience as a concept is useful to mobilise integrative efforts and deepen understanding. This is important, although it is recognised that it may not lead directly to changes yet in food security challenges (Bene et al, 2016).



Source: Derived from Béné et al. (2012)



Complex problem spaces



- In IKF, as in all very complex problem spaces, we are actually ‘talking’ about ***capturing, learning, and understanding*** it.
- Also we don’t provide solutions but ***interventions***.



University of
the Aegean

Complex problem spaces



UNIVERSITY
of York

An understanding:

A situation to do something about (**what**) by means of (**how**) in order to contribute to achieving (**why**)

(**what** is done, **how** is it done, **why** is it done)



Complex problem spaces

- Interconnectedness implies complexity and is a major property/characteristic in Systems Thinking.
- Acquiring views of the connections amongst parts/subsystems of a systemic view of a Holon then we acquire a view of the system's dependence on its parts being prodded.
- In terms of the system's resilience all parts will be affected, some more some less.



University of
the Aegean


Complex problem spaces



UNIVERSITY
of York


- A systemic expression of Holon gives a useful picture of the interconnectedness and a study/capture of the importance of each part.
- Hence a main assumption here is that governing and redesigning the most vulnerable parts/subsystems will move towards improving the system's resilience.

A First View



A Holon


Enabling people to have the means, agency & knowledge to access nutritious, food that is culturally, environmentally acceptable, affordable, accessible



ISSUES


- 1) FOOD WASTE
- 2) INEQUALITY of Power
- 3) CULTURE
- 4) CLIMATE CHANGE
- 5) HEALTH
- 6) PLATEWASTE NEEDS
- 7) INEQUALITY (INCOME)
- 8) PRICE-NUTRITION TRADE-OFF





A Holon


Enabling people to have the means, agency & knowledge to access, nutritious, food that is culturally, environmentally acceptable, affordable, accessible



ISSUES

- 1) FOOD WASTE
- 2) INEQUALITY OF POWER
- 3) CULTURE
- 4) CLIMATE CHANGE
- 5) HEALTH
- 6) PLATEAUVING YIELDS
- 7) INEQUALITY (INCOME)
- 8) PRICE-NUTRITION TRADE-OFF
- 9) LACK OF KNOWLEDGE
- 10) INCREASING DEMAND.
- 11) WATER SCARCITY

Enabling people to have the means, agency & knowledge to access ethical adequate nutritious, affordable, sustainable accessible, culturally & environmentally acceptable food




ISSUES

- food miles
- monoculture
- Obesity
- Food Waste
- Inequality of power
- Culture
- Climate Change
- Health
- Plateauing Yields
- Inequality (Income)
- Price - Nutrition trade-off
- Lack of Knowledge
- Increasing Demand
- Water Scarcity
- Fair Trade

A Holon

Enabling people to have the means, agency & knowledge to access ethical adequate nutritious, affordable, sustainable accessible, culturally & environmentally acceptable food



ISSUES

- food miles
- monoculture
- obesity
- Food Waste
- Inequality of power
- Culture
- Climate Change
- Health
- Plateauing Yields
- Inequality (Income)
- Price - Nutrition trade-off
- Lack of Knowledge
- Increasing Demand
- Water Scarcity
- Fair Trade



The figures show a Holon created by the group of the relevant researchers in 3 workshop sessions.

Amongst promising preliminary observations there were already hints to the usefulness of the systemic design approach, for the grounding of resilience in IKF:

- the **cultural acceptability** of food (not part of formal definitions by food agencies)
- **role of the communicators** (food journalists, etc.). within the literature they do not seem to feature as an important stakeholder group despite acknowledged influence their communications wield
- the nature of the **potential of stakeholders**. Despite inequalities between stakeholder groups, each stakeholder appears to have some mechanisms, to influence, affect, change, or even disrupt flows of material and of information within the Holon
- the **role of the 3rd Sector**: those with 'on-the-ground' knowledge, are those engaged in activating and implementing resilience (first aid trying to absorb shocks, others trying to build resistance or transform).



- A very important benefit of the Systems Thinking approach is that, because of the way the 'paths' to emerging subsystems are generated, the stakeholders involved in each one of those, can 'meet' again, when necessary, back at the System (translated Holon), or even at the Holon itself.
- That may be necessary because of the iterative nature of the evolving understanding and learning, as well as the dynamic nature of Systems characteristics such as borders and environment which change continuously.
- In other words, stakeholders and designers have a common platform of reference when needed to clarify and redefine evolving issues.




University of
the Aegean




UNIVERSITY
of York

Using the Holons


- Holons need to be ‘translated’ into ‘Systems Language’ to be able to utilise the learning and understanding of the complex problem space and move towards interventions



Translation of the Holon into Systems Language



Consumers Subsystem





University of
the Aegean



UNIVERSITY
of York

Thank you